### ORIGINAL PAPER



# Evaluating autumn phenology derived from field observations, satellite data, and carbon flux measurements in a northern mixed forest, USA

Bailu Zhao<sup>1</sup> · Alison Donnelly<sup>1</sup> · Mark D. Schwartz<sup>1</sup>

Received: 6 September 2019 / Revised: 6 January 2020 /Accepted: 7 January 2020 /Published online: 18 February 2020C ISB 2020

### Abstract

Common approaches currently used to monitor forest phenology include direct field observation and indirect approaches such as satellite remote sensing and carbon flux measurements. However, differences in both temporal and spatial scales of these methods make direct comparison challenging. In order to evaluate the reliability of indirect measures of autumn phenology in estimating direct observations, we compared the timing of three transition dates and the rate of autumn progression derived from (i) satellite data (MOD13Q1 006 enhanced vegetation index (EVI) and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) products, 2000– 2017), (ii) carbon flux measurements (net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and gross primary production (GPP), 1997–2016), and (iii) field observation (2010, 2012 for the north site and 2010, 2012, and 2013 for the south site) from a mixed forest in northern Wisconsin, USA. Overall, the transition dates and progression rates derived from NDVI were closest to that of field observations. Furthermore, the start of autumn derived from satellite data was earlier than directly observed leaf coloration (LC), which resulted from species-specific canopy senescence patterns and the sensitivity of the vegetation indices. Even after full leaf fall was reached, EVI continued to detect coloring which was likely due to the presence of understory plant species. Finally, NEE and GPP changes tended to start before LC as a result of tree physiological and environmental changes and continued after full leaf fall possibly due to understory and coniferous activity. These results highlight the need for long-term field observations of both trees and understory species, information on species-specific canopy senescence patterns, and species composition in understanding the efficiency of indirect approaches in estimating autumn forest phenology.

Keywords Autumn phenology . Satellite data . Carbon flux measurements . Direct observation . Mixed forest

# Introduction

Phenology is defined as "the art of observing life cycle phases or activities of plants and animals in their temporal occurrence throughout the year" (Gratani et al. [1986](#page-13-0)). Many studies have shown that plant phenology is sensitive to temperature, and therefore the timing of phenophases has been used as an indicator of climate change in many parts of the world including North America, Europe, and Asia (Schwartz [1998;](#page-14-0) Sparks

 $\boxtimes$  Bailu Zhao [bailu.zhao@hotmail.com](mailto:bailu.zhao@hotmail.com) et al. [2000;](#page-14-0) Defila and Clot [2001;](#page-12-0) Matsumoto et al. [2003;](#page-13-0) Menzel [2003;](#page-13-0) Chmielewski et al. [2005](#page-12-0); Donnelly et al. [2006;](#page-12-0) Ge et al. [2015\)](#page-13-0). In situ observations of tree phenophases such as bud burst and leaf out in spring, fruiting in summer, and leaf coloration and fall in autumn are commonly used for this purpose (Beaubien and Johnson [1994;](#page-12-0) Linkosalo [1999;](#page-13-0) Ahas and Aasa [2006](#page-12-0); Menzel et al. [2006\)](#page-13-0). A number of longterm phenological networks in Europe, such as the International Phenological Gardens network, have been used to demonstrate the impact of rising temperature on forest trees (Chmielewski and Rötzer [2001](#page-12-0); Menzel et al. [2006](#page-13-0)). However, these datasets are often focused on a small number of species with limited spatial coverage and tend to be temporally and spatially discontinuous, making it difficult to determine large-scale and long-term trends in the timing of leaf phenophases (Schwartz [1994](#page-14-0); Peng et al. [2017.](#page-13-0) In addition, observations are made on a few discrete individuals which may not be representative of phenological response to climate

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article ([https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01861-9\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01861-9) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

<sup>1</sup> University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA

at community and ecosystem levels (Diaz and Cabido [1997](#page-12-0); Donnelly et al. [2017\)](#page-12-0).

In contrast, satellites have the ability to monitor vegetation on a continuous basis across a large geographical area. Therefore, satellite data, as a substitute for in situ observations, is becoming one of the most widely used approaches in landscape-scale forest phenological research (Reed et al. [1994;](#page-13-0) Zhang et al. [2001;](#page-14-0) Zhang et al. [2004](#page-14-0)). Numerous studies have utilized satellite imagery-based normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and/or enhanced vegetation index (EVI) to estimate the start and end of greenness or the timing of deciduous tree phenophases, such as bud burst, leaf expansion, and leaf fall (Moulin et al. [1997;](#page-13-0) White et al. [1997;](#page-14-0) Liang et al. [2011;](#page-13-0) White et al. [2014\)](#page-14-0). The spatial and temporal coverage afforded by satellite data facilitate monitoring of vegetation response to climate change at the continental or global scale (Reed [2006;](#page-13-0) Xiao et al. [2006;](#page-14-0) White et al. [2009](#page-14-0); Liu et al. [2016\)](#page-13-0). However, since satellite integrates vegetation signal at the pixel level, some important details on land cover and community composition within a pixel may get overlooked (Liang and Schwartz [2009\)](#page-13-0). This may result in discrepancies between satellite-derived phenology and direct observation data, although such discrepancies in forest ecosystems are argued to be smaller than in grassland, cropland, savanna, and heterogeneous areas (Donnelly et al. [2018](#page-12-0); Zhang et al. [2018](#page-14-0)). Therefore, in order to improve the accuracy and reliability of satellite data in estimating forest phenophases, further research is required.

Carbon flux measurements are another approach used in large-scale phenological studies (Richardson et al. [2010](#page-13-0); Peng et al. [2017](#page-13-0)). Plants influence atmospheric carbon concentration by taking in carbon dioxide through photosynthesis and releasing it through respiration, which generally results in forests acting as a major carbon sink (Goulden et al. [1996](#page-13-0); Schimel et al. [2015\)](#page-14-0). Therefore, the timing of when photosynthesis exceeds respiration in spring is closely related to leaf out and expansion, which in turn impacts the seasonal and annual carbon budget in forest ecosystems (Goulden et al. [1996](#page-13-0); Angert et al. [2005;](#page-12-0) Richardson et al. [2010](#page-13-0)). Multiple studies have extracted deciduous forest phenological transition dates using variations in carbon flux (Desai et al. [2005](#page-12-0); Garrity et al. [2011](#page-12-0); Wu et al. [2013;](#page-14-0) Liu et al. [2017\)](#page-13-0). For example, the transition from dormant buds to budburst corresponds to the start of CO2 uptake from the atmosphere (Richardson et al. [2009\)](#page-13-0). Similar to satellite data, carbon flux measurements differ in scale from in situ observations, as the footprint of a carbon flux tower is  $1.1 \sim 5 \text{ km}^2$  (Chen et al. [2011](#page-12-0)). Therefore, it is not possible to isolate the contribution of individual species and communities to overall carbon flux. Data quality can also be affected by topography, vegetation type, and non-vegetation activities (Baldocchi [2003;](#page-12-0) Solaymani [2017](#page-14-0)), highlighting the need to improve carbon flux accuracy in estimating deciduous forest phenology.

In situ observations, satellite data and carbon flux measurements are all widely used in forest phenological research, with the spring season receiving more attention than autumn (Beaubien and Johnson [1994;](#page-12-0) Schwartz [1998;](#page-14-0) Schaber and Badeck [2003;](#page-14-0) Menzel et al. [2006](#page-13-0); Guo et al. [2015;](#page-13-0) Donnelly et al. [2017\)](#page-12-0). Although spring leaf development and flowering advance has been broadly observed, a trend toward a delay in autumn leaf coloration and leaf fall was less consistent (Defila and Clot [2001](#page-12-0); Chmielewski et al. [2005;](#page-12-0) Menzel et al. [2006](#page-13-0)). In addition, a distinct NDVI threshold of 0.6 to 0.7 corresponds to spring leaf expansion, but no corresponding threshold has yet been found for autumn defoliation (Nagai et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0). Furthermore, both NDVI and EVI2 (two-band EVI, similar to the three-band EVI but blue band is not used) show higher correlation with the PhenoCamderived green chromatic coordinate and vegetation contrast index for green-up than for leaf coloration (Zhang et al. [2018\)](#page-14-0). Nevertheless, even though autumn phenology is less understood, its influence on seasonal and annual carbon budgets cannot be ignored. For example, delayed autumn senescence and an extension to the growing season have explained 50% of the annual carbon flux variation in a deciduous forest (Dragoni et al. [2011\)](#page-12-0). Although photosynthesis and respiration both increase with warmer temperatures in autumn,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ release through respiration may offset 90% of the increased spring carbon uptake by photosynthesis (Piao et al. [2008\)](#page-13-0). Therefore, more studies of forest autumn phenology are required to improve our understanding on its relationship with carbon exchange.

