CORRECTION



## Correction: CLT in functional linear regression models

Hervé Cardot<sup>1</sup> · André Mas<sup>2</sup> · Pascal Sarda<sup>3,4</sup>

Published online: 23 June 2023 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

## Correction to: Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2007) 138:325–361 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-006-0025-2

A problem appears in the end of Lemma 8 page 355. The first part of the Lemma remains true but the second weak convergence result for the random predictor is not exact in general. In fact  $Z_{i,n}$  is not a martingale difference sequence with respect to the filtration generated by  $(X_1, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, X_n, \varepsilon_n)$  and the CLT mentioned in the end of page 355 cannot be invoked.

A new version of the second part of Lemma 8 is given below. An additional assumption denoted (1) below is also required for Theorem 2 to hold. It is satisfied in a wide range of examples and applications.

**Lemma 1** Let  $X_i = \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_l} \xi_{l,i} e_l$  be the Karhunen-Loeve expansion of  $X_i$  given at page 334. Assume that the sequence of the squared principal component satisfies the weak law of large numbers: when L tends to infinity,

André Mas mas@math.univ-montp2.fr

> Hervé Cardot herve.cardot@enesad.inra.fr

Pascal Sarda sarda@cict.fr

- <sup>1</sup> CESAER, 26, bd Docteur Petitjean, BP 87999, 21079 Dijon Cedex, France
- <sup>2</sup> Institut de Modélisation Mathématique de Montpellier, Université Montpellier II, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France
- <sup>3</sup> Laboratoire de Statistique et Probabilités, Université Paul Sabatier, 118, route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex 4, France
- <sup>4</sup> GRIMM, EA 2254, Université Toulouse-le-Mirail, 5, Allées Antonio-Machado, 31058 Toulouse Cedex 1, France

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-006-0025-2.

$$s_L = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \xi_l^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1 \tag{1}$$

then the second part of Lemma 8 holds namely:

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{s_n}} \langle R_n, X_{n+1} \rangle \xrightarrow{w} N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\right)$$

**Remark 1** Assumption (1) holds for Gaussian X and more generally when the principal components  $\xi_l$ 's are independent.

**Proof** In order to clarify we set below  $X_0 = X_{n+1}$ ,  $s_{L,i} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \xi_{l,i}^2$  and denote  $\mathbb{E}_i$  the expectation w.r.t. the couple  $(X_i, \varepsilon_i)$ . The derivation relies on proving classical pointwise convergence for the characteristic function of  $S_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{ns_n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{i,n}$  with  $Z_{i,n} = \langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_i, X_0 \rangle \varepsilon_i$ .

We prove the result above in the specific case of PCA-spectral cut then  $f_n(x) = 1/x$  for  $x \ge \lambda_{k_n}$  (then  $s_n = k_n$ ). The reader will check that it does not alter the generality of the statement.

Then with  $\varphi_{S_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(itS_n))$ 

$$\varphi_{S_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}_0 \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}_j \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_n}} \left\langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_j, X_0 \right\rangle \varepsilon_j \right) \right\} = \mathbb{E}_0 \left\{ \mathbb{E}_1 \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_n}} Z_{1,n}\right) \right\}^n.$$

With the above notations on Karhunen-Loeve expansion for X

$$Z_{1,n} = \varepsilon_1 \left\langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_1, X_0 \right\rangle = \varepsilon_1 \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,1} \xi_{l,0},$$

where  $(\xi_{l,1})_{1 \le l \le k_n}$  is independent from  $(\xi_{l,0})_{1 \le l \le k_n}$ . Simple computations give,  $\mathbb{E}_1[Z_{1,n}] = 0, \mathbb{E}_1[Z_{1,n}^2] = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,0}^2$ , and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{1}\left|\left\langle \Gamma^{\dagger}X_{1}, X_{0}\right\rangle\right|^{3} \leq \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{l,0}^{2}\right)^{3/2} \mathbb{E}_{1}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{l,1}^{2}\right)^{3/2}.$$

