

Transthoracic endoscopic sympathectomy

I read with interest the recent article by Lin et al. entitled "Repeat transthoracic endoscopic sympathectomy for palmar and axillary hyperhidrosis" [6] and would like to comment on several important points regarding transthoracic endoscopic sympathectomy (TES).

In 1990, we first developed video TES to treat palmar hyperhidrosis (PH); it has now become widely accepted as a standard treatment for this disorder [2,3].

Clinically, PH recurrence can be classified into two groups. One group is early recurrence ("relapse" may be a more appropriate term), which usually occurs within 1 week or 1 month after sympathectomy. These cases are mostly due to incomplete or inadequate ablation of the correct sympathetic segment during the first TES because of local pleural adhesions or performance of the procedure by an inexperienced operator. Another group is late recurrence, which develops several months or as long as a year after the procedure. This is most likely due to regeneration or sprouting of collateral sympathetic fibers from the surgically ablated stump [4,5].

Compensatory hyperhidrosis (CH) is a very common complication of PH patients after sympathectomy, but its etiology is still unclear. It is interesting to note that CH will remain persistent, despite the patients having developed recurrence of PH. This phenomenon confirms our postulated mechanism that CH is due to the regeneration or sprouting of sympathetic fibers from the previous surgically injured sympathetic stump. The successful relief of recurrent PH after subsequent adequate sympathectomy further supports this hypothesis.

For recurrent cases with simple pleural adhesions, redo TES as proposed by the authors is adequate. For those with diffuse and severe pleural and lung adhesions that seriously hamper access to the sympathetic chain, TES requires meticulous pleurolysis and pneumolysis. In this situation, we prefer open dorsal sympathectomy with a microsurgical technique. The microsurgical approach can avoid time-consuming, tissue-injuring pleurolysis and pneumolysis, although it requires a larger operation wound and the resec-

tion of some small bony structures. Furthermore, this technique can avoid the risks of pneumothorax or hemothorax, which may develop with thoracoscopy.

Based on our experience, we must stress the importance of using a simple physiological monitor (intraoperative monitoring of palmar skin temperature) to confirm an adequate sympathectomy, which will lead to a definite therapeutic effect. In particular, when there are severe pleural adhesions in which the anatomical orientation or identification of the sympathetic chain is very difficult, the need for use of this monitoring technique to achieve a definite sympathectomy cannot be overemphasized.

We hope that these comments will prove useful for surgeons who perform TES.

References

1. Kao MC (1999) Invited commentary for "Transthoracic endoscopic sympathectomy for palmar and axillary hyperhidrosis" by Lin TS et al. *Formosan J Surg* 32: 76–83
2. Kao MC (1997) Neurosurgical forum: hyperhidrosis. *J Neurosurg* 86: 738–739
3. Kao MC (1993) Video endoscopic sympathectomy using a fiberoptic CO₂ laser to treat palmar hyperhidrosis [Letter]. *Neurosurgery* 32: 327–329
4. Kao MC, Lin JY, Chen YL, Hsieh CS, Cheng LCJ, Huang SJ (1996) Minimally invasive surgery: video endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy for palmar hyperhidrosis. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 25: 673–678
5. Kao MC, Tsai JC, Lai DM, Hsiao YY, Chiu MJ (1994) Autonomic activities in hyperhidrosis patients before, during, and after endoscopic laser sympathectomy. *Neurosurgery* 34: 262–268
6. Lin TS, Fang MY, Wu CY (2000) Repeat transthoracic endoscopic sympathectomy for palmar and axillary hyperhidrosis. *Surg Endosc* 14: 134–136

M.-C. Kao

Division of Neurosurgery
National Taiwan University Hospital
No. 7, Chung-Shan S. Road
Taipei, Taiwan 100, Republic of China
Online publication: 13 March 2001