ORIGINAL PAPER

Fine root turnover of Japanese white birch (Betula platyphylla var. $japonica$) grown under elevated $CO₂$ in northern Japan

Xiaona Wang¹ · Saki Fujita¹ · Tatsuro Nakaji² · Makoto Watanabe³ · Fuyuki Satoh² · Takayoshi Koike¹

Received: 17 April 2015 / Revised: 22 August 2015 / Accepted: 26 August 2015 / Published online: 18 September 2015 - Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract

Key message Elevated $CO₂$ reduced fine root dynamics (production and turnover) of white birch seedlings, especially grown in volcanic ash soil compared with brown forest soil.

Abstract Increased atmospheric $CO₂$ usually enhances photosynthetic ability and growth of trees. To understand how increased $CO₂$ affects below-ground part of trees under varied soil condition, we investigated the responses of the fine root (diameter $\langle 2 \text{ mm} \rangle$ dynamics of Japanese white birch (Betula platyphylla var. japonica) which was planted in 2010. The three-year-old birch seedlings were grown in four experimental treatments comprising two levels of $CO₂$, i.e., ambient: 380–390 and elevated: 500 μ mol mol⁻¹, in combination with two kinds of soil: brown forest (BF) soil and volcanic ash (VA) soil which has few nutrients. The growth and turnover of fine roots were measured for 3 years (2011–2013) using the Minirhizotron. In the first observation year, live fine root length (standing crop) in BF soil was not affected by $CO₂$ treatment, but it was reduced by the elevated $CO₂$ from the second observation year. In VA soil, live fine root length

Communicated by T. Koike and K. Noguchi.

 \boxtimes Xiaona Wang miho54@163.com

& Takayoshi Koike tkoike@for.agr.hokudai.ac.jp

¹ Silviculture and Forest Ecological Studies, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

- ² Hokkaido University Forests, Sapporo 060-0809, Japan
- Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Fuchu, Tokyo 183-8509, Japan

was reduced by elevated $CO₂$ for all 3 years. Fine root turnover tended to decrease under elevated $CO₂$ compared with ambient in both soil types during the first and second observation years. Turnover of fine root production and mortality was also affected by the two factors, elevated $CO₂$ and different soil types. Median longevity of fine root increased under elevated $CO₂$, especially in VA soil at the beginning, and a shorter fine root lifespan appeared after 2 years of observation (2011–2012). These results suggest that elevated $CO₂$ does not consistently stimulate fine root turnover, particularly during the plant seedlings stage, as it may depend on the costs and benefits of constructing and retaining roots. Therefore, despite the other uncontrollable environment factors, carbon sequestration to the root system may be varied by $CO₂$ treatment period, soil type and plant age.

Keywords Elevated $CO_2 \cdot$ Fine root longevity \cdot Mini-rhizotron - Survival analysis - Volcanic ash soil

Introduction

The root is the hidden half of the plant and clearly regulates whole plant growth. Roots are roughly classified into coarse roots and fine roots with the latter being more physiologically active (Eshel and Beeckman [2013\)](#page-9-0). What will be the effects of elevated carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$ on root dynamics, especially on fine roots?

Since the beginning of this century, atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration has risen by approximately 30 % as a result from large increases in fossil fuel burning and deforestation (e.g., Meehl et al. 2007). The impacts of elevated $CO₂$ on forest trees and forest ecosystems are currently attracting great interest, including effects on exchange of energy and materials among soil, aboveground biomass, and the atmosphere (Lal [2005\)](#page-10-0).

The average enhancement of photosynthesis for trees exposed to elevated CO_2 (300 µmol mol⁻¹) has been approximately 60 % (Norby et al. [1999](#page-10-0)). However, responses to exposure vary considerably by species (Naumburg et al. [2001;](#page-10-0) Koike et al. [2015](#page-10-0)), position in the crown (Takeuchi et al. [2001](#page-11-0)), nitrogen (N) fertility level (Watanabe et al. [2008\)](#page-11-0), the season (Noormets et al. [2001b](#page-10-0)), and co-occurring pollutant concentrations (Noormets et al. [2001a](#page-10-0); Koike et al. [2012\)](#page-10-0). There is little certainty on tree growth and productivity under elevated $CO₂$ and even more is uncertain about effects on belowground parts (Scarascia-Mugnozza et al. [2001\)](#page-11-0). In this study, we focused on fine root dynamics under elevated $CO₂$ with a Free Air $CO₂$ Enrichment (FACE) system.

Fine roots were defined as diameter \leq 2 mm (Agathokleous et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0). Although fine roots contribute to less than 2 % of tree biomass in forest ecosystems (e.g., Brunner and Godbold [2007](#page-9-0)), they comprise 33–67 % of the annual net primary productivity (NPP) in forest ecosystems (Gill and Jackson [2000](#page-9-0)). Moreover, fine roots grow, die, and decompose very rapidly, large amounts of carbon (C) and N cycle annually through them eventhough they have small biomass compared to aboveground tissues (Ruess et al. [2003](#page-11-0)).

Even though these below-ground processes, such as fine root production (FRP) and mortality (FRM), are important. Little is understood by them (Norby and Jackson [2000](#page-10-0); Aber and Melillo [2001](#page-9-0); Fitter [2005\)](#page-9-0). Since fine roots are increasingly recognized crucial in balancing nutrient cycling in forest ecosystems, especially the C sequestration to soil (Norby and Jackson [2000;](#page-10-0) Matamala et al. [2003;](#page-10-0) Norby et al. [2004\)](#page-10-0), and so understanding the effect of $CO₂$ enrichment on root dynamics is pivotal. In terms of fine root dynamics under elevated $CO₂$, however, the results of root longevity and turnover are inconsistent among several $CO₂$ fumigation researches, which result in great uncertainty about terrestrial C cycles (Pritchard et al. [2001a,](#page-11-0) [b](#page-11-0); Lichter et al. [2005](#page-10-0); Hogberg and Read [2006\)](#page-10-0).