Taken from Jensen's inequality, the bound

$$\left(\frac{1}{k_n}\sum_{l=1}^{k_n}\xi_{l,1}^2\right)^{3/2} \le \frac{1}{k_n}\sum_{l=1}^{k_n}\left|\xi_{l,1}\right|^3$$

🖄 Springer

leads to  $\mathbb{E}_1 \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,1}^2 \right)^{3/2} \le k_n^{1/2} \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E} |\xi_{l,1}|^3 \le M^{3/4} k_n^{3/2}$  where *M* appears in assumption (*A*.3) page 334 and finally to

$$\mathbb{E}_{1}\left|\left(\Gamma^{\dagger}X_{1}, X_{0}\right)\right|^{3} \leq M^{3/4} k_{n}^{3/2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{l,0}^{2}\right)^{3/2}.$$
(2)

Then a Taylor expansion for the characteristic function of  $\langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_1, X_0 \rangle \varepsilon_1$  is

$$\mathbb{E}_{1} \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_{n}}} Z_{1,n}\right) = 1 - \frac{t^{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2nk_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{l,0}^{2} - i \frac{t^{3}}{6(nk_{n})^{3/2}} H_{n}(t),$$

where  $H_n(t) = \mathbb{E}_1 \left[ \varepsilon_1^3 \langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_1, X_0 \rangle^3 \exp \left( i \tau_t \langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_1, X_0 \rangle \varepsilon_1 \right) \right]$  for some  $\tau_t \in (0, t/\sqrt{nk_n})$  is a remainder term in the Taylor's expansion of  $\varphi_{Z_{1,n}}$ . Hence, we get from (2),

$$|H_n(t)| \leq \mathbb{E} |\varepsilon_1|^3 \mathbb{E}_1 \left| \left\langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_1, X_0 \right\rangle \right|^3 \leq \mathbb{E} |\varepsilon_1|^3 M^{3/4} k_n^{3/2} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,0}^2 \right)^{3/2}.$$

Remind that  $s_{k_n,0} = \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,0}^2$ . From the equations above we can write,

$$\mathbb{E}_{1} \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_{n}}}Z_{1,n}\right) = 1 - \frac{t^{2}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2n}s_{k_{n},0}\left(1 + tk_{n}^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{s_{k_{n},0}}{n}}\widetilde{H}_{n}\left(t\right)\right),\tag{3}$$

where this time:  $\sup_{(n,t)} |\widetilde{H}_n(t)| \le M^{3/4} \mathbb{E} |\varepsilon_1|^3$ . Then, with assumption (7) page 334 in Theorem 2, we have that  $k_n^3/n$  tends to zero when *n* tends to infinity so that,

$$\mathbb{E}_{1} \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_{n}}} \left\langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_{1}, X_{0} \right\rangle \varepsilon_{1}\right) = 1 - \frac{t^{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2n} \left(\frac{1}{k_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}} \xi_{l,0}^{2}\right) \left(1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}\left(1\right)\right),$$

when  $\frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,0}^2$  is an  $O_{\mathbb{P}}$  (1). In order to conclude we have to take expectation with respect to  $X_0$  and integrate to the limit.

First of all it is plain from (3), the assumption on  $\frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{l=1}^{k_n} \xi_{l,0}^2$  and the continuous mapping theorem that

$$\left[\mathbb{E}_1 \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_n}} \left\langle \Gamma^{\dagger} X_1, X_0 \right\rangle \varepsilon_1 \right)\right]^n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\right).$$

🖄 Springer

Besides  $\left[\mathbb{E}_1 \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_n}}Z_{1,n}\right)\right]^n \leq 1$  almost surely and is uniformly integrable with respect to  $\mathbb{E}_0$ . We can conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}_0\left\{\mathbb{E}_1\exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{nk_n}}\left\langle\Gamma^{\dagger}X_1,X_0\right\rangle\varepsilon_1\right)\right\}^n \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\right),$$

which concludes the proof of the Lemma.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.