METHODOLOGIES AND APPLICATION



Reliability analysis of general systems with bi-uncertain variables

Zhaocai Liu¹ · Linmin Hu¹ · Sijia Liu¹ · Yuyu Wang²

Published online: 10 September 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

In this paper, the lifetimes of system components are assumed to have independent and nonidentical uncertainty distributions with uncertain parameters. The reliability functions and mean time to failure of the general systems are investigated according to the uncertainty theory. Basic models of the general systems with bi-uncertain variables are established and analyzed, including series, parallel and series–parallel systems. The explicit expressions of reliability function and mean time to failure of each model are presented. Some numerical examples are given to illustrate the applications of the developed models and perform a comparison for the models with uncertain and bi-uncertain variables.

Keywords Uncertainty theory \cdot Uncertainty distribution \cdot Bi-uncertain variable \cdot Reliability \cdot Mean time to failure

List of symp	aals	$P_{\cdot,\cdot}^*(\cdot,t)$	Uncertain reliability variable of component
		\mathbf{K}_{ij} (•, <i>i</i>)	i of subsystem A
JVL	Uncertain measure		f of subsystem A_i
\vee	Maximum operator	$R_{A_i}^*(\cdot;t)$	Uncertain reliability variable of subsystem
\wedge	Minimum operator		A_i
ξi	Lifetime of component <i>i</i> in series system,	$\Phi_i(\ \cdot\ ;t)$	Uncertainty distribution of component life-
	$i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$		time ξ_i in series system
ξi	Lifetime of component <i>j</i> in parallel system,	$\Phi_j(\cdot;t)$	Uncertainty distribution of component life-
5	$j = 1, 2, \dots, m$		time ξ_i in parallel system
ξii	Lifetime of component <i>j</i> for subsystem A_i ,	$\Phi_{ij}(\ \cdot\ ;t)$	Uncertainty distribution of component life-
- 5	$i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m_i$	-	time ξ_{ij} in series–parallel system
k _i	Number of uncertain parameters contained	$\Upsilon_{ig_i}^{-1}(\alpha)$	Inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain
	in component <i>i</i>	- 81	variable a_{ig_i}
k i	Number of uncertain parameters contained	$\Upsilon_{ig}^{-1}(\alpha)$	Inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain
5	in component <i>j</i>	585	variable a_{ig_i}
k _{i i}	Number of uncertain parameters contained	$\Upsilon_{ii}^{-1}(\alpha)$	Inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain
- 5	in component <i>j</i> for subsystem A_i	$l J g_{ij} < \gamma$	variable a_{ijo}
$R_i^*(\cdot;t)$	Uncertain reliability variable of component	$\Psi^{-1}(\alpha)$	Inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain
	<i>i</i> in series system	- ()	reliability variable
$R_i^*(\cdot;t)$	Uncertain reliability variable of component	$\mathcal{I}_{a}(a, b, c)$	Zigzag uncertain variable
J . , , ,	<i>i</i> in parallel system	$\mathcal{N}(a, \sigma)$	Normal uncertain veriable
	j in parallel system	$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{N}}(e,\sigma)$	Normai uncertani variable
		$LOGN(e, \sigma)$	Lognormal uncertain variable

 $R^*(\cdot;t)$

Abbreviation

R(t)

MTTF

Communicated by V. Loia.

Linmin Hu linminhu@ysu.edu.cn

¹ School of Science, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, Hebei, People's Republic of China

² College of Mathematical Science, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, People's Republic of China Uncertain reliability variables of system

Reliability function of system at time t

Mean time to failure

1 Introduction

System reliability analysis plays a critical role in engineering application because it is likely to lead serious consequences including postponed schedule, economic stagnation, credibility losses and so on. Reliability of a stochastic system originated in the late 1940s and early 1950s. After several decades of development, system reliability analysis based on probability theory has been widely studied and got many significant achievements (Rackwitz 2001; Faulin et al. 2010; Finkelstein and Cha 2013), and it has been applied in various fields such as communication systems, power systems, transportation systems and so on.

However, when probability theory is used to deal with reliability issues, we always use the long-run cumulative frequency to approximate the actual value in order to estimate the probability distributions of component lifetimes. This implies that we need large amounts of observation data by the statistics. In fact, it is hard for us to obtain observed data owing to technological, economical or some other reasons, and then, the domain expert's subjective estimation needs to be adopted. Nevertheless, Liu (2015) showed that human beings usually estimate a much wider range of values than the object actually takes. If we still take human belief degrees as probability distribution, we maybe cause a counterintuitive result (Liu 2012). Hence, the reliability analysis based on probability theory is no longer applicable for modeling the belief degree.

In order to model the belief degree, an uncertainty theory was proposed in Liu (2007) and refined it in Liu (2010b), which was a branch of axiomatic mathematics founding on four axioms, the normality, duality, subadditivity and product axioms. In recent years, the uncertainty theory has been diffusely applied to address miscellaneous issues such as reliability analysis (Wang 2010; Hosseini and Wadbro 2016; Zeng et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019), option pricing problem (Peng and Yao 2011), portfolio selection problem (Zhang et al. 2015), solid transportation problem (Yang et al. 2015; Gao and Kar 2017), logistics routing problem (Huang et al. 2016), interest rate problem (Sun et al. 2018), risk assessment problem (Zhang et al. 2018; Yao and Zhou 2018) and so on.

