PHYSIOLOGICAL ECOLOGY - ORIGINAL RESEARCH



# **The role of isohydric and anisohydric species in determining ecosystem‑scale response to severe drought**

 $D. T.$  **Roman**<sup>1</sup> **· K. A. Novick**<sup>1</sup> **· E. R. Brzostek**<sup>2</sup> **· D. Dragoni**<sup>3</sup> **· F. Rahman**<sup>4</sup> **· R. P. Phillips<sup>5</sup>**

Received: 10 December 2014 / Accepted: 16 June 2015 / Published online: 1 July 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

**Abstract** Ongoing shifts in the species composition of Eastern US forests necessitate the development of frameworks to explore how species-specific water-use strategies influence ecosystem-scale carbon (C) cycling during drought. Here, we develop a diagnostic framework to classify plant drought-response strategies along a continuum of isohydric to anisohydric regulation of leaf water potential  $(\Psi_{\rm L})$ . The framework is applied to a 3-year record of weekly leaf-level gas exchange and *Ψ* measurements collected in the Morgan-Monroe State Forest (Indiana, USA), where continuous observations of the net ecosystem exchange of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (NEE) have been ongoing since 1999. A severe drought that occurred in the middle of the study period reduced the absolute magnitude of NEE by 55 %,

Communicated by David R. Bowling.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi[:10.1007/s00442-015-3380-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3380-9)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  K. A. Novick knovick@indiana.edu

- <sup>1</sup> School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University-Bloomington, 702 North Walnut Grove Avenue, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, West Virginia University, 53 Campus Drive, Morgantown, WV 26505, USA
- Department of Geography, Indiana University-Bloomington, 702 North Walnut Grove Avenue, Bloomington, IN 47405, **IISA**
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 1201 West University Drive, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Biology, Indiana University-Bloomington, 1001 East Third Street, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

though species-specific responses to drought conditions varied. Oak species were characterized by anisohydric regulation of  $\Psi_L$  that promoted static gas exchange throughout the study period. In contrast,  $\Psi_L$  of the other canopy dominant species was more isohydric, which limited gas exchange during the drought. Ecosystem-scale estimates of NEE and gross ecosystem productivity derived by upscaling the leaf-level data agreed well with tower-based observations, and highlight how the fraction of isohydric and anisohydric species in forests can mediate net ecosystem C balance.

**Keywords** Carbon flux · Water regulation · Eddy covariance · Stomatal conductance · Net ecosystem exchange

## **Introduction**

The composition and functioning of Eastern US forests reflect ongoing species demographic changes, as well as ecosystem and species-specific responses to climate change. Over the past 200 years, forest composition in the Eastern US has been highly dynamic owing to a remarkable legacy of disturbance. From the mid-nineteenth to early twentieth century, timber harvesting produced dramatic reductions in forest cover (Abrams [2003](#page-12-0); McEwan et al. [2011](#page-12-1)), and in the early twentieth century, the gradual abandonment of agricultural fields increased forest cover in most parts of the region (Shifley [2012](#page-13-0); Wear and Greis [2012](#page-13-1)). This reforestation period was marked by other shifts in the disturbance regime, including the "Smokey Bear" era of fire suppression (Abrams [2003](#page-12-0); Nowacki and Abrams [2008](#page-12-2); Flatley et al. [2013](#page-12-3)). Consequently, the species composition of modern-era deciduous hardwood forests contains less of the shade-intolerant and fire-dependent oak species, and more shade-tolerant, mesophytic species like red and sugar maple (*Acer rubrum* and *Acer saccharum*) (Abrams [2003\)](#page-12-0).

Over this same time period, the region has also experienced shifts in the climate regime. Increases in the frequency and/or severity of drought events have already been observed in parts of the Eastern US (Ford et al. [2011;](#page-12-4) Laseter et al. [2012\)](#page-12-5), and are predicted to continue into the future for most temperate regions (Huntington [2006](#page-12-6); O'Gorman and Schneider [2009\)](#page-12-7). A number of ecosystem processes, including  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration, wood production, and utilization of water resources, depend directly on the rate of tree C metabolism, which in turn is closely coupled to tree water status. Thus, understanding species-specific adaptive strategies to cope with greater water limitation is critical for understanding ecosystem-scale processes in areas like the Eastern US that are characterized by dynamic species composition and climate regimes.

Recent years have seen a renewed focus and further development on the approach of classifying plant water use, whereby species-specific water-use strategies during periods of hydrologic stress are classified as falling on a spectrum of isohydric to anisohydric behavior (Choat et al. [2012](#page-12-8); Manzoni et al. [2013;](#page-12-9) Klein [2014](#page-12-10); Martinez-Vilalta et al. [2014\)](#page-12-11). Isohydric trees regulate stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  to maintain minimum water potential  $(\Psi)$  within a relatively narrow range, and thereby reduce the risk of damaging xylem cavitation driven by excessive tension in the trees' hydraulic system (Tyree and Sperry [1988](#page-13-2); Choat et al. [2012](#page-12-8); Manzoni et al. [2013](#page-12-9)). However, a consequence of this strategy is that these trees close their stomata in response to even mild water stress—a process which reduces leaf carbon (C) uptake. In contrast, anisohydric trees allow their leaf  $\Psi(\Psi)$  to decrease during drought by sustaining relatively high  $g_s$  (and thus C assimilation); but this strategy incurs a greater risk of cavitation in the xylem, which could ultimately lead to rapid declines in leaf water supply that may affect a range of physiological variables, including photosynthetic capacity and  $g<sub>s</sub>$  (Tyree and Sperry [1988](#page-13-2); McDowell et al. [2008\)](#page-12-12). Anisohydric species will be more susceptible to xylem risk if the midday *Ψ* achieved by these species are close to the point at which rapid embolism occurs, representing a low safety margin (Choat et al. [2012](#page-12-8)). We note that low *Ψ* alone does not indicate a high risk of hydraulic failure if the safety margin is still wide (Plaut et al. [2012](#page-12-13)).

Much of the existing literature relevant to the isohydric-anisohydric framework relies on observations collected during experimental drought manipulations (Plaut et al. [2012;](#page-12-13) Meinzer et al. [2014\)](#page-12-14), laboratory-based observations on excised shoots and leaves (Taneda and Sperry [2008](#page-13-3); Choat et al. [2012](#page-12-8); Martinez-Vilalta et al. [2014](#page-12-11)), or

modeling exercises (Manzoni et al. [2013](#page-12-9)). Some studies investigating isohydric/anisohydric behavior in trees experiencing a naturally occurring drought exist (Quero et al. [2011;](#page-12-15) Thomsen et al. [2013\)](#page-13-4), but few have supported the suite of leaf- and stand-scale observations necessary to determine the role of anisohydric and isohydric species in determining drought impacts on ecosystem-scale C cycling.

Here, we explore the species-specific contributions to stand-scale C dynamics during a severe drought event by leveraging a novel data set of long-term (1999–2013) eddy covariance record of ecosystem-scale C fluxes with a 3-year (2011–2013) data set of weekly leaf-level gas exchange and  $\Psi_L$  observations (~8000 leaf measurements). We conducted this research at the Morgan-Monroe State Forest (MMSF) in central Indiana, which received only 23 mm of rainfall during the peak of the 2012 growing season (i.e., June and July), which is less than 10 % of the long-term (1999–2011) mean rainfall in June and July  $(=242$  mm). Further, this drought resulted in the lowest June rainfall amount recorded at a nearby National Weather Service station (KBMG, Bloomington, IN) since the 1933 onset of the Dust Bowl. To our knowledge, these data represent one of the most comprehensive records of leaf- and canopy-scale processes in a mature forest collected in situ during a severe drought event.

