



# Multiplicative derivations and $d$ -filters of commutative residuated lattices

Michiro Kondo<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 9 March 2020  
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider some properties of multiplicative derivations and  $d$ -filters of commutative residuated lattices and show that, for an ideal derivation  $d$  of a residuated lattice  $L = (L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ , (1) the set  $\text{Fix}_d(L) = (\text{Fix}_d(L), \wedge, \vee, \odot, \mapsto, 0, d1)$  of all fixed points of  $d$  forms a residuated lattice and  $d$  is a homomorphism from  $L$  to  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$ , (2) for a  $d$ -filter  $F$ , a map  $d/F : L/F \rightarrow L/F$  defined by  $(d/F)(x/F) = dx/F$  is also an ideal derivation of  $L/F$  and (3) two quotient residuated lattices  $\text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F)$  and  $\text{Fix}_d(L)/d(F)$  are isomorphic as residuated lattices, that is,  $\text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F) \cong \text{Fix}_d(L)/d(F)$ .

**Keywords** (Multiplicative) derivations · Ideal derivations · Monotone · Residuated lattices

## 1 Introduction

A notion of derivation whose origin is in analysis has been applied to theory of algebras with two operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$ , especially to the theory of rings (Posner 1957). For an algebra  $A = (A, +, \cdot)$ , a map  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is called a derivation in Posner (1957) if it satisfies the conditions: For all  $x, y \in A$ ,

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$
$$f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot y + x \cdot f(y).$$

It was applied to the theory of lattices (Ferrari 2001; Szász 1975; Xin et al. 2008), where operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  were interpreted as lattice operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$ , respectively. In particular, it has been proven in Szász (1975) that if  $f$  is a derivation of a bounded lattice  $L$ , that is,  $f$  satisfies two conditions:

$$f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$$
$$f(x \wedge y) = (f(x) \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge f(y)),$$

then  $f$  just has a form  $f(x) = x \wedge f(1)$ . Since then, every type of derivations is defined as a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying only a condition:

$$f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot y + x \cdot f(y),$$

where  $(X, +, \cdot)$  is an algebra. Thus, in the case of lattices, a derivation  $f$  of a lattice  $L$  is defined a map  $f : L \rightarrow L$  satisfying the condition:

$$f(x \wedge y) = (f(x) \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge f(y)).$$

By the use of derivations of lattices, characterization theorems of distributive lattices and of modular lattices were proven in [6]:

Let  $L$  be a lattice and  $f$  a derivation.

- (1) The condition that  $f$  is monotone  $\Leftrightarrow f(f(x) \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) (\forall x, y \in L)$  holds if and only if  $L$  is a modular lattice.
- (2) The condition that  $f$  is monotone  $\Leftrightarrow f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) (\forall x, y \in L)$  holds if and only if  $L$  is a distributive lattice.

Further, it is also applied to other algebras, such as MV algebras (Alshehri 2010; Ghorbani et al. 2013; Yazarli 2013), where operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  were interpreted as  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$ , respectively. In He et al. (2016), another type of derivations, multiplicative derivations, are defined on residuated

Communicated by A. Di Nola.

✉ Michiro Kondo  
mkondo@mail.dendai.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup> Tokyo Denki University, Tokyo, Japan

lattices, where operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are interpreted as  $\vee$  and  $\odot$ , respectively. By this definition of derivations, we have several interesting properties about residuated lattices. For example, in He et al. (2016), it is shown that if  $d$  is an ideal derivation of a residuated lattice  $L$ , then the set  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  of all fixed elements formed a residuated lattice. Thus, it is expectable for residuated lattices with multiplicative derivations to have other deeper properties. Indeed, we show some interesting properties here, for example, for every residuated lattice  $L$  with an ideal multiplicative derivation  $d$ , the set  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  is isomorphic to  $L/\ker(d)$  and hence  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  is a residuated lattice.

