

## GENERALIZING KORCHMÁROS–MAZZOCCA ARCS

BENCE CSAJBÓK\*, ZSUZSA WEINER

Received January 13, 2020  
Revised July 23, 2020  
Online First February 1, 2021

*We dedicate our work to the memory of our high school mathematics teacher,  
Dr. János Urbán to whom we are both very grateful.*

In this paper, we generalize the so-called Korchmáros–Mazzocca arcs, that is, point sets of size  $q+t$  intersecting each line in 0, 2 or  $t$  points in a finite projective plane of order  $q$ . For  $t \neq 2$ , this means that each point of the point set is incident with exactly one line meeting the point set in  $t$  points.

In  $\text{PG}(2, p^n)$ , we change 2 in the definition above to any integer  $m$  and describe all examples when  $m$  or  $t$  is not divisible by  $p$ . We also study mod  $p$  variants of these objects, give examples and under some conditions we prove the existence of a nucleus.

### 1. Introduction

A  $(q+t)$ -set  $\mathcal{K}$  of type  $(0, 2, t)$  is a point set of size  $q+t$  in a finite projective plane of order  $q$  meeting each line in 0, 2 or in  $t$  points. Note that if  $t \neq 2$ , then this means that through each point of  $\mathcal{K}$  there passes a unique line meeting  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $t$  points. For  $t=1$  we get the ovals, for  $t=2$  the hyperovals; thus this concept generalizes well-known objects of finite geometry. They were studied first by Korchmáros and Mazzocca in 1990, see [17], that is why nowadays they are called KM-arcs. For  $1 < t < q$ , they proved that

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* 51E20, 51E21

\* The first author was partially supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH, grant no. PD 132463. Both authors acknowledge the support of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH, grant no. K 124950.

KM-arcs exist only for  $q$  even and  $t \mid q$ . KM-arcs have been studied mostly in Desarguesian planes, where Gács and Weiner proved that the  $t$ -secants of a KM-arc are concurrent [14]. For a different proof see [10]. For various examples see [11,12,14,26]. Let  $\Pi_q$  denote a (not necessarily Desarguesian) projective plane of order  $q$ . Examples of Vandendriessche [27] show that the  $t$ -secants of a KM-arc are not necessarily concurrent in  $\Pi_q$ .

In this paper, we generalize the concept of KM-arcs. We give examples and prove some characterization type results.

Throughout the paper, an  $i$ -secant will be a line intersecting our point set in  $i$  points, the 1-secants will be called tangents. An  $i_p$ -secant is a line intersecting our point set in  $i \pmod p$  points. Sometimes we will need to distinguish between  $i_p$ -secants having 0 points in common with our point set and  $i_p$ -secants intersecting our point set in at least a point. The second type of lines will be called proper  $i_p$ -secants. Many of our examples are related to subplanes of order  $\sqrt{q}$  of a projective plane of order  $q$ ; these are also called Baer subplanes.

**Definition 2.1.** A *generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$*  is a proper non-empty subset of points of size  $q(m - 1) + t$  in  $\Pi_q$  meeting each line in 0,  $m$ , or in  $t$  points.

It is easy to see that when  $t \neq m$ , then each point of a generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\Pi_q$  is incident with exactly one  $t$ -secant and  $q$   $m$ -secants.

We also allow  $m = t$ , which gives the well-known maximal arcs. So in Desarguesian planes for  $1 < m = t < q$  they only exist for  $q$  even ([2,3]).

If  $t = 1$  (and  $m \neq 1$ ), then generalized KM-arcs are called regular semiovals and Gács proved the following.

**Result 1.1 ([13]).** In  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , *generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, 1)$  (i.e. regular semiovals) are ovals ( $m = 2$ ) and unitals ( $m = \sqrt{q} + 1$ ).*

**Definition 3.2.** A *mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$*  is a proper non-empty subset of points in  $\Pi_q$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, such that each point  $R \in \mathcal{S}$  is incident with a  $t_p$ -secant and the other  $q$  lines through  $R$  are  $m_p$ -secants, where  $0 \leq m, t \leq p - 1$  are not necessarily distinct integers.

The following theorems are the main results of our paper.

**Theorem 6.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q > 17$ . Assume that  $t \neq m$ . If there are no 0-secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  or  $m = 0$ , then the  $t_p$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  are concurrent.*

**Theorem 6.10.** *For a generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, either  $m \equiv t \equiv 0 \pmod p$  or  $\mathcal{S}$  is one of the following:*

- (1) a set of  $t$  collinear points ( $m=1$ ),
- (2) the union of  $m$  lines incident with a point  $P$ , minus  $P$  ( $t=q$ ),
- (3) an oval ( $t=1, m=2$ ),
- (4) a maximal arc with at most one of its points removed ( $t=m, t=m-1$ ),
- (5) a unital ( $t=1, m=\sqrt{q}+1$ ).

The proofs rely on a stability result of Szőnyi and Weiner regarding  $k \pmod p$  multisets; and other polynomial techniques which ensure that in case of  $t \not\equiv m \pmod p$  the  $t_p$ -secants meeting a fixed  $m_p$ -secant in  $\mathcal{S}$  are concurrent, see Section 5. We also discuss connections with the Dirac–Motzkin conjecture regarding the number of lines meeting a point set of  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$  in two points and a construction relying on sharply focused arcs of  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , see Section 7.2.

Finally, we point out some relations with group divisible designs. A  $k$ -GDD is a triple  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a set of points,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a partition of  $\mathcal{V}$  into parts (called groups),  $|\mathcal{G}| > 1$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a family of  $k$ -subsets (called blocks) of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that every pair of distinct elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  occurs in exactly one block or in one group but not both. For more details and for the more general definition see [9, Part IV]. If  $t \neq m$ , then the  $t$ -secants of a generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  induce a partition on the points of  $\mathcal{S}$  and so it gives an  $m$ -GDD with the special property that each group in  $\mathcal{G}$  has the same size  $t$ . Note that these GDDs are naturally embedded into a finite projective plane. Most probably the parameters of the GDDs coming from our examples on generalized KM-arcs are not new, but the explicit construction makes them interesting.

## 2. Generalized KM-arcs

**Definition 2.1.** A *generalized KM-arc*  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  is a proper non-empty subset of points of size  $q(m-1) + t$  in  $\Pi_q$  meeting each line in  $0, m$ , or in  $t$  points.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $t \neq m$ , then each point of a generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\Pi_q$  is incident with exactly one  $t$ -secant and  $q$   $m$ -secants. ■*

In the introduction, we saw that ovals, maximal arcs and KM-arcs are generalized KM-arcs. Now let’s see some further examples, which we will refer to as *trivial*:

**Example 2.3.** Trivial examples for generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)$  admitting  $0$ -secants:

- (1) a set of  $t$  ( $< q + 1$ ) collinear points ( $m = 1$ ),
- (2) union of  $m$  ( $< q + 1$ ) lines through a point  $P$ , minus  $P$  ( $t = q$ ),
- (3) ovals ( $t = 1, m = 2$ ),
- (4) a maximal arc with at most one of its points removed ( $t = m, t = m - 1$ ).

**Example 2.4.** Trivial examples for generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)$  without 0-secants:

- (1) a set of  $q + 1$  collinear points ( $m = 1$ ),
- (2) a unital ( $t = 1, m = \sqrt{q} + 1$ ),
- (3) complement of a Baer subplane ( $t = q - \sqrt{q}, m = q$ ),
- (4) complement of a point ( $t = q, m = q + 1$ ).

