## **ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION**



# **Case series of congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia unfulflling neurofbromatosis type 1 diagnosis: 21% with somatic** *NF1* **haploinsufficiency in the periosteum**

**Yu Zheng1,2,3 · Guanghui Zhu2 · Yaoxi Liu2 · Weihua Zhao2 · Yongjia Yang2 · Zhenqing Luo2 · Yuyan Fu<sup>2</sup> · Haibo Mei<sup>2</sup> · Zhengmao Hu1,3**

Received: 10 November 2021 / Accepted: 29 December 2021 / Published online: 13 January 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

## **Abstract**

Up to 84% of patients with congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia (CPT) present with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) (NF1-CPT). However, the etiology of CPT not fulflling the NIH diagnostic criteria for NF1 (non-NF1-CPT) is not well understood. Here, we collected the periosteum tissue from the pseudarthrosis (PA) site of 43 non-NF1-CPT patients and six patients with NF1-CPT, together with the blood or oral specimen of trios (probands and unafected parents). Whole-exome plus copy number variation sequencing, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplifcation (MLPA), ultra-high amplicon sequencing, and Sanger sequencing were employed to identify pathogenic variants. The result showed that nine tissues of 43 non-NF1-CPT patients (21%) had somatic mono-allelic *NF1* inactivation, and fve of six NF1-CPT patients (83.3%) had bi-allelic *NF1* inactivation in tissues. However, previous literature involving genetic testing did not reveal somatic mosaicism in non-NF1-CPT patients so far. In NF1-CPT patients, when the results from earlier reports and the present study were combined, 66.7% of them showed somatic *NF1* inactivation in PA tissues other than germline inactivation. Furthermore, no diagnostic variants from other known genes (*GNAS*, *AKT1*, *PDGFRB,* and *NOTCH3*) related to skeletal dysplasia were identifed in the nine *NF1* positive *non-NF1-CPT* patients and six NF1-CPT patients. In conclusion, we detected evident somatic mono-allelic *NF1* inactivation in the non-NF1-CPT. Thus, for pediatric patients without NF1 diagnosis, somatic mutations in *NF1* are important.

#### **Abbreviations**



 $\boxtimes$  Haibo Mei meihaiboprof@outlook.com

 $\boxtimes$  Zhengmao Hu huzhengmao@sklmg.edu.cn

- Center for Medical Genetics and Hunan Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics, School of Life Sciences, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatric Orthopedics and Pediatrics Research Institute of Hunan Province, Hunan Children's Hospital, the Pediatric Academy of the University of South China, 86 Ziyuan Road, Changsha, Hunan, China
- <sup>3</sup> Hunan Key Laboratory of Molecular Precisional Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China



# **Introduction**

Congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia (CPT) is a rare disease characterized by recalcitrant healing of the tibia followed by anterolateral bowing, pathological fractures, or pseudarthrosis in early life (Hefti et al. [2000](#page-10-0); O'Donnell et al. [2017](#page-11-0); Vander Have et al. [2008](#page-11-1)). Up to 84% of patients with CPT match the NIH diagnostic criteria for neurofbromatosis type 1 (NF1, MIM# 162200) (Neurofbromatosis [1988](#page-11-2); Van Royen et al. [2016\)](#page-11-3). NF1 is an autosomal-dominant genetic disease involving cutaneous, ocular, or osseous lesions, and some of the afected individuals have neurological, vascular, or cardiac manifestations. NF1 occurs in approximately 1/3000 to 1/2000 births (Evans et al. [2010;](#page-9-0) Lammert et al. [2005](#page-10-1); Uusitalo et al. [2015\)](#page-11-4), and  $< 5\%$  of patients with NF1 have CPT (Friedman and Birch [1997](#page-10-2); Schindeler and Little [2008](#page-11-5); Young et al. [2002\)](#page-11-6).

Haplo-insufficiency of the *NF1* gene brought by loss-offunction (LoF) variation can cause NF1. *NF1* is a tumor suppressor that encodes neuro-fbromin activating ras GTPase to control cellular proliferation, and loss of neuro-fbromin activates the RAS/MAPK signaling pathway leading to cell overgrowth (Andersen et al. [1993;](#page-9-1) Ballester et al. [1990](#page-9-2); Basu et al. [1992](#page-9-3); Bollag et al. [1996;](#page-9-4) Cichowski and Jacks [2001](#page-9-5); DeClue et al. [1991;](#page-9-6) Lau et al. [2000](#page-10-3); Xu et al. [1990a,](#page-11-7) [b](#page-11-8)). Previous studies that detected the CPT pseudarthrosis (PA) tissue focused on CPT patients with NF1 (NF1-CPT) (Brekelmans et al. [2019;](#page-9-7) Lee et al. [2012](#page-10-4); Margraf et al. [2017](#page-10-5); Paria et al. [2014;](#page-11-9) Sakamoto et al. [2007](#page-11-10); Sant et al. [2015](#page-11-11); Stevenson et al. [2006;](#page-11-12) Tahaei et al. [2018\)](#page-11-13), and molecular testing mainly targeted the DNA, RNA, or protein of *NF1* via techniques, such as whole-exome sequencing (WES), RNA-seq, protein truncation test, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplifcation (MLPA), gene-targeted microarray, quantitative PCR, and long-range PCR. However, approximately 26% of CPT cases do not meet NF1 diagnosis (non-NF1- CPT), and no genetic fndings have been reported. Thus, the non-NF1-CPT etiology is still not understood.

Non-NF1-CPT patients usually have an isolated phenotype in the tibia. Generally, non-NF1-CPT has similar clinical manifestations as NF1-CPT (Zhu et al. [2019\)](#page-12-0), including the time of tibia bowing or fracture onset, lateralization, abnormality of the proximal tibial epiphysis, and tibial union follow-up after surgery. Previous histological, pathological, and biological studies found no signifcant diferences between NF1-CPT and non-NF1-CPT (Granchi et al. [2010](#page-10-6); Hermanns-Sachweh et al. [2005](#page-10-7)), with both showing thickened periosteum with nerve cells accumulating around small arteries (Hermanns-Sachweh et al. [2005](#page-10-7)). In addition, a biological study using cultured bone marrow stromal cells from the PA site showed reduced osteogenicity in NF1-CPT and non-NF1-CPT than in controls (Granchi et al. [2010](#page-10-6)). However, our previous study of 75 patients with CPT identifed no germline pathogenic *NF1* variants in all 20 non-NF1-CPT patients (Zhu et al. [2019](#page-12-0)). In the reviewed 158 cases with mosaic NF1 (MNF1), two cases presented non-NF1-CPT but did not undergo genetic testing (El-Rosasy [2020;](#page-9-8) Garcia-Romero et al. [2016;](#page-10-8) Listernick et al. [2003\)](#page-10-9).

