PHYSIOLOGICAL ECOLOGY - ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Phosphorus allocation and phosphatase activity in grasses with diferent growth rates

Leandro Bittencourt de Oliveira¹ · Anderson Cesar Ramos Marques² · Fernando Luiz Ferreira de Quadros¹ · **Júlia Gomes Farias² · Rogério Piccin3 · Gustavo Brunetto3 · Fernando Teixeira Nicoloso2**

Received: 15 February 2017 / Accepted: 2 January 2018 / Published online: 13 January 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Diferent growth rates of grasses from South American natural grasslands are adaptations to soils of low fertility. Grasses with fast growth rate are species with an accumulation of nutrients in soluble forms, with a high metabolic rate. This work aimed to study whether grasses with different growth rates have different phosphorus (P) uptake and efficiency of P use with high and low P availability in soil, as well as whether phosphatase activity is related to the species growth rate and variations in P biochemical forms in the tissues. Three native grasses (*Axonopus afnis*, *Paspalum notatum*, and *Andropogon lateralis*) were grown in pots with soil. Along plant growth, biomass production and its structural components were measured, as well as leaf acid phosphatase activity and leaf P chemical fractions. At 40 days of growth, leaf acid phosphatase activity declined by about 20–30% with an increase of P availability in soil for *A. afnis* and *P. notatum,* respectively. Under both soil P levels, *P. notatum* showed the highest plant total biomass, leaf dry weight and highest P use efficiency. *A. affinis* presented the higher P uptake efficiency and soluble organic P concentration in the leaf tissues. A. *lateralis* showed P-Lipid concentration 1.6 and 1.3 times higher than *A. afnis* and *P. notatum,* respectively. In conclusion, acid phosphatase activity in grass of higher growth rate is related to higher remobilization of P due to higher demand, as in *A. affinis*, and higher growth rates are associated with higher P uptake efficiency.

Keywords Leaf traits · Nutrient use efficiency · P biochemical form · P remobilization · South American grasslands

Introduction

Nutrient availability frequently limits plant growth in natural communities (Wang et al. [2010](#page-10-0)). Plant adaptations that could be important under low fertility soils include: (1) low relative growth rate, preventing exhaustion of internal

Communicated by Russell K. Monson.

 \boxtimes Anderson Cesar Ramos Marques acrmarques@hotmail.com.br

- LEPAN-Laboratory of Ecology of Natural Grassland, Department of Animal Science, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Roraima Avenue 1000, Building 16, Room 3132, CEP: 97105-900 Santa Maria, RS, Brazil
- ² FISIOPLANT-Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Department of Biology, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Roraima Avenue 1000, CEP: 97105-900 Santa Maria, RS, Brazil
- Department of Soil Science, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Roraima Avenue 1000, CEP: 97105-900 Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

nutrient reserves (Chapin [1980](#page-9-0)); (2) high capacity to scavenge nutrients from soil (Vance et al. [2003\)](#page-10-1); and (3) difer-ences in nutrient use efficiency (Veneklaas et al. [2012](#page-10-2)). Slow growth rate of plants under low fertility soils is related to their longer leaf life span, which appears to be an adaptation to low translocation and remobilization capacity (Aerts and Chapin [1999\)](#page-9-1); therefore, these plants may have a limited response to the increase of nutrient availability in soil.

In general, a longer life span of leaves is related to mineral nutrients and photoassimilates being allocated into complex leaf structures, i.e., with a large amount of structural tissues. These species have a higher content of dry matter in leaves (LDMC), which results in a reduction in leaf area per carbon unit, evaluated as specifc leaf area (SLA) (Wright and Westoby [2003](#page-10-3)). Considering these leaf traits, plants with lower LDMC and higher SLA show a higher growth rate, a faster and intense use of mineral nutrients, and great efficiency of nutrient remobilization (Garnier et al. [2007](#page-9-2)).

A higher efficiency of phosphorus (P) remobilization may be related to the activity of enzymes such as acid phosphatases. The activity of these enzymes is related to the alteration of P tissue concentration (Duff et al. [1994](#page-9-3)), leading to an activity regulation through P demands as a feedback regulation efect (McLachlan and March [1982](#page-9-4)). When inorganic phosphorus (Pi) supply by soil is lower than the plant demand, a higher remobilization of P stored in old leaves can occur translocating it to young leaves, as well as an increase in root growth, especially for species with a higher growth rate (Doerner [2008](#page-9-5); Shen et al. [2011](#page-10-4)).

The remobilization of P is not just a matter of senescence, but it occurs even in young tissues, supporting the idea that a specifc fraction of P is cycled in the plant (Lambers et al. [2006;](#page-9-6) Shen et al. [2011\)](#page-10-4). Remobilization is an important source of P for growth related to P use efficiency (Wieneke [1990](#page-10-5)). However, other concepts such as P allocation capacity in diferent organs must be considered. Low quantities of P allocated in complex structural forms (phospholipids, for example) preserves P in easier transported forms and may be an alternative for increased nutrient use efficiency. In this regard, Elliott and Läuchli ([1986](#page-9-7)) suggested that the P partitioning between inorganic and organic forms is the major determinant of P use efficiency.

In southwestern Australian plant species, both high nutri-ent remobilization efficiency (Wright and Westoby [2003](#page-10-3); Denton et al. [2007](#page-9-8)) and high leaf longevity (Wright et al. [2004](#page-10-6); Lambers et al. [2012\)](#page-9-9) are common as expected by the status of the P impoverished environment (Hopper [2009](#page-9-10)). However, concerning the South American natural grasslands species, there is little scientific information regarding the interrelationships among phosphate adaptation strategies, phosphorus metabolism, and plant growth. Therefore, we performed an experiment with three South American native grasses (*Axonopus afnis*, *Paspalum notatum*, and *Andropogon lateralis*) which have a range of leaf life span (Machado et al. [2013](#page-9-11)) and diferent growth rates under low and high P availability.

These grass species grow in Brazilian natural grasslands that are in a region with subtropical climate and acidic soils (pH ranging from 4.4 to 5.1) which have low P availability (ranging from 0.1 to 7.6 mg kg^{-1}) (Oliveira et al. [2014](#page-9-12); Tiecher et al. [2014](#page-10-7)). Such grassland areas occupy 2.07% of the Brazilian territory $(176, 496 \text{ km}^2)$, but are described as the main forage source for approximately 13 million cattle and 5 million sheep (de Carvalho PC and Batello [2009](#page-9-13)).

