

Keep your mind off negative things: coping with long-term effects of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)

Vida Ghodratty-Jabloo¹ · Shabbir M. H. Alibhai^{2,3,4} · Henriette Breunis^{3,4} · Martine T. E. Puts¹

Received: 5 August 2015 / Accepted: 26 October 2015 / Published online: 5 November 2015
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract

Purpose of study Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is characterized by sudden onset, intensive treatment, a poor prognosis, and significant relapse risk. Quality of life (QOL) and well-being among AML survivors have been extensively studied during the 6 months of active treatment. However, it is not clear what survivors experience after active treatment. The purpose of our study was to explore how AML survivors describe their longer-term physical and psychosocial well-being and how they cope with these challenges.

Methods We conducted a prospective qualitative study and interviewed 19 adult participants (11 had completed treatment, 8 were receiving maintenance chemotherapy). Data were collected using semi-structured interviews that were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. The grounded theory approach was used for data analysis.

Results A marked improvement in physical health was reported; however, psychosocial well-being was compromised by enduring emotional distress. A range of emotion- and problem-focused coping strategies were reported. Keeping one's mind off negative things through engaging in formal work or informal activities and seeking control were the two most commonly used coping strategies. Seeking social support for reassurance was also common. Problem-focused strategies were frequently described by the ongoing treatment group to manage treatment side effects.

Conclusion Although physical symptoms improved after completion of treatment, psychosocial distress persisted over longer period of time. In addition, essential needs of AML survivors shifted across survivorship as psychological burden gradually displaced physical concerns. The integral role of coping mechanisms in the adaptation process suggests a need for effective and ongoing psychological interventions.

✉ Vida Ghodratty-Jabloo
vida.ghodrattyjabloo@mail.utoronto.ca

Shabbir M. H. Alibhai
shabbir.alibhai@uhn.ca

Henriette Breunis
hbreunis@uhnresearch.ca

Martine T. E. Puts
martine.puts@utoronto.ca

¹ Lawrence S. Bloomberg Faculty of Nursing, University of Toronto, 155 College Street Suite 130, Toronto, ON M5T 1P8, Canada

² Department of Medicine and Institute of Health Policy, Management, and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

³ Department of Medicine, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada

⁴ Toronto General Hospital, 14th floor 14 EN214, 200 Elisabeth Street, Toronto, ON M5G 2C4, Canada

Keywords Qualitative research · Prospective study · Acute myeloid leukemia · Chemotherapy · Coping strategies · Survivorship

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is an aggressive hematological malignancy characterized by abrupt onset, intensive treatment regimen, a relatively poor prognosis, and significant risk of relapse [1]. Immediate and intensive treatment which requires prolonged hospitalization is crucial to prevent premature death [2]. Although various treatments exist, intensive chemotherapy (IC) is the treatment of choice to achieve disease remission and prolong survival [1, 3]. However, IC is burdened with severe toxicities and distress [4–6]. In our recent study in

patients with AML, we showed that fatigue, weakness, dizziness, and chemobrain were the most bothersome physical symptoms just after completing IC [7]. Additionally, our analysis revealed that participants were affected with an overwhelming sense of loss, fear, and uncertainty in the early phase of IC [7]. Likewise, two recent cross-sectional studies on newly diagnosed AML patients receiving IC and one longitudinal study of AML patients undergoing prolonged chemotherapy documented a high prevalence of traumatic stress symptoms, intense worrying and sadness, uncertainty about durable remission, and fear of cancer recurrence as serious threat to psychological well-being [4, 5, 8]. Correspondingly, several qualitative studies investigating patients' perspectives on the initial phase of leukemia diagnosis, IC, and bone marrow transplantation (BMT) noted a sense of shock, emotional numbness, threat, insecurity, uncertainty, and fear regarding diagnosis, invasive procedures, cancer recurrence, and transplant rejection [9–12]. Although data from the initial phase of leukemia diagnosis and treatment may provide some guidance, additional research is needed to explore longer-term physical and psychosocial implications of leukemia and inform effective care plans to enhance quality of life in leukemia survivorship.

Coping strategies, either problem- or emotion-focused, play a pivotal role in dealing with life stressors. Problem-focused strategies tackle problems directly whereas emotion-oriented tactics manipulate overwhelming realities to regulate affective responses [13]. The significance of one's ability to use coping strategies in response to taxing demands and the association between coping and quality of life has been previously shown [14–16]. Denial and avoidance, seeking social support, reprioritizing goals and values, and surrendering control were the common coping strategies in the early phase of leukemia diagnosis and BMT [9, 17]. However, little is known about the influence of maintenance chemotherapy on long-term physical and psychological well-being of leukemia survivors beyond the active treatment phase (usually around 6 months). Equally important, it is not clear whether the type and nature of distress and coping strategies change over time in leukemia survivorship. This knowledge would help health care providers to identify and support effective coping strategies, and address essential needs of leukemia survivors.

The purpose of this study was to explore how AML survivors describe the long-term physical and psychosocial impact of leukemia and their personal coping strategies to deal with these challenges 12 months after diagnosis. Furthermore, we explored the advice provided by these survivors to future patients on how to cope with the everyday challenges of living with leukemia.

Methods

Study design and sample

A prospective qualitative study design inspired by grounded theory (GT) methodology was used in this study [18, 19]. Employing the systematic approach of GT, this study sought to develop a theoretical explanation for the process of coping with long-term effects of leukemia [20]. Theoretical sampling, a cyclical process in which sampling and data collection are guided by data analysis, was used. Following the leads of emerging concepts, supplementary information was explored from younger and older survivors who had relevant experiences and could elaborate on the dimension and attributes of the targeted concepts [18, 21]. Constant comparative analysis was applied to create categories, discover the pattern in data, and move toward constructing a substantive theory [20].