Usually, a larger amount of C is allocated to roots under elevated $CO₂$. However, experimental findings are inconsistent, with negative responses of elevated $CO₂$ also being reported. This is due to the enhancement of plant growth under elevated $CO₂$, which possibly vary with the timing of measurement and duration of $CO₂$ exposure (Arnone et al. [2000;](#page-9-0) Higgins et al. [2002](#page-10-0)). With uncertain conclusions of root production, the responses of root turnover and longevity under elevated CO₂ still remain unclear. Several studies have found production and mortality of fine roots being significantly increased under elevated $CO₂$ (Matamala and Schlesinger [2000](#page-10-0); Pregitzer et al. [2000;](#page-11-0) King et al. [2001](#page-10-0); Pritchard et al. [2001a](#page-11-0), [b\)](#page-11-0). However, so far, the stimulation of NPP by CO_2 -enrichment at Duke FACE which has persisted for more than 8 years amid speculation that nutrient limitations will eventually constrain to a positive CO_2 response (Luo et al. $2004a$, [b](#page-10-0); Finzi et al. [2006](#page-9-0); Johnson [2006](#page-10-0)).

Moreover, as fine roots account for a large degree of NPP, which is strongly affected by soil nutrient limitation (Oren et al. [2001](#page-11-0)), fine root dynamics is expected to be dramatically affected by soil condition. Therefore, as reported, elevated $CO₂$ accelerated plant growth and increased plant nutrient demand as well as nutrient uptake capacity (Bielenberg and Bassirirad [2005](#page-9-0)). Under infertile soil condition or under nutrient limitation stress, fine roots adjust their dynamics to balance the costs and benefits of the whole plant. For instance, longer fine root length with lower turnover can reduce the root production cost, and relatively supply more benefits to the plant. However, these points are rarely addressed (e.g., Luo et al. [2004a](#page-10-0), [b](#page-10-0); Agathokleous et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0).

White birch (Betula platyphylla var. japonica) is widely distributed and has well acclimated itself in several environmental conditions (Koike [1995](#page-10-0)). The distribution range of white birch includes a variety of regions, ranging from central Honshu to Far Eastern Asia (including Siberia) (Shi et al. [2010](#page-11-0)). Furthermore, this species exists under various conditions, and has a strong tendency to form a pure birch forest. White birch is densely planted in several regions of Hokkaido (Terazawa [2005\)](#page-11-0) and in Russia (Zyryanova et al. [2010](#page-11-0)) due to the promising characteristics of species for green afforestation and its sap utilization.

To estimate the C cycling of boreal forest in East Asia under elevated $CO₂$, the root dynamics of birch plantation is emphasized since it dominates the forests. Specifically in northern Japan, the soil is widely covered by volcanic ash soil which usually is phosphorous (P) deficient and has relatively low N concentration (e.g., Kayama et al. [2009](#page-10-0)). Furthermore, P availability is regarded to be a limiting factor to tree growth due to several mechanisms, especially relating with N deposition (Vitousek et al. [2010\)](#page-11-0). Therefore, assessment of future C sequestration should consider the limitations imposed by soil fertility.

In this study, we attempt to access the fine root dynamics of Japanese white birch under elevated $CO₂$ via the Mini-rhizotron system (Hendrick and Pregitzer [1996](#page-10-0)). This experiment involved two soil types, volcanic ash (VA) soil and brown forest (BF) soil. We hypothesize that (1) in BF soil, elevated $CO₂$ stimulates plant growth more than VA soil because of the nutrient limitation. Therefore, root length production is increased by elevated $CO₂$ in BF soil not in VA soil. (2) Over time, fine root turnover may be increased with elevated $CO₂$, with the turnover in BF soil being higher than VA soil. (3) Fine roots will have a longer

lifespan under elevated $CO₂$ and will also have a relatively longer root length under VA soil condition than in BF soil, as a longer lifespan may lower the cost for root production in nutrient-limited soil.

Materials and methods

Study site and FACE system

The experiment was conducted in a FACE system located in Sapporo Experimental Forest, Hokkaido University, Japan (43° 60'N, 141°20'E) (e.g., Eguchi et al. [2008](#page-9-0); Watanabe et al. [2010\)](#page-11-0) from 2011 to 2013. The FACE system was constructed in a size about 6.5 m width and 5.2 m height. The whole-plot treatment consisted of two levels of CO_2 [ambient (380–390 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂) and elevated CO_2 (500 µmol mol⁻¹ CO_2)] with three site replications. The tanked $CO₂$ was supplied mainly in the daytime: above the light compensation point of photosynthesis of 70 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ (Koike [1995](#page-10-0)), coving the whole photosynthesis period, and the $CO₂$ fumigation started from early June each year since 2010. We constructed six FACE rings in total, in order to account for the variance among sites for data analysis.

Plant materials and soil type

The present experiment had a split-plot factorial design and the randomized block method was employed. Three-yearold seedlings of Japanese white birch (Betula platyphylla var. japonica) were planted randomly in each FACE site. There were two soil types: brown forest (BF) soil and pumice included volcanic ash (VA) soil (transferred from Tomakomai Experiment Forest) in each FACE site. The chemical and physical properties of these two soil types were described by Eguchi et al. ([2008\)](#page-9-0) using soil sampling of 5 cm depth in 2005. Importantly, they extracted exchangeable phosphorus (P) in the soil with sodium bicarbonate solution, and found that P concentration greatly differed between soil types. P deficiency was more severe in VA soil $(0.58 \text{ µg} \quad 100 \text{ mg}^{-1})$ than BF soil $(4.48 \text{ µg } 100 \text{ mg}^{-1}).$

Mini-rhizotron system

To investigate fine root dynamics, Mini-rhizotrons (MRfine root observation tubes) and specialized camera or scanner equipment have been widely adopted for in situ observation (Heeraman and Juma [1993](#page-10-0)). This technique is a non-destructive method that can be used to monitor the same individual roots over selected time intervals, which can vary from days to years (Andersson and Majdi [2005](#page-9-0)). Compared to ingrowth core or sequential soil core method, MR has several advanced functions, such as identifying the same roots on successive dates (Hendrick and Pregitzer [1992](#page-10-0); Majdi [1996](#page-10-0)), and quantifying the data on root length production, root length mortality, root longevity, root density and root diameter (Hendrick and Pregitzer [1996](#page-10-0); Majdi and Andersson [2005](#page-10-0)).