The first overarching objective of this study was to determine the relative degree of isohydry/anisohydry in the functioning of common Eastern US tree species during this severe drought event. The second objective was to quantify how these plant water-use strategies affect species-specific patterns in C assimilation and productivity before, during and immediately after the severe drought event. Finally, we place these results in the context of ongoing, managementdriven changes in regional forest species composition to assess how shifts from forests dominated by anisohydric to those dominated by isohydric trees may affect ecosystem C balance during future drought events. Our work is focused on two competing hypotheses:

**Hypothesis 1** C assimilation will be most limited during the severe drought in isohydric trees, as stomatal closure to regulate  $\Psi_L$  will lead to direct reductions in photosynthesis.

**Hypothesis 2** C assimilation will be most limited during the severe drought in anisohydric trees, as low  $\Psi_L$  could lead to hydraulic system damage that limits water supply to the leaf and impacts the ability to effectively assimilate C.

We stress that these predictions apply to patterns of C assimilation and allocation during and shortly after a single drought event. Over longer time periods characterized by persistently limiting hydrologic conditions, processes like C starvation and the associated cascade of physiological impacts could become more important (McDowell et al. [2008](#page-12-12)).

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Study site**

The MMSF is a managed deciduous broadleaf forest in south-central Indiana, USA. The average age and height of the trees are 80–90 years and 27 m, respectively. Since 1998, a 46-m AmeriFlux tower has been operating continuously at MMSF (Schmid et al. [2000\)](#page-13-5). Based on basal area data, sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) is the dominant canopy species followed by tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), sassafras (*Sassafras albidum*) and oaks (*Quercus* spp.*),* which together comprise nearly 75 % of all basal area in plots surrounding the tower (Schmid et al. [2000](#page-13-5)). Soils in the area are mesic Typic Dystrochrepts dominated by the Berks–Weikert complex. The average depth to bedrock is 2.2 m with a minimum of 0.4 m, and the average rooting depth is 0.44 m. Leaf litter data collected in 2013 show that sugar maple, tulip poplar, sassafras, and oak species constitute 38, 11, 4, and 6 % of canopy leaf area index, respectively.

### **Ancillary meteorological and edaphic data**

During the study period, air temperature and relative humidity were measured with a temperature and humidity probe (HMP45c; Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) and thermohygrometer (VTP37; Meteolabor, Wetzikon, Switzerland) at 46 m, and were used to estimate vapor pressure deficit (*D*). At four different locations in the footprint of the eddycovariance tower, soil moisture content  $(\theta)$  in the first 30 cm of the soil was monitored using time domain reflectometer probes (TDR) (CS615 and CS616; Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) and scaled using gravimetric samples collected weekly at the TDR monitoring locations. The *θ* data were then averaged, and a site-specific, empirical model developed by Wayson et al. [\(2006](#page-13-6)) was used to estimate soil *Ψ* ( $\Psi$ <sub>S</sub>) to a depth of 30 cm ( $\Psi$ <sub>S,0−30</sub>) from  $\theta$ . This model has the form  $\Psi_{\rm S} = -a \cdot b^{c \theta^d}$ , with  $a = 2.61 \times 10^8$ ,  $b = 189$ ,  $c = -2.51$ , and  $d = 0.14$  (see Wayson et al. [2006](#page-13-6) for more details). It was developed using soil moisture retention curves with psychrometric measurements of  $\Psi_{\rm S}$  and gravimetric measurements of soil water content (Hanson et al. [1998](#page-12-16)). Soil moisture at depth (>30 cm) was measured using an Envirosmart soil water content probe (Sentek Technologies, Stepney, Australia) beginning in April 2006, and was used to derive a weighted  $\Psi_s$  down to 50 cm ( $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ ) by scaling the robust shallow measurements by the relative

differences observed at deeper depths. This allowed us to characterize the soil water availability across a wider portion of the rooting zone.

#### **Eddy covariance measurements**

Carbon dioxide and water vapor fluxes were measured at the top of the tower (i.e.,  $z = 46$  m) using a sonic anemometer (CSAT-3; Campbell Scientific) and a closed-path infrared gas analyzer (LI-7000; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE), which is calibrated weekly. Eddy covariance data were recorded continuously at a frequency of 10 Hz and post-processed into hourly fluxes of the net ecosystem exchange of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ (NEE) as described in detail in Dragoni et al. ([2011\)](#page-12-17) and Schmid et al. ([2000\)](#page-13-5). To fill hourly NEE time series gaps and to partition NEE into ecosystem respiration (RE) and gross ecosystem productivity (GEP), simple parametric models linking soil temperature to RE, and photosynthetic photon flux density to GEP, were applied to the NEE record as described in Dragoni et al.  $(2011)$  $(2011)$ , such that  $NEE = GEP - RE$ .

## **Leaf‑level gas exchange and** *Ψ* **data**

Measurements of midday leaf-level assimilation (*A*) and *g*s were recorded each week during the growing seasons of 2011–2013 using a portable photosynthesis system (Li-6400XT; LI-COR) and a 25-m boom lift to access the top of the canopy. Measurements were made on sugar maple  $[n = 3;$  diameter at breast height (DBH) =  $44.2 \pm 0.6$  cm], tulip poplar ( $n = 3$ ; DBH = 69.8  $\pm$  12.3 cm), and sassafras ( $n = 3$ ; DBH = 36.5  $\pm$  3.6 cm), white oak  $(n = 2; DBH = 38.4 \pm 4.6 \text{ cm})$ , and red oak  $(n = 1;$  $DBH = 46.3$  cm). Each tree was sampled by selecting five leaves at the top of the canopy exposed to direct solar radiation and five leaves in the sub-canopy of the tree (lower half of the canopy). Thus, for each sampling week during the study, 12 trees  $\times$  5 leaves  $\times$  2 canopy positions = 120 leaves were sampled resulting in ~8000 individual leaf measurements from the 64 sampling weeks of the study period. Chamber photosynthetic photon flux density,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ and water vapor concentrations were set to match ambient conditions in the field. Each measurement was made over a relatively short time period  $(-2 \text{ min})$  to avoid excessive increases in chamber humidity, which was monitored together with temperature. We aggregated data to specieslevel averages for canopy and sub-canopy conditions on each measurement day.

We measured  $\Psi_L$  concurrent with the gas-exchange measurements using a Scholander-type pressure system (PMS Instruments, Corvallis, OR). One leaf from each tree canopy was covered with aluminum foil for 15 min to allow the  $\Psi$  of the leaf cells and the xylem to reach equilibrium,

and to prevent biases related to leaf excision (Turner and Long [1980;](#page-13-7) Leach et al. [1982](#page-12-18)). Then, dinitrogen gas was applied to the chamber until water appeared on the cut petiole of the leaf. The pressure required to liberate the water from the non-transpiring leaf is equal in magnitude but opposite in sign to  $\Psi_L$ . This process was repeated on one leaf from the sub-canopy of each tree.

#### **Biomass measurements**

Leaf area was calculated from litterfall collected using sixteen  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  litter baskets located in the footprint of the tower. To estimate species-specific contributions to leaf area index, litterfall was collected on a bi-weekly interval during the 2013 growing season and was dried, sorted by species, weighed and scanned for leaf area. Every 2 weeks during the growing seasons of 2012 and 2013, we measured the change in the DBH of the sample trees using dendrometer bands. Annual wood production ( $g \text{ C tree}^{-1}$ ) was then estimated using published allometric equations and site-specific wood C content (Ehman et al. [2002](#page-12-19)). Root mass with depth was determined in the summer of 2000 by digging  $1-m^2$  soil pits to either 1-m depth or to bedrock (whichever came first). This process was repeated in 15 plots within the footprint of the tower. Root samples were collected for varying depths and were then dried, sorted into size classes, and weighed.

