#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Coordination of stomatal control and stem water storage on plant water use in desert riparian trees**

**Tengfei Yu1,2,4  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9725-8125) Qi Feng1,2 · Jianhua Si1,2 · Elizabeth A. Pinkard3**

Received: 10 September 2018 / Accepted: 17 January 2019 / Published online: 22 January 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

## **Abstract**

*Populus euphratica* Oliv. is a relatively 'conservative' water user even when growing in favourable water conditions, but the mechanistic understanding of this has received little attention. We undertook an experiment to determine trees water use by measuring stem and root sap flow  $(F_s)$ , variation in stem diameter  $(D_s)$ , leaf stomatal gas-exchange (e.g. conductance,  $g_s$ and transpiration,  $T_r$ ) and water potential  $(\psi_L)$  during the growing season for *P. euphratica*. There was a hysteretic 'apparent feedforward' of stomatal response to increasing VPD. Mean of  $g_s$  was not significantly different among months.  $\psi_L$  was negatively related to  $g_s$  and  $T_r$ , but in contrast, stem  $F_s$  was positively associated to  $T_r$  but not to  $g_s$ . There was no lag in the daily onset and cessation of  $F_s$  between the bottom and top of the trunk, possibly due to the short distance between measurement points (about 2 m), however, the lag time in  $F_s$  between the bottom of the trunk and roots, approximately 30 min, suggested that stored water was withdrawn first from the trunk and subsequently the roots. Daily contraction of  $D_s$  (−*D<sub>s</sub>*) increased with increasing  $F_s$  during both day and night, and expansion of  $D_s (+ D_s)$  showed a logarithmic rise to a maximum with increasing  $F_s$  during the day. Day  $F_s$  and  $-D_s$  were also logarithmic with respect to VPD, with a correlation coefficient equal to 0.51 and 0.50, respectively (*P*<0.001). This suggests that water use of *P. euphratica* was determined by the stomatal control and stem water storage together, which has great significance for the species buffers xylem water deficit, maintaining high leaf production, and water use efficiency.

**Keywords** Stem water storage · Stomatal control · Stem diameter variations · Sap flow · Riparian forest · *Populus*

# **Introduction**

Under steady-state conditions, i.e. assuming plants are unbranched and lacking internal capacitance, whole tree water use can be determined by the soil-to-atmosphere water

Communicated by V. Resco de Dios.

 $\boxtimes$  Tengfei Yu yutf@lzb.ac.cn

- <sup>1</sup> Alxa Desert Eco-Hydrology Experimental Research Station, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China
- Key Laboratory of Ecohydrology of Inland River Basin, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China
- <sup>3</sup> CSIRO Land and Water, Sandy Bay, Hobart, TAS 7005, Australia
- Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 320 Donggang West Road, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

potential gradient and hydraulic resistance along the water transport pathway (van den Honert [1948](#page-14-0)), in which stomatal control plays a significant role in regulating water loss (Jarvis and McNaughton [1986](#page-13-0); Meinzer [1993\)](#page-13-1). However, it is well-known that most plants are not unbranched and have internal capacitance or water storage capacity (Goldstein et al. [1998;](#page-13-2) Köcher et al. [2013;](#page-13-3) Stratton et al. [2000](#page-13-4); Tyree and Yang [1990](#page-14-1)). This suggests water uptake by roots has at least two ways out: (1) vertical upward movement into the atmosphere via stomata; and (2) radial movement of water to recharge storage tissue in the stem (Donnellan Barraclough et al. [2018](#page-13-5)). The functional linkage between leaf stomatal control and stem water storage has been the subject of numerous studies in seasonally dry tropical forest trees (Brodribb et al. [2003;](#page-12-0) Meinzer [2002;](#page-13-6) Meinzer et al. [2008](#page-13-7); Pfautsch et al. [2011\)](#page-13-8), but there has been less focus on riparian trees in arid environments (O'Grady et al. [2009\)](#page-13-9).

The presence of apical stem water storage may allow leaves to maintain a water potential amenable to carbon uptake throughout the day (Goldstein et al. [1998](#page-13-2); Stratton et al. [2000](#page-13-4)). Moreover, discharge and recharge of internal capacitances appears to provide a signal for stomatal closure preventing runaway embolism (Nardini and Salleo [2000\)](#page-13-10), and generating dynamic changes in apparent leafspecifc conductance via the variation of leaf water potential (Meinzer [2002](#page-13-6); Meinzer et al. [2008](#page-13-7)). At the whole-tree level, stem water storage recharge is able to help alleviate unfavourable water potential gradients (Tyree and Yang [1990\)](#page-14-1). Conversely, water storage discharge may buffer the system by reducing the xylem pressure decline when transpiration increases, allowing sufficient time lags for stomatal closure with the onset of drought stress, and potentially preventing xylem embolism during water deficit (Domec and Gartner [2001](#page-13-11); Ogasa et al. [2013;](#page-13-12) Zeppel et al. [2014](#page-14-2)).

*Populus euphratica* Oliv. is a typically phreatophyte, mainly distributed across riparian areas or in areas with access to water tables in arid regions of Central Asia and, therefore, it does not typically experience soil drought (Thomas et al. [2008](#page-14-3)). *Populus euphratica* is considered the only dominant species of the riparian forests in these regions and plays an important role in protecting the basin ecosystem, preventing desertification and conserving biodiversity. However, with the excessive use of water resources by human being, the *P. euphratica* forest has declined in extent in recent decades (Wang [1996\)](#page-14-4). Therefore, knowledge of the water use of *P. euphratica* and its control mechanisms is important to protect and restore this endangered species. Previous studies have shown that it has a relatively 'conservative' water use even when it grows under favourable water conditions (Pan et al. [2016](#page-13-13)). Little is known about how this species regulates its water loss. Some researchers suggest that down-regulation of stomatal sensitivity to leaf-to-air water–vapor pressure has a significant contribution to its conservative water use (Gries et al. [2003](#page-13-14); Overdieck et al. [2013;](#page-13-15) Thomas et al. [2008\)](#page-14-3), but others found that vapor pressure deficit (VPD) has a limited effect on variation of sap flow (Si et al. [2007](#page-13-16); Yu et al. [2016](#page-14-5); Zhao et al. [2017\)](#page-14-6), suggesting that tree water use is potentially regulated by other biological processes, such as stem water storage, instead of stomatal control solely.