In order to address some of the shortcomings in scale between in situ observations, satellite-derived NDVI and EVI, and carbon flux measurements of autumn phenology, we used datasets from all three approaches from a temperate mixed forest in northern Wisconsin, USA. The aims of this study were to explore (i) the accuracy and reliability of satellite data/carbon flux measurements in estimating direct observations of autumn forest phenology and (ii) the environmental and physiological factors that may influence these differences. The results will help improve the understanding of the effectiveness of different approaches to estimate autumn forest phenology.

# Materials and methods

### Study area

The study area was located in the Park Falls Ranger District of the Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest of northern Wisconsin, where the vegetation is mixed temperate forest comprising deciduous (70%) and coniferous (30%) species (Haugen et al. [1998\)](#page-13-0). The area is located within the footprint of a 447-m WLEFAmeriFlux tower (i.e., W Lee E. Franks TV

<span id="page-2-0"></span>tower at 45.94°N, 90.27°W), which has been operated by the Chequamegon Ecosystem-Atmosphere Study group (ChEAS) to record carbon flux since 1995 (Desai et al. [2005](#page-12-0)). In situ phenological observations were available for two 625 m $\times$ 625 m study sites primarily composed of 80-year-old mature hardwood forest each with slightly different species composition. The north study site was dominated by sugar maple (Acer saccharum), red maple (Acer rubrum), and basswood (Tilia americana), whereas the south study site was dominated by quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides), speckled alder (Alnus incana), red maple (Acer rubrum), and white birch (Betula papyrifera), (Fig.1 and Table A1, online resource).

### Data collection and processing

#### Field data

Field data (Table A2, online resource) were collected during 2010–2013. Specifically, autumn phenological data (leaf coloration and leaf fall) were recorded in 2010 and 2012 at the north site and in 2010, 2012, and 2013 at the south site. For each tree, leaf coloration and leaf fall phenophases were recorded using following protocol:  $800 =$  leaf coloration  $\lt$ 

10%;  $810 =$  leaf coloration  $10 \sim 50\%$ ;  $850 =$  leaf coloration 50~90%; 890 = leaf coloration > 90%; 900 = leaf fall < 10%; 910 = leaf fall  $10~50%$ ; 950 = leaf fall 50~90%;  $990 =$  leaf fall  $> 90\%$  (Schwartz and Liang [2013](#page-14-0); Yu et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0). Afterward, field observations were scaled up to the site level in a two-step process (Liang and Schwartz [2009\)](#page-13-0), so that it becomes comparable with satellite data and carbon flux measurements in terms of scale (details of field data collection and upscale can be found in the supplemental material).

In 2010 and 2012, field observations began after leaf coloration (LC) had started. In particular, when observations began, the population phenology of all species except for tamarack (Larix laricina, 2010) and basswood (2012) were greater than 800 ( $LC < 10\%$ ) in the north site, while all species were greater than 800 in the south site. In 2013, observation ended before the full leaf coloration (FLC) and full leaf fall (FLF) of speckled alder were reached. Therefore, it was difficult to identify the exact start of LC and LF (leaf fall) dates in 2010 and 2012 and FLC and FLF dates in 2013. Given this situation, three transition dates were calculated instead of the directly observed phenophases based on a logistic model described in Zhang et al.  $(2003)$ :



Fig. 1 Map of north and south study sites generated from a QuickBird (2.4 m) false color composite image (September 27, 2012). Black dots represent location of the plots where phenological observations were

recorded on trees. The blue lines outline the boundaries of the study sites, with the WLEF Flux Tower in the middle of the image

$$
y(t) = \frac{c}{1 + e^{a + bt}} + d \tag{1}
$$

Where t is time in days,  $y(t)$  is the leaf coloration or leaf fall value at time t, a and b are fitting parameters, and  $c+d$  is the maximum phenophase value. Subsequently, change of curvature (i.e., the derivative of curvature derived from function (1)) was calculated. For each time series two minimum and one maximum change of curvature values were determined which represented three transition dates, i.e.,  $LCt_1$ ,  $LCt_2$ , and  $LCt_3$ (first, second, and third transition of leaf coloration) and  $LFt<sub>1</sub>$ ,  $LFt<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $LFt<sub>3</sub>$  (first, second, and third transition of leaf fall).

### Satellite data

The MOD13Q1 006 vegetation index dataset was selected for processing the satellite-based phenology which were acquired via Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform [\(https://developers.](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/MODIS_006_MOD13Q1) [google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/MODIS\\_006\\_](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/MODIS_006_MOD13Q1) [MOD13Q1\)](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/MODIS_006_MOD13Q1). GEE is a cloud-based platform enabling users to process large datasets with limited lines of code (Gorelick et al. [2017\)](#page-13-0). In contrast to conventional data processing procedures, GEE simplifies data processing by removing image clipping, reprojection, and format conversion processes. Furthermore, when estimating phenology with satellite data, the vegetation index (VI) value of each pixel was weighted by the area of intersection within the plot (Liang et al. [2011](#page-13-0)), which is also feasible via GEE-provided functions.

The VI values obtained were normalized using the following Eq. (2) described in White et al. ([1997](#page-14-0)):

$$
VI_{ratio} = \frac{VI - VI_{min}}{VI_{max} - VI_{min}}\tag{2}
$$

The normalization process did not have a significant influence on data quality (shown by the mostly insignificant difference in terms of fitting parameters and goodness of fit, Table A3, online resource), while it reduced the complexity of model by fixing the parameters  $c$  and  $d$  to 1 and 0. The normalized time series (NDVI<sub>nor</sub> for normalized NDVI and  $EVI_{nor}$  for normalized EVI) was also applied to Eq. [\(1](#page-2-0)), and in this case  $y(t)$  was the VI value at time *t*. Similarly, three transition dates were retrieved from the change of curvature corresponding to the start of autumn (SOA), middle of autumn (MOA), and end of autumn (EOA).

# Carbon flux data

Carbon flux data for WLEF/Park Falls was downloaded from the AmeriFlux website ([http://ameriflux.lbl.gov/sites/siteinfo/](http://ameriflux.lbl.gov/sites/siteinfo/US-PFa#doi) [US-PFa#doi\)](http://ameriflux.lbl.gov/sites/siteinfo/US-PFa#doi) for the study period 1997–2016 excluding 2005 and 2010 as data for these years were unavailable or unreliable due to equipment failure. For the remaining data, only daytime records reflecting photosynthetic productivity were utilized since nighttime gross primary production (GPP) is usually zero and net ecosystem exchange (NEE) tends to reflect nighttime respiration. A double-logistic function was applied to derive the same three transitions: SOA, MOA, and EOA. The function is described in Eq. (3), which originates from the double logistic function in Fisher et al. ([2006](#page-12-0)) and the two-section logistic models in Zhang et al. ([2003\)](#page-14-0). Nonnormalized carbon flux datasets were analyzed since normalization significantly reduced data quality  $(P < 0.01$  for goodness of fit of normalized and non-normalized datasets).

$$
Flux(t) = a + \frac{d}{1 + e^{ct+b}} - \frac{g}{1 + e^{t+c}}
$$
\n(3)

Where  $Flux(t)$  is the carbon flux index value at time t and a, b,  $c, d, e, f,$  and g are fitting parameters  $(b, c,$  and  $d$  are spring parameters while  $e$ ,  $f$ , and  $g$  are autumn parameters) all estimated by non-linear regression. In agreement with field data and satellite data, SOA, MOA, and EOA were derived from the minimum and maximum values of change of curvature.

#### Transition dates comparison

SOA, MOA, and EOA were derived from both satellite and carbon flux datasets. For field data, equivalent transition dates  $(LCt_1/LCt_2/LCt_3$  and  $LFt_1/LFt_2/LFt_3)$  were also computed. In years for which field data were available, bias error (Soudani et al. [2008\)](#page-14-0) between field observation and the indirect measurements were calculated for each transition date.

$$
Bias \quad error = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} (M_i - O_i)}{n} \tag{4}
$$

Where  $M_i$  is the transition date for satellite data or carbon flux indices,  $O_i$  is the transition date of the equivalent field observation, and  $n$  is the pair of comparisons.

#### Long-term field data proxy

In order to make direct comparison between field observation and indirect measures of autumn phenology, it was necessary to extend the in situ time series to the same timespan as indirect approaches (1997–2017). One method is to use the average of available field records as representatives of long-term records. The effectiveness of this method is examined by the z values (subtract the mean from a data point then divided by the standard deviation) of long-term transition dates and progression rates derived from EVI<sub>nor</sub>, NDVI<sub>nor</sub>, NEE, and GPP. Since indirect determination of autumn phenology may be used as a proxy for field observations, the effectiveness of average field transitions and progression rates could be supported if field-observed years were consistent within the longterm trend, i.e., z values are within a threshold. (the threshold

is  $-3-3$ , as only 0.2% of data points would fall out of this range and be considered as outliers).