In each FACE site, two birch seedlings were randomly selected as the observed target in each soil type, and the MR tube was installed matching each observed seedling. In total, four birch seedlings were measured by four MR tubes buried beside the seedlings in one FACE site. All the seedlings were planted together with tubes in June 2010. We installed transparent acrylic tubes (0.5 m long with a 5.08 cm inside diameter) at an angle of 45° to the soil surface. We captured digital images at the soil depth of 0–15 and 15–30 cm using a scanner (CI-600 Root Scanner, CID Bio-Science, Inc., USA) which was exactly matched to the tube size according to the schematic described by Maeght et al. ([2013\)](#page-10-0). Because it was difficult to distinguish birch roots from grass roots in the surface soil (0–15 cm), and enough number of roots were found in the subsurface soil (15–30 cm), therefore, we examined the roots in deep soil (15–30 cm) for an accurate analysis. A lag period of up to 12 months is required to stabilize the density of fine roots, after installing MR tubes (Joslin and Wolfe [1999](#page-10-0)). Hence to avoid misrepresentation of root growth and death near the MR tube interface, we commenced image scanning 1 year after the planting. Root monitoring is a dynamic process, especially for turnover estimation. As in previous studies, in boreal forest, turnover value can be lower than 1 year^{-1}; this means that the root lifespan is longer than 1 year, see review by Yuan and Chen [\(2010](#page-11-0)). On the other hand, the effects of elevated $CO₂$ on tree growth showed a time-dependent response. Therefore, the experiment with the root monitor was conducted for 3 years. We collected images in intervals of 3 weeks from April 2011 to October 2013, excluding snow periods (early November to next late April). The gathered images $(21.59 \times 19.56 \text{ cm}^2)$ were used for detecting fine root dynamics.

Root image analysis

We used the program WinRHIZOTron (Regent Instruments, Quebec, Canada) to analyze the roots in the captured images. It was difficult to distinguish whether one root appeared from the time when we scanned the image. Therefore, roots that were unsuberized and white when observed for the first time were recorded as new, whereas those remaining white or changing to brownish in subsequent viewings were recorded as living. Roots were defined as dead (marked gone) when they turned black or wrinkled and later produced no new roots in subsequent viewings. For each tube, we traced the length and diameter of each individual root that appeared in the image area. The sum of the length of new roots and the increase in the length of existing roots during each observation interval were calculated as FRP. Likewise, FRM was evaluated from the length of root that was marked gone (turned black or disappeared) (Tingey et al. [2000;](#page-11-0) Satomura et al. [2007](#page-11-0)).

Fine root turnover $(year^{-1})$ can be estimated in two ways: (1) as the ratio of annual root length production to average live root length observed; (2) the inverse of median root longevity (Majdi et al. [2005\)](#page-10-0). We calculated the turnover of FRP and FRM following the first method, which follows the annual length-based method (Gill et al. [2002\)](#page-9-0).

Turnover of FRP $(year^{-1}) = ALRP/LRL_{max}$ or $ALRP/LRL_{mean}$

Turnover of FRM (year⁻¹) = $\text{ALRM}/\text{LRL}_{\text{max}}$ or $\text{ALRM}/\text{LRL}_{\text{mean}}$

The ALRP is the annual length-based root production. It denotes the sum of the fine root length that is produced within 1 year. In parallel, ALRM is annual length-based root mortality. LRL is the live root length (standing crop) which denotes the fine root length of alive status. It represents the ability of fine root system. LRL_{max} and LRL_{mean} denote for the maximum and mean value of LRL during the corresponding year.

We define the fine root lifespan (median root longevity) obtained from MR, as the time during in which 50 % of the fine roots die (Andersson and Majdi [2005;](#page-9-0) Green et al. 2005). Additionally, fine root diameters (D) were classified into five orders: $D < 0.2$, 0.2–0.3, 0.3–0.4, 0.4–0.5 and 0.5–2.0 mm. Roots of $D > 2.0$ mm were not estimated for all parameters in this study.

As the plant canopy was closed since 2012 (Hara [2014](#page-10-0)), we separated the first year (2011) data from next 2 years for calculating and plotting graphs of FRP and FRM.

Soil parameters

According to the report by Eguchi et al. [\(2008](#page-9-0)), nutrient concentration was relatively lower in VA soil than BF soil. We detected the C and N concentrations of the two soils in 2011 and 2012 with NC analyzers (NC-900, Sumica-Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

Statistical analysis

All data were distributed normally, as verified by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test; the significant value was greater than 0.05. Then, the data were subjected to splitplot general linear model randomized. We performed general linear model-multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) to estimate the effects of different treatments (CO2 and soil type) and their interaction on turnover of FRP and FRM over yeas. The fine root median and mean longevity were analyzed using nonparametric Kaplan– Meier survival function. Tukey-HSD was performed for the effect on fine root longevity under different treatment conditions, not for the effect on fine root longevity within diameter class. Statistical analysis unit is FACE site; all the data were undertaken by SPSS software (version 16.0).

Results

Soil C and N concentrations

Soil C and N concentrations were measured (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). C and N concentrations in VA soil showed lower value than BF soil. Elevated $CO₂$ did not affect soil C and N concentrations.

Living fine root length

During the treatment period, LRL showed relatively higher values in the period of early growing season (June to Aug) from 2011 to 2013 (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). In 2011, LRL did not differ significantly between ambient and elevated $CO₂$ treatment in BF soil, but it sharply increased in ambient treatment as opposed to the elevated $CO₂$ in 2012 and 2013. Contrastingly, in VA soil, LRL showed higher values in ambient than elevated $CO₂$ condition in all observation years (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Over these 3 years, LRL was extremely high under ambient conditions in VA soil compared to the other three conditions. Elevated $CO₂$ markedly reduced LRL in VA soil during the three observed growing seasons (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0).

Fine root production and mortality

In BF soil, fine root production rate (root length based) was not affected by elevated $CO₂$ during 2011 except July and September when it was increased (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a). It was unaffected during 2012, but was reduced by elevated $CO₂$ in August of 2013 (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)). In VA soil, no significant differences were found between elevated $CO₂$ and ambient treatment in 2011 (Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)); however, it was reduced during the early growing season (June, July and August) in 2012 and 2013 (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)b). No clear trend was found for the mortality rate in BF soil, and elevated $CO₂$ tended to reduce it in the late growing season: September and October (2012–2013) in VA soil (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0)).