Recently, we observed substantial nocturnal sap flow in *P. euphratica* (Yu et al. [2016\)](#page-14-5), of which approximately 80% was attributed to stem storage recharge (Yu et al. [2018](#page-14-7)). Therefore, we expected that water use of *P. euphratica* could be determined by leaf stomatal control and stem water storage together. Specifically, we aimed to demonstrate (1) the occurrence of stem water storage based on the hysteresis in sap flow across different plant path lengths (trunk and roots) and external climate drivers (e.g. VPD); (2) plant water use was determined but not entirely by climate drivers via stomatal control; and (3) leaf stomatal control can be coordinated with stem water storage to determine plant water use.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Site and plant material**

The study area was situated in the lower reaches of Heihe River Basin, NW China, normally referred to as the Ejin Oasis. The climate is extremely arid exhibiting a ratio of mean annual precipitation (37.0 mm) to mean annual pan evaporation (2216.5 mm) of  $< 0.02$  mm mm<sup>-1</sup> (Yu et al. [2019](#page-14-8)). Mean monthly air temperature at the Ejin meteorological station ranges from −11.5 °C in January to 27.0 °C in July, 1957–2016. This study was conducted at the Qidaoqiao *P. euphratica* Forest National Natural Refuge, Ejin county, Inner Mongolia, lat 42°59′N, long 100°10′E, 920.5 m AMSL (Si et al. [2007\)](#page-13-16).

The study site is characterized by young *P. euphratica* trees (average 38 year) with a stand density of 350 trees ha<sup>-1</sup> (Yu et al. [2019\)](#page-14-8). Eighty of the total 350 trees within the  $100 \text{ m} \times 100 \text{ m}$  quadrat were measured for height (m), diameter at breast height (DBH, cm) and projected crown area  $(A_c, m^2)$ , and subsequently sapwood area  $(A_s, cm^2)$  was estimated from a previously-published relationship between *A*<sub>s</sub> and DBH for the site (Si et al. [2009\)](#page-13-17). Four trees were selected to measure trunk diameter variation and sap flow, and  $A_s$  was calculated by increment cores at the end of the experiment. The traits of the four selected trees were not significantly different to the stand means ( $t$ -test,  $P > 0.1$ ) (Table [1\)](#page-1-0).

## **Meteorological and hydrologic parameters**

Meteorological variables, including net radiation  $(R_n, R_n)$ W m<sup>-2</sup>), air temperature ( $T_a$ , °C), relative humidity (RH, %), and wind speed  $(U, m s<sup>-1</sup>)$  were recorded at a height of 20 m using a CR3000 datalogger at 0.5 h intervals in 2014

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1** Summary of biological parameters for four selected *Populus euphratica* trees and quadrat (Mean $\pm$ SE) with the size of  $100 \text{ m} \times 100 \text{ m}$  for this study area

Number	Height $(m)$	$DBH$ (cm)	$A_s$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$A_c$ (m <sup>2</sup> )
1	12.6	23.9	225.8	12.6
2	12.4	21.7	189.3	18.2
3	11.7	25.2	248.6	13.1
4	12.3	32.2	388.7	20.7
Mean $\pm$ SE	$12.3 \pm 0.2$	$25.8 \pm 2.3$	$263.1 \pm 43.6$	$16.2 \pm 2.0$
Stand $(n=80)$	$11.8 \pm 0.2$	$24.7 \pm 0.5$	$246.1 \pm 9.8$	$16.5 \pm 0.8$
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.103	0.675	0.723	0.682

Difference was tested by the one-sample  $t$  test at a significance level of  $P = 0.05$ 

*DBH* Diameter at breath height,  $A_s$  sapwood area,  $A_c$  projected area of crown

in the *P. euphratica* stand (Yu et al. [2019\)](#page-14-8). Total precipitation was acquired from the nearest meteorological station located in Ejin city, 20 km from the study site. VPD (kPa) was calculated from  $T_a$  and RH, and mean daily VPD was not significantly different from that simultaneously measured at the average canopy height  $(8 \text{ m})$  in 2017  $(P=0.71)$ . The depth to the groundwater table (*GWT*, m) was measured automatically by pressure transducers (HOBO-U20, Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA) at 0.5 h intervals. *GWT* decreased gradually until a minimum of 2.22 m on the 18th September, 2014, after which it increased suddenly to 158 mm above ground following a flooding event (Yu et al. [2019\)](#page-14-8).

## **Stem diameter and sap flow**

Four selected *P. euphratica* trees (Table [1\)](#page-1-0) were used to measure stem diameter variation  $(D_s, um)$  at breast height (1.3 m) using point dendrometers (DD-L, Ecomatik, Germany) during the growing season from May through 16 October (168 days), 2014.  $D_s$  was separated into two phases: a contraction phase  $(-D_s)$  and an expansion phase  $(+D_s)$ following Xiao et al. [\(2014](#page-14-9)). Owing to the failure of power to the datalogger,  $D_s$  data from 26 May through 16 June (12%) were lost.

Owing to limitations of the instruments, three of the four selected trees (No. 1 to 3, Table [1\)](#page-1-0) were used to measure sap flow using the heat ratio method (SFM1, ICT Inc., Armidale, Australia). Sensors were radially inserted into the xylem tissue of the trunk (canopy break and DBH, 3.5 m and 1.3 m height, respectively) and root  $(0.3 \text{ m depth})$  on the north side of the selected trees. After insertion, the exposed cambium was covered with aluminium foil to reduce the effects of ambient temperature fluctuation and direct solar radiation. Heat pulse velocity  $(V<sub>h</sub>$ , cm h<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded and all corrections related to probe wounds and misalignment were made according to Burgess et al.  $(2001)$ . Sap velocity  $(V_s,$  $\text{cm } h^{-1}$ ) was also calculated following Burgess et al. [\(2001](#page-13-18)). Because the sapwood depths  $(26 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm})$  were less than the needle lengths (35 mm),  $V<sub>s</sub>$  of the inner depth measurement point (7.5 mm from the tip of the needle) was always low and smooth, and even negative (data not shown), suggesting that the probe was inserted in heartwood. Thus,  $V_s$ of the outer measurement point (22.5 mm from the tip of the needle) was only selected for analysis. All raw data were continuously recorded at 0.5 h intervals using a microSD card.

Zero flow could not be determined by tree xylem cutting methods because the research site was located in a national nature reserve (Yu et al. [2013\)](#page-14-10). Instead near-zero sap flow was assumed during a night (3 June 2014) with low  $T_a$  and extended rainfall (17.2 mm in 3 h), causing VPD to be the lowest  $(< 0.18$  kPa for 3 h) throughout the

entire measurement campaign. Recorded *V<sub>s</sub>* ceased quickly with the onset of rainfall and then stabilized at the lowest recorded velocities  $(1.6 \text{ cm h}^{-1})$ . The  $A_s$  for each selected tree (Table [1](#page-1-0)) was calculated using measurements of sapwood depth from four increment cores at the same height but different aspects, extracted from each tree after completion of sap flow measurements. Whole tree sap flow  $(F_s,$  $L h^{-1}$ ) was calculated as the product of  $A_s$  and  $V_s$  of the outer measurement point (calculated as the mean of radial variation given the slight difference along the radius of stem section) (Si et al. [2007\)](#page-13-16), and integrated over 24 h to give daily  $F_s$  (L d<sup>-1</sup>).