For transition dates, all z values in 2010, 2012, and 2013 were less than three, suggesting these years were consistent within long-term records (Table A4 online resource). Therefore, the average of six direct observation transition dates in years with available field data (Table A1, online resource) were taken as representative of a long-term field record. For progression rates, the z value derived from  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ in 2013; south site was an outlier (3.62). However, the z values derived from the other three approaches in 2013 were relatively small (− 0.80~0.25), suggesting that 2013 could not be considered as an abnormal year (Table A4, online resource). Therefore, the average progression rate of field data-available years was also taken as representative of a long-term field record.

#### Statistical analysis

In a two-section logistic model (eq.  $(1)$ ), the absolute value of parameter b (or the absolute value of c and f in a double-logistic function) is the rate of vegetation growth or senescence progress, while the absolute value of  $a/b$  (or the absolute value of  $b/c$ and e/f in a double-logistic function) is the peak of growth or senescence progress, which corresponds to MOS (middle of spring) or MOA (Beck et al. [2006;](#page-12-0) Zhang [2015\)](#page-14-0). For satellite data and carbon flux data, linear correlation of progression rate parameters (b or f) was conducted, where the constant was manually set as zero since the intercept did not have any physical meaning. Therefore, the slope represented how many times the rate derived from one approach was compared to another approach. RMSE and P value derived from the T test were used to evaluate the quality of the model because they were applicable in a non-constant regression model.

### Results

# Interannual comparison between satellite-, carbon flux-, and field-derived autumn phenology

### Transition dates

For both the north and south sites, SOA and MOA transition dates derived from all four indirect approaches  $(NDVI<sub>nor</sub>)$  $EVI<sub>nor</sub>NEE$ , and GPP) were consistently earlier than the equivalent transition dates for LC and LF derived by direct observation. Overall, the greatest disparity (− 83~4 days, Table [1\)](#page-5-0) was recorded between NEE and field observations, while NDVI<sub>nor</sub> showed the smallest difference ( $-12$ ~ 4 days). In addition, the bias error between SOA and  $LCt_1$  $(-78~-4$  days) and LFt<sub>1</sub>  $(-83~-12$  days) were generally larger than that between MOA and  $LCt_2$  (−49~−7 days) and LFt<sub>2</sub> (−56 $\sim$ −9 days). EOA derived from EVI<sub>nor</sub> or GPP was generally later (0~26 days) than direct observations while the EVI<sub>nor</sub> bias error was smaller than GPP by  $13{\sim}14$  days. In contrast,  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$  consistently showed an earlier EOA than LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>3</sub> by 5~6 days and  $12~14$  days, respectively. The bias error between NEE derived EOA and  $LCt_3$  and/or  $LFt_3$ was even smaller (67~70 and 35~43 days smaller than the early and mid-season respectively, Table [1](#page-5-0)).

#### Autumn phenology progression rates

In general, the autumn progression rate derived from indirect approaches were slower than direct observations except for  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$  in 2013 at the south site (a ratio lower than one indicates the progression rate derived from an indirect approach was faster than direct observations, and vice versa, Table [1](#page-5-0)). For both sites, the average ratios between  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ and direct observations were consistently close to one (0.88~1.35), while average ratios for NEE were greater (8.49~9.88), suggesting that NDVI was better able to capture direct observations than the other indirect approaches. Furthermore, for both sites and both phenophases, satellitederived progression rates were in closer agreement with direct observations than carbon flux measurements (Table [1](#page-5-0)).

Both MOA and autumn progression rate derived from  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$  were closer to that of direct observation than the other indirect measurements (the bias error between MOA and LCt<sub>2</sub> was  $-7$  days, between MOA and LFt<sub>2</sub> was  $-9$ ~ 11 days, and the ratio was 0.88~1.35). At both sites, NEEderived MOA showed greater bias error from  $LCt<sub>2</sub>$  and  $LFt<sub>2</sub>$ than either GPP or  $EVI_{nor}$  (the bias error between MOA and LCt<sub>2</sub> was  $-39$   $-49$  days, between MOA and LFt<sub>2</sub> was  $-44$   $\sim$  $-56$  days). The magnitude in bias error between GPP/EVI<sub>nor</sub> derived MOA and  $LCt_2$  and/or  $LFt_2$  varied across sites. Conversely, the difference in progression rate between field data and either NEE or GPP was greater than  $EVI<sub>non</sub>$ , while only small differences existed between the former two. Therefore, NEE was considered to be the least reliable approach in capturing direct observations given the lower performance compared to either  $EVI_{nor}$  or GPP (Table [1](#page-5-0)).

# Long-term comparison between satellite- (2000–2017), carbon flux- (1997–2016), and fieldderived autumn phenology

### Transition dates

In general, SOA derived from indirect approaches was earlier than  $LCt_1$  and  $LFt_1$ . The greatest bias error was recorded for NEE in the north site and  $EVI_{nor}$  in the south site, while the lowest was for  $NDVI_{nor}$  (both sites, Fig. [2a, b\)](#page-6-0). Similarly, MOA was earlier than  $LCt_2$  and  $LFt_2$ , while the bias error for both sites was greatest for NEE and smallest for

	<b>Sites</b>	Bias error									Modeled progression rates Average ratio between modeled and field-observed rates	
			$LCt_1$ $LCt_2$ $LCt_3$ $LFt_1$ $LFt_2$ SOA MOA			EOA SOA MOA EOA	$LFt_3$	2010	2012	2013	LC	LF
$EVI_{nor}$	N	$-31$	$-11$	9	$-36$	$-14$	$\overline{0}$	0.13	0.07		4.62	6.98
	S	$-52$	$-20$	13	$-56$	$-24$	$7\phantom{.0}$	0.06	0.06	0.05	4.26	6.35
$NDVI_{nor}$	$\mathbf N$	$-9$	$-7$	$-5$	$-15$	$-9$	$-14$	0.32	0.37		1.35	1.24
	S	$-4$	$-7$	$-6$	$-12$	$-11$	$-12$	0.18	0.24	0.83	0.97	0.88
<b>NEE</b>	N	$-78$	$-49$	$-8$	$-83$	$-56$	$-16$	N/A	0.05		9.88	9.11
	S	$-66$	$-39$	$\overline{4}$	$-71$	$-44$	$-1$			0.04	9.32	8.49
GPP	N	N/A	$-30$	N/A	N/A	$-37$	N/A	N/A	0.05		9.95	9.17
	S	$-28$	$-16$	26	$-32$	$-21$	21			0.05	7.76	7.25
LC	N							0.43	0.50			
	S						—	0.41	0.45	0.36	$\qquad \qquad -$	
LF	N						$\equiv$	0.28	0.58			
	S							0.27	0.55	0.29		

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 1 Comparison of bias error (average number of days between indirect measurements and direct observation), modeled autumn progression rate for each approach and their average ratios to field observation rates of autumn phenology.

 $LCt_1$ ,  $LCt_2$ ,  $LCt_3$  = the first, second, and third transition of leaf coloration;  $LFt_1$ ,  $LFt_2$ ,  $LFt_3$  = the first, second, and third transition of leaf fall; SOA = start of autumn, MOA = middle of autumn, EOA = end of autumn;  $EVI_{nor}$  = normalized EVI; NDVI<sub>nor</sub> = normalized NDVI; NEE = net ecosystem exchange, GPP = gross primary production; N = north site (2010 and 2012), S = south site (2010, 2012, and 2013); LC = leaf coloration, LF = leaf fall; N/A = not applicable

 $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$  (Fig. [2c, d\)](#page-6-0). In contrast, EOA tended to be later than both LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>3</sub> by 5~23 days and 8~15 days, respectively, apart from  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$  which occurred on the same day as  $LFt<sub>3</sub>$ at the south site and was earlier at the north site by 2 days. Bias error was smallest for  $NDVI_{nor}$  (0~7 days) and largest for GPP (14~23 days) which exceeded NEE by 6 days at both sites and exceeded EVI<sub>nor</sub> by 4 days at the north site and 3 days at the south site (Fig. [2e, f](#page-6-0)).

### Autumn phenology progression rates

In contrast to the short-term comparisons, all four indirect approaches underestimated the rate of LC and LF at both sites over the long-term. Ratios between field data and  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ remained the lowest for both sites and phenophases (1.93~2.12) while that between field data and NEE were consistently the largest (5.74~7.23). Conversely, the order of  $EVI_{\text{nor}}$  and GPP differed between phenophases, whereby  $EVI_{nor}$  ratios were lower than GPP for LC (5.50~5.97 versus 5.89~6.38) and larger for LF (5.64~6.19 versus 5.07~5.56). This result suggests that  $EVI_{nor}$ , NEE, and GPP were less effective than  $NDVI_{nor}$  at capturing LC and LF observations.