## **Diagnosing isohydric as compared to anisohydric behavior**

We adopted two independent approaches for diagnosing isohydric as compared to anisohydric behavior in our study species. The first relies on observed time series of  $\Psi_s$  and  $\Psi_{\rm L}$ , which are related to the flux of water from soil to the leaf  $(J<sub>S</sub>)$  via (Whitehead et al. [1984\)](#page-13-8):

$$
J_{\rm S} = K(\Psi_{\rm S} - \Psi_{\rm L}) = K(\Delta \Psi) \tag{1}
$$

assuming that  $K$  is the whole plant hydraulic conductance, and neglecting the contribution of gravitational head losses to  $\Delta \Psi$ , which are relatively constant over weekly to monthly timescales. Following the recent synthesis of Martinez-Vilalta et al. ([2014\)](#page-12-11), the rate of change in  $\Psi_L$  relative to  $\Psi_S$  during a drought event (i.e.,  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_S$ ) tends to be close to zero in the case of isohydric species (Fig. [1a](#page-4-0), triangles), and close to or even greater than 1 for more anisohydric species (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a, squares). Here, we derive speciesspecific  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s$  from the weekly  $\Psi_L$  measurements and the concurrent estimates of  $\Psi_{s,0-50}$  inferred from the soil moisture time series. Note that in our analysis, we report slope values  $(\partial x/\partial \Psi_s)$ , where *x* represents  $\Psi_L$ , *A* or *g<sub>s</sub>*) as positive but show them as negative in figures, which results from the use of  $-\Psi_{s,0-50}$  so that movement from left to right represents a shift from wet to dry conditions (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a), consistent with changes in *D* (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)c).

A second, novel approach to diagnosing isohydric versus anisohydric behavior relies on the observations of  $g_s$ , and thus is less sensitive to biases associated with the *Ψ* measurements. Under steady-state conditions characterized by negligible boundary-layer resistance and little hydraulic capacitance,  $J<sub>S</sub>$  may be equated to the leaf-level transpiration rate  $(T_r)$ , which may be further linked to  $g_s$ via  $J_s = T_r = g_s D$  (Oren et al. [1999\)](#page-12-20). Thus, a relationship between  $g_s$ , *D*, *K*, and  $\Delta \Psi$  can be obtained:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
g_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{D} K(\Delta \Psi) \tag{2}
$$

With this relationship, we predict how three idealized scenarios for the evolution of  $\Delta \Psi$  during a drought event affect the observed  $g_s$ :

**Case 1** Perfectly isohydric response:  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s = 0$ , and the quantity  $\Delta \Psi$  decreases over the course of the drying event, reducing  $g_s$  and its sensitivity to *D* relative to wet conditions (Fig. [1c](#page-4-0), triangles).

**Case 2** Constant  $\Delta \Psi$  regulation:  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s = 1$ , and the quantity  $\Delta \Psi$  remains constant. Thus,  $g_s \sim 1/D$  for the duration of the drought event (Fig. [1c](#page-4-0), solid line).

**Case 3** Anisohydric response:  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s > 1$ , such that quantity  $\Delta \Psi$  increases with time, thereby increasing  $g_s$  and its sensitivity to *D* (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)c, squares).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>For cases 2 and 3, the hydraulic conductivity may also decrease over the course of a dry-down event as increasingly large tensions in the plant's hydraulic pathways promote xylem embolism (Sperry [2000\)](#page-13-9). If the decrease in *K* is greater than the increase in  $\Delta \Psi$ , then *g<sub>s</sub>* and its sensitivity to *D* will decrease in a manner analogous to the isohydric case (i.e., case 1). It is important to note that utility of Eq. [2](#page-3-0) is principally diagnostic. While the form of Eq. [2](#page-3-0) implies a direct dependence of  $g_s$  on  $\Delta \Psi$ , in actuality it is plant regulation of  $g_s$  that determines  $\Delta \Psi$ . Further, this approach relies on the assumption of no hydraulic capacitance, which would be invalidated if the trees rely on stored water during the day (Phillips et al. [2003;](#page-12-21) Meinzer et al. [2009\)](#page-12-22).

To quantify how the functional relationship between  $g_s$ and *D* changes over the course of the drought, gas-exchange data were extracted that met conditions defined in one of the following three classifications: (a) wet conditions, when  $\Psi_{S,0-30}$  was greater than the minimum  $\Psi_S$  typically observed during non-drought periods  $(= -0.5 \text{ MPa})$ ; (b) drought conditions when  $\Psi_{S,0-30} \leq -0.5$  MPa, including dry periods of 2011 and 2012; and (c) post-drought-conditions,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fig. 1 Theoretical expectations for the behavior of isohydric ver- ▶ sus anisohydric species over the course of a prototypical dry-down event. **a** Relationship between leaf water potential ( $\Psi$ <sub>L</sub>) and soil  $\Psi$ ( *Ψ*S) expected for isohydric behavior (*Case 1*), anisohydric behavior (*Case 3*) and an intermediate case (*Case 2*). **b** How leaf-level assimi lation (*A*) relative to wet conditions relates to  $\Psi_{S}$  for isohydric (*triangles*) and anisohydric (*squares*) plants, with *grey lines* showing the anisohydric case with 50 and 90 % loss of hydraulic conductance (*K*) occurring by the end of the dry-down. **c** How the relationship between stomatal conductance ( $g_S$ ) and vapor pressure deficit (D) is expected to change as a reflection of isohydric regulation (*triangles*), anisohydric behavior (*squares*), or the intermediate case (*solid line*) with changes in  $K$  and  $\Delta \Psi$ , which represents the difference between  $\Psi_{\rm S}$  and  $\Psi_{\rm L}$ . **d** How the relative reductions in A translate to ecosystemscale reductions in gross primary productivity (*GPP*) as the fraction of isohydric species in the canopy varies from 0 to 1, where in these simulations, the balance of species were assumed to be anisohydric plants experiencing a range of *K* sensitivity

occurring from mid-August [day of year (DOY) 220] to late September (DOY 273) of 2012. Within each class, species-specific functions of the form:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
g_s = a - bD^{-1/2}
$$
 (3)

were fit from linear regression of all available point meas urements of conductance and chamber *D*. While Eq. [2](#page-3-0) relates  $g_s$  to  $1/D$ , the more flexible form of the model of Eq. [3](#page-4-1), which also has theoretical support (Katul et al. [2009](#page-12-23)), better incorporates the mechanisms by which vari ations in *K* and  $\Delta \Psi$  can mediate the dependence of  $g_s$  on *D* (e.g., Fig. [1](#page-4-0)c); 90 % confidence intervals on the predicted *g* s for each species in each class were generated using a bootstrap approach with 1000 iterations.

#### **Linking leaf‑level**  *A* **to isohydric/anisohydric behavior**

In the case of constant water-use efficiency, isohydric regulation of ΨL promotes a negative relationship between *A* and  $\Psi_S$  over the course of the dry-down event (triangles, Fig. [1b](#page-4-0)), whereas anisohydric regulation of  $\Psi_L$  can lead to relatively constant *A* over the course of a dry-down event if the losses in *K* are minimal (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)b, squares). However, if losses in *K* are large, then the sensitivity of *A* to hydrologic limitation can be comparable to, or greater than, that associated with an isohy - dric plant (Fig. [1b](#page-4-0), grey lines). If the trends in Fig. [1](#page-4-0)b are representative of whole plant behavior, then the fractional reduc tion to total GEP can be estimated for a range of hypothetical species compositions representing a gradient of 100 % iso-hydric species to 100 % anisohydric species (Fig. [1d](#page-4-0)). When losses in *K* are minimal, the GEP of a canopy dominated by isohydric species will be more significantly reduced during a drought event (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)d, black line; hypothesis 1). However, if losses to  $K$  are large, then the relative reduction in GEP will be greater in a stand dominated by anisohydric species (Fig. [1d](#page-4-0), light-grey line; hypothesis 2).