Periosteum covering the outer surface of bone is essential for skeletal development and growth, and it also works as a central mediator of bone-healing after fracture (Hutmacher and Sittinger, [2003](#page-10-10); Ozaki et al. [2000](#page-11-14); Roberts et al. [2015](#page-11-15)). Pathologically, both NF1-CPT and non-NF1-CPT showed thicken periosteum with fbrous hyperplasia at the PA site (Ippolito et al. [2000;](#page-10-11) Sakamoto et al. [2007](#page-11-10); Zhu et al. [2019\)](#page-12-0). For NF1-CPT, some studies found bi-allelic *NF1* inactivation (also known as second hit, double inactivation) in the PA tissue, which covered approximately 65% of the published cases (Lee et al. [2012](#page-10-4); Paria et al. [2014](#page-11-9); Sakamoto et al. [2007;](#page-11-10) Sant et al. [2015](#page-11-11); Stevenson et al. [2006](#page-11-12)). However, for non-NF1-CPT, as no germline *NF1* inactivation has been reported, we supposed that somatic *NF1* inactivation could exist in the pathological periosteum at PA site related to CPT lesion. Here we obtained the DNA of the PA periosteum of 43 non-NF1-CPT patients and six patients with NF1-CPT and performed whole-exome plus copy number variation  $(CNV)$  sequencing  $(WES + CNV)$  and MLPA to analyze pathogenic variants spanning the known associated genes. We then validated the identifed pathogenic variants using DNA from the trios (afected probands and unafected parents).

## **Methods**

#### **Aim, design, and settings**

This study aimed to investigate disease-causing variants in non-NF1-CPT patients with unknown etiologies. Toward this goal, using the PA tissues, we performed  $WES + CNV$ and MLPA in 43 non-NF1-CPT patients to frst screen for known disease-causing genes. The source of mutation was then identifed using trios for precise diagnosis, management, and genetic counseling. Concurrently, the six NF1- CPT patients were analyzed using the same pipeline for comparison.

## **Participants**

After obtaining ethical approval and informed consent, we studied 43 patients with non-NF1-CPT and six patients with NF1-CPT who underwent surgery (Supp. Table S1). The patients were not diagnosed with osteofbrous dysplasia. We collected detailed clinical information, family history, and periosteum of the discarded PA tissue of each patient (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). The peripheral blood or oral samples of eighteen trios (afected and unafected parents) were also collected. According to the NF1 diagnostic criteria (NIH, 1988) (Neurofbromatosis [1988\)](#page-11-2), all non-NF1-CPT cases have an isolated phenotype of tibial pseudarthrosis (HP:0009736) and do not match NF1. All six NF1-CPT patients had six or more café au lait macules (CAL, HP:0007565)>5 mm in greatest diameter and were diagnosed with NF1 (Table [1](#page-2-0)).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

This variant was identified as low VAF in WES, and validated as homozygous in the patient's periosteum by Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Fig. S2) dThis variant was identifed as low VAF in WES, and validated as homozygous in the patient's periosteum by Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Fig. S2) P pathogenic, LP likely pathogenic *P* pathogenic, *LP* likely pathogenic

quency, *UHAS* ultra-high amplicon sequencing

a*F* female,

b*y* year,

*m* month for age

*M* male for sex

**Sample preparation and DNA extraction**

The pathological periosteum surrounding the cortical bone of the discarded PA tissue during surgery (Supp. Fig. S1) was cut into pieces. No bone spicules were embedded. Each sample was approximately 200 mg and had a diameter of 5 mm approximately. One piece of periosteum biopsies was performed pathology detection and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) following the standard protocol. Other pieces of periosteum tissue were washed with phosphatebuffered saline (PBS), and then were frozen at  $-80$  °C or preserved in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA was extracted using the following steps: (1) the preserved periosteum was ground using the automatic sample quick grinding machine JXFSTPRP-12/16 (Tissuelyser, Shanghai, China; the ground parameter was 65 Hz for 60 s); (2) 500 μl Sodium Chloride–Tris–EDTA (STE) bufer solution (0.1 mol/L NaCl, 10 mmol/L Tris–Cl with  $pH = 8.0$ , 1 mmol/L EDTA), 10 mg/ml Proteinase K and 75 μl 10% SDS were added and digested over 24 h, (3) centrifugation and the supernatant were kept to extract DNA using the standard phenol–chloroform method, (4) the extracted DNA was then evaluated by agarose gel electrophoresis and quantifed using Qubit 3.0. The genomic DNA of peripheral blood lymphocytes was extracted using the standard phenol–chloroform method. In addition, the genomic DNA of saliva or oral swabs was extracted using the Saliva DNA Purifcation Kit (Simgen, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China) and Magbead Swab DNA Kit (CWBIO, Taizhou, Jiangsu, China), respectively.

# **Whole exome plus CNV sequencing and bioinformatics analysis**

Forty-nine periosteal DNA samples and twelve blood DNA samples of unafected parents were submitted to whole exome plus CNV sequencing (Supp. Table S1). Genomic DNA (200 ng) of each sample was fragmented, and the exome was captured using the AIExomeV1-CNV Enrichment Kit (iGeneTech, Beijing, China, capture region size: 62 Mb) for library preparation (concentration  $> 25$  ng/ ul, central peak 220–320 bp). The captured DNA was sequenced with  $2 \times 150$  bp reads using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample yielded over 15 GB of raw data with a minimum mean depth of coverage of  $100 \times$ . The average base Phred quality was over 36.1 (34.9–36.4), and the mean target coverage at 20X was 97.6% (84.4–99.4%).

The sequenced raw reads in FastQ fle format were preprocessed using trim\_galore (version 0.6.4, [http://www.bioin](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) [formatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim\\_galore/\)](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) to remove adapter-contaminated ends and low-quality bases with Phred scores  $<$  20. Reads with  $>$  5N bases,  $>$  40% low-quality

bases, or trimmed lengths<30 bp were also removed. Subsequently, the clean reads were mapped to the human reference sequence (version: GRCh37) using the alignment tool Burrows–Wheeler Aligner (BWA, Version 0.7.17-r1188) (Li and Durbin [2010\)](#page-10-12). SAMtools (Li et al. [2009\)](#page-10-13) and SAM-BLASTER (version 0.1.26) (Faust and Hall [2014](#page-10-14)) were used to remove duplicate reads. The Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, version 3.8) (DePristo et al. [2011](#page-9-9)) refned the alignment and call variants.

#### **SNV and InDel analysis**

The generated single-nucleotide variations (SNVs) and short insertions and deletions (InDels) from GATK with genotype quality  $\geq$  20, depth  $\geq$  5, and variant allele frequency  $\geq$  0.15 were kept for subsequent analysis. They were annotated using ANNOVAR (Wang et al. [2010\)](#page-11-16) and InterVar (version 20,180,118) (Li and Wang [2017\)](#page-10-15). We removed the SNPs and InDels with population frequency (minor allele frequency, MAF) > 0.001 in gnomAD (East Asian and popmax of continental populations using WES and whole genome sequencing) and ExAC (all populations and East Asian) databases (Karczewski et al. [2017](#page-10-16), [2020](#page-10-17)). SNPs and InDels shared by at least two of the 12 CPT family controls or shared by less than two of our in-house 528 WES family controls (with MAF>0.001) (Liu et al. [2021](#page-10-18); Yang et al. [2019\)](#page-11-17) were also removed. The remaining non-benign heterozygous variants annotated by InterVar or ClinVar (version 20180603) in the coding or UTR regions were then used for further analysis. The prioritized variants of the *NF1* gene were screened using ClinVar (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/>) and HGMD databases (public version, [http://www.hgmd.cf.](http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk) [ac.uk](http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk)) for known pathogenic records. VarSome (Kopanos et al. [2019](#page-10-19)) was employed to classify the pathogenicity of each variant according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) criteria (Richards et al. [2015](#page-11-18)). Protein domains and repeats and homologous super-families of neuro-fbromin were queried from InterPro [\(http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro)). In addition, the known disease-causing genes *GNAS*, *AKT1*, *PDGFRB,* and *NOTCH3*, related to musculoskeletal dysplasia similar to CPT, were screened for diferential diagnosis.