First we characterize generalized KM-arcs without 0-secants. Such sets intersect every line in  $m$  or  $t$  points; they are sets of type  $(m, t)$ .

A minimal  $r$ -fold blocking set  $B$  is a point set intersecting every line in at least  $r$  points such that each point of  $B$  is incident with at least one  $r$ -secant of  $B$ .

**Result 2.5** ([5, Theorem 1.1]). *A minimal  $t$ -fold blocking set  $B$  in a finite projective plane  $\pi$  of order  $n$  has size at most*

$$\frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{4tn - (3t + 1)(t - 1)} + \frac{1}{2}(t - 1)n + t.$$

*If  $n$  is a prime power, then equality occurs exactly in the following cases:*

- (1)  $t = n$  and  $B$  is the plane  $\pi$  with one point removed,
- (2)  $t = 1, n$  a square, and  $B$  is a unital in  $\pi$ ,
- (3)  $t = n - \sqrt{n}, n$  a square, and  $B$  is the complement of a Baer subplane in  $\pi$ .

A 1-fold blocking set is also called a blocking set. The result above was already proved by Bruen and Thas ([8]) for blocking sets, showing that a minimal blocking set has size at most  $n\sqrt{n} + 1$ .

Clearly, if  $t < m$ , then generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)$  without 0-secants are minimal  $t$ -fold blocking sets.

**Theorem 2.6.** *A generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  without 0-secants in  $\Pi_q, q$  is a prime power, is always trivial, i.e. one of Example 2.4.*

**Proof.** Note that  $m \neq t$  since  $\mathcal{S}$  has to be a proper subset of  $\Pi_q$ . Let  $k$  denote the size of any set of type  $(m, t)$ . Let  $n_m$  denote the number of  $m$ -secants

and  $n_t$  denote the number of  $t$ -secants. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & n_m + n_t = q^2 + q + 1, \\ (2) \quad & mn_m + tn_t = (q + 1)k, \\ (3) \quad & m(m - 1)n_m + t(t - 1)n_t = k(k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

From these equations one can easily deduce the following equations. For more details, see for example [22].

$$(4) \quad k^2 - k(q(m + t - 1) + m + t) + mt(q^2 + q + 1) = 0.$$

The number of  $t$ -secants incident with any point  $Q \notin \mathcal{S}$ , using that  $k = q(m - 1) + t$ , is

$$(5) \quad \frac{k - m(q + 1)}{t - m} = 1 - \frac{q}{t - m}.$$

This number must be a non-negative integer. Thus, if  $t > m$ , then  $1 - q/(t - m) = 0$  and hence  $t = q + 1$  and  $m = 1$ . This is only possible if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a line.

From now on we may assume  $t < m$ . After substituting  $k = t + q(m - 1)$  in (4) and dividing by  $q$ , we obtain

$$(6) \quad m^2 - mt - m - qt + t^2 = 0.$$

Then, since  $t < m$ ,

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{4qt - 3t^2 + 2t + 1} + t + 1 \right).$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}$  must be a minimal  $t$ -fold blocking set whose size  $q(m - 1) + t$  obtains the upper bound in Result 2.5 and hence the result follows. ■

There are some more sophisticated examples, all of them with the property  $m \equiv t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

**Example 2.7 (In terms of GDDs this was found by Wallis, see [9, Theorem 2.34]. In  $\text{PG}(2, 9)$  it is the same as [4, Example 4.4] related to an extremal linear code.)** Let  $\Pi_q$  be a projective plane of order  $q$  and  $\Pi_{\sqrt{q}}$  a Baer subplane of  $\Pi_q$ . Take any point  $P$  of  $\Pi_{\sqrt{q}}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the union of the  $\sqrt{q} + 1$  lines of  $\Pi_q$  which are incident with  $P$  and meet  $\Pi_{\sqrt{q}}$  in  $\sqrt{q} + 1$  points. Then the point set  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \Pi_{\sqrt{q}}$  is a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, \sqrt{q}, q - \sqrt{q})$ .

Example 2.7 exists in every finite projective plane admitting Baer subplanes. In Desarguesian planes, we can generalize this example. To see this we have to introduce some notation. Let  $f(x)$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  function. The graph of  $f$  is the affine point set

$$U_f := \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \text{AG}(2, q^n).$$

The points of the line at infinity,  $\ell_\infty$ , are called directions. A direction  $(d)$  is the common point of the lines with slope  $d$ . The set of directions determined by  $f$  is:

$$D_f := \left\{ \left( \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right) : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}, x \neq y \right\}.$$

Since  $f$  is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, for each direction  $(d)$ , there is a non-negative integer  $e$ , such that each line of  $\text{PG}(2, q^n)$  with slope  $d$  meets  $U_f$  in  $q^e$  or 0 points. The value  $e$  will be called the *exponent of  $(d)$* .

**Example 2.8.** Put  $f(x) = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(x) = x + x^q + x^{q^2} + \dots + x^{q^{n-1}}$ . Then  $|D_f| = q^{n-1} + 1$ , the exponent of  $(0)$  is  $n - 1$ , the exponent of the points of  $D_f \setminus \{(0)\}$  is 1 and it is 0 for the not determined directions. More precisely,  $U_f \cup D_f$  is contained in

$$\mathcal{L} := \ell_\infty \cup \bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\},$$

which is the union of  $q + 1$  lines incident with  $(0)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{L} \setminus (D_f \cup U_f)$  is a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, q, q^n - q^{n-1})$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q^n)$ .

Note that when  $n = 2$ , then Example 2.8 gives Example 2.7 in Desarguesian planes.

The next example has only few 0-secants, later it will turn out that in some sense this is an extreme example.

**Result 2.9 (Mason [19, Theorem 2.5]).** *In  $\text{PG}(2, p^n)$ ,  $p$  prime and  $m < n$ , there exist sets of type  $(0, p^n - p^m, p^n - 2p^m + 1)$  and of size  $(p^n - p^m)(p^n - 1)$  with three 0-secants.*

**Example 2.10.** When  $p = 3$  and  $m = n - 1$  then the point set of Result 2.9 is a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, 2q/3, q/3)$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, with three 0-secants and  $2(q - 1)$   $t$ -secants.

In the following extremal cases it is easy to characterize generalized KM-arcs.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\Pi_q$ . Then the following holds:*

- (1) *if  $t = q + 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a line,*
- (2) *if  $t = q$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is the union of  $m$  concurrent lines, with their common point  $P$  removed,*
- (3) *if  $m = q + 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is the complement of a point,*
- (4) *if  $m = q$  and  $q$  is a prime power, then  $\mathcal{S}$  is the complement of a Baer subplane or  $\mathcal{S}$  is an affine plane of order  $q$  with at most one of its points removed,*
- (5) *if  $m = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset of a line.*

**Proof.** We only prove (4), the rest of them are straightforward (recall that by definition  $\mathcal{S}$  is a proper subset of  $\Pi_q$ ).

