Regularity preservation in Kolmogorov equations for non-Lipschitz coefficients under Lyapunov conditions

Martin Chak[1]

Abstract

Given global Lipschitz continuity and differentiability of high enough order on the coefficients in Itô's equation, differentiability of associated semigroups, existence of twice differentiable solutions to Kolmogorov equations and weak convergence rates of order one for numerical approximations are known. In this work and against the counterexamples of Hairer et al. (Ann Probab 43(2):468–527, https://doi.org/10.1214/13-AOP838, 2015), the drift and diffusion coefficients having Lipschitz constants that are $o(\log V)$ and $o(\sqrt{\log V})$ respectively for a function V satisfying $(\partial_t + L)V \leq CV$ is shown to be a generalizing condition in place of global Lipschitz continuity for the above.

1 Introduction

Let $b : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \sigma : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let W_t be a standard Wiener process on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the stochastic differential equation (SDE) on $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t. \tag{1}$$

This paper concerns the case where the coefficients b and σ are not globally Lipschitz continuous in space, but are only locally Lipschitz. The main contributions in this work are the existence of twice differentiable-in-space solutions to the associated Kolmogorov equations [1] and order one weak error estimates [2–4] of suitable explicit numerical approximations to (1). These results are obtained by first proving moment bounds of derivatives of X_t with respect to initial condition. Subsequently, the

✉ Martin Chak
  martin.chak@sorbonne-universite.fr

[1] LJLL, Sorbonne Université, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

260 Springer

M. Chak

estimates are used to validate an Itô–Alekseev–Gröbner formula [5] and differentiability of semigroups associated with (1), which are then used to prove the announced results. Similar moment bounds on the first and second derivative with respect to initial value in the non-globally Lipschitz case have recently appeared in [6] under different assumptions. Related ideas for non-globally Lipschitz coefficients had appeared earlier in [7–9] for obtaining local Lipschitz continuity in initial value, strong numerical convergence rates and strong (p-)completeness.

More specifically, in this paper we will show that the aforementioned results hold true under conditions where b, σ do not necessarily satisfy the globally monotonicity assumption [8, equation (3)]. Our main assumptions are that higher derivatives of b, σ are bounded by Lyapunov functions and loosely that b and σ admit local Lipschitz constants which are $o(\log V)$ and $o(\sqrt{\log V})$ respectively for a Lyapunov function V. The results are applicable to all of the example SDEs presented in [10, Section 4], with the exception of those in Sect. 4.7. In particular, for the first time, weak numerical convergence rates of order one are shown for these example SDEs. The convergence rates are obtained using the stopped increment-tamed Euler–Maruyama schemes of [10].

In contribution to regularity analysis of SDEs, the results provide new criteria for regularity of semigroups associated to solutions of (1). Previously, this regularity was known in cases of globally Lipschitz [1] or monotone coefficients [11], or hypoellipticity [12, Proposition 4.18]. On the other hand, Hairer et al. [12] presented remarkable counterexample SDEs, which do not have such regularity properties, even when the SDE has globally bounded smooth coefficients. More concretely, one counterexample is given by (1) with

$$n = 3, \quad b(t, x) = (\cos(x_3 \cdot \exp(x_2^3)), 0, 0),$$
$$\sigma_{2,1} = \sqrt{2}, \quad \sigma_{i,j} = 0 \; \forall (i, j) \neq (2, 1). \tag{2}$$

Denoting X_t^x to be the unique (up to indistinguishability) solution to this SDE with $X_0^x = x$, Theorem 3.1 in [12] asserts that there exists an infinitely differentiable and compactly supported $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t^x)]$ fails to even be locally Hölder continuous for any $t > 0$. The counterexamples stand in contrast to more classical results in the globally Lipschitz/monotone case as referenced above. Further counterexamples have also been recently established in [13].

In the following Theorem 1, we do not assume that the coefficients b and σ are globally bounded. Note however that, as announced, the coefficients are assumed to satisfy local Lipschitz bounds. Our basic result about semigroup differentiability can be summarized as in Theorem 1 below.

Theorem 1 *Suppose there exists* $V : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *twice continuously differentiable in space, continuously differentiable in time and constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\partial_t V(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t, x) \partial_{x_i} V(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\sigma(t, x)\sigma(t, x)^\top)_{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} V(t, x)$$
$$\leq C V(t, x) \tag{3}$$

📚 Springer

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(t, x) = \infty$. Let $f, c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose $b(t, \cdot), \sigma(t, \cdot), f(t, \cdot), g, c(t, \cdot) \in C^p$. Moreover, suppose

- there exists measurable $G : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $G(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for any t, $G(t, \cdot) = o(\log V(t, \cdot))$ uniformly in t and such that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \le (G(t, x) + G(t, y))|x - y|, \tag{4a}$$

$$\|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)\|^2 \le (G(t, x) + G(t, y))|x - y|^2 \tag{4b}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- for every $k > 0$, $h \in \{b, f, g, c\}$, there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial^\alpha h(t, \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)\right| + \|\partial^\beta \sigma(t, \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)\|^2$$
$$\le C'(1 + V(t, x) + V(t, y))^{\frac{1}{k}} \tag{5}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and multiindices α, β with $p_0 \le |\alpha| \le p$, $2 \le |\beta| \le p$, where $p_0 = 2$ if $h = b$ and $p_0 = 0$ otherwise.

For any $s \in [0, T]$ and stopping time $\tau \le T - s$, the expectation of $u(s, \tau, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathbb{E}[u(s, \tau, x)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\tau f(s + r, X_r^{s,x})e^{-\int_0^r c(s+w, X_w^{s,x})dw}dr + g(X_\tau^{s,x})e^{-\int_0^\tau c(s+w, X_w^{s,x})dw}\right], \tag{6}$$

is continuously differentiable in x up to order p, where for any $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $X^{s,x}$ is the solution to $X_t^{s,x} = x + \int_0^t b(s + r, X_v^{s,x})dr + \int_0^t \sigma(s + r, X_r^{s,x})dW_r$ on $t \in [0, T - s]$. Moreover, if $p \ge 2$, then the function given by $v(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[u(t, T - t, x)]$ is locally Lipschitz in t and satisfies

$$\partial_t v + a : D^2 v + b \cdot \nabla v - cv + f = 0 \tag{7}$$

almost everywhere in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where $a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma^\top$, D^2 denotes the Hessian matrix and $a : D^2 = Tr(aD^2)$.

Theorem 1 follows as corollary to Theorems 11 and 14. Under slightly stronger assumptions, the Kolmogorov Eq. (7) is solved in the classical sense in Theorem 15. For simplicity, the Lyapunov function V in Theorem 1 has been made independent of k appearing in (5). In the more detailed Theorems 11 and 14, this assumption is relaxed so that V may depend on k. In particular, this allows us to easily determine that indeed we have a generalization of the globally Lipschitz case as in [1, Section 5.3]: for example if $n = 1$ (higher dimensions following similarly), take $V = V_k(x) = x^{2km}$ for some large enough $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since any globally Lipschitz b, σ are at most linearly growing, the Lyapunov property is readily verified. The rest of the conditions are then

not stronger than those in [1]. A discussion of Theorem 1 with regard to the results of [12] is given in Sect. 1.1.

In addition, the case that b, σ are assumed to be time homogeneous with locally Lipschitz derivatives up to order p and with

$$\sum_i |\partial_i b(x)| + \|\partial_i \sigma(x)\|^2 \leq G(x),$$

$$|\partial^\alpha h(t, x)| + \|\partial^\beta \sigma(x)\|^2 \leq C'(1 + V(t, x))^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

replacing (4a), (4b) and (5) is considered in Sect. 5. In particular, Theorems 18, 19, 20 show that the conclusions of Theorem 1 hold and the Kolmogorov Eq. (7) is solved in a classical sense under this setting. These results appear to be the only ones in the literature about twice differentiable-in-space solutions to Kolmogorov equations outside hypoelliptic, elliptic diffusion or globally Lipschitz/monotone settings.

In the same vein as the counterexamples for regularity preservation, the authors of [12] present a counterexample SDE where the Euler–Maruyama approximation suffers from the lack of polynomial convergence rates. Namely, Theorem 5.1 in [12] shows that there exists a globally bounded smooth pair b, σ such that $\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} |\mathbb{E}[X_t] - \mathbb{E}[Y_t^\delta]|/\delta^\alpha = \infty$ for any $\alpha > 0$, where X_t denotes the solution to (1) with $X_0 = 0$ and Y_t^δ denotes its Euler–Maruyama approximation with stepsize δ. The next result provides general conditions where numerically weak convergence rates of order 1 may be established outside the classical globally Lipschitz [2] and monotone [4, 14] cases.

Theorem 2 *Let all of the assumptions in Theorem 1 hold with $p \geq 3$. Suppose b, σ are independent of t and suppose V is of the form $V(t, x) = e^{U(x)e^{-\rho t}}$ for $U \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, \infty))$, $\rho \geq 0$, such that there exist $c \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$|x|^{\frac{1}{c}} + |\partial^\alpha b(x)|^{\frac{1}{c}} + \|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)\|^{\frac{1}{c}} + |\partial^\beta U(x)| \leq c(1 + U(x))^{1 - \frac{1}{c}},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, multiindices α, β with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$ and $1 \leq |\beta| \leq 3$. If $h \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is such that

$$|\partial^\alpha h(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|^c)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and multiindices α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[h(X_T)] - \mathbb{E}[h(Y_T^\delta)]| \leq C\delta, \tag{8}$$

for all $0 < \delta < 1$, where $Y_\cdot^\delta : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the approximation given by $Y_0^\delta = X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$Y_t^\delta = Y_{k\delta}^\delta + \mathbb{1}_{\left\{|Y_{k\delta}^\delta| \leq \exp\left(|\log \delta|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\}} \left(\frac{b(Y_{k\delta}^\delta)(t - k\delta) + \sigma(Y_{k\delta}^\delta)(W_t - W_{k\delta})}{1 + |b(Y_{k\delta}^\delta)(t - k\delta) + \sigma(Y_{k\delta}^\delta)(W_t - W_{k\delta})|^3} \right) \tag{9}$$

for all $t \in [k\delta, (k + 1)\delta]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, \frac{T}{\delta})$.

Theorem 2 is corollary to Theorem 23, for which the full setting is given by Assumption 7, with comments in Remark 4. The numerical scheme (9) is the stopped increment-tamed Euler-Maruyama approximation from [10]. It has the key property of retaining exponential integrability properties of the continuous time SDE, which is used throughout the proof for Theorem 23. As is well documented [15], the classical Euler–Maruyama scheme may diverge in both the strong and weak sense for superlinearly growing, non-globally Lipschitz coefficients without this property. The power 3 appearing in the denominator on the right-hand side of (9) is chosen purposefully: weak convergence rates of order one are only obtained for exponents larger than or equal to 3. The proof of Theorem 23 uses the recently established Itô–Alekseev–Gröbner formula [5] in order to expand the left-hand side of (8), instead of the classical approach using $C^{1,2}$ solutions to Kolmogorov equations as in [2]. Note that as a result, the requirement $p \geq 3$ in Theorem 2 is slightly weaker than the typical fourth order continuous differentiability of b, σ. In order to apply the formula, strong completeness of some derivative processes of (1) is established first by using a result in [7]. Some closely related properties for (1) appeared recently in [6], where the authors use a different approach and different assumptions. Although weak convergence without rates has been established by way of convergence in probability in [10, Corollary 3.7] and [16, Corollary 3.19], weak rates of convergence (of order one) have thus far been an open problem for general non-globally monotone coefficients, see however for example [17, 18] in this direction. On the other hand, strong convergence rates of order $\frac{1}{2}$ have been established in even the non-globally monotone case [8]. The assumptions of Theorem 2 (and of the more detailed Theorem 23) do not include the globally Lipschitz setting as in [2, Theorem 14.5.1]. However, some weakening of these assumptions that both includes the globally Lipschitz setting and is sufficient for the conclusions of Theorem 23 to hold is discussed in Remark 5.

The proofs for the moment estimates underlying both Theorems 1 and 2 use directly the results of [19], for which exponential integrability in continuous time as in [7, 8] is an important property that is accounted for in a crucial way by our local Lipschitz condition. The core argument for these estimates, which can be thought of as a combination of the approach in [1] with ideas of [7, 8], is to consider for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$ processes $X_{t(\kappa)}$ satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \frac{X_t^{x+r\kappa} - X_t^x}{r} - X_{t(\kappa)}^x \right| \to 0$$

in probability as $r \to 0$, where X_t^x denotes a solution to (1) with $X_0^x = x$. Such processes exist [1, Theorem 4.10] for b, σ continuously differentiable in space satisfying some local integrability assumption and $X_{t(\kappa)}^x$ satisfies the system resulting from a formal differentiation of (1) (see (24)). If b and σ are independent of t and the derivatives of b and σ are locally Lipschitz, the processes $X_{t(\kappa)}^x$ are almost surely continuous derivatives in the classical sense as in [20, Theorem V.39]. Higher derivatives exist for b and σ with higher orders of differentiability. The SDEs solved by the first order derivatives turn out to be just as considered for previous applications of the stochastic Grönwall inequality [19], whereas those for higher order derivatives have only the term

involving the derivative of the highest order on the right-hand side of the dynamics requiring serious control. For the latter, the stochastic Grönwall inequality together with our Assumption 1 below and an induction argument are sufficient to control all of the terms. We use $o(\log V)$ and $o(\sqrt{\log V})$ Lipschitz constants in order to control the moments for large time T, but the results follow for $O(\log V)$ and $O(\sqrt{\log V})$ Lipschitz constants if T is suitably small. In order to establish solutions to the Kolmogorov equation, a number of intermediary results following the strategy of [1] are given for the present case of local Lipschitz constants. In particular, it is shown by extending an argument from [21] that an Euler-type approximation converges to solutions of the SDE in probability and locally uniformly in initial time and space, that is, the SDE is regular [1, Definition 2.1].

The original motivation for this work is the Poisson equation for finding the asymptotic variance of ergodic averages associated to SDEs. In [22], a formula for the derivative of this variance with respect to a parameter in the dynamics is derived. In order to do so, the Poisson equation is interpreted as a PDE in the classical sense, which in turn made use of an appropriate solution to the Kolmogorov equation. In a setting where the coefficients are not globally Lipschitz, for example if the friction in the Langevin equation of [22] is not restricted to be constant in space, the existence of such a solution to the backward Kolmogorov equation appears to be unavailable in the literature. The present work fills this gap. In addition, solutions to the Poisson equation furnishes central limit theorems for additive functionals themselves by way of [23]. The results here allow some arguments there to be established rigorously for hypoelliptic diffusions, more details are given in Sect. 7.1.

1.1 Loss of regularity

To conclude the introduction, let us discuss Theorem 1 in the context of [12, Theorems 3.1, 4.16, Proposition 4.18].

Theorem 4.16 in [12] asserts the existence of unique viscosity solutions to Kolmogorov equations given existence of an associated Lyapunov function V, that is, V satisfying (3). In that statement, it is assumed that $c = f = 0$ and that b, σ are time-homogeneous. Otherwise, their assumptions are strictly weaker than those in Theorems 1 and 2. This viscosity solution has the representation $(t, x) \mapsto \mathbb{E}[g(X^x_{T-t})]$, where X^x_t denotes the solution to (1) with $X^x_0 = x$, but it is in general not differentiable in contrast to in Theorem 1. However, given enough regularity, it is an almost everywhere solution. In particular, this is the case if it belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{2,1,p}_{\text{loc}}$ for some $p > n + 1$, see [24, Proposition I.4, Remark I.16]. Under the stronger assumptions here, our results on (6) and its a.e. derivatives as implied by Theorem 11 verifies that this viscosity solution indeed belongs to $W^{2,1,p}_{\text{loc}}$. These arguments form an alternate proof for the assertion about (7) in Theorem 1 in the case where $f = c = 0$ and b, σ are time-homogeneous.

In Proposition 4.18 in [12], again in the setting where $f = c = 0$ and b, σ are time-homogeneous, the authors make use of Lemma 5.12 in [1] to obtain distributional solutions to the Kolmogorov Eq. (7) in the case of smooth coefficients. If in addition b, σ satisfy Hörmander's condition, their result implies for continuous bounded g

that $(t, x) \mapsto \mathbb{E}[g(X^x_{T-t})]$ is a classical solution to the Kolmogorov equation. In particular, there is a preservation, or even a gain, of regularity in the semigroup. Hörmander's condition appears to be neither strictly stronger nor strictly weaker than the main assumptions in the present work. For example in Sect. 7.2, we consider (1) with $n = 2$, $b(t, x) = (x_2, \alpha_1 x_1 - \alpha_2 x_2 - \alpha_3 x_2 x_1^2 - x_1^3)$ and $(\sigma(t, x))_{1,1} = (\sigma(t, x))_{1,2} = 0$, $(\sigma(t, x))_{2,1} = \beta_1 x_1, (\sigma(t, x))_{2,2} = \beta_3$ for some constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_3 > 0$. This SDE does not satisfy Hörmander's condition when $\beta_3 = 0$ (which is the case studied in [25]). However, as demonstrated in Sect. 7.2, it does satisfy the main assumptions in the present work. On the other hand, for example in the case where $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\beta_3 \neq 0$, Hörmander's condition is satisfied, but it is not clear whether there exists a satisfactory Lyapunov function.

Lastly, Theorem 3.1 in [12] presents an instance of (1) such that there exists smooth compactly supported φ satisfying that for any $t \in (0, T]$, the function $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X^x_t)]$ is not locally Hölder continuous. In combination with Theorem 1, this implies that, for b, σ as in [12, equation (3.1)], it is impossible to find a Lyapunov function V such that b, σ have Lipschitz constants that are $o(\log V)$ and $o(\sqrt{\log V})$ respectively.

The paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2, the setting, notation and various definitions are given. In Sect. 3, moment estimates of the supremum over time on the derivative process and the difference processes in initial value are given. These results are used throughout for proving the other results in the paper. In Sect. 4, results on the regularity of the semigroup associated to (1) are presented, which are followed by results about twice differentiable-in-space solutions to the Kolmogorov equation. Section 6 contains the results about weak convergence rates for the stopped increment-tamed Euler–Maruyama scheme on SDEs with non-globally monotone coefficients. In Sect. 7, new Lyapunov functions are given for the Langevin equation with variable friction and stochastic Duffing–van der Pol equation. In the case of the former, the associated Poisson equation is discussed.

2 Notation and preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space, $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, \infty)$, be a filtration satisfying the usual conditions (see e.g. [20, p. 3]) and $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Wiener process on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, \infty)$. Unless otherwise stated, let $T \in (0, \infty)$. Let $|v|, \|M\|$ denote the Euclidean norm of a vector v and the Frobenius norm of a matrix M respectively. Let $b : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \sigma : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be functions such that $b(t, \cdot), \sigma(t, \cdot)$ are continuous for every[1] $t, \omega, b(\cdot, x), \sigma(\cdot, x)$ are $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$-measurable for every $x, b(t, x), \sigma(t, x)$ are $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable for every t, x and $\int_0^T \sup_{|x| \leq R}(|b(t, x)| + \|\sigma(t, x)\|^2)dt < \infty$ for any $R > 0, \omega \in \Omega$. Let $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and for any $x \in O, s \geq 0$, let $X^{s,x}_t$ be an $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted O-valued process

---

[1] The requirement that the properties hold for every $\omega \in \Omega$, which will appear throughout the paper, is consistent with the assumptions in [1], so that we may reference results directly from [1]. As is common practice, we omit in the notation the dependence on ω for functions of ω.

such that $X_t^{s,x}$ is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous satisfying for all $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$X_t^{s,x} = x + \int_0^t b(s + r, X_r^{s,x})dr + \int_0^t \sigma(s + r, X_r^{s,x})dW_r. \tag{10}$$

Note for spatially locally Lipschitz b, σ, the existence of a Lyapunov function (that is, a function satisfying (3)) that grows to infinity at infinity suffices for the existence of the processes $X_t^{s,x}$. More precisely, for example for the SDEs in Sect. 7, Theorem 3.5 in [26] proves that the processes $X_t^{s,x}$ exist. See also Theorem 1.2 in [1] for general conditions on b, σ for the existence of $X_t^{s,x}$. When the initial value x and time s are not important or are obvious from the context, simply X_t and similarly X_t^x is written. For $f \in C^2(O)$ and for either b, σ as above or $(b_.^x : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n)_{x \in O}$, $(\sigma_.^x : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})_{x \in O}$ that are, for each x, $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, t])$-measurable and $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted satisfying $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\int_0^T (|b_s^x| + \|\sigma_s^x\|^2)ds < \infty$, we denote

$$Lf = b \cdot \nabla f + a : D^2 f, \tag{11}$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma^\top$, D^2 denotes the Hessian and for matrices M, N, $M : N = \sum_{i,j} M_{ij} N_{ij}$. Throughout, $\hat{O}$ is used to denote the convex hull of O, $C_c^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of compactly supported infinitely differentiable functions on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of bounded continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of continuous functions of the form $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (t, x) \mapsto f(t, x)$ that are once continuously differentiable in t and twice so in x, $B_R(x)$ denotes the closed ball of radius $R > 0$ around $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $B_R = B_R(0)$, e_i denotes the ith Euclidean basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $C > 0$ denotes a generic constant that may change from line to line. The expression $\mathbb{1}_A$ denotes the indicator function on the set A. We denote $\Delta_T = \{(s, t) : 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$. The notation $\partial_i Z_{t,T}^z = \partial_{z_i} Z_{t,T}|_z$ is used and similarly for the higher order derivatives $\partial^\alpha Z_{t,T}^z$ for multiindices α. Moreover, for a multiindex α, we denote $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i$ and

$$\kappa_\alpha = \left(\overbrace{e_1, \ldots, e_1}^{\alpha_1 \text{ times}}, e_2, \ldots \right).$$

Definition 1 A positive random function $V : \Omega \times [0, T] \times O \to (0, \infty)$ is referred to as a $(\tilde{b}_., \tilde{\sigma}_., \alpha_., \beta_., p^*, V_0)$-Lyapunov function if $(\tilde{b}_.^y : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n)_{y \in O}$, $(\tilde{\sigma}_.^y : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})_{y \in O}$, $\alpha_., \beta_. : \Omega \times [0, T] \to [0, \infty]$, $p^* \in [1, \infty)$ and $V_0 \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times O)$ are $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable and $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted processes where applicable and satisfy for all $y \in O$ that there exist a $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable, $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted process $Y_.^y : \Omega \times [0, T] \to O$ such that it is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $V(t, y) = V_0(t, Y_t^y)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and for any stopping time $\tau \le T$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\int_0^T (|\tilde{b}_r^y| + \|\tilde{\sigma}_r^y\|^2 + |\alpha_r|)dr < \infty, \tag{12}$$

$$Y_s^y = y + \int_0^s \tilde{b}_r^y \, dr + \int_0^s \tilde{\sigma}_r^y \, dW_r, \tag{13}$$

$$(\partial_t + L)V_0(s, Y_s^y) + \frac{p^* - 1}{2} \frac{|(\tilde{\sigma}_s^y)^\top \nabla V_0(s, Y_s^y)|^2}{V_0(s, Y_s^y)} \le \alpha_s V_0(s, Y_t^y) + \beta_s \tag{14}$$

for all $s \in [0, T]$, where L is given by (11) with $\tilde{b}, \tilde{\sigma}$ replacing b, σ.