Linear regression analysis between satellite and carbon flux-derived progression rates at both sites revealed significantly  $(P < 0.01)$  positive relationships with low RMSE  $(0.021~0.138)$ , resulting in the following order: NEE  $\approx$  $GPP \approx EVI_{nor} < NDVI_{nor}$  (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). Specifically, the progression rate derived from  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$  tended to be faster than from EVI<sub>nor</sub>, NEE, or GPP (NDVI<sub>nor</sub> rate was 1.159~2.053,

1.553~2.551, and 1.933~2.300 times greater than NEE, GPP, and  $EVI_{nor}$  rate, respectively), while the progression rates derived from these three approaches were relatively similar (EVI<sub>nor</sub> rate was −0.114~0.015 and 0.142~0.246 times faster than NEE and GPP rate, respectively).

The bias error between MOA and  $LCt<sub>2</sub>$  and/or  $LFt<sub>2</sub>$  was smallest for  $NDVI_{nor}$  (1~10 days) and largest for NEE (18~25 days), while the order of  $EVI<sub>nor</sub>$  and GPP differed be-tween sites (Fig. [2c, d](#page-6-0)).  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ -derived progression rate was also closest to LC and LF, suggesting that this method was more effective than  $EVI_{no}$ , NEE, and GPP at capturing changes in phenology. In addition, MOA derived from  $EVI_{nor}$ , NEE, and GPP were generally a few days later  $(8-25 \text{ days})$  than  $LCt<sub>2</sub>$  and LFt<sub>2</sub>, indicating delayed autumn progression compared to direct observations. Furthermore, the rate of LC and LF was 5.07~7.23 times faster than  $EVI<sub>nor</sub>$ , NEE-, and GPP-derived progression rates. This resulted in an earlier SOA, later EOA, and longer autumn duration for indirect estimated phenology compared to directly observe autumn phenology. Considering the similarity in progression rates and inconsistent bias error order, the ability of  $EVI_{no}$ , NEE, and GPP was similar in terms of capturing autumn canopy change signals.

# Field phenology corresponding with satellite-derived transition dates

Although the phenological stages of LC and LF corresponding to SOA, MOA, and EOA derived from indirect approaches could be estimated using the fitted field observation curve, the <span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 2 Autumn phenology derived from satellite data (2000– 2017) and carbon flux measurements (1997–2016) compared with field observation (the north site: 2010 and 2012; the south site: 2010, 2012, and 2013).  $SOA = start of autumn, MOA =$ middle of autumn, EOA = end of autumn. Dotted lines show the average first, second, and third transition of leaf coloration, while the dot-dash lines show the average first, second, and third transition of leaf fall. The star in each box represents mean values and open circles represent outliers. Numbers below each label show absolute bias error between mean values of each approach and LC/ LF stage



results may not necessarily represent true field phenology. Since LC had already started (i.e., LC > 800) when field observations began in 2010 and 2012, the phenological stage before  $LCt<sub>1</sub>$  was overestimated. In addition, field observations ended before FLC and FLF in 2013, resulting in the underestimation of LC and LF progression stages after LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>3</sub>. However, slopes could nonetheless identify the rate of phenology progression. For example, the flat line before  $LCt_1$  indicates LC had not yet started, and the flat line after LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>3</sub> indicates FLC and FLF had already occurred (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)).

 $EVI<sub>nor</sub>$ -derived SOA and MOA tended to occur earlier than  $L<sub>Ct1</sub>$  and  $L<sub>Ft1</sub>$  except for the north site in 2010, when MOA

occurred 1 day after  $LCt_1$  (Fig. [4\)](#page-8-0). For this exception, LC progressed by 11% from SOA to MOA, suggesting that LC had just started when MOA was reached. For all other situations, only slight increases from SOA to MOA were found for LC (0% $\sim$ 2%) and LF (0% $\sim$ 4%), suggesting that LC and LF had not started by the time MOA was reached, whereas  $EVI_{nor}$ -derived EOA occurred after LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>3</sub>, except for the north site in 2010 where EOA occurred 1 day earlier than LFt3. In all other situations, the flat lines at EOA indicated that FLC and FLF had already occurred. Therefore, EVI was able to capture LC and LF progression, both of which tended to overlap with the EVI decline after MOA.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 3 Linear relationships of autumn phenology progression rates at two forest sites (north or south) in northern Wisconsin, USA, derived from four indirect measures ( $NDVI<sub>non</sub>$ ,  $EVI<sub>non</sub>$ NEE, and GPP). For either site, two of the four approaches were selected, and their rate parameters were regressed  $((a)$  to  $(k))$ 



Similarly,  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ -derived SOA and MOA tended to occur before or close to  $LCt_1$  and  $LFt_1$  except for the south site in 2013, when SOA was 3 days later than  $LCt_1$  (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). This pattern suggests that LC had just started or had not started yet by the time MOA was reached. In contrast to  $EVI_{nor}$  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ -derived EOA tended to occur later than  $LCt<sub>1</sub>$  while earlier than LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>3</sub>. LC progression corresponding to EOA varied between 20% and 80%, while LF progression varied between 0% and 53%. Therefore, NDVI tended to decrease earlier than or close to field-observed canopy change, while it stopped declining before FLC and FLF.

### Variation in carbon flux during senescence

In 2012 and 2013 in both sites, NEE increased and GPP decreased before  $LCt_1$  and  $LFt_1$  occurred and continued after FLC and FLF were reached (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0). During observed LC and LF progression, GPP decreased and NEE increased as expected. In particular, NEE increased by 0.25  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the north site (2012) and by 0.39 and 0.92  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the south site (2012 and 2013, LCt<sub>1</sub>–LCt<sub>3</sub>). NEE increased by 0.27  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the north site (2012) and by 0.28 and 0.88  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the south site (2012 and 2013, LFt<sub>1</sub>-LFt<sub>3</sub>). Meanwhile, GPP decreased by 0.55  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the north site and by 0.84~3.09  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the south site and by 0.55  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the north site and by 0.57~3.2 µmolCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the south site from LFt<sub>1</sub> to  $LFt<sub>3</sub>$ . However, the change of NEE and GPP during fieldobserved autumn phenology was only a small fraction of the entire variation throughout the season (6%~17% of NEE and 5%~30% of GPP), suggesting that other factors may also impact carbon flux.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

980  $R^2 = 0.997$ 960 940 Ó EVI<sub>nor</sub> NDVI<sub>nor</sub> 920 LF 900  $\Omega$ 240 255 270 285 300  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ 980 R<sup>2</sup>  $= 1.000$ 960 940 920 900 200 220 240 260 280 300  $\overline{\mathbb{A}^{\cdot \cdot \Theta}}$ 980  $R^2 = 0.997$ 960 940 920 900 ∗⊖ 220 240 260 280  $A$  $\theta$  $980$  R<sup>2</sup> = 1.000 960 940 920 Ä 900 200 220 240 260 280 300  $980$  R<sup>2</sup> = 0.995 960 940 920 900  $\Theta$  $\triangle$ 220 240 260 280 300 **DOY** 

**Leaf Fall**

Fig. 4 Modeled field phenology correspondence with transition dates derived from four indirect approaches. Dot-dash lines are the fitted curves of field phenology. Circles and stars represent SOA, MOA, and EOA derived from  $EVI_{nor}$  and  $NDVI_{nor}$ , respectively. Triangles represent three

# **Discussion**

# Discrepancies between satellite-derived autumn phenology and field observations

There are numerous methods used to determine the timing and duration of the autumn phenology season including direct observation, satellite indices, and carbon flux measurements, each with their own uncertainties. In the current work, the

transitions of field phenology (LCt<sub>1</sub>, LCt<sub>2</sub>, LCt<sub>3</sub> and LFt<sub>1</sub>, LFt<sub>2</sub>, LFt<sub>3</sub>). The three dotted lines in each chart represent 10%, 50%, and 90% of LC and LF based on the observation scheme

SOA derived from satellite data was consistently earlier than the equivalent dates determined by direct observations. However, the decline in  $NDVI_{nor}$  and  $EVI_{nor}$  prior to MOA had little or no overlap with LC and LF phenophases. One reason for this mismatch in timing may be related to satellites observing the upper surface of the canopy from above while direct observations are generally recorded by looking up into the canopy from below; thus, these methods are not necessarily viewing the same part of the tree canopy. Furthermore,

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



Fig. 5 NEE increase and GPP decrease variation. The solid lines show NEE, while dot-dash lines show GPP. The units of NEE and GPP are both  $\mu$ molCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The vertical dotted lines correspond with LCt<sub>1</sub>, LCt<sub>2</sub>,

different parts of a tree could color at different times, the pattern of which depends on the species in question.