Building upon our previous qualitative study on QOL and physical function of leukemia survivors 6 months after diagnosis [7], the present study explored survivorship issues 12 months after diagnosis. This time point was chosen because by 12 months, many patients have completed their chemotherapy and are reintegrating into society [22]. Therefore, ongoing physical issues, pre-existing and newly occurred psychosocial difficulties, and common coping strategies to deal with enduring challenges are crucial to understand.

A consecutive sampling approach was employed and participants were recruited from a pool of 236 patients taking part in an ongoing prospective cohort study on QOL and physical function of younger and older adults with AML at the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network Toronto, Canada [1]. Eligible participants had to be at least 18 years old, spoke and read English sufficiently to provide informed consent and complete questionnaires, and had no significant cognitive impairment prior to starting chemotherapy. Participants were excluded if they had another active malignancy or life-threatening comorbidity, life expectancy <1 month, or were more than 12 months beyond diagnosis. Nineteen participants were interviewed. The sample size was determined by data saturation (i.e., no new themes emerge from the data, and categories and the relationships among them are well developed and supported) [23]. Of a total 25 participants who were interviewed 6 months after diagnosis, 9 were not included in the current study due to undertaking BMT ($n=5$), disease relapse ($n=1$), and death ($n=3$). The remaining 16 participants were interviewed for the second time. To reach data saturation, three more participants, who had consented but had not been interviewed at 6 months due to reaching data saturation at that time point, were interviewed. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the University Health Network. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data collection

Data were collected using semi-structured interviews guided by topic guides (Appendix). The topic guides were developed by the research team and modified based on initial analysis. Interviews were conducted by HB at the convenience of the participants in clinic or at home. The interviews lasted on average 45 min (range 16–115 min) and took place from February 2012 to January 2013. Socio-demographic and clinical information was obtained from the patients' charts.

Data analysis

Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim by a professional transcriptionist. The accuracy of transcripts was checked by HB. NVivo 10.0 (QSR International, Victoria, Australia) was used to manage the data.

Data analysis was conducted using the constant comparison method [19]. Initiating with open coding, two members of the research team (VGJ and HB) analyzed the data line by line to identify concepts, discover concept properties and dimensions, and develop categories [23]. Emerging concepts and categories were then discussed with all members of the team, and a decision was made at this time to group participants in ongoing and completed treatment groups based on initial data analyses indicating differences between the groups. In the second phase of analysis, axial coding, categories and subcategories emergent from comparisons were defined and refined for each group and categories were delineated in relationship to other categories and subcategories [23]. Consensus was obtained within the team. In the third phase, selective coding, emerging categories and relationships were integrated at more abstract level to construct central themes and tentative hypotheses [23]. The process of analytic interpretation of qualitative data, defining and refining codes and categories, and developing central themes was iterative and continuous throughout data collection and analysis.

Results

A total of 19 participants, 8 men and 11 women, were interviewed. The participants' age ranged from 27 to 71 (mean 50.5 years); the majority was married (68 %), with college/university education (63 %), and working at the time of diagnosis (47 %). The baseline characteristics of participants are depicted in Table 1. More than half of participants (58 %) had completed chemotherapy; however, 42 % of participants were on maintenance chemotherapy.

Themes

Three major themes were identified and described in detail below: *ongoing impact of disease and treatment, emotion-*

and problem-focused coping strategies, and advice for future patients and health care providers (Table 2).

Theme 1: Ongoing impact of disease and treatment. This main theme encompassed two subthemes: *improved versus improving health*, and *altered business, family, and social life*.

Subtheme 1a: Improved versus improving health. Participants who had completed treatment expressed a feeling of recovery and satisfaction with their health. They described feeling that the most bothersome "treatment side effects had disappeared" and their "energy levels" and functional abilities had significantly improved. However, those who were still receiving treatment reported a range of bothersome health issues such as "fatigue," "lower levels of energy," "shortness of breath," and "nausea and vomiting." In spite of enduring treatment side effects and subsequent limitations in functional capacity, the ongoing treatment group appreciated the positive effects of chemotherapy and claimed that their health has been steadily improving throughout the treatment course. Furthermore, they were optimistic and expressed hope for a better health "after finishing all the medications."

Subtheme 1b: Altered business, family, and social life. This was as a common theme for all participants regardless of the phase of treatment. However, the underlying factors identified by each group were different. Acute treatment side effects including fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and fear of infection were frequently reported by the ongoing treatment group as the most significant factors that negatively influenced their work and social life. In contrast, changes in interpersonal and intrapersonal relationships as a result of the leukemia diagnosis and treatment side effects were identified by participants who had completed chemotherapy. Lack of interest in communicating with people and a perceived change in identity as a "cancer survivor" were specifically described by this group as undesirable post-treatment changes with negative effects on family life, social interactions, and lifestyle.

Theme 2: Emotion- and problem-focused coping strategies. A broad range of emotion- and problem-focused coping strategies were identified. Emotion-focused coping strategies were typically used to come to terms with the unpredictable nature of survivorship, an unknown future, and the relatively poor prognosis of leukemia. Problem-focused strategies, however, were frequently reported by participants in ongoing treatment to manage side effects and subsequent functional limitations.

