ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

Catherine L. Anderson · Carolyn J. Brown

Variability of X chromosome inactivation: effect on levels of *TIMP1* RNA and role of DNA methylation

Received: 19 September 2001 / Accepted: 28 December 2001 / Published online: 1 February 2002 © Springer-Verlag 2002

Abstract X chromosome inactivation results in dosage equivalency for X-linked gene expression between males and females. However, some X-linked genes show variable X inactivation, being expressed from the inactive X in some females but subject to inactivation in other women. The human tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 (TIMP1) gene falls into this category. As TIMP1 and its target metalloproteinases are involved in many biological processes, women with elevated TIMP1 expression may exhibit different disease susceptibilities. To address the potential impact of variable X inactivation, we analyzed TIMP1 expression levels by using an RNase protection assay. The substantial variation of TIMP1 expression observed in cells with monoallelic TIMP1 expression precluded analysis of the contribution of the inactive X to total TIMP1 RNA levels in females, so we examined expression in rodent/ human somatic cell hybrids. TIMP1 expression levels varied more widely in hybrids retaining an inactive X than in those with an active X chromosome, suggesting variable retention of the epigenetic silencing mechanisms associated with X inactivation. Therefore, we investigated the contribution of methylation at the promoter to expression level variation and found that methylation of the TIMP1 promoter correlated with instability and low level expression, whereas stable *TIMP1* expression from the inactive X equivalent to that seen from the active X chromosome was observed when the promoter was unmethylated. Since all female cell lines examined showed methylation of the TIMP1 promoter, the contribution of expression from the inactive X appears minimal. However, as women age, they may accumulate cells stably expressing *TIMP1* from the inactive X, with a resulting increase of TIMP1, which may

C.L. Anderson \cdot C.J. Brown Department of Medical Genetics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

C.J. Brown ([∞]) Room 352, 6174 University Blvd, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3 Canada e-mail: cbrown@interchange.ubc.ca, Tel.: +1-604-8220908, Fax: +1-604-8225348 explain some sex differences in various late-onset disorders.

Introduction

X chromosome inactivation silences most of the genes on one of the two X chromosomes in mammalian females (Lyon 1961), presumably to achieve dosage compensation of X-linked gene products between males and females. This silencing occurs early in development and is maintained in a clonal fashion throughout subsequent cell divisions (reviewed in Avner and Heard 2001). A substantial number of genes on the human X chromosome escape this inactivation and are expressed from both the active (X_a) and the inactive (X_i) X chromosome (Carrel et al. 1999). In addition, some genes have been shown to have variable X inactivation, being expressed from both X chromosomes in some females but subject to X inactivation in others (Anderson and Brown 1999; Carrel and Willard 1999). Of the genes that escape X inactivation, some have homologs on the Y chromosome and thus continue to maintain dosage equivalence between males and females, but for those genes without a Y homolog, the expression from the X_i may generate dosage differences between the sexes (reviewed in Disteche 1999). For a gene that is variable in its inactivation status, expression levels may be different amongst females and between males and females.

Using an allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay to examine expression in heterozygous women with extreme skewing of X inactivation, we have previously demonstrated that the human tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 gene (*TIMP1*) is one such gene that is variably expressed from the X_i (Anderson and Brown 1999). Human TIMP1 is a multi-functional protein, best known for inhibiting matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) by binding to their active sites (Denhardt et al. 1993). The MMPs are a family of enzymes involved in the turnover and degradation of the extracellular matrix, which is essential for embryonic development, morphogenesis, reproduction, and tissue remodeling (Nagase and Woessner 1999). Un-