We intended to focus on the following questions: (1) Do grass species with diferent leaf traits and growth rates have a consistent pattern for the efficiency of P uptake and use in both high and low P soil availability? (2) Is the phosphatase activity related to the plant growth rate of the grasses and biochemical P form in the grasses? (3) Do grasses with low growth rate allocate more P in structural fraction in their tissues? To answer these questions, we grew young plants in soil under two levels of P availability in a greenhouse during successive re-growth and measured the distribution and quantity of biomass, leaf acid phosphatase activity, and concentrations of the major P chemical fractions in leaves.

Materials and methods

Soil and plant species

Soil and plant species for the pot experiment were collected from a natural grassland community of Campos (Allen et al. [2011](#page-9-14)) in Santa Maria, southern Brazil. This grassland community occupies large areas (location at 29°45′S, 53°42′W; 95 m a. s. l.) where the predominant soil type is Ultisol, which was utilized in this work. In the top horizon (0–20 cm depth), the clay content was 180 g kg⁻¹, total organic carbon 14.5 g kg−1, soil pH in water (1:1 v/v) 4.6; available P and K (extracted by Mehlich 1) were 3.0 and 76.0 mg kg⁻¹ respectively, and there were 1.9, 2.8 and 1.4 cmol_c kg⁻¹ of exchangeable Al, Ca, and Mg extracted by 1.0 M KCl, respectively.

Three South American native grasses (*Axonopus afnis* Chase, *Paspalum notatum* Flüggé, and *Andropogon lateralis* Nees) were selected for our work because they are the most frequent grasses in the natural grassland community. Axonopus affinis is a grass that grows by stolons and is most commonly found in lowland areas with high soil moisture. *Paspalum notatum* is the species that occurs the most in natural grasslands of Southern Brazil and its growth form is based on rhizomes. *Axonopus afnis* and *Paspalum notatum* are species with high relative growth rate. *Andropogon lateralis* is a potential tussock grass, but changes in its growth structure with high grazing pressure, is well distributed on the landscape, and is a species with low relative growth rate.

Treatment and experimental conditions

The experiment was carried out in a greenhouse with an average temperature of 23.5 °C , light intensity of 1100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR, and relative humidity of 76.4% in the spring period of 2012 (September to November). Plant evaluation occurred during two periods for each species after soil fertilization. During the last week of May 2012, five juvenile individuals (tillers) of similar size of each species were transplanted into pots with soil. Pots with 23 cm diameter and 20 cm height were flled with 5 kg of homogenized dry soil from the top horizon (0–20 cm depth). After an acclimation period (since May 2012), on September 13, all plants were clipped to a height of 5 cm and randomly assigned to fertilizer treatments.

The treatments consisted of: (1) one application of 50 mg P kg⁻¹ of soil (named P⁺) and (2) natural availability of P (no application) (named P−). The amount of P added was previously determined by an incubation test of doses of P in the soil. The selected dose was determined by reaching the critical level of P availability for plant growth proposed by the Southern Brazilian Soil Fertility and Chemical Committee (CQFS-RS/SC [2016](#page-9-15)). Phosphorus was added as potassium phosphate (KH_2PO_4) . Potassium (K) was added in P^- in the same amount as for P^+ as potassium chloride (KCl) to avoid K limitation for growth. Pots were maintained at around 70–80% of feld capacity by daily weighing and watering. For each grass species, the P treatments were arranged in a randomized block design with four replications. Blocking criterion was the position of the plots on the testing bench, nearest or farthest from the refrigeration system.

Sample collection

The period for sampling was estimated through the accumulated mean temperature (thermal sum) needed for expansion of 2.5 leaves (leaf expansion duration, a period for the leaf to

Fig. 1 Daily minimum, mean, and maximum temperatures in greenhouse during the experiment

obtain its maximum elongation). The thermal sum used was 437° days (DD) for the three species [phyllochron utilized is found in Machado et al. (2013) (2013)]. The mean temperature utilized was the average between the maximum and minimum temperature of each day in the greenhouse (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). On October 27, 2012, corresponding to 40 days after treatment application, the plants of the three species were harvested.

Measurements

Leaf traits

Following the recommendations of the protocols proposed by Cornelissen et al. [\(2003](#page-9-16)), ten individuals (tillers) per replicate were selected for evaluation. Two traits were measured: specifc leaf area (SLA) and leaf dry matter content (LDMC). For this purpose, ten newly expanded leaves from tillers were scanned (HP Scanjet g 4050) and leaf areas were measured using the ImageJ software. After that, the same ten leaves were used to calculate LDMC (leaf DM per unit fresh mass of water-saturated leaves) (Garnier et al. [2001](#page-9-17); Cornelissen et al. [2003\)](#page-9-16).

Plant biomass

For each replicate, plant biomass was separated into leaves (blades), stems (sheath, stems, stolons, and rhizomes), and roots, which were weighed after drying for 72 h at 60 °C.

Phosphorus determination

Soil P availability was estimated after 40 days of growth by extraction with Mehlich-1 extractor (HCl 0.05 N + H_2SO_4 0.025 N) (Tedesco et al. [1995\)](#page-10-8). P concentration in the extracts were determined by the Murphy and Riley ([1962\)](#page-9-18) method (Table [1](#page-2-1)).

Total P concentration was determined in leaves (P_{leaf}) , stems, and roots by the Murphy and Riley ([1962](#page-9-18)) method after digestion with concentrated sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) and

Table 1 Soil P available (Mehlich-1), specifc leaf area (SLA), and leaf dry matter content (LDMC) for three South American native grasses growing under low $(P⁻)$ and high $(P⁺)$ soil P availability at 40 day of growth (mean \pm standard error, $n = 4$)

*Means followed by lowercase letters in lines compare treatment for each species and capital letters compare species in each P treatment by Tukey's test $(p < 0.05)$

hydrogen peroxide $(H₂O₂)$ (Tedesco et al. [1995](#page-10-8)). The average P plant concentration (P_{plant}) was calculated through weighting the average P concentration at each organ and its dry matter mass. Phosphorus uptake was estimated by multiplying the total dry mass by plant P concentration.

Phosphorus use efficiency and allocation

Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) was calculated as follows (Siddiqi and Glass [2008](#page-10-9)):

$$
PUE = \frac{\text{(total dry mass)}^2}{P \text{ uptake}}.
$$

Phosphorus uptake efficiency (PUtE) was evaluated according to Swiader et al. [\(1994\)](#page-10-10) as follows:

$$
PUE = \frac{\text{total plant P content}}{\text{root dry mass}}.
$$

Phosphorus allocation in plants was analyzed using the index proposed by Fitter and Setters ([1988](#page-9-19)) and Dale and Causton ([1992](#page-9-20)). The P allocation index (PAI) in an organ was expressed as follows:

$$
PAI = \frac{P_{o}/P_{p}}{M_{o}/M_{p}} = \frac{P_{o}M_{p}}{M_{o}P_{p}},
$$

where P_0 and P_p are phosphorus concentration in the referred organ and in the whole plant, respectively. The $M_{\rm o}$ is the biomass of the organ, and M_p is the biomass of the whole plant. The phosphorus concentration of the organ is:

$$
\left(P_o = \frac{P_o}{M_o}\right)
$$
 so, PAI is: PAI = $(P_o)\frac{M_p}{P_p} = \frac{(P_o)}{(P_p)}$.

