

A novel hybrid *CFHR1/CFH* gene causes atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome

Stephen J. Eyler · Nicole C. Meyer · Yuzhou Zhang ·
Xue Xiao · Carla M. Nester · Richard J. H. Smith

Received: 13 December 2012 / Revised: 3 June 2013 / Accepted: 21 June 2013 / Published online: 24 July 2013
© IPNA 2013

Abstract

Background Mutations in complement factor H (*CFH*) are associated with complement dysregulation and the development of an aggressive form of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) that progresses to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and in most patients has a high rate of recurrence following transplantation. Sequence analysis of *CFH* and its downstream complement factor H-related genes (*CFHR1-5*) reveals several macrohomologous blocks caused by large genomic duplications. This high degree of sequence identity renders this area susceptible to nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) events, resulting in large-scale deletions, duplications, and the generation of hybrid *CFH* genes. **Case-Diagnosis** Here, we report the finding of a novel *CFHR1/CFH* hybrid gene created by a de novo NAHR event in a 14-year-old girl with aHUS. The resulting fusion protein contains the first three short consensus repeats (SCRs) of *CFHR1* and the terminal two SCRs of *CFH*.

Conclusions This finding demonstrates a novel pathogenic mechanism for the development of aHUS. Additionally, since standard Sanger sequencing is unable to detect such rearrangements, all aHUS patients should receive comprehensive genetic screening that includes analysis of copy number variation in order to identify patients with poor clinical prognoses.

Keywords Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome · Alternative pathway of complement · Nonallelic homologous recombination · Factor H hybrid genes

Introduction

Hemolytic uremic syndrome is a thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) characterized by microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and acute renal failure. While the typical form is a diarrheal illness related to infection with Shiga toxin-producing bacteria, the rare, atypical form (aHUS) is associated with genetic mutations in the alternative complement system.

In aHUS, sporadic and inherited genetic abnormalities affecting both alternative pathway (AP) regulators and activators are found in approximately 60 % of patients [1].

Complement factor H (*CFH*), the major regulator of the AP, is the most frequently mutated gene in aHUS [2]. Phenotypic–genotypic correlations have shown that patients with aHUS-causing *CFH* mutations have a poor clinical prognosis, with 60–70 % of these patients progressing to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and 70–90 % losing their allograft following transplantation [3]. While the majority of mutations in *CFH* occur in the final two short consensus repeats (SCRs 19 and 20), nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) events can create hybrid *CFH* genes that lead to complement dysregulation and aHUS pathology [4].

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00467-013-2560-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

S. J. Eyler · N. C. Meyer · Y. Zhang · X. Xiao · C. M. Nester ·
R. J. H. Smith
Molecular Otolaryngology and Renal Research Laboratories,
Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, 5270 CBRB
Building, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

X. Xiao · R. J. H. Smith
Interdepartmental PhD Program in Genetics, Carver College of
Medicine, University of Iowa, 5270 CBRB Building, Iowa City, IA
52242, USA

C. M. Nester · R. J. H. Smith (✉)
Departments of Pediatrics and Internal Medicine, Divisions of
Nephrology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, 5270
CBRB Building, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
e-mail: richard-smith@uiowa.edu

CFH and its related genes (*CFHR1-5*) are located within the Regulators of Complement Activation (RCA) cluster on chromosome 1 (1q32). Sequence analysis shows that these genes share a high degree of sequence identity resulting from large-scale genomic duplications [5]. These homologous repeat regions render this area susceptible to complex NAHR between *CFH* and the other *CFH-related* genes, resulting in several different copy number variations (CNVs).

The majority of NAHR events in this region occur between two homologous blocks, B and B', most commonly leading to the deletion of *CFHR3-CFHR1*, a recognized CNV associated with the development of *CFH* autoantibodies [6]. A slightly different rearrangement involving these blocks results in the formation of hybrid *CFH/CFHR1* genes, two of which, the Venables deletion and the Maga-Meyer deletion, have been described in patients with aHUS [7, 8].

Given the high degree of homology within the RCA cluster, we routinely utilize multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) to screen for NAHR in aHUS patients. Herein, we report the finding of a novel *CFHR1/CFH* hybrid gene occurring de novo in a patient with aHUS. This hybrid gene is the product of a NAHR event that results in an additional copy of *CFHR3* and the formation of a *CFHR1/CFH* fusion protein that predisposes to the development of aHUS.