Definition 2 For $\bar{T} \in (0, \infty)$, $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ and open $\tilde{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}}$, a function $V : \Omega \times [0, \bar{T}] \times \tilde{O} \to (0, \infty)$ is referred to as a Lyapunov function if there exist a filtration and Wiener process as above, $p^* \in [1, \infty)$, $\tilde{b}_\cdot : \Omega \times [0, \bar{T}] \times \tilde{O} \to \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}}$, $\tilde{\sigma}_\cdot : \Omega \times [0, \bar{T}] \times \tilde{O} \to \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n} \times \tilde{n}}$, $V_0 \in C^{1,2}([0, \bar{T}] \times \tilde{O})$, along with some $\alpha_\cdot$ and $\beta_\cdot$ such that V is a $(\tilde{b}_\cdot, \tilde{\sigma}_\cdot, \alpha_\cdot, \beta_\cdot, p^*, V_0)$-Lyapunov function and

$$\left\| e^{\int_0^{\bar{T}} |\alpha_u| du} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-1}}(\mathbb{P})} dt + \int_0^{\bar{T}} \left\| \frac{\beta_v}{e^{\int_0^v \alpha_u du}} \right\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{P})} dv \, dt < \infty. \tag{15}$$

Remark 1 (i) Smooth functions V_0 satisfying $(\partial_t + L)V_0 \le CV_0$ for some constant C as in [26, Theorem 3.5] are Lyapunov functions with $p^* = 1$, $\alpha_t = C$ and $\beta_t = 0$. In this case, note that (13) holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. with $Y_\cdot, \tilde{b}_\cdot, \tilde{\sigma}_\cdot$ replaced for example by $X_\cdot^{0,\cdot}, b(\cdot, X_\cdot^{0,\cdot}), \sigma(\cdot, X_\cdot^{0,\cdot})$ respectively, which follows by Lemma 4.51 in [27], our assumptions on σ and the fact that $(\omega, t) \mapsto (\omega, t, X_t^{0,x}(\omega))$ is $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable and $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted (with $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])) \otimes \mathcal{B}(O)$, $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(O)$ as σ-algebras in the respective ranges).

(ii) To summarize loosely, Lyapunov functions as defined above satisfy firstly the main condition (15) in [19] for the stochastic Grönwall inequality and secondly finiteness conditions on the associated processes. These are properties that will be used many times throughout the paper in the form of Proposition 3 and its corollaries below.

The following property allows control across families of Lyapunov functions.

Definition 3 Let $\bar{T} \in (0, \infty)$, $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\tilde{n}_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$, $\tilde{O}$, $(\tilde{O}_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$, $V_0 \in C^{1,2}([0, \infty) \times \tilde{O})$ be such that $\tilde{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}}$ and $\tilde{O}_s \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_s}$ are all open. A family of functions $(\hat{W}_s : \Omega \times [0, \bar{T}] \times \tilde{O}_s \to (0, \infty))_{s \in [0,T]}$ is $(\tilde{n}, \tilde{O}, V_0)$-local in s if $\tilde{O} = \tilde{O}_s$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ satisfying that for any $s \in [0, T]$, there exist $\tilde{b}^{s,T}, \tilde{\sigma}^{s,T}, \alpha_\cdot^{s,T}, \beta_\cdot^{s,T}, p^{s,T}$ such that $\hat{W}_s$ is a $(\tilde{b}^{s,T}, \tilde{\sigma}^{s,T}, \alpha_\cdot^{s,T}, \beta_\cdot^{s,T}, p^{s,T}, V_0(s+\cdot, \cdot)|_{[0,\bar{T}] \times \tilde{O}})$-Lyapunov function and the corresponding bound (15) holds uniformly with bound C, that is,

$$\left\| e^{\int_0^{\bar{T}} |\alpha_u^{s,T}| du} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{s,T}}{p^{s,T}-1}}(\mathbb{P})} + \int_0^{\bar{T}} \left\| \frac{\beta_v^{s,T}}{e^{\int_0^v \alpha_u^{s,T} du}} \right\|_{L^{p^{s,T}}(\mathbb{P})} dv < C. \tag{16}$$

We say that $(W_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$ is local in s if there exist $\tilde{n}, \tilde{O}, V_0$ such that $(W_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$ is $(\tilde{n}, \tilde{O}, V_0)$-local in s.

A family of Lyapunov functions being local in s allows terms of the form $\mathbb{E}[W_s(t, X_t^{s,x})]$ to be bounded uniformly in s after applying the stochastic Grönwall inequality (stated as Proposition 3 below). This is an important property for twice differentiable solutions to Kolmogorov equations, since such solutions and many lemmatic terms depend on a time variable via the starting times s. On the other hand, such a property is in all of the examples mentioned here easily satisfied.

In the rest of the section, some results from [5, 19] are recalled for the convenience of the reader. With the exception of Corollary 4, we refer to the corresponding statements in [5, 19] for their proofs. The next Proposition 3 is a special case of Theorem 2.4 in [19].

Proposition 3 *Let* $\tau \leq T$ *be a stopping time,* $p^* \in [1, \infty)$ *and* $V_0 \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times O, [0, \infty))$. *Moreover, let* $\hat{X} : \Omega \times [0, T] \to O$, $\hat{b} : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\hat{\sigma} : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\hat{\alpha} : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, $\hat{\beta} : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ *be* $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$*-measurable and* $\mathcal{F}_t$*-adapted processes such that* $\hat{X}$ *has continuous sample paths and it holds* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s. that* $\int_0^\tau (|\hat{b}_s| + \|\hat{\sigma}_s\|^2 + |\hat{\alpha}_s|)ds < \infty$, $\hat{X}_{t \wedge \tau} = \hat{X}_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\hat{b}_s ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\hat{\sigma}_s dW_s$ *for all* $t \in [0, T]$ *and it holds* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s. that for a.a.* $s \in [0, \tau)$, *(14) holds with* $LV_0 = \hat{b}. \cdot \nabla V_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\sigma}.\hat{\sigma}.^\top) : D^2 V_0$ *and* $Y_s^y, \tilde{\sigma}_s^y, \alpha_s, \beta_s$ *replaced by* $\hat{X}_s, \hat{\sigma}_s, \hat{\alpha}_s, \hat{\beta}_s$ *respectively. The following statements hold.*

(i) *For* $q_1, q_2 \in (0, \infty]$ *satisfying* $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{p^*}$, *it holds that*

$$
(\mathbb{E}[(V_0(\tau, \hat{X}_\tau))^{q_1}])^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(q_2 \int_0^\tau \hat{\alpha}_s ds \right) \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \left((\mathbb{E}[(V_0(0, \hat{X}_0))^{p^*}])^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \right.
$$
$$
\left. + \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\hat{\beta}_s}{\exp(\int_0^s \hat{\alpha}_r dr)} \right)^{p^*} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} ds \right). \tag{17}
$$

(ii) *For* $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in (0, \infty]$ *satisfying* $q_3 < p^*$ *and* $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_3}$, *there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *depending only on* q_3, p^* *such that*

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,\tau]} V_0(s, \hat{X}_s) \right)^{q_1} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \leq C \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} q_2 \int_0^t \hat{\alpha}_s ds \right) \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}}
$$
$$
\cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(V_0(0, \hat{X}_0) + \int_0^\tau \frac{\hat{\beta}_s}{\exp(\int_0^s \hat{\alpha}_r dr)} ds \right)^{q_3} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{q_3}}.
$$

An application of Proposition 3 on Lyapunov functions as defined above is given by the next Corollary 4.

Corollary 4 *Let* $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{O} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}}$, $V : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \tilde{O} \to (0, \infty)$ *be a* $(\tilde{b}., \tilde{\sigma}., \alpha., \beta., p^*, V_0)$*-Lyapunov function for some* $\tilde{b}., \tilde{\sigma}., \alpha., \beta., p^*, V_0$. *For any* $q_1, q_2 \in (0, \infty]$ *with* $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{p^*}$, *it holds that*

$$
(\mathbb{E}[(V(t, y))^{q_1}])^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \leq C((\mathbb{E}[(V(0, y))^{p^*}])^{\frac{1}{p^*}} + 1) \tag{18}
$$

for all stopping times $t \leq T$ and $\tilde{O}$-valued $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable r.v.'s y, where C is given by the maximum between the first factor and the last term in the last factor both on the right-hand side of (17) *with $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \tau$ replaced by α, β, t. In particular, the same statement holds with the right-hand side of* (18) *replaced by $C(\mathbb{E}[V(0, y)] + 1)$ for all deterministic y.*

Proof By Definitions 1, 2 and Proposition 3, it suffices to check that any $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable, $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous process $Y_{\cdot}^y$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. (12), (13) and $V(t, y) = V_0(t, Y_t^y)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ is such that for any $t \in [0, T]$ and stopping time $\tau \leq T$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $Y_{t \wedge \tau}^y = y + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\tilde{b}_s^y ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\tilde{\sigma}_s^y dW_s$. The only thing to check is that the stochastic integrals $\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \hat{\sigma}_r^y dW_r$ and $\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(r)\hat{\sigma}_r^y dW_r$ are equal $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely. This may be verified by Proposition 2.10 and Remark 2.11 (see also the paragraph after Definition 2.23) all in [28]. $\qquad\square$

In the particular case where $V_0(t, x) = |x|^2$, Proposition 3 implies the following Corollary 5, which is a special case of Corollary 2.5 in [19].

Corollary 5 *Let the setting of Proposition 3 hold with $V_0 = 0$ and $p^* \in [2, \infty)$. Suppose it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that for any $t \in [0, \tau)$, the process $\hat{X}$ satisfies $\hat{b}_t \cdot \hat{X}_t + \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{\sigma}_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p^* - 2)|\hat{\sigma}_t^\top \hat{X}_t|^2/|\hat{X}_t|^2 \leq \hat{\alpha}_t|\hat{X}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\hat{\beta}_t|^2$. For any $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in (0, \infty]$ with $q_3 < p^*$ and $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_3}$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on q_3, p^* such that*

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{s \in [0,\tau]} |\hat{X}_s|\right)^{p_1}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \leq C\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} q_2 \int_0^t \hat{\alpha}_s ds\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}}$$
$$\cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(|\hat{X}_0|^2 + \int_0^\tau \left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_s}{\exp(\int_0^s \hat{\alpha}_r dr)}\right|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{q_3}{2}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{q_3}}.$$

Another useful corollary of Proposition 3 that will be used in Sect. 6 is stated next. Corollary 6 is a special case of Corollary 3.3 in [19].

Corollary 6 *Let the setting of Proposition 3 hold with $V_0 = 0$ and $O = \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume there exists Borel-measurable $\bar{b} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bar{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\hat{b}_t = \bar{b}(\hat{X}_t)$ and $\hat{\sigma}_t = \bar{\sigma}(\hat{X}_t)$. Let $\bar{U} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel-measurable function satisfying $\int_0^T |\bar{U}(\hat{X}_s)|ds < \infty$, let $U \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $\alpha^* \geq 0$. Assume $LU + \frac{1}{2}|\bar{\sigma}^\top \nabla U|^2 + \bar{U} \leq \alpha^* U$, where L is given by* (11) *with b, σ replaced by $\bar{b}, \bar{\sigma}$ respectively. It holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{U(\hat{X}_\tau)}{\exp(\alpha^*\tau)} + \int_0^\tau \frac{\bar{U}(\hat{X}_s)}{\exp(\alpha^*s)} ds\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}[\exp(U(0, \hat{X}_0))].$$

Lastly, a corollary of the Itô–Alekseev–Gröbner formula (Theorem 3.1 in [5]) is stated below as Proposition 7. The result will be used in Sect. 6 to obtain our Theorem 2 on weak numerical convergence rates. Its proof is straight-forward given Theorem 3.1 in [5], so it is omitted.

Proposition 7 *Let the setting of Corollary 6 hold with $U = \bar{U} = 0$. Moreover, let $p^{\dagger} > 4$, $q^{\dagger} \in [0, p^{\dagger}/2 - 2)$. Assume the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \sigma(W_s : s \in [0, t]) \cup \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}(A) = 0\})$ and that $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\sigma(W_s : s \in [0, T])$ are independent. Assume $\bar{b}, \bar{\sigma}$ are continuous. Let $\bar{X}_{\cdot, \cdot} : \Omega \times \Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that for any $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$, the map $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto \bar{X}_{s,t}^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuously differentiable in x up to order 2 and the derivative $\Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni ((s, t), x) \mapsto \partial^{\alpha} \bar{X}_{s,t}^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous for all multiindices α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$. Assume for all $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that the process $[s, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \bar{X}_{s,t}^x$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted and assume that for all $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\bar{X}_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t \bar{b}(\bar{X}_{s,r}^x) dr + \int_s^t \bar{\sigma}(\bar{X}_{s,r}^x) dW_r$ and $\bar{X}_{t,T}^{\bar{X}_{s,t}^x} = \bar{X}_{s,T}^x$. Let $Y : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $A : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable functions such that $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T (|Y_t|^{p^{\dagger}} + |A_t|^{p^{\dagger}} + |B_t|^{p^{\dagger}}) dt] < \infty$, Y has continuous sample paths, B has left-continuous sample paths, Y, B are both $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted and for all $t \in [0, T]$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t A_s ds + \int_0^t B_s dW_s$. In addition, assume*

$$\sup_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2} \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left| \bar{b}\left(\bar{X}_{s,t}^{Y_s}\right) \right|^{p^{\dagger}} + \left\| \bar{\sigma}\left(\bar{X}_{s,t}^{Y_s}\right) \right\|^{p^{\dagger}} + \left| \partial^{\alpha} \bar{X}_{t,T}^{\bar{X}_{r,s}^{Y_r}} \right|^{p_{\alpha}} \right] < \infty,$$

where $p_{\alpha} = p^{\dagger}$ if $|\alpha| = 0$, $p_{\alpha} = 4p^{\dagger}/(p^{\dagger} - 2(q^{\dagger}+2))$ if $|\alpha| = 1$ and $p_{\alpha} = 2p^{\dagger}/(p^{\dagger} - 2(q^{\dagger}+2))$ if $|\alpha| = 2$. If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that there exists a constant $C > 0$ satisfying

$$\max\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{1 + |x|}, |\nabla f(x)|, \left\| D^2 f(x) \right\| \right) \leq C\left(1 + |x|^{q^{\dagger}}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{0,T}^{Y_0}\right) - f(Y_T) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \left(\left(\left((\bar{b}(Y_t) - A_t) \cdot \nabla\right) \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t} \right) \cdot \nabla \right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t}\right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\bar{\sigma}(Y_t) \bar{\sigma}(Y_t)^{\top} \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. - B_t B_t^{\top} \right)_{ij} \left(\left(\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t} \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t} \right) : D^2 \right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t}\right) + \left(\partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t} \cdot \nabla \right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t}\right) dt \right].$$

3 Moment estimates on derivative processes

The following assumption states our main requirement on the Lyapunov function. Alternative, more local, assumptions for the main results are given in Theorem 17.

Assumption 1 There exists $G : \Omega \times [0, T] \times O \to [0, \infty)$ such that G is $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(O)$-measurable, $G(t, \cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(O)$-measurable for all t, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $G(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for all t, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq (G(t, x) + G(t, y)) |x - y|, \tag{19}$$

$$\|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)\|^2 \le (G(t,x) + G(t,y))\,|x - y|^2, \tag{20}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, $x,y \in O$ and such that for any $s \in [0,T]$, there exist finite sets $I_0, I_0' \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{n}_i \in \mathbb{N}$, open $\tilde{O}_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i}$ for all $i \in I_0 \cup I_0'$, locally bounded functions $M : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$, $(\bar{x}_i : O \to \tilde{O}_i)_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'}$ and Lyapunov functions $(V_i : \Omega \times [0, T-s] \times \tilde{O}_i \to (0,\infty))_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'}$ satisfying for any $m > 0$, $x \in O$ and stopping times $t \le T - s$ that it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\int_0^t G(s+r, X_r^{s,x})dr \le M(m) + m\left(\sum_{i \in I_0} \int_0^t \log V_i(r, \bar{x}_i(x))dr + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} \log V_{i'}(t, \bar{x}_{i'}(x))\right). \tag{21}$$

In some cases, the process Y_t associated with Lyapunov functions can be thought of to be equal to X_t. More precisely, we have in mind the case where the process X_t satisfies the conditions for Y_t in Definition 1 for the Lyapunov functions in Assumption 1. In particular, in the applications here, it is enough to take in place of (21) the condition

$$G(x) \le m \log V_0(x) + M \tag{22}$$

for V_0 satisfying $LV_0 \le CV_0$ for L given by (11); the generality is justified by a trick to increase the set of admissible Lyapunov functions, as exemplified by the inclusion of $\bar{U}$ in Corollary 6, see also [7, Theorem 2.24]. Assumption 1 is strictly weaker than assuming globally Lipschitz coefficients, since polynomial Lyapunov functions are easily constructed in that case. In addition, throughout, whenever continuous differentiability up to some order m^* of b and σ is assumed, we also assume

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n; |\theta| \le m^*} \int_0^T \sup_{|x| \le R} (|\partial^\theta b(t,x)| + \|\partial^\theta \sigma(t,x)\|)dt < \infty, \quad \forall R > 0. \tag{23}$$

As briefly mentioned, in Sect. 5, it is shown that if b, σ are independent of ω, t and admit locally Lipschitz derivatives, Assumption 1 and in particular (19), (20) may be replaced by $\sum_i (|\partial_i b| + \|\partial_i \sigma\|^2) \le G$ in obtaining our results on the Kolmogorov equation.

For $x \in O$, $s \in [0,T]$, let $X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x}$ be the first t-uniform derivatives in probability of $X_t^{s,x}$ with respect to the initial value in any direction $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$, that is, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $T > 0$, $t \le T - s$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, T-s]} \left| \frac{X_t^{s,x+r\kappa} - X_t^{s,x}}{r} - X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x} \right| > \epsilon\right) \to 0$$

as $r \to 0$ with $r \ne 0$, $x + r\kappa \in O$. If $b(t, \cdot)$ and $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ are once continuously differentiable on O for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and satisfy (23) with $m^* = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then by Theorem 4.10 in [1], $X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x}$ exists for any $x \in O$, $s \in [0,T]$ and satisfies the system obtained by formal differentiation of (10), that is,

$$dX_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x} = (X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x} \cdot \nabla)b(s+t, X_t^{s,x})dt + (X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x} \cdot \nabla)\sigma(s+t, X_t^{s,x})dW_t. \tag{24}$$

By induction, if for any $\omega \in \Omega$, $b(t, \cdot)$ and $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ are continuously differentiable on O up to some order p for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and satisfy (23) with $m^* = p$, then the pth-order t-uniform derivative in probability of $X_t^{s,x}$ with respect to the initial value in directions $(\kappa_i)_{1 \le i \le p}, \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\kappa_i| = 1, 1 \le i \le p$ exists for any $x \in O, s \in [0, T]$ and satisfies the system obtained by a corresponding p^{th}-order formal differentiation of (10).

First we state a straightforward application of the Lyapunov property to obtain an estimate of a time integral, which will be used later and is also demonstrative for many similar derivations in the following. Throughout and consistent with Assumption 1, we omit in the notation the dependence of V, $\bar{x}$ and M on s.

Lemma 8 *Under Assumption 1, for any $s \in [0, T]$, $c > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{c \int_0^{T_0 - s} G(s+t, X_t^{s,x}) dt} \right]
$$

$$
\le e^{c\hat{M}} \left(\frac{1}{T_0 - s} \int_0^{T_0 - s} \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{E}[V_i(t, \bar{x}_i(x))] dt + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_{i'}(T_0 - s, \bar{x}_{i'}(x))] + 1 \right)
$$

$$
\le C e^{c\hat{M}} \left(\sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x))] + 1 \right) < \infty
$$

for all $x \in O$ and $T_0 \in [s, T]$, where $\hat{M} = M(m)$ for some m. If in addition, V_i is local in s for all $i \in I_0 \cup I_0'$, then C is independent of s.

Proof The first inequality follows by applying (21), then applying Jensen's inequality, setting a small enough m and applying Young's inequality. The last two inequalities follow by Corollary 4 with $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 = \frac{p_i^*}{p_i^* - 1}$, $p^* = p_i^*$ and the inequalities corresponding to (15), where p_i^* is such that V_i is a $(b^{(i)}, \sigma^{(i)}, \alpha^{(i)}, \beta^{(i)}, p_i^*, V_0^{(i)})$-Lyapunov function for some $b^{(i)}, \sigma^{(i)}, \alpha^{(i)}, \beta^{(i)}, V_0^{(i)}$. $\square$

Lemma 9 *Under Assumption 1, for any $k > 0$, $s \in [0, T]$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T_0 - s} \left| X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} \right|^k \right] \le \rho W(x, r\kappa) |r|^k \tag{25}
$$

for all $x \in O$, $T_0 \in [s, T]$, $r \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\kappa| = 1$, $x + r\kappa \in O$, where $X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} := X_t^{s,x+r\kappa} - X_t^{s,x}$ and $W(x, r\kappa) := 1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x+r\kappa))] + \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x))]$. If in addition it holds for any $\omega \in \Omega$ that $b(t, \cdot)$, $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ are continuously differentiable for all $t \ge 0$ and (23) holds with $m^* = 1$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T_0 - s} \left| X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x} \right|^k \right] \le \rho W(x, 0) \tag{26}
$$

$$
\lim_{0 \ne r \to 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T_0 - s} \left| X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x} - r^{-1} X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} \right|^k \right] = 0 \tag{27}
$$

for all $x \in O$, $T_0 \in [s, T]$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\kappa| = 1$. *If for each $i \in I_0$, $i' \in I_0'$, the functions V_i, $V_{i'}$ are local in s (as in Definition 3), then ρ is independent of s.*

Proof For any r,

$$
\begin{aligned}
dX_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} = {} & \left(b\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x+r\kappa}\right) - b\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right) \right) dt \\
& + \left(\sigma\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x+r\kappa}\right) - \sigma\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right) \right) dW_t.
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

Since X_t is almost surely continuous in t, for any $0 < t \leq T - s$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\int_0^t (G(s + u, X_u^{s,x+r\kappa}) + G(s + u, X_u^{s,x})) du \leq C(\int_0^t \sum_{i \in I_0} \log V_i(u, \bar{x}(x + r\kappa)) du + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} \log V_{i'}(u, \bar{x}(x)) + 1) < \infty$, therefore Corollary 5 can be applied with

$$
\hat{b}_t = b\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x+r\kappa}\right) - b\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right), \quad \hat{\sigma}_t = \sigma\left(t, X_t^{s,x+r\kappa}\right) - \sigma\left(t, X_t^{s,x}\right),
$$

$$
\hat{\alpha}_t = \left(\frac{1}{2} + k \vee 1\right)\left(G\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x+r\kappa}\right) + G\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right)\right),
$$

$$
p^* = 2k \vee 2, \quad \hat{\beta}_t = 0, \quad q_1 = k, \quad q_2 = 3k, \quad q_3 = \frac{3k}{2},
$$

to obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0 - s} \left| X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} \right|^k \right]
$$

$$
\leq C \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^{T_0 - s} 3k(\frac{1}{2} + k \vee 1)(G(s+u, X_u^{s,x+r\kappa}) + G(s+u, X_u^{s,x})) du} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} |r|^k.
\tag{29}
$$

By Lemma 8, the expectation on the right-hand side of (29) satisfies the bound

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_0^{T_0 - s} 3k\left(\frac{1}{2} + k \vee 1\right)(G(s+u, X_u^{s,x+r\kappa}) + G(s+u, X_u^{s,x})) du} \right]
$$

$$
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2} e^{\int_0^{T_0 - s} 6k\left(\frac{1}{2} + k \vee 1\right) G(s+u, X_u^{s,x+r\kappa})} du + \frac{1}{2} e^{\int_0^{T_0 - s} 6k\left(\frac{1}{2} + k \vee 1\right) G(s+u, X_u^{s,x})} du \right]
$$

$$
\leq C \mathbb{E}\left[1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x + r\kappa)) + V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x)) \right],
$$

which gives (25).