A PAI > 1 for a particular organ indicates a greater proportional allocation of P (Dale and Causton [1992](#page-9-20)).

Acid phosphatase activity

The acid phosphatase activity (APA) was determined for each replicate on the three youngest and fully expanded leaves (Tabaldi et al. [2007](#page-10-11)). The leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20 °C. Subsequently, the leaves were manually ground in liquid nitrogen and put in a reaction medium consisting of 3.5 mM NaN, 2.5 mM NaCl, and 100 mM citrate buffer (pH 5.5) to a final volume of 200 µL. The inorganic phosphate was measured at 630 nm in a spectrophotometer SF325NM (Bel Engineering, Italy) using malachite green as a reagent and KH_2PO_4 colorimetric standard calibration for the curve.

Biochemical fractionation

The leaves were subjected to sequential P chemical fractionation according to the methodology proposed by Miyachi and Tamiya ([1961](#page-9-21)), with adaptations proposed by Casali et al. [\(2011](#page-9-22)) and Schmidt and Thannhauser ([1945\)](#page-9-23). Phosphorus fractions obtained were total P soluble in acid (P-Totalsol), inorganic P soluble in acid (P-Inor), organic P soluble in acid (P-Org—obtained by the diference between P-Totalsol and P-Inor), lipid P (P-Lipid), P associated with RNA (P-RNA), P associated with DNA (P-DNA), and residual P fraction (P-residual).

Statistical analyses

For the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of soil and grass species characterization, mass production and P parameters, the following model was used:

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + B_i + F_j + S_k + FS_{jk} + \text{error } c_{(i,j,k)},$

where μ is the overall experimental average; *B* is the blocks $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$; *F* is the fertilizing treatments $(j = 1, 2)$; *S* is the grass species $(k = 1, 2, 3)$; and error is the experimental error. When treatment effects were significant at 5% probability of error by F test, the differences between the means of fertilized treatments and grass species were compared by Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) $(P < 0.05)$.

For the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of P chemical fractions, the grass species were compared within the treatments (P^- and P^+) by the following model:

$Y_{ij} = \mu + B_i + S_j + \text{error } c_{(i, j)},$

where μ is the overall experimental average; *B* is the blocks $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$; *S* is the grass species $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ and error is the experimental error. When treatment effects were significant at the 5% probability level by the F test, the diferences between means of fertilized treatments and grass species were compared by Tukey's honestly signifcant diference (HSD) $(P < 0.05)$.

A multivariate analysis was performed to explore the relations between P chemical fractions and other parameters of plants and soil. The variables were chosen for their correlations between them and were submitted to principal coordinates ordination analyses (PCoA), with the data previously processed by the amplitude and Euclidean distance as a similarity measure, using the software MULTIV (Pillar [2001\)](#page-9-24). The decision to choose PCoA was made because our focus was on the grass species

(sampling units) growing in diferent treatments, and not on the variables (P fractions).

Results

Soil phosphorus availability

Regardless of the grass species tested, the soil P availability extracted by Mehlich-1 was 16 times higher on addition of 50 mg P kg⁻¹ of soil (P⁺) when compared with the con-trol (P⁻) (Table [1](#page-2-1)). In the P⁺ treatment, the soil showed a higher availability of P (28.2 mg kg⁻¹) when grown with *A. lateralis* plants as compared to the two other grass species (22.5 mg kg−1). However, for all three grasses, soil P availability was higher than 21 mg kg^{-1} , which represents the critical level of P recommended by the South Brazilian Soil Fertility and Chemical Committee (CQFS-RS/SC [2016](#page-9-15)). Moreover, P availability (1.5 mg kg⁻¹) in P[−] soil was considered to be very low (CQFS-RS/SC [2016](#page-9-15)).

Plant functional traits and growth

Specifc leaf area (SLA) and leaf dry matter content (LDMC) were not affected by changes in soil P availability (Table [1](#page-2-1)).

However, there were significant differences among species, in which *P. notatum* plants showed higher SLA $(23 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1})$ than *A. lateralis* (19 m² kg⁻¹), but both species had lower values when compared with *A. affinis* (33 m² kg⁻¹). Conversely, the LDMC followed in the opposite order of SLA, in which these species showed the following decreasing order: *A. lateralis* (311 g kg−1), *P. notatum* (273 g kg−1), *A. afnis* (209 g kg^{-1}) .

Plant total dry mass was 15, 48, and 75% higher in the P^+ treatment for *A. afnis*, *P. notatum,* and *A. lateralis*, respectively, when compared with the P− treatment (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). Leaf and stem dry masses of *A. affinis* were 19 and 26% higher in P⁺, respectively, when compared with the P[−] plants. Plant total dry mass of *P. notatum* was 66 and 113% higher than that of *A. afnis* in both P− and P+ (Table [2](#page-4-0)). Biomass partitioning to leaves and stems was higher for *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* than for *A. lateralis* (Table [2](#page-4-0)).

Biomass partitioning to roots was higher for *A. lateralis* than for *A. afnis* and *P. notatum*. Therefore, the root/ shoot ratio (R/S) was higher for *A. lateralis* when compared with the other species either grown in P^- or P^+ (Table [2](#page-4-0)). With the exception of *A. lateralis*, there was no fertilization treatment efect on R/S. The total leaf area (TLA) was 33, 68 and 19% higher in P^+ treatment when compared with the P− treatment for *A. afnis*, *P. notatum,* and *A. lateralis*,

Table 2 Dry mass, mass proportion, and P parameters for three South American native grasses at 40 days of growth, growing under low (P−) and high (P^+) soil P availability (mean \pm standard error, $n = 4$)