Therefore, several researchers have poured attention into applying the uncertainty theory to reliability analysis. Liu (2007) put forward a concept of reliability index and gave some formulas to calculate the reliability index. Liu (2010a) presented the uncertain reliability analysis for the sake of handling system reliability. Liu et al. (2015) established some essential mathematical models of series, parallel, series– parallel and parallel–series systems under assumption that the lifetimes of these systems were considered as uncertain variables. Zeng et al. (2017) developed belief reliability to account for epistemic uncertainty in model-based reliability

methods. Gao et al. (2018) introduced uncertain variable to weighted k-out-of-n system and presented some formulas to calculate the reliability index of the system. Additionally, Liu (2013a) employed the uncertainty theory to provide redundant standby method of improving the system reliability. Then, redundancy optimization of an uncertain parallelseries system was formulated by Hu et al. (2018), which developed three models through reliability maximization, lifetime maximization and cost minimization, respectively. Besides these, Zeng et al. (2013) showed an application of uncertainty theory in reliability evaluation of systems. Gao and Yao (2016) investigated new concepts of important indexes for an individual component and a group of components in an uncertain reliability system. Li et al. (2018) introduced the uncertainty theory to account for uncertainty due to small samples. Cao et al. (2019) proposed a discrete time series-parallel system with uncertain parameters, and some formulas were given to calculate the reliability of system.

System reliability analysis based on the uncertainty theory has been studied by many scholars under the assumption that the component lifetime is an uncertain variable. However, in practical engineering, most systems are composed of different components with different uncertainty distributions. On the other hand, the uncertainty distribution parameters of the component lifetimes are also uncertain owing to the uncertainty of working environment, so the bi-uncertain phenomena present in the real situations with no wonder. As a general mathematical description for this kind of uncertain phenomenon, bi-uncertain variable is defined as a mapping with some kind of measurability from an uncertainty space to a collection of uncertain variables. Naturally, bi-uncertain variable is a generalization of conventional uncertain variable, similar to the cases of bi-random variable (Peng and Liu 2007), fuzzy random variable (Liu and Liu 2003) or uncertain random variable (Liu 2013b). It is a challenging mission to formulate reliability and mean time to failure of the system with bi-uncertain variables.

This paper aims at employing uncertainty theory to investigate the reliability functions and mean time to failures of the general systems with bi-uncertain variables. This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, some basic concepts and theorems of uncertainty theory are presented. In Sect. 3, the reliability function and mean time to failure of single component system are investigated according to the uncertainty theory. Section 4 is the main part of this paper, in which the basic models of developed systems with bi-uncertain variables are discussed, including series, parallel and series– parallel systems. In order to illustrate the applications of the developed system models, some numerical examples are given in Sect. 5. Finally, a brief conclusion is made in the last Section.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some basic concepts and results in uncertainty theory, which are applied throughout the paper.

Definition 1 (Liu 2007) Let Γ be a nonempty set, and \mathcal{L} be a σ -algebra over Γ . A set function \mathcal{M} is called an uncertain measure if it satisfies the following three axioms.

Axiom 1. (*Normality Axiom*) $\mathcal{M} \{\Gamma\} = 1$ for the universal set Γ .

Axiom 2. (Duality Axiom) $\mathcal{M} \{\Lambda\} + \mathcal{M} \{\Lambda^c\} = 1$ for any event Λ .

Axiom 3. (*Subadditivity Axiom*) For every countable sequence of events $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\Lambda_i\right\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}\left\{\Lambda_i\right\}.$$

Then, the triplet $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ is called an uncertainty space. In addition, in order to provide the operational law, the uncertain measure on the product σ -algebra was proposed by Liu (2009) as follows.

Axiom 4. (*Product Axiom*) Let $(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{L}_k, \mathcal{M}_k)$ be uncertainty space for k = 1, 2, ..., the product uncertain measure \mathcal{M} is an uncertain measure satisfying

$$\mathcal{M}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\Lambda_k\right\} \leq \min_{1\leq k<\infty}\mathcal{M}_k\left\{\Lambda_k\right\},\,$$

where Λ_k are arbitrarily chosen events from \mathcal{L}_k for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, respectively.

Definition 2 (Liu 2007) An uncertain variable is a function ξ from an uncertainty space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ to the set of real numbers such that $\{\xi \in B\}$ is an event for any Borel set *B* of real numbers.

Definition 3 (Liu 2007) The uncertainty distribution Φ of an uncertain variable ξ is defined by

 $\Phi(x) = \mathcal{M}\left\{\xi \le x\right\},\,$

for any real number *x*.

Definition 4 (Liu 2010b) Let ξ be an uncertain variable with regular uncertainty distribution $\Phi(x)$, then the inverse function $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$ is called the inverse uncertainty distribution of ξ .

Theorem 1 (Liu 2010b) Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n$ be independent uncertain variables with regular uncertainty distributions $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, ..., \Phi_n$, respectively. Assume the function $f(\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_m$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $\xi_{m+1}, \xi_{m+2}, ..., \xi_n$, then the uncertain variable $\xi = f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ has an inverse uncertainty distribution

$$\Psi^{-1}(\alpha) = f\left(\Phi_{1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Phi_{m}^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Phi_{m+1}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Phi_{n}^{-1}(1-\alpha)\right).$$

Theorem 2 (Liu 2010b) Assume $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ are independent uncertain variables with uncertainty distributions Φ_1 , Φ_2, \ldots, Φ_n , respectively. Then $\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_n$ and $\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \vee \cdots \vee \xi_n$ have uncertainty distributions $\Psi(x) = \Phi_1(x) \vee \Phi_2(x) \vee \cdots \vee \Phi_n(x)$ and $\Psi(x) = \Phi_1(x) \wedge \Phi_2(x) \wedge \cdots \wedge \Phi_n(x)$, respectively.

In uncertainty theory, the expected value means the average value of uncertain variable, which plays an important role in the sense of uncertain measure, and indicates the size of uncertain variable.

Definition 5 (Liu 2007) Let ξ be an uncertain variable, then the expected value of ξ is defined by

$$E\left[\xi\right] = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{M}\left\{\xi \ge x\right\} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathcal{M}\left\{\xi \le x\right\} \mathrm{d}x,$$

provided that at least one of the two integrals is finite.