The statement for $X_{s(\kappa)}$ follows along the same lines, where instead $X_{s(\kappa)}$ satisfies (24) and Corollary 5 can be applied as above except with the corresponding $\hat{b}_t$, $\hat{\sigma}_t$ and

$$
\hat{\alpha}_t = (1 + 2k \vee 2) G(s + t, X_t^{s,x}).
\tag{30}
$$

Equation (27) is a known consequence; it is immediate from the definition of $X_{u(\kappa)}$, the previous bounds and

$$\mathbb{E}[S^{k_1}] \leq \epsilon \mathbb{P}(S^{k_1} \leq \epsilon) + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{S^{k_1} > \epsilon\}} S^{k_1}]$$

$$\leq \epsilon \mathbb{P}(S^{k_1} \leq \epsilon) + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{S^{k_1} > \epsilon\}}] \mathbb{E}[S^k]^{\frac{k_1}{k}} \quad (31)$$

with $S = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq T_0 - s} |X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} - r^{-1} X_{u(\kappa)}^{(r)}|$. The final assertion follows by noting that the constants C above are independent of s in case of local in s Lyapunov functions.
□

The following Assumption 2 states our requirements on the higher derivatives of b and σ for results on the higher derivatives of solutions to (10).

Assumption 2 There exist $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $b(t, \cdot)|_{\hat{O}}, \sigma(t, \cdot)|_{\hat{O}} \in C^p$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and inequality (23) holds with $m^* = p$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover, for all $s \in [0, T]$ and $k \geq 2$, there exist $\hat{n}_k \in \mathbb{N}$, open $\hat{O}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{\hat{n}_k}$, a mapping $\hat{x}_k : O \to \hat{O}_k$, a constant (in particular in s) $M' > 0$ and Lyapunov function $\hat{V}_k^{s,T} : \Omega \times [0, T - s] \times \hat{O}_k \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying for any $x, x' \in O$ and multiindices α with $2 \leq |\alpha| \leq p$ that it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$|\partial^\alpha b(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x'})| + \|\partial^\alpha \sigma(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x'})\|^2$$

$$\leq M'(1 + \hat{V}_k^{s,T}(t, \hat{x}_k(x)) + \hat{V}_k^{s,T}(t, \hat{x}_k(x')))^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (32)$$

for all $t \in [0, T - s], \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Similar to Assumption 1, in Sect. 5, it is shown that if b, σ are independent of ω, t and admit locally Lipschitz derivatives, then Assumption 2 and in particular (32) may be replaced by $|\partial^\alpha b(X_t^{s,x})| + \|\partial^\alpha \sigma(X_t^{s,x})\|^2 \leq M'(1 + \hat{V}^{s,T}(t, \hat{x}_k(x)))^{1/k}$.

In the following, for $\kappa = (\kappa_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l}, \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the lth order t-uniform derivatives in probability of a process Z_t^x with respect to initial condition x in the directions $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\partial^{(\kappa)} Z_t^x$.

Theorem 10 *Under Assumptions 1 and 2, for any $s \in [0, T]$, constants $1 \leq l \leq p - 1$, $k_1 > 0$, there exist $i^* \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu \geq \frac{k_1}{2}$, $\{l_i\}_{i \in \{1, \ldots, i^*\}} \subset (0, \infty)$ and a finite order polynomial q_0, the degree of which is independent of s, V_i, $\hat{V}_k^{s,T}$, such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0 - s} \left|\partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x + r\kappa_{l+1}} - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x}\right|^{k_1}\right] \leq (T_0 - s)^\nu q(x, x + r\kappa_{l+1}) |r|^{k_1} \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0 - s} \left|\partial^{(\bar{\kappa})} X_t^{s,x}\right|^{k_1}\right] \leq (T_0 - s)^\nu q(x, x) \quad (34)$$

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0 - s} \left|\partial^{(\bar{\kappa})} X_t^{s,x} - r^{-1}(\partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x + r\kappa_{l+1}} - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x})\right|^{k_1}\right] = 0 \quad (35)$$

for all initial condition $x \in O$, $T_0 \in [s, T]$, $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\kappa_i| = 1$, $1 \le i \le l+1$, $x + r\kappa_{l+1} \in O$, where $\kappa = (\kappa_i)_{1 \le i \le l}$, $\bar{\kappa} = (\kappa_i)_{1 \le i \le l+1}$ and $q : O \times O \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$q(y, y') = \mathbb{E}\left[q_0 \left((V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(y)))_{i \in I_0 \cup I'_0}, \left(\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(y))\right)_{i \in \{1,\dots,i^*\}}, \right. \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \left(\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(y'))\right)_{i \in \{1,\dots,i^*\}} \right) \right]. \tag{36}$$

If V_i and $\hat{V}_k^{s,T}$ are local in s (as in Definition 3) for every i, k, then the form of the polynomial q_0 is independent of s.

Proof Fix $k_1 > 0$, $s \in [0, T]$, let J be the set of strictly increasing functions from $\mathbb{N}$ to itself and $D^{(\kappa)}b(s + t, X_t^{s,x})$ denote the formal derivative of $b(s + t, X_t^{s,x})$ with respect to x in the directions indicated by κ. In particular,

$$D^{(\kappa)}b\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right) = \left(\partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^x \cdot \nabla\right)b\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right)$$
$$+ q_{b, X_t^{s,x}}\left(\left(\prod_{1 \le i \le l'} \partial^{(\kappa_{j(i)})}\right)X_t^{s,x}, 1 \le l' \le l - 1, j \in J\right),$$

where the last term denotes a $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued polynomial taking arguments as indicated, for which exactly l of the operators $\partial^{(\kappa_i)}$ appear in each term and coefficients are spatial derivatives between orders 2 and l of elements of b evaluated at $(s + t, X_t^{s,x})$. In the same way, let $D^{(\kappa)}\sigma(s + t, X_t^{s,x})$ denote the formal derivative of $\sigma(s + t, X_t^{s,x})$ with respect to x in the directions indicated by κ, again with the form above but with b replaced by σ everywhere. Denoting $x' = x + r\kappa_{l+1}$, the difference processes of the derivatives satisfy

$$d\left(\partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^{s,x}\right) = \left(D^{(\kappa)}b(s + t, X_t^{s,x'}) - D^{(\kappa)}b(s + t, X_t^{s,x})\right)dt$$
$$+ \left(D^{(\kappa)}\sigma\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x'}\right) - D^{(\kappa)}\sigma\left(s + t, X_t^{s,x}\right)\right)dW_t$$

on $t \in [0, T - s]$ for all $x, x' \in O$, $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\kappa_i| = 1$, $1 \le i \le l+1$.

We proceed by strong induction in l for (33). A base case has been established in Lemma 9. By the fundamental theorem of calculus on derivatives of b and σ, inequalities (32), (19) and (20), it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\left| D^{(\kappa)}b(s + t, X_t^{s,x'}) - D^{(\kappa)}b(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \right|$$
$$\le \sum_i \left| (\partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^{s,x})_i \right| \left| \partial_i b(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \right| + H(t, X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{s,x'})\hat{q}_t$$
$$\le 2 \left| \partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)}X_t^{s,x} \right| G(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) + H(t, X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{s,x'})\hat{q}_t,$$
$$\| D^{(\kappa)}\sigma(s + t, X_t^{s,x'}) - D^{(\kappa)}\sigma(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \|^2$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_i \left| (\partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x})_i \right|^2 \|\partial_i \sigma(s+t, X_t^{s,x})\|^2 + (H(t, X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{s,x'})\hat{q}_t)^2$$

$$\leq 4 \left| \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x} \right|^2 G(s+t, X_t^{s,x}) + (H(t, X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{s,x'})\hat{q}_t)^2,$$

on $t \in [0, T-s]$, where

$$H(t, X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{s,x'}) = M'\left(1 + \hat{V}_{4k_1 \vee 4}^{s,T}(t, \hat{x}_{4k_1 \vee 4}(x)) + \hat{V}_{4k_1 \vee 4}^{s,T}(t, \hat{x}_{4k_1 \vee 4}(x'))\right)^{\frac{1}{4k_1 \vee 4}} \tag{37}$$

and $\hat{q}_t$ denotes a polynomial with constant coefficients taking arguments from the set $S = S_1 \cup S_2$,

$$S_1 = \left\{ \left| \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq l'} \partial^{(\kappa_{j(i)})} \right) X_t \right| : 1 \leq l' \leq l, j \in J, X_t \in \{X_t^{s,x'}, X_t^{s,x}\} \right\}$$

$$S_2 = \left\{ \left| \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq l'} \partial^{(\kappa_{j(i)})} \right) (X_t^{s,x'} - X_t^{s,x}) \right| : 1 \leq l' \leq l-1, j \in J \right\}$$

$$\cup \{ |X_t^{s,x'} - X_t^{s,x}| \},$$

for which exactly l of the operators $\partial^{(\kappa_i)}$ appear in each term of $\hat{q}_s$ and a factor from S_2 appears exactly once in each term. Note for $p \geq 2$ and by Lemma 8, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\int_0^{T-s} \log V_i(t, \bar{x}_i(x)) dt + \log V_{i'}(t, \bar{x}_{i'}(x))$$

$$< \int_0^{T-s} V_i(t, \bar{x}_i(x)) dt + V_{i'}(t, \bar{x}_{i'}(x)) < \infty$$

on $t \in [0, T-s]$ for all $i \in I_0$ and $i' \in I_0'$. Corollary 5 can then be applied with

$$\hat{b}_t = D^{(\kappa)} b(s+t, X_t^{s,x'}) - D^{(\kappa)} b(s+t, X_t^{s,x}),$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_t = D^{(\kappa)} \sigma(s+t, X_t^{s,x'}) - D^{(\kappa)} \sigma(s+t, X_t^{s,x}),$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = 4(2k_1 \vee 2) G(s+t, X_t^{s,x}) + \frac{1}{2} > 0,$$

$$\hat{\beta}_t = \sqrt{4k_1 \vee 4} H(t, X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{s,x'})\hat{q}_t,$$

$$p^* = 4k_1 \vee 4, \quad q_1 = k_1, \quad q_2 = \left(\frac{1}{k_1} - \frac{1}{2k_1 \vee 2} \right)^{-1}, \quad q_3 = 2k_1 \vee 2 \tag{38}$$

to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0 - s} \left| \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_t^{s,x} \right|^{k_1} \right] \leq C A_{T_0-s}^{(1)} A_{T_0-s}^{(2)},$$

where, using the notation q_2, q_3 above,

$$A_{T_0-s}^{(1)} := \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(q_2 \int_0^{T_0-s} \left(4(1 + 2k_1 \vee 2) G(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) + \frac{1}{2} \right) du \right) \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{q_2}}$$

$$A_{T_0-s}^{(2)} := \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^{T_0-s} 2(1 + 2k_1 \vee 4)(H(u, X_u^{s,x}, X_u^{s,x'}) \hat{q}_u)^2 du \right)^{\frac{q_3}{2}} \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{q_3}}.$$

Setting

$$m = \frac{1}{8q_2(1 + 2k_1 \vee 2)((T_0 - s) \vee 1)(|I_0| + |I_0'|)},$$

with the effect that $M(m)$ is bounded in $T_0 \in [s, T]$, and using Assumption 1 as well as (the arguments in the proof of) Lemma 8, the first expectation has the bound

$$A_{T_0-s}^{(1)} \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{C}{T_0 - s} \int_0^{T_0-s} \exp\left(8q_2(1 + 2k_1 \vee 2)m \left((T_0 - s) \sum_{i' \in I_0'} \log V_{i'}(u, \bar{x}_{i'}(x)) \right. \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \left. + \sum_{i \in I_0} \log V_i(T_0 - s, \bar{x}_i(x)) \right) \right) du \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{q_2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{C}{T_0 - s} \int_0^{T_0-s} \left(1 + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} V_{i'}(u, \bar{x}_{i'}(x)) + \sum_{i \in I_0} V_i(T_0 - s, \bar{x}_i(x)) \right) du \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{q_2}}$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_{i'}(0, \bar{x}_{i'}(x))] + \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x))] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{q_2}},$$

where C is, here and in the rest of the proof, bounded as a function of $T_0 \in [s, T]$ and also of s if V_i is local in s for all $i \in I_0 \cup I_0'$. On the other hand, by the inductive argument and the form of $H, \hat{q}_s$ and q_3, it holds that

$$A_{T_0-s}^{(2)} \leq C \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^{T_0-s} H(u, X_u^{s,x}, X_u^{s,x'})^2 du \right)^{k_1 \vee 1} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq T_0-s} \hat{q}_u^{2k_1 \vee 2} \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{2k_1 \vee 2}}$$

$$\leq C \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^{T_0-s} H(u, X_u^{s,x}, X_u^{s,x'})^2 du \right)^{2k_1 \vee 2} \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{4k_1 \vee 4}}$$

$$\cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq T_0-s} \hat{q}_u^{4k_1 \vee 4} \right] \right)^{\frac{k_1}{4k_1 \vee 4}}$$

$$\leq C \left((T_0 - s)^{(2k_1 \vee 2)-1} \int_0^{T_0-s} \mathbb{E}[1 + \hat{V}_{4k_1 \vee 4}^{s,T}(u, \hat{x}_{4k_1 \vee 4}(x)) \right.$$

$$\left. + \hat{V}_{4k_1 \vee 4}^{s,T}(u, \hat{x}_{4k_1 \vee 4}(x'))] du \right)^{\frac{k_1}{4k_1 \vee 4}} \tilde{q}(x, x') |r|^{k_1},$$

where

$$\tilde{q}(x, x') = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{q}_0((V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x)))_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'}, (\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(x)))_{i \in \{1, \dots, \hat{i}*\}},$$
$$(\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(x')))_{i \in \{1, \dots, \hat{i}*\}})]$$

for some $\hat{i}* \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{l_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, \hat{i}*\}} \subset (0, \infty)$ and finite order polynomial $\tilde{q}_0$ taking arguments as indicated. Therefore, by Corollary 4 with $q_1 = 1$, it holds that

$$A_{T_0-s}^{(2)} \leq C\Big((T_0 - s)^{(2k_1 \vee 2)}(1 + \mathbb{E}[\hat{V}_{4k_1 \vee 4}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{4k_1 \vee 4}(x))]$$
$$+ \mathbb{E}[\hat{V}_{4k_1 \vee 4}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{4k_1 \vee 4}(x'))])\Big)^{\frac{k_1}{4k_1 \vee 4}} \tilde{q}(x, x') |r|^{k_1},$$

which concludes the proof for (33). Inequality (34) follows along the same lines, therefore the argument is not repeated. Equation (35) holds by (31) with

$$S = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \left| \partial^{(\bar{\kappa})} X_u^{s,x} - r^{-1}(\partial^{(\kappa)} X_u^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_u^{s,x}) \right|.$$

$\square$

Remark 2 A way to prove weaker versions of Lemma 9 and Theorem 10 is instead of using the stochastic Grönwall inequality, that is, Proposition 3, to use Lemma 4.2 in [1] and Theorem 3.5 in [26]. For this, one works directly with the SDEs governing $|\partial^{(\kappa)} X_t|^{k_1}$ in the proof and inequality (14) is to be replaced by $(\partial_t + L)V_0 \leq CV_0$.

4 Kolmogorov equations

Throughout this section, we assume that b and σ are nonrandom functions. In Sect. 4.1, the moment estimates from Sect. 3 are used to derive p^{th} differentiability of a Feynman–Kac semigroup (6). The functions f, c, g appearing in (6) are only required to be bounded by Lyapunov functions. Although the results and many details in the proofs are new, the approach is from [1], in which f, c, g and their derivatives are only required to be polynomially bounded. This regularity is then used to show that the semigroup solves the Kolmogorov equation in the almost everywhere sense in Sect. 4.2.

4.1 Semigroup differentiability

A condition that will be imposed on the derivatives of f, c, g is first stated.

Definition 4 For $p \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1, h : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \hat{O} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $h(t, \cdot) \in C^p(\hat{O})$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, we say that h has (p, k)-Lyapunov derivatives if there exist $(V^{s,T})_{s \in [0,T]}$ local in s (as in Definition 3), locally bounded[2] $\tilde{x}$ and constant $N >$

---

[2] The domain of $\tilde{x}$ is O, but its codomain is unspecified, except that it is equal to the domain of $V^{s,T}$.

0 such that for any $s \in [0, T]$ and multiindices α with $0 \le |\alpha| \le p$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$|\partial^\alpha h(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x'})| \le N(1 + V^{s,T}(t, \tilde{x}(x)) + V^{s,T}(t, \tilde{x}(x')))^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (39)$$

for all stopping times $t \le T - s$, $x, x' \in O$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Similar to Assumptions 1, 2, an alternative sense of Lyapunov derivatives is given in Sect. 5, where (39) is replaced by $|\partial^\alpha h(s + t, X_t^{s,x})| \le N(1 + V^{s,T}(t, \tilde{x}(x)))^{1/k}$. This will be used in Sect. 5 along with alternative assumptions to Assumptions 1, 2 in order to obtain results on Kolmogorov equations similar to the ones obtained in the present section.

Assumption 3 The functions $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$, $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy that

1. for all $R > 0$, $h \in \{f, c, g\}$, there exists $C_R > 0$ such that $|h(t, x) - h(t, x')| \le C_R |x - x'|$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in B_R$,
2. for $h \in \{f, g\}$, if (p, k) is such that h has (p, k)-Lyapunov derivatives, then there exist Lyapunov functions $V^{s,T}$ and mapping $\tilde{x}$ satisfying the condition in Definition 4 such that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $s \in [0, T]$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$V^{s+\tau,T}(0, \tilde{x}(X_\tau^{s,x}))^{\frac{1}{k}} \le C(1 + V^{s,T}(\tau, \tilde{x}(x))) \quad (40)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and stopping times $\tau \le T - s$.

In addition, the following assumption will be made for our assertions about the Kolmogorov equation.

Assumption 4 The functions b, σ are nonrandom and $O = \mathbb{R}^n$. For each $R \ge 0$, there exists a Borel, locally integrable $K_\cdot(R) : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$2\langle x - y, b(t, x) - b(t, y)\rangle + \|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)\|^2 \le K_t(R) |x - y|^2$$

for all $t \ge 0$, $x, y \in B_R$. For any $s \ge 0$, $T > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued unique up-to-indistinguishability solution $X_t^{s,x}$ to (10) on $[0, T]$. Moreover, for any $T > 0$, there exist $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, open $\tilde{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}}$, $V_0 \in C^{1,2}([0, \infty) \times \tilde{O})$, $\tilde{x} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \tilde{O}$, $\hat{G} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, constant $C \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ such that

(i) there exists a family of Lyapunov functions $(V^{s,T} : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \tilde{O} \to (0, \infty))_{s \in [0,T]}$ that is $(\tilde{n}, \tilde{O}, V_0)$-local in s (as in Definition 3),
(ii) for any $s \ge 0$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that (40) holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and stopping times $\tau \le T$,
(iii) for any $s \ge 0$, it holds that $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \inf_{t \in [0,T]} \hat{G}(t, x) = \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\hat{G}(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \le V^{s,T}(t, \tilde{x}(x))$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 3 The parts about $V^{s,T}$ in Assumptions 3, 4 are satisfied by the Lyapunov functions considered for example in [7, Corollary 2.4]. More specifically, taking α and the functions $U, \bar{U}$ from there, for $\tilde{n} = n + 1$, one may take $V_0 = V_0(t, (x, y)) = e^{U(x)e^{-\alpha t}+y}$ and $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}(x) = (x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then

$$\tilde{b}(t, (x, y)) = (b(t, x), \bar{U}(t, x)), \quad \tilde{\sigma}(t, (x, y)) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(t, x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{G}(t, x) = e^{U(x)e^{-\alpha t}}$$

for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and the latter statements of Assumptions 3, 4 are satisfied given the conditions on U and $\bar{U}$ if $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} U(x) = \infty$ and $\bar{U} \geq 0$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$ everywhere.

Theorem 11 *Let b, σ be nonrandom, let Assumptions 1, 2 hold and let $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $c : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$, $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f(\cdot, x), c(\cdot, x)$ are $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable functions for every $x \in \hat{O}$, satisfying for any $\omega \in \Omega$ that $\int_0^T \sup_{x \in B_R \cap \hat{O}}(|c(t, x)| + |f(t, x)|)dt < \infty$ for every $R > 0$ and $f(t, \cdot)|_{\hat{O}}, c(t, \cdot)|_{\hat{O}}, g|_{\hat{O}} \in C^p(\hat{O})$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Assume there exists $k_2 > 1$ such that f and g have (p, k_2)-Lyapunov derivatives. There exists $K > 1$ such that if for any $1 < k' < K$, c has (p, k')-Lyapunov derivatives, then the following statements hold.*

(i) *For u given by*

$$u(s, t, x) = \int_0^t f(s + r, X_r^{s,x})e^{-\int_0^r c(s+w, X_w^{s,x})dw}dr$$
$$+ g(X_t^{s,x})e^{-\int_0^t c(s+w, X_w^{s,x})dw} \tag{41}$$

defined for $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times O$ and stopping times $t \leq T - s$, the expectation $\mathbb{E}[u(s, t, x)]$ is continuously differentiable in x up to order p.

(ii) *For every multiindex β with $0 \leq |\beta| \leq p$, there exists a finite order polynomial q^*, the degree of which is independent of all of the Lyapunov functions in Assumptions 1, 2 and of the Lyapunov derivatives, such that for $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times O$ and all stopping times $t \leq T - s$, it holds that*

$$|\partial_x^\beta \mathbb{E}[u(s, t, x)]| \leq \mathbb{E}[q^*((V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x)))_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'}, V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)),$$
$$(\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(x)))_{i \in I^*})], \tag{42}$$

where $I^* \subset \mathbb{N}$ is finite, $l_i > 0$ and $\tilde{x}$, $V^{s,T}$ associated to the $(|\beta|, k_2)$-Lyapunov derivatives of f, g and the $(|\beta|, k')$-Lyapunov derivatives of c are representative across any and all of $\{f, c, g\}$ and $k' \in K_0 \subset (1, K)$ for some finite K_0.

(iii) *Let Assumptions 4 hold. Suppose f, c, g are nonrandom and that they satisfy Assumption 3. Suppose the families of Lyapunov functions in Assumptions 1, 2, 3 and for the Lyapunov derivatives of c are local in s (as in Definition 3). For*

any multiindex α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p$, the function $\Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni ((s, t), x) \mapsto$ $|\partial_x^\alpha \mathbb{E}[u(s, t, x)]|$ is locally bounded and if $p \geq 2$, then for any $R > 0$, there exists a constant $N > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[u(s', T - s', x)] - \mathbb{E}[u(s, T - s, x)|] \leq N|s' - s| \tag{43}$$

for all $s, s' \in (0, T)$ and $x \in B_R$.

We prove first a lemma that will used in the proof of Theorem 11. Throughout the proofs of Theorem 11 and of Lemma 12 and consistent with the statement of the results, we omit in the notation any dependence of $V^{s,T}$, $\tilde{x}$ and k_2 on k and h.

Lemma 12 *Let the assumptions of Theorem 11 hold. Suppose there exists $\hat{k}_2 > 1$ such that c has $(p, \hat{k}_2)$-Lyapunov derivatives. For any $h \in \{f, c, g\}$, $k_3 > 0$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in O$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda' \in [0, 1]$, multiindex α and stopping time $t \leq T - s$, such that $k_3 < k_2$ if $h \in \{f, g\}$ and $k_3 < \hat{k}_2$ if $h = c$ as well as $|\kappa| = 1$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p$, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \left| \partial^\alpha h(s + r, \lambda' X_r^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda') X_r^{s,x}) - \partial^\alpha h(s + r, X_r^{s,x}) \right|^{k_3} dr \right] \to 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left| \int_0^1 \partial^\alpha h(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x}) d\lambda - \partial^\alpha h(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \right|^{k_3} \right] \to 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \left| \int_0^1 \partial^\alpha h(s + r, \lambda X_r^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda) X_r^{s,x}) d\lambda - \partial^\alpha h(s + r, X_r^{s,x}) \right|^{k_3} dr \right] \to 0$$
$$\tag{44}$$

as $x' \to x$, where the derivatives ∂^α are in the spatial argument and $g(t, \cdot) = g$.