In this paper, we show the following results. Let  $L$  be a commutative residuated lattice and  $d$  be an ideal derivation of it. Then, we have

- (1) The set  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  of all fixed points of  $d$  forms a residuated lattice and  $L/\ker(d) \cong \text{Fix}_d(L)$ ;
- (2) A map  $d/F : L/F \rightarrow L/F$  defined by  $(d/F)(x/F) = dx/F$  is also an ideal derivation of  $L/F$ ;
- (3) The quotient residuated lattices  $\text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F)$  and  $\text{Fix}_d(L)/d(F)$  are isomorphic, namely  $\text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F) \cong \text{Fix}_d(L)/d(F)$ .

We also show the characterization theorem of  $d$ -filters, which says that for an ideal derivation  $d$  and a non-empty subset  $S$ , the smallest  $d$ -filter containing  $S$  is identical with the filter containing  $S \cup d(S)$ , that is,  $[S]_d = [S \cup d(S)]$ .

## 2 Derivations of residuated lattices

We recall a definition of bounded integral commutative residuated lattices (Galatos et al. 2007; Ward and Dilworth 1939). An algebraic structure  $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  is called a bounded integral commutative residuated lattice (simply called a *residuated lattice*) if

- (1)  $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$  is a bounded lattice;
- (2)  $(L, \odot, 1)$  is a commutative monoid with unit element 1;
- (3) For all  $x, y, z \in L$ ,  $x \odot y \leq z$  if and only if  $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ .

For all  $x \in L$ , by  $x'$ , we mean  $x' = x \rightarrow 0$ , which is a negation in a sense.

In what follows, let  $L = (L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  be a residuated lattice. An element  $x \in L$  is called *complemented* if there exists an element  $y \in L$  such that  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $x \vee y = 1$ . By  $B(L)$ , we mean the set of all complemented elements of  $L$ , i.e.,

$$B(L) = \{x \in L \mid \exists y \in L \text{ s.t. } x \wedge y = 0, x \vee y = 1\}.$$

It is easy to show the following results, so we omit their proofs.

**Proposition 1** (Galatos et al. 2007) *For a residuated lattice  $L$ , we have*

- (1)  $x \in B(L)$  if and only if  $x \vee x' = 1$ ;
- (2) If  $x \in B(L)$ , then  $x \wedge y = x \odot y$  for all  $y \in L$ ;
- (3) If  $x \oplus y = x \vee y$  for all  $y \in L$ , then  $x \vee x' = 1$ , where  $x \oplus y = (x' \odot y)'$ ;
- (4)  $B(L)$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $L$ .

We note that  $a \odot (a \rightarrow x) = a \odot x$  for all  $a \in B(L)$  and  $x \in L$ , because, since  $a \in B(L)$  and  $a \odot (a \rightarrow x) \leq x$ , we have  $a \odot (a \rightarrow x) = a \odot a \odot (a \rightarrow x) \leq a \odot x$ . Conversely, it follows from  $x \leq a \rightarrow x$  that  $a \odot x \leq a \odot (a \rightarrow x)$ . Therefore, we get  $a \odot (a \rightarrow x) = a \odot x$  for all  $a \in B(L)$  and  $x \in L$ .

We have the following basic properties of residuated lattices (Galatos et al. 2007).

**Proposition 2** *For all  $x, y, z \in L$ , we have*

- (1)  $0' = 1, 1' = 0$ ;
- (2)  $x \odot x' = 0$ ;
- (3)  $x \leq y \iff x \rightarrow y = 1$ ;
- (4)  $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq y$ ;
- (5)  $x \leq y \implies x \odot z \leq y \odot z, z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z$ ;
- (6)  $1 \rightarrow x = x$ ;
- (7)  $(x \vee y) \odot z = (x \odot z) \vee (y \odot z)$ ;
- (8)  $(x \vee y)' = x' \wedge y'$ ;
- (9)  $(x'' \odot y'')'' = (x \odot y'')'' = (x \odot y)''$ .

We define derivations of residuated lattices according to He et al. (2016). A map  $d : L \rightarrow L$  is called a *multiplicative derivation* (or simply *derivation* here) of  $L$  if it satisfies the condition:

$$d(x \wedge y) = (dx \odot y) \vee (x \odot dy) \quad (\forall x, y \in L).$$

We simply denote  $dx$  instead of  $d(x)$ . A derivation  $d$  is called *monotone*; if  $x \leq y$ , then  $dx \leq dy$ , that is,  $d$  is order-preserving. A derivation  $d$  is *good* when  $d1 \in B(L)$ . If a derivation  $d$  is monotone and good, then it is said to be *ideal*. We note that any multiplicative derivation  $d$  is *contractive*,  $dx \leq x$  for all  $x \in L$ , because we have  $dx = d(x \wedge x) = (dx \odot x) \vee (x \odot dx) = dx \odot x \leq x$ . This result was not referred in He et al. (2016).