## **Leaf gas‑exchange and water potential**

Three scaffold towers 2 m high were set up to access tree crowns for leaf measurements on selected trees. Once a month on clear sunny days (from June 17th to 19th, July 18th and 24th to 25th, August 24th to 26th, and September 11th to 13th) during the growing season, 2014, field experiments were conducted to determine diurnal variation in leaf gas exchange of the three selected *P. euphratica* trees. Three healthy, fully expanded leaves on each selected tree were marked and measured continuously under ambient conditions using a portable gas-exchange system (LI-6400XT, LI-COR Biosciences Inc., USA) at 1 h intervals during days and at 2 to 3 h intervals during the nights of 18th and19th June. For each measurement period, the leaf level photosynthesis ( $A_n$ , mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), transpiration ( $T_r$ , mmol H<sub>2</sub>O  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ , mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and environmental factors were calculated. Diurnal variation in leaf water potential  $(\psi_L, MPa)$  was measured on three leaves collected from each tree to the west, south, and east of the crown. Samples were wrapped in a sealed plastic bag with moist paper towel before cutting from the petiole with a razor blade, to prevent sudden dehydration under high temperature conditions.  $\psi_L$  was measured immediately using a plant pressure chamber (1505D, PMS Instrument Co., Albany OR, USA).

## **Statistical analysis**

The mean and standard error (SE) of all variables were calculated. The difference between biological parameters (height, DBH,  $A_s$  and  $A_c$ ) of the selected trees and population means was tested using a one-sample *t*-test at a significance level of  $P=0.05$ . The differences in means of leaf gas exchange variables and  $\psi$ <sub>L</sub> among the months was examined via repeated-measures ANOVA at significance level of  $P=0.05$  in conjunction with Tukey's post-hoc test for continuously measured data. Pearson's correlation was applied to examine the correlations between paired variables (trait-to-trait or trait-to-climate). Given that the different response of plant physiology to envrionmental factors, a linear modelling was performed to assess the relationship between  $-D_s$  and  $+D_s$ ,  $-D_s$  and  $F_s$ ,  $F_s$  and VPD in the night; and a sigmoidal model with 3 parameters  $(f=a)/(a^2 + b^2)$  $(1+exp(-(x-x_0/b)))$  was fitted to assess the relationship between  $+ D_s$  and  $F_s$  and VPD,  $F_s$  and gs,  $T_r$ , and  $\psi_L$ . All statistical analyses were performed with the software package SPSS Statistics (version 19.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

# **Results**

#### **Stem radial variation and sap flow**

Diurnal increases in  $V<sub>s</sub>$  preceded VPD increase in the mornings. Variation in  $D_s$  was the opposite to both of  $V_s$  and VPD (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). The time of onset (6:30 am) and cessation (6:00 am, the next day) of  $V<sub>s</sub>$  was roughly equivalent to  $D<sub>s</sub>$ , but the pattern of diurnal variation was obviously different, in that  $V_s$  increased quickly in the morning hours when VPD was less than 2.0 kPa, and stabilized until VPD had increased to the maximum of 4.0 kPa. After this  $V_s$  decreased quickly until around 23:00, and subsequently more slowly, with a significant difference in in the slope of the relationship early and later in the day  $(P < 0.001)$ . In contrast,  $D_s$  initially decreased until around 14:00 and then increased gradually during the afternoon and into the evening. There were differences in the time of onset of increasing  $D<sub>s</sub>$  between two days (14:00 pm v.s. 19:00 pm), but not in when  $D_s$  started to decrease (6:00 am).

Seasonal variation of daytime  $F<sub>s</sub>$  (Fig. [2d](#page-4-0)) was also consistent with  $R_n$ ,  $T_a$  (Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)) and VPD (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)c). It increased rapidly to a maximum in June and decreased gradually

with declining  $R_n$ ,  $T_a$ , VPD and the groundwater table especially after 10 days flooding (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)f). At night  $F_s$  was always stable throughout the growing season (Fig. [2d](#page-4-0)). RH was interrupted by rainfall and was negatively related to *U* (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)b, c). Heavy rainfall events had a greater effect on RH and subsequent  $F_s$  than light events (abrupt drop in mid-June, Fig. [2](#page-4-0)d). Contraction of  $D<sub>s</sub>$  was higher than the expansion of  $D_s$  in May to mid-July, after which contraction and expansion were almost consistent with each other (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)e).

In the hottest period, mid-July, the  $F_s$  measured at the bottom of the trunk was generally lower than at the top of the trunk in both day and night, but  $F_s$  in the stem was lower than that in roots during the day, and higher at night. Whether in the stem or roots, maximum  $F_s$  was always stable during the day especially on days with high VPD, but during the night the trend in  $F<sub>s</sub>$  was similar to VPD and the opposite of  $D_s$  (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)a-c). There was no hysteresis in  $F_s$  between the top and bottom of the trunk and slight hysteresis (0.5 h) between stem and roots with a counter-clockwise pattern observed (Fig. [3d](#page-5-0)), suggesting  $F_s$  at both the top and bottom of the trunk was activated earlier than in the roots. However, a clockwise pattern was observed between  $F_s$  and  $D_s$  at the bottom of the trunk, suggesting  $F_s$  was activated earlier than  $D_s$  (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)e). Apparently  $D_s$  remained constant with increasing  $F_s$  in the morning and then dropped with constant  $F<sub>s</sub>$  in the afternoon, and increased linearly with decreasing  $F_s$  in the evening (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)e). Hysteresis relationships between stem  $D_s$ ,  $F_s$ either in stem or roots and VPD were consistent, with a clockwise hysteresis observed between VPD and  $D_s$  and  $F_s$ , suggesting VPD was increased earlier than both of  $F_s$ and  $D_s$  (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)e, f).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Diurnal variation of stem diameter  $(D_s, \mu m)$ , sap velocity  $(V_s$ , cm h<sup>-1</sup>) and vapor pressure deficit (VPD, kPa) during the typical sunny days (June 19th to 20th, 2014). The  $D<sub>s</sub>$  was separated to two section: contraction (−, grey) and expansion (+, dark grey) phase. The night was represented by the grey horizontal bar at the top. These data were collected synchronously with the consecutive 2 days measurement of leaf gas exchange and water potential