#### **Scaling to canopy GEP**

The leaf-level gas exchange rates were scaled to a canopyscale estimate of GEP using species-specific relationships between *A* and  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  developed after dividing the data into wet, drought, and post-drought periods as described above. For each of these periods, linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the species-specific relationship between *A* and  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ . For those periods and species with a significant relationship, we then predicted daily midday *A* using the daily average of  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ . If this regression was not significant, then the mean values across the entire period were used. Once daily midday *A* values were calculated for each species, the ratio of drought (2012) versus non-drought (2013) midday *A* was determined. This relative reduction in *A* was then scaled to the ecosystem level  $(A<sub>total</sub>)$  based on the relative fraction of species-specific leaf area.

The scaling approach was assessed by comparing the scaled ratios of 2012:2013  $A<sub>total</sub>$  to the 2012:2013 ratio of tower-based estimates of GEP during the growing season. After validation, the scaling approach was used to determine the ratio of midday  $A_{total}$  in 2012:2013 across a range of hypothetical distributions in the abundance of isohydric versus anisohydric species while maintaining consistent relative contributions from each species (i.e., tulip poplar, which comprises 20 % of the leaf area of isohydric canopy dominants at MMSF, was always ~20 % of the isohydric portion).

The scaling exercise was performed using upper-canopy data. While not a focus of this manuscript, leaf-level gas exchange measurements were performed on sub-canopy leaves as well. As detailed in the supplementary information (Online Resource), the trends in *A*,  $g_s$ , and  $\Psi_L$  during the course of the drought event were similar for both canopy levels, particularly when comparing the relative change from wet conditions (Online Resource Figs. 1–6). The sub-canopy data were not explicitly incorporated into the scaling exercise due to a lack of vertical estimates of the distribution of light and species-specific leaf area. Nonetheless, as we show in the online materials, the temporal trends in the relative reductions to upper- and lower canopy gas exchange are statistically similar, and thus the results of our efforts to predict the relative, canopyaveraged declines in photosynthesis during drought as a function of species composition are not particularly sensitive to assumptions about the fraction of sunlit and shaded leaves.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the response of *A* and  $g_s$  to the reduction in  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  during the drought of 2012. The analysis was performed primarily

with Matlab and SPSS statistical software packages. Each species was tested for significance in their slope and intercept parameters and then these parameters were analyzed for differences between species and between canopy and sub-canopy data using a two-tailed *t*-test. Species-specific differences in regulation of plant water status were assessed using a regression analysis for changes in midday  $\Psi_L$  with changing  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ . Linear relationships were developed over the entire period for midday canopy samples and separately for only 2012 data. Slope parameters were then compared across species with relationships that were significantly different from zero.

# **Results**

#### **Meteorological conditions during the study period**

From late 2011 up to and including mid-2012, MMSF experienced a severe drought event that was unique in both timing and magnitude. The initial drying period started in mid-July 2011 and persisted through much of the 2012 growing season with minimum weekly  $\Psi_{S,0-30}$  averages of  $-1.4$  and  $-1.6$  MPa in 2011 and 2012, respectively (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)a). Soil dry-downs near the end of the growing season are typical at MMSF, but this particular drought event was unique in that the soil dried to a  $\Psi_{S,0-30}$  of  $-1.0$  MPa by mid-July in 2012 (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)a), which is 10 weeks earlier than that of the baseline mean (calculated from 1999 to 2010) and 6 weeks earlier than any other year. When considering soil conditions at depth (e.g.,  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ ), the observed trends were similar but the variations were smaller in magnitude as the deeper layers did not experience such a severe decline in soil moisture. Growing season *D* was also exceptionally high during the drought in 2012 (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)b). The final year of the study (2013) was characterized by wet conditions in which  $\Psi_{S,0-30}$  did not drop below a weekly mean of −0.39 MPa.

#### **Ecosystem C and water fluxes during the study period**

At the ecosystem level, the absolute magnitude of NEE was reduced to unprecedented levels during the drought (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)c). During the peak of the 2012 growing season (DOY 182–218), the absolute value of measured NEE (sum of hourly fluxes for July up to and including mid-August) in 2012 was reduced by 102 g C, or 55 %, relative to baseline (1999–2010) mean NEE (Fig. [2c](#page-6-0)). GEP and RE were also reduced by the drought (Fig. [2d](#page-6-0), e), but during the peak of the growing season, the reductions in GEP relative to the baseline mean (162 g C m<sup>-2</sup> and 40 %, respectively) exceeded reductions in RE (56 g C m<sup>-2</sup> and 24 %, respectively), leading to a smaller C sink overall.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Weekly averages of **a**  $\Psi$ <sup>S</sup><sup>*S*</sup> down to 30 cm ( $\Psi$ <sub>*S,0–30</sub>*), **b**</sub> *D*, **c** net ecosystem exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> (*NEE*), **d** gross ecosystem productivity (*GEP*), and **e** ecosystem respiration (*RE*) in 2011 (*grey dashed line*), 2012 (*black dashed line*), and 2013 (*light-grey solid line*). The *grey shaded area* shows the range of weekly averaged observations for 1999 to 2010, while the *solid black line* represents the average of these years. For other *abbreviations*, see Fig. [1](#page-4-0)



At annual time scales, the NEE for 2012 ( $-300 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ ) was ~88 % of the baseline mean  $(-348 \text{ g C m}^{-2})$ . Similarly, the annual GEP was 83 % of the baseline mean, and the annual RE was 87 % of the baseline mean. The annual GEP and RE in 2012 were the lowest in the site's 15-year history of flux measurements, though smaller annual NEE values were observed in 2009 and 2011.

The annual fluxes in 2012 were strongly affected by an abnormally early start to the growing season. The date at which weekly averaged NEE first crossed zero in 2012  $(DOY = 98)$  occurred about 3 weeks earlier than average (mean  $DOY = 118$  for the period 1999–[2](#page-6-0)010; Fig. 2c). The early growing season led to an additional 81 and 102 g C m<sup>-2</sup> of NEE and GEP from DOY 0–151, relative to the baseline mean. Factoring out the effects of the early growing season results in estimates of annual NEE and GEP in 2012 that would be 63 and 76 % of the long-term mean, respectively.

# **Temporal trends in A,**  $g_s$ **, and**  $\Psi_L$ **, and the relationship** of these variables to  $\Psi$ <sub>S</sub>

In general, the *A* of oak species was greater than the *A* of sassafras and tulip poplar, which in turn were greater than the *A* of maple (Fig. [3b](#page-7-0)). In 2011, *A* and  $g_s$  reached a maximum for all species around DOY 200 (Fig. [3b](#page-7-0), c), and then generally decreased during the growing season. During 2012, oaks maintained high  $A$  and  $g_S$  throughout most of the drought-affected growing season, while significant reductions in gas exchange rates during the drought were observed for the other species (Fig. [3b](#page-7-0), c). Large decreases in  $\Psi_L$  were observed over the course of the growing season for oak species (Fig. [3a](#page-7-0)). In the wet growing season of 2013, the magnitudes of *A*,  $g_s$ , and  $\Psi_L$ changed little with time and were more similar across species.