Proof For any $\epsilon > 0$, $s \in [0, T]$ and stopping time $t \leq T - s$, note that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq u \leq T - s} |X_u^{s,x'} - X_u^{s,x}| \leq \epsilon \right) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X_t^{s,x'} - X_t^{s,x}| \leq \epsilon),$$

so that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, by Theorem 1.7 in [1], it holds that $\lambda X_t^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x} - X_t^{s,x} = \lambda (X_t^{s,x'} - X_t^{s,x}) \to 0$ in probability as $x' \to x$ (sequentially). Therefore for any multiindex α, $\hat{J} := \partial^\alpha h(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x}) - \partial^\alpha h(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \to 0$ in probability by Theorem 20.5 in [29]. Moreover, by (39) and Corollary 4, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[|\partial^\alpha h(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda) X_t^{s,x})|^{k_3}] \leq C\mathbb{E}[1 + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)) + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x'))],$$

so that alongside (31) with $k_1 = k_3$, $k = k_2$ or $k = \hat{k}_2$ and $S = |\hat{J}|$, one obtains $\mathbb{E}[|\hat{J}|^{k_3}] \to 0$ as $x' \to x$. Since C here is independent of t and λ, Jensen's inequality, Fubini's and dominated convergence theorem concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 11 For $x \in O$, $s \in [0, T]$, stopping time $t \leq T - s$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, $|\kappa| = 1$, let $x' := x + r\kappa \in O$ and for $h \in \{f, c, g\}$, denote

$$h'_t := \int_0^1 \nabla h(s + t, \lambda X_t^{s,x'} + (1 - \lambda)X_t^{s,x})d\lambda,$$

$$\tilde{h}(t, x) := h(s + t, X_t^{s,x}),$$

$$c_t^\dagger := \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda \int_0^t c(s+u, X_u^{s,x'})du - (1-\lambda)\int_0^t c(s+u, X_u^{s,x})du}d\lambda,$$

$$\hat{c}(t, x) := e^{-\int_0^t c(s+u, X_u^{s,x})du},$$

where ∇ denotes the gradient in the spatial argument, $g(s + t, \cdot) = g$ and the same for its derivatives. For (i), we show directional differentiability. Let $h \in \{f, g\}$; it holds that

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t, x')\hat{c}(t, x')] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x)]}{r} - \mathbb{E}\left[\nabla h(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \cdot X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x}\hat{c}(t, x) \right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. - \tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x)\int_0^t \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}du\right]\right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t, x')\hat{c}(t, x')] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x')]}{r} - \mathbb{E}[h'_t \cdot X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x}\hat{c}(t, x')]\right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x')] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x)]}{r} + \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{h}(t, x)c_t^\dagger r^{-1}\left(\int_0^t (c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'})\right.\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\left. - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}))du\right)\right]\right| + \left|\mathbb{E}[h'_t \cdot X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x}\hat{c}(t, x)]\right.$$

$$\left. - \mathbb{E}[\nabla h(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \cdot X_{t(\kappa)}^{s,x}\hat{c}(t, x)]\right|$$

$$+ \left|\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{h}(t, x)c_t^\dagger r^{-1}\left(\int_0^t (c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}))du\right)\right]\right.$$

$$\left. - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x)\int_0^t \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}du\right]\right|. \tag{45}$$

The first three terms on the right-hand side of (45) converge to 0 as $r \to 0$ by the fundamental theorem of calculus, (39), Lemma 9 and Lemma 12. For the last term, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{h}(t, x)c_t^\dagger r^{-1}\left(\int_0^t (c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}))du\right)\right]\right.$$

$$\left. - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{h}(t, x)\hat{c}(t, x)\int_0^t \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}du\right]\right|$$

$$\leq \left\|\tilde{h}(t, x)\right\|_{L^{k_2}(\mathbb{P})}\left\|c_t^\dagger\left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x})}{r}\right.\right.\right.$$

$$- \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} \Big) du \Big)$$

$$+ (c_t^{\dagger} - \hat{c}(t, x)) \int_0^t \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} du \bigg\|_{L^{k_2'}(\mathbb{P})}, \tag{46}$$

where $\frac{1}{k_2} + \frac{1}{k_2'} = 1$. By (39) and Corollary 4, we have $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{h}(t, x)|^{k_2} \leq C(1 + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)) + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x')))$. Moreover, Hölder's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big| (c_t^{\dagger} - \hat{c}(t, x)) \int_0^t \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} du \Big|^{k_2'} \bigg]$$

$$\leq \bigg(\mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big| c_t^{\dagger} - \hat{c}(t, x) \Big|^{2k_2'} \bigg] \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg(\mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big| \int_0^t \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} du \Big|^{2k_2'} \bigg] \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{47}$$

For the first factor on the right-hand side, note that by (44) in Lemma 12, we have $\int_0^t c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) du \to \int_0^t c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) du$ in probability as $r \to 0$, so that

$$\hat{S}_t := e^{-\lambda \int_0^t (c(s+u, X_u^{s,x'}) - (1-\lambda) \int_0^t c(s+u, X_u^{s,x})) du} - e^{-\int_0^t c(s+u, X_u^{s,x}) du} \to 0$$

in probability by the continuous mapping theorem and $\mathbb{E}[|c_t^{\dagger} - \hat{c}(t, x)|^{2k_2'}] \leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[|\hat{S}_t|^{2k_2'}] d\lambda \to 0$ as $r \to 0$ by (31) with $k_1 = 2k_2'$, $k > 2k_2'$ and $S = \hat{S}_t$. By setting $K > 2k_2'$, the second factor on the right-hand side of (47) is clearly bounded independently of r (and of t) by Hölder's inequality, our assumption on the derivatives of c and Lemma 9.

For the remaining term in the second factor on the right-hand side of (46), the triangle inequality on $L^{k_2'}(\mathbb{P})$ yields

$$\bigg\| c_t^{\dagger} \int_0^t (r^{-1}(c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x})) - \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}) du \bigg\|_{L^{k_2'}(\mathbb{P})}$$

$$\leq \bigg\| \int_0^t \Big(c_u' \cdot r^{-1}(X_u^{s,x'} - X_u^{s,x}) - \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} \Big) du \bigg\|_{L^{k_2'}(\mathbb{P})}$$

$$\leq \bigg\| \int_0^t c_u' \cdot (r^{-1}(X_u^{s,x'} - X_u^{s,x}) - X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}) du \bigg\|_{L^{k_2'}(\mathbb{P})}$$

$$+ \bigg\| \int_0^t (c_u' - \nabla c(s + u, X_u^{s,x})) \cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} du \bigg\|_{L^{k_2'}(\mathbb{P})}. \tag{48}$$

For the first term of the right-hand side of (48), by Jensen's inequality, Corollary 4, setting $K > 2k_2'$ and our assumption about the derivatives of c, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big| \int_0^t c_u' \cdot \Big(\frac{X_u^{s,x'} - X_u^{s,x}}{r} - X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x} \Big) du \Big|^{k_2'} \bigg]$$

$$\leq T^{k_2'-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{T-s}\left|c_u'\cdot\left(\frac{X_u^{s,x'}-X_u^{s,x}}{r}-X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}\right)\right|^{k_2'}du\right]$$

$$\leq T^{k_2'-1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{T-s}|c_u'|^{2k_2'}du\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{T-s}\left|\frac{X_u^{s,x+r\kappa}-X_u^{s,x}}{r}-X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}\right|^{2k_2'}du\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C\left(1+V^{s,T}(0,\tilde{x}(x'))+V^{s,T}(0,\tilde{x}(x))\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\cdot\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq u\leq T-s}\left|\frac{X_u^{s,x+r\kappa}-X_u^{s,x}}{r}-X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}\right|^{2k_2'}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{49}$$

for C independent of t, which converges to 0 as $r\to 0$ by Lemma 9. For the second term on the right-hand side of (48), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t(c_u'-\nabla c(s+u,X_u^{s,x}))\cdot X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}du\right|^{k_2'}\right]$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_0^{T-s}\mathbb{E}[|c_u'-\nabla c(s+u,X_u^{s,x})|^{2k_2'}]du\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{T-s}|X_{u(\kappa)}^{s,x}|^{2k_2'}du\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{50}$$

The last factor in the right-hand side of (50) is uniformly bounded in r by Lemma 9 and the first factor converges to 0 as $r\to 0$ by Lemma 12.

Putting together the above in (45) gives that $\mathbb{E}[g(X_t^{s,x})e^{\int_0^t c(s+u,X_u^{s,x})du}]$ is directionally differentiable in x. For the other term in the expectation of (41), it suffices to check that after integrating the inequality (45) in t from 0 to $T-s$, the same convergences hold as $r\to 0$. This is true for the first three term on the right-hand side of (45) by the same reasoning as before. It is true for the right-hand side of (46) by dominated (in t) convergence, since the right-hand sides of (47), (49) and (50) are uniformly bounded in $t\in[0,T-s]$ and $r\in[0,\epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon>0$. By induction and largely the same arguments as above, higher order directional derivatives in x of $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{h}(t,x)\hat{c}(t,x)]$ exist and they are sums of expressions of the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\partial^{\beta_1}h(s+t,X_t^{s,x})\hat{c}(t,x)\left(\prod_{\beta_2\in\hat{I}_2}(\partial^{(\beta_2)}X_t^{s,x})_{j_{\beta_2}}\right)\right.$$

$$\left.\cdot\prod_{\beta_3\in\hat{I}_3}\int_0^t\partial^{\beta_3}c(s+u,X_u^{s,x})\prod_{\beta_4\in\hat{I}_{\beta_3}}(\partial^{(\beta_4)}X_u^{s,x})_{j_{\beta_4}}du\right], \tag{51}$$

where $h\in\{f,g\}$, β_1 is a multiindex with $0\leq|\beta_1|\leq p$, $\hat{I}_2$, $\hat{I}_3$, $\hat{I}_{\beta_3}$ are some finite sets of multiindices each with absolute value less than or equal to p and $j_{\beta_2},j_{\beta_4}\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$.

For differentiability of the expectation of (41) in x, note that Theorem 1.2 in [1] may be applied on (24) due to (by Assumption 1 and the same for σ)

$$\int_0^{T-s} |\partial_i b(s + r, X_t^{s,x})| dr$$

$$\leq C\left(1 + \sum_{i \in I_0} \int_0^{T-s} \log V_i(r, \bar{x}_i(x)) dr + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} \log V_{i'}(T - s, \bar{x}_{i'}(x))\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(1 + \sum_{i \in I_0} \int_0^{T-s} V_i(r, \bar{x}_i(x)) dr + \sum_{i' \in I_0'} V_{i'}(T - s, \bar{x}_{i'}(x))\right)$$

and Lemma 8, so that the derivatives in probability $X_{t(\kappa)}$ are unique solutions to (24) for the initial condition κ. Therefore the first directional derivatives from the left-hand side of (45) indeed form a linear map. The same arguments apply for expressions of the form (51) that are directionally differentiable, where additionally Assumption 2, Lemma 9 and Theorem 10 are to be used to control $K_t(1)$ from Theorem 1.2 in [1]. Next, we show continuity in x of expressions of the form (51) (for multiindices with absolute values bounded by p). Note first that $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq u \leq T-s} |\partial^\beta X_u^{s,x'} - \partial^\beta X_u^{s,x}| \leq \epsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(|\partial^\beta X_t^{s,x'} - \partial^\beta X_t^{s,x}| \leq \epsilon)$, therefore $\partial^\beta X_t^{s,x}$ is continuous in probability w.r.t. to x by Theorem 4.10 in [1]. Consequently the product w.r.t. β_2 in (51) and $\partial^{\beta_1} h(s + t, X_t^{s,x})$ are sequentially continuous in probability by Theorem 20.5 in [29]. Lemma 12 and continuous mapping theorem yield that $\hat{c}(t, x)$ is continuous in probability w.r.t. x. For the remaining factors in (51), for $1 < k < K$, we have

$$\int_0^t \left| \partial^{\beta_3} c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) \prod_{\beta_4 \in \hat{I}_{\beta_3}} (\partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x'})_{j_{\beta_4}} \right.$$

$$\left. - \partial^{\beta_3} c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \prod_{\beta_4 \in \hat{I}_{\beta_3}} (\partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x})_{j_{\beta_4}} \right| du$$

$$\leq \int_0^{T-s} \left| \partial^{\beta_3} (c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x})) \prod_{\beta_4 \in \hat{I}_{\beta_3}} (\partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x'})_{j_{\beta_4}} \right| du$$

$$+ \int_0^{T-s} \left| \partial^{\beta_3} c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) \prod_{\beta_4 \in \hat{I}_{\beta_3}} (\partial^{(\beta_4)} (X_u^{s,x'} - X_u^{s,x}))_{j_{\beta_4}} \right| du$$

$$\leq \int_0^{T-s} \left| \partial^{\beta_3} (c(s + u, X_u^{s,x'}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x})) \right| du \prod_{\beta_4 \in \hat{I}_{\beta_3}} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq T-s} \left| \partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x'} \right|$$

$$+ \int_0^{T-s} |\partial^{\beta_3} c(s + u, X_u^{s,x})| du \prod_{\beta_4 \in \hat{I}_{\beta_3}} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq T-s} \left| \partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x'} - \partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x} \right|$$

By Hölder's inequality, Lemma 9 and Theorem 10, the first term on the right-hand side converges to zero in mean, therefore to zero in probability, as $x' \to x$. By Theorem 4.10 in [1] (and the continuous mapping theorem), the second term on the right-hand side also converges to zero in probability. Therefore the left-hand side converges to zero in probability. By the continuous mapping theorem, the term inside the square bracket in (51) is sequentially continuous in probability. Consequently, by (31) with $k_1 = 1$, $k = \frac{1+k_2}{2}$, $S = |J(x') - J(x)|$, where $J(x)$ is equal to the term inside the square brackets in (51), together with Hölder's inequality, inequality (39), our assumption on the derivatives of c with a large enough K, Corollary 4, Lemma 9 and Theorem 10, expectations of the form (51) are continuous functions w.r.t. x and so are their integrals in t by dominated convergence, which concludes the proof for (i).

Using the same arguments and denoting the expression (51) by $\hat{u}$, it holds that

$$
\hat{u} \le C(1 + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)))^{\frac{1}{k_2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le u \le T-s} |\partial^{(\beta_2)} X_u^{s,x}|^{2k_2'} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2k_2'}}
$$

$$
\cdot \prod_{(\beta_3, \beta_4) \in \hat{I}} (1 + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)))^{\frac{1}{c_{\beta_3}}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le u \le T-s} |\partial^{(\beta_4)} X_u^{s,x}|^{c_{\beta_4}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{c_{\beta_4}}}
$$

for some $c_{\beta_3}, c_{\beta_4} > 0$, $\beta_3, \beta_4 \in \hat{I}$ and in particular for some constant C independent of t. The proof for (ii) then concludes by Theorem 10.

Assertion (iii) then follows by Theorem 3.5(iii) in [1], Lemma 28 and by noting that C above is independent of s given the Lyapunov functions are local in s. $\square$

4.2 Twice spatially differentiable solutions

In this section, we prove that the expectation of (41) with $t = T - s$ solves a Kolmogorov equation by the approach in [1]. The main ingredient beside differentiability of the associated semigroups, given in Theorem 11, is that the SDE can be approximated in probability by an Euler-type approximation locally uniformly in initial time and space, which is given in Lemma 13. Throughout this section, we assume $O = \mathbb{R}^n$ and as before that b, σ are nonrandom (this is enforced by Assumption 4).

Lemma 13 *Let Assumption 4 hold. For $I = \{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset [0, \infty)$ with $t_0 = 0$, $t_{k+1} \ge t_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, $\sup_{k \ge 0} t_{k+1} - t_k < \infty$, $s \in [0, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $X_t^{s,x}(I)$ denote the Euler approximation given by $X_0^{s,x}(I) = x$ and*

$$
X_t^{s,x}(I) = X_{t_k}^{s,x}(I) + \int_{t_k}^t b(s+r, X_{t_k}^{s,x}(I))dr + \int_{t_k}^t \sigma(s+r, X_{t_k}^{s,x}(I))dW_r, \quad (52)
$$

on $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $R', T' \ge 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, it holds that

$$
\sup_{s \in [0,T']} \sup_{|x| \le R'} \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \in [0,T']} \left| X_t^{s,x} - X_t^{s,x}(I) \right| \ge \epsilon \right] \to 0
$$

as $\sup_{k \geq 0} t_{k+1} - t_k \to 0$.

Proof We extend the proof of Theorem 1 in [21] to obtain convergence that is uniform with respect to $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in B_R$. Fix the numbers R', $T' \geq 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ be smooth cutoff functions satisfying $\varphi_k(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_k$, $\varphi_k(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash B_{k+1}$ and let $b^{(k)} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma^{(k)} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be given by $b^{(k)} = b\varphi_k$ and $\sigma^{(k)} = \sigma\varphi_k$. Let $Y_t^{s,x,k}(I)$ be the unique solutions to the corresponding SDE with drift $b^{(k)}$ and diffusion coefficient $\sigma^{(k)}$. The corresponding Euler approximation is given by (52) with $Y_0^{s,x,k} = Y_0^{s,x,k}(I) = x$. Fix w.l.o.g. $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [21], one obtains that for any $s \in [0, T']$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \geq R' + 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T'} \left| X_t^{s,x} - X_t^{s,x}(I) \right| > \epsilon \right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T'} \left| Y_t^{s,x,k} - Y_t^{s,x,k}(I) \right| > \epsilon \right)$$
$$+ \mathbb{P}(\tau_{k-1} \leq T'),$$

where $\tau_{k-1} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \left| X_t^{s,x} \right| > k - 1\}$. By Markov's inequality, (the arguments of) Corollary 4 and Assumption 4(iii), it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{k-1} \leq T') \inf_{t \in [s, s+T'], |y|=k-1} \hat{G}(t, y)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}[\hat{G}(s + (\tau_{k-1} \wedge T'), X_{\tau_{k-1} \wedge T'}^{s,x})]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}[V^{s,T'}(\tau_{k-1} \wedge T', \tilde{x}(x))]$$
$$\leq \left\| e^{\int_0^{(\tau_{k-1} \wedge T')} \alpha_u^{s,T'} du} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{s,T'}}{p^{s,T'}-1}}(\mathbb{P})}$$
$$\cdot \left(V_0(s, \tilde{x}(x)) + \int_0^{T'} \left\| \frac{\mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau_{k-1} \wedge T')}(v) \beta_v^{s,T'}}{e^{\int_0^v \alpha_u^{s,T'} du}} \right\|_{L^{p^{s,T'}}(\mathbb{P})} dv \right).$$

For any $0 < \epsilon' < 1$, by the assumption that $V^{s,T'}$ is local in s and continuity of V_0, there exists k^* such that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{k^*-1} \leq T') \leq \frac{\epsilon'}{2}$ for all $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in B_{R'}$. In addition, for any $R > 0$, it holds that

$$2\langle x - y, b^{(k^*)}(t, x) - b^{(k^*)}(t, y) \rangle + \| \sigma^{(k^*)}(t, x) - \sigma^{(k^*)}(t, y) \|^2$$
$$\leq 2\langle x - y, b(t, x) - b(t, y) \rangle \varphi_{k^*}(x) + 2|b(t, y)| |x - y| |\varphi_{k^*}(x) - \varphi_{k^*}(y)|$$
$$+ \| \sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y) \|^2 \varphi_{k^*}(x)^2 + \| \sigma(t, y) \|^2 |\varphi_{k^*}(x) - \varphi_{k^*}(y)|^2$$
$$\leq (K_t(R) + C \sup_{y' \in B_R} (|b(t, y')| + \| \sigma(t, y') \|^2)) |x - y|^2$$

for all $x, y \in B_R$ and

$$2\langle x, b^{(k^*)}(t, x) \rangle + \| \sigma^{(k^*)}(t, x) \|^2 \leq 2(1 + |x|) \sup_{x' \in B_{k^*+1}} (|b(t, x')| + \| \sigma(t, x') \|^2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore Corollary 5.4 in [1] can be applied to obtain

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T']} \sup_{x \in B_R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T'} \left| Y_t^{s, x, k^*} - Y_t^{s, x, k^*}(I) \right| > \epsilon \right) \to 0$$

as $\sup_{k \ge 0} t_{k+1} - t_k \to 0$, which concludes the proof. □

Theorem 14 *Let the assumptions of Theorem* 11 *hold with* $p \ge 2$ *and let Assumption* 4 *hold. Let* f, c, g *be nonrandom and satisfy Assumption* 3. *There exists* $K > 1$ *such that if* c *has* (p, k')-*Lyapunov derivatives for any* $1 < k' < K$ *and the families of Lyapunov functions in Assumptions* 1, 2, 3 *and for the Lyapunov derivatives of* c *are local in* s, *then for* $v : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *given by*

$$v(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[u(t, T - t, x)], \tag{53}$$

with u *as in* (41), *the Eq.* (7) *holds almost everywhere in* $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof Theorem 11, Theorem 3.6 in [1] applied on the SDE (1) appended by (55) and Lemma 28 yield $(\partial_t v + b \cdot \nabla v + a : D^2 v - cv + f)e^{-x'} = 0$ almost everywhere. □

The assumptions in Theorems 11 and 14 remain strictly weaker than those in [1, Lemma 5.10], since Lyapunov functions that are positive polynomials can easily be obtained under the global Lipschitz conditions there.

Alternative to Theorem 14, when b, σ, f, c, g are nonrandom and time-homogeneous, we may use the approach as in Cerrai [11, Theorem 1.6.2] in order to obtain classical solutions to Kolmogorov equations.

Theorem 15 *Let the assumptions of Theorem* 11 *hold with* $p \ge 2$. *Let Assumption* 4 *hold and assume* b, σ, f, c, g *are independent of* ω, t. *There exists* $\bar{K} > 1$ *such that if*

(i) *for any* $k' \in (1, \bar{K}]$, f, c, g *have* (p, k')-*Lyapunov derivatives,*
(ii) *for any Lyapunov function* V *and corresponding mapping* $\hat{x}$ *from Assumptions* 1, 2 *and Definition* 4, *it holds* $\mathbb{P}$-*a.s. that* $V(0, \hat{x}(X_t^x)) \le C(1 + V(t, \hat{x}(x)))$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and* t,

then the function

$$v(t, x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{T-t} f(X_r^x)e^{-\int_0^r c(X_w^x)dw}dr + g(X_{T-t}^x)e^{-\int_0^{T-t} c(X_w^x)dw} \right], \tag{54}$$

defined for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, *is a classical solution to* (7) *on* $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, *in the sense that* $v \in C^{1,2}$, $\partial_t v$, $\nabla_x v$, $D_x^2 v$ *are continuous and* v *satisfies* (7).