<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Seasonal variation of the 5-days mean of **a** net radiation  $(R_n)$ , air temperature  $(T_a)$ , **b** relative humidity (RH), wind speed (*U*), **c** vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and rainfall, **d** stem sap flow  $(F_s)$  at day

and night, **e** stem diameter variation  $(D<sub>s</sub>)$  at contraction and expansion phase, and **f** groundwater table (*GWT*) for the *P. euphratica* forest during the growing seasons, 2014

## **Leaf gas exchange and leaf water potential**

Diurnal variation in leaf gas exchange ( $g_s$ ,  $A_n$  and  $T_r$ ),  $\psi_L$ , VPD and  $F_s$  was also different among the months (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). Conductance,  $g_s$ , increased quickly from the onset of daylight until 11:00 and decreased gradually into the night, with a slight increase heading into dawn in June (Fig. [4a](#page-6-0)). Photosynthesis,  $A_n$ , lagged behind  $g_s$  with a lower value and midday depression observed in June and July than in the following two months (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)b). Transpiration,  $T_r$ , increased in the morning until the peak at around 14:00, after which

it decreased symmetrically in the afternoon and into the evening (Fig. [4c](#page-6-0)). Mean  $\psi_L$  showed the opposite pattern to  $T_r$  during the day, with a minimum of  $-2.3$  MPa in July, which increased to close to  $-0.2$  MPa in the evening in June (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)e). Hourly  $F_s$  did not change much during day, but quickly decreased into the evening (Fig. [4f](#page-6-0)). This was consistent with the decreased VPD at night (Fig. [4d](#page-6-0)). There was a hysteresis and time dependence 'apparent feedforward' (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)), i.e. the relationship between transpiration  $(T_r)$  and water potential  $(\psi_L)$  and VPD depended on the time of day, such that the same VPD yielded higher  $T_r$  and  $\psi$ <sub>L</sub> in the



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Diurnal changes in **a** sap velocity  $(V_s)$  at the bottom and top of the trunk and the roots, **b** the normalized stem diameter  $(D_s)$  by minimum of days, **c** vapor pressure deficit (VPD), and the hysteresis

**d** between the ten-days mean of normalized  $V_s$  by maximum at the bottom and top of the trunk and the roots,  $\mathbf{e} \cdot D_s$  and **f** VPD with during the summer (July 11 to 20), 2014

morning than in the afternoon, and when VPD increased beyond a certain point, there was decreasing  $T_r$  and hence reducing  $\psi_L$ . With the exception of  $g_s$ , daily mean  $A_n$ ,  $T_r$ and  $\psi$ <sub>L</sub> were significantly different among months during the growing season (Table [2](#page-7-1)). *A*n steadily increased over time although the difference was not significant between August and September. In contrast,  $T_r$  increased initially until it reached a maximum in July, after which it decreased, in contrast to the trend observed for  $\psi_I$ .

## **The relationship between stem water storage, water use and environmental variables**

Daily stem water storage discharge as represented by  $− D_s$ was linear associated with increasing  $F<sub>s</sub>$  both during the day (*P*<0.001) and night (*P*=0.0013) (Fig. [6a](#page-8-0)). Stem water storage recharge, as represented by  $+D_s$ , was significantly sigmoidal ( $P < 0.001$ ) in the day but not at night  $F_s$  (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)b).  $F_s$  showed an exponential increase with increasing VPD to a maximum during the day (*P*<0.001) and a linear increase with increasing VPD at night (Fig. [7](#page-9-0)). Discharge  $(-D_s)$  was also significantly sigmoidal (*P* < 0.001) associated with increasing VPD (Fig. [8a](#page-10-0)), and  $+D_s$  was significantly linear  $(P<0.001)$  associated with  $-D<sub>s</sub>$  (Fig. [8b](#page-10-0)).

At the leaf level,  $A_n$  and  $g_s$  were positively correlated with *T*r , and all leaf-level variables were negatively correlated with  $\psi$ <sub>L</sub> (*P*<0.05). Stem  $F_s$  was also positively correlated with  $T_r$ and negatively with  $\psi_L$  ( $P = 0.001$ ) (Table [3](#page-11-0)). Hourly  $\Psi_L$  always decreased with increasing  $g_s$  or  $T_r$ , but the relationships were significantly different among months, of which  $\psi_L$  decreased in June and July in a curvilinear relationship with increased *g*s, but with a linear relationship in August and September



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Hourly change of leaf **a** stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$ , **b** photosynthesis  $(A_n)$ , **c** transpiration  $(T_r)$  and **d** vapor pressure deficit (VPD), **e** leaf water potential ( $\psi$ <sub>L</sub>) and **f** stem sap flow ( $F_s$ ) at different months during the growing season, 2014

(Fig. [9](#page-11-1)a).  $\psi_L$  decreased exponentially with increased  $T_r$  in July but the decrease was linear in June (Fig. [9](#page-11-1)b). There was no significant relationship between stem  $F_s$  and leaf  $g_s$  (Fig. [9](#page-11-1)c), that potentially was confounded by stem water storage. Stem  $F<sub>s</sub>$  was significantly related with  $T<sub>r</sub>$  except in July (Fig. [9d](#page-11-1)).

# **Discussion**

Numerous researchers have shown that plant water use is the result of a strong coordination between the systems

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5** A hysteretic 'apparent feedforward' relationship between (a) transpiration  $(T_r)$ and (b) water potential  $(\psi_L)$  and evaporative demand (VPD). Arrows indicate the order in which data points were obtained



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 2** Seasonal variations of daily mean  $\pm$  SE (*n* = 12) of leaf gas exchange parameters included photosynthetic (*A*<sub>n</sub>, mmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ , mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), transpiration ( $T_r$ , mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and water potential ( $\psi_L$ , MPa) during the growing season, 2014

Months	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.
$A_{n}$	$7.24 \pm 0.77$ <sup>a</sup>	$16.38 \pm 0.80^b$	$23.05 \pm 1.56^{\circ}$	$23.17 \pm 1.45$ <sup>c</sup>
g <sub>s</sub>	$0.25 \pm 0.02^a$	$0.26 \pm 0.03^a$	$0.23 \pm 0.02^a$	$0.21 \pm 0.02^a$
$T_\mathrm{r}$	$3.65 \pm 0.44^a$	$8.77 \pm 0.86^b$	$7.49 \pm 0.92$ <sup>c</sup>	$4.84 \pm 0.60^{\rm d}$
$\Psi_{\rm L}$	$-1.17 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	$-1.80 \pm 0.13^b$	$-1.63 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	$-1.33 \pm 0.11$ <sup>d</sup>