Table 1 Participant demographics and clinical characteristics

Characteristics	Completed treatment (<i>n</i> =11)	Ongoing treatment (<i>n</i> =8)
Age (years), mean (range)	53.6 (30–71)	47.4 (27–71)
Gender (female)	6/11 (55 %)	5/8 (63 %)
Marital status		
Married or common-law	8/11 (73 %)	5/8 (63 %)
Separate/divorced/widowed	2/11 (18 %)	2/8 (25 %)
Single	1/11 (9 %)	1/8 (12 %)
Living arrangement		
With spouse	8/11 (73 %)	5/8 (63 %)
Other family	0	2/8 (25 %)
Alone	3/11 (27 %)	1/8 (12 %)
Working status at diagnosis		
Working	6/11 (55 %)	3/8 (38 %)
Sick leave	0	1/8 (12 %)
Retired	5/11 (45 %)	2/8 (25 %)
Unemployed	0	2/8 (25 %)
Working status at time of interview		
Working	3/11 (27 %)	0
Sick leave	3/11 (27 %)	4/8 (50 %)
Retired	5/11 (45 %)	2/8 (25 %)
Unemployed	0	2/8 (25 %)
First language		
English	6/11 (55 %)	5/8 (63 %)
Other	5/11 (45 %)	3/8 (38 %)
Education		
High school or less	3/11 (27 %)	4/8 (50 %)
College/university	8/11 (73 %)	4/8 (50 %)
Location of interview		
Hospital	10/11 (91 %)	8/8 (100 %)
Home	1/11 (9 %)	0
Charlson co-morbidity score		
0	9/11 (82 %)	6/8 (75 %)
1 or more	2/11 (18 %)	2/8 (25 %)
Cytogenetic risk group		
Favorable	3/11 (27 %)	7/8 (88 %)
Normal	3/11 (27 %)	0
Intermediate	3/11 (27 %)	1/8 (12 %)
Unfavorable	1/11 (9 %)	0
Not assessed	1/11 (9 %)	0
Induction treatment		
Standard chemotherapy	11/11 (100 %)	6/8 (75 %)
NOVE + azacytidine	0	1/8 (12 %)
Etoposide + low-dose Ara-C	0	1/8 (12 %)
Number of cycles of consolidation		
0 cycles	0	0
1 cycles	2/11 (18 %)	1/8 (12 %)
2 cycles	8/11 (73 %)	7/8 (88 %)
3 cycles	1/11 (9 %)	0

Numbers may not add up to 100 % because of rounding

NOVE mitoxantrone + etoposide

Table 2 Selected quotes illustrating themes and treatment-related similarities and differences among those who had completed treatment versus those receiving ongoing treatment for acute myeloid leukemia

Themes	Completed treatment	Ongoing treatment
<p><i>Ongoing impact of disease and treatment</i></p> <p>Subtheme 1a: improved versus improving health</p> <p><i>Similarities:</i> perception of change in health after AML diagnosis and chemotherapy</p> <p><i>Differences:</i> more satisfaction with current health in completed treatment than in ongoing treatment group</p>	<p>“Health wise I am doing better...way better than 6 months ago. I got lots of energy I can go and go.”</p> <p>(Participant #226, Male, age 64)</p>	<p>“The way the ATRA affects my stomach is bothering me most. ATRA messes with my internal digestion like crazy. I can’t wait until I am off of it. A couple of more weeks and everything will be back to the way it was before I got sick.” (Participant #204, Female, age 31)</p>
<p>Subtheme 1b: altered business, family, and social life</p> <p><i>Similarities:</i> perceived changes in physical and psychosocial abilities to work, initiate, and maintain relationships</p> <p><i>Differences:</i> physical limitation in ongoing treatment versus emotional drawbacks in completed treatment group</p>	<p>“I have limited my activities. You can say 70 or 80 % activities I have limited...social activities and circle of friendship...no more I can say guests are welcome at our house, going to other people is limited too. I don’t like to talk to anyone...it is strange behavior...but it is happening.”</p> <p>(Participant # 214, Male, age 39)</p>	<p>“I have to see myself, what strength I have, the family social life... going places, family get together; I just have to cut down a lot of those things.” (Participant #220, Female, age 51)</p>
<p><i>Emotion-and problem-focused coping strategies to restore quality of life</i></p> <p>Subtheme 2 a: keep the mind off the negative things:</p> <p><i>Similarities:</i> engaging in a variety of activities to distract oneself from thinking about the distressing effects of AML and IC</p> <p><i>No differences</i></p>	<p>“I actually prefer to do activities because it doesn’t give me the time to think about negative effects. So sort of a catch 22... there are no negative effects as long as I am doing something.”</p> <p>(Participant #198, Male, age 30)</p>	<p>“I just try... to keep myself busy doing things... I will pick a room or shelf (to reorganize) or a movie or music to see... I reorganize things and I don’t think...so one thing one day so I can work around... spend myself like time and get some positive things out of it...it does make me feel okay I did something” (Participant #220, Female, age 51)</p>
<p>Subtheme 2 b: seeking control over distressing situations</p> <p><i>Similarities:</i> wresting positive value from negative events: making an effort to draw out positive meaning from leukemia-related events</p>	<p>“I think the whole experience was a wakeup call... it was a gift to spend so much time with my sister and daughter and bounding with them.” (Participant #183, Male, age 58)</p>	<p>“This illness helped me stop... rest and you have to reprioritize, reorder your life again...and... much focus on my kids and life and enjoy and relax. Nothing in life needs to be stressed and it’s not a competition. This kind of thinking helped me to have a peace of mind. Really.”(Participant #178, Female, age 42)</p>
<p><i>Differences:</i> vigilance and seeking information versus going with the flow: monitoring physical changes and keeping in touch with health care providers in completed treatment versus flowing with current in ongoing treatment group</p>	<p>“Probably [I am] worried a little more than I should. I associate those [physical symptoms] with getting sick initially. It is always impossible to get rid of what I did, but I rid to focus on the positive and not let the little things that I have noticed consume me.” (Participant #198, Male, age 30)</p>	<p>“I just do as best I can every day and what I can’t do I put off until the next day. So don’t rush. I don’t panic. I don’t try and exert myself. I just take my time. Take it easy and what I can’t do today, I will do tomorrow...go with the flow.” (Participant #234, Male, age 72)</p>
<p><i>Differences:</i> engaging in positive comparison versus searching for meaning: comparing current health to when it was worse off by completed treatment group versus attempts to redefine values and life goals by ongoing treatment group.</p>	<p>“I am a lot better than I was a year ago. A year ago I was ready to quit life but now I am enjoying it...I feel absolutely fabulous compared to where I was.”(Participant #188, Male, age 72)</p>	<p>“I think this illness stopped me for a while and helped me to recalculate again what happened during the last years and start changing my priorities for life. This illness helped me stop, rest and you have to reprioritize, reorder your life again. And much focus on my kids and life and enjoy and relax. Nothing in life needs to be stress and it is not a competition.” (Participant #178, Female, age 42)</p>
<p>Subtheme 2c: seeking social support</p> <p><i>Similarities:</i> looking for empathy, reassurance, and acknowledgment from family, friends, and other leukemia patients</p> <p><i>No differences</i></p>	<p>“The role of family plays a significant role... you have counterpart...your life partner. If... he or she, encourages you and gives you hope, then you really feel energetic and your family members too. Your parents, your friends. If they are encouraging you, plus if they are helping your family in this event then you have a hope that okay, you are not alone in the hospital...but your family is alone but if your family friends...if your relatives, even if they are far from there, they can, they can ask you on telephone okay. They can tell you “okay, no problem we are here with you”. Oh, its small</p>	<p>“I do talk to people (through Facebook) who have been sick...it helps to reaffirm that what I was feeling wasn’t just me. That everyone had felt like that, so that helps to know that what you are going through today is what you are supposed to be going through.” (Participant #204, Female, age 31)</p>