Proof For any $s \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x', x'' \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the solutions $X_t^{s,x}$ to (10) appended with the corresponding $\mathbb{R}$-valued solutions $X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}$ and $X_t^{(n+2),s,x''}$ to

$$X_t^{(n+1),s,x'} = x' + \int_0^t c(X_r^{s,x})dr, \tag{55a}$$

$$X_t^{(n+2),s,x''} = x'' + \int_0^t f(X_r^{s,x}) e^{-X_r^{(n+1),s,x'}} dr \tag{55b}$$

on $[0, T]$, denoted $\bar{X}_t^{s,y} = (X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}, X_t^{(n+2),s,x''})$ for $y = (x, x', x'')$. Since b, σ, f, c are independent of t and by Assumption 4 the solution $X^{s,x}$ is unique up-to-indistinguishability, we may identify $\bar{X}_\cdot^{s,y} = (X_\cdot^{s,x}, X_\cdot^{(n+1),s,x}, X_\cdot^{(n+2),s,x})$ with $\bar{X}_\cdot^{0,y} = (X_\cdot^{0,x}, X_\cdot^{(n+1),0,x}, X_\cdot^{(n+2),0,x})$ and thus omit the dependence on s. Let $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\bar{g}(x, x', x'') = x'' + g(x)e^{-x'}$. By Lemma 27, the joint Eqs. (10), (55) and their solutions are regular (Definition 2.1 in [1]). Therefore the Markov property as in Theorem 2.13 in [1] applies. In particular, since $\mathbb{E}[|\bar{g}(\bar{X}_t^{s,y})|] < \infty$ holds by our assumptions on f, c, g, it holds by the usual decomposition into positive and negative parts that

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_t^{y})] = \int \int \bar{g}\left(\bar{X}_{t-r}^{\bar{X}_r^{y}(\omega)}(\omega')\right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_{t-r}^{\cdot})])(\bar{X}_r^{y})] \tag{56}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $r \in [0, t]$. On the other hand, by Theorem 11(i) and $p \geq 2$, $\mathbb{R}^{n+2} \ni y \mapsto \mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_t^{y})]$ is twice continuously differentiable. Therefore by Itô's lemma and (56), it holds for any $t \in [0, T]$, $h > 0$ with $t + h \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y = (x, 0, 0)$ that

$$h^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\bar{g}\left(\bar{X}_{t+h}^{y}\right)\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{g}\left(\bar{X}_t^{y}\right)\right]\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{h}\int_0^h \left((b \cdot \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_t^{\cdot})])(\bar{X}_s^{y}) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\sigma\sigma^\top\right) : D_x^2 \mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_t^{\cdot})]\right)(\bar{X}_s^{y})\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. - c(X_s^x)\mathbb{E}[g(\bar{X}_{t+s}^{y})] + f(X_s^x)e^{-X_s^{(n+1),0}}\right)ds\right]. \tag{57}$$

It remains to show that the right-hand side of (57) is well-behaved as $h \to 0$, that is, it converges to

$$\left(b(x) \cdot \nabla_x + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\sigma\sigma^\top\right)(x)\right) : D_x^2 - c(x)\right)\mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_t^{y})] + f(x). \tag{58}$$

For this, Hölder's inequality and dominated convergence theorem may be used along with Theorem 11(ii). In order to obtain a good enough bound from (42), set $\bar{K} = 2\max(k_2, K)\mathrm{degree}(q^*)$, where k_2, K are constants from Theorem 11 and q^* is the polynomial in Theorem 11(ii). Since f, c, g have (p, k')-Lyapunov derivatives for all $k' \in (1, \bar{K})$ by assumption, for any $h \in \{f, c, g\}$ and $k' \in \{2k_2\mathrm{degree}(q^*), 2K\mathrm{degree}(q^*)\}$, there exist a Lyapunov function V, locally bounded $\tilde{x}$ and constant $N > 0$ satisfying that for any multiindices α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$|\partial^\alpha h(\lambda X_t^x + (1 - \lambda)X_t^{x'})|$$
$$\leq N(1 + V(t, \tilde{x}(x)) + V(t, \tilde{x}(x')))^{\frac{1}{k'}}$$

$$\leq 3N \max \left(1, (V(t, \tilde{x}(x)))^{\frac{1}{2\text{degree}(q^*)}}, (V(t, \tilde{x}(x')))^{\frac{1}{2\text{degree}(q^*)}} \right)^{\frac{2\text{degree}(q^*)}{k'}}$$

$$\leq 3N \left(1 + (1 + V(t, \tilde{x}(x)))^{\frac{1}{2\text{degree}(q^*)}} + (1 + V(t, \tilde{x}(x')))^{\frac{1}{2\text{degree}(q^*)}} \right)^{\frac{2\text{degree}(q^*)}{k'}}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In particular, $(1 + V)^{1/(2\text{degree}(q^*))}$ is itself a Lyapunov function (more precisely it is a $(\tilde{b}_\cdot, \tilde{\sigma}_\cdot, \alpha_\cdot, \beta_\cdot, p^*, (1 + V_0)^{1/(2\text{degree}(q^*))})$-Lyapunov function if V is a $(\tilde{b}_\cdot, \tilde{\sigma}_\cdot, \alpha_\cdot, \beta_\cdot, p^*, V_0)$-Lyapunov function) that satisfies the conditions in the Definition 4 for the $(p, k'/(2\text{degree}(q^*)))$-Lyapunov derivatives of h. Therefore, we may consider $(1 + V)^{1/(2\text{degree}(q^*))}$ to be equal to $V^{s,T}$ appearing in (42). Similar statements can be made for V_i, $\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}$ in (42). With such Lyapunov functions in (42), the aforementioned program for the right-hand side of (57) may be carried out. More specifically, we may apply Proposition 3(ii) and the arguments in the proof of Corollary 4 for the dominating function (the supremum in s of the integrand on the right-hand side of (57)), in order to obtain the limit (58) as $h \to 0$. By Theorem 11(iii) and an induction argument for the derivatives in x, the limit (58) is continuous in t. Moreover, similar arguments may be applied for $h < 0$, which proves continuous differentiability in t and concludes the proof. □

5 Alternative assumptions for time-independent, nonrandom coefficients

In the following, we restrict to the case where b and σ are nonrandom and time-independent, so that we may use Theorem V.39 in [20] in order to get rid of the need for bounds on function values on line segments in terms of the endpoint values. In particular, more local conditions in place of (19), (20), (32) and (39) are obtained. These conditions are stated precisely after first giving Lemma 16 where we use the aforementioned reference.

Lemma 16 *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, b, σ be independent of ω, t and suppose they are continuously differentiable up to order p with locally Lipschitz derivatives. There exists $\Omega \times \Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\omega, t, x) \mapsto \hat{X}_t^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuously differentiable in x up to order p and is for any x indistinguishable from the corresponding derivatives in probability of $X_\cdot^x$.*

Proof By Theorem V.38 and V.39 in [20], continuously differentiable $\hat{X}_t^x$ up to order p exists. Moreover, it satisfies (10) and $\hat{X}_\cdot^x$ is indistinguishable from $X_\cdot^x$. The partial derivatives of $\hat{X}_\cdot^x$ satisfy the systems given by formal differentiation of (10). On the other hand, derivatives in probability of X_t^x as in [1, Theorem 4.10] and Theorem 3.3 above satisfy the same system. Therefore by uniqueness in the aforementioned references,[3] it holds that $\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_\cdot^x$ are the unique solutions to their respective systems for

---

[3] Alternatively, since these systems have terms on right-hand sides that are continuous functions of the partial derivatives and are in particular at most linear in the highest order derivative (see the beginning of proof for Theorem 10), uniqueness holds by continuity of X_t^x in t, (26) in Lemma 9, induction in the number of derivatives and Theorem 1.2 in [1] with $K_t(R) = K_t(1)$ constant in t.

all time and are therefore indistinguishable from the corresponding derivatives in probability $\partial^{(\kappa_\alpha)} X_\cdot^x$ for every x and multiindex α with $0 \le |\alpha| \le p$. $\qquad\square$

The precise assumptions and conditions considered in this section in place of Assumptions 1, 2 and Definition 4 are as follows.

Assumption 5 The functions b, σ are independent of ω, t, they admit locally Lipschitz first derivatives and it holds that $O = \mathbb{R}^n$. There exist continuous $G : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ such that for any $s \in [0, T]$, there exist finite sets $I_0, I_0' \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{n}_i \in \mathbb{N}$, open $\tilde{O}_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i}$ for all $i \in I_0 \cup I_0'$, locally bounded mappings $M : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$, $(\bar{x}_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \tilde{O}_i)_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'}$ and Lyapunov functions $(V_i : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \tilde{O}_i \to (0, \infty))_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'}$ satisfying that

$$\sum_i (|\partial_i b(x)| + \|\partial_i \sigma(x)\|^2) \le G(x) \tag{59}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any $m > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, stopping times $t \le T - s$, inequality (21) holds $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely.

Assumption 5 will be used in Lemma 17 and Theorem 18, 19 as a replacement for Assumption 1. The only substantial difference in this Assumption 5 is that (19), (20) are replaced by the bound (59) above. Moreover, the following Assumption 6 will used in place of Assumption 2.

Assumption 6 There exists $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $b, \sigma \in C^p$. In addition, for all $s \in [0, T]$ and $k \ge 2$, there exist $\hat{n}_k \in \mathbb{N}$, open $\hat{O}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{\hat{n}_k}$, a mapping $\hat{x}_k : O \to \hat{O}_k$, a constant (in particular in s) $M' > 0$ and Lyapunov function $\hat{V}_k^{s,T} : \Omega \times [0, T - s] \times \hat{O}_k \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying for any $x, x' \in O$ and multiindices α with $2 \le |\alpha| \le p$ that it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\left| \partial^\alpha b(X_t^{s,x}) \right| + \|\partial^\alpha \sigma(X_t^{s,x})\|^2 \le M'(1 + \hat{V}_k^{s,T}(t, \hat{x}_k(x)))^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

for all $t \in [0, T - s]$.

The difference between Assumptions 2 and 6 is that (32) is replaced by the inequality above. Lastly, the restriction for f, c, g to have Lyapunov derivatives (as in Definition 4) may be relaxed. In Theorem 19, they will only be required to be Lyapunov derivatives in the following sense.

Definition 5 For $p \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1, h : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \hat{O} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $h(t, \cdot) \in C^p(\hat{O})$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, we say that h has $(p, k)'$-Lyapunov derivatives if there exist $(V^{s,T})_{s \in [0,T]}$ local in s (as in Definition 3), locally bounded $\tilde{x}$ and constant $N > 0$ such that for any $s \in [0, T]$ and multiindices α with $0 \le |\alpha| \le p$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$|\partial^\alpha h(s + t, X_t^{s,x})| \le N(1 + V^{s,T}(t, \tilde{x}(x)))^{\frac{1}{k}} \tag{60}$$

for all stopping times $t \le T - s$, $x \in O$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

The main results of this section is stated as Theorems 17, 18, 19 as follows.

Theorem 17 (Alternative assumptions to Theorem 10) *Under Assumptions 5, 6, for any* $s \in [0, T]$, *constants* $1 \leq l \leq p - 1$, $k_1 > 0$, *there exists* $i^* \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu \geq \frac{k_1}{2}$, $\{l_i\}_{i \in \{1,...,i^*\}} \subset (0, \infty)$ *and a finite order polynomial* q_0, *the degree of which is independent of* s, V_i, $\hat{V}_k^{s,T}$, *such that* (33), (34), (35) *hold for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $T_0 \in [s, T]$, $r \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\kappa_i| = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq l + 1$, $x + r\kappa_{l+1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *where* $\kappa = (\kappa_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l}$, $\bar{\kappa} = (\kappa_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l+1}$ *and* $q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *is given by*

$$
q(y, y') = \mathbb{E}\Bigg[q_0 \Bigg(\Bigg(\int_0^1 V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)y'))d\lambda \Bigg)_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'},
$$
$$
\int_0^1 \hat{V}_{l_1}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_1}(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)y'))d\lambda, (V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(y)))_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'},
$$
$$
(\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(y)))_{i \in \{2,...,i^*\}}, (\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(y')))_{i \in \{2,...,i^*\}} \Bigg) \Bigg].
$$

If V_i *and* $\hat{V}_k^{s,T}$ *are local in* s *for every* i, k, *then the form of the polynomial* q_0 *is independent of* s.

Proof The proof strategy is more or less the same as in the one used in the previous proof for Theorem 10. The difference is encapsulated by following along the proof of Lemma 9 using the same notation as before. Note first (26) follows unperturbed. By Lemma 16, classical derivatives are indistinguishable from derivatives in probability and we use the properties of both without changing the notation in the following. As consequence and in place of (28), it holds that

$$
dX_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} = r \int_0^1 (X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)b(X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda dt + r \int_0^1 (X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)\sigma(X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda dW_t.
$$

Note that since for every t and $\mathbb{P}$-almost all ω, the functions X_t^x, $X_{t(\kappa)}^x$ are continuous in x, the integrands on the right-hand side are $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$-measurable by Lemma 4.51 in [27] and the integrals (in λ) themselves are adapted. For any $\hat{k} \geq 1$, by (59), the drift coefficient satisfies

$$
2r X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)} \cdot \int_0^1 (X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)b(X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda
$$
$$
\leq 2r|X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)}| \int_0^1 |(X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)b(X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})|d\lambda
$$
$$
\leq 2r|X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)}| \int_0^1 |X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa}|G(X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda
$$
$$
\leq \int_0^1 \Big(|X_{t(\kappa)}^{(r)}|^2 + r^2|X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa}|^2 \Big)G(t, X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda
$$

and the diffusion coefficient satisfies

$$\left\| r \int_0^1 (X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)\sigma(X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda \right\|^2 \le r^2 \int_0^1 \left| X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \right|^2 G(t, X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda.$$

Consequently, Proposition 3 can be applied with

$$\hat{b}_t = r \int_0^1 (X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)b(X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda, \ \hat{\sigma}_t = r \int_0^1 (X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \cdot \nabla)\sigma(X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda,$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = \int_0^1 G(t, X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda, \ \hat{\beta}_t = 4(k \vee 1)r^2 \int_0^1 \left| X_{t(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \right|^2 G(t, X_t^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda,$$

$$p^* = 2k \vee 2, \ q_1 = \frac{k}{2}, \ q_2 = \left(\frac{2}{k} - \frac{1}{k \vee 1}\right)^{-1}, \ q_3 = k \vee 1, \ V_0(t,x) = |x|^2,$$

to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le u \le t} \left| X_{u(\kappa)}^{(r)} \right|^k \right]$$

$$\le Cr^k \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{q_2 \int_0^t \int_0^1 G(s, X_s^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda ds} \right] \right)^{\frac{k}{2q_2}}$$

$$\cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[1 + 4(k \vee 1) \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| X_{u(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \right|^2 G(u, X_u^{x+\lambda r\kappa})d\lambda ds \right]^{k\vee 1} \right)^{\frac{k}{2(k\vee 1)}}$$

$$\le Cr^k \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{q_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^t G(s, X_s^{x+\lambda r\kappa})ds d\lambda} \right] \right)^{\frac{k}{2q_2}}$$

$$\cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[1 + \int_0^1 \sup_{0 \le u \le t} \left| X_{u(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \right|^{2k\vee 2} \left(\int_0^t G(u, X_u^{x+\lambda r\kappa})du \right)^{k\vee 1} d\lambda \right] \right)^{\frac{k}{2(k\vee 1)}}$$

$$\le Cr^k \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{q_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^t G(s, X_s^{x+\lambda r\kappa})ds d\lambda} \right] \right)^{\frac{k}{2q_2}}$$

$$\cdot \left(1 + \left(\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le u \le t} \left| X_{u(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \right|^{4k\vee 4} \right] d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right.$$

$$\left. \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t G(u, X_u^{x+\lambda r\kappa})du \right)^{2k\vee 2} d\lambda \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{k}{2(k\vee 1)}}. \tag{61}$$

After Jensen's inequality, the first expectation on the right-hand side can be dealt by Lemma 8 (the same as in the proof of Lemma 9). By (26), the second expectation has the bound

$$\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le u \le t} \left| X_{u(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} \right|^{4k\vee 4} \right] d\lambda \le \int_0^1 \rho \left(1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x + \lambda r\kappa))] \right) d\lambda \tag{62}$$

and, by (21) and Lemma 8, the third and last expectation has the bound

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t G(u, X_u^{x+\lambda r\kappa})du\right)^{2k\vee 2} d\lambda\right]
$$

$$
\leq C \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(1 + \sum_{i\in I_0} \int_0^t \log V_i(u, \bar{x}_i(x+\lambda u\kappa))du\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left. + \sum_{i'\in I_0'} \log V_{i'}(t, \bar{x}_{i'}(x+\lambda r\kappa))\right)^{2k\vee 2}\right]d\lambda
$$

$$
\leq C \int_0^1 \left(1 + \sum_{i\in I_0} t^{2k\vee 2-1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[V_i(u, \bar{x}_i(x+\lambda r\kappa))]du\right.
$$

$$
\left. + \sum_{i'\in I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_{i'}(t, \bar{x}_{i'}(x+\lambda r\kappa))]\right)d\lambda
$$

$$
\leq C \int_0^1 \left(1 + \sum_{i\in I_0\cup I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x+\lambda r\kappa))]\right)d\lambda. \tag{63}
$$

Gathering Lemma 8, (62) and (63), the bound (61) becomes

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq u\leq t}|X_{u(\kappa)}^{(r)}|^k\right] \leq Cr^k\left(\int_0^1 \left(1 + \sum_{i\in I_0\cup I_0'} \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x+\lambda r\kappa))]\right)d\lambda\right)^{\frac{k}{2q_2}+\frac{k}{2(k\vee 1)}},
$$

which, by definition of q_2, proves that the conclusion of Lemma 9 holds except with $W(x, r\kappa) = 1 + \sum_{i\in I_0\cup I_0'} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x+\lambda r\kappa))]d\lambda$. The same type of arguments may be applied in the proof of Theorem 10 to obtain the assertions here. In particular, the main point is to use that expressions of the form $h(s+u, X_u^{s,x'}) - h(s+u, X_u^{s,x}) = \int_0^1 \nabla h(s+u, \lambda X_u^{s,x'} + (1-\lambda)X_u^{s,x}) \cdot (X_u^{s,x'} - X_u^{s,x})d\lambda$ may be replaced by $\int_0^1 \nabla h(t, X_u^{x+\lambda r\kappa}) \cdot r X_{u(\kappa)}^{x+\lambda r\kappa} d\lambda$. The detailed arguments are omitted.   □

Theorem 18 (Alternative assumptions to Theorem 11) *Let Assumptions 5, 6 hold. Let $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$, $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f(\cdot, x), c(\cdot, x)$ are $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, T])$-measurable functions for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying for any $\omega \in \Omega$ that $\int_0^T \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}(|c(t, x)| + |f(t, x)|)dt < \infty$ for every $R > 0$ and $f(t, \cdot), c(t, \cdot), g \in C^p$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Assume there exists $k_2 > 1$ such that f and g have $(p, k_2)'$-Lyapunov derivatives. There exists $K > 1$ such that if for any $1 < k' < K$, c has $(p, k')'$-Lyapunov derivatives, then the following statements hold.*

(i) *For u given by (41), defined for $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and stopping times $t \leq T - s$, the expectation $\mathbb{E}[u(s, t, x)]$ is continuously differentiable in x up to order p.*

(ii) *For every multiindex β with $0 \leq |\beta| \leq p$, there exists a finite order polynomial q^*, the degree of which is independent of all of the Lyapunov functions in Assumptions 5, 6 and of the Lyapunov derivatives, such that for $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and*

all stopping times $t \leq T - s$, it holds that

$$|\partial_x^\beta \mathbb{E}[u(s, t, x)]|$$

$$\leq q^* \bigg(\int_0^1 V(0, \bar{x}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x'))d\lambda, \int_0^1 V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x'))d\lambda,$$

$$\int_0^1 \hat{V}_{l_1}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_1}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x'))d\lambda, V(0, \bar{x}(x)), V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)),$$

$$\hat{V}_{l_i}^{s,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(x)) : i \in I^* \bigg),$$

where $I^* \subset \mathbb{N}$ is finite, $l_i > 0$ and $\tilde{x}$, $V^{s,T}$ associated to the $(|\beta|, k_2)'$-Lyapunov derivatives of f, g and the $(|\beta|, k')'$-Lyapunov derivatives of c are representative across any and all of $\{f, c, g\}$ and $k' \in K_0 \subset (1, K)$ for some finite K_0.

(iii) *Let Assumption 4 hold. Suppose f, c, g are nonrandom and satisfy Assumption 3. Suppose that the families of Lyapunov functions in Assumptions 5, 6, 3 and for the Lyapunov derivatives of c are local in s. For any multiindex α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p$, the function $\Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni ((s, t), x) \mapsto |\partial_t^\alpha \mathbb{E}[u(s, t, x)]|$ is locally bounded and if $p \geq 2$, then for any $R > 0$, there exists a constant $N > 0$ such that (43) holds for all $s, s' \in (0, T)$ and $x \in B_R$.*

For the proof of Theorem 18, Lemma 12 can easily be modified using what has already been mentioned in the proof of Theorem 17, so that Theorem 11(i) holds. Proofs for the other assertions of Theorem 11 follow in very similar ways. The same applies for the following Theorems 19, 20. The precise arguments are therefore omitted.

Theorem 19 (Alternative assumptions to Theorem 14) *Let the assumptions of Theorem 18 hold with $p \geq 2$ and let Assumption 4 hold. Let f, c, g be nonrandom and satisfy Assumption 3 (with $(p, k)'$-Lyapunov derivatives replacing (p, k)-Lyapunov derivatives). There exists $K > 1$ such that if c has $(p, k')'$-Lyapunov derivatives for any $1 < k' < K$ and the families of Lyapunov functions in Assumptions 5, 6, 3 and for the Lyapunov derivatives of c are local in s, then for $v : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by (53) with u as in (41), the Eq. (7) holds almost everywhere in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Theorem 20 (Alternative assumptions to Theorem 15) *Let the assumptions of Theorem 18 hold with $p \geq 2$. Let Assumption 4 hold and assume b, σ, f, c, g are independent of ω, t. There exists $\bar{K} > 1$ such that if*

1. *for any $k' \in (1, \bar{K}]$, f, c, g have $(p, k')'$-Lyapunov derivatives,*
2. *for any Lyapunov function V and corresponding mapping $\hat{x}$ from Assumptions 5, 6 and Definition 5, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $V(0, \hat{x}(X_t^x)) \leq C(1 + V(t, \hat{x}(x)))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in [0, T]$,*

then the function v given by (54) for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a classical solution to (7) on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, in the sense that $v \in C^{1,2}$, $\partial_t v$, $\nabla_x v$, $D_x^2 v$ are continuous and v satisfies (7).

6 Weak convergence rates for approximations under Lyapunov conditions

Here, the results in Sect. 3 are used with the exponential integrability property of stopped increment-tamed Euler–Maruyama schemes from [10] in order to establish weak convergence rates for SDEs with non-globally monotone coefficients. The well-known proof (see [2, Theorem 14.5.2]), establishing weak rates for the Euler–Maruyama scheme approximating (10) with globally Lipschitz coefficients, requires bounds on derivatives of the expectation (53), the Kolmogorov Eq. (7) and moment bounds on the discretization. Although analogous requirements have mostly been shown to be met in the setting here, the Itô–Alekseev–Gröbner formula of [5] is used (in the form of Proposition 7) for a more direct proof, which uses moment estimates on derivative processes as the main prerequisites. Along the way, strong completeness (see e.g. [30] for a definition) of the derivative SDEs as in (24) (and its higher order analogues) are shown in Lemma 22 using a result of [7]. The same assertions as those in Lemma 22 up to order 2 have appeared recently in [6] under different assumptions. The approach here uses the results in [20] for continuous differentiability in initial condition as a starting point and consequently requires (at least at face value) the underlying space to be all of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Before the aforementioned strong completeness result, a local Hölder continuity in time result in the strong $L^p(\mathbb{P})$ sense for derivatives to our SDE is shown in Lemma 21.

We begin by stating the numerical scheme and assumptions from [10] (amongst which is a Lyapunov-type condition) used for its exponential integrability. Assumptions about the relationship between the Lyapunov(-type) functions there and those in Assumptions 1, 2 are stated alongside, as well as some assumptions from Proposition 7. Lemma 22 serves to verify the rest of the assumptions in Proposition 7 for use in proving the main Theorem 23. More specifically, Lemma 22 verifies the continuous differentiability conditions and the finiteness in expectation conditions as assumed in Proposition 7.