Turnover of fine root production and mortality differed significantly among the treatments (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Elevated

Each value is the Mean \pm SD of three replications, and statistical analysis unit is the FACE site

ANOVA: ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, $\textdegree{}0.05 \lt P \lt 0.1$, ns not significant

Fig. 2 Fine root length production rate of birch seedlings growing under elevated and ambient $[CO₂]$ on volcanic ash (VA) and brown forest (BF) soil. Each value is the mean of six replications; the

vertical bar in the column denotes SE. The letters a and b denote the graph of different year

Fig. 3 Fine root length mortality rate of birch seedlings growing under elevated and ambient $[CO₂]$ on volcanic ash (VA) and brown forest (BF) soil. Each value is the mean of six replications; the vertical bar in the column denotes SE. The letters **a** and **b** denote the graph of different year

Year	Soil	CO ₂	Production		Mortality	
			$ALRP/LRL_{max}$	$ALRP/LRL$ _{mean}	$ALRM/LRL_{max}$	ALRM/LRL _{mean}
2011	BF	Ambient	1.04(0.15)	1.54(0.19)	1.14(0.17)	1.68(0.22)
		Elevated	0.93(0.06)	1.35(0.12)	0.98(0.07)	1.43(0.14)
	VA	Ambient	1.00(0.21)	1.23(0.22)	1.07(0.08)	1.33(0.11)
		Elevated	0.84(0.14)	1.06(0.12)	0.95(0.23)	1.22(0.30)
2012	BF	Ambient	1.17(0.15)	1.68(0.25)	1.19(0.11)	1.69(0.12)
		Elevated	1.07(0.03)	1.43(0.09)	0.93(0.08)	1.23(0.09)
	VA	Ambient	0.70(0.08)	0.96(0.12)	0.96(0.14)	1.33(0.20)
		Elevated	0.71(0.04)	0.92(0.06)	0.78(0.06)	1.01(0.12)
2013	BF	Ambient	0.64(0.09)	0.88(0.17)	0.25(0.05)	0.33(0.06)
		Elevated	0.88(0.13)	1.04(0.14)	0.76(0.19)	0.90(0.22)
	VA	Ambient	0.71(0.06)	0.93(0.08)	0.56(0.17)	0.74(0.23)
		Elevated	0.78(0.16)	1.00(0.25)	0.78(0.10)	0.97(0.08)
CO ₂			ns	ns	ns	ns
Soil			*	**	ns.	ns
Year			\ast	$***$	***	***
$CO2 \times Year$			ns	ns	$***$	$\ast\ast$
Soil \times Year			$\ast\ast$	$***$	ns.	ns
$CO2 \times$ Soil			ns	ns	ns.	ns
$CO_2 \times$ Soil \times Year			ns	ns	ns	ns

Table 2 Turnover of fine root production and mortality of each observed year (yr^{-1})

Each value is the Mean (SE) of six replications, and statistical analysis unit is FACE site

ANOVA: * $P \lt 0.05$, ** $P \lt 0.01$, *** $P \lt 0.001$, ns not significant

 $CO₂$ did not significantly affect the turnover of production and mortality, but there was an interaction effect of year and $CO₂$ on mortality turnover. Over time, it was reduced by elevated $CO₂$ in two kinds of soil from 2011 to 2012. The soil type influenced production turnover significantly, showing lower values in VA soil than BF soil except in the final observation year. Turnover of production and mortality was significantly reduced with the time of the three observation years. The interaction effect of soil and year influenced the production turnover. There was no interaction effect of $CO₂$ and soil, or $CO₂$, soil and year.

Additionally, the annual length-based root production (ALRP) and annual length-based root mortality (ALRM) of each tube in all treatments were positively correlated (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0).

Fig. 4 Relationship between annual length-based root production (ALRP) and annual length-based root mortality (ALRM) in each tube and treatment. Pearson correlation test showed $P < 0.0001$

Fine root longevity

The median fine root longevity was estimated by the different treatments and root diameters. Overall, the median root longevity differed with different treatments, and it was increased under elevated $CO₂$ in 2011 for BF soil and VA soil (Table 3). From 2012 to 2013, compared to the ambient treatment, the relatively longer median fine root longevity under elevated $CO₂$ was gradually reduced in BF soil. The increase of median fine root longevity compared to ambient treatment was weakened by elevated $CO₂$ in VA soil.

Median root longevity of different diameter classes showed significant responses to different treatments. The thinnest fine root ($D < 0.2$ mm) was not affected by elevated $CO₂$ in all conditions in 2011 and 2012, but it was increased by elevated $CO₂$ in BF soil and reduced in VA soil in 2013 (Table [4](#page-7-0)). Root longevity of roots with diameters between 0.2 and 0.3 mm was markedly increased by elevated $CO₂$ except in 2013 in BF soil, where longevity was, in contrast, reduced by elevated $CO₂$. The root longevity of roots with diameters between 0.3 and 0.4 mm was increased by elevated $CO₂$. But there were no effects on the median longevity of the roots with diameters

Each value is the median and mean longevity (SE) calculated by survival function with six replications; N denotes the number of available roots

Tukey-HSD post hoc test was shown with small letters, and statistical analysis unit is FACE site Significant was tested by Log Rank (Mantel-Cox): * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$

Table 3 Fine root lifespan (weeks) of birch seedlings growing under elevated and ambient $[CO₂]$ on volcanic ash (VA) soil and brown forest (BF) soil

Table 4 Fine root lifespan (weeks) in different root diameter classes of birch seedlings growing under elevated and ambient $[CO₂]$ on volcanic ash (VA) soil and brown forest (BF) soil

Each value is median longevity (SE) calculated by survival function with corresponding roots belonging to different diameter classes, "-" denotes the unavailable result due to the insufficient roots, and statistical analysis unit is FACE site. Significance was tested by Log Rank (Mantel-Cox): * $P \lt 0.05$, ** $P \lt 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, ns denotes not significant

Significance was tested by Log Rank (Mantel-Cox): * $P \lt 0.05$, ** $P \lt 0.01$, ** $P \lt 0.001$, ns not significant

between 0.4 and 0.5 mm by elevated $CO₂$ in 2012; the same results were attained for roots with a diameter larger than 0.4 mm in 2013.

Discussion

Fine root length standing crop

We found that the LRL (live root length or length-based standing crop) was significantly influenced by elevated $CO₂$ treatment. In BF soil, LRL was not affected by elevated CO_2 in first year, but it was reduced by elevated CO_2 from the second year onwards. In contrast, under VA soil, elevated CO2 reduced the LRL for all three observed years (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). Generally, elevated $CO₂$ stimulates plant growth (Norby and Zak [2011](#page-10-0)) and more carbon is allocated to the roots (Lukac et al. [2003](#page-10-0)), therefore, that is why elevated $CO₂$ was assumed to increase the root/shoot ratio in earlier studies.

However, other studies have revealed less pronounced effects (Bielenberg and Bassirirad [2005](#page-9-0)) or even negative responses of elevated $CO₂$ (Arnone et al. [2000](#page-9-0); Higgins et al. [2002\)](#page-10-0). These present results have proved this point and suggest that the stimulated effects of elevated $CO₂$ diminished over time. On the other hand, the downregulation of photosynthesis was frequently observed in the seedling and sapling stages of various tree species (Tissue

and Lewis [2010\)](#page-11-0). This was the reason why a higher relative LRL was found under the ambient condition and not at elevated $CO₂$ condition from 2012 in the two kinds of soil.