der normal physiological conditions, a balance between matrix-degrading metalloproteinases and the family of TIMPs controls the rate of matrix turnover (Gomez et al. 1997). Disparity between the proteolytic enzymes and their inhibitors has been implicated in a number of pathological conditions. An excess of MMPs, with a relative decrease of TIMP1, is observed in invasive disorders such as rheumatoid arthritis (Yoshihara et al. 2000), Crohn's disease (Sykes et al. 1999), and cancer metastasis (reviewed in Lukashev and Werb 1998). Indeed, malignant progression can be halted by over-expression of TIMP1 (Sternlicht et al. 1999) and several synthetic inhibitors of MMP activity have been developed for clinical use in these degenerative diseases (Yip et al. 1999). Increased levels of TIMP1 are seen in scarring diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (Lee et al. 1999), liver fibrosis (Arthur et al. 1999), and airway damage in asthma (Vignola et al. 2000). In addition to inhibiting MMPs, TIMP1 has at least three other roles. TIMP1 was originally identified as EPA (erythroid potentiating activity), and this growth promoting activity is separable from the MMP inhibition domain (Gomez et al. 1997). It has also been shown to inhibit apoptosis, promoting the survival of Burkitt's lymphoma cell lines (Guedez et al. 1998). Finally, TIMP1 also forms a complex with pro-gelatinase-B (MMP-9) to regulate the rate of MMP-9 activation (Itoh and Nagase 1995).

Since variations in the MMP-TIMP balance are observed in pathological conditions, it is surprising to see discrepancies among women in their inactivation of *TIMP1*. However, it cannot be assumed that women expressing TIMP1 from both X chromosomes will have twice as much TIMP1 RNA, since the expression levels from genes on the X_i are often lower than those on the X_a (e.g., Carrel et al. 1996; Migeon et al. 1982) perhaps because of the surrounding facultative heterochromatin state. The X_i acquires many features of heterochromatin, including hypermethylation, nuclease insensitivity, delayed replication timing, and hypoacetylation of histones (reviewed in Avner and Heard 2001). These features are believed to maintain the stable silencing of the chromosome. Genes escaping X inactivation are often located in clusters along the X chromosome, suggesting the importance of factors that act regionally for the establishment of X inactivation patterns (Disteche 1999; Tsuchiya and Willard 2000). The regions that escape inactivation behave more like the active chromosome, lacking promoter methylation (Goodfellow et al. 1988; Yen et al. 1984), replicating earlier in the cell cycle (Schempp and Meer 1983), and retaining histone acetylation (Jeppesen and Turner 1993) compared with the rest of the X_i chromosome. However, the variable expression from the X_i in the *TIMP1* region is limited to *TIMP1* alone, as two flanking genes, ARAF1 and ELK1, are expressed only from the X_a , even when *TIMP1* expression is observed from the X_i (Anderson and Brown 1999).

To determine whether women expressing two copies of *TIMP1* have increased *TIMP1* mRNA, we analyzed expression levels in male and female cell lines with the RNase protection assay (RPA). Surprisingly, there was considerable variation in *TIMP1* expression from cells with

monoallelic expression. The diverse expression levels precluded analyzing the contribution from the X_i to total TIMP1 RNA in females, so we examined expression in rodent/human somatic cell hybrids. The human X chromosome retains its original X-inactivation status in such hybrids (Migeon 1972), and since the X_a and X_i can be studied independently, hybrids have been a valuable tool for assessing the inactivation status of X-linked genes (e.g., Brown et al. 1997). TIMP1 expression levels varied more widely in hybrids retaining the X_i than in those with an X_a, perhaps reflecting residual features associated with X inactivation. The gene-specific nature of TIMP1 expression was suggestive of promoter-related features, so we studied whether methylation, a feature of inactive chromatin correlated with transcriptional repression (Jones and Wolffe 1999), was altered when TIMP1 was expressed from the X_i. An understanding of what allows some genes to be expressed from an otherwise inactive X chromosome should provide clues about the hierarchy of epigenetic factors that normally result in the stable inactivation of an X chromosome. Furthermore, variable expression of genes from the X_i in some females may alter expression levels sufficiently to result in different susceptibilities to disease.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Lymphoblast cell lines were acquired from the Coriell Cell Repository and grown in RPMI media 1640 (Stem Cell Technologies) supplemented with 15% fetal calf serum (Cansera), L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen). Cells were harvested by centrifugation 12-26 h after the addition of fresh media. The human/rodent somatic cell hybrids were as previously described (Anderson and Brown 1999) and derived from somatic cell fusion of human cells with HPRT-deficient rodent cells. Selection for and against the Xa was originally accomplished by growth in media supplemented with hypoxanthine, aminopterin, and thymidine or 8-azaguanine and 6-thioguanine, respectively. All the hybrids examined in this study were grown in minimal essential media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 7.5% fetal calf serum, L-glutamine, penicillin, streptomycin, and non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen) to sub-confluence before being harvested with trypsin-EDTA (0.25%; Invitrogen). To generate single cell clones, the hybrid cultures were plated to a final concentration of 3-17 cells/60 mm plate. After 5-10 days in culture, well-separated colonies were isolated by trypsinization in cloning cylinders and transferred to new plates.