Case report

Our patient, described in a report on the successful preemptive use of eculizumab for renal transplantation in aHUS, initially presented at 8 years of age with hypertension, severe anemia (hemoglobin 5.5 g/dl [55 g/l]), and renal failure (creatinine [Cr] 13.7 mg/dl [1,211.1 μ mol/l]), blood urea nitrogen [BUN] 196 mg/dl [70 mmol/l]) [9]. No cause of renal dysfunction was determined, and the patient was started on hemodialysis and subsequently received a living-related kidney transplant from her maternal aunt. Immediately following transplantation, the patient did well; however, 25 months post-transplantation she developed hypertension, acute kidney injury, and anemia, and rapidly progressed to ESRD (BUN 109 mg/dl [38.9 mmol/l]), Cr 8.5 mg/dl [751.4 μ mol/l]), hemoglobin 4.5 g/dl [45 g/l], platelets $144 \times 10^3/\mu$ l [$144 \times 10^9/l$]). Complement component assessment showed a slight decrease in C3 (81 mg/dl [81 g/l]; normal 90–180 mg/dl [90–180 g/l]) and a normal C4 (23 mg/dl [23 g/l]; normal 16–47 mg/dl [16–47 g/l]). Allograft biopsy was consistent with severe TMA, confirming the diagnosis of aHUS.

After 15 months of hemodialysis, the patient underwent renal transplantation from a living non-related donor and received eculizumab preemptively. One week following transplantation, the serum Cr dropped from 11.7 to 1.5 mg/dl

(5,012.3 to 132.6 μ mol/l) and continued to decline to near normal over the following months. The patient is currently 26 months post-transplant and continues to receive bi-weekly doses of eculizumab. Her CH50 remains suppressed. C3 and C4 levels are within normal limits, and she has no signs of disease recurrence.

Genetic analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood, and mutation screening was completed on complement genes associated with aHUS (*CFH*, *CFI*, *CD46*, *CFB*, *C3*, *THBD*, and *CFHR5*) [2]; no disease-causing variants were identified. Next, CNVs across the *CFH-CFHR5* region were assayed by MLPA, revealing a large heterozygous duplication containing exon 21 (SCR 19) of *CFH* through exon 4 (SCR 3) of *CFHR1* (Fig. 1a). MLPA reaction was performed as described previously [10]. MLPA probe sequences for the *CFH-CFHR1* region are included in Supplementary Table S1.

Breakpoint mapping was performed by DNA amplification across *CFHR1* intron 4 (homologous to *CFH* intron 20) using long range PCR, subcloning, and bidirectional sequencing [8, 10]. Analysis of sequence data placed the breakpoint in *CFHR1* intron 4, 2,104 bp downstream of exon 4 (Fig. 1b), implying that a NAHR event between homologous blocks B and B' had created a novel *CFHR1/CFH* hybrid gene (Fig. 1c).

Diagnostic PCR of the patient's nuclear family to amplify both the breakpoint (593 bp) and the corresponding wild-type intron 4 of *CFHR1* (821 bp), yielded a 593-bp product solely in our patient, indicating that the NAHR was a de novo event (Fig. 1d).

Complement functional assays and *CFH* autoantibody screening

An alternative pathway functional assay (APFA), a hemolytic assay and *CFH* autoantibodies were measured as described [11]. APFA was extremely low at 0.3 %, consistent with excessive complement activation and consumption of complement proteins. The hemolytic assay was normal, a false-negative result secondary to depletion of complement components. Excessive consumption of complement components in vitro can result in decreased membrane attack complex formation and decreased RBC lysis. *CFH* autoantibodies were absent.

Western blot

Patient and control sera with varying copy numbers of *CFHR1* were run on SDS-PAGE 10 % gels under reducing conditions and blotted with a polyclonal rabbit anti-*CFHR1* antibody (1:500) (in house). Anti-*CFH* (1:1,000) (ab8842;