The different results between BF soil and VA soil suggested that the LRL is strongly related with C and N conditions of soil. Our results found that the soil N concentration in VA soil was relatively lower than BF soil as reported before (Eguchi et al. [2008\)](#page-9-0). This perhaps led to the total length of live root in VA soil to be higher than BF soil in all treatments during the 3 years, because wide roots system were efficient for limited nutrient uptake (Ryser [2006](#page-11-0)). Moreover, the downregulation of photosynthesis can be clearly found under immature VA soil conditions (Mao [2013](#page-10-0)); this is because it limits photosynthate allocation to belowground. For this reason, LRL in VA soil was consistently lower under elevated $CO₂$ than ambient treatment.

As we mentioned, the canopy was closed since 2012 in our experimental site according to Hara (2014) (2014) ; thus, the effects of elevated $CO₂$ to root growth was minified. In our case, elevated $CO₂$ even reduced root growth, such as the fine root production rate of VA soil in the early growing season (June, July and August), and distinctly decreased the LRL in BF soil since 2012. As elevated $CO₂$ accelerates plant growth, plant nutrient demand and its uptake capacity may also be accelerated (Bielenberg and Bassirirad [2005](#page-9-0)). As a result, higher nutrient demand under limited nutrient environments, particularly infertile soil, may have reduced

or even restricted plant growth, especially belowground growth. Thus, a reduced LRL of white birch was found for 3 years in VA soil.

Additionally, the negative effect of elevated $CO₂$ on LRL from the second year in BF soil and throughout the 3 years in VA soil can be hypothesized that it potentially derived from the changes of root production and mortality. For instance, changes of higher mortality or lower production under elevated $CO₂$ can lead to a reduced LRL. Overall, we did not find any consistent trend for root production rate and mortality rate (Figs. [2,](#page-4-0) [3\)](#page-5-0). Also a strong correlation of ALRP and ALRM was found in all treatment conditions suggesting that the root production and mortality were equal within 1 year (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). Therefore, we deduced that the different LRL patterns may depend on the fine root turnover and lifespan, which we will further discuss below.

Turnover of root production and mortality

Elevated $CO₂$ did not affect the turnover of FRP and FRM (Table [2](#page-5-0)). Our results are consistent with the results of Pritchard et al. (2008) (2008) , whereby elevated $CO₂$ did not significantly alter turnover of loblolly pine, despite an increased root length, production and mortality. One possible reason is the treatment period of elevated $CO₂$. Our case is still shorter than 6 years monitoring as Pritchard et al. [\(2008](#page-11-0)) did. Another possibility is the soil depth; the roots in 15–30 cm soil depth may have been inactive. Moreover, an interactive effect with year was found, and that elevated $CO₂$ reduced turnover of FRM over time. It could contribute to a longer lifespan with $CO₂$ enrichment as we detected (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). Additionally, Eissenstat et al. (2000) (2000) concluded that elevated $CO₂$ may be associated with longer root lifespan, by decreasing the root N concentration and reducing the root maintenance respiration. There was also a similar report by Arnone et al. [\(2000](#page-9-0)) that the longer lifespan was also found under elevated $CO₂$. Turnover of FRM was increased by elevated $CO₂$ in 2013; therefore, there was lower LRL in the third year compared to 2011 and 2012 during our observation (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)), and this could lead to a reduction of root lifespan under elevated $CO₂$ (Table [4](#page-7-0)).

Soil only significantly affected turnover of FRP, but not turnover of FRM. VA soil had low turnover capacity of FRP in 2011 and 2012, and this may explain why lifespan in VA soil was relatively higher than BF soil with the same $CO₂$ treatment (Table [3](#page-6-0)). Another possibility is symbiotic effect of ectomycorrhiza (ECM), as roots with ECM symbiosis can live much longer or with lower production than non-colonized roots (King et al. [2002](#page-10-0)). This result was demonstrated by Bidartondo et al. ([2001\)](#page-9-0). He found that roots ($D = 0.3$ –0.6 mm) of *Pinus muricata* had longer root longevity when they were colonized with ECM. Therefore, the present results found the lower turnover and longer lifespan of fine root with limited nutrient in VA soil in the first two observed years. Thus, there is a slower root dynamics in VA soil than in the BF soil during the early period of $CO₂$ treatment.

Fine root lifespan of white birch under elevated $CO₂$

The median longevity was initially increased by elevated $CO₂$ in both BF soil and VA soil, but this did not continue from the second year in BF soil, and appeared to be a convergent effect of elevated $CO₂$ in VA soil (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). As it has been reported, plants under elevated $CO₂$, generally increase water use efficiency and dramatically stimulate aboveground growth (Qu et al. [2004;](#page-11-0) Koike et al. [2010](#page-10-0)). Furthermore, under elevated $CO₂$, root uptake provides nutrient resources primarily for $CO₂$ -stimulated growth in aboveground biomass, with more modest production in fine roots or longer lifespan roots (Housman et al. [2006\)](#page-10-0). In VA soil, the root median longevity was consistently increased under elevated $CO₂$. One possibility is that nutrient limitation resulted in a lower turnover of FRP and FRM under elevated $CO₂$ over the years, because the root longevity was inversely related to the duration of the resource supply (Pregitzer et al. [1993](#page-11-0)). Therefore, there was a longer root lifespan due to the limited nutrient availability. Another reason is that plants preferentially enhance the growth of aboveground as we discussed above, and this may readily occur in nutrient limited condition as root lifespan would be increased if construction costs relative to maintenance costs are high, or if the nutrient availability is low (Eissenstat et al. [2000](#page-9-0)).