Theorem 3 (Liu 2007) Let ξ be an uncertain variable with regular uncertainty distribution Φ . Then,

$$E\left[\xi\right] = \int_0^1 \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha\right) \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Theorem 4 (Liu and Ha 2010) Assume $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ are independent uncertain variables with regular uncertainty distributions $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_n$, respectively. If $f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $\xi_{m+1}, \xi_{m+2}, \ldots, \xi_n$, then the uncertain variable $\xi = f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ has an expected value

$$E[\xi] = \int_0^1 f\left(\Phi_1^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Phi_m^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Phi_{m+1}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Phi_n^{-1}(1-\alpha)\right) d\alpha.$$

3 Reliability of single component system with bi-uncertain variable

Definition 6 Let ζ be an uncertain variable with uncertainty distribution $\Phi(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; t)$, whose parameters $a_i, i = 1, 2, ..., k$ are independent uncertain variables with uncertainty distributions $\Upsilon_i, i = 1, 2, ..., k$. Then, ζ is called a bi-uncertain variable.

For example, the uncertain variable ζ is distributed with zigzag uncertainty distribution $\mathcal{Z}(a, b, c)$, whose independent uncertain parameters a, b and c are denoted by $a \sim \mathcal{L}(u, v), b \sim \mathcal{L}(p, q)$ and $c \sim \mathcal{L}(m, n)$, respectively. In practical engineering, lifetimes of some components within a system could have different uncertainty distributions with uncertain parameters owing to the uncertainty of working environment. Consider a lifetime of the single component system as a bi-uncertain variable, and define the reliability function of the single component system as follows.

Definition 7 Let ξ , a nonnegative bi-uncertain variable, be the lifetime of single component system defined on the uncertainty space (Γ , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{M}). The reliability function of the single component system is defined by

$$R(t) = E\left[\mathcal{M}\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) > t\right\}\right].$$
(1)

Then, we denote $\mathcal{M} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) > t \}$ as $R^*(t)$, which is called uncertain reliability variable, that is

$$R(t) = E\left[\mathcal{M}\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) > t\right\}\right] = E\left[R^*(t)\right].$$
 (2)

Here, the expected value of the measure is formulated as the reliability of completing the specified function at the time [0, t] and under certain conditions.

Definition 8 The mean time to failure (MTTF) of the single component system is defined by

$$MTTF = \int_{0}^{+\infty} E \left[\mathcal{M} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi(\gamma) > t \} \right] dt$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} R(t) dt.$$
 (3)

Theorem 5 Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ be independent uncertain parameters of the lifetime distribution of single component system with regular uncertainty distributions $\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2,$ \ldots, Υ_k , respectively. If the uncertain reliability variable of single component system is strictly increasing with respect to $a_1, a_2, ..., a_g$ and strictly decreasing with respect to a_{g+1} , a_{g+2}, \ldots, a_k , then the reliability function and MTTF of the single component system are

$$R(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 - \Phi \left(\Upsilon_{1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{g}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots \right) \right) d\alpha,$$

$$\Upsilon_{g+1}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{k}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t) d\alpha,$$
(4)

and

$$MTTF = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \left(1 - \Phi \left(\Upsilon_{1}^{-1} \left(\alpha \right), \dots, \Upsilon_{g}^{-1} \left(\alpha \right), \right. \right.$$

$$\Upsilon_{g+1}^{-1} \left(1 - \alpha \right), \dots, \Upsilon_{k}^{-1} \left(1 - \alpha \right); t \right) d\alpha dt,$$
(5)

🖄 Springer

where $\Upsilon_i^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain variable a_i , i = 1, 2, ..., k.

Proof Let $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; t)$ denote the uncertain reliability variable of the component with uncertainty distribution $\Psi(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; t)$. According to Definition 4, $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; t)$ has the inverse uncertainty distribution $\Psi^{-1}(\alpha)$, and by Definition 7 and Theorem 3, the reliability function of the single component system can be determined by

$$R(t) = E[R^*(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k; t)] = \int_0^1 \Psi^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

and the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; t)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $a_1, a_2, ..., a_g$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $a_{g+1}, a_{g+2}, ..., a_k, 1 \le g \le k$. Following from Theorem 1, the inverse uncertainty distribution $\Psi^{-1}(\alpha)$ of the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_k; t)$ is

$$\Psi^{-1}(\alpha) = 1 - \Phi\left(\Upsilon_1^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_g^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{g+1}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_k^{-1}(1-\alpha); t\right),$$

where $\Upsilon_i^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain variable a_i with i = 1, 2, ..., k. Then, the reliability function of the single component system is

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 \Psi^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

=
$$\int_0^1 \left(1 - \Phi \left(\Upsilon_1^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_g^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{g+1}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_k^{-1}(1-\alpha); t \right) \right) d\alpha,$$

and the MTTF of the single component system is formulated owing to Definition 8 that is

$$MTTF = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^1 \left(1 - \Phi\left(\Upsilon_1^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_g^{-1}(\alpha), \Upsilon_{g+1}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_k^{-1}(1-\alpha); t\right)\right) d\alpha dt.$$

Example 1 The lifetime ξ of component is distributed with linear uncertainty distribution $\mathcal{L}(e_1, e_2)$, whose parameters e_1 and e_2 are independent uncertain variables, denoted by $e_1 \sim \mathcal{L}(a, b)$ and $e_2 \sim \mathcal{L}(c, d)$, respectively. According to Theorem 5, we have the reliability function:

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)c + \alpha b - t}{(1-\alpha)c + \alpha d - [(1-\alpha)a + \alpha b]} d\alpha$$



Fig. 1 A series system with bi-uncertain variables

and MTTF:

MTTF = $\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)c + \alpha b - t}{(1-\alpha)c + \alpha d - [(1-\alpha)a + \alpha b]} d\alpha dt$,

where a, b, c and d are real numbers with a < b < c < d.

4 Reliability of general systems with bi-uncertain variables

In this section, we introduce basic models of the general systems with bi-uncertain variables, including series, parallel and series–parallel systems. The reliability functions and MTTFs of developed models are discussed, respectively.