Koike ([1990](#page-13-0)) classified 30 tree species in northern Japan into outer-type species, whereby coloration began on the outer part of the crown and in situ observation may detect the start of autumn progression later than satellite observation from above, and inner-type species, whereby the reverse occurred. Therefore, the existence of outer-type species in the study sites could contribute to the earlier SOA observed by satellite data. However, whether the species in our study area were "innertype" or "outer-type" was not known, since there was no information reported for aspen (Populus tremuloides), which

 $LCt<sub>3</sub>$  and  $LFt<sub>1</sub>$ ,  $LFt<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $LFt<sub>3</sub>$ , while vertical dash-dot lines are EOA derived from  $EVI_{nor}$ . Hollow circles and black asterisks are SOA, MOA, and EOA derived from NEE and GPP, respectively

had the largest population in the south site. Furthermore, although maple (Acer mono Bunge) was reported to be an outertype species (Koike et al. [2001\)](#page-13-0), the species of maple (Acer saccharum or Acer rubrum) which had large populations in the current study area were different.

Similar discrepancies in the timing of autumn phenology have been reported by Donnelly et al. ([2018](#page-12-0)) albeit for different species in Ireland and over a longer time period (1970– 2017) whereby the start of coloration was earlier when derived from EVI2 compared to ground observations. Some of the explanations they put forward included the different parameters observed by satellite data and field records, the heterogeneous landscape covered by satellite pixels, and the scale difference between satellite data and field observations. These results highlight the need for further research to help understand and explain these discrepancies.

### Evaluating effectiveness of EVI and NDVI at capturing in situ autumn phenology

Generally, EVI started to decrease earlier than NDVI and ended later, resulting in lower autumn progression rates and a longer autumn duration. The earlier SOA derived from EVI than NDVI is likely due to the higher sensitivity of EVI than NDVI for canopies with high leaf area indices (LAI) (Gamon et al. [1995](#page-12-0); Huete et al. [2002\)](#page-13-0). In particular, NDVI will saturate and remain stable throughout the middle of the growing season (Motohka et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0), and therefore early LC and LF may not be detected. EVI, in contrast, avoids this issue due to its overall lower values and has been reported to be better able to detect canopy change in the early period of LC and LF (Huete et al. [2002](#page-13-0)).

Given the sensitivity of NDVI to soil (Gao et al. [2000\)](#page-12-0) and litter (Van Leeuwen and Huete [1996](#page-14-0)) and to subtle changes in leaf spectral properties (Motohka et al. [2010](#page-13-0); Junker and Ensminger [2016](#page-13-0)) during LC, it was not surprising that NDVI-derived EOA occurred earlier than  $LCt<sub>3</sub>$  and  $LFt<sub>3</sub>$ . In particular, NDVI has been reported to vary with soil lightness and land cover when LAI is constant (Gao et al. [2000](#page-12-0)) and to be susceptible to noise produced by soil and litter (Van Leeuwen and Huete [1996\)](#page-14-0). In addition, change in maple leaf color in late autumn could delay the decrease in NDVI. Although NDVI showed an obvious decrease when leaves turned from green to yellow during early autumn (2004– 2008) in a Japanese deciduous forest (Motohka et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0), an increase was reported when maple (Acer saccharum Marsh.) leaves in Canada changed from yellow to red during late autumn (Junker and Ensminger [2016](#page-13-0)). Therefore, the increase in NDVI resulting from red leaves may offset the decrease caused by yellow leaves, resulting in a stabilizing trend in NDVI prior to FLC and FLF.

In contrast to NDVI, EVI did not finish declining until nearly 2 weeks after LCt<sub>3</sub> was reached and in some instances even 1 week after  $LFt_3$ . This is in agreement with that reported for a 35-year time series in which EVI2 decrease ended later and lasted longer than the overall field record of many species in Ireland (Donnelly et al. [2018](#page-12-0)). Since EVI has been shown to be insensitive to coniferous late autumn phenology as they do not change color (Huete et al. [2002;](#page-13-0) Yuan et al. [2018](#page-14-0)), the delayed decline recorded in the current study may have resulted from the influence of late coloring understory shrubs, which remain green after canopy species became leafless (Xu et al. [2007](#page-14-0)). Compared to NDVI, EVI has reduced sensitivity to background soil while keeping the sensitivity to vegetation by incorporating the blue band (Huete et al. [2002](#page-13-0)), thus enabling it to detect understory vegetation change under an open canopy in late autumn with limited noise interference.

These results suggest that the proportion of deciduous and coniferous species, the pattern in which color changes occur in deciduous species, together with the actual color (yellow/red) may have a significant impact on satellite-derived autumn phenology and highlights the continued need for in situ ground observations of trees and shrubs (Donnelly et al. [2019\)](#page-12-0) with which to validate satellite-derived vegetation indices.

# Evaluating the effectiveness of carbon flux measurements at capturing in situ autumn phenology

During early autumn, NEE started to increase and GPP started to decrease before  $LCt<sub>1</sub>$ . When only considering years for which both flux and field data were available, NEEderived SOA occurred 9~11 weeks prior to field-observed  $LCt<sub>1</sub>$  and 6 weeks earlier when the long-term datasets were used. This pattern was in close agreement with a reported reduction in maximum carboxylation rate (an indicator of photosynthetic capacity) of five deciduous species in Tennessee, USA, which occurred 6–8 weeks before observed leaf senescence during 1997 to 1998 (Wilson et al. [2000b\)](#page-14-0). Furthermore, the follow-up study suggested that this reduction started during mid-summer when both leaf nitrogen content and leaf area were constant (Wilson et al. [2001\)](#page-14-0). In southern Wisconsin, USA, leaf photosynthetic capacity per unit area for red maple and sugar maple peaked in summer during 1986 to 1989 (Reich et al. [1991\)](#page-13-0), supporting our findings in northern Wisconsin.

The decline in carbon flux before  $LCt<sub>1</sub>$  was reached may at least in part be a result of asynchronous canopy senescence whereby color change starts at the outer part of the canopy for some species, resulting in an earlier start to carbon flux decline than field-observed LC and LF. In addition, photosynthesis has been reported to decline prior to visible symptoms of chlorophyll degradation and LC being detected in response to physiological and environmental changes (Reich et al. [1991;](#page-13-0) Wilson et al. [2000b;](#page-14-0) Bauerle et al. [2012](#page-12-0)). In terms of physiological changes, the net photosynthesis per unit area of pin oak (Quercus ellipsoidalis), red maple, and sugar maple in Wisconsin peaks in summer then decline in response to growing leaf age (Reich et al. [1991\)](#page-13-0).Similarly, the photosynthesis of white oak (Quercus alba L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), and red maple in Tennessee shows the same temporal pattern with the increase of leaf age as well as leaf thickness (Wilson et al. [2000a](#page-14-0)). In terms of environmental changes, a decrease in photosynthetic capacity for 23 tree species (both deciduous and coniferous) after the summer solstice (when leaves are still green) resulted from shortening photoperiod (Bauerle et al. [2012\)](#page-12-0), while drought could also reduce autumn photosynthetic capacity of deciduous trees as has been

reported in Michigan and Tennessee (Weber and Gates [1990](#page-14-0); Wilson et al. [2000a;](#page-14-0) Busch et al. [2008](#page-12-0)).

During late autumn, NEE continued to decline and GPP to increase after FLC and FLF were reached, but this signal could not be attributed to deciduous tree activity. The carbon flux signal may have resulted from a combination of coniferous and/or understory shrub photosynthesis as chlorophyll would have likely been still active. Unfortunately, since phenology of understory vegetation and conifers was not recorded in this study, it was not possible to determine their contribution to either the carbon flux- or the satellite-derived signals. However, a previous study (carried out in the eastern USA on 73 common species in deciduous forests) suggested that some non-native understory species remained active after trees were leafless (Fridley [2012](#page-12-0)). Similarly, the late leafing native species in a New York secondary growth forest were also active after FLF in 2004 (Xu et al. [2007](#page-14-0)). Evergreen species, on the other hand, lack observable autumn phenology, while photoperiod and temperature could be influential on autumn photosynthesis capability of conifers such as Pinus banksiana (Tanja et al. [2003](#page-14-0); Richardson et al. [2009](#page-13-0)).

### Explaining the consistency between NDVI-derived and field-observed autumn phenology

Although the timing of SOA and MOA derived from  $NDVI<sub>nor</sub>$ showed closer agreement with field-observed  $LCt_1$ ,  $LCt_2$ , LFt<sub>1</sub>, and LFt<sub>2</sub> than that derived from EVI<sub>nor</sub>, NEE, or GPP, this relationship does not necessarily imply that NDVI was a better predictor of field phenology during early autumn. Since LC was observed from the bottom of the canopy even though coloration may have already started at the top, and NDVI decline began after LC had started (top of canopy), LC and NDVI show close agreement likely due to the slight delay in both methods. However, this phenomenon did not persist into late autumn, and the bias error between EOA derived from the indirect approaches and  $LCt<sub>3</sub>$  and/or  $LFt<sub>3</sub>$  generally became closer than in early autumn. Therefore, the overall higher consistency between NDVI and field observations resulted from (i) the delay in NDVI decline and field-observed LC during early autumn compared to LC at the top of the canopy and (ii) the reduced difference among indirect approaches during late autumn.