The slope of the relationship between  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  and  $\Psi_L$ (i.e.,  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s$ ; Fig. [4a](#page-8-0)) was only significant for oaks and tulip poplar when considering data from 2012, with stronger relationships observed for oak species (white oak,  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s = 1.31 \text{ MPa } \text{MPa}^{-1}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.79$ ,  $p \leq 0.001$ ; red oak,  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s = 1.5 \text{ MPa } \text{MPa}^{-1}$ ,  $r^2$  = 0.80,  $p \le 0.001$ ) than tulip poplar  $(\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s = 0.30 \text{ MPa } \text{MPa}^{-1}, r^2 = 0.15, p = 0.05).$ The  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s$  differed significantly among tulip poplar and both oak species (white oak,  $t = -6.94$ ,  $df = 24$ , *p* < 0.001; red oak, *t* = −8.29, *df* = 24, *p* < 0.001), but

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Time series of canopy leaf gas exchange and  $\Psi$ <sub>L</sub> measurements made from 2011 to 2013 shown as daily species averages with SE. Data for *white* oaks (*Quercus alba*) are shown in *dark-grey downward triangles*, red oaks (*Quercus rubra*) in *white triangles*, sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) in *light-grey squares*, tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*) in *grey diamonds* and sassafras (*Sassafras albidum*) in *black circles*. **a** Midday  $\Psi_L$ , **b** *A* measured at ambient conditions, **c** calculated *g*s during those periods. For other *abbreviations*, see Fig. [1](#page-4-0)



not between oak species ( $t = -1.39$ ,  $df = 22$ ,  $p = 0.18$ ). Similar trends were observed when data from all years were included as well as when analyzing sub-canopy data (Online Resource Fig. 5).

There were significant relationships between  $\psi_{S,0-50}$  and *A* during the 2012 drought for all study species except white oak (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)b). A strong reduction in *A* per unit reduction in  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  was observed for tulip poplar ( $\partial A/\partial \Psi_s = 5.9$  μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>,  $r^2 = 0.69$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ). The slope parameter  $\partial A/\partial \Psi_s$  was smaller but still significant for sugar maple and sassafras (sugar maple,  $\partial A/\partial \Psi_s = 3.79$  µmol

CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>,  $r^2 = 0.62$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ; sassafras,  $\partial A/\partial \Psi_s = 3.33 \text{ \mu mol } CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1}, r^2 = 0.57,$  $p = 0.012$ ). The  $\partial A/\partial \Psi_s$  associated with red oaks was relatively small  $(\partial A/\partial \Psi_s = 2.07 \text{ \mu mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1},$  $r^2 = 0.62$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ). Similarly,  $g_s$  declined significantly with declining  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  in all species but oaks (Fig. [4c](#page-8-0)). The greatest declines in  $g_s$  with decreasing  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  were observed for tulip poplar  $(\partial g_S/\partial \Psi_S = 0.12 \text{ mol H}_2\Omega$  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>,  $r^2 = 0.85$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The slope parameter ∂*g<sub>s</sub>*/∂Ψ<sub>S</sub> was not as great for maple and sassafras  $\text{(sugar maple, } \partial g_s / \partial \Psi_S = 0.035 \text{ mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1},$ 



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig.** 4 The relationships between  $\Psi_L$  (a), *A* (b), and  $g_s$  (c) with  $\Psi_s$ down to 50 cm ( $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ ).  $\Psi_L$  are from the entire growing season of 2012 while  $A$  and  $g_s$  data are from only the drought period of that year. Significant linear relationships between  $\Psi_L$  and  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$  were observed for tulip poplar (slope  $= 0.3$ ,  $P = 0.05$ ), white oak  $(slope = 1.3, P < 0.001)$  and red oak  $(slope = 1.5, P < 0.001)$ , but not for sassafras or sugar maple (*lines* represent mean values). Note that these slopes are reported as positive but appear negative due to the *x*-axis showing  $-\Psi_{S,0-50}$ . Linear relationships between *A* with  $\Psi_{S,0-50}$ were found for sassafras ( $P = 0.01$ ), sugar maple ( $P = 0.007$ ), tulip poplar ( $P = 0.003$ ) and red oak ( $P = 0.01$ ), while the relationships between  $g_s$  with  $\Psi_{s,0-50}$  were only found for sassafras ( $P = 0.005$ ), sugar maple ( $P = 0.005$ ) and tulip poplar ( $P < 0.001$ ). For other *abbreviations*, see Figs. [1](#page-4-0) and [3](#page-7-0)

 $r^2 = 0.65, p = 0.005$ ; sassafras,  $\partial g_s / \partial \Psi_s = 0.082$  mol H<sub>2</sub>O  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>,  $r^2 = 0.65$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ). These parameters were also computed for the sub-canopy data and show generally similar trends (Online Resource Figs. 1–6).

## **Temporal variation in the relationship between**  $g<sub>s</sub>$ **and** *D*

The relationship between  $g_s$  and *D* (i.e., Eq. [3](#page-4-1) parameterized from observations) was similar throughout the study period for sassafras and maple (Fig. [5a](#page-9-0), b), but a clear departure in the relationship between  $g_s$  and *D* during the peak of the 2012 drought period was observed for tulip poplar (Fig. [5c](#page-9-0)) and oak species (Fig. [5d](#page-9-0)). Relative to wet conditions (Fig. [5,](#page-9-0) triangles), the magnitude of tulip poplar  $g_s$ , and its sensitivity to *D*, decreased significantly during the drought period (Fig. [5c](#page-9-0), grey squares). For example, at a reference  $D = 2$  kPa, the  $g_s$ of tulip poplar declined from 0.15 mmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  during wet conditions to 0.1 mmol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> during the drought period (Fig. [5c](#page-9-0)). In contrast, at a reference *D* of 2 kPa,  $g_s$  of oak species increased from 0.205 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during wet conditions to 0.235 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during the peak of the drought. During the post-drought period (i.e., mid-August up to and including September 2012), the magnitude of  $g_s$  was lower than that observed during wet conditions for both tulip poplar and oaks (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)c, d, circles).

#### **Woody C increment**

A trend toward reduced wood C accumulation in 2012 as compared to 2013 was observed in all species (Table [1](#page-9-1)), though due to a limited sample size the effect was only significant at the 95 % confidence level for tulip poplar, with an average of 76 % less C accumulation in 2012 than 2013  $(df = 2, t = -5.496, p = 0.032)$ . This compares with an average reduction of 52 % for sassafras  $(p = 0.121)$  and 44 % for maple ( $p = 0.059$ ). The oaks were associated with the lowest reduction with an average decrease in wood C increment of just over 30 % ( $p = 0.083$ ).

# **Scaling**

During the drought period, the ratio of midday  $A_{total}$  in 2012 to 2013 derived from species-specific relationships between *A* and  $\Psi_S$  was validated by the high correlation with the 2012:2013 ratio of total daily GEP derived from eddy covariance measurements (Fig. [6a](#page-10-0);  $r^2 = 0.62$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). The estimate of  $A_{total}$  derived by classifying species as either anisohydric (i.e., oaks) or isohydric (i.e., maples) reveals that, in a hypothetical forest comprising 100 % anisohydric species that functional similarly to the MMSF oaks, the total growing season GEP in a drought year (e.g., 2012) is statistically unchanged from its value in a wet year (e.g., 2013). Conversely, in a hypothetical forest comprising 100 % isohydric species, the GEP in a dry year is 81 % of its value in a wet year (Fig. [6b](#page-10-0)).