4.1 Reliability of series system with bi-uncertain variables

Consider a series system consisting of *n* independent components connected in series, as shown in Fig. 1. Let ξ_i , a bi-uncertain variable, be the lifetime of component *i* in the series system defined on the uncertainty space $(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{M}_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. The component lifetimes ξ_i , i = 1, 2, ..., nare independently distributed with regular uncertainty distributions $\Phi_i(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{ik_i}; t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, where $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{ik_i}$ are independent uncertain variables. It is clear that the lifetime of series system is $\xi = \xi_1 \land \xi_2 \land \cdots \land \xi_n$, which is also a bi-uncertain variable. For the sake of simplicity, the model discussed on the product uncertainty space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \times \mathcal{L}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}_n$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \land \mathcal{M}_2 \land \cdots \land \mathcal{M}_n$.

Theorem 6 *The reliability function of the series system with bi-uncertain variables is*

$$R(t) = E\left[\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \left(1 - \Phi_i\left(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}; t\right)\right)\right], \quad (6)$$

where k_i is the number of uncertain parameters contained in component i, i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof Let $R_i^*(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{ik_i}; t)$ denote the uncertain reliability variable of component *i* in the series system, that is

$$R_i^* (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}; t) = \mathcal{M} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi_i (\gamma) > t \}$$

= 1 - \Phi_i (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}; t).

Furthermore, the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; t)$ of the series system is

$$R^* (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n; t) = \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} R_i^* (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}; t) = \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} (1 - \Phi_i (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}; t)),$$

where $a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{ik_i}), i = 1, 2, ..., n$. According to Definition 7, the reliability function of the series system can be determined by

$$R(t) = E\left[R^*(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_n; t)\right]$$
$$= E\left[\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \left(1 - \Phi_i\left(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}; t\right)\right)\right].$$

Theorem 7 Let $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots, a_{ik_i}$ be independent uncertain parameters of the lifetime distribution of component *i* in the series system with regular uncertainty distributions $\Upsilon_{i1}, \Upsilon_{i2}, \ldots, \Upsilon_{ik_i}$, respectively. If the uncertain reliability variable of the series system is strictly increasing with respect to $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots, a_{ig_i}$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $a_{i(g_i+1)}, a_{i(g_i+2)}, \ldots, a_{ik_i}$, then the reliability function and MTTF of the series system are

$$R(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} (1 - \Phi_{i}(\Upsilon_{i1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ig_{i}}^{-1}(\alpha), (\tau_{ig_{i}}^{-1}(\alpha), \gamma_{i(g_{i}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ik_{i}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha,$$
(7)

and

$$MTTF = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} (1 - \Phi_{i}(\Upsilon_{i1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ig_{i}}^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{i(g_{i}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ik_{i}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha dt,$$
(8)

where $\Upsilon_{ig_i}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain parameter a_{ig_i} . k_i is the number of uncertain parameters contained in component i with $1 \le g_i \le k_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof Let $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; t)$ denote the uncertain reliability variable of the series system with uncertainty distribution $\Psi(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; t)$. It is known that the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; t)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{ig_i}$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $a_{i(g_i+1)}, a_{i(g_i+2)}, ..., a_{ik_i}, 1 \le g_i \le k_i$,

i = 1, 2, ..., n. According to Theorem 1, the inverse uncertainty distribution $\Psi^{-1}(\alpha)$ of the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; t)$ is

$$\Psi^{-1}(\alpha) = \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \le i \le n}} (1 - \Phi_i(\Upsilon_{i1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ig_i}^{-1}(\alpha)), \\ \Upsilon_{i(g_i+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ik_i}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t) \Big),$$

where $a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ik_i}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then by Theorem 4, we obtain the reliability function of the series system with bi-uncertain variables which is

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 \Psi^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

=
$$\int_0^1 \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} (1 - \Phi_i(\Upsilon_{i1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ig_i}^{-1}(\alpha), \Upsilon_{i(g_i+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ik_i}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha.$$

The MTTF of the series system is formulated owing to Definition 8 and Theorem 6. That is

$$MTTF = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} (1 - \Phi_{i}(\Upsilon_{i1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ig_{i}}^{-1}(\alpha)),$$
$$\Upsilon_{i(g_{i}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ik_{i}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha dt.$$

4.2 Reliability of parallel system with bi-uncertain variables

Consider a parallel system consisting of *m* independent components proceed simultaneously, see Fig. 2. Let ξ_j , a bi-uncertain variable, be the lifetime of component *j* in the parallel system defined on the uncertainty space $(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{M}_j), j = 1, 2, ..., m$. The component lifetimes $\xi_j, j = 1, 2, ..., m$ are independently distributed with regular uncertainty distributions $\Phi_j(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jk_j}; t), j =$ 1, 2, ..., m, where $a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jk_j}$ are independent uncertain variables. It is clear that the lifetime of parallel system is $\xi = \xi_1 \lor \xi_2 \lor \cdots \lor \xi_m$, which is also a bi-uncertain variable. For the sake of simplicity, the model discussed on the product uncertainty space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \times \mathcal{L}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}_m$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \land \mathcal{M}_2 \land \cdots \land \mathcal{M}_m$.

Theorem 8 The reliability function of the parallel system with bi-uncertain variables is

$$R(t) = E\left[\bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} (1 - \Phi_j(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jk_j}; t))\right], \quad (9)$$

where k_j is the number of uncertain parameters contained in component j, j = 1, 2, ..., m.