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a blocking set, then by Theorem 2.6  $\mathcal{S}$  is the complement of a Baer subplane. Otherwise, denote by  $\ell$  a 0-secant of  $\mathcal{S}$  and suppose for the contrary that there exist two points  $P, Q \notin \ell \cup \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq q$ , there is a point  $R \in \mathcal{S} \setminus PQ$ . The lines  $RP$  and  $RQ$  are not  $q$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  and hence both of them are  $t$ -secants incident with  $R$ , a contradiction. ■

Next we prove some combinatorial properties of a generalized KM-arcs.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\Pi_q$ . Then the following holds:*

- (1)  $m \mid q(q - t)$ ,
- (2)  $\gcd(m, t) \mid q$ ,
- (3) *for any point  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$  if  $t(P)$  denotes the number of  $t$ -secants incident with  $P$ , then  $t(P)t \equiv t - q \pmod{m}$ ,*
- (4)  $t \mid q(m - 1)$ ,
- (5) *if  $q(m - 1) < (q + 1 - t)t$ , then  $m \mid q$ .*
- (6) *if  $m, t \neq q$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, then the number of 0-secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  is divisible by  $p$ ,*
- (7) *if  $m \nmid q - t$ , then the  $t$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  form a minimal blocking set of the dual plane.*

**Proof.** Counting pairs  $(P, \ell)$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{S} \cap \ell$  with  $\ell$  an  $m$ -secant of  $\mathcal{S}$  gives

$$mN = q|\mathcal{S}| = q^2m + qt - q^2,$$

where  $N$  is the number of  $m$ -secants, and hence (1) follows.

The lines incident with  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$  meet  $\mathcal{S}$  in a multiple of  $\gcd(m, t)$  points and hence  $\gcd(m, t)$  divides  $|\mathcal{S}| = qm + t - q$ ; proving (2).

To prove (3), note that the lines incident with  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$  meet  $\mathcal{S}$  in 0,  $t$ , or in  $m$  points. Let  $m(P)$  denote the number of  $m$ -secants incident with  $P$ . Then  $t(P)t + m(P)m = |\mathcal{S}| = qm + t - q$  and hence  $t(P)t \equiv t - q \pmod{m}$ .

To see (4), observe that the  $t$ -secants form a partition of the points in  $\mathcal{S}$  and hence  $t \mid |\mathcal{S}|$ .

Consider a  $t$ -secant  $\ell$  and suppose that each point of  $\ell \setminus \mathcal{S}$  is incident with a further  $t$ -secant. Then  $q(m - 1) = |\mathcal{S} \setminus \ell| \geq (q + 1 - t)t$  since the  $t$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  form a partition of  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $q(m - 1) < (q + 1 - t)t$ , then it follows that there exists at least one point  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$  on each  $t$ -secant, such that the number of  $t$ -secants incident with  $P$  is 1. Then (5) follows from (3).

To prove (6), note that the number of 0-secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the total number of lines of  $\Pi_q$  minus the number of  $t$ -secants, and the number of  $m$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is,

$$q^2 + q + 1 - \frac{q(m - 1) + t}{t} - \frac{(q(m - 1) + t)q}{m}.$$

If  $m, t \neq q$ , then this number is divisible by  $p$ .

When (7) holds, then by (2)  $m \neq t$ . Also,  $m \nmid q - t$  yields  $m \nmid |\mathcal{S}|$  and hence points not in  $\mathcal{S}$  are incident with at least one  $t$ -secant. The minimality follows from the fact that points of  $\mathcal{S}$  are incident with a unique  $t$ -secant. ■

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\Pi_q$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime. When  $\mathcal{S}$  is not a blocking set and  $m, t \neq q$ , then by Lemma 2.12 (6) the number of 0-secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  is at least  $p$  and hence Example 2.10 is extremal in this sense. Also, if the  $t$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  do not form a blocking set of the dual plane, then  $m \mid q - t$ . Example 2.10 is extremal also in this sense, since there  $m = q - t$ . We are grateful to Tamás Héger for finding Example 2.10 in  $\text{PG}(2, 9)$  which led us to find the paper of Mason.

**Theorem 2.13.** *For a generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\Pi_q$ , if  $m \nmid q - t$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is either a maximal arc with one point removed or there are more than  $q + 1$   $t$ -secants and hence they cannot be concurrent.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.12 the  $t$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  form a minimal blocking set and hence their number is at least  $q + 1$  with equality if and only if they are concurrent. In this case  $|\mathcal{S}| = (q + 1)t = t + q(m - 1)$ , thus  $m - 1 = t$  and hence by adding the common point of  $t$ -secants to  $\mathcal{S}$  we obtain a maximal arc. ■

### 3. Mod $p$ generalized KM-arcs of type $(0, m, t)_p$

In this section we generalize further the concept of KM-arcs.



**Notation 3.1.** Recall that a line is a  $t_p$ -secant if it meets  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $t \pmod p$  points. Recall also that a  $t_p$ -secant is *proper* if it meets  $\mathcal{S}$  in at least 1 point. We defined  $m_p$ -secants and *proper*  $m_p$ -secants similarly.

**Definition 3.2.** A mod  $p$  *generalized KM-arc*  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  is a proper non-empty subset of points in  $\Pi_q$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, such that each point  $R \in \mathcal{S}$  is incident with a  $t_p$ -secant and the other  $q$  lines through  $R$  are  $m_p$ -secants, where the integers  $m$  and  $t$  are not necessarily distinct and  $0 \leq m, t \leq p - 1$ .

Generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)$  are of course mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m', t')_p$  as well, where  $m'$  and  $t'$  are integers satisfying  $m \equiv m' \pmod p$ ,  $t \equiv t' \pmod p$  and  $0 \leq m', t' \leq p - 1$ . Now let us see some further examples.

**Definition 3.3.** For  $0 \leq c \leq p - 1$ , a  $c \pmod p$  intersecting point set/multiset is a point set/multiset with the property that each line which intersects it in at least 1 point, intersects it in  $c \pmod p$  points. (Intersection number calculated with multiplicity.) Note that  $c \pmod p$  intersecting point sets and mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, c, c)_p$  are the same objects.

One can easily construct  $c \pmod p$  intersecting point sets (or multisets). Linear sets are  $1 \pmod p$  intersecting point sets (see [21]), the union of  $c'$  linear sets is a  $c \pmod p$  intersecting point set or multiset where  $c \equiv c' \pmod p$  with  $0 \leq c \leq p - 1$ .

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be  $0 \pmod p$  intersecting point sets. If  $L_2 \subseteq L_1$ , then  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  is also a  $0 \pmod p$  intersecting point set. Similarly, we get  $c \pmod p$  intersecting point sets with  $c \equiv c_1 - c_2 \pmod p$ ,  $0 \leq c \leq p - 1$ , when  $L_1$  is  $c_1$ ,  $L_2$  is  $c_2 \pmod p$  intersecting point set and lines meeting  $L_1$  meet  $L_2$  as well.

Here are some examples for mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  with  $t \neq m$ .

**Example 3.4.** A  $c \pmod p$  intersecting point set with one of its points removed is a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, c, d)_p$  with  $d \equiv c - 1 \pmod p$ . Note that the proper  $d_p$ -secants of this point set are concurrent.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be a  $c_1 \pmod p$  intersecting point set and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be a  $c_2 \pmod p$  intersecting point set with exactly one common point. Assume that every line meets either both or none of the sets  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . Then the sum of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is a  $c \pmod p$  intersecting multiset with  $c \equiv c_1 + c_2 \pmod p$  and with exactly one point with multiplicity different from 1.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $c \pmod p$  intersecting multiset, such that only one point  $Q \in \mathcal{C}$  has multiplicity  $r$  and the rest of the points in  $\mathcal{C}$  have multiplicity

1,  $p > r > 0$ . Then by deleting  $Q$ , we get a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, c, d)_p$  with  $d \equiv c - r \pmod{p}$ . Note that the proper  $d_p$ -secants of this point set are concurrent.