Fig. 1 A novel hybrid *CFHR1/CFH* gene. **a** MLPA determination of copy number over the *CFH-CFHR* region identified a duplication beginning with *CFH* exon 21 (CFH SCR 19) and ending after *CFHR1* exon 4 (*CFHR1* SCR 3). MLPA probes are represented by *arrows* and SCRs by *ovals*. *Red arrows* indicate duplicated probes. **b** The breakpoint sequence was localized to a 29-bp sequence between intron 4 of *CFHR1* and intron 20 of *CFH*. SNP differences (*circled*) between *CFH* and *CFHR1* defined the exact location of the breakpoint. **c** The novel hybrid *CFHR1/CFH* gene originates from NAHR between homologous blocks B and B'. The resulting fusion protein comprises SCRs 1–3 of *CFHR1* and SCRs 19–20 of *CFH*. The direction of the recombination is indicated by *black arrows*. **d** Diagnostic PCR utilizing a common forward primer (F) in *CFHR1* intron 4, a reverse primer (R)

in *CFHR1* intron 4, and a reverse primer in *CFH* intron 20 (R') in the same reaction resulted in both a 593-bp breakpoint and a 821-bp wild-type (WT) product in our patient. The 593-bp breakpoint fragment was not seen in the mother (M), father (F), or in a control patient (Ctrl). **e** Western blot using a polyclonal rabbit anti-*CFHR1* antibody against sera from the patient (P) and controls (C0–C3) with known genetic copy number variations in *CFHR1* (C0=zero copies of *CFHR1*, C1=one copy, C2=two copies, and C3=three copies). The presence of an additional *CFHR1/CFH* fusion protein (*) accounts for the increased band density seen in the patient. Differential glycosylation of *CFHR1* SCRs 2 and 3 accounts for the two different band sizes at 37 and 43 kDa. *CFH* (~150 kDa) was used as a loading control

Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) was used as a loading control. Our patient showed a relative density similar to that of a control known to have three copies of *CFHR1*, suggesting that the increase density is caused by the expression of the novel fusion protein which contains SCRs 1–3 of *CFHR1*.

Discussion

Here we identify a de novo NAHR duplication event that results in an additional copy of *CFHR3* and the formation of a novel *CFHR1/CFH* hybrid gene that predisposes to the

development of aHUS. To our knowledge, this report is now the second to describe a de novo NAHR event in a patient with aHUS.

Sequence analysis of CFHR1 shows that the two C-terminal SCRs (4–5) are 98.5 % identical to those of CFH. As expected from this degree of sequence similarity, the C-termini of CFH and CFHR1 have an overlapping function. Like CFH, CFHR1 is capable of binding to C3b, C3d, heparin, and cell surfaces, though it does so with lesser affinity [12]. Unlike CFH, however, CFHR1 does not contain the domains necessary for cofactor and decay acceleration activities (CFH SCRs 1–4) and so has no ability to regulate the C3 convertase. CFHR1's ability to bind to cell surfaces allows it to compete with CFH for surface binding, thus contributing to complement dysregulation through the displacement of CFH. This is exemplified by the finding that baseline C3b degradation can be reduced by increasing concentrations of CFHR1 and enhanced by increasing concentrations of CFH [12].

The presence of a CFHR1/CFH fusion protein capable of binding to cell surfaces means that the fusion protein will actively compete with CFH for surface C3b, binding in a fashion similar to wild-type CFHR1. However, because the fusion protein contains the last two C-terminal SCRs of CFH, it is a stronger competitive binder than wild-type CFHR1. This increased avidity of the fusion protein leads to decreased local CFH regulatory activity, the consequence of which is complement dysregulation and aHUS pathology (Supplementary Figure S1).

The additional copy number of *CFHR3* also contributes to aHUS pathology. *CFHR3* is a weak modulator of complement regulation, serving to enhance CFH cofactor activity. However, *CFHR3* also binds to surface-bound C3b, suggesting an antagonistic effect at cell surfaces [13]. In a mechanism similar to the CFHR1/CFH fusion protein, additional *CFHR3* contributes to local dysregulation through CFH displacement.

Based on phenotypic-genotypic reports, kidney transplantation alone in patients with hybrid CFH/CFHR1 genes is not recommended due to the high risk of disease recurrence. However, the use of eculizumab prophylactically reduces TMA following transplantation [9, 14]. In our patient, renal transplantation with eculizumab has thus far been successful in protecting against aHUS, with no signs of recurrent disease 26 months post-transplantation. Other patients with hybrid *CFH* genes have also had successful renal transplants with concomitant eculizumab [15]. The recent success of eculizumab in renal transplant patients, however, is dampened by the economic burden of such an expensive drug if life-long use is required, emphasizing the need to identify patients who are most likely to benefit from adjuvant eculizumab therapy.