Table 2 (continued)

Themes	Completed treatment	Ongoing treatment
Subtheme 2 d: problem-focused strategies <i>No similarities</i> <i>Differences:</i> using a range of direct actions such as watching energy and arranging break times between activities by ongoing treatment group to manage treatment side-effects	words make a big difference.” (Participant # 214, Male, age 39) Problem-focused strategies were not specifically reported by participants of completed treatment group	“I am going to have a place to sit down when I get tired and if I get really tired, am I going to have a place where I could take a nap. So, luckily I have good friends that don’t care if I take a nap right in front of them, but you know, if you’re out at a social occasion, you get really, really tired, do you have a place that you can just go and lay down for a few minutes, so sometimes I can’t go to everything I’d like to go.” (Participant # 204, Female, age 31)
<i>Advice</i> Subtheme 3a: advice for the future patients <i>Similarities:</i> stay positive and avoid thinking ahead <i>No differences</i>	“Don’t focus on the long-term... that would be on the top of my list... just short-term goals... and then keep on doing things that you would normally do... and definitely get up and out and exercise and keep your mind busy.” (Participant # 198, Male, age 30)	“The main thing I would say is just to stay positive... I just feel like the happier or more positive you were the easier the whole time was.” (Participant # 205, Female, age 27)
Subtheme 3 b: advice for the health care providers <i>Similarities:</i> plan face-to-face communication facilitate patients’ networking, and provide reassurance <i>No differences</i>	“I think it would be much more helpful to have someone available right there to talk about things rather than handing someone who is in a complete state of shock, denial, and terror a pile of papers that he or she is not going to read and I’ve talked to a number of patients and not one of them has read one single word of all of those brochures they gave them... and information is not often what is most needed and most helpful in those circumstances.” (Participant # 232, Male, age 66)	“If they had given me some information about, you know, this patient is around your age and they had the same things and they understand what you are going through, maybe if you could connect with them, even online that would be kind of cool. Where we would all get on and say, you know, I’m feeling this today, does anybody else feel it.” (Participant # 204, Female, age 31)

Subtheme 2a: “Keep the mind off negative things.” This was a common emotion-focused strategy employed by all. “The negative things” referred to undesirable thoughts about “illness and its negative effects” such as uncertain future. Mental disengagement was the strategy of choice to withdraw from distressing thoughts and ensuing negative emotions. In doing so, participants used alternative activities to keep busy. “Working,” either full- or part-time, was identified by many participants as the best activity to disengage the mind. However, not all survivors were able to work. Therefore, a range of simple activities including “watching TV,” “reading,” “reorganizing a room or a shelf,” “listening to news or music,” and “being around people” were used to help distract from “negative things.”

Subtheme 2b: Seeking control over distressing situations. A range of control-seeking strategies including “short-term planning,” wresting positive value from negative events, “appreciating each day of life as a new day,” and “enjoying little things” were described by all. “Short-term planning/goals” was mainly used to deal with an unknown future through “focusing on what they could control.” Likewise, “taking advantage of today,” “making use of all the time,”

“appreciation for life,” and “enjoying little things” were tactics to restructure cognitive state and enhance perception of control. Nevertheless, a subtle difference between the groups was noted in the use of control strategies of searching for meaning and understanding and “going with the flow.” These strategies were exclusively used by participants still on treatment in an attempt to adjust to events they had appraised as uncontrollable.

Subtheme 2c: Seeking social support. This was another common emotion-focused coping strategy reported by all. The main reason for seeking social support was to ensure that the enduring psychological distress and emotional burden were common experiences. Participants expected to obtain moral support, acknowledgment, empathy, and understanding from their social network, including family, friends, health care providers, and other patients with leukemia. Furthermore, participants emphasized that communication with other leukemia survivors helped them validate their feelings and make sense of their emotional reactions.