#### **Detection of CNVs and structural variations (SVs)**

CNVkit (version 0.9.6) (Talevich et al. [2016\)](#page-11-19) was used to detect CNVs in each patient. Twelve healthy parents were used as reference, and the threshold parameter "− *t*=− 1.1, − 0.4, 0.3, 0.7" was used for CNV calling. We then annotated the identifed CNVs using ANNOVAR against the ref-Gene, cytoBand, wgRna, genomicSuperDups, genomicCatalog, and CNVD databases. The detected CNVs overlapping *NF1*, *GNAS*, *AKT1*, *PDGFRB*, and *NOTCH3* genes were interpreted according to the ACMG and ClinGen technical standards (Riggs et al. [2020\)](#page-11-20). Pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs were further validated using MLPA.

SVs were detected using the LUMPY-sv (version v0.3.0) (Layer et al. [2014\)](#page-10-20). After fltering out the SVs shared by the 12 unafected parents, the remaining SVs overlapping *NF1*, *GNAS*, *AKT1*, *PDGFRB*, and *NOTCH3* genes were subjected to for further validation. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (Thorvaldsdottir et al. [2013\)](#page-11-21) was employed to view the variant-located chromosomal region using the patient's bam fle to confrm the CNVs and SVs.

#### **Validation by Sanger sequencing**

The identifed pathogenic or likely pathogenic, and LoF (nonsense, frameshifting indels and canonical splice-site) SNV and InDels that overlapped with the *NF1* gene were validated using Sanger sequencing in the trios [PA tissue of probands, peripheral blood, or saliva or oral swabs of probands and their parents (see Table [1](#page-2-0) and Supp. Fig. S2 and Supp. Fig. S3 for details)]. First, we employed the Primer-BLAST program ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) [tools/primer-blast/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/)) to design PCR primers (primers for validating SNPs and InDels were provided in Supp. Table S2). Subsequently, all variants were validated via independent PCR amplifcation and bidirectional DNA sequencing suing ABI 3130 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

## **Multiplex ligation‑dependent probe amplifcation (MLPA)**

For suspected CNVs overlapping the *NF1* gene, MLPA was performed to validate deletions or duplications encompassing≥1 *NF1* exons or the entire gene. We used the SALSA MLPA probe P081 NF1 mix 1 and P082 NF1 mix 2 (MRC-HOLLAND, Amsterdam, Netherlands) to detect CNVs in the extracted DNA and performed dosage analysis following the manufacturer's instructions.

# **Mosaic variant validation using ultra‑high amplicon sequencing**

*NF1*-related pathogenic or likely pathogenic SNVs and InDels with allele fractions≥5% and≤30% were considered to suspect mosaic variations. Only one variant c.334C>T with an allele fraction of 18%, was used for further validation. The Primer-BLAST program was used to design PCR primers specific for the variant. The PCR product with the target length (699 bp) using the extracted tissue DNA was submitted for ultra-high amplicon sequencing. The target-captured DNA was then sequenced with  $2 \times 150$  bp reads using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Furthermore, 1.32 Gb of raw data was obtained with a minimum mean depth of coverage over  $70,000 \times$  and an average base quality of 36. The raw reads were polished and then aligned to the human reference sequence (version: GRCh37) using BWA MEM. Variants in the target regions were identifed using GATK. IGV was deployed to confrm the variants using the generated bam fle.

#### **Literature search**

The literature search in PubMed was performed using the search terms "pseudarthrosis AND (tibia OR tibial) AND (NF1 OR neurofbromatosis) AND (genetic OR molecular)". Sixty-four articles that were published by September 30, 2021 were reviewed. Further fltering was done using keywords "somatic OR mosaic OR segmental OR localized" for non-NF1-CPT reports. We further searched for publications on NF1-CPT with somatic bi-allelic *NF1* inactivation using the keywords "second hit", "biallelic", or "double inactivation". We then manually screened these publications with clinical features and *NF1* variants reported in patients.

## **Results**

# **Non‑NF1‑CPT patients have pathogenic somatic** *NF1* **variants of in the PA periosteum**

After quality and allele frequency fltration, 742 pathogenic, likely pathogenic or LoF SNPs and InDels were left in the WES data of all the 43 non-NF1-CPT and 6 NF1-CPT PA

periosteal samples. They were distributed in 631 genes, and the *NF1* gene covered a significantly higher proportion (14/49; 28.6%) of the sequenced cases than other genes  $\leq$  10% each). In the PA periosteum tissue of 43 non-NF1-CPT patients, 9 (21%, 9/43) were identifed *NF1* heterozygous variants (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). All nine variants were classifed as pathogenic based on the ACMG criteria. Further by validating the variants in family trios, seven of nine variants were found in the PA periosteum but not in the peripheral blood or oral epithelial cells of patients, or their parents (Table [1,](#page-2-0) Supp. Fig. S1). Therefore, the seven variations were confrmed to be somatic. For example, P1 was identifed a heterozygous *NF1* gene loss by MLPA in the PA tissue. P3 has ClinVar known pathogenic splicing variant  $c.4269 + 2T > C$ . P4 has c.484C > T (p.Gln162Ter) leading to premature translation termination. P9 was detected a mosaic c.334C>T (p.Gln112Ter) in the PA periosteum. The three variants were recorded in the ClinVar or HGMD databases as pathogenic. In addition, P6 and P7 harbor novel splicing variants c.205- 1G>T and c.2002-1G>C; while P8 has a novel frameshift deletion variant c.4173\_4174del (p.Arg1391SerfsTer11).

In case of the other two variants, which are loss of contact and lack of family samples, it was not confrmed whether c.1185+1G>A in P2 and c.3897del (p.Lys1299AsnfsTer10) in P5 were germline or somatic.

## **Bi‑allelic** *NF1* **inactivation occurs in the PA site of patients with NF1‑CPT**

Using the same pipeline, all six patients with NF1 identifed pathogenic *NF1* variants, and three had bi-allelic *NF1* inactivation in the periosteum of the PA site (Table [1](#page-2-0), Supp.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. S2). Patients P11, P12, and P13 were found heterozygous *NF1* pathogenic variants in the blood or oral epithelial cells (p.Gln1374ValfsTer10 for P11, p.Arg2237Ter for P12, and p.Gln11Ter for P13), whereas the three variants were homozygous in the PA periosteum. All three variants were related to premature termination of translation. Among them, the variants in P11 and P12 were not found in their unafected parents and were confrmed to be de novo. Another variant in P13 was confrmed to be maternally inherited and the patient's mother had NF1 fndings. Notably, the InDel variant in P11's tissue was identifed as heterozygous with low-variant allele frequency (719/234; 25%) by WES, while by Sanger sequencing, this variant was homozygous in the patient's PA periosteum and heterozygous in the patient's blood. This variant was not detected in P11's father and mother by Sanger sequencing. Moreover, the tibia of P11 and P13 were not un-ioned after surgery (Supp. Table S1). We supposed that one of the reasons was non-autogenous iliac bone graft applied.