# Relationship between satellite data and carbon flux measurements

During early autumn, there was higher temporal consistency between EVI- and carbon flux-derived SOA than between NDVI- and carbon flux-derived SOA. In particular, EVI, NEE, and GPP all started to decrease, while NDVI remained stable partly due to the fact that NDVI becomes saturated at high LAI. Furthermore, NDVI is not as efficient as EVI in estimating chlorophyll content during early autumn (Gitelson and Merzlyak [1994](#page-13-0); Junker and Ensminger [2016\)](#page-13-0). In particular, NDVI becomes less sensitive with increasing chlorophyll content in sugar maple in Canada (Junker and Ensminger [2016\)](#page-13-0) and grassland, shrubs, and trees in California (Gamon et al. [1995](#page-12-0)). Similarly, NDVI became saturated when leaf chlorophyll content was low in horse chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum L.) and Norway maple (Acer platanoides L., Gitelson and Merzlyak [1994](#page-13-0)). Compared to NDVI, EVI has been shown to have a similar relationship with chlorophyll content but with a higher saturation threshold (Schlemmer et al. [2013\)](#page-14-0); and when chlorophyll content was high, EVI crop canopy noise was lower than NDVI (Peng et al. [2017](#page-13-0)). These results indicate that EVI has higher sensitivity to chlorophyll content than NDVI in highly vegetated areas, which contributes to its closer temporal agreement with carbon flux variation during early autumn.

The better temporal consistency between EVI and carbon flux measurements than NDVI during late autumn was based on the fact that both EVI and carbon flux indices continued to decrease after FLC and FLF, while NDVI stopped declining before FLC and FLF were reached. This was likely due to the higher sensitivity of EVI to sparse vegetation than NDVI. Therefore, EVI decline had higher temporal consistency with NEE and GPP than NDVI in both early and late autumn, leading to the overall similarity in autumn duration and progression rates. Similar reports by Wu et al. [\(2017](#page-14-0)) suggested that NDVI-derived phenology had poor correlation with carbon derived end of growing season, especially for mixed forests; and Peng et al. [\(2017](#page-13-0)) suggested that EVIbased spring onset had higher consistency with carbon flux measurements than NDVI. The consistency between EVI and carbon flux measurements was also supported by the reports estimating GPP with EVI in Amazonian and North American forests (Huete et al. [2006](#page-13-0); Harris and Dash [2010](#page-13-0)).

Overall, the difference between these approaches in capturing field phenology may, at least in part, be explained by the difference in what they measure, i.e., satellite data is a measure of vegetation spectral properties while carbon flux is a measure of photosynthetic activity. Although EVI is more sensitive than NDVI under high chlorophyll content, neither is a good predictor of leaf chlorophyll content partly due to the absence of green band. In particular, the green band is argued to be sensitive to chlorophyll (Gitelson and Merzlyak [1994;](#page-13-0) Datt [1998\)](#page-12-0), whereby indices that include the green band show higher consistency with chlorophyll content than NDVI (Gitelson et al. [1996](#page-13-0); Lichtenthaler et al. [1996](#page-13-0); Motohka et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0) while lower noise than EVI (Peng et al. [2017\)](#page-13-0). Furthermore, the photosynthetic capability of vegetation may vary even when vegetation spectral properties are similar (Prince [1991\)](#page-13-0) due to the changes in photoperiod, temperature, drought leaf age, leaf thickness, etc., as discussed earlier.

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>Conclusion

The transition dates and progression rates of autumn phenology in a northern Wisconsin mixed temperature forest were derived from field data, satellite data, and carbon flux measurements. Our results suggest that the timing of autumn transition dates and progression rates derived from NDVI were closer to that of field observations than EVI, NEE, or GPP, while the reliability of the latter three were close. The consistency between NDVI and field observation was, at least in part, a result of inaccuracies of both approaches. Indirect approaches tended to derive earlier SOA than  $LCt_1$ , while EVI, NEE, and GPP continued to decrease after FLC and FLF. The advanced SOA derived from satellite data could be influenced by species-specific canopy senescence patterns and the sensitivity of vegetation indices, while the advanced SOA derived from carbon flux measurements was more likely due to trees responding to physiological and environmental changes. EVI could possibly detect change in understory activity in late autumn as indicated by the decline after FLC and FLF, while the decrease in carbon flux measurements during this period could have resulted from both understory and conifers. There were a number of limitations associated with this study relating to the limited availably of in situ field data in terms of the length of the time series, a lack of conifer and shrub phenological observations, a lack of detailed data on canopy senescence patterns, and missing data on the extremes of the season all of which could help, at least in part, explain the observed discrepancies between in situ and remotely derived autumn phenology.

# References

- Ahas R, Aasa A (2006) The effects of climate change on the phenology of selected Estonian plant, bird and fish populations. Int J Biometeorol 51:17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-006-0041-z>
- Angert A, Biraud S, Bonfils C, Henning CC, Buermann W, Pinzon J, Tucker CJ, Fung I (2005) Drier summers cancel out the CO2 uptake enhancement induced by warmer springs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:10823–10827
- Baldocchi DD (2003) Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: past, present and future. Global Change Biol 9:479–492
- Bauerle WL, Oren R, Way DA, Qian SS, Stoy PC, Thornton PE, Bowden JD, Hoffman FM, Reynolds RF (2012) Photoperiodic regulation of the seasonal pattern of photosynthetic capacity and the implications for carbon cycling. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:8612–8617
- Beaubien EG, Johnson DL (1994) Flowering plant phenology and weather in Alberta, Canada. Int J Biometeorol 38:23–27. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01241800) [10.1007/bf01241800](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01241800)
- Beck PSA, Atzberger C, Høgda KA, Johansen B, Skidmore AK (2006) Improved monitoring of vegetation dynamics at very high latitudes: a new method using MODIS NDVI. Remote Sens Environ 100: 321–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.021>
- Busch F, Huner NP, Ensminger I (2008) Increased air temperature during simulated autumn conditions impairs photosynthetic electron transport between photosystem II and photosystem I. Plant Physiol 147: 402–414. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.117598>
- Chen B, Coops NC, Fu D, Margolis HA, Amiro BD, Barr AG, Black TA, Araing MA, Bourque CP, Flanagan LB, Lafleur PM, McCaughey JH, Wofsy SC (2011) Assessing eddy-covariance flux tower location bias across the Fluxnet-Canada Research Network based on remote sensing and footprint modelling. Agric For Meteorol 151: 87–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.09.005>
- Chmielewski FM, Rötzer T (2001) Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. Agric For Meteorol 108:101–112. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00233-7) [org/10.1016/S0168-1923\(01\)00233-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00233-7)
- Chmielewski FM, Muller A, Kuchler W (2005) Possible impacts of climate change on natural vegetation in Saxony (Germany). Int J Biometeorol 50:96–104. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-005-0275-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-005-0275-1) [1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-005-0275-1)
- Datt B (1998) Remote sensing of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, chlorophyll a+b, and total carotenoid content in eucalyptus leaves. Remote Sens Environ 66:111–121. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(98\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00046-7) [00046-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00046-7)
- Defila C, Clot B (2001) Phytophenological trends in Switzerland. Int J Biometeorol 45:203–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004840100101>
- Desai AR, Bolstad PV, Cook BD, Davis KJ, Carey EV (2005) Comparing net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide between an old-growth and mature forest in the upper Midwest, USA. Agric For Meteorol 128:33–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2004.09.005>
- Diaz S, Cabido M (1997) Plant functional types and ecosystem function in relation to global change. J Veg Sci 8:463–474. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2307/3237198) [2307/3237198](https://doi.org/10.2307/3237198)
- Donnelly A, Salamin N, Jones MB (2006) Changes in tree phenology: an indicator of spring warming in Ireland? Biol Environ 106B:49–56
- Donnelly A, Yu R, Caffarra A, Hanes J, Liang L, Desai AR, Liu L, Schwartz MD (2017) Interspecific and interannual variation in the duration of spring phenophases in a northern mixed forest. Agric For Meteorol 243:55–67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.05.007) [007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.05.007)
- Donnelly A, Liu L, Zhang X, Wingler A (2018) Autumn leaf phenology: discrepancies between in situ observations and satellite data at urban and rural sites. Int J Remote Sens. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2018.1482021) [01431161.2018.1482021](https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2018.1482021)
- Donnelly A, Yu R, Liu L, Hanes JM, Liang L, Schwartz M, Desai AR (2019) Comparing in-situ leaf observations in early spring with flux tower CO2 exchange and MODIS EVI in a northern mixed forest. Agric For Meteorol
- Dragoni D, Schmid HP, Wayson CA, Potter H, Grimmond CSB, Randolph JC (2011) Evidence of increased net ecosystem productivity associated with a longer vegetated season in a deciduous forest in south-central Indiana, USA. Global Change Biol 17:886–897. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02281.x>
- Fisher JI, Mustard JF, Vadeboncoeur MA (2006) Green leaf phenology at Landsat resolution: scaling from the field to the satellite. Remote Sens Environ 100:265–279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.022) [022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.022)
- Fridley JD (2012) Extended leaf phenology and the autumn niche in deciduous forest invasions. Nature 485:359. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11056) [1038/nature11056](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11056) [https://www.nature.com/articles/nature11056#](https://www.nature.com/articles/nature11056#supplementary-information) [supplementary-information](https://www.nature.com/articles/nature11056#supplementary-information). Accessed 1 Dec 2019
- Gamon JA, Field CB, Goulden ML, Griffin KL, Hartley AE, Joel G, Penuelas J, Valentini R (1995) Relationships between NDVI, canopy structure, and photosynthesis in three Californian vegetation types. Ecol Appl 5:28–41. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1942049>
- Gao X, Huete AR, Ni W, Miura T (2000) Optical–Biophysical Relationships of Vegetation Spectra without Background Contamination. Remote Sens Environ 74:609–620. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00150-4) [10.1016/S0034-4257\(00\)00150-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00150-4)
- Garrity SR, Bohrer G, Maurer KD, Mueller KL, Vogel CS, Curtis PS (2011) A comparison of multiple phenology data sources for estimating seasonal transitions in deciduous forest carbon exchange.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Agric For Meteorol 151:1741–1752. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.008) [agrformet.2011.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.008)