The different alphabets represent the significant difference among the months (repeated-measures ANOVA, *P*=0.05)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Daily stem diameter **a** contraction  $(-D_s)$  and **b** expansion  $(+D_s)$  as a function of sap flow  $(F_s)$  at day and night. The fitting line, determination coefficient  $(R^2)$  and significance level (*P*) were shown. The outlier in **b** was induced by the high *U* at night



regulating water delivery (xylem) and water loss (stomata) (Brodribb et al. [2003;](#page-12-0) Meinzer [2002;](#page-13-6) Meinzer et al. [2009](#page-13-19), [2008](#page-13-7); Pfautsch et al. [2011\)](#page-13-8). This study supported our hypothesis that (1) stem water storage occurred based on the hysteresis among the sap flow along different water pathways, stem diameter variation and meteorological factors (e.g. VPD) (Figs.  $1, 3$  $1, 3$  $1, 3$ ); (2) stomatal control has great significance but is not the sole driver of leaf and whole-tree water use (Table  $3$ ; Figs.  $4, 5, 6$  $4, 5, 6$  $4, 5, 6$  $4, 5, 6$ ); and (3) trees can use tissue-stored water preferentially but not immoderately, and this is strongly controlled by stomatal behavior suggesting  $g_s$  can be coordinated with stem water storage to determine plant water use (Figs. [7,](#page-9-0) [8,](#page-10-0) [9](#page-11-1)).

## **Stem water storage**

There is some controversy around using branch and basal trunk sap flow measurements alone to estimate whole-plant water storage (Burgess and Dawson [2008;](#page-13-20) Phillips et al. [2009](#page-13-21)), because lags between crown transpiration and basal sap flow have been observed in some species (Čermák et al. [2007](#page-13-22); Goldstein et al. [1998](#page-13-2); Köcher et al. [2013\)](#page-13-3), but not in



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Daily sap flow  $(F_s)$  as a function of vapor pressure deficit (VPD) during day and night

others (Burgess and Dawson [2008](#page-13-20); Pfautsch et al. [2011](#page-13-8)). Other than sap flux, measurements of stem diameter variation are among the most useful plant-based measurements to evaluate plant water consumption, and indicate the discharge and recharge of internal stores (Čermák et al. [2007](#page-13-22); Scholz et al. [2008;](#page-13-23) Zweifel et al. [2001\)](#page-14-11). Observations from other studies suggest that the patterns of water use within a plant can be better understood by combining sap flow and stem diameter variations measurement (Köcher et al. [2013](#page-13-3); Sevanto et al. [2008\)](#page-13-24).

For *P. euphratica*, there was no phase shift between the traces at the bottom and top of the trunk, at least within the 30 min resolution period of our data (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)d). This may be a result of the short distance between measurement sites on the main trunk (about 2 m). Such a height effect on diurnal water storage has been observed in other tree species (Goldstein et al. [1998;](#page-13-2) Köcher et al. [2013\)](#page-13-3). Even so, we conclude that the discharge and recharge of stored water for the studied species occurred as illustrated by the following:

First, we observed a 'plateau effect' in sap flow (Figs. [1,](#page-3-0) [3](#page-5-0)a) just as in many other species (Goldstein et al. [1998](#page-13-2); Scholz et al. [2008](#page-13-23)), especially wet–dry tropical savanna ecosystem (Eamus et al. [2000;](#page-13-25) O'Grady et al. [2009,](#page-13-9) [1999](#page-13-26); Pfautsch et al. [2011](#page-13-8)). This suggests that the discharge of stem water, evidenced by quickly declining  $D<sub>s</sub>$  at the same time in the study (Figs. [1,](#page-3-0) [3](#page-5-0)b), can maintain maximum or near maximum transpiration rates for a longer period of time (Eamus et al. [2000;](#page-13-25) Goldstein et al. [1998;](#page-13-2) Pfautsch et al. [2011](#page-13-8)). The time of stable sap flow was about 9–10 h (Figs. [1](#page-3-0), [3](#page-5-0)a), similar to *Eucalyptus victrix* in semi-arid regions (Pfautsch et al. [2011\)](#page-13-8).

Second, there was a slight hysteresis between  $F_s$  in the bottom of the trunk and the roots (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0)). It is well-known that stored water is withdraw firstly from branches, and subsequently stem and roots, although we did not measure this for branches in our study. The apparent lag of approximately 30 min between  $F_s$  in stem and roots, was consistent with savanna trees, in which root diameter declined about 40 min after changes were observed at the base of the stem (Scholz et al. [2008](#page-13-23)).

Third, the stem diameter variation  $(D<sub>s</sub>)$  was large (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)) and closely related to  $F<sub>s</sub>$  (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0), suggesting that discharge of and recharge to stem stored water occurred (Zeppel et al. [2014;](#page-14-2) Zweifel et al. [2001\)](#page-14-11). The large daily amplitude of variation in whole-stem diameter (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)b) in our study was similar to whole-stem diameter variation in Cerrado trees (Scholz et al. [2008](#page-13-23)) and previous measurements on radius variation for *P. euphratica* (Xiao et al. [2014](#page-14-9)). The strong relationship between stem diameter variation during the contraction phase  $(-D_s)$  and VPD (Fig. [7](#page-9-0)a) and  $+D_s$  (Fig. [7b](#page-9-0)) also suggest the daily discharge and recharge of stored water (Scholz et al. [2008](#page-13-23)).

# **Stomatal and stem hydraulic regulation on diurnal water use**

It has been shown that components of the tree water transport pathway (roots, trunks, branches and leaves) can also serve as water storage compartments (Scholz et al. [2011](#page-13-27)). Thus, the amount of water that is withdrawn and used on a daily basis will not only depend on the environmental conditions experienced by the plant, but also on stomatal regulatory behaviour and water storage (Meinzer et al. [2009](#page-13-19); O'Grady et al. [2008;](#page-13-28) Scholz et al. [2011\)](#page-13-27).

Hysteresis in the relationship between diurnal sap flow (or tree water use) and environmental factors (e.g. VPD) has been observed in a number of species (Hogg and Hurdle [1997;](#page-13-29) Meinzer et al. [1997;](#page-13-30) O'Grady et al. [1999,](#page-13-26) [2008](#page-13-28); Zeppel et al. [2004](#page-14-12)), including old-aged *P. euphratica*, in which  $V_s$  came in advance of VPD by 0.5 h (Li et al. [2016](#page-13-31)). The potential reasons for hysteresis can be related to either increased water use in the morning (e.g. water storage capacity, 'stem hydraulic regulation') or reductions in the afternoon (e.g. stomatal response to environmental factor, 'stomatal regulation'). The reason for declining water use in the afternoon have been explained as associated with stomatal sensitivity to VPD (Hogg and Hurdle [1997](#page-13-29); Meinzer et al. [1997](#page-13-30); O'Grady et al. [1999;](#page-13-26) Zeppel et al. [2004\)](#page-14-12). Previously, the stomatal sensitivity to VPD was attributed to increased resistance in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum, and more precisely to increased leaf resistance, given that it accounts for 30%–90% of whole-tree resistance (Brodribb and Holbrook  $2006$ ) with declining  $\psi_L$  (Brodribb and Holbrook [2006;](#page-12-1) Buckley [2005;](#page-13-32) O'Grady et al. [2008](#page-13-28)). However, this explanation was not consistent with the observation in the current study that  $g_s$  and  $T_r$  did not decrease significantly with increased VPD and/or decreased  $\psi_L$  (Fig. [4](#page-6-0); Table [2](#page-7-1)).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>