Fig. 2 A parallel system with bi-uncertain variables

Proof Let $R_j^*(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jk_j}; t)$ denote the uncertain reliability variable of component *j* in the parallel system, that is

$$R_{j}^{*}(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jk_{j}}; t) = \mathcal{M} \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi_{j}(\gamma) > t \right\}$$
$$= 1 - \Phi_{j}(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jk_{j}}; t).$$

Further, the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; t)$ of the parallel system is

$$R^{*}(a_{1}, a_{2}, \dots, a_{m}; t)$$

$$= \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} R_{j}^{*}(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jk_{j}}; t)$$

$$= \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} (1 - \Phi_{j}(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jk_{j}}; t)),$$

where $a_j = (a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jk_j}), j = 1, 2, ..., m$. According to Definition 7, the reliability function of the parallel system can be determined by

$$R(t) = E \left[R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; t) \right]$$

= $E \left[\bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} (1 - \Phi_j(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jk_j}; t)) \right].$

Theorem 9 Let $a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \ldots, a_{jk_j}$ be independent uncertain parameters of the lifetime distribution of component *j* in the parallel system with regular uncertainty distributions $\Upsilon_{j1}, \Upsilon_{j2}, \ldots, \Upsilon_{jk_j}$, respectively. If the uncertain reliability variable of the parallel system is strictly increasing with respect to $a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \ldots, a_{jg_j}$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $a_{j(g_j+1)}, a_{j(g_j+2)}, \ldots, a_{jk_j}$, then the reliability function and MTTF of the parallel system are

$$R(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} \left(1 - \Phi_{j} \left(\Upsilon_{j1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jg_{j}}^{-1}(\alpha), \right) \right) \Upsilon_{j(g_{j}+1)}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jk_{j}}^{-1}(1-\alpha); t \right) d\alpha,$$
(10)

and

$$MTTF = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} (1 - \Phi_{j} (\Upsilon_{j1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jg_{j}}^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{j(g_{j}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jk_{j}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha dt,$$
(11)

where $\Upsilon_{jg_j}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain variable a_{jg_j} . k_j is the number of uncertain parameters contained in component j with $1 \leq g_j \leq k_j$, j = 1, 2, ..., m.

Proof Let $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; t)$ denote the uncertain reliability variable of the parallel system with uncertainty distribution $\Psi(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; t)$. It is known that $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; t)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jg_j}$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $a_{j(g_j+1)}, a_{j(g_j+2)}, ..., a_{jk_j}$ for $1 \le g_j \le k_j, j = 1, 2, ..., m$. According to Theorem 1, the inverse uncertainty distribution $\Psi^{-1}(\alpha)$ of the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m; t)$ is

$$\Psi^{-1}(\alpha) = \bigvee_{\substack{1 \le j \le m}} \left(1 - \Phi_j \left(\Upsilon_{j1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jg_j}^{-1}(\alpha), \right. \right. \\ \left. \Upsilon_{j(g_j+1)}^{-1}(1-\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jk_j}^{-1}(1-\alpha); t \right) \right),$$

where $a_j = (a_{j1}, a_{j2}, ..., a_{jk_j}), j = 1, 2, ..., m$. Then by Theorem 4, we obtain the reliability function of the parallel system with bi-uncertain variables which is

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 \Psi^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

= $\int_0^1 \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} (1 - \Phi_j (\Upsilon_{j1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jg_j}^{-1}(\alpha), \Upsilon_{j(g_j+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jk_j}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha.$

The MTTF is formulated by Definition 8 and Theorem 8. That is

$$MTTF = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^1 \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m} (1 - \Phi_j (\Upsilon_{j1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jg_j}^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{j(g_j+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{jk_j}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha dt.$$

4.3 Reliability of series-parallel system with bi-uncertain variables

Consider a series–parallel system consisting of n subsystems A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n in series, each subsystem $A_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$



Fig. 3 A series-parallel system with bi-uncertain variables

consists of m_i independent components connected in parallel, as shown in Fig. 3. Let ξ_{ij} , a bi-uncertain variable, be the lifetime of component *j* of subsystem A_i defined on the uncertainty space $(\Gamma_{ij}, \mathcal{L}_{ij}, \mathcal{M}_{ij})$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, $j = 1, 2, ..., m_i$. The lifetimes ξ_{ij} , i = 1, 2, ..., n, $j = 1, 2, ..., m_i$ are independently distributed with regular uncertainty distributions Φ_{ij} $(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}; t)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m_i$, where $a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}$ are independent uncertain variables. Obviously, the lifetime of series-parallel system is $\xi = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \bigvee_{j=1}^{m_i} \xi_{ij}$, which is also a bi-uncertain variable. For the sake of simplicity, the model is discussed on the product uncertainty space $(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_{11} \times \Gamma_{12} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{nm_n}, \mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{11} \times \mathcal{L}_{12} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}_{nm_n}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{11} \wedge \mathcal{M}_{12} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{M}_{nm_n}$.

Theorem 10 *The reliability function of the series–parallel system with bi-uncertain variables is*

$$R(t) = E\left[\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m_i} (1 - \Phi_{ij}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \dots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t))\right],$$
(12)

where k_{ij} is the number of uncertain parameters contained of component j of subsystem A_i , subjected to i = 1, 2, ..., n, $j = 1, 2, ..., m_i$.

Proof Let $R_{ij}^*(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}; t)$ denote the uncertain reliability variable of component *j* of subsystem A_i , that is

$$R_{ij}^{*}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \dots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t) = \mathcal{M} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma | \xi_{ij} (\gamma) > t \} = 1 - \Phi_{ij}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \dots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t)$$

Further, the uncertain reliability variable $R_{A_i}^*(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{im_i}; t)$ of subsystem A_i is

$$R_{A_i}^{*}(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{im_i}; t) = \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m_i} R_{ij}^{*}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \dots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t) = \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m_i} (1 - \Phi_{ij}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \dots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t)).$$

Table 1 Results of reliability functions in the single	Time	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0
component system	R(t)	0.9985	0.9939	0.9764	0.9195	0.7752	0.5256	0.2638	0.0989	0.0299	0.0079