- Ge Q, Wang H, Rutishauser T, Dai J (2015) Phenological response to climate change in China: a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol 21: 265–274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12648>
- Gitelson A, Merzlyak MN (1994) Spectral reflectance changes associated with autumn senescence of Aesculus hippocastanum L. and Acer platanoides L. leaves. Spectral Features and Relation to Chlorophyll Estimation. J Plant Physiol 143:286–292. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(11)81633-0) [S0176-1617\(11\)81633-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(11)81633-0)
- Gitelson AA, Kaufman YJ, Merzlyak MN (1996) Use of a green channel in remote sensing of global vegetation from EOS-MODIS. Remote Sens Environ 58:289–298. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(96\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00072-7) [00072-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00072-7)
- Gorelick N, Hancher M, Dixon M, Ilyushchenko S, Thau D, Moore R (2017) Google Earth Engine: planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. Remote Sens Environ 202:18–27. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031) [1016/j.rse.2017.06.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031)
- Goulden ML, Munger JW, Fan S-M, Daube BC, Wofsy SC (1996) Exchange of carbon dioxide by a deciduous forest: response to interannual climate variability science 271:1576
- Gratani L, Fiorentino E, Fida C (1986) Phenological behaviour as a function of temperature for several species of psammophilous vegetation. Anales Jard Bot Madrid 43:125–135
- Guo L, Dai J, Wang M, Xu J, Luedeling E (2015) Responses of spring phenology in temperate zone trees to climate warming: a case study of apricot flowering in China. Agric For Meteorol 201:1–7. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.10.016) [doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.10.016)
- Harris A, Dash J (2010) The potential of the MERIS Terrestrial Chlorophyll Index for carbon flux estimation. Remote Sens Environ 114:1856–1862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.03.010>
- Haugen E, David, Freeman C, Phillip, Theisen A, Mark, 1998 The forest resources of the Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, 1996. Resource bulletin NC-194. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Dept. of agriculture, forest service, North Central Forest Experiment Station
- Huete A, Didan K, Miura T, Rodriguez EP, Gao X, Ferreira LG (2002) Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. Remote Sens Environ 83:195–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(02\)00096-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00096-2)
- Huete AR, Didan K, Shimabukuro YE, Ratana P, Saleska SR, Hutyra LR, Yang W, Nemani RR, Myneni R (2006) Amazon rainforests greenup with sunlight in dry season. Geophys Res Lett 33. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025583) [10.1029/2005GL025583](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025583)
- Junker LV, Ensminger I (2016) Relationship between leaf optical properties, chlorophyll fluorescence and pigment changes in senescing Acer saccharum leaves. Tree Physiol 36:694–711. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv148) [10.1093/treephys/tpv148](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv148)
- Koike T (1990) Autumn coloring, photosynthetic performance and leaf development of deciduous broad-leaved trees in relation to forest succession. Tree Physiol 7:21–32. [https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/7.1-2-3-4.21) [7.1-2-3-4.21](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/7.1-2-3-4.21)
- Koike T, Kitao M, Maruyama Y, Mori S, Lei TT (2001) Leaf morphology and photosynthetic adjustments among deciduous broad-leaved trees within the vertical canopy profile. Tree Physiol 21:951–958. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/21.12-13.951>
- Liang L, Schwartz MD (2009) Landscape phenology: an integrative approach to seasonal vegetation dynamics. Landscape Ecol 24:465– 472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-009-9328-x>
- Liang L, Schwartz MD, Fei S (2011) Validating satellite phenology through intensive ground observation and landscape scaling in a mixed seasonal forest. Remote Sens Environ 115:143-157. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.08.013) [doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.08.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.08.013)
- Lichtenthaler HK, Gitelson A, Lang M (1996) Non-destructive determination of chlorophyll content of leaves of a green and an aurea mutant of tobacco by Reflectance Measurements. J Plant Physiol 148:483–493. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617\(96\)80283-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(96)80283-5)
- Linkosalo T (1999) Regularities and patterns in the spring phenology of some boreal trees. Silva Fennica 33:237–245. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.647) [14214/sf.647](https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.647)
- Liu Q, Fu Y, Zhu Z, Liu Y, Liu Z, Huang M, Janssens IA, Piao S (2016) Delayed autumn phenology in the northern hemisphere is related to change in both climate and spring phenology. Global Change Biol 22:3702–3711. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13311>
- Liu L, Zhang X, Yu Y, Donnelly A (2017) Detecting spatiotemporal changes of peak foliage coloration in deciduous and mixedforests across the Central and Eastern United States. Environ Res Lett:12. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa5b3a>
- Matsumoto K, Ohta T, Irasawa M, Nakamura T (2003) Climate change and extension of the Ginkgo biloba L. growing season in Japan. Global Change Biol 9:1634–1642. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00688.x) [2486.2003.00688.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00688.x)
- Menzel A (2003) Plant phenological anomalies in Germany and their relation to air temperature and NAO. Climatic Change 57:243– 263. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1022880418362>
- Menzel A, Sparks TH, Estrella N, Koch E, Aasa A, Ahas R, Alm-Kubler K, Bissolli P, Braslavska O, Briede A, Chmielewski FM, Defila C, Donnelly A, Filella Y, Jatczak K, Mage F, Mestre A, Nordli Ø, Penuelas J, Pirinen P, Remisova V, Scheifinger H, Striz M, Susnik A, Van Vliet AJH, Wielgolaski F, Zach S, Zust A (2006) European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. Global Change Biol 12:1969–1976. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01193.x) [1365-2486.2006.01193.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01193.x)
- Motohka T, Nasahara KN, Oguma H, Tsuchida S (2010) Applicability of green-red vegetation index for remote sensing of vegetation phenology. Remote Sens 2:2369
- Moulin S, Kergoat L, Viovy N, Dedieu G (1997) Global-scale assessment of Vegetation Phenology Using NOAA/AVHRR Satellite Measurements. J Climate 10:1154–1170
- Nagai S, Nasahara KN, Muraoka H, Akiyama T, Tsuchida S (2010) Field experiments to test the use of the normalized-difference vegetation index for phenology detection. Agric For Meteorol 150:152–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.09.010>
- Peng D, Wu C, Li C, Zhang X, Liu Z, Ye H, Luo S, Liu X, Hu Y, Fang B (2017) Spring green-up phenology products derived from MODIS NDVI and EVI: Intercomparison, interpretation and validation using National Phenology Network and AmeriFlux observations. Ecological Indicators 77:323–336. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.02.024) [ecolind.2017.02.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.02.024)
- Piao S, Ciais P, Friedlingstein P, Peylin P, Reichstein M, Luyssaert S, Margolis H, Fang J, Barr A, Chen A, Grelle A, Hollinger DY, Laurila T, Lindroth A, Richardson AD, Vesala T (2008) Net carbon dioxide losses of northern ecosystems in response to autumn warming. Nature 451:49–52. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06444>
- Prince SD (1991) A model of regional primary production for use with coarse resolution satellite data. Int J Remote Sens 12:1313–1330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169108929728>
- Reed BC (2006) Trend analysis of time-series phenology of North America derived from satellite data. GIScience & Remote Sens 43:24–38. <https://doi.org/10.2747/1548-1603.43.1.24>
- Reed B, Brown J, Vanderzee D, Loveland TR, Merchant JW, Ohlen DO (1994) Measuring phenological variability from satellite imagery. J Veg Sci 5:703–714
- Reich P, Walters M, Ellsworth D (1991) Leaf age and season influence the relationships between leaf nitrogen, leaf mass per area and photosynthesis in maple and oak trees. 14:251–259. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1991.tb01499.x) [1111/j.1365-3040.1991.tb01499.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1991.tb01499.x)
- Richardson AD, Hollinger DY, Dail DB, Lee JT, Munger JW, O'keefe J (2009) Influence of spring phenology on seasonal and annual carbon balance in two contrasting New England forests. Tree Physiol 29: 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpn040>
- Richardson AD, Black TA, Ciais P, Delbart N, Friedl MA, Gobron N, Hollinger DY, Kutsch WL, Longdoz B, Luyssaert S, Migliavacca