Expression analysis

RNA was prepared by means of a standard acid-guanidinium extraction protocol (Chomczynski and Sacchi 1987). To remove DNA contamination, the sample was incubated with 1/10 the total volume of RNase-free DNase at 37°C (1 U/µl, Epicentre Technologies) for 1 h and then extracted with phenol followed by ethanol precipitation to remove excess proteins and single nucleotides. RNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically prior to analysis of the RNA by reverse-transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) or RPA. For RT-PCR, 2–5 μ g RNA was reverse-transcribed by random hexamer priming as previously described (Anderson and Brown 1999). The PCR primers used to amplify the cDNA were humanspecific, with no amplification of mouse or hamster cDNA being detected. *TIMP1* expression was examined by amplification of the cDNA by *TIMP C1A:C1B*, whereas *XIST C9–4:B2rev* was used to demonstrate the presence of amplifiable cDNA in the sample (Anderson and Brown 1999). PCR was performed with 1 μ M primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 20 μ M each dNTP, 5 U *Taq* (Invitrogen) for 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 54°C, and 2 min at 72°C.

RPA analysis was performed with the RPA II kit (Ambion), following the manufacturer's directions. Probes were generated by cloning PCR products into the pKRX vector, which has T3 and T7 polymerase sites flanking the multiple cloning site. The 182-bp TIMP1 fragment was generated with TIMP1 C1A:C1B primers (Anderson and Brown 1999), whereas the MIC2 primers (1: cagagccagctgttcagcgt 2: cacagcccatgggaaaccgc) gave a 298-bp fragment. Because of the flanking vector sequences, the unprotected fragments were 243 bp (TIMP1) and 367 bp (MIC2). Plasmids were purified with the Qiaprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen), and linearized by digestion with BamHI (for TIMP1) or XhoI (for MIC2); 1 µg linear template was used for in vitro transcription with either T7 or T3 polymerase (Invitrogen) with ³²P-UTP (3000 Ci/mmol, NEN-US). The labeled probe was denatured (for 5 min at 95°C, for 5 min on ice) and then incubated with 2 U RNase-free DNase (Epicentre Technologies) for 15 min at 37°C followed by 5 min at 95°C to inactivate the enzyme. Aliquots of 35 ng (TIMP1) and 60 ng (MIC2) of antisense radiolabeled probe were hybridized overnight to 10 µg total RNA, followed by removal of unhybridized probe and sample RNA by RNase digestion. The hybridized product was separated on a native 5% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography. To ensure that the probes were in excess, an initial RPA was performed with 10 µg RNA and 8-80 ng (TIMP1) or 11-290 ng (MIC2) probe. No change in the intensity of the fragments was observed above 10 ng (TIMP1) and 25 ng (MIC2) probe. The intensity of the protected TIMP1 fragment was quantitated by phosphoimager (BioRad molecular imager and BioRad FX software) and compared with the intensity of the band detected for MIC2. MIC2 is expressed from both the active and inactive X chromosomes at comparable levels (Goodfellow et al. 1984), controlling for both the amount of input RNA and also for the number of X chromosomes, which can be variable in the hybrids. For hybrids, the TIMP1 level was determined as: TIMP1 intensity/MIC2 intensity; however, since MIC2 is expressed from the Y chromosome and from the active and inactive X chromosomes, humans should have two expressing copies of MIC2 for every active X copy of *TIMP1*, so the *TIMP1* level in the human cell lines was calculated as: TIMP1 intensity/0.5×MIC2 intensity. All RPA results were normalized to one stock RNA to control for variability between gels.