 Table 2
 Lifetime distributions of components in the series system

Component	Lifetime distribution
1	$\xi_1 \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(e_1, 1)$, where $e_1 \sim \mathcal{Z}(1, 2, 3)$
2	$\xi_2 \sim LOGN(e_2, 0.5)$, where $e_2 \sim Z(1, 2, 3)$
3	$\xi_3 \sim LOGN(e_3, 1.5)$, where $e_3 \sim Z(1, 2, 3)$

Furthermore, since the series-parallel system composed of n subsystems connected in series, the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n; t)$ of this system is

$$R^{*}(a_{1}, a_{2}, ..., a_{n}; t) = \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} R_{A_{i}}^{*}(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{im_{i}}; t)$$
$$= \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m_{i}} R_{ij}^{*}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}; t)$$
$$= \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m_{i}} (1 - \Phi_{ij}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}; t))$$

where $a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{im_i}; t), a_{ij} = (a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}), i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m_i$. According to Definition 7, the reliability function of the series–parallel system can be formulated by

$$R(t) = E\left[R^*(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_n; t)\right]$$
$$= E\left[\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n1 \le j \le m_i} (1 - \Phi_{ij}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \dots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t))\right].$$

Theorem 11 Let $a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \ldots, a_{ijk_{ij}}$ be independent uncertain parameters of the lifetime distribution of component *j* of subsystem A_i with regular uncertainty distributions $\Upsilon_{ij1}, \Upsilon_{ij2}, \ldots, \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}$, respectively. If the uncertain reliability variable of the series–parallel system is strictly increasing with respect to $a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \ldots, a_{ijg_{ij}}$ and strictly decreasing with respect to $a_{ij(g_{ij}+1)}, a_{ij(g_{ij}+2)}, \ldots, a_{ijk_{ij}}$, then the reliability function and MTTF of the series–parallel system are

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n1 \le j \le m_i} \bigvee (1 - \Phi_{ij} (\Upsilon_{ij1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijg_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{ij(g_{ij}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha,$$
(13)

and

MTTF

$$= \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n1 \le j \le m_{i}} \bigvee (1 - \Phi_{ij}(\Upsilon_{ij1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijgij}^{-1}(\alpha), \Upsilon_{ijgij}^{-1}(\alpha), \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha dt,$$
(14)

where $\Upsilon_{ijg_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is the inverse uncertainty distribution of uncertain variable $a_{ijg_{ij}}$. k_{ij} is the number of uncertain parameters contained in component j of subsystem A_i with $1 \leq g_{ij} \leq k_{ij}, i = 1, 2, ..., n, j = 1, 2, ..., m_i$.

Proof Let $\Phi_{ij}(a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \ldots, a_{ijk_{ij}}; t)$ denote the regular uncertainty distribution of the lifetime ξ_{ij} of component j of subsystem A_i , where $a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, \ldots, a_{ijk_{ij}}$ are uncertain variables with inverse uncertainty distributions $\Upsilon_{ij1}^{-1}(\alpha)$, $\Upsilon_{ij2}^{-1}(\alpha), \ldots, \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha)$, respectively. According to Theorem 1, the inverse uncertainty distribution $\Psi^{-1}(\alpha)$ of the uncertain reliability variable $R^*(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n; t)$ is

$$\Psi^{-1}(\alpha) = \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \le i \le n}} \bigvee_{\substack{1 \le j \le m_i}} (1 - \Phi_{ij}(\Upsilon_{ij1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijg_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha))$$

$$\Upsilon_{ij(g_{ij}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)),$$

where $a_i = (a_{i11}, a_{i12}, ..., a_{i1k_{i1}}, ..., a_{ij1}, a_{ij2}, ..., a_{ijk_{ij}}, ..., a_{im_i1}, a_{im_i2}, ..., a_{im_ik_{im_i}}), i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Then by Theorem 4, we obtain the reliability function of the series–parallel system with bi-uncertain variables which is

$$R(t) = \int_0^1 \Psi^{-1}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

= $\int_0^1 \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \bigvee_{1 \le j \le m_i} (1 - \Phi_{ij}(\Upsilon_{ij1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijg_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijg_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha,$

and the MTTF of the series–parallel system is formulated by Definition 8 and Theorem 10. That is

MTTF

$$= \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n1 \le j \le m_{i}} \bigvee (1 - \Phi_{ij} (\Upsilon_{ij1}^{-1}(\alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijg_{ij}}^{-1}(\alpha), \\ \Upsilon_{ij(g_{ij}+1)}^{-1}(1 - \alpha), \dots, \Upsilon_{ijk_{ij}}^{-1}(1 - \alpha); t)) d\alpha dt.$$

Table 3 Results of reliability functions in the series system	Time	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	R(t)	0.9029	0.7275	0.6041	0.5146	0.4469	0.3940	0.3515	0.3167	0.2876	0.2631
Table 4 Lifetime distributions of components in the parallel	Component Lifetime distribution										
system	1						$\xi_1 \sim \mathcal{L}$	$OGN(e_1)$, 1), where	$e e_1 \sim \mathcal{Z}$ (2)	2, 6, 8)
	2 3						$\xi_2 \sim \mathcal{L}$ $\xi_3 \sim \mathcal{L}$	0GN (e2 0GN (e3	, 0.5), whe , 1.5), whe	ere $e_2 \sim Z$ ere $e_3 \sim Z$	(3, 6, 7) (4, 6, 9)
Table 5 Results of reliability functions in the parallel system	Time	20	40	60	80	100	120	140	160	180	200
1 2	R(t)	0.9770	0.9344	0.8954	0.8610	0.8306	0.8033	0.7786	0.7558	0.7346	0.7146

П

5 Numerical example

In this section, some numerical examples are given to illustrate the applications of the developed models, including series, parallel and series-parallel systems. The reliability functions and MTTFs of the general systems are compared and analyzed when the lifetimes are considered as uncertain and bi-uncertain variables, respectively.