The sum of a unital or a Baer subplane (or even any small minimal blocking set) and one of its tangents are examples for point sets  $\mathcal{C}$  in Example 3.5. There exist more sophisticated examples as well, in [1] the authors construct a multiset meeting each line in  $\sqrt{q}-1$  or  $2\sqrt{q}-1$  points in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q$  square. This multiset has a unique point with multiplicity greater than 1, its multiplicity is  $q-1$ . By removing this point we obtain a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, p-1, 0)_p$ . Note that the proper  $0_p$ -secants of this point set are concurrent.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  where  $t \neq m$ . Take  $Q \notin \mathcal{S}$ . If there is no 0-secant incident with  $Q$  or  $m=0$ , then the number of  $t_p$ -secants incident with  $Q$  is  $1 \pmod{p}$ .*

**Proof.** The conditions imply that  $t_p$ -secants incident with  $Q$  are proper. If  $t_p(Q)$  denotes the number of  $t_p$ -secants incident with  $Q$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_p(Q)t + (q+1 - t_p(Q))m &\equiv t \pmod{p}, \\ (t_p(Q) - 1)(t - m) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $t_p(Q) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . ■

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  where  $t \neq m$ . Then the number of proper  $t_p$ -secants is at most  $q\sqrt{q}+1$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.6, the 0-secants and the  $t_p$ -secants form a blocking set on the dual plane. The proper  $t_p$ -secants in this blocking set are essential and hence their number is at most  $q\sqrt{q}+1$  (see [8]). ■

### 3.1. The $c \pmod{p}$ intersecting case

**Proposition 3.8** ([7, Lemma 3] for  $c=1$  and [23, Exercise 13.4] for  $c$  in general). *A  $c \pmod{p}$  intersecting point set  $\mathcal{S}$  either meets every line in  $c \pmod{p}$  points or  $c=1$  and  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q-p+1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  does not have 0-secants, or if  $c=0$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  meets each line in  $c \pmod{p}$  points; hence the result follows. So we may assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is an affine point set and  $1 \leq c \leq p-1$ . Identify  $\text{AG}(2, q)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Note that three

points are collinear if and only if for the corresponding elements  $a, b, c$ , we have  $(a - b)^{q-1} = (a - c)^{q-1}$  (see for example [23]). Define

$$f(X) := \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (X - s)^{q-1}.$$

Counting points of  $\mathcal{S}$  on lines incident with a point of  $\mathcal{S}$  gives  $|\mathcal{S}| \equiv c \pmod{p}$  and hence the degree of  $f$  is  $q - 1$ . For  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $f(s) = (c - 1) \sum_{e_{q+1}=1} e = 0$ , thus  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q - 1$  and hence  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q - p + c$  since this is the largest integer smaller than  $q - 1$  and congruent to  $c \pmod{p}$ . Point sets of size less than  $q + 2$  have tangents, thus it follows that  $c = 1$ . ■

For mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs this gives the following result.

**Proposition 3.9.** *If for a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$ ,  $t = m$  holds, then  $t = m \in \{0, 1\}$  or  $\mathcal{S}$  cannot have 0-secants.*

**Proposition 3.10.** *If for a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$ ,  $t = m$  holds, then  $t = m = 0$ , or  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of  $t$  collinear points, or  $\mathcal{S}$  is a unital.*

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  has no 0-secants, then the result follows from Theorem 2.6.

If  $\mathcal{S}$  has 0-secants, then by Proposition 3.9, we may assume  $t = m = 1$ . By Proposition 3.8,  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q - 1$  and hence each point of  $\mathcal{S}$  is incident with at least 3 tangents. It follows that  $m = 1$  and hence  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of  $t$  collinear points. ■

### 4. Further generalization

In this section, we generalize further the concept of KM-arcs.

Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{A}$  will be a proper subset of  $\Pi_q$ ,  $q = p^n$ , with the following property. For each point  $R \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exist integers  $0 \leq m_R, t_R \leq p - 1$  such that there is *at most* one line which is incident with  $R$  and meets  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $t_R \pmod{p}$  points and the other lines incident with  $R$  meet  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $m_R \pmod{p}$  points. Points of  $\mathcal{A}$  incident with exactly one  $t_R \pmod{p}$  secant and with  $q m_R \pmod{p}$  secants (and hence  $t_R \neq m_R$ ) will be called *regular*, the other points of  $\mathcal{A}$  will be called *irregular*. If  $R$  is regular, then the unique line incident with  $R$  and meeting  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $t_R \pmod{p}$  points will be called *renitent*.

Note that we get back the definition of a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc if  $m_R$  and  $t_R$  do not depend on the choice of the point  $R \in \mathcal{A}$ . However, it will turn out that for regular points these values do not depend on the choice of the point.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $Q$  is regular, then  $t_Q \equiv |\mathcal{A}| \pmod{p}$ . If  $Q$  is irregular, then  $m_Q \equiv |\mathcal{A}| \pmod{p}$ .*

**Proof.** It follows by counting the points of  $\mathcal{A}$  on the lines incident with  $Q$ . ■

**Theorem 4.2.** *For the point set  $\mathcal{A}$ , one of the following holds:*

- (1) *Each point of  $\mathcal{A}$  is regular. Then for any two points  $P, R \in \mathcal{A}$  it holds that  $t_P = t_R$  and  $m_P = m_R$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  with  $m \neq t$ .*
- (2) *Each point of  $\mathcal{A}$  is irregular and hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is a c mod  $p$  intersecting point set, cf. Definition 3.3 and Section 3.1.*
- (3) *There is a unique irregular point  $Q$  and the renitent lines are incident with this point. In this case  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{Q\}$  is as in (1) or (2) and in the former case the proper  $t_p$ -secants are concurrent.*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  be an integer so that  $0 \leq a \leq p-1$  and  $|\mathcal{A}| \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of a line, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is as in Case (1) (if  $a \neq 1$ ) or as in Case (2) (if  $a = 1$ ); thus from now on we may assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains three points in general position.

If each point is regular, then by Proposition 4.1, there exists  $t$  such that renitent lines at the points of  $\mathcal{A}$  are incident with  $t \pmod{p}$  points of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $P, R \in \mathcal{A}$  either  $|PR \cap \mathcal{A}| \not\equiv t \pmod{p}$  and hence  $m_P = m_R$ , or  $PR$  is the unique renitent line incident with  $P$  and with  $R$ . Take a point  $Q \in \mathcal{A} \setminus PR$ . The number of points of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $QP$  and in  $QR$  is not congruent to  $t \pmod{p}$ , thus they are both congruent to  $m_Q \pmod{p}$ , thus  $m_P = m_R$ .

Suppose that the points  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are irregular. Then  $m_{Q_1} = m_{Q_2} = t_{Q_1} = t_{Q_2} = a$ . By the first paragraph, we may assume that there exists  $P \in \mathcal{A} \setminus Q_1Q_2$ . We show that  $P$  must be irregular. Since  $|PQ_1 \cap \mathcal{A}| \equiv |PQ_2 \cap \mathcal{A}| \pmod{p}$ , it follows that  $m_P = a$  as one of  $PQ_1$  or  $PQ_2$  is not renitent at  $P$ . Also  $t_P = a$  by Proposition 4.1. Starting from the two irregular points  $P$  and  $Q_1$  the same argument shows that also the points of  $\mathcal{A} \cap Q_1Q_2$  are irregular. Thus, all points are irregular and hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $|\mathcal{A}| \pmod{p}$  intersecting point set.