Methylation analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from cultured cells with a standard salt extraction protocol. DNA was pre-digested with EcoRI at 37°C overnight, followed by incubation with 2 µl RNase at 37°C for 15 min. After phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation, the DNA was quantitated by spectrophotometry. Pre-digested DNA $(2 \ \mu g)$ was then incubated overnight at 37°C in a total volume of 20 µl with 20 U of one of the following: mock enzyme (uncut), HpaII, or HhaI. An aliquot of 1 µl (100 ng) was then used as a template in the PCRs (as above). All the primers were human-specific and did not flank EcoRI sites. The primer sequences are as follows: TIMP1: 5'A-cccttgggttctgcactga, 5'B-ccaagctgagtagacaggc (277-bp fragment, spanning 2 HpaII and 2 HhaI sites); ARAF1: M1-tgccaaagccctaaggtca, M4-cgctgtcgacgatggtct (509 bp; spanning 8 HpaII, 2 HhaI sites); ELK1: 5'A-gcacagctctgtagggaag, 5'B-agctcacctgtgtgtggcg (293 bp; spanning 1 HpaII, 1 HhaI sites); MIC2: 5'A-agaggtgcgtccgattctt, 5'B-cgccgcagatggacaattt (375 bp; spanning 3 HpaII, 5 HhaI sites); XIST: 5'-ttgggtcctctatccatctaggtag, 3'-gaagteteaaggettgagttagaag (184 bp, no *Hpall* or *Hhal* sites).



Fig.1 A Representative RNase protection assay results in two male cell lines. Bottom band Protected TIMP1 fragment, top band MIC2 control for equal amounts of input RNA, middle band (asterisk) artefact band consistently appearing with the TIMP1 probe. There were a minimum of two analyses per RNA (two lanes per RNA sample); 7009-A, 7009-B different RNA samples from the same cell line. B Schematic map of the 5' end of TIMP1. The primers flank the minimal promoter from -122 to +155 (Clark et al. 1997). The conserved region is a 38-bp region with 37 bp 100% conserved between human, mouse, and rat, and includes AP-1 and Ets sites required for basal transcription. The methylation of CpG sites (lollipops) within HpaII (star) and HhaI (triangle) recognition sites were examined. C Products from amplification of DNA without digestion (U), after HpaII digestion (II), or after HhaI digestion (I). The presence of a band after digestion (II or I lanes) indicates that those enzyme sites were methylated and resistant to digestion. ARAF1 and ELK1 flank TIMP1 in Xp11.23. MIC2 primers were used to control for complete digestion, whereas XIST was used to confirm the presence of DNA. The cell lines are (listed left to *right*): active X hybrids (X_a), AHA-11aB1 and t60–12; inactive X hybrids (X_i) , t48–1a-1Daz4a and t11–4Aaz5; inactive X hybrids that express TIMP1 (X_i^+) , t75–2maz34–1a, t86-B1maz1b-3a, and t81-az1D

Results

Cell lines show a range of *TIMP1* expression levels

To quantitate the amount of *TIMP1* RNA, we performed RPA with probes for the *TIMP1* and *MIC2* genes. *MIC2* is an X-linked gene known to escape X inactivation and was used as an internal control for RNA levels from the X chromosome. The intensity of the protected *TIMP1* fragment was compared with the intensity of the *MIC2* band to determine the relative levels of *TIMP1* RNA in each sample (Fig. 1A, Table 1). Whereas the variability between replicates of the same RNA preparation was 7.4%, different RNA preparations from the same cell line showed a larger variability (average difference of 13%), which we attributed to cell-cycle differences because the cells were not synchronized.

We established the levels of *TIMP1* RNA from the active X chromosome by examining expression in either male cells or female cells previously demonstrated to express *TIMP1* from only the active X by using an allelespecific assay (Anderson and Brown 1999). *TIMP1* RNA levels in the blood of six healthy unrelated males showed a 4-fold range of expression (Table 1). The level of *TIMP1* did not correlate with the expressed allele or the age of the

Table 1Normalized *TIMP1* expression levels in human cells.BL1–BL6 are blood samples from unrelated males; the remainderare cultured lymphoblast cells. The ages of the men BL1–BL6 are52, 57, 54, 42, 24, and 35 years, respectively