Example 2 Consider a single component system, and assume that the lifetime of component is uncertain variable ξ with regular normal uncertainty distribution $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_1, 0.5)$, where ξ_1 is an uncertain variable with regular normal uncertainty distributions $\xi_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(3, 0.5)$. According to Theorem 5, the numerical values of the reliability functions in the single component system are illustrated in Table 1.

Example 3 Consider a series system consisting of three independent components, and assume ξ_1 , ξ_2 , ξ_3 , bi-uncertain variables, be the lifetimes of components in the series system and lifetime distributions of components are given in Table 2. According to Theorem 7, the numerical values of the reliability functions in the series system are illustrated in Table 3.

Example 4 Consider a parallel system consisting of three independent components. The lifetimes of components are bi-uncertain variables ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 , and lifetime distributions of components are given in Table 4. According to Theorem 9, the numerical values of the reliability functions in the parallel system are illustrated in Table 5.

Example 5 Consider a series-parallel system consisting of three subsystems in series, and each subsystem consists of three components connected in parallel. The lifetime of component j of subsystem A_i is assumed to be a bi-uncertain variable ξ_{ij} , where i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3. Lifetime distributions of components of the subsystems are given in Table 6. According to Theorem 11, the numerical values of the reliability functions in the series-parallel system are illustrated in Table 7.

In order to illustrate the relationship between the different parameters and the corresponding reliability functions of systems, we initially make the necessary instructions: A system with bi-uncertain variables means that whose lifetime distribution with uncertain parameters, while a system with uncertain variables means that lifetime distribution with

 Table 6
 Lifetime distributions of components of subsystems in the series–parallel system

Subsystem	Lifetime	distributio	on									
$\xi_{11} \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(e_{11}, 1), \ \xi_{12} \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(e_{12}, 0.5), \ \xi_{13} \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(e_{13}, 1.5), \ \text{where} \ e_{11}, \ e_{12}, \ e_{13} \sim \mathcal{L}_{13}$										ζ(1, 2, 3)		
A ₂ ,	$\xi_{21} \sim \text{LOGN}(e_{21}, 1.2), \xi_{22} \sim \text{LOGN}(e_{22}, 0.5), \xi_{23} \sim \text{LOGN}(e_{23}, 0.6), \text{ where } e_{21}, e_{22}, e_{23} \sim \mathbb{Z}(0.5, 1, 1.2)$									1.5)		
A ₃ ,	$\xi_{31} \sim \text{LOGN}(e_{31}, 0.7), \xi_{32} \sim \text{LOGN}(e_{32}, 0.5), \xi_{33} \sim \text{LOGN}(e_{33}, 0.8), \text{ where } e_{31}, e_{32}, e_{33} \sim \mathbb{Z}(0.25, 0.5, 0.5), \xi_{33} \sim \mathbb{Z}(0.25, 0$.5, 0.75)		
Table 7 Results of functions in the set	f reliability ries–parallel	Time	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.4
system	I	$\mathbf{R}(t)$	0.9718	0.9248	0.8482	0.7476	0.6357	0.5255	0.4259	0.3412	0.2719	0.2166

 Table 8
 Lifetime distributions of components with uncertain variables

System	Lifetime distribution
Single component system	$\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(3, 0.5)$
Series system	$\xi_1 \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(2, 1), \xi_2 \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(2, 0.5), \xi_3 \sim \mathcal{LOGN}(2, 1.5)$
Parallel system	$\xi_1 \sim LOGN(5.5, 1), \ \xi_2 \sim LOGN(5.5, 0.5), \ \xi_3 \sim LOGN(6.25, 1.5)$
	$\xi_{11} \sim \text{LOGN}(2, 1), \xi_{12} \sim \text{LOGN}(2, 0.5), \xi_{13} \sim \text{LOGN}(2, 1.5)$
Series-parallel system	$\xi_{21} \sim \text{LOGN}(1, 1.2), \ \xi_{22} \sim \text{LOGN}(1, 0.5), \ \xi_{23} \sim \text{LOGN}(1, 0.6)$
	$\xi_{31} \sim \text{LOGN}(0.5, 0.7), \ \xi_{32} \sim \text{LOGN}(0.5, 0.5), \ \xi_{33} \sim \text{LOGN}(0.5, 0.8)$



Fig. 4 a Reliability function of the single component system, **b** reliability function of the series system, **c** reliability function of the parallel system, **d** reliability function of the series–parallel system

Table 9	MTTFs	of the	general	systems
---------	-------	--------	---------	---------

System	Single component system	Series system	Parallel system	Series-parallel system
MTTFs of systems with bi-uncertain variables	3.0459	43.625	2785.9	1.8940
MTTFs of systems with uncertain variables	3.0000	37.122	2611.0	1.8744

constant parameters. Based on these, we select the expected value of $\mathcal{Z}(a, b, c)$ as the constant parameter of the component lifetime distribution. That means general systems with

bi-uncertain variables degenerate to general systems with uncertain variables. The lifetime distributions of these components in each degenerated system are listed in Table 8.

The graphs for comparing the reliability functions of the single component, series, parallel and series-parallel systems under different parameters are shown in Fig. 4a-d, respectively. The reliability function of each system with constant parameters (uncertain variables) is obtained by traditional uncertain reliability analysis in green dotted line, while the reliability function with uncertain parameters (bi-uncertain variables) is obtained in red solid line. From Fig. 4a-d, we can easily observed that the systems' reliability functions are indeed affected by the assumption that the parameters are uncertain variables. It is particularly noteworthy that the general shapes of the plots under constant and uncertain parameters are similar. However, Fig. 4a displays the reliability function of single component system with constant parameters is larger up to a specific time point, and it becomes lower than the case with uncertain parameters beyond this specific time point. Figure 4b and d shows that the reliability functions of series system and series-parallel system have weak sensitivity to the assumption under uncertain parameters. Figure 4c shows that the reliability function of parallel system with uncertain parameters has a larger reliability than the one with constant parameters.