Variables	Species								
	Axonopus affinis		Paspalum notatum		Andropogon lateralis				
	P^-	P^+	P^-	P^+	P^-	P^+			
Dry mass $(g$ pot ⁻¹)									
Total	$7.6 \pm 0.40^{bB*}$	8.8 ± 0.48 ^{aB}	12.6 ± 1.62^{bA}	$18.7 \pm 2.43^{\text{aA}}$	4.5 ± 0.66 ^{bB}	7.9 ± 1.09 ^{aB}			
Leaf	1.6 ± 0.14^{bB}	1.9 ± 0.14 ^{aB}	$2.5 \pm 0.41^{\text{bA}}$	4.2 ± 0.62 ^{aA}	0.6 ± 0.13^{bB}	0.8 ± 0.10 ^{aB}			
Stem	3.8 ± 0.21 ^{bB}	4.8 ± 0.29 ^{aB}	5.9 ± 1.22^{bA}	9.1 ± 0.87 ^{aA}	1.0 ± 0.13 ^{bC}	$1.3 \pm 0.05^{\rm aC}$			
Root	2.2 ± 0.18 ^{aB}	2.1 ± 0.22 ^{aC}	4.3 ± 0.08 ^{bA}	$5.5 \pm 1.09^{\rm aA}$	2.3 ± 0.40 ^{bB}	4.7 ± 0.34 ^{aB}			
Mass proportion $(\%)$									
Leaf	$21 \pm 0.01^{\text{nsA}}$	$22 \pm 0.01^{\rm A}$	$19 \pm 0.02^{\text{nsA}}$	$22 \pm 0.02^{\rm A}$	$15 \pm 0.03^{\text{nsB}}$	$11 \pm 0.02^{\rm B}$			
Stem	$50 \pm 0.03^{\text{nsA}}$	$55 \pm 0.02^{\rm A}$	$45 \pm 0.03^{\text{nsA}}$	$49 \pm 0.03^{\rm A}$	$25 \pm 0.05^{\text{nsB}}$	$17 \pm 0.03^{\rm B}$			
Root	$29 \pm 0.02^{\text{nsB}}$	$23 \pm 0.02^{\rm B}$	$35 \pm 0.04^{\text{nsB}}$	$28 \pm 0.02^{\rm B}$	$51 \pm 0.05^{\text{nsA}}$	$61 \pm 0.06^{\rm A}$			
Root shoot ratio	0.4 ± 0.04 ^{aB}	0.3 ± 0.04 ^{aB}	0.6 ± 0.09 ^{aB}	0.4 ± 0.05 ^{aB}	1.4 ± 0.21^{bA}	2.1 ± 0.13 ^{aA}			
TLA $(cm2 pot-1)$	$513 \pm 50.70^{\text{bA}}$	$683 \pm 38.40^{\rm aA}$	552 ± 72.50^{bA}	$927 + 158.30$ ^{aA}	131 ± 25.10^{bB}	156 ± 12.10^{aB}			
P parameters									
Plant P concentration (mg g^{-1})	$0.41 \pm 0.02^{\rm bA}$	1.12 ± 0.02 ^{aA}	$0.35 \pm 0.02^{\text{bA}}$	0.81 ± 0.08 ^{aB}	0.46 ± 0.04 ^{aA}	0.51 ± 0.02 ^{aC}			
Leaf P concentration (mg g^{-1})	$0.45 \pm 0.16^{\rm bA}$	1.15 ± 0.13 ^{aA}	0.47 ± 0.05^{bA}	1.01 ± 0.08 ^{aAB}	$0.67\pm0.09^{\rm aA}$	0.74 ± 0.05 ^{aB}			
APA (nmol P_i mg FW^{-1} min ⁻¹)	1.15 ± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.92 ± 0.01 ^{bB}	1.16 ± 0.01 ^{aA}	0.87 ± 0.01 ^{bC}	1.12 ± 0.01 ^{aB}	1.10 ± 0.01 ^{aA}			
PUE	$19 \pm 1.50^{\text{aB}}$	8 ± 0.58 ^{bB}	37 ± 6.67 ^{aA}	24 ± 5.00^{bA}	10 ± 2.14^{aB}	$16 \pm 2.42^{\text{aAB}}$			
PUtE	$1.42 \pm 0.11^{\rm bA}$	4.92 ± 0.48 ^{aA}	1.01 ± 0.07 ^{bAB}	2.97 ± 0.47 ^{aB}	0.94 ± 0.12 ^{aB}	$0.86 \pm 0.10^{\rm aC}$			

TLA total leaf area, *APA* acid phosphatase activity, *PUE* phosphorus use efficiency, *PUtE* phosphorus uptake efficiency

*Means followed by lowercase letters in lines compare treatment for each species and capital letters compare species in each fertilization treatment by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$)

respectively (Table [2](#page-4-0)). TLA was similar between *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* and lower for *A. lateralis*.

Phosphorus concentration and acid phosphatase activity in plant

The P concentration in the whole plant (P_{plant}) was 119 and 59% higher in P⁺ for *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* than for *A. lateralis*. The P concentration in the leaves (P_{leaf}) was, respectively, 155% and 100% higher in P⁺ for *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* when compared with the P[−] treatment (Table [2](#page-4-0)). However, for *A. lateralis*, the levels of P_{plant} (0.5 mg g⁻¹) and P_{leaf} (0.7 mg g^{-1}) were similar between P⁺ and P[−] treatments.

Leaf acid phosphatase activity (APA) decreased 20 and 25% with increasing soil P availability for *A. afnis* and *P. notatum,* respectively (Table [2](#page-4-0)). *A. lateralis* showed a different pattern of APA response, in which APA was similar between P⁺ and P[−]. In low soil P availability, both *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* had higher leaf APA as compared to *A. lateralis,* which had the highest APA under high soil P availability (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). Furthermore, leaf APA was higher in *A. afnis* than in *P. notatum* under high P soil availability.

For P use efficiency (PUE), *P. notatum* presented the highest values in low and high P availability (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). However in P+, the PUE was lower for *A. afnis* and *P. notatum* compared to P[−]. Phosphorus uptake efficiency (PUtE) was higher with increasing soil P availability for *A. affinis* and *P. notatum*. Independently of soil P availability, PUtE showed a tendency to be higher for *A. afnis* as compared to the other species.

Phosphorus allocation index

The P allocation index (PAI) between organs of the three grasses showed a similar response under P− and P+ (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). The three grasses showed higher P allocation to leaf and stem tissues (PAI > 1) as compared to the roots (PAI < 1).

Phosphorus chemical fractions in leaves

At low soil P availability (P−), the soluble inorganic P concentration (P-inor) in leaves of *A. lateralis* and *P. notatum* was 26% higher as compared to the values found in *A. affinis* (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). However, the soluble organic P (P-org) concentration was 43 and 138% higher in *A. affinis* as compared to that of *P. notatum* and *A. lateralis* plants. In addition, under low soil P availability, the P-Lipid concentration was 59 and 32% higher in *A. lateralis* when compared to *A. afnis* and *P. notatum*, respectively. The P-DNA concentration and the sum of all P fractions (total phosphorus) were 29 and 16% higher in *A. lateralis* as compared to that found in the other species. However, under low soil P availability, the P-residual and P-RNA concentrations were similar among all evaluated species.