Specifcally, patient P10 was the only mono-allelic variant c.4267A>G (p.Lys1423Glu) identifed in blood and PA periosteum. The variant was classifed as pathogenic based on the ACMG criteria and is a known pathogenic variant in ClinVar. This variant was also identifed in P10's monozygotic twin sister, who also had NF1 but without CPT manifestations. The patient's parents did not have the variant, suggesting that it is de novo nature.

## **Somatic mosaicism in PA periosteum happens in both non‑NF1‑CPT and NF1‑CPT**

In non-NF1-CPT, patient P9 was identified a mosaic c.334C > T (p.Gln112Ter) with an allelic fraction of  $\sim 16\%$ in the PA tissue but not in the blood (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). WES and ultra-high amplicon sequencing demonstrated similar allelic fractions of 19% (depth: 23/118) and 16% (depth: 12,288/74,922), respectively. This patient was a female and manifested CPT in the left leg at birth.

In NF1-CPT, in addition to the identifed germline heterozygous *NF1* inactivation, two patients (P14 and P15) were detected as carriers of a new *NF1* mosaic variant in their PA periosteum. Among them, c.989C > A (p.Ala330Glu) in P14 had an allele fraction of 34%, which was not found in her oral epithelial cells. According to ACMG criteria, this variant was classifed as likely pathogenic (PM1 PM2 PM5 PP2 PP3) and was recorded in ClinVar as variant of uncertain signifcance (VUS). Another variant c.1381C>T (p.Arg461Ter) in P15 had an allele fraction of 18% in the PA tissue but not in the oral epithelial cells. This variant was recorded as pathogenic in ClinVar. Both patients were female, and they manifested CPT near 2 years old (24 months old for P14 and 22 months old for P15).

# **Non‑NF1‑CPT patients with somatic** *NF1* **inactivation have early and unilateral onset and similar union time to NF1‑CPT**

All nine non-NF1-CPT patients had unilateral onset with no family history of NF1 (Table [1](#page-2-0)). They all initially presented tibia bowing or fracture in infancy, including four infants presented at birth. The average age of onset was three months (Supp. Table S1). There was no significant bias in left or right lateral onset (5:4), but there were more male patients than females (6:3) in this cohort. H&E staining of the periosteum prevalently showed fbro-vascular tissue hyperplasia with partially hyaline degeneration, and thickwalled angiogenesis in non-NF1-CPT patients like NF1-CPT (Supp. Table S1). Compared with the six patients with NF1, the average age of tibia bowing or fracture presentation was two months, and the ratio of left to right lateralization was 2:4. Patients P11, P12, and P13 with bi-allelic *NF1* inactivation in PA tissue presented tibia bowing in three months after birth. Referring to the 34 non-NF1-CPT patients without somatic NF1 inactivation, the average age of tibia bowing or fracture presentation was near nine months, which was older than the nine non-NF1-CPT patients with somatic NF1 inactivation.

As for the union time of tibia after surgery, the average union time of the nine non-NF1-CPT patients with somatic *NF1* inactivation was five months. All the 43 non-NF1-CPT patients have a similar average union time (Supp. Table S1). Excluding the two patients (P11 and P13) without tibia union, the average union time of the other four NF1-CPT patients was four months. The union time of the NF1-CPT and non-NF1 CPT was quite close.

## **66.7% of NF1‑CPT patients showed somatic** *NF1* **inactivation in PA tissue**

Based on a review of 10 articles from 2006 to 2020 (Brekelmans et al. [2019](#page-9-7); Lee et al. [2012;](#page-10-4) Leskela et al. [2009](#page-10-21); Margraf et al. [2017;](#page-10-5) Paria et al. [2014](#page-11-9); Sakamoto et al. [2007](#page-11-10); Sant et al. [2015](#page-11-11); Stevenson et al. [2006;](#page-11-12) Tahaei et al. [2018](#page-11-13)), a total of 57 patients with NF1-CPT were reported with *NF1* genetic testing in the PA tissue (Table [2\)](#page-7-0). These patients were all diagnosed with NF1 based on the NIH diagnostic criteria. DNA, RNA, or protein from the PA tissue was detected using traditional molecular or cytogenetic detection methods (e.g., genotyping *NF1* markers, restriction fragment length polymorphism, MS-PCR, MLPA), and NGS-based technology (e.g., WES, RNA-seq). Thirty-seven patients were identifed as having a second hit in *NF1* in the PA tissue other than a heterozygous germline *NF1* inactivation. Combined with the fve of six NF1 patients in our study, a total of 42 NF1-CPT patients (42/63, 66.7%) were found to have a somatic *NF1* inactivation in addition to a germline

Year reported 1st Author			Patients $(n)$ Type of CPT	Method	With somatic NF1 second-hit?
2006	Stevenson et al.	2	NF1	Immuno-histochemical, genotyping 4 NF1 markers	Yes
2007	Sakamoto et al.	1	NF1	RFLP, MS-PCR	N <sub>0</sub>
2009	Leskela et al.	3	3 NF1 (with 3 controls) without CPT and NF1)	NF1 mRNA, protein	No
2012	Lee et al.	16	NF1	4 <i>NF1</i> markers, clonality assay	$4$ yes, 11 no
2014	Paria et al.	16	NF1	WES, RNA-seq, SNP microarray	12 <i>NF1</i> mutations, 4 no
2015	Sant et al.		NF1	WES	Yes in soft proliferative tissue, but not in cortical bone
2017	Margraf et al.	4	NF1	WES	3 yes
2018	Tahaei et al.		NF1	Single-cell RNA-seq	yes
2019	Brekelmans et al. 13		NF1	$DNA + RNA$ (PTT, heteroduplex, FISH, southern Blot, Cytogenetic), MLPA, HaploPlex noonan syndrome kit	11 yes
2021	This study	6	NF1	$WES + CNV$	5 yes
Total		63			66.70%

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 2** Characteristics of genetic testing of NF1 on the PA tissue of CPT patients in literature

mutation in the PA tissue, which formed bi-allelic *NF1* inactivation (Table [2\)](#page-7-0).

## **No pathogenic variants were found in the known skeletal dysplasia causing genes**

For diferential diagnostic purposes, in the variants called from WES+CNV sequencing of PA tissue, we screened the non-benign variants of the prioritized genes related to skeletal dysplasia with features similar to those of CPT. The known phenotype-relevant genes include *GNAS* related to fbrous dysplasia, *AKT1*-associated Proteus syndrome, *PDG-FRB,* and *NOTCH3*-related autosomal-dominant infantile myofbromatosis. Based on the ACMG criteria, no likely pathogenic variants of these genes were identifed in the nine non-NF1-CPT and six NF1-CPT patients with *NF1* mutation. For the other 34 patients, only three missense variants with uncertain signifcance (VUS) were identifed in the periosteum: P34 had c.166\_167delGCinsAT (p.Ala56Met) in *GNAS*, P36 had c.881C>T (p.Thr294Met) in *NOTCH3,* and P47 had c.914C>T (p.Thr305Ile) in *AKT1*.