Assumption 7 (i) The filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \sigma(W_s : s \in [0, t]) \cup \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}(A) = 0\})$ and that $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\sigma(W_s : s \in [0, T])$ are independent. It holds that $O = \mathbb{R}^n$ and b, σ are independent of ω, t.

(ii) There exist $\gamma, \rho \geq 0$, $\gamma', c' > 0$, $\xi, c > 1$, $\bar{U}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $U \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, \infty))$, $\bar{U} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\bar{U} > \bar{U}_0$, $U(x) \geq c'|1 + x|^{\gamma'}$ and

$$
\sup_{\substack{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}: \\ |\kappa_1| = \cdots = |\kappa_j| = 1}} \left| \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_j = 1}^{n} \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_j} (U(x) - U(y))(\kappa_1)_{i_1} \cdots (\kappa_j)_{i_j} \right|
$$

$$
\leq c|x - y| \left(1 + \sup_{\lambda \in [0,1]} |U(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)| \right)^{\left(1 - \frac{j+1}{\xi}\right) \vee 0},
$$

$$
|\partial^\alpha b(x)| + \|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)\| + |\bar{U}(x)| \leq c(1 + U(x))^\gamma,
$$

$$
\frac{|\bar{U}(x) - \bar{U}(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq c(1 + |U(x)|^\gamma + |U(y)|^\gamma),
$$

$$LU(x) + \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma^\top \nabla U(x)\|^2 + \bar{U}(x) \le \rho U(x). \tag{64}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and multiindices α with $0 \le |\alpha| \le 2$.

(iii) For any $\theta \in \Theta := \{\theta = (t_0, \ldots, t_{n^*}) : n^* \in \mathbb{N}, t_k \in [0, T], t_k < t_{k+1}, k \in \{1, \ldots, n^* - 1\}, t_0 = 0, t_{n^*} = T\}$, the function $Y_\cdot^\theta : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous process satisfying $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[e^{U(Y_0^\theta)}] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$Y_t^\theta = Y_{t_k}^\theta + \mathbb{1}_{\{y : |y| < \exp(|\log \sup_k t_{k+1} - t_k|^{\frac{1}{2}})\}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)$$
$$\cdot \left[\frac{b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(t - t_k) + \sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(W_t - W_{t_k})}{1 + |b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(t - t_k) + \sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(W_t - W_{t_k})|^{q'}} \right] \tag{65}$$

on $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ for each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n^* - 1\}$, where $q' \ge 3$.

(iv) Assumptions 1 and 2 hold with $p \ge 3$. There exists $0 < l^* \le 1$ such that for any $V' \in \{V_i, \hat{V}_k^{s,T} : i \in I_0 \cap I_0, s \in [0, T], 2 \le |\alpha| \le p - 1, k \ge 2\}$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$V'(0, \tilde{x}'(X_{s,\tau}^y))^{l^*} \le C(1 + V'(\tau - s, \tilde{x}'(y))),$$
$$V'(0, \tilde{x}'(y))^{l^*} \le C(1 + e^{U(y)e^{-\rho T}})$$

for all $s \in [0, T]$, stopping times $\tau \le T - s$, $y \in \cup_{\theta \in \Theta} \text{Range}(Y_\cdot^\theta)$, where $\tilde{x}' = \bar{x}_i$ if $V' = V_i$, $\tilde{x}' = \hat{x}_k$ otherwise and $X_{s,t}^y$ solves

$$X_{s,t}^y = y + \int_s^t b(X_{s,u}^y)du + \int_s^t \sigma(X_{s,u}^y)dW_u. \tag{66}$$

Remark 4 (a) Assumption 7(ii) implies that the mapping $(t, x, y) \mapsto e^{U(x)e^{-\rho t}+y}$ is a Lyapunov function in the sense of [26, Theorem 3.5] for an extended system (see the proof of Corollary 3.3 in [19]), so that for all $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique up to distinguishability, $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous solution to (66) and for $t \in [s, T]$ it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $X_{t,T}^{X_{s,t}^x} = X_{s,T}^x$.

(b) In Assumption 7(i), the assertions about $\mathcal{F}_t$ are essentially from [5]. We set O to be the whole space and fix b and σ to be time-independent and nonrandom in order to use continuous differentiability in initial value from [20] and to use the exponential integrability results of [10].

(c) Assumptions 7(ii) and 7(iii) also follow closely the assumptions in [8, 10]. Here, two things are of note. Firstly, q' is assumed to be greater than or equal to 3 rather than 1 in the denominator of the expression for Y_t^θ; this assumption is made in order to ensure well-behavedness of some higher order terms in the Itô–Alekseev–Gröbner expansion such that weak convergence rate of order 1 is attained. Secondly, the lower bound $U \ge c'|1 + x|^{\gamma'}$ and (64) are not strictly necessary. This is useful for determining that the main assumptions genuinely

generalize the globally Lipschitz case, where the Lyapunov functions are polynomial and U grows like $\log x$. More precise generalizing assumptions are given in Remark 5. Note that these in turn relax the regularity conditions on b, σ in [2] for order one weak convergence rates.

(d) The Lipschitz estimate on U with $j = 0$ in (ii) easily gives that U is polynomially bounded, so that the set under the indicator function in (iii) indeed satisfies the assumptions in [10], as used in [8, 10].

(e) Item (iv) (and in general Assumption 7) are easily satisfied by the examples mentioned here and in particular if all of the Lyapunov functions have $V_0 = e^{U(x)e^{-\rho t}}$ (as in Theorem 2) or $V_0 = e^{U(x)e^{-\rho t}+y}$ (as in again proof of Corollary 3.3 in [19]).

In the following, for any $s \in [0, T]$, we extend the definition of any process Z_t defined on $[s, T]$ to $[0, T]$ by setting $Z_t = Z_s$ for $t \in [0, s)$. In the proofs, many computations are close in spirit to those in Lemma 9, Theorem 10 and so are compressed.

Lemma 21 *Under Assumption* 7, *for any* $k_1 > 2(n + 1)$, $R > 0$, *there exist constants* $C > 0$, $n + 1 < \nu_1 \leq k_1$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{u\in[s,t]} |\partial^{(\kappa)} X_{s,u}^x - \partial^{(\kappa)} X_{s,s}^x|^{k_1}\right] < C|t - s|^{\nu_1}$$

for all $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$, $x \in B_R$, $\kappa \in \{(\kappa_i)_{1\leq i\leq p_0} : \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\kappa_i| = 1, 1 \leq i \leq p, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, p]\}$.

Proof By (34) in Theorem 10 (with a time-shifted Wiener process and filtration) and using that $\partial^{(\kappa)} X_{s,s}^x = 0$ (for κ in the following set), the existence of such constants have already been shown for $\kappa \in \{(\kappa_i)_{1\leq i\leq p_0} : \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\kappa_i| = 1, 1 \leq i \leq p, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [2, p]\}$. Using Assumption 7(ii), Corollaries 5, 6 as well as Jensen's inequality, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{u\in[s,t]} |X_{s,u}^x - x|^{k_1}\right] \leq C e^{k_1(t-s)}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(t-s)^{k_1-1}\int_0^{t-s} e^{U(X_{s,s+u}^x)e^{-\rho u}-2k_1 u} du\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C e^{k_1(t-s)}\left((t-s)^{k_1-1}\int_0^{t-s} e^{U(x)} du\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C|t - s|^{\frac{k_1}{2}}$$

for all $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$, $x \in B_R$. Using in addition Assumption 1, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{u\in[s,t]} |\partial^{(\kappa_i)} X_{s,u}^x - \kappa_i|^{k_1}\right]$$

$$\leq C\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{m(\sum_{i\in I_0}\int_0^{t-s}\log V_i(u,\bar{x}_i(x))+\sum_{i'\in I_0'}\log V_{i'}(t-s,\bar{x}_{i'}(x)))}\right)^{2k_1}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\cdot\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(t-s)^{k_1-1}\int_0^{t-s} e^{U(X_{s,s+u}^x)e^{-\rho u}} du\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C\left(1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(x))\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((t-s)^{k_1-1} \int_0^{t-s} e^{U(x)} du\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C|t-s|^{\frac{k_1}{2}}$$

for all $(s,t) \in \Delta_T$, $x \in B_R$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\kappa_i| = 1$. $\qquad\qquad\square$

The following lemma verifies the corresponding assumptions in Proposition 7 under Assumption 7. Moreover, it is shown that the estimates therein hold uniformly with respect to the discretization $\theta \in \Theta$.

Lemma 22 *Let Assumption* 7 *hold. There exists a function* $\Omega \times \Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\omega, (s,t), x) \mapsto \bar{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *such that*

- *it holds* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s. that for any* $(s,t) \in \Delta_T$, $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto \bar{X}_{s,t}^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *is continuously differentiable in* x *up to order* $p-1$ *and the derivative* $\Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni ((s,t), x) \mapsto \partial^\alpha \bar{X}_{s,t}^x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *is continuous for all multiindices* α *with* $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p-1$,
- *for any* $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *the function* $\partial^\alpha \bar{X}_{s,\cdot}^x$ *is indistinguishable from* $\partial^{(\kappa_\alpha)} X_{s,\cdot}^x$ *for all multiindices* α *with* $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p-1$.

Moreover, for any $p^\dagger > 0$, *it holds that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p-1} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|b\left(\bar{X}_{s,t}^{Y_s^\theta}\right)\right|^{p^\dagger} + \left\|\sigma\left(\bar{X}_{s,t}^{Y_s^\theta}\right)\right\|^{p^\dagger} + \left|\partial^\alpha \bar{X}_{t,T}^{\bar{X}_{r,s}^{Y_r^\theta}}\right|^{p^\dagger}\right] < \infty.$$

Proof By Lemma 16 (with time-shifted Wiener process and filtration), derivatives in probability $\partial^{(\kappa_\alpha)} X_{s,\cdot}^x$ are indistinguishable from classical derivatives $\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,\cdot}^x$. In order to use Corollary 3.10 in [7], we show that for each $R > 0$, $k_1 > 2(n+1)$, it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p-1} \sup_{x,x' \in B_R} \sup_{s,s' \in [0,T]} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^{x'} - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,t}^x|^{k_1}]}{(|x'-x|^2 + |s'-s|^2)^{\frac{\nu_1}{2}}} < \infty, \qquad (67)$$

where ν_1 is the same constant from Lemma 21. The marginal differences in x and s in the numerator are considered separately. By Lemma 9 or Theorem 10, the difference term in x in the numerator of (67) has the bound

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^{x'} - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^x|^{k_1}\right] \leq C|x'-x|^{k_1}$$

for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x, x' \in B_R$, which is the desired Hölder bound for (67). For the difference term in s in the numerator of (67), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,t}^x|^{k_1}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [s \wedge s', s \vee s']} |\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s \wedge s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s \vee s', s \vee s'}^x|^{k_1}\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [s \vee s', T]} |\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,t}^x|^{k_1}\right], \qquad (68)$$

where the first term on the right-hand side has the desired Hölder bound for (67) by Lemma 21. For the second term, by Assumption 7(iv) and Lemma 13, combined with Theorem 5.3 in [1], the joint system solved by $(\partial^\alpha X_{s,t}^x)_{0\leq|\alpha|\leq p-1}$ is regular [1, Definition 2.1] and the same holds for the sum $(\partial^\alpha X_{s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha X_{s,t}^x)_{0\leq|\alpha|\leq p-1}$ by an easy argument; therefore the strong Markov property (Theorem 2.13 in [1] with Proposition 4.1.5 in [31]) yields for any $R' > 0$ that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[s\vee s',T]}|\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,t}^x|^{k_1} \wedge R'\right]
$$

$$
= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[s\vee s',T]}|\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,t}^x|^{k_1} \wedge R'\bigg|\mathcal{F}_{s\vee s'}\right]\right]
$$

$$
= \int\int \sup_{t\in[s\vee s',T]}\left|\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s\vee s',t}^{(\partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\wedge s',s\vee s'}^x(\omega))_\beta}(\omega')\right.
$$

$$
\left. - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s\vee s',t}^x(\omega')\right|^{k_1} \wedge R'\, d\mathbb{P}(\omega')d\mathbb{P}(\omega), \tag{69}
$$

where $\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s\vee s',t}^{(\partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\wedge s',s\vee s'}^x(\omega))_\beta}(\omega')$ denotes the solution to the same (joint) system as $\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s\vee s',t}^x(\omega')$ but with initial conditions $\partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\wedge s',s\vee s'}^x(\omega)$ for $0 \leq |\beta| \leq p-1$ for each respective partial derivative in place of the initial conditions x, e_i or 0. Then the proofs of Lemma 9 and Theorem 10 may be slightly modified in order to obtain analogous statements for the expectation in ω' in (69); the modification is namely that the initial condition (fixed with respect to ω') as mentioned can be added with no complications[4] when Corollary 5 is applied. Given this, it holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[s\vee s',T]}|\partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s',t}^x - \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,t}^x|^{k_1} \wedge R'\right]
$$

$$
\leq C \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \mathbb{E}\left[|\partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\wedge s',s\vee s'}^x - \partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\vee s',s\vee s'}^x|^{k_1}\right],
$$

$$
= C \sum_{\beta=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \mathbb{E}\left[|\partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\wedge s',s\vee s'}^x - \partial^\beta \hat{X}_{s\wedge s',s\wedge s'}^x|^{k_1}\right],
$$

for all $x \in B_R$, $s, s' \in [0,T]$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p-1$, which, by Lemma 21 and dominated convergence in R', implies that the last term on the right-hand side of (68) has the desired Hölder bound for (67). Gathering the above and using the triangle inequality, (67) holds. Consequently, using on the way Lemma 9 and Theorem 10, Corollary 3.10 in [7] may be applied with $\beta = \frac{\nu_1}{k_1}$, $D = [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $E = F = C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^n)$, $X = (\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\omega, s, x) \mapsto \partial^\alpha \hat{X}_{s,\cdot}^x(\omega) \in C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^n))$ to obtain for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p-1$ existence of an $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0,T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-measurable $\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\omega, s, x) \mapsto \overline{\partial^\alpha X}_{s,\cdot}^x(\omega) \in C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for

---

[4] Actually the $T - s$ term is lost on the right-hand side of (33) but that's not important here.

all $\omega \in \Omega$, the function $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (s, x) \mapsto \overline{\partial^\alpha X}^x_{s,\cdot} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous and for any $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $\overline{\partial^\alpha X}^x_{s,\cdot}$ is indistinguishable from $\partial^\alpha \hat{X}^x_{s,\cdot}$.

Since partial integrals of (jointly) continuous functions are still continuous, we may partially integrate $|\alpha|$ times each $\Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni ((s, t), x) \mapsto \overline{\partial^\alpha X}^x_{s,t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from 0 to x_i in order to obtain for each α, ω a continuous function $\Delta_T \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni ((s, t), x) \mapsto \bar{X}^{x,\alpha}_{s,t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (where at each integration, continuous functions of the form $((s, t), x) \mapsto \overline{\partial^\beta X}^{(x_1,\ldots,0,\ldots,x_n)}_{s,t}$ and subsequently their integrals are added in line with the fundamental theorem of calculus, which have zero partial derivative). For any $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$ and α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p - 1$, by definition of $\overline{\partial^\alpha X}^x_{s,t}$ and its continuity in x, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\overline{\partial^\alpha X}^x_{s,t} = \partial^\alpha \hat{X}^x_{s,t}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that their partial integrals in x are also $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. equal for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and in particular it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\bar{X}^{x,\alpha}_{s,t} = \hat{X}^x_{s,t}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, by continuity in (s, t), x, these functions coincide $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. across α, that is, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that $\bar{X}^{x,\alpha}_{s,t} = \bar{X}^{x,\alpha'}_{s,t}$ and thus $\partial^\beta \bar{X}^{x,\alpha}_{s,t} = \partial^\beta \bar{X}^{x,\alpha'}_{s,t}$ for all $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and multiindices α, α', β with $|\alpha|, |\alpha'|, |\beta| \in [0, p - 1]$. Let this $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. defined function be denoted by $\bar{X}^x_{s,t}$, then the assertions about $\bar{X}^x_{s,t}$ in the statement of the lemma have been shown.

For the last assertion, the Markov property (Theorem 2.13 in [1]) will be applied repeatedly without further mention. Since Assumption 7(ii) implies in particular for any $p^\dagger > 0$ that

$$|b(x)|^{p^\dagger} + \|\sigma(x)\|^{p^\dagger} \leq Ce^{U(x)e^{-\rho t}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in [0, T]$, by Corollary 6 and Assumption 7(ii), it holds that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}[|b(\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_s}_{s,t})|^{p^\dagger} + \|\sigma(\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_s}_{s,t})\|^{p^\dagger}]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{U(\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_s}_{s,t})e^{-\rho(t-s)}}\right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{U(\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_s}_{s,t})e^{-\rho(t-s)}+\int_s^t \bar{U}(\bar{X}^{Y_s}_{s,u})e^{-\rho(u-s)}du}\right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{U(Y^\theta_s)}\right],$$

which is finite by Theorem 2.9 in [10]. For any $p^\dagger > 0$, by Assumption 7(ii), Corollary 6 and that $e^{-\rho(s-r)}, e^{-\rho r} < 1$, it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\bar{X}^{\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_r}_{r,s}}_{t,T}\right|^{p^\dagger}\right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(U(\bar{X}^{\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_r}_{r,s}}_{t,T})e^{-\rho(T-t)}e^{-\rho(s-r)}e^{-\rho r}\right.$$

$$\left. + \int_t^T \bar{U}(\bar{X}^{\bar{X}^{Y^\theta_r}_{r,s}}_{t,u})e^{-\rho(u-t)}e^{-\rho(s-r)}e^{-\rho r}du)\right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{U(\bar{X}_{r,s}^{Y_r^\theta})e^{-\rho(s-r)}e^{-\rho r}} \right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{U(\bar{X}_{r,s}^{Y_r^\theta})e^{-\rho(s-r)}e^{-\rho r} + \int_r^s \bar{U}(\bar{X}_{r,u}^{Y_r^\theta})e^{-\rho(u-r)}e^{-\rho r}du} \right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{U(Y_r^\theta)e^{-\rho r}} \right],$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$, which is finite uniformly in θ by Theorem 2.9 in [10].

For the higher derivatives, first note that for V_0 satisfying (14) and $0 < l < 1$, (14) is also satisfied with $(V_0+1)^l$ in place of V_0. Moreover, the respective Lyapunov functions they generate satisfy Assumptions 1 and 2. Therefore, for any $\tilde{I} \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, p-1]$, $\kappa \in \{(\kappa_i)_{i=1,\dots,\tilde{I}} : \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\kappa_i| = 1\}$, we may choose $l = \frac{(l^*)^2}{\text{degree}(q_0)}$, with q_0 from Theorem 10, so that for $\tilde{p}^\dagger > 0$, by Lemma 9 or Theorem 10, Young's inequality, Assumptions 7(ii)(iv) and Corollary 4, it holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left| \partial^{(\kappa)} X_{t,T}^{X_{r,s}^{Y_r^\theta}} \right|^{\tilde{p}^\dagger} \right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(X_{r,s}^{Y_r^\theta}))^{(l^*)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{i^*} \hat{V}_{l_i}^{0,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(X_{r,s}^{Y_r^\theta}))^{(l^*)^2} \right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} V_i(s-r, \bar{x}_i(Y_r^\theta))^{l^*} + \sum_{i=1}^{i^*} \hat{V}_{l_i}^{0,T}(s-r, \hat{x}_{l_i}(Y_r^\theta))^{l^*} \right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[1 + \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_0'} V_i(0, \bar{x}_i(Y_r^\theta))^{l^*} + \sum_{i=1}^{i^*} \hat{V}_{l_i}^{0,T}(0, \hat{x}_{l_i}(Y_r^\theta))^{l^*} \right]$$

$$\leq C \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \mathbb{E}\left[1 + e^{U(Y_r^\theta)e^{-\rho T}} \right],$$

where C is in particular independent of $\kappa \in \{(\kappa_i)_{i=1,\dots,\tilde{I}} : \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\kappa_i| = 1\}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, so that the right-hand side is finite uniformly in θ by Theorem 2.9 in [10] and also uniformly in $\tilde{I}$. □

The main theorem of this section about weak convergence of order 1 for the stopped increment-tamed Euler–Maruyama scheme is as follows.

Theorem 23 *Let Assumption* 7 *hold. For* $f \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, *if there exist constants* $q^\dagger, C_f > 0$ *such that*

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq C_f \left(1 + |x|^{q^\dagger} \right) \tag{70}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and multiindices α with $0 \le |\alpha| \le 3$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{0,T}^{Y_0^\theta} \right) \right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(Y_T^\theta \right) \right] \right| \le C \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n^*)} (t_{k+1} - t_k)$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$, where $\theta = (t_0, \dots, t_{n^*})$.

Proof Throughout the proof, we write $D_{|\theta|} = \{y : |y| < \exp(|\log \sup_k t_{k+1} - t_k|^{\frac{1}{2}})\}$. To begin, we rewrite the approximation Y_t^θ as the solution of a SDE. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n^* - 1]$, $\theta = (t_0, \dots, t_{n^*}) \in \Theta$, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_t^{\theta,k} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \le t_k \\ b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(t - t_k) + \sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(W_t - W_{t_k}) & \text{if } t_k < t \le t_{k+1} \\ b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k}) & \text{if } t_{k+1} < t \end{cases} \\
&= \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(u) b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) du + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(u) \sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) dW_u,
\end{aligned}
\tag{71}
$$

defined for all $t \in [0, T]$, then Y_t^θ solves

$$Y_t^\theta = Y_0^\theta + \sum_{k=0}^{n^*-1} \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta) \frac{Z_t^{\theta,k}}{1 + |Z_t^{\theta,k}|^{q'}}, \tag{72}$$

where by Itô's rule, for $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\hat{f}(z) = \frac{z}{1+|z|^{q'}}$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{Z_t^{\theta,k}}{1 + |Z_t^{\theta,k}|^{q'}} &= \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(u)(b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + b^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_u^{\theta,k})) du \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(u)(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_u^{\theta,k})) dW_u
\end{aligned}
\tag{73}
$$

and $b^* : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\sigma^* : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are given by

$$
b^*(y, z) = -b(y)\left(\frac{|z|^{q'}}{1 + |z|^{q'}} \right) - q' z\left(z \cdot b(y) \frac{|z|^{q'-2}}{(1 + |z|^{q'})^2} \right)
$$
$$
+ \frac{1}{2}((\sigma\sigma^\top(y)) : D^2)\hat{f}(z)
\tag{74}
$$

$$
\sigma^*(y, z) = -\sigma(y)\left(\frac{|z|^{q'}}{1 + |z|^{q'}} \right) - q' z\left(z^\top \sigma(y) \frac{|z|^{q'-2}}{(1 + |z|^{q'})^2} \right).
\tag{75}
$$

By assumption it holds that $q' \ge 3$, therefore there exists a constant $\nu_2 \ge 2$ such that the second order derivatives satisfy $|\partial_{ij}^2 \hat{f}(z)| \le C|z|^{\nu_2}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, n]$.