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig.** 5a–d The relationship between  $g_s$  and *D*, as determined by parameterizing the model of Eq. [3](#page-4-1) using data from wet conditions (*white triangles* with *black lines*), data from the peak of the drought period (June–July 2012; *light-grey squares* and *lines*), and data from the post-drought period (mid-August to September 2012; *dark-grey circles* and *lines*); 90 % confidence intervals (*dotted lines*) were gen-

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 1** Total wood biomass C production during 2012 and 2013 as measured using dendrometer bands and allometric equations

<b>Species</b>	Wood C growth $(kg C)$		Drought induced
		2012 (Drought) 2013 (Average)	$%$ reduction
<b>Sassafras</b>	3.29	7.23	51.63
Sugar maple	8.19	14.57	43.77
Tulip poplar	4.53	18.96	76.03
Oak (both spe- cies)	12.11	16.39	32.76

Data shown are averages of the three individuals of each species and the percent reduction was calculated for each individual and then averaged for each species

# **Discussion**

We leveraged long-term data sets from a deciduous hardwood forest to investigate species- and ecosystem-level C cycling during a drought event that was one of the most severe in the historical record (Mallya et al. [2013](#page-12-24); Hoerling et al. [2014;](#page-12-25) Wang et al. [2014](#page-13-10)), but nonetheless may be representative of meteorological events that are expected to be more common in the future (Dai [2011](#page-12-26)). The drought event had significant impacts on GEP, tree growth, and the size of the forest C sink. In particular, the reductions in GEP and NEE (on the order of 55 and 40 %, respectively; Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0) represent climate-change feedbacks which, when they

erated using a bootstrap. For reference, the *thick black line* shows the quantity 1/*D*, normalized so that it intersects the wet-condition response at  $D = 2$  kPa. This analysis treats observations from the red and white oaks as one collective functional group, as preliminary analysis suggested that the response of  $g_s$  to  $D$  did not differ significantly among the two species. For *abbreviations*, see Fig. [1](#page-4-0)

occur over large spatial scales, can accelerate the pace of climate change by reducing the size of the terrestrial  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sink.

The response of GEP to hydrologic limitation depends on the distribution of isohydric and anisohydric species in the forest canopy. Typically anisohydric behavior was observed in the oak trees on the basis of two complimentary approaches. First,  $\Psi_L$  decreased more rapidly than  $\Psi_S$ among oak species (i.e.,  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s > 1$ ; Fig. [4a](#page-8-0)), consistent with the characterization of anisohydric trees presented by Martinez-Vilalta et al.  $(2014)$  $(2014)$ ; second, the magnitude of  $g_s$ at a given *D*, and the sensitivity of  $g_s$  to *D*, increased during the course of the drought for oak species (Fig. [5d](#page-9-0)), which is consistent with the predictions for anisohydric behavior (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)c). The drought response of other species was more isohydric, with  $\Psi_L$  regulated to a relatively narrow range (i.e., smaller  $\partial \Psi_L / \partial \Psi_s$ ; Fig. [4](#page-8-0)a). Furthermore, relationships between  $g_s$  and *D* for the non-oak species were more consistent with predictions for isohydric or intermediate behavior (i.e., static or decreasing sensitivity of  $g_s$  to *D*, compare Fig. [1](#page-4-0)c to Fig. [5a](#page-9-0)–c).

Trends in the drought response of wood C increment generally followed the observed trends in  $g_s$  and  $A$  across species, with the smallest reduction observed in oaks and the greatest reduction observed in tulip poplar (Table [1](#page-9-1)). Importantly, these short-term growth responses to drought appear to mirror decadal responses observed at the MMSF to chronic water stress. A reduction in water availability



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Results of the scaling exercise. **a** Comparison of the ratio of 2012:2013 GEP (*filled triangles*) and the upscaled analogue (i.e., *A*total) derived from species-specific relationships (*filled circles*), and the more generic relationships representative of isohydric or anisohydric species (*open circles*). *Data points* represent weekly means with *error bars* representing SEM. **a** *Inset* correlation between GEP and  $A_{total}$  ratios during the drought period (weeks 19–29). **b** Predicted ratio of 2012:2013  $A_{total}$  for a range of hypothetical species compositions reflecting increasing dominance of isohydric species, with the balance comprising anisohydric species. The *shaded area* represents SE as calculated by adjusting daily *A* values by 1 SE (in slope or mean depending on significance of regression) before scaling to  $A_{\text{total}}$ using leaf area values. For *abbreviations*, see Figs. [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-6-0)

over the decadal scale led to less wood growth in sassafras, tulip poplar, and maple, but a very minor reduction observed in oak (Brzostek et al. [2014\)](#page-12-27). Coupled with the work presented here, these results suggest that differences in the leaf-level drought responses of the canopy can impact long-term ecosystem C storage.

These results confirm the predictions of hypothesis 1, and suggest that the large stand-scale reductions in the absolute magnitude of NEE and GEP, and consequently primary productivity, would likely have been larger if it were not for the resilience of the anisohydric oaks to drought. Across varying fractions of isohydric:anisohydric

species, we show that the ratio of total canopy assimilation in a drought year (2012) relative to a wet year (2013) varied from 0.81 in the case of a canopy comprising 100 % isohydric species, to 1.0 in the case of a canopy comprising 100 % anisohydric species (Fig. [6b](#page-10-0)).

# **The role of hydraulic function in determining species‑specific drought response**

Oaks have long been recognized to be more drought tolerant that other deciduous tree species (Abrams [1990;](#page-12-28) Dickson and Tomlinson [1996;](#page-12-29) Meinzer et al. [2013\)](#page-12-30). Nonetheless, it is surprising that the observed *A* and  $g_s$  of oaks were essentially unchanged over the course of this severe drought event, though such an outcome is not without precedent (Thomsen et al. [2013](#page-13-4)). Here, we attribute this result to the anisohydric behavior observed in oak species, which we reiterate was confirmed using two complimentary but independent approaches. An alternative explanation for static gas exchange rates during drought relates to rooting depth, which is often observed to be lower for oaks relative to other species (Abrams [1990](#page-12-28)) and thus could permit access to deep soil water. Vertical root distribution profiles at MMSF reveal that over 85 % of the root biomass is contained within the top 50 cm of the soil (i.e., the depth over which soil moisture was monitored; Online Resource Fig. 7), and previous research at the MMSF has shown that annual wood growth as well as its phenology is highly correlated with soil water content in the top 30 cm (Brzostek et al. [2014\)](#page-12-27). Nonetheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that oak trees have access to deeper water pools on the basis of existing root biomass measurements alone.

Access to deeper water pools during a drought event would minimize reductions in the integrated  $\Psi_s$  available to oaks. In the absence of large reductions in *K*, higher  $\Psi_s$ would require a less negative  $\Psi_L$  to maintain the same flux of water through the stem (i.e., Eq. [1](#page-3-1)); however, in these data we observe the opposite response (i.e., more negative  $\Psi_L$  for oaks as compared to other species). Furthermore, pre-dawn *Ψ*<sub>L</sub> (data not shown), which are often surrogated by *Ψ*<sub>S</sub> (Ritchie and Hinckley [1975](#page-13-11); Richter [1997](#page-12-31)) was relatively low for oak species  $(-0.77 \pm 0.27 \text{ MPa})$  in the 2012 drought when compared to maples and tulip poplars  $(-0.16 \pm 0.05$  and  $-0.54 \pm 0.03$ , respectively). These data suggest that, on average, the oaks had access to *less* soil water than the other trees; however, this conclusion should be interpreted within the context of processes known to prevent equilibrium between pre-dawn  $\Psi_L$  and  $\Psi_S$ , including nocturnal transpiration and refilling of stored water (Bucci et al. [2004](#page-12-32)).