Additionally, in order to illustrate the relationship between the different parameters and the corresponding MTTFs of systems, we make a comparison between the common systems referred in Examples 2–5 and degenerated general systems presented in Table 8. According to Eqs. (5, 8, 11, 14) and Theorems proved by Liu et al. (2015), the MTTFs of systems are illustrated when the lifetimes considered as bi-uncertain and uncertain variables, respectively, as shown in Table 9. The results show that the obtained MTTFs with bi-uncertain variables are larger than the ones with uncertain variables.

6 Conclusions

This paper developed a generalization for system reliability analysis based on the assumption that the lifetime of system component is bi-uncertain variable. Some theorems for reliability functions and MTTFs of general single component, series, parallel and series–parallel systems were derived. In addition, some numerical examples were presented to illustrate the applications of the developed models, including series, parallel and series–parallel systems. Finally, we compared and analyzed the reliability functions and MTTFs of these systems when the component lifetimes were considered as bi-uncertain variables and uncertain variables, respectively.

Acknowledgements This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11601469), the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (No. A2018 203088) and the Science Research Project of Education Department of Hebei Province (No. ZD2017079), People's Republic of China.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

- Cao X, Hu L, Li Z (2019) Reliability analysis of discrete time series– parallel systems with uncertain parameters. J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput 10(9):2657–2668
- Faulin J, Juan AA, Alsina SSM, Ramirez–Marquez JE (2010) Simulation methods for reliability and availability of complex systems. Springer, Berlin
- Finkelstein M, Cha JH (2013) Stochastic modeling for reliability. Springer, London
- Gao J, Yao K, Zhou J, Ke H (2018) Reliability analysis of uncertain weighted k-out-of-n systems. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst 26(5):2663– 2671
- Gao R, Yao K (2016) Importance index of components in uncertain reliability systems. J Uncertainty Anal Appl 4:7
- Gao Y, Kar S (2017) Uncertain solid transportation problem with product blending. Int J Fuzzy Syst 19(6):1916–1926
- Hosseini SA, Wadbro E (2016) Connectivity reliability in uncertain networks with stability analysis. Expert Syst Appl 57:337–344
- Hu L, Huang W, Wang G, Tian R (2018) Redundancy optimization of an uncertain parallel–series system with warm standby elements. Complexity. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3154360
- Huang M, Ren L, Lee LH, Wang X, Kuang H, Shi H (2016) Model and algorithm for 4PLRP with uncertain delivery time. Inf Sci 330(10):211–225
- Li X, Wu J, Liu L, Wen M, Kang R (2018) Modeling accelerated degradation data based on the uncertain process. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst 27(8):1532–1542
- Liu B (2007) Uncertainty Theory, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin
- Liu B (2009) Some research problems in uncertainty theory. J Uncertain Syst 3(1):3–10
- Liu B (2010a) Uncertain risk analysis and uncertain reliability analysis. J Uncertain Syst 4(4):163–170
- Liu B (2010b) Uncertainty theory: a branch of mathematics for modeling human uncertainty. Springer, Berlin
- Liu B (2012) Why is there a need for uncertainty theory? J uncertain syst 6(1):3–10
- Liu B (2015) Uncertainty Theory, 4th edn. Springer, Berlin
- Liu W (2013a) Reliability analysis of redundant system with uncertain lifetimes. Int Jpn 16(2):881–887
- Liu Y (2013b) Uncertain random variables: a mixture of uncertainty and randomness. Soft Comput 17(4):625–634
- Liu Y, Ha M (2010) Expected value of function of uncertain variables. J Uncertain Syst 4(4):181–186
- Liu Y, Li X, Xiong C (2015) Reliability analysis of unrepairable systems with uncertain lifetimes. Int J Secur Appl 9(12):289–298
- Liu Y, Liu B (2003) Fuzzy random variable: a scalar expected value operator. Fuzzy Optim Decis Mak 2(2):143–160
- Liu Y, Ma Y, Qu Z, Li X (2018) Reliability mathematical models of repairable systems with uncertain lifetimes and repair times. IEEE Access 6:71285–71295

- Peng J, Liu B (2007) Birandom variables and birandom programming. Comput Ind Eng 53(3):433–453
- Peng J, Yao K (2011) A new option pricing model for stocks in uncertainty markets. Int J Oper Res 8(2):18–26
- Rackwitz R (2001) Reliability analysis—a review and some perspectives. Struct Saf 23(4):365–395
- Sun Y, Yao K, Fu Z (2018) Interest rate model in uncertain environment based on exponential Ornstein–Uhlenbeck equation. Soft Comput 22(2):465–475
- Wang Z (2010) Structural reliability analysis using uncertainty theory. In: Proceedings of the first international conference on uncertainty theory, Urumchi, pp166–170
- Yang L, Liu P, Li S, Gao Y, Ralescu DA (2015) Reduction methods of type-2 uncertain variables and their applications to solid transportation problem. Inf Sci 291:204–237
- Yao K, Zhou J (2018) Ruin time of uncertain insurance risk process. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst 26(1):19–28
- Zeng Z, Kang R, Wen M, Zio E (2017) A model–based reliability metric considering aleatory and epistemic uncertainty. IEEE Access 5:15505–15515

- Zeng Z, Kang R, Wen M, Zio E (2018) Uncertainty theory as a basis for belief reliability. Inf Sci 429:26–36
- Zeng Z, Wen M, Kang R (2013) Belief reliability: a new metrics for products' reliability. Fuzzy Optim Decis Mak 12(1):15–27
- Zhang B, Peng J, Li S (2015) Uncertain programming models for portfolio selection with uncertain returns. Int J Syst Sci 46(14):2510– 2519
- Zhang Q, Kang R, Wen M (2018) A new method of level–2 uncertainty analysis in risk assessment based on uncertainty theory. Soft Comput 22(17):5867–5877
- Zhang Q, Kang R, Wen M, Yang Y (2019) Decomposition method for belief reliability analysis of complex uncertain random systems. IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2929199

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.