Individual/ cell line	TIMP1 expression ^a	Expressed allele	Level of TIMP1
BL1	$X_{a}Y(1)$	С	0.71±0.003
BL2	$X_{a}Y(1)$	Т	0.62 ± 0.14
BL3	$X_{a}Y(1)$	Т	0.52 ± 0.19
BL4	$X_{a}Y(1)$	С	2.07 ± 0.32
BL5	$X_{a}Y(1)$	С	0.55 ± 0.26
BL6	$X_{a}Y(1)$	Т	1.01 ± 0.38
GM7033	$X_{a}Y(1)$	С	1.01 ± 0.27
GM7057	$X_{a}Y(1)$	Т	0.92 ± 0.13
GM11200	$X_{a}Y(1)$	Т	1.13 ± 0.10
GM7059	$X_{a}X_{i}^{-}(1)$	С	0.66 ± 0.09
GM7348	$X_{a}X_{i}^{-}(1)$	Т	1.04 ± 0.07
3149	$X_{a}X_{i}^{-}(1)$	С	0.87±0.13
2659	$X_{a}X_{i}^{-}(1)$	Т	0.92 ± 0.002
3251	$X_{a}X_{i}^{-}(1)$	Т	0.79 ± 0.002
HSC593	$X_{a}X_{i}^{+}(2)$	С, Т	1.43 ± 0.22
GM11198	$X_{a}X_{i}^{?}(?)$	T^{b}	0.97 ± 0.01
GM7005	$X_{a}X_{i}^{?}(?)$	T^{b}	2.27±0.00
GM7350	$X_{a}X_{i}^{?}(?)$	C^b	1.25 ± 0.27
GM01416D	$X_{a}X_{i}^{?}(?)$	T ^b	0.96 ± 0.06

^aThe number of alleles expressing *TIMP1* is shown in *brackets*; some of the females have previously been demonstrated to have mono-allelic (X_i^-) or biallelic (X_i^+) expression, whereas for females who are homozygous (see ^b), it is unknown whether there is expression from the $X_i(X_i^2)$ ^bHomozygous females

donor. As the TIMP1 gene responds to numerous cellular signals, this variability may reflect differences in cellular environments, so subsequent studies were limited to cultured cell lines in which the environmental influences could be minimized. In these cell lines, there was a 1.7fold range of expression (Table 1). This variability was appreciably greater than the differences between different RNA preparations from the same cell line and made it difficult to determine whether TIMP1 RNA levels were elevated in females expressing two copies of TIMP1. Whereas the RNA level was increased in HSC593, a cell line previously demonstrated to express *TIMP1* from the X_i, this difference was not highly significant (P=0.2). Because the allele-specific PCR assay used to identify cells expressing *TIMP1* from the X_i requires a heterozygous female with non-random X inactivation, it is difficult to obtain informative female samples. Therefore, we also examined RNA levels in female cell lines whose X inactivation status could not be established with our PCR assay because they were homozygous for the TIMP1 polymorphism (Anderson and Brown 1999). One cell line (GM7005) showed significantly higher expression (P=0.004) and it is tempting to suggest that this reflects biallelic expression of *TIMP1*. However, the other three cell lines of unknown X inactivation status had expression levels within the upper range of X_a levels and may or may not express TIMP1 from the X_i. Therefore, the variability possible in RNA levels within a cell line and between cell lines monoallelically expressing TIMP1 precludes the identification of cell lines with biallelic TIMP1 expression based on RNA levels.

In order to assess the potential contribution of the X_i to total *TIMP1* levels, we analyzed expression in rodent/human somatic cell hybrids retaining either the human X_a or X_i . The expression levels of *TIMP1* in X_a -containing hybrids were similar to the cells expressing one copy of *TIMP1* with a comparable range of expression (Table 2). The RPA was repeated by using mouse *Actin* as a control for levels of RNA, and comparable results were observed (data not shown), confirming that the variability observed was attributable to different amounts of *TIMP1* RNA, not *MIC2*. Combining the data from the X_a -containing hybrid cell lines and lymphoblast cell lines expressing *TIMP1* only from the X_a , we found a range of normalized *TIMP1* expression from 0.58 to 1.13, with an average expression of 0.88 and a standard deviation of 0.17.