Root diameter is known to change by multi-year studies with elevated $CO₂$ treatment (Pritchard et al. [2008](#page-11-0)). Therefore, root responses of different diameter classes to the treatments were predicted (see Table [4](#page-7-0)). The median lifespan of fine root ($D < 2$ mm) was significantly affected by different treatments. The thinnest roots ($D < 0.2$ mm) were affected under elevated $CO₂$ since 2013 after two growing seasons. The fine root lifespan of the other diameter orders increased initially and was unaffected under elevated $CO₂$ ($D > 0.4$ mm) in 2013. As reported, plants usually increase mycorrhizal colonization and decrease root N concentration under elevated $CO₂$ (Pritchard and Rogers [2000](#page-11-0); Tingey et al. [2000](#page-11-0)). Given that the root longevity is negatively correlated with tissue N concentration (Pregitzer et al. [1998\)](#page-11-0), we concluded that the mycorrhiza symbiosis was strongly stimulated under elevated $CO₂$ in the beginning (e.g., Wang et al. [2015](#page-11-0)). As a result, fine root performed a longer lifespan with no distinct effect by $CO₂$ enrichment in this study. Moreover, mycorrhizal colonization under elevated $CO₂$ has been found to

stabilize over time. Shinano et al. [\(2007](#page-11-0)) found that with elevated $CO₂$, ECM colonized with the Japanese larch (Larix kaempferi) at an increasing rate during the first year treatment, and later equilibrated to a stable lower rate. In our case, the shorter longevity of fine root during the third year was likely to be derived from the decreased ECM colonization. It is possible that ECM assisted birch seedlings to survive in new soil conditions, and the shorter root lifespan revealed a completed aboveground growth. After this establishment, the plants started to develop root systems (Eissenstat et al. 2000).

Additionally, regardless of the mycorrhizal symbiosis in the rhizosphere (McNear [2013](#page-10-0)), the different responses of roots in different diameter classes indicate root heterogeneity, such as specific root area, specific root length and root tissue density. The location of a root and its branching system of a root potentially influence the root lifespan (Guo et al. 2004). Further understandings of these characteristics are required to deeply clarify the root dynamics under changing environments.

Conclusions

Elevated $CO₂$ reduced standing crop of fine root length of white birch in VA soil, with a lower turnover of production and mortality compared with BF soil. This may indicate a slow root dynamics of white birch in VA soil during the early period of $CO₂$ enrichment. Elevated $CO₂$ increased root longevity, especially in VA soil over the three observed growing seasons, suggesting that soil nitrogen or nutrient status strongly affects root longevity. The shorter turnover of fine root production under elevated $CO₂$ compared with ambient $CO₂$ in VA soil during the third growing season indicates birth and death of the fine root increased, therefore, possibly leading to a rise in C sequestration to soil. This result may be further due to the elevated $CO₂$ causing changes of mycorrhizal colonization, root-specific characteristics, and/or the position of a root in the branching root system. These factors cannot be ignored, thus more efforts are required to expand our knowledge of root research and thoroughly understanding the responses of root dynamics under changing environments.

Author contribution statement Xiaona Wang: original conception, in charge of experimental materials and instrument, data collection and analysis, root tracing, and synthesis manuscript. Saki Fujita: root tracing, English improvement. Makoto Watanabe: FACE site conduction, article discussion. Tatsuro Nakaji: rhizotron development, article discussion. Fuyuki Satoh: management of FACE system. Takayoshi Koike: fund, management of all technical procedures, article discussion.

Acknowledgments We thank Mr. Ito Hirotaka for his contribution of the installation of the Mini-rhizotron system. We also thank Prof.

Heljä-Sisko Helmisaari and Dr. Jaana Leppälammi-Kujansuu for their guidance on data analysis. Thanks are also given to Dr. Anthony Garrett of SCITEXT of Cambridge, UK and Ms. Amelie Vanderstock of Biological Institute of The University of Sydney, Australia for English improvement. This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science New field and Type B program (to T. Koike, 21114008 and 26660119).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest We declare that our research has no conflict of interest.