With high soil P availability (P^+) , the P-Inor concentration was 26% higher in *A. afnis* and *P. notatum*, when compared with that in *A. lateralis* (Table [3](#page-6-0)). Although not signifcant, the P-Org concentration was 75% higher in *A. afnis* as compared to that in *P*. *notatum* and *A. lateralis*. Independently of soil P availability, the P-Lipid concentration was 62 and 23% higher in *A. lateralis* than that in *P. notatum* and *A. affinis*. In P⁺ treatment, the P-DNA and total P concentrations were similar between species.

Considering all the evaluated fractions of P in the plant, P-Inor and P-RNA were the most abundant (Table [3](#page-6-0)). Under low soil P availability (P−), the P-Inor fraction was higher for *P. notatum* and *A. lateralis* as compared to *A. affinis*. Conversely, under high P availability (P⁺), P-Inor was higher for *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* as compared to *A. lateralis*. For the three grasses, both P-DNA and P-Resid fractions were the least afected by soil P availability. In high soil P availability (P^+) , P-Lipid represented 14% of total P in tissues for *A. lateralis*, higher than in *A. afnis* (8%) and *P. notatum* (11%).

Fig. 2 Phosphorus allocation index (PAI) between leaves (**a**), stems (**b**), and roots (**c**) of three South American native grasses growing under low $(P⁻)$ and high $(P⁺)$ soil P availability

Table 3 Concentration and total % of phosphorus chemical fractions in leaves of three South American native grasses growing under low (P−) and high (P^+) soil P availability at 40 days of growth (mean \pm standard error, $n = 4$)

Species	P-inor	$P-org$	P-Lipid	P-DNA	P-RNA	P-res	Total
P^-							
Concentration (mg g^{-1})							
Axonopus affinis	$0.254 \pm 0.01^{b*}$	0.057 ± 0.01^a	$0.039 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.034 ± 0.01^b	0.237 ± 0.01 ^{ns}	0.053 ± 0.01 ^{ns}	0.674 ± 0.03^b
Paspalum notatum	0.298 ± 0.01^a	$0.040 \pm 0.01^{\rm b}$	0.047 ± 0.02^b	0.039 ± 0.02^b	0.207 ± 0.02	0.039 ± 0.02	0.669 ± 0.03^b
Andropogon lateralis	0.326 ± 0.01^a	0.024 ± 0.01^c	0.062 ± 0.01^a	0.047 ± 0.01^a	0.252 ± 0.01	0.069 ± 0.01	0.781 ± 0.01^a
Total $(\%)$							
Axonopus affinis	38.0 ± 0.93^b	$9.0 \pm 0.55^{\text{a}}$	6.0 ± 1.34 ^{ns}	5.0 ± 0.10 ^{ns}	35.0 ± 1.51 ^{ns}	8.0 ± 1.57 ^{ns}	
Paspalum notatum	44.0 ± 0.43 ^a	6.0 ± 1.19^a	7.0 ± 0.54	6.0 ± 0.20	31.0 ± 1.48	6.0 ± 0.30	
Andropogon lateralis	42.0 ± 0.36^a	3.0 ± 0.18^b	8.0 ± 0.22	6.0 ± 0.02	32.0 ± 0.48	9.0 ± 0.44	
P^+							
Concentration (mg g^{-1})							
Axonopus affinis	$0.879 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$0.057 \pm 0.01^{\text{ns}}$	0.124 ± 0.01^b	0.058 ± 0.02 ^{ns}	$0.409 \pm 0.03^{\text{a}}$	0.090 ± 0.01^a	1.618 ± 0.08 ^{ns}
Paspalum notatum	0.799 ± 0.09 ^{ab}	0.032 ± 0.01	$0.167 \pm 0.02^{\text{a}}$	0.050 ± 0.01	0.327 ± 0.02^b	0.069 ± 0.02^b	1.446 ± 0.13
Andropogon lateralis	0.666 ± 0.01^b	0.033 ± 0.01	0.202 ± 0.01^a	0.060 ± 0.02	0.385 ± 0.02^{ab}	0.069 ± 0.01^b	1.415 ± 0.03
Total $(\%)$							
Axonopus affinis	54.0 ± 1.10^a	4.0 ± 0.36 ^{ns}	8.0 ± 0.51 ^c	4.0 ± 0.16 ^{ns}	25.0 ± 0.50 ^{ab}	6.0 ± 0.48 ^{ns}	
Paspalum notatum	$55.0 \pm 1.58^{\text{a}}$	2.0 ± 0.86	11.0 ± 0.63^b	4.0 ± 0.33	23.0 ± 0.89^b	5.0 ± 0.44	
Andropogon lateralis	47.0 ± 1.20^b	2.0 ± 0.45	14.0 ± 0.54 ^a	4.0 ± 0.04	$27.0 \pm 0.53^{\text{a}}$	5.0 ± 0.03	

*Means followed by lowercase letters in columns compare species in each treatment by Tukey's test (*p* < 0.05)

Ordination analysis

The frst two axes of the PCoA explained 69% of the variability when grass species were grown under P− treatment (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)a). Three P chemical fractions and two P parameters had a strong contribution to the X axis with the absolute correlation coefficients being higher than 0.70: P-Inor, P-Org, P-DNA, P uptake (Pup), and APA (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)a).

The ordination analyses of variables showed that when grass species were grown under low soil P availability (P−), the P chemical fractions in leaves such as P-Inor, P-Lipid, P-RNA, and P-Resid tend to be higher for *A. lateralis,* and depended on higher P_{plant} and P_{leaf} concentration (Fig. [3a](#page-7-0)). However, it was inversely correlated with APA in leaves. APA in leaves was positively related in *P. notatum* and *A. afnis*. *A. afnis* also showed a positive correlation with PUtE and P-Org. Conversely, *P. notatum* showed a positive correlation with P uptake (P_{up}) and PUE. Thus, APA was the variable related with PUE, PUtE, P_{un} , and P-Org and inversely related to P-Inor, P_{plant} , and P_{leaf} .

The frst two axes of the PCoA explained 74% of the variability when the species were grown in P^+ treatment (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)b). The chemical fractions P-Inor and the five P parameters had a strong contribution to the *X* axis: leaf P concentration (P_{leaf}), plant P concentration (P_{plant}), phosphorus uptake efficiency (PUtE), acid phosphatase activity (APA), soil P available (Mehlich-I), whereas P chemical fractions P-DNA and P-RNA and P use efficiency (PUE) had a strong contribution to the Y axis; their absolute correlation coefficients were higher than 0.70 (Fig. $3b$).