Levels of *TIMP1* RNA were determined by RPA for X_i -containing hybrids previously shown to express *TIMP1* by RT-PCR (Table 2). The span of expression levels from the X_i (at least 5-fold) was greater than that observed for the X_a cell lines, primarily because of the very low expression in $X_i^+{}_3$. In this line, no expression was detected above the resolution limit for the RPA (0.25). RT-PCR can detect as little as 1/100 of the *TIMP1* expression from the X_a (data not shown); thus, the RNA level for t81-az1D can be estimated to be between 0.01 and 0.25. We hypothesized that low expression from an X_i might reflect a residual epigenetic feature of X inactivation and thus examined methylation of the promoter region.

Table 2 Normalized *TIMP1* expression levels in the human/rodent somatic cell hybrid cell lines containing an active (X_a) or inactive (X_i) chromosome. The X_i^+ cell lines have been shown to express *TIMP1* from the X_i by RT-PCR (*NS* not significant above background)

Cell line	X content and <i>TIMP1</i> expression	Expressed allele	Level of <i>TIMP1</i>
AHA-11aB1	X _a	Т	1.00±0.20
t60-12	X_a	Т	0.67±0.24
A23-1aC15	X _a	С	0.58 ± 0.04
tHM-34-2A41B	X _a	Т	0.96 ± 0.06
t75-2maz34-1a	X_i^+	С	1.40 ± 0.10
t86-B1maz1b-3a	X_i^+	С	0.59 ± 0.10
t81-az1D	X_i^+	Т	NS
t11–4Aaz5	X_i^-	-	NS

Variable loss of methylation in X_i-containing hybrids

The methylation status of the 5' end of *TIMP1* was determined by PCR after methylation-sensitive digestion with *HpaII* or *HhaI*, which examines the methylation status of four of 11 CpG sites in the *TIMP1* minimal promoter (Fig. 1B). If the DNA was methylated, the enzymes would not be able to cut, and a fragment would be amplified by PCR. No band after *HpaII* or *HhaI* digestion indicated that at least one of the enzyme recognition sites was unmethylated. The *XIST* 3':5' primers had no enzyme recognition sites and consequently controlled for the presence of DNA after digestion, whereas amplification of the *MIC2* promoter region was used to demonstrate complete digestion.

No PCR amplification was observed after either HpaII or HhaI digestion of the Xa-containing hybrids, demonstrating a lack of methylation. PCR amplification was observed for the X_i-containing hybrids that failed to express *TIMP1*, indicating that the enzyme sites were methylated (Fig. 1C). However, when TIMP1 was expressed from the inactive chromosome, various methylation states were observed. The t75–2maz34–1a hybrid (X_{i+1}) was unmethylated at the TIMP1 promoter, whereas methylation was present in the t86-B1maz1b-3a (X_{i}^{+}) and t81-az1D (X_{i}^{+}) hybrids. ARAF1 and ELK1 flank TIMP1 and are silent in all X_i hybrids studied (Anderson and Brown 1999). ARAF1 is 5' to TIMP1 with ~11 kb of intervening DNA, whereas *ELK1* is ~35 kb downstream. After methylation-sensitive digestion, PCR products were observed for both genes in all X_i-containing hybrid cell lines, but for none of the X_acontaining hybrids (Fig. 1C), indicating that both ARAF1 and ELK1 promoters are methylated when silent and unmethylated when expressed. The TIMP1 gene is found within intron 5 of the SYN1 gene, and although the SYN1 gene is primarily expressed in neuronal tissues, we detected variable low level expression in human lymphoblasts, fibroblasts, and both X_a and X_i hybrids. This expression did not correlate with the expression of TIMP1. The SYN1 promoter was unmethylated in male cells indicating hypomethylation of the Xa, but it was methylated in both Xa and Xi hybrids showing no correlation with TIMP1 or low level SYN1 expression (data not shown).