Additional emotion-focused tactics including humor, turning to religion, denial, and avoidance were identified by several participants.

Subtheme 2d: Problem-focused strategies. Problem-focused strategies such as “resting between activities” and “writing stuff down” were frequently used to manage treatment side effects such as fatigue and memory problems. As expected, these strategies were reported mostly by the ongoing treatment group who were still dealing with treatment consequences.

Theme 3: Advice. This theme incorporated two sub-themes: *advice for future patients* and *advice for health care providers*.

Subtheme 3a: Advice for future patients. All participants advised future patients to “keep a positive attitude,” “avoid thinking ahead of time,” “keep their mind busy doing something,” and do not hesitate to “communicate their thoughts and feelings.” Furthermore, future patients were strongly advised to take a proactive role in their treatment through “reading the written information,” “gaining knowledge about the language” of leukemia, learning “what questions to ask and how,” and “achieve capacity to comprehend the explanations” provided by health care professionals.

Subtheme 3b: Advice for health care providers (HCPs). This was focused on therapeutic communication, empathy, reassurance, and providing appropriate information at the right time. With regard to timely and appropriate information, our participants had two recommendations. First, HCPs were advised to be considerate of patients’ feelings and concerns, since fundamental differences can exist between what patients perceive as important and what HCPs consider as a priority. This subtle and often invisible disagreement between patients and HCPs may impact the quality and effectiveness of therapeutic communication. One suggestion was to “initiate the communication by asking patients specific questions” to learn about their understanding, needs, and priorities prior to providing information and planning education. The importance of “reassurance,” “person-to-person interactions,” and “providing consultation for personal issues” was also emphasized to establish and maintain patient-centered communication. Second, HCPs were advised not to answer patients’ questions using the phrase “everybody is different.” Participants pointed out that saying “everybody is different is not helpful; actually it is hurtful” since “no meaning could be drawn from that.” They mentioned that they “like to know about the probabilities and percentages related to the outcomes of treatment.” Therefore, they suggested HCPs “provide the patients with a list of the things that potentially could happen.” In connection with this, participants also stated that “pamphlets written by leukemia patients” could be very helpful since it can work as a communication channel to

connect them to former patients and reassure them that their current feelings and concerns are expected. Despite a positive attitude toward pamphlets written by patients themselves, printed information or a binder with information was not appreciated by leukemia survivors. Generally, participants believed that the binder was “too much” or “too big” for them to read. A few patients also indicated that the written information “was not of most needed and most helpful” considering “the patients’ circumstances.” Additional advice for HCPs was about building networks and connections among leukemia survivors. “Establishing and enhancing social networks among leukemia survivors,” either in the form of “social events held in the safe environment” or through social media, along with “facilitating interactions among patients especially those around the same age” was commonly suggested. Further advice was related to the general process of hospitalization and care such as “shortening the wait time” for follow-up visits, providing “home nursing care” and “support for child care,” and “cheaper hospital parking.”

Comparison between 6- and 12-month results (Table 3)

A perception of ongoing improvement in health was commonly expressed by participants at 6- and 12 month interviews. Likewise, side effects reported by participants receiving maintenance chemotherapy 12 months after diagnosis were comparable to complaints reported at 6 months. Nonetheless, the symptoms of “chemobrain” were less severe and markedly less bothersome at 12 months compared to those at 6 months after diagnosis. An additional similarity was advice for future patients and health care providers. However, there was substantial difference in perceived concerns and primary challenges at two time points. Treatment side effects and physical limitations were the main issues for AML survivors 6 months after diagnosis whereas adjustment to psychosocial distress was the major challenge of participants 12 months post-diagnosis.

Discussion

Receiving a diagnosis of AML and undergoing chemotherapy are traumatic experiences burdened with enormous physical and psychological distress [4, 5, 7]. Effective coping strategies are required to adjust to life with AML [24, 25]. However, little is known about longer-term physical and psychosocial consequences of leukemia and commonly employed coping strategies. We explored how physical and psychological

Table 3 Selected quotes illustrating similarities and differences between 6- and 12-month interviews