# **Discussion**

This study focused on non-NF1-CPT patients that did not meet the clinical diagnostic criteria for NF1. These patients had an isolated clinical manifestation of tibial pseudarthrosis, and we primarily detected the PA periosteum using WES + CNV analysis to screen for disease-causing variants. We found that 21% of the detected non-NF1-CPT patients

had somatic mono-allelic *NF1* inactivation in the PA periosteum but not in blood or oral samples. Furthermore, using the same pipeline in the six NF1-CPT patients, we identifed a second somatic *NF1* inactivation in addition to germline mono-allelic *NF1* inactivation in the PA periosteum from five of them. Our findings revealed that somatic *NF1* variation exists in non-NF1-CPT and NF1-CPT patients with a signifcant proportion, and both have early onset.

*NF1* somatic mutation found in the pathological periosteum of non-NF1-CPT with fbrous proliferation could be construed as low-level mosaicism of NF1. The age of tibia bowing or fracture onset, tibia union time after surgery, and fbrosis in the periosteum had no signifcant diferences between the non-NF1-CPT patients with *NF1* somatic mutation and the NF1-CPT patients. NF1 has high phenotypic variability without clear genotype–phenotype correlations (Gutmann et al. [2017;](#page-10-22) Sabbagh et al. [2013](#page-11-22); Zhang et al. [2015\)](#page-11-23). The function of neuro-fbromin encoded by *NF1* is still not fully understood. Recently, Luo et al*.* and Koliou et al*.* reported that neuro-fbromin is involved in chromosome congression and participates in somatic cell division (Koliou et al. [2016;](#page-10-23) Luo et al. [2014](#page-10-24)). This may be related to the various onset times of diferent NF1 manifestations. Similar to the most common CALs, CPT as a skeletal abnormality is usually symptomatic from birth to infancy (Gutmann et al. [2017](#page-10-22)). In this study, non-NF1-CPT patients did not exhibit CALs and other symptomatic manifestations, the localized lesion in tibia with *NF1* somatic haplo-insufficiency during bone development could be an importance cause. Furthermore, as periosteum serves as the source of osteoprogenitor cells producing chondrocytes and mature osteoblasts for bone growth, bone modeling and remodeling after fracture (Maes et al. [2010](#page-10-25); Ozaki et al. [2000](#page-11-14); Roberts et al. [2015\)](#page-11-15), *NF1* inactivation was found in the considerable portion of CPT's PA periosteum with highly fbrous hyperplasia might contribute to the formation of pseudarthrosis. The dysfunction of periosteum could also impair the ossifcation during bone growth and bone-healing after fracture.

A high frequency of LoF variations of *NF1* was observed in CPT, either germline de novo or somatic. After combining the results of previous studies and our study, the overall proportion of somatic second hits of *NF1* in NF1-CPT is approximately 67% (Brekelmans et al. [2019](#page-9-7); Lee et al. [2012](#page-10-4); Leskela et al. [2009;](#page-10-21) Margraf et al. [2017;](#page-10-5) Paria et al. [2014;](#page-11-9) Sakamoto et al. [2007](#page-11-10); Sant et al. [2015](#page-11-11); Stevenson et al. [2006;](#page-11-12) Tahaei et al. [2018\)](#page-11-13). Interestingly, this proportion is the same as that of the somatic inactivation in skin biopsy and blood mosaicism of *NF1* of the 15 MNF1 cases subjected to genetic testing (10/15, 67%) (Garcia-Romero et al. [2016](#page-10-8)). With 21 of 63 NF1-CPT cases harboring somatic second hits in the literature and nine of 43 non-NF1-CPT cases with somatic mosaicism in this study, the overall rate of mosaic somatic *NF1* inactivation was about 50% in 106 cases. This proportion is close to that of the de novo germline frequency of *NF1* inactivation (Evans et al. [2010;](#page-9-0) Zhu et al. [2019](#page-12-0)). However, the cause of such a high-variation frequency of NF1 is not fully understood.

With *NF1* pathogenic variants identifed, non-NF1-CPT caused by somatic mosaicism and NF1-CPT caused by germline *NF1* inactivation will be beneft for precise treatment, follow-up management, and gene counseling in future. Molecular testing can help establish the diagnosis of NF1 and MNF1 for CPT as early. Recently Legius et al. revised the diagnostic criteria for NF1 by adding *NF1* genetic diagnosis and several more specifed clinical features (Legius et al. [2021;](#page-10-26) Parrozzani et al. [2015](#page-11-24); Tadini et al. [2014;](#page-11-25) Vagge et al. [2016](#page-11-26)). With a clear diagnosis, the follow-up surveillance of tibia union and other tissue manifestations between NF1-CPT and non-NF1-CPT would be helpful for future customized management. Furthermore, up to 13% of the reported patients with MNF1 or complete NF1 have malignancy risk (Garcia-Romero et al. [2016](#page-10-8)). Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs) are the most common and often occur in adolescents or early adulthood in individuals with NF1 (Hagel et al. [2007](#page-10-27); McCaughan et al. [2007](#page-10-28); Valentin et al. [2016](#page-11-27)). Patients with complete *NF1* loss are at a greater risk of developing MPNST (Kehrer-Sawatzki et al. [2017;](#page-10-29) Upadhyaya et al. [2004](#page-11-28)) than other types of variants. In this study, Patient P1 was detected the entire *NF1* loss in the PA periosteum, and the follow-up surveillance for malignant risk would be needed. Other tumors, such as rhabdomyosarcomas and malignant fbrous histiocytoma, may occur since childhood (Seminog and Goldacre [2013](#page-11-29); Varan et al. [2016\)](#page-11-30). Although non-NF1-CPT with a somatic mutation has a lower risk of malignant tumors than NF1-CPT and unlikely has NF1 offspring, follow-up management would be better for individuals with *NF1* inactivation.

In addition to *NF1*, other genes might cause musculoskeletal dysplasia features that need to be diferentiated from CPT. For example, polyostotic fbrous dysplasia is caused by an early embryonic postzygotic somatic mutation of *GNAS*. We screened *GNAS* in our tissue WES + CNV analysis, and no patient had pathogenic variants of this gene. Proteus syndrome is characterized by hamartomatous overgrowth of multiple tissues, such as hyperostosis, and the somatic mosaic of *AKT1* contributes to 90% of affected individuals. None of our CPT cases in this study were identifed any pathogenic variants of *AKT1* in the detected tissue. The variant in P47 might be upgraded to likely pathogenic if it is de novo. As the parent's samples were unavailable, the pathogenicity of this variant would be illustrated later. We also screened *PDGFRB* and *NOTCH3* gene related to autosomal-dominant infantile myofibromatosis, and no pathogenic variants were identifed. All cases in this study confrmed that there were no pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in these genes. Excepting these four genes and *NF1*, other genes were identifed in patients sporadically with likely pathogenic or LoF variants. Whether other genes participated in CPT etiology needs to be further investigated.