**Example** Let  $X = \{0, a, 1\}$  with  $0 < a < 1$  be a residuated lattice if we define  $x \wedge y = x \odot y = \min\{x, y\}$ ,  $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$  and

$$x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{if } x \leq y) \\ y & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

A map  $d_a$  defined by  $d_a(x) = x \wedge a$  for all  $x \in X$  is a monotone derivation, but not good. As another example of derivation, we have  $f : X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $f1 = 0 = f0$ ,  $fa = a$ . It is easy to show that  $f$  is a good derivation, but it is not monotone.

We have fundamental results about derivations of residuated lattices.

**Proposition 3** (He et al. 2016) *Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $L$ . For all  $x, y \in L$ ,*

- (1)  $d0 = 0$ ;
- (2)  $dx \geq x \odot d1$ ;
- (3)  $dx^n = x^{n-1} \odot dx$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (4) If  $x \odot y = 0$  then  $dx \odot y = x \odot dy = dx \odot dy = 0$ ;
- (5)  $d(x') \leq (dx)'$ .

In He et al. (2016), the following characterization theorem about an ideal derivation was proven.

**Theorem 1** (He et al. 2016) *Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $L$  and  $d1 \in B(L)$ . Then, the following are equivalent: for all  $x, y \in L$ ,*

- (1)  $d$  is an ideal derivation;
- (2)  $dx \leq d1$ ;
- (3)  $dx = x \odot d1 = x \wedge d1$ ;
- (4)  $d(x \wedge y) = dx \wedge dy$ ;
- (5)  $d(x \vee y) = dx \vee dy$ ;
- (6)  $d(x \odot y) = dx \odot dy$ .

From the above, we see that  $dx = d(dx)$  for all  $x \in L$  for an ideal derivation  $d$ , that is,  $d = d^2$ , because, since  $d$  is the ideal derivation, we have  $dx = x \wedge d1$  and thus  $d^2x = d(dx) = d(x \wedge d1) = (x \wedge d1) \wedge d1 = x \wedge d1 = dx$  for all  $x \in L$ . This means that  $d^2 = d$ .

For a derivation  $d$  of  $L$ , we denote by  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  the set of all fixed elements of  $L$  for  $d$ , that is,  $\text{Fix}_d(L) = \{x \in L \mid dx = x\}$ .

It is easy to show the next result; hence, we omit its proof.

**Proposition 4** *For an ideal derivation  $d$  of a residuated lattice  $L$ , we have  $\text{Fix}_d(L) = d(L)$ .*

**Lemma 1** *Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation. Then, we have  $d(dx \rightarrow dy) = d(x \rightarrow y)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $d$  is an ideal derivation. Since  $dz = z \odot d1 = z \wedge d1$  for all  $z \in L$ , we have

$$d(dx \rightarrow dy) = (dx \rightarrow dy) \odot d1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (x \wedge d1 \rightarrow y \wedge d1) \odot d1 \\ &= \{(x \wedge d1 \rightarrow y) \wedge (x \wedge d1 \rightarrow d1)\} \odot d1 \\ &= (x \wedge d1 \rightarrow y) \odot d1 \\ &= d1 \odot (d1 \odot x \rightarrow y) \\ &= d1 \odot (d1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \\ &= d1 \odot (x \rightarrow y) \quad (d1 \in B(L)) \\ &= d(x \rightarrow y). \end{aligned}$$

□

It follows from the characterization theorem about ideal derivations and above that we define some operations in  $\text{Fix}_d(L) = d(L)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} dx \sqcap dy &= d(x \wedge y); \\ dx \sqcup dy &= d(x \vee y); \\ dx \sqbox dy &= d(x \odot y); \\ dx \mapsto dy &= d(dx \rightarrow dy). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