Themes and subthemes	6 months after IC	12 months after IC
	<p><i>Theme 1. Looking back on mostly positive chemotherapy experiences</i></p> <p>“Accept the treatment and believe that it will help; side effects are not that much unusual and long and HCPs make the treatment as good as they can.” (Participant #210, Male, age 59)</p> <p><i>Theme 2. Continued struggle with regard to health and functioning:</i></p> <p><i>Subtheme 1: Fatigue</i></p> <p>“The absolute fatigue, you know, being out of breath making the bed... washing a few dishes and feeling I had to go and lay down...it's required major changes.” (Participant # 232, Male, age 66)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 2: Loss of confidence in body function in younger adults vs. dizziness in older survivors</i></p> <p>“I don't have all of my strength back yet. Like, certainly my grip strength is not as good and I don't have as much muscle tone in my leg as before.” (Participant # 175, Female, age 52)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 3: Chemobrain</i></p> <p>“I can remember long term things but short term things, I'll go to do something, and I just forget. It's weird. I'll get up and I got up on one end of the kitchen I got up for something and then “why was I”, you know. I do it all the time so, I notice that a lot...short term memory loss or unable to remember stuff.” (Participant # 213, Male, age 50)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 4: Fear of overdoing things in older participants & QOL</i></p> <p>“Quality? Well, as of right today, it wouldn't have much affect right today but it did have effect for the 6 months or so...you know... I'm back pretty good.” (Participant # 180, Male, age 78)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 5: Social/work reintegration in younger participants & QOL</i></p> <p>“I miss my work, you know...it was a busy job but very rewarding...I learned something every day so my brain and the system that we work with it challenged me...so I miss that interaction. Financially too...it has impact the quality of my life because I'm now going on LTD with a reduction in the salary so I'm concerned about that, I'm worried about that because you know I still have a mortgage and everything else, I mean all the other bills still come in.” (Participant # 193, Female, age 59)</p> <p><i>Theme 3. Planning for the future while living with leukemia</i></p> <p>“Now you sort of have a new prospective on life because you may not live as long as you thought it was going to be... I find that it's more that I live for the day and then when the day is over...another day (laughs), you know...So I think that way now I...yes, being for today and not worrying about what's going to happen down the road or what could happen.” (Participant # 213, Male, age 50)</p> <p><i>Theme 4. Advice for future patients</i></p> <p>“Take it 1 day at a time, that's the best way to get through it...not to worry too much about cancer and being sick... keep a positive attitude...if you don't look too far in the future then I think you are better off than worrying about what's going to happen down the road.” (Participant # 213, Male, age 50)</p>	<p><i>Theme 1. Ongoing impact of disease and treatment</i></p> <p><i>Subtheme 1: Improved versus improving health</i></p> <p>“I feel quite good, I feel normal, post-treatment symptoms have disappeared or almost disappeared, overall I feel pretty good.” (Participant # 183, Male, age 58, completed treatment)</p> <p><i>Theme 2. Emotion-and problem- focused coping strategies to restore quality of life:</i></p> <p><i>Subtheme 1: Keep the mind off the negative things</i></p> <p>“I actually prefer to do activities because it doesn't give me the time to think about negative effects. So sort of a catch 22. There are no negative effects as long as I am doing something.” (Participant #198, Male, age 30, completed treatment)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 2: Investing positive value from negative events</i></p> <p>“I think this illness...stopped me for a while and helped me to recalculate again what happened during the last years and start changing my priorities for life. This illness helped me stop... rest and you have to reprioritize, reorder your life again. And...much focuses on my kids and life and enjoy and relax. This kind of thinking helped me to have a peace of mind. Really.” (Participant #178, Female, age 42, ongoing treatment)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 3: vigilance and seeking information versus going with the flow</i></p> <p>“Probably [I am] worried a little more than I should. I associate those [physical symptoms] with getting sick initially. It is always impossible to get rid of what I did, but I rid to focus on the positive and not let the little things that I have noticed consume me.” (Participant #198, Male, age 30, completed treatment)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 4: engaging in positive comparison versus searching for meaning</i></p> <p>“I think this illness stopped me for a while and helped me to recalculate again what happened during the last years and start changing my priorities for life. This illness helped me stop, rest and you have to reprioritize, reorder your life again. And much focus on my kids and life and enjoy and relax. Nothing in life needs to be stress and it is not a competition.” (Participant #178, Female, age 42, ongoing treatment)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 5: Taking direct action: a problem-focused strategy</i></p> <p>“The sun bothers me a lot...the heat affects me very bad and the sun especially when it go through the wind shields. When I go outside I can manage but even when I sit in the car or even having breakfast and it's like not far away from the windows, it's very bad. I have to cover my windows because the rays bother...like vomit. Sun with that medication it doesn't go well. The sun so I have to stay home. I have to cover with cartoons my windows otherwise I vomit. It's bad...it's really bad right now.” (Participant #211, Female, age 53, ongoing treatment)</p> <p><i>Theme 3. Advice for future patients and health care providers</i></p> <p><i>Subtheme 1: Advice for future patients</i></p> <p>“Have a good outlook and think positive and be strong and try to...you know it's hard but try to feel...you want to be strong...you're hoping that everything goes alright with you and keep that positive attitude.” (Participant # 225, Female, age 53, completed treatment)</p> <p><i>Subtheme 2: Advice for health care providers</i></p> <p>“I think patients need reassurance more than anything... [a health care provider] to be on your side and in effect saying that things are working out pretty good...if they are working out good...you know to be there by your side.” (Participant # 234, Male, age 72, ongoing treatment)</p>

impacts of AML change over time in patients undertaking or completed chemotherapy, and identified coping strategies used 12 months after diagnosis by 19 survivors.

We found that treatment side effects continued to compromise physical and psychosocial well-being of participants undergoing maintenance chemotherapy. Conversely, those who completed treatment expressed considerable improvements in physical symptoms and general health. Nevertheless, their psychosocial well-being was impaired by perceived changes in their ability to initiate and maintain relationships and resume former activities. The negative effect of perceived loss of functional and mental abilities on work and social reintegration was also found in leukemia survivors 6 months after diagnosis [7]. Therefore, incorporating psychosocial interventions into survivorship care plans may be warranted to help AML survivors adjust to their limitations and new identity as leukemia survivors. Occupational therapy consultation may also be helpful for those survivors wishing to re-enter the work force.

Furthermore, problem- and emotion-focused coping strategies were employed by participants to deal with the physical and psychosocial burden of AML and chemotherapy. However, more emotion-focused strategies were used than problem-oriented approaches. Additionally, emotion-focused strategies were used to deal with situations appraised as uncontrollable whereas problem-focused tactics were employed to manage treatment side effects evaluated as controllable. This finding is in line with the “goodness of fit” hypothesis that suggests that subjective appraisal and perceived control play a key role in application of problem- or emotion-focused coping strategies [26, 27]. Interventions aimed to enhance adaptation should promote patients’ abilities to effectively use emotion- and problem-focused strategies which best match their appraisal of changeable or unchangeable situations.

Moreover, our findings showed that mental disengagement/distancing and seeking control were the most commonly used emotion-focused coping mechanisms. Interestingly, these strategies were also recommended as advice for future patients living with leukemia. These findings were consistent with our previous results [7]. Although distancing has been identified as a common strategy in previous studies on hematologic and non-hematologic malignancies and leukemia patients undergoing BMT [17, 28], some literature suggests that distancing can impede effective adaptation [29, 30]. Further research is needed to enhance our understanding of mental disengagement at various stages of leukemia survivorship.