In summary, the results suggest that for the oak species, the reward of adopting an anisohydric drought response

strategy was worth the risk of reduced water transport capacity, at least with respect to this particular drought event. The relatively low oak  $g_s$  observed at the end of the drought period (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)d) may indicate that some damage to the hydraulic architecture occurred, and our data are not sufficient to assess the extent to which rapid cavitation and refilling of the xylem may have occurred. However, treescale estimates of *K* derived by inverting Eq. [1](#page-3-1) were only marginally reduced during the drought period for white oak (Online Resource Fig. 8; slope =  $-0.016$  mmol H<sub>2</sub>O  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), and not any other species. These data, and the static  $g_s$  rates observed for the oak trees over the course of the drought period (i.e., Fig. [4c](#page-8-0)), which has also been confirmed with sap flux records of tree water uptake during the study period (data not shown), would suggest that catastrophic xylem failure did not occur. Nonetheless, we recognize that an important area for future research would be to examine how these strategies impact survival during even more severe or prolonged drought events, and whether these strategies impose any lag on C gain in subsequent years due to depletion of C reserves for vascular tissue repair.

# **Implications for stand‑scale C dynamics under future land‑use and climate regimes**

When interpreted in the context of regional forest dynamics, our results have important implications. Owing to a number of factors, but most notably fire-suppression activities (Nowacki and Abrams [2008](#page-12-2)), the fractional composition of oaks in eastern deciduous forests, which historically exceeded 50 %, has been declining for decades (Abrams [2003](#page-12-0)). Our results show that, owing to their anisohydric hydraulic regulation strategy, oaks were more resilient to the severe 2011–2012 drought. The anisohydric tendencies of oak species could be viewed as a factor that may mitigate the pace of oak decline, particularly in a future characterized by increasingly frequent drought events. On the other hand, if the fractional composition of oak continues to decrease, then the results of our scaling exercise predict that the magnitude of the regional C sink could decrease, particularly during drought events, if oaks are replaced with more isohydric species (Fig. [6b](#page-10-0)). The anisohydric strategy of oaks generally results in more overall water use than isohydric species, which could have major impacts on the hydrological cycle and water resource availability downstream (van der Molen et al. [2011\)](#page-13-12); while these impacts are not the focus of this manuscript, they represent an important area of future research.

The applicability of our mechanistic framework (e.g., Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0) relies on the extent to which plant species may be placed along a continuum of isohydric to anisohydric hydraulic regulation strategies. One useful metric for quantifying the degree of isohydry is the hydraulic safety margin (Meinzer et al. [2009;](#page-12-22) Choat et al. [2012](#page-12-8); Johnson et al. [2012](#page-12-33)), which represents the difference between minimum midday stem  $\Psi$  and the stem  $\Psi$  at which 50 % loss of *K* occurs ( $\Psi$ <sub>x,50</sub>). In the absence of information about the hydraulic safety margin, the  $\Psi_{x,50}$  alone may be a useful proxy, as it is directly correlated to the safety margin (see Choat et al. [2012\)](#page-12-8). Among the most common tree species in the Eastern US, a wide range of safety margins and  $\Psi_{x,50}$  have been observed (Online resource Table 1). However, oak species are clearly associated with the lowest safety margins and  $\Psi_{x,50}$ , and thus represent the predominant anisohydric species in Eastern US forests. Among the most isohydric species are the southern pines (*Pinus taeda, Pinus echinata;* Online resource Table 1). The dynamics of southern pine forests at the landscape scale are also highly non-stationary and characterized by a growing fractional cover of southern pine forests to meet growing timber demand for pulpwood (Wear and Greis [2012](#page-13-1)). The framework of Fig. [1d](#page-4-0), when applied at the landscape scale to assess the effect of increasing fraction of isohydric pine forests on regional C dynamics, would suggest a more vulnerable C sink in a future climate regime characterized by great drought frequency/severity, confirming results from recent work (Stoy et al. [2008](#page-13-13); Novick et al., in press). In any event, applicability of the framework presented here, and other mechanistic models that link gas exchange to plant hydraulic characteristics, benefits greatly from the growing knowledge base of plant hydraulic characteristics contained in synthesis studies (Meinzer et al. [2009](#page-12-22); Choat et al. [2012;](#page-12-8) Manzoni et al. [2013](#page-12-9)) and plant-trait data bases (Kattge et al. [2011](#page-12-34)).

**Author contribution statement** D. T. R. performed the data collection and analysis and wrote portions of the manuscript; K. N. guided the data analysis and wrote portions of the manuscript; D. D. and F. R. designed the experiments and guided the preliminary analysis; R. P. and E. B. guided the data analysis and provided editorial advice.

**Acknowledgments** We would like to acknowledge the contributions of HaPe Schmid, Sue Grimmond, J. C. Randolph, Steve Scott and the MMSF field crew to the establishment and operation of the MMSF Ameriflux site. We thank the Indiana Department of Natural Resources for supporting and hosting the MMSF AmeriFlux site, and the US Department of Energy, through the Terrestrial Ecosystem Science Program and the AmeriFlux Management Project through the Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, for funding the project. Special thanks to Rob Conover, Bo Stearman, Whitney Moore, and Brenten Reust for their help in data collection and processing, and to Benjamin Sulman for comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