In summary, we present a novel *CFHR1/CFH* hybrid gene that occurred de novo in an aHUS patient. The inability to detect such rearrangements with standard Sanger sequencing

underscores the importance of comprehensive genetic testing. Since the *CFH-CFHR* genomic region is predisposed to NAHR events, the testing process must include routine screening for CNVs. The presence of such variations may skew the interpretation of novel variants or variants of unknown significance. We recommend that all aHUS patients be screened for CNVs. Additionally, all patients previously found to be negative for complement mutations who rapidly progress to ESRD should have additional CNV screening.

Support This study was supported by grants from the Doris Duke Clinical Research Foundation (SJE), the University of Iowa Institute for Clinical and Translational Science (SJE), the Foundation for Children with Atypical HUS (RJHS), and NIH grant DK074409 (RJHS).

Financial disclosure The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

References

- Loirat C, Fremeaux-Bacchi V (2011) Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 6:60
- Maga TK, Nishimura CJ, Weaver AE, Frees KL, Smith RJH (2010) Mutations in alternative pathway complement proteins in American patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 31:E1445–E1460
- Sellier-Leclerc A, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Dragon-Durey M, Macher M-A, Niaudet P, Guest G, Boudailliez B, Bouissou F, Deschenes G, Gie S, Tsimaratos M, Fischbach M, Morin D, Nivet H, Alberti C, Loirat C (2007) Differential impact of complement mutations on clinical characteristics in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 18:2392–2400
- Caprioli J, Noris M, Brioschi S, Pianetti G, Castelletti F, Bettinaglio P, Mele C, Bresin E, Cassis L, Gamba S, Porrati F, Bucchioni S, Monteferrante G, Fang CJ, Liszewski MK, Kavanagh D, Atkinson JP, Remuzzi G (2006) Genetics of HUS: the impact of MCP, CFH, and IF mutations on clinical presentation, response to treatment, and outcome. *Blood* 108:1267–1279
- Józsi M, Zipfel PF (2008) Factor H family proteins and human diseases. *Trends Immunol* 29:380–387
- Józsi M, Licht C, Strobel S, Zipfel SL, Richter H, Heinen S, Zipfel PF, Skerka C (2008) Factor H autoantibodies in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome correlate with CFHR1/CFHR3 deficiency. *Blood* 111:1512–1514
- Venables JP, Strain L, Routledge D, Bourn D, Powell HM, Warwicker P, Diaz-Torres ML, Sampson A, Mead P, Webb M, Pirson Y, Jackson MS, Hughes A, Wood KM, Goodship JA, Goodship THJ (2006) Atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome associated with a hybrid complement gene. *PLoS Med* 3:e431
- Maga TK, Meyer NC, Belsha C, Nishimura CJ, Zhang Y, Smith RJH (2011) A novel deletion in the RCA gene cluster causes atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 26:739–741
- Nester C, Stewart Z, Myers D, Jetton J, Nair R, Reed A, Thomas C, Smith R, Brophy P (2011) Pre-emptive eculizumab and plasmapheresis for renal transplant in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6:1488–1494

10. Schouten JP, McElgunn CJ, Waaijer R, Zwijnenburg D, Diepvens F, Pals G (2002) Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res* 30:e57
11. Sethi S, Sukov WR, Zhang Y, Fervenza FC, Lager DJ, Miller DV, Cornell LD, Krishnan SGS, Smith RJH (2010) Dense deposit disease associated with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Am J Kidney Dis* 56:977–982
12. Heinen S, Hartmann A, Lauer N, Wiehl U, Dahse H-M, Schirmer S, Gropp K, Enghardt T, Wallich R, Hälbig S, Mihlan M, Schlötzer-Schrehardt U, Zipfel PF, Skerka C (2009) Factor H-related protein 1 (CFHR-1) inhibits complement C5 convertase activity and terminal complex formation. *Blood* 114:2439–2447
13. Hellwage J, Jokiranta TS, Koistinen V, Vaarala O, Meri S, Zipfel PF (1999) Functional properties of complement factor H-related proteins FHR-3 and FHR-4: binding to the C3d region of C3b and differential regulation by heparin. *FEBS Lett* 462:345–352
14. Chatelet V, Frémeaux-Bacchi V, Lobbedez T, Ficheux M, Hurault de Ligny B (2009) Safety and long-term efficacy of eculizumab in a renal transplant patient with recurrent atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome. *Am J Transplant* 9:2644–2645
15. Krid S, Roumenina LT, Beury D, Charbit M, Boyer O, Frémeaux-Bacchi V, Niaudet P (2012) Renal transplantation under prophylactic eculizumab in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome with CFH/CFHR1 hybrid protein. *Am J Transplant* 12:1938–1944