By Lemma 22 and Proposition 7, for any $\theta \in \Theta$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{0,T}^{Y_0^\theta} \right) \right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(Y_T^\theta \right) \right]$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n^*-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left(\left(\left(\left(b(Y_t^\theta) - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) \right. \right. \right. \right. \right.
$$

$$
\left. \left. \left. \left. + b^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k})) \right) \cdot \nabla \right) \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} \right) \cdot \nabla \right) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}) dt \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(\sigma(Y_t^\theta)\sigma(Y_t^\theta)^\top - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) \right. \right.
$$

$$
\left. + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))^\top \right)_{ij}
$$

$$
\left. \cdot \left(((\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}) : D^2) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}) + (\partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} \cdot \nabla) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}) \right) dt \right]. \tag{76}
$$

For the first terms on the right-hand side of (76), denoting

$$
\hat{b}^*(y', y, z) = b(y') - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(y)(b(y) + b^*(y, z)), \tag{77}
$$

it holds that

$$
\left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla \right) \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} \right) \cdot \nabla \right) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta})
$$

$$
= \left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \right) \right) \cdot \nabla \right) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta})
$$

$$
+ \left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla \right) \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \right) \cdot \nabla \right) \left(f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}) - f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}) \right)
$$

$$
+ \left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla \right) \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \right) \cdot \nabla \right) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}). \tag{78}
$$

The first part of the factor involving b has the form

$$
b(Y_t^\theta) - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)
$$

$$
= \left[b(Y_t^\theta) - b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) \right] + \left[b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) \right]
$$

$$
= \int_{t_k}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{D_\theta}(Y_{t_k}) \left(\left((b(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + b^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_u^{\theta,k})) \cdot \nabla \right) b(Y_u^\theta) \right.
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\left((\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_u^{\theta,k}))(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_u^{\theta,k}))^\top \right) : D^2 \right) b(Y_u^\theta) \right) du
$$

$$
+ \int_{t_k}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{D_\theta}(Y_{t_k}) \left((\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_u^{\theta,k})) \cdot \nabla \right) b(Y_u^\theta) dW_u
$$

$$
+ b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(1 - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)), \tag{79}
$$

where the integral w.r.t. u is uniformly bounded in θ by $C(t - t_k)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ norm, the stochastic integral is uniformly bounded in θ by $C(t - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ norm and the last term has the same property as the integral w.r.t. u (and in fact of arbitrary order

in $t - t_k$) by the calculation of inequalities (47), (48) in [8]. Using the definition (74) for b^* along with $q' \geq 3$, there exists a constant $\nu_2 \geq 2$ such that the remaining part of the factor involving b from (78) has the bound

$$|\mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta) b^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k})| \leq C|b(Y_{t_k}^\theta)||Z_t^{\theta,k}|^{\nu_2} \tag{80}$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$. Putting (79) and (80) into the first term on the right-hand side of (78) and using Hölder's inequality, Assumptions 7(ii)(iv), Eqs. (71)–(75), Lemmas 22 and 9, Theorem 10, Markov property (Theorem 2.13 in [1]; see also justification in the proof of Lemma 22), the fact that if V is a Lyapunov function then $(V + 1)^l$ with $0 < l \leq 1$ is also one and exponential integrability for U as in Theorem 2.9 in [10] yield

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla\right)\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\right) f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta})\right|\right] \leq C(t - t_k) \tag{81}$$

for all $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \theta \in \Theta$. The same arguments can be used for the second term on the right-hand side of (78), along with the additional estimate

$$\mathbb{E}[|\partial_i f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}) - \partial_i f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta})|^r]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^1 \nabla \partial_i f(\lambda \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} + (1 - \lambda)\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}) d\lambda \cdot (\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta})\right|^r\right]$$
$$\leq C\left(1 + \mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}|^{2q^\dagger}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}|^{2q^\dagger}\right]\right)^{\frac{r}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}|\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}|^2\right)^{\frac{r}{2}}$$
$$\leq C\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{U(Y_t^\theta)}{e^{\rho t}}\right)\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{U(Y_{t_k}^\theta)}{e^{\rho t_k}}\right)\right]\right)\mathbb{E}\left[|Y_t^\theta - Y_{t_k}^\theta|^r\right]$$
$$\leq C(t - t_k)^{\frac{r}{2}}$$

where $r > 1$, in order to obtain the same right-hand bound as (81). For the last term on the right-hand side of (78), we rely more prominently on the Markov property. For any $R > 0$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla\right)\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \cdot \nabla\right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \wedge R\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla\right)\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \cdot \nabla\right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \wedge R\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{b}_i^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k})\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \cdot \nabla\right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \wedge R\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{b}_i^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k})\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_k}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \cdot \nabla\right) f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \wedge R\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_k}\right]\right],$$

so that (77), (79) and (80), where the only order $\frac{1}{2}$ term in $t - t_k$ from (79) has vanished, together with the same arguments as before and dominated convergence in R yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(\left(\hat{b}^*(Y_t^\theta, Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}) \cdot \nabla\right)\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \cdot \nabla\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right)\right] \leq C(t - t_k) \qquad (82)$$

for all $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$, $\theta \in \Theta$. Gathering the arguments from (81) onwards, the integrals involving b in (76) have been shown to be of order $t - t_k$. For the integrals involving σ in (76), after rewriting

$$\sigma(Y_t^\theta)\sigma(Y_t^\theta)^\top - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))^\top$$
$$= \left(\sigma(Y_t^\theta) - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))\right)\sigma(Y_t^\theta)^\top$$
$$+ \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|}}(Y_{t_k}^\theta)(\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))\left(\sigma(Y_t^\theta)^\top - (\sigma(Y_{t_k}^\theta) + \sigma^*(Y_{t_k}^\theta, Z_t^{\theta,k}))^\top\right)$$

and similarly

$$\left(\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right) : D^2\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right) + \left(\partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} \cdot \nabla\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right)$$
$$= \left(\left(\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right) : D^2\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right)$$
$$+ \left(\left(\partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \cdot \nabla\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right)$$
$$+ \left(\left(\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta} - \partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) : D^2\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right)$$
$$+ \left(\partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \cdot \nabla\right)\left(f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right) - f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right)\right)$$
$$+ \left(\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) : D^2\right)\left(f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_t^\theta}\right) - f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right)\right)$$
$$+ \left(\partial_{ij}^2 \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \cdot \nabla\right)f(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}) + \left(\left(\partial_i \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta} \otimes \partial_j \bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right) : D^2\right)f\left(\bar{X}_{t,T}^{Y_{t_k}^\theta}\right),$$

the same bound as (82) holds for all of (76) by the same treatment as for (82). □

Remark 5 In this remark, it is sketched that some relatively abstract weakening of Assumption 7, which is made to include the case of globally Lipschitz coefficients, is sufficient for Theorem 23 to hold. Here, the main issues are that we would like to include U growing logarithmically (for polynomial Lyapunov functions) instead of assuming the lower bound $U \geq c'|1 + x|^{\gamma'}$ and also to have a bound in place of (64) that serves the same purpose as (64). There are two uses of these conditions in the proofs that require particular attention. The first is the exponential integrability property of the discretization given by [10, Theorem 2.9], which uses only (64) out of the two conditions. The second is in obtaining a good enough order for the last term on the right-hand side of (79), which uses the derivation for (47)–(48) in [8]. Moreover, for the first point about exponential integrability, an inspection of the proofs

of Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.8 both in [10] shows that (64) (with $|\alpha| = 1$) is only strictly required for estimates of the form

$$|b(x)| + \|\sigma(x)\| \le Cs^{-\alpha\gamma} \qquad \forall s \in (0, \sup_k t_{k+1} - t_k] \tag{83}$$

for $x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : U(x) \le C(\sup_k t_{k+1} - t_k)^{-\alpha}\}$ and appropriately small $\alpha > 0$.

To resolve the issues, assume for all $k > 1$ that there exists U_k satisfying the assumptions on U, except, in place of the corresponding parts in Assumption 7, that

- $U_k(x) \ge c' \log(1 + |x|)$ for all x,
- inequality (83) holds for $x \in D'$ for some $D' = D'(\theta) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ replacing the set appearing in (65) and satisfying
  - for any $\alpha > 0$ and $k > 1$, there exists $c > 0$ such that $D' \in \mathcal{B}(\{U_k \le c(\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'})^{-\alpha}\})$ and
  - it holds that $\mathbb{P}(Y_T^\theta \in D'(\theta)) = O(\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'})$ as $\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'} \to 0$,

- there exists $K > 1$ such that the inequalities $|\partial^\alpha b| + \|\partial^\alpha \sigma\| \le Ce^{\frac{U_k}{ke^{\rho T}}}$, $|\bar{U}| \le c(1 + U_k)^\gamma$ hold for $k > K$ and ρ independent of k.

In Assumption 7, these conditions are satisfied by taking $U = U_k$ for all $k > 1$. In the globally Lipschitz (with polynomial growing second derivatives) case, one can take $D' := \{y : |y| < (\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'})^{-\epsilon}\}$ with small $\epsilon > 0$ independent of k, in which case, for $U_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $U_k(y) = (k^2 \tilde{c} e^{\rho T})^2 + k^2 \tilde{c} e^{\rho T} \log(1+|y|^2)$, $\bar{U} = 0$ and some large enough $\rho, \tilde{c} > 0$ depending only on the global Lipschitz constant (for b, σ) and the degree of the polynomial bound of the second derivatives respectively and not on k, it holds that

- for any $\alpha > 0$ and $k > 1$, there exists $c > 0$ such that $D' \in \mathcal{B}(\{U_k \le c(\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'})^{-\alpha}\})$,
- $|b(x)| + \|\sigma(x)\| \le C(1 + |x|) \le C(1 + (\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'})^{-\epsilon})$ for all $x \in D'$ (attaining (83) and playing the role of (54), (85) both in [10]),
- with the exponential integrability of U_k given by the last two points and following the approach of (47)-(48) in [8],

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|Y_T^\theta| \ge \left(\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'}\right)^{-\epsilon}\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(e^{\frac{U_k(Y_T^\theta)}{ke^{\rho T}}} \ge e^{k^3 \tilde{c}^2 e^{\rho T}}\left(\left(\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'}\right)^{-2\epsilon} + 1\right)^{k\tilde{c}}\right)$$

$$\le Ce^{-k^3 \tilde{c}^2 e^{\rho T}}\left(\left(\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'}\right)^{-2\epsilon} + 1\right)^{-k\tilde{c}},$$

which is arbitrary order in $\sup_{k'} t_{k'+1} - t_{k'}$ for large enough k.

7 Examples

In this section, specific examples are provided where the results presented above are applicable. As stated in the introduction, most of the examples in [7, 10] are viable and many Lyapunov functions have already been given in these references (applicable here after a simple transformation, see Remark 4). A notable exception is the stochastic SIR model, where the Lipschitz constant of the diffusion coefficients grow too quickly for the Lyapunov functions given there (besides, the domain in that example is not $\mathbb{R}^n$ as assumed for the main results in the present work). Here, the focus is placed on two particular examples differing in some considerable way to analogies in the aforementioned references. In Sect. 7.1, our results are applied to the underdamped Langevin dynamics with variable friction, which in general (for example as soon as friction depends on position) does not have globally Lipschitz (nor monotone) coefficients; this is motivated by the work [22]. In Sect. 7.2, a Lyapunov function (V_0 satisfying $LV_0 \leq CV_0$) is given for the Stochastic Duffing–van der Pol equation with parameter values not accounted for in previous works mentioned above.

7.1 Langevin equation with variable friction

Here, the backward Kolmogorov equation and Poisson equation associated with the Langevin equation are shown to hold even in cases where the friction matrix depends on both position and velocity variables. The pointwise solution to the backward Kolmogorov equation may be used to obtain a distributional solution to the associated Poisson equation and in doing so, comprises a first step towards a gradient formula for the asymptotic variance as in [22]. In addition and perhaps more importantly, solutions to the Poisson equation allows one to obtain central limit theorems for additive functionals [23, Section 3]. The results here give a rigorous way to derive distributional solutions to the Poisson equation in the proof of Proposition 3.10 in [23] (in particular, it is not clear that the domain of L^* includes C_c^∞, given the interpretation of L as a limit in L^2 earlier in the same section). In this case, hypoellipticity is required to complete the argument to obtain the central limit theorem, which means that Proposition 4.18 in [12] may also be used in the case of continuous bounded observables; the results here extend the space of observables beyond that of continuous bounded functions at the cost of stronger assumptions on the coefficients of the SDE.

Assumption 8 The function $U \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that there exists $\tilde{k}, \tilde{K} > 0$ with $\nabla U(q) \cdot q \geq \tilde{k} |x|^2 - \tilde{K}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The friction matrix $\Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \cap L^\infty$ is symmetric positive definite everywhere such that there exist[5] $\beta_1 < 1, \tilde{m}, \tilde{M} > 0$ with $\left| \nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p) \right| < \tilde{M}(1 + |q|^{\beta_1} + |p|^{\beta_1})$ and $\Gamma(q, p) \geq \tilde{m}I$ for all $q, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note Assumption 8 implies that for $R > 1$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|q| = 1$,

$$U(Rq) - U(q) = \int_1^R \nabla U(\lambda q) \cdot \frac{\lambda q}{\lambda} d\lambda \geq \int_1^R (\tilde{k}|\lambda q|^2 - \tilde{K})\lambda^{-1} d\lambda$$

---

[5] It is possible to allow for $\beta_1 = 1$, but at the cost of more stringent bounds on the coefficients.

$$= \frac{\tilde{k}(R^2 - 1)}{2} - \tilde{K} \log R,$$

which yields $U(q) \geq \frac{\tilde{k}}{4} |q|^2 - C$ for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some constant $C > 0$. Consider $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$-valued solutions (q_t, p_t) to

$$dq_t = p_t dt \tag{84a}$$

$$dp_t = -\nabla U(q_t)dt + \nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q_t, p_t)dt - \Gamma(q_t, p_t)p_t dt + \sqrt{\Gamma}(q_t, p_t)dW_t, \tag{84b}$$

where $\sqrt{\Gamma}$ denotes some matrix satisfying $\sqrt{\Gamma}\sqrt{\Gamma}^\top = \Gamma$ and $(\nabla_p \cdot \Gamma)_i = \sum_j \nabla_{p_j} \Gamma_{ij}$. Equation (84) is (1) with $b(t, (q, p)) = (p, -\nabla U(q) + \nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p) - \Gamma(q, p)p)$ and $\sigma_{i,j} = 0$ for $(i, j) \in \{(i', j') : i' \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(i', j') : j' \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}\}$, $(\sigma(t, (q, p)))_{n+i, n+j} = (\sqrt{\Gamma}(q, p))_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}$. For $b = \min(\tilde{k}^{-1}(\sup_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Gamma|)^{-1}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{k}^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $a = \frac{1}{4} \min(\frac{b}{k}, \tilde{m})$, let

$$V_\gamma(q, p) = e^{\gamma(U(q) + a|q|^2 + bq \cdot p + |p|^2)}. \tag{85}$$

In the following, $|M|$ denotes the operator norm of $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Proposition 24 shows that the assumptions on the coefficients b, σ of (10) in Theorems 18, 19 are satisfied.

Proposition 24 *Under Assumption 8, there exists constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ such that for all γ satisfying*

$$0 < \gamma \leq \gamma^* := \frac{1}{8} \min\left(\left(\tilde{k}b \sup_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Gamma|\right)^{-1}, \tilde{m}\left(4 \sup_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\Gamma|\right)^{-1}\right), \tag{86}$$

it holds that
$$LV_\gamma(q, p) \leq (c_1 - c_2 |q|^2 - c_3 |p|^2)\gamma V_\gamma(q, p) \tag{87}$$

for all $(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where L is the generator (11) associated with (84), explicitly given by

$$LV(q, p) = p \cdot \nabla_q V(q, p) - \nabla U(q) \cdot \nabla_p V(q, p) + (\nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p)) \cdot \nabla_p V(q, p)$$
$$- (\Gamma(q, p)p) \cdot \nabla_p V(q, p) + (1/2)\Gamma(q, p) : D_p^2 V(q, p).$$

If in addition there exist $0 < \beta_2 < 1$, $\bar{M} > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial_i(\nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p) - \nabla U(q))\right| \leq \bar{M}(1 - \inf U + U(q) + |p|^2)^{\beta_2}$$

$$|\partial_i \Gamma(q, p)| \leq \bar{M}(1 - \inf U^{\frac{1}{2}} + U(q)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |p|)^{\beta_2}$$

$$\left|\partial_i \partial_j(\nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p) - \nabla U(q))\right| + \left|\partial_i \partial_j \Gamma(q, p)\right| \leq \bar{M}(1 + e^{(U(q) + |p|^2)^{\beta_2}})$$

for all $q, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$, then Assumptions 5 and 6 (with $p = 2$) are satisfied with $V_i = \hat{V}_k^{s,T} = V_\gamma$ with any γ satisfying (86), $G(q, p) = C(1 - \inf U + U(q) + |p|^2)^{\beta_3}$ for some constants $C > 0$ and $\beta_2 < \beta_3 < 1$.

Proof The left-hand side of (87) calculates as

$$(p \cdot \nabla_q - \nabla_q U(q) \cdot \nabla_p + (\nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p)) \cdot \nabla_p - (\Gamma(q, p)p) \cdot \nabla_p + \Gamma(q, p) : D^2) V_\gamma(q, p)$$

$$= (2aq \cdot p + b |p|^2 - b\nabla_q U(q) \cdot q + (\nabla_p \cdot \Gamma(q, p) - \Gamma(q, p)p) \cdot (bq + 2p)$$

$$+ 2\mathrm{Tr}\Gamma(q, p) + \gamma\Gamma(q, p) : (b^2 qq^\top + 4pp^\top)) \gamma V_\gamma(q, p)$$

$$\leq \left(\left(a - \frac{b}{\tilde{k}} + \frac{1}{2} b^2 |\Gamma| + b^2 \gamma |\Gamma| \right) |q|^2 + \left(a + b + \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma| - 2\tilde{m} + 4\gamma |\Gamma| \right) |p|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \tilde{M}(1 + |q|^{\beta_1} + |p|^{\beta_2}) |bq + 2p| + b\tilde{K} + 2\mathrm{Tr}\Gamma \right) \gamma V_\gamma(q, p)$$

$$\leq \left(c - \frac{b}{16\tilde{k}} |q|^2 - \frac{\tilde{m}}{16} |p|^2 \right) \gamma V_\gamma(q, p) \tag{88}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. The last assertion follows by straightforward applications of Young's inequality. $\qquad\square$

For U with locally Lipschitz third derivatives and by Theorems 18, 19, the associated Poisson equation with suitable right-hand side $\hat{f} = f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f d\mu \in L^2(\mu)$ holds in the distributional sense as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in (the arXiv version[6] of) [22] if in addition

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(z_t^{\cdot})] \right| + \left| \int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(z_s^{\cdot})] ds \right| \to 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mu) \text{ as } t \to \infty, \tag{89}$$

where for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $z_t^z = (q_t, p_t)$ solves (84), $\mathbb{P}((q_0, p_0) = z) = 1$ and $\mu(dq, dp) = Z^{-1} e^{-U(q) - \frac{p^2}{2}} dq dp$ is the invariant probability measure with normalizing constant Z. We obtain (89) in the following by using the ergodicity results of [32], see alternatively Theorem 2.4 in [33]. The proof of Proposition 1.2 in [33] can be modified for (84) to obtain

Proposition 25 *For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $t > 0$, the measure $P^t(z, \cdot) : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to [0, 1]$ given by $P^t(z, A) = \mathbb{P}(z_t^z \in A)$ admits a density $p_t(z, \cdot)$ satisfying $p_t(z, z') > 0$ for Lebesgue almost every $z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and*

$$(z \mapsto p_t(z, \cdot)) \in C(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})). \tag{90}$$

Proof For the Markov property, see the proof of Lemma 22 just before (69). The proof in the aforementioned reference follows through except in the proof of Lemma 1.1 in [33], where the Lyapunov function (85) is to be used in place of $\tilde{H}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} |y|^2 + V(x) - \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} V + 1$ and R^2 in the ensuing calculations is replaced as needed. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 25 implies the existence of an irreducible skeleton chain, namely, the existence of a sequence $(P^{mk})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for some $m > 0$ satisfying that there exists a σ-finite measure μ on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ for which if $\mu(A) > 0$, then for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists k where $P^{mk}(z, A) > 0$. Together with the following results in [32]:

---

[6] The published version uses a different approach, which does not generalize to (84) as easily.

Theorem 3.2 (with $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$, $\Psi_1(x) = \Psi_2(x) = (x/2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $V = V_{\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*}$), Theorem 3.4 (compact sets are petite by Theorem 4.1(i) in [34], where in particular non-evanescence follows by Theorem 3.1 in [35] for which Theorem 7.4 in [36] is enough to get a Borel right process) and Proposition 3.1 (with $\phi(x) = x$, $V = V_{\gamma^*}$), this yields (89) for $\hat{f}$ satisfying $\hat{f}/V_{\frac{1}{8}\gamma^*} \in L^\infty$.

7.2 Stochastic Duffing–van der Pol equation

We verify here that the Stochastic Duffing–van der Pol oscillator admits a Lyapunov function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 10. Note that in doing so, the difficult parts of Assumption 7 are shown to be satisfied, so that our Theorem 23 about weak numerical convergence rates applies. In particular, the logarithm of the Lyapunov function described below may be used for U in Assumption 7. The version of the equation considered is from [16] with $\beta_2 = 0$, which is less general than in [16] but still includes the setting of Sect. 13.1 in [2] and [25] for example. Specifically, for $(W^{(1)}, W^{(3)}) : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^2$ a standard $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$-adapted Brownian motion, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_3 > 0$, consider $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued solutions to

$$dX_t^{(1)} = X_t^{(2)} dt, \tag{91a}$$

$$dX_t^{(2)} = [\alpha_1 X_t^{(1)} - \alpha_2 X_t^{(2)} - \alpha_3 X_t^{(2)} (X_t^{(1)})^2 - (X_t^{(1)})^3] dt \tag{91b}$$

$$+ \beta_1 X_t^{(1)} dW_t^{(1)} + \beta_3 dW_t^{(3)}. \tag{91c}$$

Equation (91) is (1) with $b(t, x) = (x_2, \alpha_1 x_1 - \alpha_2 x_2 - \alpha_3 x_2 x_1^2 - x_1^3)$ and $\sigma_{1,1} = \sigma_{1,2} = 0$, $(\sigma(t, x))_{2,1} = \beta_1 x_1$, $(\sigma(t, x))_{2,2} = \beta_3$. Let $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$V(x_1, x_2) = V_1(x_1, x_2) + V_2(x_1, x_2)$$

$$:= (1 - \eta(x_1)) e^{\gamma(x_1^4 + a x_1 x_2 + b x_2^2)} + e^{\gamma(-c x_1 x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2^2)}.$$

The following Proposition 26 verifies that V provides as $V = e^U$ an appropriate Lyapunov function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 23.