### **References**

- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Abrams MD (1990) Adaptations and responses to drought in *Quercus* species of North America. Tree Physiol 7(1–4):227–238
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Abrams MD (2003) Where has all the white oak gone? Bioscience 53(10):927–939
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Brzostek EB, Dragoni D, Schmid HP, Rahman AF, Sims D, Wayson CA, Johnson DJ, Phillips RP (2014) Chronic water stress reduces tree growth and the carbon sink of deciduous hardwood forests. Glob Change Biol 20(8):2531–2539
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Bucci SJ, Scholz FG, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC, Hinojosa JA, Hoffmann WA, Franco AC (2004) Processes preventing nocturnal equilibration between leaf and soil water potential in tropical savanna woody species. Tree Physiol 24(10):1119–1127
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Choat B, Jansen S, Brodribb TJ, Cochard H, Delzon S, Bhaskar R, Bucci SJ, Feild TS, Gleason SM, Hacke UG et al (2012) Global convergence in the vulnerability of forests to drought. Nature 491(7426):752–755
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Dai AG (2011) Characteristics and trends in various forms of the Palmer drought severity index during 1900–2008. J Geophys Res Atmos 116:D12
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Dickson RE, Tomlinson PT (1996) Oak growth, development and carbon metabolism in response to water stress. Ann Sci For 53(2–3):181–196
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Dragoni D, Schmid HP, Wayson CA, Potter H, Grimmond CSB, Randolph JC (2011) Evidence of increased net ecosystem productivity associated with a longer vegetated season in a deciduous forest in south-central Indiana, USA. Glob Change Biol 17(2):886–897
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Ehman JL, Schmid HP, Grimmond CSB, Randolph JC, Hanson PJ, Wayson CA, Cropley FD (2002) An initial intercomparison of micrometeorological and ecological inventory estimates of carbon exchange in a mid-latitude deciduous forest. Glob Change Biol 8(6):575–589
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Flatley WT, Lafon CW, Grissino-Mayer HD, LaForest LB (2013) Fire history, related to climate and land use in three southern Appalachian landscapes in the eastern United States. Ecol Appl 23(6):1250–1266
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Ford CR, Laseter SH, Swank WT, Vose JM (2011) Can forest management be used to sustain water-based ecosystem services in the face of climate change? Ecol Appl 21(6):2049–2067
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Hanson PJ, Todd DE, Huston MA, Joslin JD, Croker J, Augé RM (1998) Description and field performance of the Walker Branch Throughfall Displacement Experiment: 1993–1996, ORNL/ TM-13586. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Hoerling M, Eischeid J, Kumar A, Leung R, Mariotti A, Mo K, Schubert S, Seager R (2014) Causes and predictability of the 2012 Great Plains drought. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 95:269–282
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Huntington TG (2006) Evidence for intensification of the global water cycle: review and synthesis. J Hydrol 319(1–4):83–95
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Johnson DM, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR, Meinzer FC (2012) Hydraulic safety margins and embolism reversal in stems and leaves: why are conifers and angiosperms so different? Plant Sci 195:48–53
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>Kattge J, Diaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice C, Leadley P, Bonisch G, Garnier E, Westoby M, Reich PB, Wright IJ et al (2011) TRY—a global database of plant traits. Glob Change Biol 17(9):2905–2935
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Katul GG, Palmroth S, Oren R (2009) Leaf stomatal responses to vapour pressure deficit under current and CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere explained by the economics of gas exchange. Plant Cell Environ 32(8):968–979
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Klein T (2014) The variability of stomatal sensitivity to leaf water potential across tree species indicates a continuum between isohydric and anisohydric behaviours. Funct Ecol. doi[:10.1111/1365-2435.12289](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12289)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Laseter SH, Ford CR, Vose JM, Swift LW (2012) Long-term temperature and precipitation trends at the Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory, Otto, North Carolina, USA. Hydrol Res 43(6):890–901
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Leach JE, Woodhead T, Day W (1982) Bias in pressure chamber measurements of leaf water potential. Agric Meteorol 27:257–263
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Mallya G, Zhao L, Song XC, Niyogi D, Govindaraju RS (2013) 2012 Midwest drought in the United States. J Hydrol Eng 18(7):737–745
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Manzoni S, Vico G, Katul G, Palmroth S, Jackson RB, Porporato A (2013) Hydraulic limits on maximum plant transpiration and the emergence of the safety-efficiency trade-off. New Phytol 198(1):169–178
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Martinez-Vilalta J, Poyatos R, Aguade D, Retana J, Mencuccini M (2014) A new look at water transport regulation in plants. New Phytol. doi:[10.1111/nph.12912](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nph.12912)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, Kolb T, Plaut J, Sperry J, West A, Williams DG, Yepez EA (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? New Phytol 178:719–739
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>McEwan RW, Dyer JM, Pederson N (2011) Multiple interacting ecosystem drivers: toward an encompassing hypothesis of oak forest dynamics across eastern North America. Ecography 34(2):244–256
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Meinzer FC, Johnson DM, Lachenbruch B, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR (2009) Xylem hydraulic safety margins in woody plants: coordination of stomatal control of xylem tension with hydraulic capacitance. Funct Ecol 23(5):922–930
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Eissenstat DM, Lin HS, Adams TS, McCulloh KA (2013) Above- and belowground controls on water use by trees of different wood types in an eastern US deciduous forest. Tree Physiol 33(4):345–356
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Marias DE, McColloh KA, Sevanto S (2014) Dynamics of leaf water relations components in cooccuring iso- and anisohydric conifer species. Plant Cell Environ 37:2577–2587
- Novick KA, Oishi AC, Ward EJ, Siqueira MBS, Juang JY, Stoy PC (in press) Inter-annual variability in the biosphere-atmosphere exchange of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  in adjacent pine and hardwood forests: links to drought, rising  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and seasonality
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Nowacki GJ, Abrams MD (2008) The demise of fire and "mesophication" of forests in the eastern United States. Bioscience 58(2):123–138
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>O'Gorman PA, Schneider T (2009) The physical basis for increases in precipitation extremes in simulations of 21st-century climate change. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106(35):14773–14777
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Oren R, Sperry JS, Katul GG, Pataki DE, Ewers BE, Phillips N, Schafer KVR (1999) Survey and synthesis of intra- and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit. Plant Cell Environ 22(12):1515–1526
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Phillips NG, Ryan MG, Bond BJ, McDowell NG, Hinckley TM, Cermak J (2003) Reliance on stored water increases with tree size in three species in the Pacific Northwest. Tree Physiol 23(4):237–245
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Plaut JA, Yepez EA, Hill J, Pangle R, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, McDowell NG (2012) Hydraulic limits preceding mortality in a pinon-juniper woodland under experimental drought. Plant Cell Environ 35:1601–1617
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Quero JL, Sterck FJ, Martinez-Vilalta J, Villar R (2011) Water-use strategies of six co-existing Mediterranean woody species during a summer drought. Oecologia 166:45–57
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Richter H (1997) Water relations of plants in the field: some comments on the measurement of selected parameters. J Exp Bot 87:1287–1299
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Ritchie GA, Hinckley TM (1975) The pressure chamber as an instrument for ecological research. Adv Ecol Res 9:165–254
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Schmid HP, Grimmond CSB, Cropley F, Offerle B, Su HB (2000) Measurements of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and energy fluxes over a mixed hardwood forest in the mid-western United States. Agric For Meteorol 103(4):357–374
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Shifley S (2012) The Northern Forest Futures Project: examining past, present, and future trends affecting forests in and around the central hardwood forest regionq. In Miller GW, Schuler TM, Gottschalk KW, Brooks JR, Grushecky ST, Spong BD, Rentch JS (eds) 18th Central Hardwood Forest Conference, Morgantown, WV. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Sperry JS (2000) Hydraulic constraints on plant gas exchange. Agric For Meteorol 104(1):13–23
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Stoy PC, Katul GG, Siqueira MBS, Juang JY, Novick KA, McCarthy HR, Oishi AC, Oren R (2008) Role of vegetation in determining carbon sequestration along ecological succession in the southeastern United States. Glob Change Biol 14(6):1409–1427
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Taneda H, Sperry JS (2008) A case-study of water transport in cooccurring ring- versus diffuse-porous trees: contrasts in waterstatus, conducting capacity, cavitation and vessel refilling. Tree Physiol 28(11):1641–1651
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Thomsen JE, Bohrer G, Matheny AM, Ivanov VY, He LL, Renninger HJ, Schafer KVR (2013) Contrasting hydraulic strategies during dry soil conditions in *Quercus rubra* and *Acer rubrum* in a sandy site in Michigan. Forests 4(4):1106–1120
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Turner NC, Long MJ (1980) Errors arising from rapid water loss in the measurement of leaf water potential by pressure chamber technique. Aust J Plant Physiol 7:527–637
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Tyree MT, Sperry JS (1988) Do woody-plants operate near the point of catastrophic xylem dysfunction caused by dynamic waterstress—answers from a model. Plant Physiol 88(3):574–580
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Van der Molen MK, Dolmana AJ, Ciais P, Eglinc T, Gobrond N, Lawe BE, Meirf P, Petersb W, Phillips OL, Reichsteinh M, Chena T, Dekkeri SC, Doubkov M, Friedl MA, Jungh M, van den Hurkl BJJM, de Jeua RAM, Kruijtm B, Ohtan T, Rebeli KT, Plummero S, Seneviratnep SI, Sitchg S, Teulingp AJ, van der Werfa GR, Wanga G (2011) Drought and ecosystem carbon cycling. Agric For Meteorol 151:765–773
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Wang H, Schubert S, Koster R, Ham YG, Suarez M (2014) On the role of SST in the 2011 and 2012 extreme US heat and drought: a study in contrasts. J Hydrometeorol 15:1255–1273
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Wayson CA, Randolph JC, Hanson PJ, Grimmond CSB, Schmid HP (2006) Comparison of soil respiration methods in a mid-latitude deciduous forest. Biogeochemistry 80(2):173–189
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Wear DN, Greis JG (2012) In: Report GT (ed) The Southern Forest Futures Project: summary report. USDA Forest Service, Asheville, NC
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Whitehead D, Edwards WRN, Jarvis PG (1984) Conducting sapwood area, foliage area, and permeability in mature trees of *Picea sitchensis* and *Pinus contorta*. Can J For Res-Rev Can Rech For 14(6):940–947