**Theorem 2** *Let  $L$  be a residuated lattice and  $d$  be an ideal derivation of  $L$ . Then,  $\text{Fix}_d(L) = (\text{Fix}_d(L), \sqcap, \sqcup, \sqbox, \mapsto, 0, d1)$  is a residuated lattice. However, it is not a subalgebra of  $L$  in general.*

**Proof** We only show that  $dx \odot dy \leq dz$  if and only if  $dx \leq dy \mapsto dz$  for all  $dx, dy, dz \in d(L) = \text{Fix}_d(L)$ . If  $dx \odot dy \leq dz$ , then we have  $dx \leq dy \rightarrow dz$  and thus  $dx = d(dx) \leq d(dy \rightarrow dz) = dy \mapsto dz$ . Conversely, suppose that  $dx \leq dy \mapsto dz = d(dy \rightarrow dz)$ . Since  $d$  is contractive, we have  $d(dy \rightarrow dz) \leq dy \rightarrow dz$  and hence  $dx \leq dy \rightarrow dz$ . This yields  $dx \odot dy \leq dz$ . □

**Remark 1** We note that the theorem above was already proven as Theorem 3.15 in He et al. (2016), where the meet operation  $\sqcap$  is defined by  $d(dx \wedge dy)$ .

We have proved above that  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  is a residuated lattice for an ideal derivation  $d$ . Moreover, we see that any ideal derivation  $d$  is a homomorphism from  $L$  to  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$ , since  $d(x \rightarrow y) = d(dx \rightarrow dy) = dx \mapsto dy$ . The other cases such as  $d(x \wedge y)$  also can be proved easily. Therefore,  $d$  is the homomorphism from  $L$  to  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$ . It follows from the homomorphism theorem of residuated lattices that  $L/\ker(d) \cong \text{Fix}_d(L)$ , where  $\ker(d) = \{(x, y) \mid dx = dy\}$ .

**Theorem 3** *For every ideal derivation  $d$ , it is a homomorphism from  $L$  to  $\text{Fix}_d(L)$  and hence*

$$L/\ker(d) \cong \text{Fix}_d(L).$$

### 3 Galois connection of derivations

In this section, we consider Galois connections of derivations. Let  $P, Q$  be partially ordered sets and  $f, g$  be maps,  $f : P \rightarrow Q, g : Q \rightarrow P$ . A pair  $(f, g)$  of maps is called a *Galois connection* if

$$f(x) \leq_Q y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_P g(y) \quad (\forall x \in P, \forall y \in Q).$$

A Galois connection  $(f, g)$  is simply denoted by  $f \dashv g$ .

Let  $L$  be a residuated lattice and  $d$  be an ideal derivation of  $L$ . By the characterization theorem of ideal derivations,  $d$  has the form  $dx = x \odot d1 = x \wedge d1$ . In this case, we may ask

“Is there a map  $g$  such that  $d \dashv g$ ?”

We have an affirmative solution as follows.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation. There exists an ideal derivation  $g : L \rightarrow L$  such that  $d \dashv g$ . Moreover,  $g$  is idempotent.*

**Proof** We define  $gx = d1 \rightarrow x$  for all  $x \in L$ . It is obvious that  $dx = x \odot d1 \leq y$  if and only if  $x \leq d1 \rightarrow y = gy$ , that is,  $dx \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \leq gy$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . Moreover, since  $g(gx) = d1 \rightarrow (d1 \rightarrow x) = d1 \odot d1 \rightarrow x = d1 \rightarrow x = gx$ ,  $g$  is idempotent.  $\square$

From the general theory of idempotent Galois connection, that is,  $f \dashv g, f^2 = f$  and  $g^2 = g$  that two subsets  $F_f = \{x \in L \mid fx = x\}$  and  $F_g = \{x \in L \mid gx = x\}$  are isomorphic as partially ordered sets. Hence, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5** *For an ideal derivation  $d, \text{Fix}_d(L) = F_d(L) \cong F_g(L) = \text{Fix}_g(L)$  as partially ordered sets.*

### 4 $d$ -filter and its characterization

We define a filter of a residuated lattice which plays an important role in this paper. Let  $F$  be a non-empty subset of  $L$ . We call  $F$  a *filter* of  $L$  if it satisfies the following conditions: For all  $x, y \in L$ ,

- (F1) if  $x, y \in F$ , then  $x \odot y \in F$ ;
- (F2) if  $x \in F$  and  $x \leq y$ , then  $y \in F$ .

Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation of  $L$ . A filter  $F$  of  $L$  is called an *ideal derivation filter* (simply  $d$ -filter here) if  $x \in F$  implies  $dx \in F$  for all  $x \in L$ . By  $\mathcal{F}(L)$  (or  $\mathcal{F}_d(L)$ ), we mean the set of all filters (or  $d$ -filters) of  $L$ . By  $[S]$  (or  $[S]_d$ ), we mean the generated filter (or generated  $d$ -filter, respectively) by  $S$ . At first, we provide a characterization theorem about  $d$ -filters.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation of  $L$ . For a non-empty subset  $S$  of  $L$ , we have  $[S]_d = [S \cup d(S)]$ .*

**Proof** It is sufficient to show that  $[S \cup d(S)]$  is the least  $d$ -filter including  $S$ . It is obvious  $S \subseteq [S \cup d(S)]$ . We show that  $[S \cup d(S)]$  is a  $d$ -filter, that is, if  $x \in [S \cup d(S)]$ , then  $dx \in [S \cup d(S)]$ . Suppose that  $x \in [S \cup d(S)]$ . There exist  $a_i, b_j \in S$  such that  $a_1 \odot \cdots \odot a_m \odot db_1 \odot \cdots \odot db_n \leq x$ . Since  $d$  is the ideal derivation, we have  $da_1 \odot \cdots \odot da_m \odot db_1 \odot \cdots \odot db_n = da_1 \odot \cdots \odot da_m \odot d(db_1) \odot \cdots \odot d(db_n) = d(a_1 \odot \cdots \odot a_m \odot db_1 \odot \cdots \odot db_n) \leq dx$ . It follows from  $da_i, db_j \in d(S) \subseteq S \cup d(S)$  that  $dx \in [S \cup d(S)]$ . Therefore,  $[S \cup d(S)]$  is the  $d$ -filter. Lastly, for any  $d$ -filter  $F$  including  $S$ , we have  $d(S) \subseteq d(F) \subseteq F$  and hence  $S \cup d(S) \subseteq F$ . This implies  $[S \cup d(S)] \subseteq F$ . Therefore,  $[S \cup d(S)]$  is the least  $d$ -filter containing  $S$  and thus  $[S]_d = [S \cup d(S)]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1** (Theorem 3.19 He et al. 2016) *The class  $\mathcal{F}_d(L)$  of all  $d$ -filters forms a complete Heyting subalgebra of the class  $\mathcal{F}(L)$  of all filters of a residuated lattice  $L$ .*

**Corollary 2** *If  $F$  is a filter, then  $[F]_d = [d(F)]$ .*

**Corollary 3** *For any  $F \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ ,  $F$  is a  $d$ -filter if and only if  $F = [d(F)]$ .*

**Proof** It is easy to show that if  $F$  is a  $d$ -filter, then  $F = [F]_d = [d(F)]$ . Conversely, suppose that  $x \in F = [d(F)]$ . There exist  $a_i \in F$  such that  $da_1 \odot \cdots \odot da_n \leq x$ . If we take  $a = a_1 \odot \cdots \odot a_n \in F$ , then  $da \in d(F)$ . Moreover, we have  $da = d(a_1 \odot \cdots \odot a_n) = da_1 \odot \cdots \odot da_n \leq x$  and thus  $da = d(da) \leq dx$ . This implies that  $dx \in [d(F)] = F$ , namely  $F$  is the  $d$ -filter.  $\square$

**Proposition 5** *Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation of  $L$ . Then, we have*

- (1)  $F \in \mathcal{F}_d(L) \Rightarrow d(F) \in \mathcal{F}(d(L))$ ;
- (2)  $G \in \mathcal{F}(d(L)) \Rightarrow d^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{F}_d(L)$ , where  $d^{-1}(G) = \{x \in L \mid dx \in G\}$ .