Due to available samples and testing technology limitations, 79% of the non-NF1-CPT cases were genetically negative and only the gDNA of patients were detected using WES + CNV plus MLPA. According to previous studies, approximately 1/3 of the variants afecting splicing could not be detected by gDNA sequencing (Evans et al. [2016](#page-9-10)) and cDNA sequencing demonstrated a relatively higher diagnostic sensitivity for *NF1* than solely gDNA-based analysis. The lack of cultured cells derived from fresh amorphous mesenchymal tissues prevented the assessment of mRNA expression. In addition, this study was lack of whole genome sequence analysis that did not enable identifcation of pathogenic *NF1* mutations that could alter expression of the gene or splicing of the mRNA leading to nonsense-mediated decay. Some structural variants such as cytogenetic rearrangements were not detected, which contributed to  $< 1\%$ of NF1 afected individuals (Messiaen et al. [2000\)](#page-10-30). Therefore, the rate of somatic mosaicism for non-NF1-CPT could be higher than previously reported. Further studies on the remaining NF1-testing negative CPT patients to investigate other disease-causing variants are required. Other limitations included the lack of matching normal periosteum for all 49 patients, and we were unable to do the pair-wise comparison of PA-afected tissues to receive a more substantial result. In addition, some patients lacked of long-term follow-up and thorough physical examination. Thus, the clinical features presented in the collected patients might have been incomplete by the time of documentation. Moreover, some clinical manifestations are rare and of late-onset, such as subcutaneous neuro-fbromas, glioma, and MPNST, and they may be asymptomatic until adolescence or adulthood. Therefore, a complete follow-up, precise diagnosis, prognosis, or clinical course will be useful for non-NF1-CPT and NF1-CPT.

# **Conclusion**

We found a significant proportion of non-NF1-CPT patients without NF1 diagnosis but with somatic mono-allelic *NF1* inactivation in the PA periosteum. The high frequency of *NF1* somatic mutations occurred in both non-NF1-CPT and NF1-CPT patients at an early age. During bone development and growth, *NF1* haplo-insufficiency in the PA periosteum may contribute to the over proliferation of fbrous tissue leading to the formation of CPT.

# **WEB resources used**

UCSC: <https://genome.ucsc.edu/> OMIM:<https://www.omim.org/> wInterVar:<https://wintervar.wglab.org/> Varsome:<https://varsome.com/> GnomAD:<https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/> HGMD:<http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/validate.php> ClinVar:<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/> Primer-BLAST: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) [primer-blast/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/)

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-021-02429-2>.

**Acknowledgements** We thank all the participated patients and their families for supporting our research. We also would like to express our sincere gratitude to all those who helped us in this study.

**Author contributions** Conceptualization and investigation: ZH and HM, Funding acquisition, investigation, supervision, resources acquisition, methodology, and validation: HM, ZH, and YZ. Original draft writing and data analysis: YZ. Resources collection, idea refning, and data validation: GZ, YL, WZ, YY, ZL, and YF. Manuscript review and editing: ZH and HM. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by the Hunan Province Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number: 2020JJ8005), the Health Commission of Hunan Province of China (Grant number: B2019020), Key Research and Development Program of Hunan Province (Grant number: 2020SK2113), and Clinical Research Center for Limb Deformity of Children in Hunan Province (Grant number: 2019SK4006).

**Availability of data and materials** The novel variants found in this study were submitted to ClinVar (accession: SCV002014754-SCV002014759). Other datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

## **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Ethical approval and consent to participate** This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hunan Children's Hospital (Approval No. HCHLL-2020-106). The samples were obtained appropriate informed consent from all participants.

**Consent for publication** All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript for publication.

# **References**

- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Andersen LB, Ballester R, Marchuk DA, Chang E, Gutmann DH, Saulino AM, Collins FS (1993) A conserved alternative splice in the von Recklinghausen neurofbromatosis (NF1) gene produces two neurofbromin isoforms, both of which have GTPase-activating protein activity. Mol Cell Biol 13(1):487–495
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Ballester R, Marchuk D, Boguski M, Saulino A, Letcher R, Wigler M, Collins F (1990) The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell 63(4):851–859
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Basu TN, Gutmann DH, Fletcher JA, Glover TW, Collins FS, Downward J (1992) Aberrant regulation of ras proteins in malignant tumour cells from type 1 neurofibromatosis patients. Nature 356(6371):713–715. <https://doi.org/10.1038/356713a0>
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Bollag G, Clapp DW, Shih S, Adler F, Zhang YY, Thompson P, Shannon K (1996) Loss of NF1 results in activation of the Ras signaling pathway and leads to aberrant growth in haematopoietic cells. Nat Genet 12(2):144–148.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0296-144>
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Brekelmans C, Hollants S, De Groote C, Sohier N, Marechal M, Geris L, Brems H (2019) Neurofbromatosis type 1-related pseudarthrosis: Beyond the pseudarthrosis site. Hum Mutat 40(10):1760– 1767. <https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.23783>
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Cichowski K, Jacks T (2001) NF1 tumor suppressor gene function: narrowing the GAP. Cell 104(4):593–604. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00245-8) [s0092-8674\(01\)00245-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00245-8)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>DeClue JE, Cohen BD, Lowy DR (1991) Identifcation and characterization of the neurofbromatosis type 1 protein product. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88(22):9914–9918
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Daly MJ (2011) A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet 43(5):491–498.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.806>
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>El-Rosasy MA (2020) Congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia: the outcome of a pathology-oriented classifcation system and treatment protocol. J Pediatr Orthop B 29(4):337–347. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1097/BPB.0000000000000660) [1097/BPB.0000000000000660](https://doi.org/10.1097/BPB.0000000000000660)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Evans DG, Howard E, Giblin C, Clancy T, Spencer H, Huson SM, Lalloo F (2010) Birth incidence and prevalence of tumor-prone syndromes: estimates from a UK family genetic register service. Am J Med Genet A 152A(2):327–332. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.33139) [ajmg.a.33139](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.33139)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Evans DG, Bowers N, Burkitt-Wright E, Miles E, Garg S, Scott-Kitching V, Huson SM (2016) Comprehensive RNA analysis of the NF1 gene in classically afected NF1 afected individuals meeting

NIH criteria has high sensitivity and mutation negative testing is reassuring in isolated cases with pigmentary features only. EBio-Medicine 7:212–220.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.04.005>

- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Faust GG, Hall IM (2014) SAMBLASTER: fast duplicate marking and structural variant read extraction. Bioinformatics 30(17):2503– 2505.<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu314>
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Friedman JM, Birch PH (1997) Type 1 neurofbromatosis: a descriptive analysis of the disorder in 1728 patients. Am J Med Genet 70:138–143
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Garcia-Romero MT, Parkin P, Lara-Corrales I (2016) Mosaic neurofbromatosis type 1: a systematic review. Pediatr Dermatol 33(1):9– 17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.12673>
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Granchi D, Devescovi V, Baglio SR, Leonardi E, Donzelli O, Magnani M, Baldini N (2010) Biological basis for the use of autologous bone marrow stromal cells in the treatment of congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia. Bone 46(3):780–788. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2009.10.044) [bone.2009.10.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2009.10.044)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Gutmann DH, Ferner RE, Listernick RH, Korf BR, Wolters PL, Johnson KJ (2017) Neurofbromatosis type 1. Nat Rev Dis Prim 3:17004.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.4>
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Hagel C, Zils U, Peiper M, Kluwe L, Gotthard S, Friedrich RE, Mautner VF (2007) Histopathology and clinical outcome of NF1-associated vs. sporadic malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. J Neurooncol 82(2):187–192. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-006-9266-2) [s11060-006-9266-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-006-9266-2)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Hefti F, Bollini G, Dungl P, Fixsen J, Grill F, Ippolito E, Wientroub S (2000) Congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia: history, etiology, classifcation, and epidemiologic data. J Pediatr Orthop B 9(1):11–15
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Hermanns-Sachweh B, Senderek J, Alfer J, Klosterhalfen B, Buttner R, Fuzesi L, Weber M (2005) Vascular changes in the periosteum of congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia. Pathol Res Pract 201(4):305–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp.2004.09.013>
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Hutmacher DW, Sittinger M (2003) Periosteal cells in bone tissue engineering. Tissue Eng 9(Suppl 1):S45-64. [https://doi.org/10.1089/](https://doi.org/10.1089/10763270360696978) [10763270360696978](https://doi.org/10.1089/10763270360696978)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Ippolito E, Corsi A, Grill F, Wientroub S, Bianco P (2000) Pathology of bone lesions associated with congenital pseudarthrosis of the leg. J Pediatr Orthop B 9(1):3–10
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Karczewski KJ, Weisburd B, Thomas B, Solomonson M, Ruderfer DM, Kavanagh D, MacArthur DG (2017) The ExAC browser: displaying reference data information from over 60 000 exomes. Nucleic Acids Res 45(D1):D840–D845. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw971) [nar/gkw971](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw971)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alfoldi J, Wang Q, MacArthur DG (2020) The mutational constraint spectrum quantifed from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 581(7809):434–443.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7>
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Kehrer-Sawatzki H, Mautner VF, Cooper DN (2017) Emerging genotype-phenotype relationships in patients with large NF1 deletions. Hum Genet 136(4):349–376. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-017-1766-y) [s00439-017-1766-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-017-1766-y)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Koliou X, Fedonidis C, Kalpachidou T, Mangoura D (2016) Nuclear import mechanism of neurofibromin for localization on the spindle and function in chromosome congression. J Neurochem 136(1):78–91.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.13401>
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Kopanos C, Tsiolkas V, Kouris A, Chapple CE, Albarca Aguilera M, Meyer R, Massouras A (2019) VarSome: the human genomic variant search engine. Bioinformatics 35(11):1978–1980. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty897) [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty897](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty897)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Lammert M, Friedman JM, Kluwe L, Mautner VF (2005) Prevalence of neurofbromatosis 1 in German children at elementary school enrollment. Arch Dermatol 141(1):71–74. [https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.141.1.71) [archderm.141.1.71](https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.141.1.71)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Lau N, Feldkamp MM, Roncari L, Loehr AH, Shannon P, Gutmann DH, Guha A (2000) Loss of neurofbromin is associated

with activation of RAS/MAPK and PI3-K/AKT signaling in a neurofbromatosis 1 astrocytoma. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 59(9):759–767.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/59.9.759>

- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Layer RM, Chiang C, Quinlan AR, Hall IM (2014) LUMPY: a probabilistic framework for structural variant discovery. Genome Biol 15(6):R84.<https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-6-r84>
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Lee SM, Choi IH, Lee DY, Lee HR, Park MS, Yoo WJ, Cho TJ (2012) Is double inactivation of the Nf1 gene responsible for the development of congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia associated with NF1? J Orthop Res 30(10):1535–1540. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22121) [1002/jor.22121](https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22121)
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Legius E, Messiaen L, Wolkenstein P, Pancza P, Avery RA, Berman Y, Plotkin SR (2021) Revised diagnostic criteria for neurofbromatosis type 1 and Legius syndrome: an international consensus recommendation. Genet Med 23(8):1506–1513. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01170-5) [doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01170-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01170-5)
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Leskela HV, Kuorilehto T, Risteli J, Koivunen J, Nissinen M, Peltonen S, Peltonen J (2009) Congenital pseudarthrosis of neurofbromatosis type 1: impaired osteoblast diferentiation and function and altered NF1 gene expression. Bone 44(2):243–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2008.10.050>
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Li H, Durbin R (2010) Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 26(5):589–595. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698>
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Li Q, Wang K (2017) InterVar: clinical interpretation of genetic variants by the 2015 ACMG-AMP Guidelines. Am J Hum Genet 100(2):267–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.01.004>
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Genome Project Data Processing, S (2009) The sequence alignment/map format and SAM tools. Bioinformatics 25(16):2078– 2079.<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Listernick R, Mancini AJ, Charrow J (2003) Segmental neurofbromatosis in childhood. Am J Med Genet A 121A(2):132–135. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.20183>
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Liu J, Zheng Y, Huang J, Zhu D, Zang P, Luo Z, Lu X (2021) Expanding the genotypes and phenotypes for 19 rare diseases by exome sequencing performed in pediatric intensive care unit. Hum Mutat 42(11):1443–1460. [https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24266) [24266](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24266)
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Luo G, Kim J, Song K (2014) The C-terminal domains of human neurofbromin and its budding yeast homologs Ira1 and Ira2 regulate the metaphase to anaphase transition. Cell Cycle 13(17):2780–2789. [https://doi.org/10.4161/15384101.2015.](https://doi.org/10.4161/15384101.2015.945870) [945870](https://doi.org/10.4161/15384101.2015.945870)
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Maes C, Kobayashi T, Selig MK, Torrekens S, Roth SI, Mackem S, Kronenberg HM (2010) Osteoblast precursors, but not mature osteoblasts, move into developing and fractured bones along with invading blood vessels. Dev Cell 19(2):329–344. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.07.010) [10.1016/j.devcel.2010.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.07.010)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Margraf RL, VanSant-Webb C, Sant D, Carey J, Hanson H, D'Astous J, Mao R (2017) Utilization of whole-exome next-generation sequencing variant read frequency for detection of lesion-specifc, somatic loss of heterozygosity in a neurofbromatosis type 1 cohort with tibial pseudarthrosis. J Mol Diagn 19(3):468–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2017.01.008>
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>McCaughan JA, Holloway SM, Davidson R, Lam WW (2007) Further evidence of the increased risk for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour from a Scottish cohort of patients with neurofbromatosis type 1. J Med Genet 44(7):463–466. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2006.048140) [10.1136/jmg.2006.048140](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2006.048140)
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>Messiaen LM, Callens T, Mortier G, Beysen D, Vandenbroucke I, Van Roy N, Paepe AD (2000) Exhaustive mutation analysis of the NF1 gene allows identifcation of 95% of mutations and reveals a high frequency of unusual splicing defects. Hum Mutat 15(6):541–555. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1004\(200006\)15:6%3c541::AID-](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1004(200006)15:6%3c541::AID-HUMU6%3e3.0.CO;2-N)[HUMU6%3e3.0.CO;2-N](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1004(200006)15:6%3c541::AID-HUMU6%3e3.0.CO;2-N)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Neurofbromatosis (1988) Neurofbromatosis. Conference statement. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference. Arch Neurol 45(5):575–578
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>O'Donnell C, Foster J, Mooney R, Beebe C, Donaldson N, Heare T (2017) Congenital Pseudarthrosis of the Tibia. JBJS Rev 5(4):e3. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.RVW.16.00068>
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Ozaki A, Tsunoda M, Kinoshita S, Saura R (2000) Role of fracture hematoma and periosteum during fracture healing in rats: interaction of fracture hematoma and the periosteum in the initial step of the healing process. J Orthop Sci 5(1):64–70. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s007760050010) [10.1007/s007760050010](https://doi.org/10.1007/s007760050010)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Paria N, Cho TJ, Choi IH, Kamiya N, Kayembe K, Mao R, Rios JJ (2014) Neurofbromin defciency-associated transcriptional dysregulation suggests a novel therapy for tibial pseudoarthrosis in NF1. J Bone Miner Res 29(12):2636–2642. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.2298) [1002/jbmr.2298](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.2298)
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Parrozzani R, Clementi M, Frizziero L, Miglionico G, Perrini P, Cavarzeran F, Midena E (2015) In vivo detection of choroidal abnormalities related to NF1: feasibility and comparison with standard NIH diagnostic criteria in pediatric patients. Invest Ophthalmol vis Sci 56(10):6036–6042. [https://doi.org/10.1167/](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.14-16053) [iovs.14-16053](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.14-16053)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Committee ALQA (2015) Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med 17(5):405–424. <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30>
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Riggs ER, Andersen EF, Cherry AM, Kantarci S, Kearney H, Patel A, Martin CL (2020) Technical standards for the interpretation and reporting of constitutional copy-number variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen). Genet Med 22(2):245–257. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0686-8) [s41436-019-0686-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0686-8)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Roberts SJ, van Gastel N, Carmeliet G, Luyten FP (2015) Uncovering the periosteum for skeletal regeneration: the stem cell that lies beneath. Bone 70:10–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2014.08.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2014.08.007) [007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2014.08.007)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Sabbagh A, Pasmant E, Imbard A, Luscan A, Soares M, Blanche H, Wolkenstein P (2013) NF1 molecular characterization and neurofbromatosis type I genotype-phenotype correlation: the French experience. Hum Mutat 34(11):1510–1518. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.22392) [1002/humu.22392](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.22392)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Sakamoto A, Yoshida T, Yamamoto H, Oda Y, Tsuneyoshi M, Iwamoto Y (2007) Congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia: analysis of the histology and the NF1 gene. J Orthop Sci 12(4):361–365. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00776-007-1142-1) [doi.org/10.1007/s00776-007-1142-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00776-007-1142-1)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Sant DW, Margraf RL, Stevenson DA, Grossmann AH, Viskochil DH, Hanson H, Mao R (2015) Evaluation of somatic mutations in tibial pseudarthrosis samples in neurofbromatosis type 1. J Med Genet 52(4):256–261.<https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2014-102815>
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Schindeler A, Little DG (2008) Recent insights into bone development, homeostasis, and repair in type 1 neurofibromatosis (NF1). Bone 42(4):616–622.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2007.11.006>
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>Seminog OO, Goldacre MJ (2013) Risk of benign tumours of nervous system, and of malignant neoplasms, in people with neurofbromatosis: population-based record-linkage study. Br J Cancer 108(1):193–198. <https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2012.535>
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Stevenson DA, Zhou H, Ashraf S, Messiaen LM, Carey JC, D'Astous JL, Viskochil DH (2006) Double inactivation of NF1 in tibial pseudarthrosis. Am J Hum Genet 79(1):143–148. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1086/504441) [10.1086/504441](https://doi.org/10.1086/504441)
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Tadini G, Milani D, Menni F, Pezzani L, Sabatini C, Esposito S (2014) Is it time to change the neurofbromatosis 1 diagnostic criteria?