Proposition 26 *There exists a constant $C^* > 0$ such that $LV \leq C^* V$, where L is the generator (11) associated with (91). Moreover, Assumptions 1 and 2 are satisfied with $G(t, x) = (3 + 2 \sum_i |\alpha_i| + \beta_1^2)(1 + |x_1|^3 + |x_2|^{\frac{3}{2}})$ and $\hat{V}_k(t, x) = (|X_t^{(1)}|^4 + 2|X_t^{(2)}|^2 + 1)^k$ for $t \geq 0$, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $(X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ solves (91) with $(X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)}) = (x_1, x_2)$.*

Proof The functions V_1 and V_2 satisfy

$$LV_1(x_1, x_2) = \left[(2\alpha_1 b - \alpha_2 a) x_1 x_2 + \left(a - 2\alpha_2 b + 2\beta_3^2 \gamma b^2 \right) x_2^2 + (\alpha_1 a + \frac{1}{2} \beta_3^2 \gamma a^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \beta_1^2 b) x_1^2 + (2\beta_1^2 \gamma b^2 - 2\alpha_3 b) x_1^2 x_2^2 - (\alpha_3 a + 2b - 4) x_1^3 x_2 \right.$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_1^2 \gamma a^2 - a \right) x_1^4 + b \beta_3^2 - \frac{x_2 \partial_{x_1} \eta(x_1)}{1 - \eta(x_1)} \right] \gamma V_1(x_1, x_2)$$

$$LV_2(x_1, x_2) = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_3^2 \gamma - c - \alpha_2 \right) x_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} c^2 \beta_3^2 \gamma - \alpha_1 c + \frac{1}{2} \beta_1^2 \right) x_1^2 \right.$$

$$+ (\alpha_2 c + \alpha_1) x_1 x_2 + (\alpha_3 c - 1) x_1^3 x_2 + \left(c + \frac{1}{2} c^2 \gamma \beta_1^2 \right) x_1^4$$

$$+ \left. \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_1^2 \gamma - \alpha_3 \right) x_1^2 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_3^2 \right] \gamma V_2(x_1, x_2).$$

where $\frac{1}{1-\eta(x_1)} := 0$ whenever $1 - \eta(x_1) = 0$. In order to see $LV \leq CV$, consider separately the set where $x_1^2 \leq \frac{1 + |a - 2\alpha_2 b + 2\beta_3^2 \gamma b^2|}{2\alpha_3 b - 2\beta_1^2 \gamma b^2}$ and its complement in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In the former case, $V_1(x_1, x_2) = LV_1(x_1, x_2) = 0$ and by our choice of c and γ, there exists a generic constant $C > 0$ such that $LV_2 \leq CV_2$, therefore $LV \leq CV$. Otherwise in the complementary case where $|x_1|$ is bounded below, we have $LV_1 \leq CV_1$ and when in addition $x_1 \in \text{supp}\eta \cup B_1(0)$, it holds that $LV_2 \leq CV_2$. It remains to estimate LV_2 when $x_1 \notin \text{supp}\eta \cup B_1(0)$, in which case we have $|x|^i e^{\gamma(-cx_1 x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2)} \leq C e^{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}x_1^4 + \frac{3}{4}x_2^2)} \leq CV_1(x_1, x_2)$ for $i \leq 4$, from which $LV_2 \leq CV_1$.

For the second assertion, it is straightforward to see that (19), (20) hold and that the higher derivatives of the coefficients of (91) are bounded above in terms of $\hat{V}_k$ for any k, p as called-for in Assumption 2. For (22), consider separately the cases $|x_1| \leq \sup\{|x| : x \in \text{supp}\eta\}$ and otherwise. In the former case, it holds that

$$G(x_1, x_2) \leq C(1 + |x_2|^{\frac{3}{2}}),$$

which yields that for any $m > 0$, there is $M = M(m) > 0$ continuous in m such that

$$G \leq m \log(V_2) + M \leq m \log(V) + M. \tag{92}$$

When $|x_1| > \sup\{|x| : x \in \text{supp}\eta\}$, inequalities (92) continue to hold with V_1 replacing V_2 and a corresponding continuous function $m \mapsto M(m)$. □

Remark 6 Alternative to V above, it is also possible to take the Lyapunov function given by [8, Section 3.1.4]. For example, let $\alpha > 0$, U_0, U_1 be given as in [8, Section 3.1.4] and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $Y^x : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be given by $Y_t^x = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)}, X_t^{(3)})$, where $(X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ is given by (91) and $X_t^{(3)}$ satisfies $dX_t^{(3)} = U_1(X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})e^{-\alpha t}dt$ with $Y_0^x = x$ $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely. The derivation in [8, inequality (57)] implies that there exists α such that the function $V : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to (0, \infty)$ given by $V(t, x) = \exp(U_0(t, (Y_t^x)_1, (Y_t^x)_2)e^{-\alpha t} + (Y_t^x)_3)$ is a Lyapunov function.

Appendix A: Auxiliary results

Just as in the case of globally Lipschitz coefficients in [1, Lemma 5.10], the regularity of an extended system and the harmonic property of the expectation (53) are required. These properties are established for our setting in the following.

Throughout the section, we assume $O = \mathbb{R}^n$ and b, σ, f, c, g are nonrandom functions. Moreover, we suppose all of the assumptions in Theorem 11 hold (including those in the last statement (iii)). In particular, $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $c : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are Borel functions satisfying that $f(t, \cdot), c(t, \cdot), g(\cdot)$ are continuous for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\int_0^T \sup_{x \in B_R}(|c(t, x)| + |f(t, x)|)dt < \infty$ for every $R > 0$ and such that for $h \in \{f, c, g\}$, $R > 0$, there exists $C \geq 0, 0 < \bar{l} \leq 1$, Lyapunov functions $V^{s,T}$, locally bounded $\tilde{x}$ for which for any $s \in [0, T]$ it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$\left| h(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) \right| \leq C(1 + V^{s,T}(t, \tilde{x}(x)))^{\bar{l}}, \qquad \text{(A1a)}$$

$$\left| h(s + t, y) - h(s + t, y') \right| \leq C \left| y - y' \right| \qquad \text{(A1b)}$$

and if $h \in \{f, g\}$, $\quad V^{s+\tau,T}(0, \tilde{x}(X_\tau^{s,x}))^{\bar{l}} \leq C(1 + V^{s,T}(\tau, \tilde{x}(x))) \qquad \text{(A1c)}$

for all $t \leq T - s$, stopping times $\tau \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y, y' \in B_R$.

For any $s \geq 0, T > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x', x'' \in \mathbb{R}$, consider solutions $X_t^{s,x}$ to (10) appended with the corresponding $\mathbb{R}$-valued solutions $X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}$ and $X_t^{(n+2),s,x'}$ to

$$X_t^{(n+1),s,x'} = x' + \int_0^t c(s + r, X_r^{s,x})dr,$$

$$X_t^{(n+2),s,x''} = x'' + \int_0^t f(s + r, X_r^{s,x})e^{-X_r^{(n+1),s,x'}} dr$$

on $[0, T]$, denoted $\bar{X}_t^{s,y} = (X_t^{s,x}, X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}, X_t^{(n+2),s,x''})$, $y = (x, x', x'')$. Let $\bar{X}_t^{s,y}(I)$ be the corresponding Euler approximation analogous to (52) with I as in the beginning of Lemma 13.

Lemma 27 *Under the assumptions of this section, for every $R, T > 0$, it holds that*

$$\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \sup_{y \in B_R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \bar{X}_t^{s,y} - \bar{X}_t^{s,y}(I) \right| > \epsilon \right) \to 0$$

as $\sup_k t_{k+1} - t_k \to 0$.

Proof For any $R' > 0$, let $R_X^{s,x}(I, R') \in \mathcal{F}$ denote the event

$$R_X^{s,x}(I, R') = \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| X_t^{s,x} \right| \leq R' \right\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| X_t^{s,x}(I) \right| \leq R' \right\}.$$

For any $\epsilon, R' > 0$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\bar{X}_t^{s,y} - \bar{X}_t^{s,y}(I)\right| > \epsilon\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|X_t^{s,x}\right| > R'\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|X_t^{s,x}(I)\right| > R'\right)$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\bar{X}_t^{s,y} - \bar{X}_t^{s,y}(I)\right| > \epsilon \,\bigg|\, R_X^{s,x}(I, R')\right).$$

Fix $\epsilon' > 0$. For any $T, R > 0$, we may choose $R' = R^*$ so that, by Lemma 2.2 in [1], the sum of the first and second term on the right-hand side is bounded above by $\epsilon'/2$ uniformly in $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in B_R$. For the last term on the right, note that by our assumptions on c, there exists locally bounded $\tilde{G} : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|c(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) - c(s + t, X_t^{s,x}(I))\right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|X_t^{s,x} - X_t^{s,x}(I)\right| (\tilde{G}(X_t^{s,x}) + \tilde{G}(X_t^{s,x}(I))) \qquad (A2)$$

and such that for $I_r := \max\{t_k : r \geq t_k\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\int_0^t c(s + r, X_r^{s,x}(I)) - c(s + r, X_{I_r}^{s,x}(I)) dr\right| \mathbb{1}_{R_X^{s,x}(I,R')}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\int_0^t (X_r^{s,x}(I) - X_{I_r}^{s,x}(I))(\tilde{G}(X_r^{s,x}(I)) + \tilde{G}(X_{I_r}^{s,x}(I))) dr\right| \mathbb{1}_{R_X^{s,x}(I,R')}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\int_0^t \left(\int_{I_r}^r b(s + r', X_{I_{r'}}^{s,x}(I)) dr' + \int_{I_r}^r \sigma(s + r', X_{I_{r'}}^{s,x}(I)) dW_{r'}\right)\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\cdot (\tilde{G}(X_r^{s,x}(I)) + \tilde{G}(X_{I_r}^{s,x}(I))) dr\right| \mathbb{1}_{R_X^{s,x}(I,R')}\right] \qquad (A3)$$

for all $s \in [0, T]$, $y = (x, x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, where we have used (A1b). By (A2), it holds that

$$\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|X_t^{s,x} - X_t^{s,x}(I)\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{12\sqrt{3}T \sup_{z\in B_{R^*}} \tilde{G}(z)}\right\} \cap R_X^{s,x}(I, R^*)$$

$$\subset \left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|c(s + t, X_t^{s,x}) - c(s + t, X_t^{s,x}(I))\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{6\sqrt{3}T}\right\} \cap R_X^{s,x}(I, R^*)$$

$$\subset \left\{\int_0^T \left|c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}) - c(s + u, X_u^{s,x}(I))\right| du \leq \frac{\epsilon}{6\sqrt{3}}\right\} \cap R_X^{s,x}(I, R^*).$$

This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}-X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}(I)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{3\sqrt{3}}\right\}\cap R_X^{s,x}(I,R^*)\right)\\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t (c(s+r,X_r^{s,x})-c(s+r,X_r^{s,x}(I)))dr\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{6\sqrt{3}}\right\}\right.\\
&\quad\left.\cap R_X^{s,x}(I,R^*)\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t (c(s+r,X_r^{s,x}(I))+c(s+r,X_{I_r}^{s,x}(I)))dr\right|\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.>\frac{\epsilon}{6\sqrt{3}}\right\}\cap R_X^{s,x}(I,R^*)\right)\\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|X_t^{s,x}-X_t^{s,x}(I)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{12\sqrt{3}T\sup_{z\in B_{R^*}}\tilde{G}(z)}\right\}\cap R_X^{s,x}(I,R^*)\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\epsilon}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t c(s+r,X_r^{s,x}(I))-c(s+r,X_{I_r}^{s,x}(I))dr\right|\mathbb{1}_{R_X^{s,x}(I,R^*)}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to zero as $\sup_k t_{k+1}-t_k\to 0$ by (A3) and Lemma 13. In particular, there exists $0<\delta\leq\delta^*$ such that for I satisfying $\sup_{k\geq 0}t_{k+1}-t_k\leq\delta$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}-X_t^{(n+1),s,x'}(I)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{3\sqrt{3}}\bigg| R_X^{s,x}(I,R^*)\right)\\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|X_t^{s,x}-X_t^{s,x}(I)\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{12\sqrt{3}T\sup_{z\in B_{R^*}}\tilde{G}(z)}\right)\leq\frac{\epsilon'}{6} \qquad(A4)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $s\in[0,T]$ and $y=(x,x',x'')\in B_R\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. By a similar argument and using the above, (A4) holds with $n+1$ replaced by $n+2$ and x' by x''. Together with Lemma 13, the lemma is proved. $\qquad\square$

Next, the harmonic property (see [1, Definition 3.1]) of (53) is shown. Let $\bar{g}$ given by $\bar{g}(y)=x''+g(x)e^{-x'}$ for all $y=(x,x',x'')\in\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ and for $T>0$, $s\in[0,T]$, let $\bar{v}:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{n+2}\to\mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
\bar{v}(s,y)=\mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_{T-s}^{s,y})]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T-s}^{(n+2),s,x''}+g(X_{T-s}^{s,x})e^{-X_{T-s}^{(n+1),s,x'}}\right]. \qquad(A5)
$$

In addition for a bounded subset $Q\subset(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, let τ be the stopping time

$$
\tau:=\inf\{u\geq 0:(s+u,\bar{X}_u^{s,y})\notin Q\}. \qquad(A6)
$$

The next lemma establishes the equality $\bar{v}(s,y)=\mathbb{E}[\bar{v}(s+(\tau\wedge t),\bar{X}_{\tau\wedge t}^{s,y})]$ under our setting.

Lemma 28 *Under the assumptions of this section, for any $T > 0$, any bounded subset $Q \subset (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, $(s, y) \in Q$, $t \in [0, T - s]$, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_{T-s}^{s,y})] = \int \int \bar{g}\left(\bar{X}_{T-s-(\tau(\omega)\wedge t)}^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t), \bar{X}_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,y}(\omega)}(\omega') \right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') d\mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

where τ is defined by (A6).

Proof For any $R, T > 0, t \in [0, T], (s, y) \in Q$ with $y = (x, x', x'')$, by Theorem 2.13 in [1] together with Lemma 27, it holds for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. ω that

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{1}_{B_R} \bar{g})(\bar{X}_{T-s}^{s,y}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge t}] = \int (\mathbb{1}_{B_R} \bar{g})\left(\bar{X}_{T-(s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t))}^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t), \bar{X}_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,y}(\omega)}(\omega') \right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega'), \quad \text{(A7)}$$

so that the right-hand side is $\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge t}$-measurable. Moreover for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. ω, by (A1), the absolute value of the integrand in the right-hand side is bounded independently of R as

$$(\mathbb{1}_{B_R} |\bar{g}|)\left(\bar{X}_{T-(s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t))}^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t), \bar{X}_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,y}(\omega)}(\omega') \right) - |X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{(n+2),s,x''}(\omega)|$$

$$\leq \int_0^{T-s-(\tau(\omega)\wedge t)} \left| f\left(s + (\tau(\omega) \wedge t) + r, X_r^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t), X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega)}(\omega') \right) \right| dr$$

$$+ \left| g\left(X_{T-(s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t))}^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t), X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega)}(\omega') \right) \right|$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_0^{T-s-(\tau(\omega)\wedge t)} \left(1 + V^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}(\omega', r, \tilde{x}(X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega))) \right)^{\bar{l}} dr \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(1 + V^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}(\omega', T - s - (\tau(\omega) \wedge t), \tilde{x}(X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega))) \right)^{\bar{l}} \right), \quad \text{(A8)}$$

where we have abused the notation $V^{\cdots}$ to refer to Lyapunov functions for both f and g. Since $\bar{l}^{\text{th}}$-powers of Lyapunov functions are still Lyapunov functions (but with different auxiliary processes), the expectation in ω' of the right-hand side of this is bounded by Corollary 4 and (A1c) as in

$$\int \left(\int_0^{T-s-(\tau(\omega)\wedge t)} \left(1 + V^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}(\omega', r, \tilde{x}(X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega))) \right)^{\bar{l}} dr \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(1 + V^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}(\omega', T - s - (\tau(\omega) \wedge t), \tilde{x}(X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega))) \right)^{\bar{l}} \right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega')$$

$$\leq C \left\| e^{\int_0^T |\alpha_u^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}(\omega')| du} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}}{p^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}-1}}(d\mathbb{P}(\omega'))}$$

$$\cdot \int \left(1 + V^{s+(\tau(\omega)\wedge t),T}(\omega', 0, \tilde{x}(X_{\tau(\omega)\wedge t}^{s,x}(\omega))) \right)^{\bar{l}} d\mathbb{P}(\omega')$$

$$\leq C\left(1 + \int V^{s,T}(\omega', \tau(\omega) \wedge t, \tilde{x}(x)) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') \right)$$

$$\leq C(1 + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x)))$$
$$< \infty, \tag{A9}$$

where $\alpha^{\cdot,T}$ and $p^{\cdot,T}$ are the obvious objects associated with $(1 + V^{\cdot,T})^{\bar{l}}$. Therefore by dominated convergence, the right-hand side of (A7) converges to the same expression but without $\mathbb{1}_{B_R}$ for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. ω. Moreover, by (A1) and Corollary 4,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_{\tau \wedge t}^{(n+2),s,x''}|] - |x''| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{\tau \wedge t} \left| f\left(s + r, X_r^{s,x''}\right)\right| dr\right]$$
$$\leq C \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[1 + V^{s,T}(r, \tilde{x}(x''))\right] dr$$
$$\leq C\left(1 + V^{s,T}(0, \tilde{x}(x''))\right).$$

Consequently, together with (A8), (A9) and dominated convergence (in ω), it holds that

$$\int \int (\mathbb{1}_{B_R} \bar{g})\left(\bar{X}_{T-(s+(\tau(\omega) \wedge t))}^{s+(\tau(\omega) \wedge t), \bar{X}_{\tau(\omega) \wedge t}^{s,y}(\omega)}(\omega')\right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$$
$$\rightarrow \int \int \bar{g}\left(\bar{X}_{T-(s+(\tau(\omega) \wedge t))}^{s+(\tau(\omega) \wedge t), \bar{X}_{\tau(\omega) \wedge t}^{s,y}(\omega)}(\omega')\right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by a similar argument as above, the expectation of the left-hand side of (A7) has the limit

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{1}_{B_R} \bar{g})(\bar{X}_{T-s}^{s,y})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge t}]] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{1}_{B_R} \bar{g})(\bar{X}_{T-s}^{s,y})] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(\bar{X}_{T-s}^{s,y})]$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Declarations

References

1. Krylov, N.V.: On Kolmogorov's equations for finite-dimensional diffusions. In: Stochastic PDE's and Kolmogorov Equations in Infinite Dimensions (Cetraro, 1998). Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1715, pp. 1–63. Springer, Heidelberg (1999). https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0092417
2. Kloeden, P.E., Platen, E.: Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations. In: Applications of Mathematics (New York), vol. 23, p. 632. Springer, Heidelberg (1992). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-12616-5

3. Talay, D., Tubaro, L.: Expansion of the global error for numerical schemes solving stochastic differential equations. Stoch. Anal. Appl. **8**(4), 483–5091991 (1990). https://doi.org/10.1080/07362999008809220

4. Wang, X., Zhao, Y., Zhang, Z.: Weak error analysis for strong approximation schemes of SDEs with super-linear coefficients. IMA J. Numer. Anal. 083 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drad083

5. Hudde, A., Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A., Mazzonetto, S.: On the Itô-Alekseev-Gröbner formula for stochastic differential equations (2018). arXiv: 1812.09857. To appear in Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat

6. Hudde, A., Hutzenthaler, M., Mazzonetto, S.: On moments and strong local Hölder regularity of solutions of stochastic differential equations and of their spatial derivative processes (2019). arXiv:1903.09707

7. Cox, S., Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A.: Local Lipschitz continuity in the initial value and strong completeness for nonlinear stochastic differential equations (2013). arXiv:1309.5595. To appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc

8. Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A.: On a perturbation theory and on strong convergence rates for stochastic ordinary and partial differential equations with nonglobally monotone coefficients. Ann. Probab. **48**(1), 53–93 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1214/19-AOP1345

9. Li, X.-M.: Strong *p*-completeness of stochastic differential equations and the existence of smooth flows on noncompact manifolds. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **100**(4), 485–511 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01268991

10. Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A., Wang, X.: Exponential integrability properties of numerical approximation processes for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. Math. Comput. **87**(311), 1353–1413 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3146

11. Cerrai, S.: Second order PDE's in finite and infinite dimension. In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1762, p. 330. Springer, Heidelberg (2001). https://doi.org/10.1007/b80743. A probabilistic approach

12. Hairer, M., Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A.: Loss of regularity for Kolmogorov equations. Ann. Probab. **43**(2), 468–527 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1214/13-AOP838

13. Jentzen, A., Kuckuck, B., Müller-Gronbach, T., Yaroslavtseva, L.: Counterexamples to local Lipschitz and local Hölder continuity with respect to the initial values for additive noise driven stochastic differential equations with smooth drift coefficient functions with at most polynomially growing derivatives. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **27**(7), 3707–3724 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2021203

14. Zhao, Y., Wang, X.: Weak approximation schemes for SDEs with super-linearly growing coefficients. Appl. Numer. Math. **198**, 176–191 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2024.01.003

15. Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A., Kloeden, P.E.: Strong and weak divergence in finite time of Euler's method for stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **467**(2130), 1563–1576 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2010.0348

16. Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A.: Numerical approximations of stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **236**(1112), 99 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1112

17. Bossy, M., Jabir, J.-F., Martínez, K.: On the weak convergence rate of an exponential Euler scheme for SDEs governed by coefficients with superlinear growth. Bernoulli **27**(1), 312–347 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3150/20-BEJ1241

18. Milstein, G.N., Tretyakov, M.V.: Numerical integration of stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz coefficients. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **43**(3), 1139–1154 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1137/040612026

19. Hudde, A., Hutzenthaler, M., Mazzonetto, S.: A stochastic Gronwall inequality and applications to moments, strong completeness, strong local Lipschitz continuity, and perturbations. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **57**(2), 603–626 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1214/20-aihp1064

20. Protter, P.E.: Stochastic integration and differential equations. In: Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, vol. 21, p. 419. Springer, Heidelberg (2005). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-10061-5. Second edition. Version 2.1, Corrected third printing

21. Protter, P., Qiu, L., Martin, J.S.: Asymptotic error distribution for the Euler scheme with locally Lipschitz coefficients. Stoch. Process. Appl. **130**(4), 2296–2311 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2019.07.003

22. Chak, M., Kantas, N., Lelièvre, T., Pavliotis, G.A.: Optimal friction matrix for underdamped Langevin sampling. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **57**(6), 3335–3371 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/2023083

23. Cattiaux, P., Chafaï, D., Guillin, A.: Central limit theorems for additive functionals of ergodic Markov diffusions processes. ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **9**(2), 337–382 (2012)

24. Lions, P.-L.: Optimal control of diffusion processes and Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equations. II. Viscosity solutions and uniqueness. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **8**(11), 1229–1276 (1983). https://doi.org/10.1080/03605308308820301

25. Arnold, L., Sri Namachchivaya, N., Schenk-Hoppé, K.R.: Toward an understanding of stochastic Hopf bifurcation: a case study. Int. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng. **6**(11), 1947–1975 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127496001272

26. Khasminskii, R.: Stochastic stability of differential equations, 2nd edn. In: Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, vol. 66, p. 339. Springer, Heidelberg,: With contributions by G. N. Milstein and M. B. Nevelson. (2012). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23280-0

27. Aliprantis, C.D., Border, K.C.: Infinite Dimensional Analysis, 3rd edn., p. 703. Springer, Heidelberg (2006). A hitchhiker's guide

28. Karatzas, I., Shreve, S.E.: Brownian motion and stochastic calculus, 2nd edn. In: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 113, p. 470. Springer, Berlin (1991). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0949-2

29. Billingsley, P.: Probability and measure. In: Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, p. 624. Wiley, Hoboken (2012). Anniversary edition [of MR1324786], With a foreword by Steve Lalley and a brief biography of Billingsley by Steve Koppes

30. Li, X.-M., Scheutzow, M.: Lack of strong completeness for stochastic flows. Ann. Probab. **39**(4), 1407–1421 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1214/10-AOP585

31. Ethier, S.N., Kurtz, T.G.: Markov processes. In: Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics, p. 534. Wiley, New York (1986). https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470316658. Characterization and convergence

32. Douc, R., Fort, G., Guillin, A.: Subgeometric rates of convergence of f-ergodic strong Markov processes. Stoch. Process. Appl. **119**(3), 897–923 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2008.03.007

33. Wu, L.: Large and moderate deviations and exponential convergence for stochastic damping Hamiltonian systems. Stoch. Process. Appl. **91**(2), 205–238 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(00)00061-2

34. Meyn, S.P., Tweedie, R.L.: Stability of Markovian processes. II. Continuous-time processes and sampled chains. Adv. Appl. Probab. **25**(3), 487–517 (1993). https://doi.org/10.2307/1427521

35. Meyn, S.P., Tweedie, R.L.: Stability of Markovian processes. III. Foster–Lyapunov criteria for continuous-time processes. Adv. Appl. Probab. **25**(3), 518–548 (1993). https://doi.org/10.2307/1427522

36. Sharpe, M.: General theory of Markov processes. In: Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 133, p. 419. Academic Press Inc, Boston (1988)