**Proof** (1) If  $dx, dy \in d(F)$ , ( $x, y \in F$ ) then, since  $x \odot y \in F$  and  $d$  is the ideal derivation, we have  $dx \odot dy = d(x \odot y) \in d(F)$ . Moreover, if  $dx \in d(F)$  for  $x \in F$  and  $dx \leq dy$ , then  $dx \in F$  and thus  $dy \in F$ . Then,  $dy = d(dy) \in d(F)$ . Therefore,  $d(F)$  is a filter of  $d(L)$ , that is,  $d(F) \in \mathcal{F}(d(L))$ .

(2) Let  $G$  be a filter of  $d(L)$ . For all  $x, y \in d^{-1}(G)$ , since  $dx, dy \in G$ , we get  $dx \odot dy = d(x \odot y) \in G$  and  $x \odot y \in d^{-1}(G)$ . Next, suppose that  $x \in d^{-1}(G)$  and  $x \leq y$ . It follows from  $dx \in G$  and  $dy \leq dx$  that  $dy \in G$  and hence that  $y \in d^{-1}(G)$ . Lastly, if  $x \in d^{-1}(G)$ , then  $dx \in G$  and  $d(dx) = dx \in G$ . This implies  $dx \in d^{-1}(G)$ . Therefore,  $d^{-1}(G)$  is the  $d$ -filter, that is,  $d^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{F}_d(L)$ .  $\square$

For a filter  $F$ , we define a quotient structure  $L/F$ , where  $x/F = y/F$  is defined by  $x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x \in F$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . Since the set of all residuated lattices forms a variety, the quotient algebra  $L/F$  by a filter  $F$  is also a residuated lattice.

**Proposition 6** *Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation and  $F$  be a  $d$ -filter of  $L$ . If we define a map  $d/F : L/F \rightarrow L/F$  by  $(d/F)(x/F) = dx/F$  for all  $x/F \in L/F$ , then  $d/F$  is an ideal derivation of  $L/F$ .*

**Proof** At first, we show that  $d/F$  is well defined. Suppose that  $x/F = y/F$ . Since  $x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x \in F (\in \mathcal{F}_d(L))$ , we have  $d(x \rightarrow y), d(y \rightarrow x) \in F$ . It follows from  $d(x \rightarrow y) \leq dx \rightarrow dy, d(y \rightarrow x) \leq dy \rightarrow dx$  that  $dx \rightarrow dy, dy \rightarrow dx \in F$ . This means that  $(d/F)(x/F) = dx/F = dy/F = (d/F)(y/F)$  and hence that  $d/F$  is well defined. It is easy to show that  $d/F$  is a good derivation of the residuated lattice  $L/F$ . It is sufficient to show that  $d/F$  is monotone. Suppose that  $x/F \leq y/F$ . Since  $x \rightarrow y \in F$  and  $F$  is the  $d$ -filter, we have  $d(x \rightarrow y) \in F$  and  $dx \rightarrow dy \in F$  because of  $d(x \rightarrow y) \leq dx \rightarrow dy$ . This implies  $dx/F \leq dy/F$  and hence  $(d/F)(x/F) = dx/F \leq dy/F = (d/F)(y/F)$ , namely  $d/F$  is monotone.  $\square$

We note that  $d1/F = 1/F$ , because, since  $F$  is the  $d$ -filter, the fact  $1 \in F$  implies  $d1 \in F$ , that is,  $d1/F = 1/F$ . It follows from the above that the quotient structure  $(d/F)(L/F) = \text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F) = (\text{Fix}_d(L/F), \wedge, \vee, \odot, \mapsto, 0/F, 1/F)$  is also a residuated lattice for any ideal derivation  $d$  and  $d$ -filter  $F$ . Moreover, since  $F$  is the  $d$ -filter,  $d(F)$  is the filter of  $d(L)$  and thus the quotient structure  $d(L)/d(F)$  forms a residuated lattice. It is natural to ask what the relation between two residuated lattices  $(d/F)(L/F)$  and  $d(L)/d(F)$  is. In order to answer the question, we need the following result.