Similarly, gaining control has been identified as an essential coping strategy in acute leukemia [10]. However, conflicting findings in the initial phase of leukemia diagnosis and treatment showed that recently diagnosed patients and those undertaking treatment preferred to surrender control to health care providers [7, 9]. While the important role of empowering patients has been emphasized in literature on

adaptation to cancer [31], relinquishing control has been identified as an adaptive response in highly stressful and unknown situations [9]. Given the current inconsistent evidence and the significant role of perceived control in stress, coping, and adaptation [32], further investigation is warranted to identify personal and situational factors contributing to adaptive use of control strategies.

Seeking social support was an additional coping strategy. Although seeking social support has been identified as an important strategy to facilitate adaptation [17, 33, 34], seeking emotional support may not always be useful [35]. Venting of negative emotions and talking about unpleasant experiences may intensify negative mood states through focusing attention on negative feelings [36, 37]. Focusing on distressing emotions can impede adjustment [35]. Therefore, interventions should be planned to help survivors to move forward and beyond the distressing emotions after expressing them in a safe and accepting social environment [35].

In terms of advice for future patients, our participants recommended strategies that could be categorized as “fighting spirit,” in agreement with previous studies on leukemia patients undergoing BMT and found to be associated with improved survival [24]. Advice for HCPs was similar at both 6 and 12 months after diagnosis, and it was mainly focused on improving therapeutic communication, providing support and reassurance, and individualized and person-centered care [7].

The significant strength of this study lies in its longitudinal nature, which allowed us to explore changes in the physical and psychological burden of leukemia over time and investigate the significant role of coping strategies across survivorship. However, our findings are limited to a single tertiary cancer hospital; therefore, they may not be generalizable. Additionally, this study is limited to those who achieved complete remission (CR). Future studies should explore distress and coping in patients unable to achieve CR as well as those who opt for best supportive care or other treatment approaches. Furthermore, the relatively high attrition rate of older leukemia survivors prevented comparison of age groups at 12 months while our results at 6 months did show some differences. Future studies on long-term physical and psychological issues of living with leukemia should consider the potential role of age in affecting perception of emotional distress and use of coping mechanisms.

In conclusion, physical complications of leukemia became less bothersome overtime whereas the emotional burden of illness and treatment continued to unsettle survivors. Coping strategies play an integral role in leukemia survivorship, and interventions to enhance effective coping are crucial to improve adaptation and leukemia survivors’ quality of life.

Acknowledgments The authors gratefully acknowledge the patients who enrolled in the study and the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network and the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society (US) that funded this study.

Compliance with ethical standards

Funding This study was funded by the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (US) (Grant number 6220–12).

Conflict of interest Dr. Alibhai has received the research grant (6220–12) from the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (US). Dr. Alibhai is a Research Scientist of the Canadian Cancer Society. Dr. Martine Puts is supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health New Investigator Award. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have full control of all primary data and agree to allow the journal to review data if requested.

Ethical approval This study has been approved by the Research Ethics Board of the University Health Network Toronto, Canada. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee, the Tri Council Policy Statement- Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans (September 1998), The International Conference on Harmonization: Good Clinical Practice (ICH:E6), Health Canada's Food & Drug Regulations, Personal Health Information Protection Act (PHIPA) 2002, Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (PIPEDA) 2004, US Department of Health and Human Services: Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) 2007, US Department of Health and Human Services FDA Guidance for Clinical Investigators, Sponsors, and IRBs - Adverse Event Reporting to IRB (2009), and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Appendix

Topic guide for survivorship study second interview at 12 months

Dear Mr./Mrs./Ms...

You have been participating in the “QOL and physical function in long-term AML survivors” study, for which we would like to thank you very much. We have previously talked about the consequences of the treatment of AML on your health and daily activities shortly after you completed treatment. It's now been about 6 months since we met. We would like to talk about how you have been managing in the interim, to better understand the long-term effects of the treatments on your overall well-being. The interview will be taped so that the interview will be quicker but also so that we do not miss any important information you may wish to tell us. All of the information you give me today will be anonymous. Do you have any questions before we start?

1. Could you describe how you are currently doing in terms of health since we talked last?
2. Have you received any health care treatment such as surgery, medications or other things since the previous interview about 6 months ago?
3. As a result of the cancer treatment you received, are there any consequences on your daily functioning that you still experience today?
4. (In case they have multiple issues) could you describe which of the effects of treatment are the most bothersome, and could you describe why they are the most bothersome?

5. Have you been able to get back to your previous activities prior to being diagnosed with AML (e.g., work, school, or hobbies)? Did you have to make any changes to what you used to do?
6. How do these negative effects of treatment impact your daily activities? Has there been a change in your daily activities since we last saw each other? And if there has been a change, could you explain how your activities have changed? Could you describe your activities that you do in an average day?
7. Could you describe what impact these treatment effects have had on your quality of life?
8. Are there any things you do/have done to reduce these negative effects of treatment?
9. Looking back on the period when you were receiving your cancer treatment, is there anything health care providers can do for patients like you to help reduce the long-term effects of treatment on your daily activities and quality of life?
10. Looking back on the period when you were receiving your cancer treatment, is there any advice that you would give to persons starting the same treatment, to help them cope better with the treatment and reduce the impact of the treatment on their quality of life?
11. In what way has having AML and going through treatment affected your view of your future?
12. Are there things that you would like us to know about living with the consequences of the treatment, that we did not ask you about?