On the other hand if there is a unique irregular point  $Q$ , then each line incident with this point is an  $a \pmod{p}$  secant. Also, by Proposition 4.1, for any other (regular) point  $P$ ,  $t_P = a$ . Hence, all renitent lines pass through  $Q$ . Finally, we prove  $m_{P_1} = m_{P_2}$  for any two regular points. If  $Q \notin P_1P_2$ , then it is straightforward. If  $Q \in P_1P_2$ , then take a regular point  $P_3 \notin P_1P_2$ . Then  $Q \notin P_1P_3 \cup P_2P_3$  and hence  $m_{P_1} = m_{P_3}$  and  $m_{P_2} = m_{P_3}$ . After removing  $Q$ , either all regular points turn to be irregular, or all of them remain regular in this new point set. ■

### 5. Renitent lines are concurrent

In this section, our aim is to prove that the  $t_p$ -secants of a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  meeting a fixed  $m_p$ -secant in  $\mathcal{S}$  are concurrent, when  $t \neq m$ .

Now we again define renitent lines in a very similar context.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a point set of  $\text{AG}(2, q)$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime. The line  $\ell$  with slope  $d$  is said to be renitent w.r.t.  $\mathcal{T}$  if there exists an integer  $\mu$  such that  $|\ell \cap \mathcal{T}| \not\equiv \mu \pmod{p}$  and  $|r \cap \mathcal{T}| \equiv \mu \pmod{p}$  for each line  $r \neq \ell$  with slope  $d$ .

The next result can be viewed a generalization of [7, Theorem 5], see also [6, Proposition 2] and [24, Remark 7].

**Lemma 5.2 (Lemma of renitent lines).** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a point set of  $\text{AG}(2, q)$ ,  $2 < q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, such that  $|\mathcal{T}| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then the renitent lines w.r.t.  $\mathcal{T}$  are concurrent.*

**Proof.** For each  $0 \leq \mu \leq p-1$  we define the subset of directions  $\mathcal{D}_\mu \subseteq \ell_\infty$  in the following way: a direction  $(d)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  if and only if there are exactly  $q-1$  affine lines with direction  $(d)$  such that each of them meets  $\mathcal{T}$  in  $\mu \pmod{p}$  points. First we show that the renitent lines with slope in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  are concurrent. It will turn out that their point of concurrency depends only on  $\mathcal{T}$  and not on  $\mu$ . Thus, each of the renitent lines will be incident with this point. For the sake of simplicity we will say ‘renitent line’, instead of ‘renitent line with slope in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ ’.

Suppose  $\mathcal{D}_\mu \neq \emptyset$  and put  $s = |\mathcal{T}|$ , then  $s \equiv (q-1)\mu + \tau \equiv \tau - \mu \pmod{p}$ , where each renitent line meets  $\mathcal{T}$  in  $\tau \equiv s + \mu$  points modulo  $p$  for some  $0 \leq \tau \leq p-1$ . Note that  $\tau \neq \mu$ . If  $|\mathcal{D}_\mu| < q+1$ , then we can always assume  $(\infty) \notin \mathcal{D}_\mu$ . If  $|\mathcal{D}_\mu| = q+1$ , then it is enough to prove that renitent lines with slope in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu \setminus (\infty)$  are concurrent. Indeed, if we prove this, then after a suitable affinity we get that any  $q$  of the  $q+1$  renitent lines are concurrent. Since  $q > 2$ , the result then follows for all renitent lines.

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^s$  and

$$H(U, V) := \prod_{i=1}^s (U + a_i V - b_i) = \sum_{j=0}^s h_j(V) U^{s-j},$$

that is, the Rédei polynomial of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Here  $h_j(V)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $j$ . Note that  $h_0(V) = 1$  and  $h_1(V) = AV - B$ , where  $A = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i$  and  $B = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i$ . For each  $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $U = k$  is a root of  $H(U, d)$  with multiplicity  $r$  if

and only if the line with equation  $Y = dX + k$  meets  $U$  in exactly  $r$  points. Let  $(0, a(d))$  be the intersection of the line  $X = 0$  and the unique renitent line through  $(d) \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$ . Then the lines incident with  $(d)$  yield

$$H(U, d) = (U - a(d))^{\alpha_d p + \tau} \prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{a(d)\}} (U - w)^{\beta_{w,d} p + \mu},$$

with  $\alpha_d p + \tau + (q - 1)\mu + \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{a(d)\}} \beta_{w,d} p = s$ , for some  $\alpha_d, \beta_{w,d} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Multiplying both sides by  $(U - a(d))^{p + \mu - \tau}$  yields

$$H(U, d)(U - a(d))^{p + \mu - \tau} = (U - a(d))^{(\alpha_d + 1)p + \mu} \prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{a(d)\}} (U - w)^{\beta_{w,d} p + \mu}.$$

Here the right-hand side can be written as

$$(U^q - U)^\mu f(U^p),$$

for some polynomial  $f$ . The degrees at both sides are  $s + p + \mu - \tau$ . The second greatest degree on the right-hand side is at most  $s + \mu - \tau$ . Hence, the coefficient of  $U^{s + p + \mu - \tau - 1}$  is zero on the left-hand side, i.e.

$$h_1(d) - (p + \mu - \tau)a(d) = 0.$$

Since  $\tau \neq \mu$ , it follows that  $a(d) = h_1(d)/(\mu - \tau) = -h_1(d)/s = (B - Ad)/s$ . Note that  $a(d)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ . It follows that  $Y = dX + (B - Ad)/s$  is the equation of the renitent line through  $(d)$ . For  $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , these lines are concurrent, their common point is  $(A/s, B/s)$ . ■

### 5.1. Easy consequences of the Lemma of Renitent lines

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $t \neq m$  holds for a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , then for any  $m_p$ -secant  $\ell$  the  $t_p$ -secants incident with the points of  $\ell \cap \mathcal{S}$  are concurrent.*

**Proof.** We may consider  $\ell$  as the line at infinity and so  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{S} \setminus \ell$  is an affine point set in the affine plane  $\text{PG}(2, q) \setminus \ell$ . Since  $|\mathcal{T}| \equiv t - 1 + (q - 1)(m - 1) \equiv t - m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we can apply Lemma 5.2. ■

The next propositions are easy corollaries of the proposition above.

**Proposition 5.4.** *For a generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , if  $1 < t < q$  and  $t \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$ , then  $m \mid q$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 5.3 that for each  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$ , if  $P$  is incident with more than one  $t$ -secant, then it is incident with at least  $m$   $t$ -secants. Consider a  $t$ -secant  $\ell$ . If there is a point of  $\ell \setminus \mathcal{S}$  incident with a unique  $t$ -secant ( $\ell$ ), then by part (3) of Lemma 2.12  $m \mid q$ . If there is no such point, then each  $P \in \ell \setminus \mathcal{S}$  is incident with at least  $m-1$   $t$ -secants other than  $\ell$ . Then the number of  $t$ -secants other than  $\ell$  is at least  $(q+1-t)(m-1)$ . On the other hand the number of  $t$ -secants different from  $\ell$  is  $|\mathcal{S}|/t-1 = q(m-1)/t$ . It follows that

$$(q+1-t)(m-1)t \leq q(m-1),$$

a contradiction, when  $m > 1$ . ■

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $t \neq m$  holds for a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , then either the proper  $t_p$ -secants pass through a common point or for each  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$  it holds that  $|\{Q : QP \text{ is a } t_p\text{-secant}\} \cap \mathcal{S}| \leq q-1$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that the proper  $t_p$ -secants do not pass through a common point. Let  $P$  be a point not in  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_k$  denote the proper  $t_p$ -secants through  $P$ . The proper  $t_p$ -secants are not concurrent, which yields that there is a point, say  $R$ , which is in  $\mathcal{S}$  but not on the lines  $l_i$ . Hence the line  $PR$  must be an  $m_p$ -secant. So the points of  $\mathcal{S}$  on the lines  $l_i$  must lie on the  $q-1$  lines  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{q-1}$  through  $R$ , which are different from  $PR$  and from the unique  $t_p$ -secant through  $R$ . The line  $PR$  is an  $m_p$ -secant and so by Proposition 5.3, on each of the lines  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{q-1}$ , we may see at most one point of  $\mathcal{S} \cap \{l_1 \cup l_2 \dots \cup l_k\}$  and hence the proposition follows. ■

Then the next theorem follows immediately.