If, following a reduction in VPD, embolism repair lagged behind the recovery of leaf water status, then the trajectory of  $T_r$  vs VPD was altered (Buckley [2005](#page-13-32)). The high  $T_r$  during the hottest months was consistent with high  $F_s$  (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), which perhaps is necessary to avoid overheating, particularly for plants from hot dry habitats (Lin et al. [2017\)](#page-13-33). This suggests that trees must withdraw more water (from soil and/or tissues) to prevent the catastrophic decline in  $\psi$ <sub>L</sub> (Fig. [4e](#page-6-0)). Obviously, constant and steady flow (i.e. the 'plateau effect', Figs. [1,](#page-3-0) [3](#page-5-0)a) may not be met by root uptake ('steep' pattern of *V<sub>s</sub>*) (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0)), but by the intermediate sources of water from stem water storage for transpiring leaves (Goldstein et al. [1998](#page-13-2); Stratton et al. [2000](#page-13-4)).

*Populus euphratica*, has an 'anisohydric' behaviour (Fig. [4e](#page-6-0)), that seems to use an 'apparent feedforward' mechanism (Buckley [2005](#page-13-32)) to control its water use under

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 3** The Person's coefficient between leaf  $A_n$ ,  $g_s$ ,  $T_r$ ,  $\psi_L$  and stem sap flow  $(F_s)$  with all data pooled

Pearson's coef- ficient	$g_s$	$T_{r}$	$\Psi_{\rm I}$	$F_{\rm s}$
$A_{n}$ $g_{s}$ $T_{\rm r}$ $\Psi_{\text{L}}$	0.09 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.62$ ** $0.30*$	$-0.63$ ** $-0.48$ ** $-0.74***$	$-0.01^{\text{ns}}$ $0.19^{ns}$ $0.53***$ $-0.45***$

Definition of variables was same as Table [2](#page-7-1) ns *P*>0.05; \* *P*=0.01; \*\**P*=0.001

high evaporative demand in arid environments (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)). In addition, VPD had not completely determined influence on sap flow or stem diameter variation in this study (Figs. [7,](#page-9-0) [8](#page-10-0)a), in line with the previous work on *P. euphratica* (Li et al. [2016;](#page-13-31) Xiao et al. [2014;](#page-14-9) Yu et al. [2018](#page-14-7), [2016](#page-14-5)), suggesting that the water use of this tree was partially regulated by the transpirational demand (VPD), and hence stomatal control (Fig. [9](#page-11-1)). In addition, the positive relationship between  $F_s$ and stem diameter variation  $(D_s)$  (Fig. [6\)](#page-8-0) suggests increased sap flow was partly used to recharge stem water storage, and subsequent compensates the sap flow under high transpirational demand. This supports the hypothesis that water storage capacity or hydraulic capacitance play an important role in refilling the stem following depletion by transpiration (Ogasa et al. [2013](#page-13-12); Scholz et al. [2011](#page-13-27)).



<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Fig.** 9 Relationship between leaf stomatal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>), transpiration (*T<sub>r</sub>*) and leaf water potential ( $\psi_L$ ) and sap flow (*F<sub>s</sub>*). **a** g<sub>s</sub> v.s.  $\psi_L$ ; **b** *T<sub>r</sub>* v.s.  $\psi_L$ ; **c** g<sub>s</sub> v.s.  $F_s$ ; **d**  $T_r$  v.s.  $F_s$ ; Data were pooled from 2 to 3 days of measurement for each month

#### **The significance for tree growth**

Midday minimum  $\psi_L$  (−2.3 MPa) in our study was similar to the woody phreatophytes in riparian areas of the arid zone (Gries et al. [2003](#page-13-14); Zeng et al. [2006](#page-14-13)) included *P. euphratica* seedlings under drought (Li et al. [2012\)](#page-13-34), but higher compared to typical desert plants (see review in Thomas et al. [2008](#page-14-3)). A lower  $\psi_L$  that induced 50% loss of hydraulic conductance ( $P_{50}$ , ~−2.7 MPa), was reported for a shallower water table than deeper, suggesting a positive hydraulic safety margin defined as the difference between midday  $\psi_L$ and  $P_{50}$  (Pan et al. [2016](#page-13-13)). This suggests that in this study trees did not experience drought stress under the current water table. This may explain why seasonal variation of *g*s remained constant during the whole growing season (Table [2\)](#page-7-1) as it is strongly related to soil drought (Garnier and Berger [1987;](#page-13-35) Giorio et al. [1999](#page-13-36)). The early peak of *g*s and subsequent gradual decline, while VPD continued to increase (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), helps plants to minimize the risk of leaf cavitation (Brodribb et al. [2003](#page-12-0); Goldstein et al. [1998](#page-13-2); Pfautsch et al. [2011\)](#page-13-8). Recently, a multi-layer porous media model predicted that the use of stored water remains constant as a result of water depleted in plant xylem tissues can be completely recovered to its previous state within a single diurnal cycle (Huang et al. [2017](#page-13-37)). This was supported by the stable nocturnal sap flow in the stem (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)d), which was substantially attributed to stem water storage (Yu et al. [2018](#page-14-7)). Because diameter expansion  $(+D<sub>s</sub>)$ , which of including the irreversible radial growth except the increases in stored water, was first increased and then declined, Thus, nighttime  $F_s$  is not related to  $+D_s$  (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)b). This suggests coordination of stomatal control and stem water storage to buffer xylem water deficit, and subsequent prevention of embolism risk.