**Lemma 2** *If  $F \in \mathcal{F}_d(L)$ , then we have  $F \cap d(L) = d(F)$ .*

**Proof** For  $x \in F \cap d(L)$ , since  $x \in d(L) = \text{Fix}_d(L)$ , we have  $x = dx \in d(F)$  and  $F \cap d(L) \subseteq d(F)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $x \in d(F)$ . There exists  $y \in F$  such that  $x = dy$ . Since  $F$  is the  $d$ -filter, we get  $x = dy \in F$ . On the other hand, it follows from  $dx = d(dy) = dy = x$  that  $x \in \text{Fix}_d(L) = d(L)$  and  $d(F) \subseteq F \cap d(L)$ . Therefore,  $F \cap d(L) = d(F)$ .  $\square$

From the above, we have a following result which answers the question.

**Theorem 7** *Let  $d$  be an ideal derivation and  $F$  be a  $d$ -filter of  $L$ . Then, we have  $(d/F)(L/F) = \text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F)$  is isomorphic to  $d(L)/d(F)$ , that is,*

$$(d/F)(L/F) = \text{Fix}_{d/F}(L/F) \cong d(L)/d(F).$$

**Proof** We define a map  $\Phi : (d/F)(L/F) \rightarrow d(L)/d(F)$  by  $\Phi((d/F)(x/F)) = dx/d(F)$ . We show that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism. We only show that the map  $\Phi$  is well defined and injective. At first,  $\Phi$  is well defined, because we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d/F)(x/F) &= (d/F)(y/F) \\ &\Rightarrow dx/F = dy/F \\ &\Rightarrow dx \rightarrow dy, dy \rightarrow dx \in F \\ &\Rightarrow d(dx \rightarrow dy), d(dy \rightarrow dx) \in d(F) \\ &\Rightarrow dx \mapsto dy, dy \mapsto dx \in d(F) \\ &\Rightarrow dx/d(F) = dy/d(F). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\Phi$  is well defined.

Suppose  $\Phi((d/F)(x/F)) = \Phi((d/F)(y/F))$ . Since  $dx/d(F) = dy/d(F)$ , we have  $dx \mapsto dy, dy \mapsto dx \in d(F)$  and thus  $d(dx \rightarrow dy), d(dy \rightarrow dx) \in d(F) = F \cap d(L)$ . This implies  $d(dx \rightarrow dy), d(dy \rightarrow dx) \in F$ . Since  $d$  is contractive, we also get  $d(dx \rightarrow dy) \leq dx \rightarrow dy, d(dy \rightarrow dx) \leq dy \rightarrow dx$  and  $dx \rightarrow dy, dy \rightarrow dx \in F$ . Therefore,  $dx/F = dy/F$  and  $(d/F)(x/F) = dx/F = dy/F = (d/F)(y/F)$ , namely  $\Phi$  is injective.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K00024.

### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

### References

Alshehri NO (2010) Derivations of MV-algebras. *Int J Math Math Sci* 2010, Article ID 312027, 7 pp

Ferrari L (2001) On derivations of lattices. *Pure Math Appl* 12:365–382

Galatos N, Jipsen P, Kowalski T, Ono H (2007) Residuated lattices: an algebraic glimpse at substructural logics. In: *Studies in logic and the foundations of mathematics*, vol 151. Elsevier, Amsterdam

Ghorbani S, Torkzadeh L, Motamed S (2013)  $(\odot, \oplus)$ -Derivations and  $(\ominus, \odot)$ -derivations on MV-algebras. *Iran J Math Sci Inform* 8:75–90

He P, Xin X, Zhan J (2016) On derivations and their fixed point sets in residuated lattices. *Fuzzy Sets Syst* 303:97–113

Kawaguchi MF, Kondo M Some properties on derivations of lattices, Submitted

Posner E (1957) Derivations in prime rings. *Proc Am Math Soc* 8:1093–1100

Szász G (1975) Derivations of lattices. *Acta Sci Math (Szeged)* 37:149–154

Xin XL, Li TY, Lu JH (2008) On derivations of lattices. *Inf Sci* 178:307–316

Yazarli H (2013) A note on derivations in MV-algebras. *Miskolc Math Notes* 14:345–354

Ward M, Dilworth RP (1939) Residuated lattices. *Trans Am Math Soc* 45:335–354

**Publisher’s Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.