**Theorem 5.6.** *For a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$  assume  $t \neq m$  and assume also that the proper  $t_p$ -secants are not concurrent. Let  $t'$  and  $m'$  be the least number of  $\mathcal{S}$  points on a proper  $t_p$ -secant and on a proper  $m_p$ -secant, respectively. Then the number of proper  $t_p$ -secants through a point  $P \notin \mathcal{S}$  is at most  $(q-1)/t'$ . Hence the number of points on an  $m_p$ -secant is also at most  $(q-1)/t'$ . ■*

### 6. Characterization type results

In this section, we will prove some characterization results on mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)_p$ . In the special case of generalized KM-arcs, our result will be stronger. First recall some earlier stability results on  $k \bmod p$  sets.

**Property 6.1** ([25, Property 3.5]). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a multiset in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is prime. Assume that there are  $\delta$  lines that intersect  $\mathcal{M}$  in not  $k \pmod p$  points. We say that Property 6.1 holds if for every point  $Q$  incident with more than  $q/2$  lines meeting  $\mathcal{M}$  in not  $k \pmod p$  points, there exists a value  $r \not\equiv k \pmod p$  such that more than  $2\frac{\delta}{q+1} + 5$  of the lines through  $Q$  meet  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $r \pmod p$  points.

**Result 6.2** ([25, Theorem 3.6]). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a multiset in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $17 < q$ ,  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is prime. Assume that the number of lines intersecting  $\mathcal{M}$  in not  $k \pmod p$  points is  $\delta$ , where  $\delta < (\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)(q + 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor)$ . Assume furthermore, that Property 6.1 holds. Then there exists a multiset  $\mathcal{M}'$  with the property that it intersects every line in  $k \pmod p$  points and the number of points whose modulo  $p$  multiplicity is different in  $\mathcal{M}$  than in  $\mathcal{M}'$  is exactly  $\left\lceil \frac{\delta}{q+1} \right\rceil$ .

**Corollary 6.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a multiset in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $17 < q$ ,  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is prime. Assume that the number of lines intersecting  $\mathcal{M}$  in not  $k \pmod p$  points is  $\delta < 4q - 8$  and that Property 6.1 holds. Then Result 6.2 can be applied and it yields

$$\delta \in \{0\} \cup \{q + 1\} \cup \{2q, 2q + 1\} \cup \{3q - 3, \dots, 3q + 1\}.$$

**Result 6.4** ([25, Result 2.1, Remark 2.4, Lemma 2.5 (1)]). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a multiset in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $17 < q$ , so that the number of lines intersecting it in not  $k \pmod p$  points is  $\delta$ . Then the number  $s$  of not  $k \pmod p$  secants through any point of  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $qs - s(s - 1) \leq \delta$ .

### 6.1. When most of the lines are $m_p$ -secants

In this section, we will consider mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ . We will be able to characterize such an arc, when most of the lines intersect it in  $m \pmod p$  points.

From now on, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$  and assume that  $m \neq t$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  has no 0-secants or  $m = 0$ . So all  $t_p$ -secants are proper  $t_p$ -secants. Assume also that  $q > 17$ .

Note that in this case, the lines that intersect  $\mathcal{S}$  in not  $m \pmod p$  points are exactly the  $t_p$ -secants; hence Property 6.1 holds. The next lemma is an easy consequence of Proposition 3.7 and Result 6.4.

**Lemma 6.5.** The number of  $t_p$ -secants through a point is either at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$  or at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$ . ■



**Lemma 6.6.** *There is always at least one point (not in  $\mathcal{S}$ ), through which there pass at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$   $t_p$ -secants.*

**Proof.** First suppose that the number of  $t_p$ -secants,  $\delta$ , is less than  $(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)(q + 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor)$ . Then by Result 6.2, there is a point set  $\mathcal{P}$  of size  $\left\lceil \frac{\delta}{q+1} \right\rceil < \sqrt{q} + 1$  such that adding the points of  $\mathcal{P}$  with the right non zero modulo  $p$  multiplicities we obtain a multiset  $\mathcal{S}'$  meeting every line in  $m \pmod p$  points. This means that through a point  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  there pass at most  $|\mathcal{P}| - 1$   $m_p$ -secants and hence at least  $q + 1 - (|\mathcal{P}| - 1)$   $t_p$ -secants. Since  $|\mathcal{P}| < \sqrt{q} + 1$ ,  $P$  is a point incident with lots of  $t_p$ -secants. Hence, the points of  $\mathcal{P}$  are not in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Next assume that the number of  $t_p$ -secants is at least

$$(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)(q + 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor).$$

The  $t_p$ -secants partition the points of  $\mathcal{S}$  and each of them contains at least one point of  $\mathcal{S}$ , thus

$$|\mathcal{S}| \geq (\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)(q + 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor).$$

On the contrary, assume that there is no point with at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$   $t_p$ -secants on it. It follows from Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 6.5, that each  $m_p$ -secant contains at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$  points from  $\mathcal{S}$ . So  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1) + t_{\min}$ , where  $t_{\min}$  is the least number of points from  $\mathcal{S}$  on a  $t_p$ -secant. If  $t_{\min} > 1$ , then the number of  $t_p$ -secants is at most  $q(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)/2 + 1$  and we have a contradiction. So  $t_{\min} = 1$  and

$$t = 1.$$

If the  $m_p$ -secants contain at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor$  points, then  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - q + 1$  and again we have a contradiction. If there is an  $m_p$ -secant  $e$  with  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$  points, then by Proposition 5.3, there is a point  $N$  incident with at least  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$   $t_p$ -secants. By Lemma 6.5 and by the assumption that there is no point with at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$   $t_p$ -secants on it, the number of  $t_p$ -secants through  $N$  must be exactly  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2 \equiv 1 \pmod p$  and so  $m = 1$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $m \neq t$ , since now  $t = 1$  too.

Hence, all  $m_p$ -secants contain at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1$  points from  $\mathcal{S}$  and there exists a line  $\ell$  with exactly  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1$  points from  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $M$  be the point through which the  $t_p$ -secants of  $\ell$  pass. The number of  $t_p$ -secants through a point is congruent to  $1 = t \neq m \pmod p$ , hence through  $M$  there pass exactly  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$   $t_p$ -secants. On the rest of the  $q - 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor$  not  $t_p$ -secants through  $M$ , we see at most  $(q - 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor)(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)$  points of  $\mathcal{S}$ , so there are at most this many  $t_p$ -secants not incident with  $M$ . Hence, the total number of

$t_p$ -secants is at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2 + (q - 1 - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor)(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1)$ , which is again a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 6.7.** *The number of  $t_p$ -secants is at most  $2q + 1 + (\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2)^2$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.6, there exists a point  $M$  with at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$   $t_p$ -secants through it.

First suppose that there are no more points incident with at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$   $t_p$ -secants. Let us count the number of points of  $\mathcal{S}$  on the lines through  $M$ . On each of the  $m_p$ -secants through  $M$ , we see at most  $\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2$  points by Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 6.5. And so by Lemma 5.5, in total  $\mathcal{S}$  has at most  $(q - 1) + (\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2)^2$  points. This is also an upper bound on the number of  $t_p$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$ ; hence we are done.