References

- Aber JD, Melillo JM (2001) Terrestrial ecosystems. Saunders College Publishers, Philadelphia
- Agathokleous E, Watanabe M, Nakaji T, Wang X, Satoh F, Koike T (2015) Impact of elevated $CO₂$ on root traits of a sapling community of three birches and an oak: a free-air- $CO₂$ enrichment (FACE) in northern Japan. Trees Struct Funct. doi[:10.1007/s00468-015-1272-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1272-6)
- Andersson P, Majdi H (2005) Estimating root longevity at sites with long periods of low root mortality. Plant Soil 276:9–14
- Arnone JA, Zaller JG, Spehn EM, Niklaus PA, Wells CE, Korner C (2000) Dynamics of root systems in native grasslands: effects of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ (vol 147, pp 73, 2000). New Phytol 147:411
- Bidartondo MI, Ek H, Wallander H, Soderstrom B (2001) Do nutrient additions alter carbon sink strength of ectomycorrhizal fungi? New Phytol 151:543–550
- Bielenberg DG, Bassirirad H (2005) Nutrient acquisition of terrestrial plants in a changing climate. In: Bassirirad H (ed) Nutrient acquisition by plants—an ecological perspective, vol 181. Springer, Berlin, pp 311–330
- Brunner I, Godbold DL (2007) Tree roots in a changing world. J Forest Res 12:78–82
- Eguchi N, Karatsu K, Ueda T, Funada R, Takagi K, Hiura T, Sasa K, Koike T (2008) Photosynthetic responses of birch and alder saplings grown in a free air $CO₂$ enrichment system in northern Japan. Trees Struct Funct 22:437–447
- Eissenstat DM, Wells CE, Yanai RD, Whitbeck JL (2000) Building roots in a changing environment: implications for root longevity. New Phytol 147:33–42
- Eshel A, Beeckman T (2013) Plant roots: the hidden half, 4th edn. CRC Press, New York
- Finzi AC, Moore DJP, DeLucia EH, Lichter J, Hofmockel KS, Jackson RB, Kim HS, Matamala R, McCarthy HR, Oren R, Pippen JS, Schlesinger WH (2006) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem processes under elevated $CO₂$ in a warmtemperate forest. Ecology 87:15–25
- Fitter AH (2005) Darkness visible: reflections on underground ecology. J Ecol 93:231–243
- Gill RA, Jackson RB (2000) Global patterns of root turnover for terrestrial ecosystems. New Phytol 147:13–31
- Gill RA, Burke IC, Lauenroth WK, Milchunas DG (2002) Longevity and turnover of roots in the shortgrass steppe: influence of diameter and depth. Plant Ecol 159:241–251
- Green IJ, Dawson LA, Proctor J, Duff EI, Elston DA (2005) Fine root dynamics in a tropical rain forest is influenced by rainfall. Plant Soil 276:23–32
- Guo DL, Mitchell RJ, Hendricks JJ (2004) Fine root branch orders respond differentially to carbon source-sink manipulations in a longleaf pine forest. Oecologia 140:450–457
- Hara Y (2014) Time course of leaf area index of three birches grown under free air $CO₂$ enrichment (FACE) system. Master thesis, Hokkaido University, p 46
- Heeraman DA, Juma NG (1993) A comparison of minirhizotron, core and monolith methods for quantifying barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) and faba bean (Vicia faba L.) root distribution. Plant Soil 148:29–41
- Hendrick RL, Pregitzer KS (1992) The demography of fine roots in a Northern Hardwood forest. Ecology 73:1094–1104
- Hendrick RL, Pregitzer KS (1996) Applications of minirhizotrons to understand root function in forests and other natural ecosystems. Plant Soil 185:293–304
- Higgins PAT, Jackson RB, Des Rosiers JM, Field CB (2002) Root production and demography in a California annual grassland under elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide. Global Change Biol 8:841–850
- Hogberg P, Read DJ (2006) Towards a more plant physiological perspective on soil ecology. Trends Ecol Evol 21:548–554
- Housman DC, Naumburg E, Huxman TE, Charlet TN, Nowak RS, Smith SD (2006) Increases in desert shrub productivity under elevated carbon dioxide vary with water availability. Ecosystems 9:374–385
- Johnson DW (2006) Progressive N limitation in forests: review and implications for long-term responses to elevated $CO₂$. Ecology 87:64–75
- Joslin JD, Wolfe MH (1999) Disturbances during minirhizotron installation can affect root observation data. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63:218–221
- Kayama M, Makoto K, Nomura M, Satoh F, Koike T (2009) Nutrient dynamics and carbon partitioning in larch seedlings (Larix kaempferi) regenerated on serpentine soil in northern Japan. Landsc Ecol Eng 5:125–135
- King JS, Pregitzer KS, Zak DR, Sober J, Isebrands JG, Dickson RE, Hendrey GR, Karnosky DF (2001) Fine-root biomass and fluxes of soil carbon in young stands of paper birch and trembling aspen as affected by elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ and tropospheric O3. Oecologia 128:237–250
- King JS, Albaugh TJ, Allen HL, Buford M, Strain BR, Dougherty P (2002) Below-ground carbon input to soil is controlled by nutrient availability and fine root dynamics in loblolly pine. New Phytol 154:389–398
- Koike T (1995) Physiological ecology of the growth characteristics of Japanese mountain birch in northern Japan: a comparison with Japanese mountain white birch. In: Box EO et al (eds) Vegetation science in forestry: global perspective based on forest ecosystems of east and southeast Asia. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp 409–422
- Koike T, Yazaki K, Eguchi N, Kitaoka S, Funada R (2010) Effects of elevated CO2 on ecophysiological responses of larch species native to Northeast Eurasia. In: Osawa A et al (eds) Permafrost ecosystem. Springer, New York, pp 447–458
- Koike T, Mao QZ, Inada N, Kawaguchi K, Hoshika Y, Kita K, Watanabe M (2012) Growth and photosynthetic responses of cuttings of a hybrid larch (Larix gmelinii var. japonica x L. kaempferi) to elevated ozone and/or carbon dioxide. Asian J Atmos Environ 6:104–110
- Koike T, Watanabe M, Watanabe Y, Agathokleous E, Eguchi N, Takagi K, Satoh F, Kitaoka S, Funada R (2015) Ecophysiology of deciduous trees native to Northeast Asia grown under FACE (free air $CO₂$ enrichment). J Agr Meteol 71:in print
- Lal R (2005) Forest soils and carbon sequestration. Forest Ecol Manag 220:242–258
- Lichter J, Barron SH, Bevacqua CE, Finzi AC, Irving KE, Stemmler EA, Schlesinger WH (2005) Soil carbon sequestration and turnover in a pine forest after six years of atmospheric $CO₂$ enrichment. Ecology 86:1835–1847
- Lukac M, Calfapietra C, Godbold DL (2003) Production, turnover and mycorrhizal colonization of root systems of three Populus species grown under elevated CO₂ (POPFACE). Global Change Biol 9:838–848
- Luo Y, Su B, Currie WS, Dukes JS, Finzi AC, Hartwig U, Hungate B, McMurtrie RE, Oren R, Parton WJ, Pataki DE, Shaw MR, Zak DR, Field CB (2004a) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. Bioscience 54:731–739
- Luo YQ, White L, Hui DF (2004b) Comment on ''Impacts of fine root turnover on forest NPP and soil C sequestration potential''. Science 304:1745
- Maeght JL, Rewald B, Pierret A (2013) How to study deep roots and why it matters. Front Plant Sci. doi[:10.3389/fpls.2013.00299](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00299)
- Majdi H (1996) Root sampling methods—applications and limitations of the minirhizotron technique. Plant Soil 185:255–258
- Majdi H, Andersson P (2005) Fine root production and turnover in a Norway spruce stand in northern Sweden: effects of nitrogen and water manipulation. Ecosystems 8:191–199
- Majdi H, Pregitzer K, Moren AS, Nylund JE, Agren GI (2005) Measuring fine root turnover in forest ecosystems. Plant Soil 276:1–8
- Mao QZ (2013) Ecophysiological study on the growth responses of larch species to changing environments-effects of elevated $CO₂, O₃$ and high nitrogen loading. PhD thesis, Hokkaido University, p 123
- Matamala R, Schlesinger WH (2000) Effects of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ on fine root production and activity in an intact temperate forest ecosystem. Global Change Biol 6:967–979
- Matamala R, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Jastrow JD, Norby RJ, Schlesinger WH (2003) Impacts of fine root turnover on forest NPP and soil C sequestration potential. Science 302:1385–1387
- McNear DH Jr (2013) The rhizosphere—roots, soil and everything in between. Nature Educ Knowl 4:1
- Meehl GA, Stocker TF, Collins WD, Friedlingstein P, Gaye AT, Gregory JM, Kitoh A, Knutti R, Murphy JM, Noda A, Raper SCB, Watterson IG, Weaver AJ, Zhao ZC (2007) Global climate projections. In: Solomon S et al (eds) Climate change: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge and New York, pp 747–846
- Naumburg E, Ellsworth DS, Katul GG (2001) Modeling dynamic understory photosynthesis of contrasting species in ambient and elevated carbon dioxide. Oecologia 126:487–499
- Noormets A, McDonald EP, Dickson RE, Kruger EL, Sober A, Isebrands JG, Karnosky DF (2001a) The effect of elevated carbon dioxide and ozone on leaf- and branch-level photosynthesis and potential plant-level carbon gain in aspen. Trees Struct Funct 15:262–270
- Noormets A, Sober A, Pell EJ, Dickson RE, Podila GK, Sober J, Isebrands JG, Karnosky DF (2001b) Stomatal and non-stomatal limitation to photosynthesis in two trembling aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.) clones exposed to elevated $CO₂$ and/or $O₃$. Plant Cell Environ 24:327–336
- Norby RJ, Jackson RB (2000) Root dynamics and global change: seeking an ecosystem perspective. New Phytol 147:3–12
- Norby RJ, Zak DR (2011) Ecological lessons from free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments. Annu Rev Ecol Evol S 42:181–203
- Norby RJ, Wullschleger SD, Gunderson CA, Johnson DW, Ceulemans R (1999) Tree responses to rising $CO₂$ in field experiments: implications for the future forest. Plant Cell Environ 22:683–714
- Norby RJ, Ledford J, Reilly CD, Miller NE, O'Neill EG (2004) Fineroot production dominates response of a deciduous forest to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. Pro Natl Acad Sci USA 101:9689–9693
- Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnsen KH, Phillips N, Ewers BE, Maier C et al (2001) Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. Nature 411:469-472
- Pregitzer KS, Hendrick RL, Fogel R (1993) The demography of fine roots in response to patches of water and nitrogen. New Phytol 125:575–580
- Pregitzer KS, Laskowski MJ, Burton AJ, Lessard VC, Zak DR (1998) Variation in sugar maple root respiration with root diameter and soil depth. Tree Physiol 18:665–670
- Pregitzer KS, Zak DR, Maziasz J, DeForest J, Curtis PS, Lussenhop J (2000) Interactive effects of atmospheric $CO₂$ and soil-N availability on fine roots of Populus tremuloides. Ecol Appl 10:18–33
- Pritchard SG, Rogers HH (2000) Spatial and temporal deployment of crop roots in CO_2 -enriched environments. New Phytol 147:55–71
- Pritchard SG, Davis MA, Mitchell RJ, Prior SA, Boykin DL, Rogers HH, Runion GB (2001a) Root dynamics in an artificially constructed regenerating longleaf pine ecosystem are affected by atmospheric CO2 enrichment. Environ Exp Bot 46:55–69
- Pritchard SG, Rogers HH, Davis MA, Van Santen E, Prior SA, Schlesinger WH (2001b) The influence of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ on fine root dynamics in an intact temperate forest. Global Change Biol 7:829–837
- Pritchard SG, Strand AE, McCormack ML, Davis MA, Finzi AC, Jackson RB, Matamala R, Rogers HH, Oren RAM (2008) Fine root dynamics in a loblolly pine forest are influenced by free-air-CO2-enrichment: a six-year-minirhizotron study. Global Change Bio 14:588–602
- Qu LY, Shinano T, Quoreshi AM, Tamai Y, Osaki M, Koike T (2004) Allocation of 14C-carbon in two species of larch seedlings infected with ectomycorrhizal fungi. Tree Physiol 24:1369–1376
- Ruess RW, Hendrick RL, Burton AJ, Pregitzer KS, Sveinbjornsson B, Allen MF, Maurer GE (2003) Coupling fine root dynamics with ecosystem carbon cycling in black spruce forests of interior Alaska. Ecol Monogr 73:643–662