Eur J Intern Med 25(6):506–510. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2014.04.004) [2014.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2014.04.004)

- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Tahaei SE, Couasnay G, Ma Y, Paria N, Gu J, Lemoine BF, Elefteriou F (2018) The reduced osteogenic potential of Nf1-defcient osteoprogenitors is EGFR-independent. Bone 106:103–111. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2017.10.012) [org/10.1016/j.bone.2017.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2017.10.012)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Talevich E, Shain AH, Botton T, Bastian BC (2016) CNVkit: genomewide copy number detection and visualization from targeted DNA sequencing. PLoS Comput Biol 12(4):e1004873. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004873) [10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004873](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004873)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Thorvaldsdottir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP (2013) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Brief Bioinform 14(2):178–192. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs017) [10.1093/bib/bbs017](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs017)
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Upadhyaya M, Han S, Consoli C, Majounie E, Horan M, Thomas NS, Cooper DN (2004) Characterization of the somatic mutational spectrum of the neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene in neurofbromatosis patients with benign and malignant tumors. Hum Mutat 23(2):134–146.<https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.10305>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Uusitalo E, Leppavirta J, Kofert A, Suominen S, Vahtera J, Vahlberg T, Peltonen S (2015) Incidence and mortality of neurofbromatosis: a total population study in Finland. J Invest Dermatol 135(3):904–906. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.465>
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Vagge A, Nelson LB, Capris P, Traverso CE (2016) Choroidal freckling in pediatric patients afected by neurofbromatosis type 1. J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus 53(5):271–274. [https://doi.org/10.3928/](https://doi.org/10.3928/01913913-20160719-05) [01913913-20160719-05](https://doi.org/10.3928/01913913-20160719-05)
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Valentin T, Le Cesne A, Ray-Coquard I, Italiano A, Decanter G, Bompas E, Chevreau C (2016) Management and prognosis of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors: the experience of the French Sarcoma Group (GSF-GETO). Eur J Cancer 56:77–84. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.12.015) [org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.12.015) (**1879-0852 (Electronic)**)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Van Royen K, Brems H, Legius E, Lammens J, Laumen A (2016) Prevalence of neurofbromatosis type 1 in congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia. Eur J Pediatr 175(9):1193–1198. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-016-2757-z) [10.1007/s00431-016-2757-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-016-2757-z)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Vander Have KL, Hensinger RN, Caird M, Johnston C, Farley FA (2008) Congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 16(4):228–236
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Varan A, Sen H, Aydin B, Yalcin B, Kutluk T, Akyuz C (2016) Neurofbromatosis type 1 and malignancy in childhood. Clin Genet 89(3):341–345.<https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.12625>
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H (2010) ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 38(16):e164. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq603) [gkq603](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq603)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Xu GF, Lin B, Tanaka K, Dunn D, Wood D, Gesteland R, Tamanoi F (1990a) The catalytic domain of the neurofbromatosis type 1 gene product stimulates ras GTPase and complements ira mutants of *S. cerevisiae*. Cell 63(4):835–841. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90149-9) [8674\(90\)90149-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90149-9)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Xu GF, O'Connell P, Viskochil D, Cawthon R, Robertson M, Culver M et al (1990b) The neurofbromatosis type 1 gene encodes a protein related to GAP. Cell 62(3):599–608. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90024-9) [1016/0092-8674\(90\)90024-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90024-9)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Yang Y, Zheng Y, Li W, Li L, Tu M, Zhao L, Zhu Y (2019) SMAD6 is frequently mutated in nonsyndromic radioulnar synostosis. Genet Med 21(11):2577–2585. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0552-8) [s41436-019-0552-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0552-8)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Young H, Hyman S, North K (2002) Neurofbromatosis 1: clinical review and exceptions to the rules. J Child Neurol 17:613–621
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Zhang J, Tong H, Fu X, Zhang Y, Liu J, Cheng R, Yao Z (2015) Molecular characterization of NF1 and neurofbromatosis type 1 genotype-phenotype correlations in a Chinese population. Sci Rep 5:2045–2322. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11291>

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Zhu G, Zheng Y, Liu Y, Yan A, Hu Z, Yang Y, Mei H (2019) Identifcation and characterization of NF1 and non-NF1 congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia based on germline NF1 variants: genetic and clinical analysis of 75 patients. Orphanet J Rare Dis 14(1):221. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-019-1196-0>