When ordination analysis was done with variables of plants grown in high P soil availability, the P chemical fractions in leaves (P-Inor, P-Org, P-Resid) were related to *A. affinis* as well as P_{plant} and P_{leaf} concentrations (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)b). Thus, P-Inor, P-Org, P-Resid were inversely correlated with APA in leaves. On the other hand, the APA in leaves was positively correlated with P-Lipid fraction and, consequently, positively related in *A. lateralis*. As before, PUtE and P-Org variables were positively related to *A. afnis* and inversely to APA. PUE was related positively with *P. notatum*.

Discussion

Biomass production and efficiency of phosphorus uptake and use

Under higher soil P availability, all tested grasses showed an increase in the dry mass of leaves, stems, and roots, and consequently in the total dry mass (Table [2](#page-4-0)). This increase in plant dry mass may be associated with higher total leaf area (TLA) (Table [2](#page-4-0)), which has been shown to be related to the increase in $CO₂$ assimilation rate (Fujita et al. [2004](#page-9-25)). This relationship becomes clear when we analyze the concentration of P in the soil. In the soil cultivated with grass species

Fig. 3 Ordination diagram of species *Axonopus affinis* (triangles), *Paspalum notatum* (squares), and *Andropogon lateralis* (circles) growing under low (**a**) and high (**b**) soil P availability as a function of acid phosphatase activity (APA), P use efficiency (PUE), P-uptake efficiency (PUtE), P uptake (Pup), leaf P concentration (P_{leaf}), plant P concentration (P_{plant}) , soil available P by Mehlich-1 (Mehlich I), and leaf P chemical fractions: soluble inorganic (Inor), soluble organic (Org), lipid, RNA, DNA, and residual (Resid)

of higher dry matter production, P concentration was lower (Table [1\)](#page-2-1), indicating a higher absorption rate.

However, the total plant dry matter and TLA response to soil P availability was higher for *P. notatum* as compared to *A. afnis*. It is possible to relate the higher P response capacity with leaf traits and physiological tissue construction strategy, which has a lower density per unit of leaf area and highest P use efficiency. This characterizes a fast growth rate species (Machado et al. [2013\)](#page-9-11), and it has been shown that fast growth rate species have a higher capacity of response to nutrient availability (Chapin et al. [1982](#page-9-26)). A high growth rate is advantageous mainly in periods with higher nutrient availability or in a natural fertile environment that allows a rapid production of leaf and root biomass, which enables plants to absorb water and nutrients.

Under both low and high soil P availability, the total dry matter was approximately two times higher in *P. notatum* than in *A. affinis*. This result shows that from the same number of transplanted units (tillers), *P. notatum* was a grass with higher dry matter accumulation. The reasons for its higher biomass production capacity are not yet clear. *P. notatum* higher dry matter accumulation can be related to its ability to allocate more than 45% of the biomass in the rhizomes (measured as stems) and 28% in roots (Table [2](#page-4-0)), and leaves with relatively intermediate dry matter content (Table [1](#page-2-1)). Moreover, the P allocation index (PAI) for *P. notatum* shows that stems and roots are not the target for P allocation, but leaves show higher PAI (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). In this way, its capacity to have a higher biomass production in stems and roots with low PAI can, consequently, be a result of a higher PUE in P− as well as in P+.

A. afnis had a higher PUtE as compared to *P. notatum* in high soil P availability, and as compared to *A. lateralis* under both soil P availabilities (Table [2](#page-4-0)). As described in other studies, a large diference in P uptake rate among species is not expected (absorption rate per unit mass of root measured under standardized conditions) (Aerts and Chapin [1999\)](#page-9-1). In general, P uptake rate is slightly higher or very similar in fast growing species than in slow growing species (Chapin [1980](#page-9-0); Chapin et al. [1982\)](#page-9-26). In addition, this is not the most important adaptive mechanism for low soil P availability, because P difusion at the root surface might decrease P uptake. Therefore, even a low nutrient uptake capacity is adequate to absorb those nutrients reaching the roots (Aerts and Chapin [1999](#page-9-1)). These results indicate that there are important root morphological differences among these three tested species.

In one study correlating traits of leaf and root, Fort et al. [\(2013](#page-9-27)) showed similar tissue construction strategies between shoot and root biomass. However, according to these authors, for the same leaf trait strategy, droughttolerant grass species displayed more conservative root strategies than drought-sensitive species. Thus, we suggest that a diference in PUtE between *P. notatum*, mostly abundant in drier sites, and *A. affinis*, more frequently found in moist sites, could be attributed to diferent conservative root strategy traits. *P. notatum* uses higher amounts of carbon for more root production, and thus it explores more soil volume and reaches P with less P allocation in roots under P[−] treatment (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). On the other hand, *A. affinis* has lower root biomass (Table [2](#page-4-0)) and can have higher specifc root length and fne root percentage (Fort et al. [2013](#page-9-27)), and, consequently, is more efficient in capturing P than *P*. *notatum* in both treatments (P⁺ and P[−]) (Table [2](#page-4-0)).

Acid phosphatase activity and phosphorus chemical fractions

The acid phosphatase activity (APA) in leaves of native grasses proved to be a parameter inversely correlated with plant and/or leaf P status for all three species (Table [2](#page-4-0) and Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). With this parameter, it was possible to show that when plants were grown under low soil P availability there was a signifcant increase in APA. This trend was less evident for *A. lateralis* compared to the other grasses. The lower APA under P defciency in *A. lateralis* can be related to its lower P remobilization and this may be related to its higher leaf life span (Machado et al. [2013\)](#page-9-11) and, consequently, its slow growth rate. In addition, it shows a reasonable relationship between slow growth rates and less instantaneous P demand, as well as a lower need for P remobilization (Aerts and Chapin [1999\)](#page-9-1).

The high APA of *P. notatum* also indicates the hypothesis that Campos native grasses with leaf traits for resources capture (Table [1](#page-2-1)) probably have higher P remobilization and use than species with conservation strategies. Moreover, we showed that when species were grown under high soil P availability, APA decreased for *A. afnis* and *P. notatum*, but not for *A. lateralis* (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). In the same way, the ordination analyses showed that the APA was related to *A. affinis* and *P. notatum* in P− (Fig. [3a](#page-7-0)) and with *A. lateralis* in P⁺ (Fig. [3b](#page-7-0)) and inversely correlated with the concentration of P_{plant} and P_{leaf} (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). The explanation for the decrease in APA was related to a feedback regulation effect (McLachlan and March [1982](#page-9-4)) and confrms that APA is a parameter that can be useful to study diferences in plant resource acquisition strategies based on the tissue P concentration (Duf et al. [1994](#page-9-3)).