Thank you very much for your participation in this study. May we contact you (by phone/in person) in a few weeks' time to go over the results together, to make sure we have understood and interpreted your experiences correctly?

References

1. Alibhai SMH et al (2015) Quality of life and physical function in adults treated with intensive chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia improve over time independent of age. *J Geriatr Oncol*
2. Saini L, Alibhai SM, Brandwein JM (2011) Quality of life issues in elderly acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Aging Health* 7(3):477–490
3. Burnett AK et al (2010) Attempts to optimize induction and consolidation treatment in acute myeloid leukemia: results of the MRC AML12 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 28(4):586–595
4. Rodin G et al (2013) Traumatic stress in acute leukemia. *Psycho-Oncology* 22(2):299–307
5. Zimmermann C et al (2013) Symptom burden and supportive care in patients with acute leukemia. *Leuk Res* 37(7):731–736
6. Zittoun R, Achard S, Ruzsiewicz M (1999) Assessment of quality of life during intensive chemotherapy or bone marrow transplantation. *Psycho-Oncology* 8(1):64–73
7. Ghodrati-Jabloo V et al (2015) One day at a time: improving the patient experience during and after intensive chemotherapy for younger and older AML patients. *Leuk Res* 39(2):192–197
8. Schumacher A et al (1998) Quality of life in adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia receiving intensive and prolonged chemotherapy—a longitudinal study. *Leukemia* 12(4):586–592
9. Nissim R et al (2013) Abducted by the illness: a qualitative study of traumatic stress in individuals with acute leukemia. *Leuk Res* 37(5): 496–502
10. Papadopoulou C, Johnston B, Themessl-Huber M (2013) The experience of acute leukaemia in adult patients: a qualitative thematic synthesis. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 17(5):640–648

11. Farsi Z, Nayeri ND, Negarandeh R (2012) The coping process in adults with acute leukemia undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Nurs Res* 20(2):99–109
12. Nissim R et al (2014) Finding new bearings: a qualitative study on the transition from inpatient to ambulatory care of patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Support Care Cancer* 22(9):2435–2443
13. Lazarus RS, Folkman S (1984) *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer Publishing Company, New York
14. Galić S, Glavić Ž, Cesarik M (2014) Stress and quality of life in patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *Acta Clin Croat* 53(3):279–290
15. Van Laarhoven HWM et al (2011) Coping, quality of life, depression, and hopelessness in cancer patients in a curative and palliative, end-of-life care setting. *Cancer Nurs* 34(4):302–314
16. Dunn J et al (2006) Dimensions of quality of life and psychosocial variables most salient to colorectal cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology* 15(1):20–30
17. Farsi Z, Dehghan Nayeri N, Negarandeh R (2010) Coping strategies of adults with leukemia undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in Iran: a qualitative study. *Nurs Health Sci* 12(4):485–492
18. Corbin J, Strauss A (2008) *Basics of qualitative research*, 3rd edn. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks
19. Strauss A, Corbin J (1998) *Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory*, 2nd edn. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks
20. Creswell JW (2013) *Qualitative inquiry research design: choosing among five approaches*. Third Edition ed. Sage Publications, Inc
21. Patton MQ (ed) (1990) *Qualitative evaluation and research methods*, 2nd edn. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks
22. Ganz PA (2006) Monitoring the physical health of cancer survivors: a survivorship-focused medical history. *J Clin Oncol* 24(32):5105–5111
23. Strauss A, Corbin J (1990) *Basics of qualitative research*. Sage Publications, Newbury Park
24. Tschuschke V et al (2001) Associations between coping and survival time of adult leukemia patients receiving allogeneic bone marrow transplantation results of a prospective study. *J Psychosom Res* 50(5):277–285
25. Berterö C, Ek AC (1993) Quality of life of adults with acute leukaemia. *J Adv Nurs* 18(9):1346–1353
26. Park CL, Folkman S, Bostrom A (2001) Appraisals of controllability and coping in caregivers and HIV+ men: testing the goodness-of-fit hypothesis. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 69(3):481–488
27. Park CL, Sacco SJ, Edmondson D (2012) Expanding coping goodness-of-fit: religious coping, health locus of control, and depressed affect in heart failure patients. *Anxiety Stress Coping* 25(2):137–153
28. Dunkel-Schetter C et al (1992) Patterns of coping with cancer. *Health Psychol* 11(2):79–87
29. Cronkite RC, Moos RH (1984) The role of predisposing and moderating factors in these stress-illness relationship. *J Health Soc Behav* 25(4):372–393
30. Aldwin CM, Revenson TA (1987) Does coping help? A reexamination of the relation between coping and mental health. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 53(2):337–348
31. Tariman JD et al (2010) Preferred and actual participation roles during health care decision making in persons with cancer: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol* 21(6):1145–1151
32. Folkman S (1984) Personal control and stress and coping processes: a theoretical analysis. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 46(4):839–852
33. Cooke L et al (2009) Psychological issues of stem cell transplant. *Semin Oncol Nurs* 25(2):139–150
34. Grulke N et al (2005) Coping and survival in patients with leukemia undergoing allogeneic bone marrow transplantation - long-term follow-up of a prospective study. *J Psychosom Res* 59(5):337–346
35. Carver CS, Scheier MF, Weintraub KJ (1989) Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 56(2):267–283
36. Costanza RS, Derlega VJ, Winstead BA (1988) Positive and negative forms of social support: effects of conversational topics on coping with stress among same-sex friends. *J Exp Soc Psychol* 24(2):182–193
37. Archer RL, Hormuth SE, Berg JH (1982) Avoidance of self-disclosure: an experiment under conditions of self-awareness. *Personal Soc Psychol Bull* 8(1):122–128