Now assume that there is another point, say  $N$ , with at least  $q - \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor - 1$   $t_p$ -secants through it. For the points in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the unique  $t_p$ -secant through them pass either through  $M$  or  $N$  or it is skew to these two points. There are at most  $(\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2)^2$  points  $P$ , so that neither  $PM$  nor  $PN$  is a  $t_p$ -secant. So the number of  $t_p$ -secants not through  $M$  or  $N$  is also at most this many. Hence, the total number of  $t_p$ -secants is at most  $2q + 1 + (\lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 2)^2$ . ■

The next proposition follows from Result 6.2, from Corollary 6.3 and from Lemma 6.7.

**Proposition 6.8.** *There exists a point set  $\mathcal{N}$  of size at most 3, so that if we add the points from  $\mathcal{N}$  with multiplicity  $m - t$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ , we obtain a multiset intersecting each line in  $m \pmod p$  points. Consequently, the following properties hold for  $\mathcal{N}$ :*

- (1) *a line contains  $1 \pmod p$  point from  $\mathcal{N}$  if and only if it is a  $t_p$ -secant,*
- (2) *through a point in  $\mathcal{N}$  there pass at least  $q - 1$   $t_p$ -secants of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,*
- (3) *through a point not in  $\mathcal{N}$  there pass at most 3  $t_p$ -secants.* ■

**Theorem 6.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q > 17$ . Assume that  $t \neq m$ . If there are no 0-secants of  $\mathcal{S}$  or  $m = 0$ , then the  $t_p$ -secants are concurrent.*

**Proof.** Consider the point set  $\mathcal{N}$  from Proposition 6.8.

If  $|\mathcal{N}| = 1$ , then Proposition 6.8 (1) finishes the proof.

Assume that the points of  $\mathcal{N}$  lie on a line  $\ell$  and  $|\mathcal{N}| > 1$ . If there was a point of  $\mathcal{S}$  outside  $\ell$ , then by Proposition 6.8 (1) through this point there would pass at least two  $t_p$ -secants; a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{S} \subset \ell$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $\ell$  is the only  $t_p$ -secant; again we are done.

So we may assume that  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ . From above, the points of  $\mathcal{N}$  form a triangle. Let  $P$  be a point in  $\mathcal{S}$  and not on the lines  $N_i N_j$ . Then by Proposition 6.8,  $PN_1$ ,  $PN_2$  and  $PN_3$  are  $t_p$ -secants, so there are at least three  $t_p$ -secants through  $P$ ; a contradiction. Hence, the points of  $\mathcal{S}$  lie on the lines  $N_1 N_2$ ,  $N_2 N_3$  and  $N_1 N_3$ . Each of the  $t_p$ -secants contains exactly 1 point from  $\mathcal{S}$ , so  $t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Also, again by Proposition 6.8 and by the current setting the number of  $t_p$ -secants through  $N_1$  is  $|\mathcal{S} \cap N_2 N_3|$ .  $N_2 N_3$  must be an  $m_p$ -secant (again by Proposition 6.8 (1)), so by Lemma 3.6,  $m$  is also  $1 \pmod{p}$ ; which contradicts our assumption. ■

The theorem above yields a stronger characterization result on generalized KM-arcs of type  $(0, m, t)$ .

**Theorem 6.10.** *A generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime, is either trivial, i.e., it is as in Examples 2.3 and 2.4, or  $m \equiv t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $p \nmid m$  or  $p \nmid t$ . Then by Proposition 3.10, we may assume that  $t \not\equiv m \pmod{p}$  and by Proposition 5.4  $t = 1$  or  $t \geq q$ , or  $m \mid q$ . In the first case, as we mentioned before, Gács proved that the only examples are the ovals and unitals, cf. Result 1.1. If  $t = q$ , then take a  $t$ -secant  $\ell$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $P$  be the unique point of  $\ell \setminus \mathcal{S}$ . Since each point of  $\mathcal{S}$  is incident with a unique  $t$ -secant, all  $t$ -secants pass through  $P$ . If  $t = q + 1$ , then there is a unique  $t$ -secant and hence  $\mathcal{S}$  is a line. If  $m = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $t$ -subset of a line.

If  $m > 1$  and  $m \mid q$ , then from Theorem 6.9 either  $p \mid t$  or the  $t_p$ -secants are concurrent. By Lemma 3.6 the  $t_p$ -secants form a dual blocking set and so when  $p \nmid t$ , there are exactly  $q + 1$  of them. In this latter case,  $|\mathcal{S}| = (q + 1)t = q(m - 1) + t$ . So  $m = t + 1$ , hence by adding the common point of the  $t$ -secants to  $\mathcal{S}$  we obtain a maximal arc. ■

## 7. More examples

### 7.1. Cone construction

The construction method described in [14] can be used to construct mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs in  $\text{PG}(2, q^h)$  from mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ . Start from a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , which admits the property that the  $t$ -secants go through the point  $N$ , or start from a maximal arc and a point  $N$  not in the arc. In both cases if  $N$  plays the role of  $Q$  in [14, Construction 3.3], then we get a generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, tq^{h-1})$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q^h)$ . (For more details see [14, Construction 3.3])

and the proceeding paragraph.) Similarly, starting from a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , which admits the property that the proper  $t_p$ -secants are concurrent, or start from a mod  $m$  intersecting point set we may obtain a mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, 0)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q^h)$ .

In both cases, when  $t \neq m$ , the construction yields examples with concurrent  $t$ -secants (in case of generalized KM-arcs) and concurrent proper  $t_p$ -secants (in case of mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs).

## 7.2. Examples from the real projective plane

In this section we consider generalized and mod  $p$  generalized KM-arcs of  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$  defined analogously as in finite projective planes. It is easy to see that any finite subset of a line is a generalized KM-arc. We will need the following two results.

**Result 7.1 (Sylvester–Gallai theorem).** *Given a finite number of points in the Euclidean plane, either all the points lie on a single line, or there is at least one line which contains exactly two of the points.*

**Result 7.2 (Melchior’s inequality [20]).** *Denote by  $\tau_k$  the number of  $k$ -secants of a given point set  $\mathcal{P}$  of size at least 3 in the Euclidean plane. If the points of  $\mathcal{P}$  are not collinear, then  $\tau_2 \geq 3 + \sum_{k \geq 4} (k-3)\tau_k$ .*

**Proposition 7.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite mod  $p$  generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, m, t)_p$  in  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$  not contained in a line. Then  $p=2$ ,  $t=0$  and  $m=1$ .*

**Proof.** Denote by  $\tau_k$  the number of  $k$ -secants of  $\mathcal{P}$  and put  $n = |\mathcal{P}|$ . Clearly, each point of  $\mathcal{P}$  is incident with more than one tangent and hence  $m=1$ . By the Sylvester–Gallai theorem  $\mathcal{P}$  will have 2-secants, and hence  $t=2$ . Thus, the number of proper  $t_p$ -secants of  $\mathcal{P}$  is at most  $n/2$  and this yields also  $\tau_2 \leq n/2$ .

Next we show  $p=2$  (and hence  $t=0$ ). Again from the Sylvester–Gallai theorem, it can be easily shown by induction that  $n \geq 3$  points of  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , not all of them collinear, span at least  $n$  lines, i.e.  $\sum_{k \geq 2} \tau_k \geq n$ . If  $p > 2$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot have 3-secants, thus by Melchior’s inequality

$$\tau_2 \geq 3 + \sum_{k \geq 4} \tau_k = 3 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \tau_k - \tau_2 \geq 3 + n - \tau_2$$

and hence  $\tau_2 \geq n/2 + 3/2$ , a contradiction. ■

The following corollary can be deduced easily from above.