Phosphorus chemical functions and relationship with the growth rate

With the increase of soil P availability, the concentration of all chemical P fractions in the plant tissues increased in a similar way regardless of the tested species, except P-Inor for *A. afnis* that increased 46% more than for the other tested species (Table [3](#page-6-0)). The increase in concentration of all major P fractions with increasing P supply has also been documented in other studies. For example, Bieleski ([1973](#page-9-28)) and Chapin et al. ([1982\)](#page-9-26) showed that P ester is less responsive to alteration of the P supply and P-Inor is the most responsive. P-Inor is the major form in which P is stored in non-meristematic cells of higher plants (Bieleski [1973](#page-9-28)).

The higher level of P-Inor, P-Lipid, and P-DNA from *A. lateralis* compared to other grasses (Table [3](#page-6-0)), when grown under low soil P availability, can be explained by the higher total P concentration in leaves (P_{leaf}) in *A. lateralis*. For a given nutrient supply, slow growing species of typical low

nutrient availability habitats have greater tissue concentration of nutrients than rapidly growing species (Clarkson [1967](#page-9-29); White [1972\)](#page-10-12).

The data of P chemical fractionation in leaves of native species grown under both low and high soil P availability showed higher P-Org concentration in leaves of *A. afnis* compared to *A. lateralis* (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). *A. lateralis* tends to accumulate P bound to lipids, or even linked to a structural form such as the membranes. Chisholm and Blair [\(1988](#page-9-30)) have emphasized and showed that P remobilization of phospholipids is possible when reserves of other P fractions are sufficiently reduced. However, the results of Adugyamfi et al. [\(1990\)](#page-9-31) do not support the phospholipid remobilization hypothesis, because the P-Lipid content in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp.; species tolerant to low P supply) and soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merr cv. Tamahomare; less tolerant species to low P supply) showed a similar reduction of 65% when compared with low and intermediate P supply.

The lower P-Lipid concentration in *A. affinis* compared to other species under low soil P availability can indicate higher substitution of phospholipids in membranes by sulfolipids and/or galactolipids in response to P defciency (Veneklaas et al. [2012\)](#page-10-2). In southwestern Australia, species belonging to Proteaceae which are adapted to P-impoverished soils clearly show that a low investment in phospholipids, relative to nonphospholipids, ofers a partial explanation for a high photosynthetic rate per unit leaf P (Lambers et al. [2012](#page-9-9)).

The higher P-Org concentration in leaves of *A. affinis* compared to the other grasses may be related with a higher APA (Fig. [3a](#page-7-0)). Thus, *A. affinis'* strategy to maintain growth could be due to its capacity to remobilize the soluble organic P fractions, increasing P use efficiency (Table 2) which allows this grass to grow also in sites with low soil P availability.

Conclusions

This work demonstrates that natural grasslands have species of grasses that show diferent leaf and physiological traits in response to P availability. High SLA and low LDMC were associated with higher growth rate, generating a greater total leaf area. Higher capacity of remobilization of soluble organic fractions of P and higher uptake efficiency, especially for the species with the highest growth rate, allowed higher P use efficiency under low P availability in soil, especially for the species with higher growth rates, *A. affinis* and *P. notatum.* The fractions P-Inor and P-RNA were higher under high availability of P in the soil, and high levels of these forms were associated negatively to phosphatase activity. APA was also related to the highest growth rates, independent of soil P availability. The high APA in grass species with higher growth rate is related to higher remobilization of P due to higher demand, as in *A. afnis*. In addition, higher growth rates for these species were associated with higher P uptake efficiency.

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Pablo Cruz (INRA, France), Dr. Tales Tiecher (UFRGS, Brazil), and Giselle Lee (Arizona State University–EUA) for the review with helpful suggestions for improving the manuscript.

Author contribution statement LBO, ACRM, RP, and JGF performed the experiment, laboratory analyses, and statistical analyses. FLFQ, FTN, and GB organized the experiment and helped to write the manuscript.