<span id="page-14-0"></span>M, Montagnani L, Munger JW, Moors E, Piao S, Rebmann C, Reichstein M, Saigusa N, Tomelleri E, Vargas R, Varlagin A (2010) Influence of spring and autumn phenological transitions on forest ecosystem productivity philosophical transactions of the Royal Society B. Biol Sci 365:3227–3246. [https://doi.org/10.1098/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0102) [rstb.2010.0102](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0102)

- Schaber J, Badeck F-W (2003) Physiology-based phenology models for forest tree species in Germany. Int J Biometeorol 47:193–201. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-003-0171-5>
- Schimel D, Pavlick R, Fisher JB, Asner GP, Saatchi S, Townsend P, Miller C, Frankenberg C, Hinnard K, Cox P (2015) Observing terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle from space. Global Change Biol 21:1762–1776. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12822>
- Schlemmer M, Gitelson A, Schepers J, Ferguson R, Peng Y, Shanahan J, Rundquist D (2013) Remote estimation of nitrogen and chlorophyll contents in maize at leaf and canopy levels. Int J Appl Earth Obs Geoinformation 25:47–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2013.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2013.04.003) [003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2013.04.003)
- Schwartz MD (1994) Monitoring global change with phenology: the case of the spring green wave. Int J Biometeorol 38:18–22. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01241799) [org/10.1007/bf01241799](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01241799)
- Schwartz MD (1998) Green-wave phenology. Nature 394:839. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/29670) [doi.org/10.1038/29670](https://doi.org/10.1038/29670)
- Schwartz MD, Liang L (2013) High-resolution phenological data. In: phenology: an integrative environmental science, vol 132, 2nd edn. Springer, Kluwer. [https://doi.org/10.3159/1095-5674\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.3159/1095-5674(2005)132<170:PAIES>2.0.CO;2) [132\[170:PAIES\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3159/1095-5674(2005)132<170:PAIES>2.0.CO;2)
- Solaymani S (2017) Carbon and energy taxes in a small and open country. Global J Environ Sci Manage 3:51–62. [https://doi.org/10.22034/](https://doi.org/10.22034/gjesm.2017.03.01.006) giesm.2017.03.01.006
- Soudani K, Le Maire G, Dufrêne E, François C, Delpierre N, Ulrich E, Cecchini S (2008) Evaluation of the onset of green-up in temperate deciduous broadleaf forests derived from moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. Remote Sens Environ 112: 2643–2655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.12.004>
- Sparks T, Jeffree EP, Jeffree C (2000) An examination of the relationship between flowering times and temperature at the national scale using long-term phenological records from the UK:44. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004840000049) [1007/s004840000049](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004840000049)
- Tanja S, Berninger F, Vesala T, Markkanen T, Hari P, Makela A, Ilvesniemi H, Hanninen H, Nikinmaa E, Huttula T, Laurila T, Aurela M, Grelle A, Lindroth A, Arneth A, Shibistova O, Lloyd J (2003) Air temperature triggers the recovery of evergreen boreal forest photosynthesis in spring. Global Change Biol 9:1410–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00597.x>
- Van Leeuwen WJD, Huete AR (1996) Effects of standing litter on the biophysical interpretation of plant canopies with spectral indices. Remote Sens Environ 55:123–138. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(95)00198-0) [4257\(95\)00198-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(95)00198-0)
- Weber JA, Gates DM (1990) Gas exchange in Quercus rubra (northern red oak) during a drought: analysis of relations among photosynthesis, transpiration, and leaf conductance. Tree Physiol 7:215–225
- White MA, Thornton PE, Running SW (1997) A continental phenology model for monitoring vegetation responses to interannual climatic variability. Global Biogeochem Cycles 11:217–234. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB00330) [10.1029/97GB00330](https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB00330)
- White MA, Beurs KM, Didan K, Inouye DW, Richardson AD, Jensen OP, O'Keefe J, Zhang G, Nemani RR, Leeuwen W, Brown JF, Wit AD, Schaepman M, Lin X, Dettinger M, Bailey AS, Kimball J, Schwartz MD, Baldocchi DD, Lee JT, Lauenroth WK (2009) Intercomparison, interpretation, and assessment of spring phenology in North America estimated from remote sensing for 1982–2006. Global Change Biology 15:2335–2359. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01910.x) [1365-2486.2009.01910.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01910.x)
- White K, Pontius J, Schaberg P (2014) Remote sensing of spring phenology in northeastern forests: a comparison of methods, field metrics

and sources of uncertainty. Remote Sens Environ 148:97–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.03.017>

- Wilson KB, Baldocchi DD, Hanson PJ (2000a) Quantifying stomatal and non-stomatal limitations to carbon assimilation resulting from leaf aging and drought in mature deciduous tree species. Tree Physiol 20: 787–797
- Wilson KB, Baldocchi DD, Hanson PJ (2000b) Spatial and seasonal variability of photosynthetic parameters and their relationship to leaf nitrogen in a deciduous forest. Tree Physiol 20:565–578. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/20.9.565) [org/10.1093/treephys/20.9.565](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/20.9.565)
- Wilson KB, Baldocchi DD, Hanson PJ (2001) Leaf age affects the seasonal pattern of photosynthetic capacity and net ecosystem exchange of carbon in a deciduous forest. Plant Cell Environ 24: 571–583. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00706.x>
- Wu C, Gough CM, Chen JM, Gonsamo A (2013) Evidence of autumn phenology control on annual net ecosystem productivity in two temperate deciduous forests. Ecol Eng 60:88–95. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.07.019) [1016/j.ecoleng.2013.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.07.019)
- Wu C, Peng D, Soudanic K, Siebicked L, Gough CM, Arain MA, Bohrer G, Lafleurh PM, Peichli M, Gonsamo A, Xu S, Fang B, Ge Q (2017) Land surface phenology derived from normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) at global FLUXNET sites. Agric For Meteorol 233:171–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.11.193>
- Xiao X, Hagen S, Zhang Q, Keller M, Moore B (2006) Detecting leaf phenology of seasonally moist tropical forests in South America with multi-temporal MODIS images. Remote Sens Environ 103: 465–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.04.013>
- Xu C-Y, Griffin KL, Schuster WSF (2007) Leaf phenology and seasonal variation of photosynthesis of invasive Berberis thunbergii (Japanese barberry) and two co-occurring native understory shrubs in a northeastern United States deciduous forest. Oecologia 154:11– 21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0807-y>
- Yu R, Schwartz MD, Donnelly A, Liang L (2016) An observation-based progression modeling approach to spring and autumn deciduous tree phenology. Int J Biometeorol 60:335–349. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-015-1031-9) [s00484-015-1031-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-015-1031-9)
- Yuan H, Wu C, Lu L, Wang X (2018) A new algorithm predicting the end of growth at five evergreen conifer forests based on nighttime temperature and the enhanced vegetation index. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 144:390–399. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.08.013) [org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.08.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.08.013)
- Zhang X (2015) Reconstruction of a complete global time series of daily vegetation index trajectory from long-term AVHRR data. Remote Sens Environ 156:457–472. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.10.012) [012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.10.012)
- Zhang X, Hodges JCF, Schaaf CB, Friedl MA, Strahler AH, Gao F (2001) Global vegetation phenology from AVHRR and MODIS data. Paper presented at the scanning the present and resolving the future. Proceedings, Sydney
- Zhang X, Friedl MA, Schaaf CB, Strahler AH, Hodgesa JCF, Gao F, Reed BC, Huete A (2003) Monitoring vegetation phenology using MODIS. Remote Sens Environ 84:471–475. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00135-9) [1016/S0034-4257\(02\)00135-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00135-9)
- Zhang X, Friedl MA, Schaaf CB, Strahler AH (2004) Climate controls on vegetation phenological patterns in northern mid- and high latitudes inferred from MODIS data. Global Change Biology 10:1133–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00784.x>
- Zhang X, Jayavelu S, Liu L, Friedl MA, Henebry GM, Liu Y, Schaaf CB, Richardson AD, Gray J (2018) Evaluation of land surface phenology from VIIRS data using time series of PhenoCam imagery. Agric For Meteorol 256-257:137–149. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.03.003) [agrformet.2018.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.03.003)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.