**Corollary 7.4.** *The finite generalized KM-arcs of  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$  are the finite subsets of lines.*

Suppose that there exists an injective map  $\varphi$  from the points of a mod 2 generalized KM-arc  $\mathcal{P}$  of type  $(0, 1, 0)_2$  in  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$  to  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q$  even, such that any triplet of points  $Q, R, S \in \mathcal{P}$  is collinear if and only if  $\varphi(Q), \varphi(R), \varphi(S)$  are collinear. The 2-secants of a real point set  $\mathcal{P}$  are usually called ordinary lines. The Dirac-Motzkin conjecture, proved by Green and Tao [15], is the following: If  $n$  is large enough, then any  $n$ -set of  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , not all of them collinear, spans at least  $n/2$  ordinary lines. On the other hand, if the embedded point set  $\varphi(\mathcal{P})$  is a mod 2 generalized KM-arc, then the number of even secants of  $\mathcal{P}$  is at most  $n/2$ . Hence, it is exactly  $n/2$  and thus  $n$  is even. Up to projectivities, there is a unique known example, due to Böröczky, of  $n$ -sets determining exactly  $n/2$  ordinary lines: a regular  $m$ -gon in  $\text{AG}(2, \mathbb{R})$  together with the  $m$  directions determined by them, where  $m = n/2$ . For embeddings of regular  $m$ -gons, preserving parallelism of its secants, see the survey [18] on affinely regular  $m$ -gons. Note that these objects all give rise to sharply focused arcs defined below.

**Definition 7.5.** A  $k$ -arc of  $\text{AG}(2, q)$  is called sharply focused if it determines  $k$  directions and it is called hyperfocused if it determines  $k - 1$  directions.

**Example 7.6.** In  $\text{AG}(2, q)$ ,  $q$  even, consider a sharply focused arc  $\mathcal{S}$  of size  $k$ ,  $k$  odd. If  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the set of  $k$  directions determined by  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$  is a mod 2 generalized KM-arc of type  $(0, 1, 0)_2$ .

In Example 7.6 the number of tangents to  $\mathcal{S}$  meeting  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $k$ . Also, since  $k$  is odd, each point of  $\mathcal{D}$  is incident with a unique tangent to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then Lemma 5.2 applied to the affine point set  $\mathcal{S}$  gives that these  $k$  tangents are concurrent, they meet in a point  $R \notin \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{S} \cup \{R\}$  is a hyperfocused arc determining the same set of directions as  $\mathcal{S}$ . For  $q$  even (and  $k$  even or odd) the extendability of a sharply focused  $k$ -arc to a hyperfocused  $(k + 1)$ -arc was proved by Wetzl [28].

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which have certainly improved the quality of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] A. AGUGLIA and G. KORCHMÁROS: Multiple blocking sets and multisets in Desarguesian planes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **56** (2010), 177–181.

- [2] S. BALL, A. BLOKHUIS and F. MAZZOCCA: Maximal arcs in Desarguesian planes of odd order do not exist, *Combinatorica* **17** (1997), 31–41.
- [3] S. BALL and A. BLOKHUIS: An easier proof of the maximal arcs conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 3377–3380.
- [4] S. BALL, A. BLOKHUIS, A. GÁCS, P. SZIKLAI and ZS. WEINER: On linear codes whose weights and length have a common divisor, *Adv. Math.* **211** (2007), 94–104.
- [5] A. BISHNOI, S. MATTHEUS and J. SCHILLEWAERT: Minimal multiple blocking sets, *Electron. J. Combin.* **25**(4) (2018), P4.66
- [6] A. BLOKHUIS: Characterization of seminuclear sets in a finite projective plane, *J. Geom.* **40** (1991), 15–19.
- [7] A. BLOKHUIS and T. SZÓNYI: Note on the structure of semiovals, *Discrete Math.* **106/107** (1992), 61–65.
- [8] A. A. BRUEN and J. A. THAS: Blocking sets, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), 193–203.
- [9] C. J. COLBOURN and J. H. DINITZ: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2007.
- [10] B. CSAJBÓK: On bisecants of Rédei type blocking sets and applications, *Combinatorica* **38** (2018), 143–166.
- [11] M. DE BOECK and G. VAN DE VOORDE: A linear set view on KM-arcs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **44** (2016), 131–164.
- [12] M. DE BOECK and G. VAN DE VOORDE: Elation KM-Arcs, *Combinatorica* **39**(3), 501–544.
- [13] A. GÁCS: On regular semiovals in  $PG(2, q)$ , *J. Algebraic Combin.* **23** (2006), 71–77.
- [14] A. GÁCS and ZS. WEINER: On  $(q+t, t)$  arcs of type  $(0, 2, t)$ , *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **29** (2003), 131–139.
- [15] B. GREEN and T. TAO: On sets defining few ordinary lines, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **50:2** (2013), 409–468.
- [16] J. W. P. HIRSCHFELD and T. SZÓNYI: Sets in a finite plane with few intersection numbers and a distinguished point, *Discrete Math.*, **97**(1–3) (1991), 229–242.
- [17] G. KORCHMÁROS and F. MAZZOCCA: On  $(q+t)$ -arcs of type  $(0, 2, t)$  in a Desarguesian plane of order  $q$ , *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **108** (3) (1990), 445–459.
- [18] G. KORCHMÁROS and T. SZÓNYI: Affinely regular polygons in an affine plane, *Contrib. Discret. Math.* **3** (2008), 20–38.
- [19] J. R. M. MASON: A class of  $((p^n - p^m)(p^n - 1), p^n - p^m)$ -arcs in  $PG(2, p^n)$ , *Geom. Dedicata* **15** (1984), 355–361.
- [20] E. MELCHIOR: Über vielseitige der projektive ebene, *Deutsche Mathematik* **5** (1941), 461–475.
- [21] O. POLVERINO: Linear sets in finite projective spaces, *Discrete Math.* **310**(22) (2010), 3096–3107.
- [22] T. PENTILLA and G. ROYLE: Sets of type  $(m, n)$  in affine and projective planes of order nine, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **6** (1995), 229–245.
- [23] P. SZIKLAI: Polynomials in finite geometry, Manuscript available online at <http://web.cs.elte.hu/~sziklai/polynomial/poly08feb.pdf>
- [24] T. SZÓNYI: On the number of directions determined by a set of points in an affine Galois plane, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **74** (1996), 141–146.
- [25] T. SZÓNYI and ZS. WEINER: Stability of  $k \bmod p$  multisets and small weight code-words of the code generated by the lines of  $PG(2, q)$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **157** (2018), 321–333.

- [26] P. VANDENDRIESSCHE: Codes of Desarguesian projective planes of even order, projective triads and  $(q + t, t)$ -arcs of type  $(0, 2, t)$ , *Finite Fields Appl.* **17**(6) (2011), 521–531.
- [27] P. VANDENDRIESSCHE: On KM-arcs in non-Desarguesian projective planes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **87** (2019), 2129–2137.
- [28] F. WETTL: On the nuclei of a pointset of a finite projective plane, *J. Geom.* **30** (1987), 157–163.

Bence Csajbók

*MTA–ELTE Geometric and  
Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group  
ELTE Eötvös Loránd University  
Budapest, Hungary  
Department of Geometry  
csajbokb@cs.elte.hu*

Zsuzsa Weiner

*MTA–ELTE Geometric and  
Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group  
Budapest, Hungary  
and  
Prezi.com  
H-1065 Budapest  
Nagymező utca 54-56, Hungary  
zsuzsa.weiner@gmail.com*