References

- Adugyamf JJ, Fujita K, Ogata S (1990) Phosphorus fractions in relation to growth in pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp.] at various levels of P supply. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 36:531–543. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1990.10416790) [org/10.1080/00380768.1990.10416790](https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1990.10416790)
- Aerts R, Chapin FS III (1999) The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation of processes and patterns. Adv Ecol Res 30:1–67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504\(08\)60016-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60016-1)
- Allen VG, Batello C, Berretta EJ et al (2011) An international terminology for grazing lands and grazing animals. Grass Forage Sci 66:2–28.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2010.00780.x>
- Bieleski RL (1973) Phosphate pools, phosphate transport, and phosphate availability. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 24:225–252. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.24.060173.001301) doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.24.060173.001301
- Casali CA, Kaminski J, Arbugeri FE et al (2011) Mineralização das formas de fósforo do tecido de plantas de cobertura. Informações Agronômicas 135:21–24
- Chapin FS III (1980) The mineral nutrition of wild plants. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 11:233–260. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.1101](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.001313) [80.001313](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.001313)
- Chapin FS III, Follett JM, O'Connor KF (1982) Growth, phosphate absorption, and phosphorus chemical fractions in two *Chionochloa* species. J Ecol 70:305–321.<https://doi.org/10.2307/2259881>
- Chisholm RH, Blair GJ (1988) Phosphorus efficiency in pasture species. II. Diferences in the utilization of P between major chemical fractions. Aust J Agric Res 39:817–826
- Clarkson DT (1967) Phosphorus supply and growth rate in species of *Agrostis L*. J Ecol 55:111–118.<https://doi.org/10.2307/2257720>
- Cornelissen JHC, Lavorel S, Garnier E et al (2003) A handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Aust J Bot 51:335–380. [https://doi.org/10.1071](https://doi.org/10.1071/BT02124) [/BT02124](https://doi.org/10.1071/BT02124)
- CQFS-RS/SC (2016) Manual de calagem e adubação para os estados do Rio Grande do Sul e Santa Catarina. Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, Porto Alegre
- Dale MP, Causton DR (1992) The ecophysiology of *Veronica chamaedrys, V. montana* and *V. officinalis*. IV. Effects of shading on nutrient allocations—a feld experiment. J Ecol 80:517–526. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2307/2260695) doi.org/10.2307/2260695
- de Carvalho PC F, Batello C (2009) Access to land, livestock production and ecosystem conservation in the Brazilian Campos biome: the natural grasslands dilemma. Livest Sci 120:158–162. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2008.04.012) doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2008.04.012
- Denton MD, Veneklaas EJ, Freimoser FM, Lambers H (2007) *Banksia* species (Proteaceae) from severely phosphorus-impoverished soils exhibit extreme efficiency in the use and re-mobilization of phosphorus. Plant, Cell Environ 30:1557–1565. [https://doi.org/10.1111](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01733.x) [/j.1365-3040.2007.01733.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01733.x)
- Doerner P (2008) Phosphate starvation signaling: a threesome controls systemic Pi homeostasis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11:536–540. [http](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.05.006) [s://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.05.006)
- Duff SMG, Sarath G, Plaxton WC (1994) The role of acid phosphatases in plant phosphorus metabolism. Physiol Plant 90:791–800. [http](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1994.tb02539.x) [s://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1994.tb02539.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1994.tb02539.x)
- Elliott GC, Läuchli A (1986) Evaluation of an acid phosphatase assay for detection of phosphorus defciency in leaves of maize (*Zea mays* L.). J Plant Nutr 9:1469–1477. [https://doi.org/10.1080/0190](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168609363542) [4168609363542](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168609363542)
- Fitter AH, Setters NL (1988) Vegetative and reproductive allocation of phosphorus and potassium in relation to biomass in six species of viola. J Ecol 76:617–636.<https://doi.org/10.2307/2260563>
- Fort F, Jouany C, Cruz P (2013) Root and leaf functional trait relations in Poaceae species: implications of difering resource-acquisition strategies. J Plant Ecol 6:211–219. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rts0](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rts034) [34](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rts034)
- Fujita K, Kai Y, Takayanagi M et al (2004) Genotypic variability of pigeon pea in distribution of photosynthetic carbon at low phosphorus level. Plant Sci 166:641–649. [https://doi.org/10.1016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.032) [/j.plantsci.2003.10.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.032)
- Garnier E, Shipley B, Roumet C, Laurent G (2001) A standardized protocol for the determination of specifc leaf area and leaf dry matter content. Funct Ecol 15:688–695. [https://doi.org/10.1046](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0269-8463.2001.00563.x) [/j.0269-8463.2001.00563.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0269-8463.2001.00563.x)
- Garnier E, Lavorel S, Ansquer P et al (2007) Assessing the efects of land-use change on plant traits, communities and ecosystem functioning in grasslands: a standardized methodology and lessons from an application to 11 European sites. Ann Bot 99:967–985. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl215>
- Hopper SD (2009) OCBIL theory: towards an integrated understanding of the evolution, ecology and conservation of biodiversity on old, climatically bufered, infertile landscapes. Plant Soil 322:49–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0068-0>
- Lambers H, Shane MW, Cramer MD et al (2006) Root structure and functioning for efficient acquisition of phosphorus: matching morphological and physiological traits. Ann Bot 98:693–713. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl114) doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl114
- Lambers H, Bishop JG, Hopper SD et al (2012) Phosphorus-mobilization ecosystem engineering: the roles of cluster roots and carboxylate exudation in young P-limited ecosystems. Ann Bot 110:329–348. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs130>
- Machado JM, Rocha MG, Quadros FLF et al (2013) Morphogenesis of native grasses of Pampa biome under nitrogen fertilization. Rev Bras Zootec 42:22–29. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-3598](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982013000100004) [2013000100004](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982013000100004)
- McLachlan K, March D (1982) Acid phosphatase activity of intact roots and phosphorus nutrition in plants. III. Its relation to phosphorus garnering by wheat and a comparison with leaf activity as a measure of phosphorus status. Aust J Agric Res 33:1–11. [http](https://doi.org/10.1071/AR9820001) [s://doi.org/10.1071/AR9820001](https://doi.org/10.1071/AR9820001)
- Miyachi S, Tamiya H (1961) Distribution and turnover of phosphate compounds in growing *Chlorella* cells. Plant Cell Physiol 2:405–414
- Murphy J, Riley JP (1962) A modifed single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Anal Chim Acta 27:31–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(00\)88444-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5)
- Oliveira LB, Tiecher T, Quadros FLF et al (2014) Formas de fósforo no solo sob pastagens naturais submetidas à adição de fosfatos. Rev Bras Cienc do Solo 38:867–878. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S010](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832014000300018) [0-06832014000300018](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832014000300018)
- Pillar VD (2001) Multivariate exploratory analysis, randomization testing and bootstrap resampling. User's Guid. 1–41
- Schmidt G, Thannhauser SJ (1945) A method for the determination of deoxyribonucleic acid, ribonucleic acid and phosphoproteins in animal tissues. J Biol Chem 161:83–89
- Shen J, Lixing Y, Junling Z et al (2011) Phosphorus dynamics: from soil to plant. Plant Physiol 156:997–1005. [https://doi.org/10.1104](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175232) [/pp.111.175232](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175232)
- Siddiqi MY, Glass ADM (2008) Utilization index: a modifed approach to the estimation and comparison of nutrient utilization efficiency in plants. J Plant Nutr 4:289–302. [https://doi.org/10.1080/0190](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168109362919) [4168109362919](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168109362919)
- Swiader JM, Chyan Y, Freiji FG (1994) Genotypic diferences in nitrate uptake and utilization efficiency in pumpkin hybrids. J Plant Nutr 17:1687–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169409364840>
- Tabaldi LA, Ruppenthal R, Cargnelutti D et al (2007) Efects of metal elements on acid phosphatase activity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) seedlings. Environ Exp Bot 59:43–48. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.10.009) [org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.10.009)
- Tedesco MJ, Gianello C, Bissani CA et al (1995) Análise de solo, plantas e outros materiais, 2nd edn. Departamento de Solos da Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre
- Tiecher T, Oliveira LB, Rheinheimer DS et al (2014) Phosphorus application and liming efects on forage production, foristic composition and soil chemical properties in the Campos biome, southern Brazil. Grass Forage Sci 69:567–579. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12079) [gfs.12079](https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12079)
- Vance CP, Uhde-Stone C, Allan DL (2003) Phosphorus acquisition and use: critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. New Phytol 157:423–447. [https://doi.org/10.1046](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x) [/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x)
- Veneklaas EJ, Lambers H, Bragg J et al (2012) Opportunities for improving phosphorus-use efficiency in crop plants. New Phytol 195:306–320.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04190.x>
- Wang X, Shen J, Liao H (2010) Acquisition or utilization, which is more critical for enhancing phosphorus efficiency in modern crops? Plant Sci 179:302–306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.06.007) [.2010.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.06.007)
- White RE (1972) Studies on mineral ion absorption by plants. Plant Soil 36:427–447.<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01373496>
- Wieneke J (1990) Phosphorus efficiency and phosphorus remobilization in two sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] cultivars. Plant Soil 123:139–145.<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011259>
- Wright IJ, Westoby M (2003) Nutrient concentration, resorption and lifespan: leaf traits of Australian sclerophyll species. Funct Ecol 17:10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2003.00694.x>
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M et al (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428:821–827. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403) [nature02403](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403)