Ryser P (2006) The mysterious root length. Plant Soil 286:1–6

- Satomura T, Fukuzawa C, Horikoshi T (2007) Considerations in the study of tree fine-root turnover with minirhizotrons. Plant Root 1:34–45
- Scarascia-Mugnozza GE, Karnosky DF, Ceulemans R, Innes JL (2001) The impact of $CO₂$ and other greenhouse gases on forest ecosystems: an introduction. CABI publishing, Vienna
- Shi F, Sasa K, Koike T (2010) Characteristics of larch forests in Daxingan Mountains, Northeast China. In: Osawa A et al. (eds)

Permafrost ecosystem: siberian larch forests. Ecological Studies 209, Springer, New York, pp 367–384

- Shinano T, Yamamoto T, Tawaraya K, Tadokoro M, Koike T, Osaki $M(2007)$ Effects of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration on the nutrient uptake characteristics of Japanese larch (Larix kaempferi). Tree Physiol 27:97–104
- Takeuchi Y, Kubiske ME, Isebrands JG, Pregtizer KS, Hendrey G, Karnosky DF (2001) Photosynthesis, light and nitrogen relationships in a, young deciduous forest canopy under open-air $CO₂$ enrichment. Plant Cell Environ 24:1257–1268
- Terazawa M (2005) Tree sap III. Hokkaido University Press, Sapporo, p 204
- Tingey DT, Phillips DL, Johnson MG (2000) Elevated CO₂ and conifer roots: effects on growth, life span and turnover. New Phytol 147:87–103
- Tissue DT, Lewis JD (2010) Photosynthetic responses of cottonwood seedlings grown in glacial through future atmospheric $CO₂$ vary with phosphorus supply. Tree Physiol 30:1361–1372
- Vitousek PM, Porder S, Houlton BZ, Chadwick OA (2010) Terrestrial phosphorus limitation: mechanisms, implications, and nitrogenphosphorus interactions. Ecol Appl 20:5–15
- Wang XN, Qu L, Mao Q, Watanabe M, Hoshika Y, Koyama A, Kawaguchi A, Tamai Y, Koike T (2015) Ectomycorrhizal colonization and growth of the hybrid larch F_1 under elevated CO₂ and O₃. Environ Pollut $197:116-126$
- Watanabe Y, Tobita H, Kitao M, Maruyama Y, Choi D, Sasa K, Funada R, Koike T (2008) Effects of elevated $CO₂$ and nitrogen on wood structure related to water transport in seedlings of two deciduous broad-leaved tree species. Trees Struct Funct 22:403–411
- Watanabe M, Umemoto-Yamaguchi M, Koike T, Izuta T (2010) Growth and photosynthetic response of Fagus crenata seedlings to ozone and/or elevated carbon dioxide. Landsc Ecol Eng 6:181–190
- Yuan ZY, Chen HYH (2010) Fine root biomass, production, turnover rates, and nutrient contents in boreal forest ecosystems in relation to species, climate, fertility, and stand age: literature review and meta-analyses. Crit Rev Plant Sci 29:204–221
- Zyryanova OA, Terazawa M, Koike T, Zyryanov VI (2010) White birch trees as resource species of Russia : their distribution, ecophysiological features, multiple utilizations. Eurasian J For Res 13:25–40