The  $A_n$  was greater in the late growing season compared with the early for *P. euphratica* (Fig. [4b](#page-6-0); Table [2](#page-7-1)). It was consistent with that observed in the lower Tarim River (Chen et al. [2012\)](#page-13-38), suggesting higher carbon uptake in the late growing season compared with the early (Thomas et al. [2008](#page-14-3)). However,  $T_r$  and  $g_s$  did not increase as  $A_n$  increased in study (Fig. [4b](#page-6-0); Table [2\)](#page-7-1), suggesting enhanced water use efficiency in the late growing season (Chen et al. [2012](#page-13-38)). Mean *g*s (Table [2](#page-7-1)) was higher compared to the same species growing in the desert environment (Thomas et al. [2008](#page-14-3)), but similar to that determined in the riparian zones (Chen et al. [2012](#page-13-38)). High leaf  $A_n$  was inconsistent with low stem radial increment in the late growing season (See Fig. [2b](#page-4-0) for the indistinguishableness between  $+D_s$  and  $-D_s$ ). One possible explanation is that the carbohydrates synthesised by photosynthesis were transported downwards into the roots, as evidenced by increased belowground biomass and rooting depth with increasing groundwater table (Li et al. [2012\)](#page-13-34). In all, insignificant difference in stomatal conductance during the

growing season suggests the stomatal control has a limited effect on plant water loss. Alternatively, daily stem water storage from groundwater provides sufficient water supply for leaves to maintain high transpiration, thereby high photosynthesis and water use efficiency. In turn, transpiration reduces plant water potential that provides the water potential gradient needed to store the next day's water and limit losses to hydraulic redistribution (Yu et al. [2018](#page-14-7)).

# **Conclusions**

*Populus euphratica*, a deciduous broad-leaved tree distributed in the riparian zone in arid regions of Central Asia, has a relatively 'conservative' water use strategy even when growing in the favourable water conditions, which was not solely attributed to stomatal regulation in contrast to the previous conclusion. The lag time in sap flow between the bottom of the trunk and roots and diameter variation suggests that stem water storage discharge and recharge occurred. The relationships between leaf gas exchanges, stem sap flow and/or diameter variation and meteorological factors demonstrate that the pattern of water use of *P. euphratica* was the coordination of leaf stomatal control and stem water storage. This has great significance for buffering xylem water deficit, preventing the risk of embolism, maintaining high leaf production, and water use efficiency.

**Author contribution statement** TY carried out the experiment, analysed the data, and wrote the first draft. QF and JS help to design the experiment and discuss the results. EAP helped to review and revise the article.

**Acknowledgements** This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41401033, 31370466, and 41271037), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2014M560819). The authors would also like to thank, A. P. O'Grady, CSIRO, for his constructive and valuable comments, which helped to improve this article.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM (2006) Declining hydraulic efficiency as transpiring leaves desiccate: two types of response. Plant Cell Environ 29:2205–2215
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM, Edwards EJ, Gutierrez MV (2003) Relations between stomatal closure, leaf turgor and xylem vulnerability in eight tropical dry forest trees. Plant Cell Environ 26:443–450

<span id="page-13-32"></span>Buckley TN (2005) The control of stomata by water balance. New Phytol 168:275–292

- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Burgess SSO, Dawson TE (2008) Using branch and basal trunk sap flow measurements to estimate whole-plant water capacitance: a caution. Plant Soil 305:5–13
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Burgess SSO, Adams MA, Turner NC, Beverly CR, Ong CK, Khan AAH, Bleby TM (2001) An improved heat pulse method to measure low and reverse rates of sap flow in woody plants. Tree Physiol 21:589–598
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Čermák J, Kucera J, Beetle Wl, Phillip N, Hinckley TM (2007) Tree water storage and its diurnal dynamics related to sap flow and changes in stem volume in old-growth Douglas-fir trees. Tree Physiol 27:181–198
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>Chen YP, Chen YN, Xu CC, Li WH (2012) Groundwater depth affects the daily course of gas exchange parameters of *Populus euphratica* in arid areas. Environ Earth Sci 66:433–440
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Domec J-C, Gartner BL (2001) Cavitation and water storage capacity in bole xylem segments of mature and young Douglas-fir trees. Trees 15:204–214
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Donnellan Barraclough A, Zweifel R, Cusens J, Leuzinger S (2018) Daytime stem swelling and seasonal reversal in the peristaltic depletion of stored water along the stem of *Avicennia marina* (Forssk.) Vierh. Tree Physiol 38:965–978
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Eamus D, O'Grady AP, Hutley LB (2000) Dry season conditions determine wet season water use in the wet–dry tropical savannas of northern Australia. Tree Physiol 20:1219–1226
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>Garnier E, Berger A (1987) The influence of drought on stomatal conductance and water potential of peach trees growing in the field. Sci Hortic 32:249–263
- <span id="page-13-36"></span>Giorio P, Sorrentino G, d' Andria G R (1999) Stomatal behaviour, leaf water status and photosynthetic response in field-grown olive trees under water deficit. Environ Exp Bot 42:95–104
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Goldstein G, Andrade JL, Meinzer FC, Holbrook NM, Cavelier J, Celis PJ A (1998) Stem water storage and diurnal patterns of water use in tropical forest canopy trees. Plant cell Environ 21:397–406
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Gries D, Zeng FJ, Arndt SK, Bruelheide H, Thomas FM, Runge M (2003) Growth and water relations of Tamarix ramosissima and *Populus euphratica* on Taklamakan desert dunes in relation to depth to a permanent water table. Plant Cell Environ 26:725–736
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Hogg HE, Hurdle PA (1997) Sap flow in trembling aspen: implications for stomatal responses to vapor pressure deficit. Tree Physiol 17:501–509
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>Huang CW, Domec JC, Ward EJ, Duman T, Manoli G, Parolari AJ, Katul GG (2017) The effect of plant water storage on water fluxes within the coupled soil-plant system. New Phytol 213:1093–1106
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Jarvis PG, McNaughton KG (1986) Stomatal control of transpiration: scaling up from leaf to region. Academic Press, Cambridge, pp 1–49
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Köcher P, Horna V, Leuschner C (2013) Stem water storage in five coexisting temperate broad-leaved tree species: significance, temporal dynamics and dependence on tree functional traits. Tree Physiol 33:817–832
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Li J, Yu B, Zhao C, Nowak RS, Zhao Z, Sheng Y, Li J (2012) Physiological and morphological responses of Tamarix ramosissima and *Populus euphratica* to altered groundwater availability. Tree Physiol 33:57–68
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Li W, Yu TF, Li XY, Zhao CY (2016) Sap flow characteristics and their response to environmental variables in a desert riparian forest along lower Heihe River Basin, Northwest China. Environ Monit Assess 188:561
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Lin H, Chen Y, Zhang H, Fu P, Fan Z, Watling J (2017) Stronger cooling effects of transpiration and leaf physical traits of plants from a hot dry habitat than from a hot wet habitat. Funct Ecol 31:2202–2211
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Meinzer FC (1993) Stomatal control of transpiration. Trends Ecol Evol 8:289–294
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Meinzer FC (2002) Co-ordination of liquid and vapour phase water transport properties in plants. Plant cell Environ 25:265–274
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Meinzer FC, Hinckley TM, Ceulemans R (1997) Apparent stomata to transpiration and humidity in a hybrid poplar canopy in a hybrid poplar canopy. Plant Cell Environ 20:1301–1308
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Domec JC, Goldstein G, Campanello PI, Gatti MG, Villalobos-Vega R (2008) Coordination of leaf and stem water transport properties in tropical forest trees. Oecologia 156:31–41
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Meinzer FC, Johnson DM, Lachenbruch B, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR (2009) Xylem hydraulic safety margins in woody plants: coordination of stomatal control of xylem tension with hydraulic capacitance. Funct Ecol 23:922–930
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Nardini A, Salleo S (2000) Limitation of stomatal conductance by hydraulic traits: sensing or preventing xylem cavitation? Trees 15:14–24
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>O'Grady AP, Eamus D, Hutley LB (1999) Transpiration increases during the dry season: patterns of tree water use in eucalypt open-forests of northern Australia. Tree Physiol 19:591–597
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>O'Grady AP, Worledge D, Battaglia M (2008) Constraints on transpiration of *Eucalyptus globulus* in southern Tasmania, Australia. Agric For Meteorol 148:453–465
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>O'Grady AP, Cook PG, Eamus D, Duguid A, Wischusen JD, Fass T, Worldege D (2009) Convergence of tree water use within an arid-zone woodland. Oecologia 160:643–655
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Ogasa M, Miki NH, Murakami Y, Yoshikawa K (2013) Recovery performance in xylem hydraulic conductivity is correlated with cavitation resistance for temperate deciduous tree species. Tree Physiol 33:335–344
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Overdieck D, Ziche D, Yu R (2013) Gas exchange of *Populus euphratica* leaves in a riparian zone. J Arid Land 5:531–541
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Pan YP, Chen YP, Chen YN, Wang RZ, Ren ZG (2016) Impact of groundwater depth on leaf hydraulic properties and drought vulnerability of *Populus euphratica* in the Northwest of China. Trees 30:2029–2039
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Pfautsch S, Keitel C, Turnbull TL, Braimbridge MJ, Wright TE, Simpson RR, O'Brien JA, Adams MA (2011) Diurnal patterns of water use in *Eucalyptus victrix* indicate pronounced desiccation-rehydration cycles despite unlimited water supply. Tree physiology 31:1041–1051
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Phillips NG, Scholz FG, Bucci SJ, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC (2009) Using branch and basal trunk sap flow measurements to estimate whole-plant water capacitance: comment on Burgess and Dawson (2008). Plant Soil 315:315–324
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Scholz FG, Bucci SJ, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC, Franco AC, Miralles-Wilhelm F (2008) Temporal dynamics of stem expansion and contraction in savanna trees: withdrawal and recharge of stored water. Tree Physiol 28:469–480
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Scholz FG, Phillips NG, Bucci SJ, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G (2011) Hydraulic capacitance: biophysics and functional significance of internal water sources in relation to tree size, vol 4. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 341–361
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Sevanto S, Nikinmaa E, Riikonen A, Daley M, Pettijohn JC, Mikkelsen TN, Phillips N, Holbrook NM (2008) Linking xylem diameter variations with sap flow measurements. Plant Soil 305:77–90
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Si JH, Feng Q, Zhang XY, Chang ZQ, Su YH, Xi HY (2007) Sap flow of *Populus euphratica* in a desert riparian forest in an extreme arid region during the growing season. J Integr Plant Biol 49:425–436
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Si JH, Feng Q, Xi HY, Chang ZQ, Su YH, Zhang K (2009) Sap-flow measurement and scale transferring from sample trees to entire forest stand of *Populus euphratica* in desert riparian forest in extreme arid region. Sci Cold Arid Reg 1:258–266
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Stratton L, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC (2000) Stem water storage capacity and efficiency of water transport: their functional significance in a Hawaiian dry forest. Plant Cell Environ 23:99–106
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Thomas FM, Foetzki A, Gries D, Bruelheide H, Li X, Zeng F, Zhang X (2008) Regulation of the water status in three co-occurring phreatophytes at the southern fringe of the Taklamakan Desert. J Plant Ecol 1:227–235
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Tyree MT, Yang SD (1990) Water storage capacity of *Thuja, Tsuga* and *Acer* stems measured by dehydration isotherms. Planta 182:420–426
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>van den Honert TH (1948) Water transport in plants as a catenary process. Discuss Faraday Soc 3:146–153
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Wang SJ (1996) The status, conservation and recovery of global resources of *Populus euphradica*. World For Res 6:37–44
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Xiao SC, Xiao HL, Peng XM, Tian QY (2014) Daily and seasonal stem radial activity of *Populus euphratica* and its association with hydroclimatic factors in the lower reaches of China's Heihe River basin. Environ Earth Sci 72:609–621
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Yu T, Feng Q, Si J, Xi H, Li Z, Chen A (2013) Hydraulic redistribution of soil water by roots of two desert riparian phreatophytes in northwest China's extremely arid region. Plant Soil 372:297–308
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Yu TF, Feng Q, Si JH, Zhang XY, Alec D, Zhao CY (2016) Evidences and magnitude of nighttime transpiration derived from *Populus euphratica* in the extreme arid region of China. J Plant Biol 59:648–657
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Yu T, Feng Q, Si J, Mitchell PJ, Forster MA, Zhang X, Zhao C (2018) Depressed hydraulic redistribution of roots more by stem refilling than by nocturnal transpiration for *Populus euphratica* Oliv. in situ measurement. Ecology evolution 8:2607–2616
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Yu TF, Feng Q, Si JH, Xi HY, O'Grady AP, Pinkard EA (2019) Responses of riparian forests to flood irrigation in the hyper-arid zone of NW China. Sci Total Environ 648:1421–1430
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Zeng F, Bleby TM, Landman PA, Adams MA, Arndt SK (2006) Water and nutrient dynamics in surface roots and soils are not modified by short-term flooding of phreatophytic plants in a hyperarid desert. Plant Soil 279:129–139
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Zeppel MJB, Murray BR, Barton C, Eamus D (2004) Seasonal responses of xylem sap velocity to VPD and solar radiation during drought in a stand of native trees in temperate Australia. Funct Plant Biol 31:461–470
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Zeppel MJ, Lewis JD, Phillips NG, Tissue DT (2014) Consequences of nocturnal water loss: a synthesis of regulating factors and implications for capacitance, embolism and use in models. Tree Physiol 34:1047–1055
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Zhao CY, Si JH, Feng Q, Yu TF, Li PD (2017) Comparative study of daytime and nighttime sap flow of *Populus euphratica*. Plant Growth Regul 82:353–362
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Zweifel R, Item H, Häsler R (2001) Link between diurnal stem radius changes and tree water relations. Tree Physiol 21:869–877

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.