Fig.2 A The expression and methylation status for 162 subclones. Three categories of subclones were obtained: TIMP1- and methylated (black box), TIMP1+ and methylated (gray box), or unmethylated (white box). Arrows start at the category of culture from which the subclones were derived and end at the category to which the derived subclones belong. Numbers near arrows Number of subclones observed for each type. B Expression levels in single cell clones positive for TIMP1 expression by RT-PCR from two sibling cultures, differing only in their methylation status. There is a consistent increase in RNA level when methylation is absent. Solid line Average X_a expression level (0.88), dotted lines standard deviation (± 0.17). Č Methylation analysis of the human cell lines. PCR products from uncut DNA (U), after HpaII digestion (II), or after HhaI digestion (I). The presence of a band after digestion (II or I lanes) indicates the presence of methylation. The DNA was isolated from (left to right): X_aY male GM07009 and GM07033; $X_a X_i^-$ GM07059, $X_a X_i^-$ GM02859 fibroblast, $X_a X_i^+$ HSC593, $X_a X_i^+$ 1813, $X_a X_i^+$ 07 (L lymphoblast cell line, F fibroblast cell line, *B* blood)

The two methylated TIMP1-expressing cell lines (X_i⁺₂ and X_{i+3} showed low levels of *TIMP1* expression. Thus, we hypothesized that the methylated and expressing cell populations might reflect a mixed cell population, with some unmethylated expressing cells contributing to the low level of expression and some methylated silent cells being amplified with the PCR-based methylation assay, which can readily detect 10% of the total DNA as uncut/methylated (data not shown). To address this possibility, single cell clones of the three Xi+ hybrids were examined for methylation and expression. The X_{i+1}^+ hybrid was originally unmethylated with high level TIMP1 expression, and all 13 subclones were TIMP1+ and unmethylated. Several subclones from the methylated *TIMP1*+ hybrids $(5/16 X_{i+2})$ and $3/9 X_{i_3}^+$ failed to express *TIMP1*, supporting the idea that low level expression was attributable to the presence of a mixed population of silent and expressing cells. These *TIMP1*– clones were all methylated; indeed no clone has ever been observed that is TIMP1- but unmethylated. Surprisingly, the *TIMP1*+ subclones also remained methylated. To address whether there was ongoing instability of expression or inactivation, we generated further single cell clones from individual *TIMP1*+ and *TIMP1*- clones. All subcloning results are summarised in Fig. 2A. Methylated clones never arose from an unmethylated (TIMP+) clone, and methylated silent clones rarely gave rise to expressing clones (1/33). Subclones from the methylated expressing cells were much more diverse, including a high frequency of methylated silent clones and rare unmethylated expressing clones.

Methylation correlates with expression levels

To determine whether the low level expression was a characteristic of the cell lines or attributable to the presence of methylation at the promoter, we examined the expression levels of subclones of two sister cultures that expressed *TIMP1* but differed in their methylation states. These cultures arose during the second subcloning of $X_{i}^{+}_{2}$ from a methylated TIMP1+ clone. The only difference between these sibling subclones should be epigenetic characteristics, because they arose from the same culture. When the clone was unmethylated at the promoter, 16/16 subclones expressed *TIMP1*, and expression was in the range seen for an X_a (Fig. 2B). The methylated *TIMP1*+ culture continued to show instability, with only 13/31 (42%) of its clones remaining *TIMP1*+, and those that were positive showed low expression levels (Fig. 2B). Therefore, we examined methylation of the TIMP1 promoter in females to determine whether expression from the X_i is likely to alter significantly the total expression of *TIMP1*.

The male lymphoblast cell lines examined had only the one active X chromosome and were unmethylated at the *TIMP1* promoter (Fig. 2C), and female cell lines that inactivated *TIMP1* (X_i^-) showed methylation. Females are generally a mosaic of cells with different X chromosomes active, and therefore methylation would be expected if either X chromosome were subject to inactivation. How-

ever, the three females previously shown to have expression from the X_i had extremely skewed X inactivation (>90% inactivation of one X) and thus could be considered a monoclonal population with expression from both X chromosomes. DNA from these females (derived from blood, a fibroblast culture, and a lymphoblast culture) showed amplification after *Hpa*II and *Hha*I digestion, indicating that the *TIMP1* promoter on the *TIMP1*-expressing X_i remained methylated.

Discussion

X inactivation is an extraordinary example of co-ordinate gene control, silencing most of the ~2000 genes on one of the two X chromosomes of females to achieve dosage compensation with hemizygous males. However, more than 10% of X-linked genes escape this inactivation and are expressed from both X chromosomes (Carrel et al. 1999). Dosage compensation is maintained when these genes have functional Y homologs (Disteche 1995), but when there is no functional Y homolog, females may have higher amounts of that gene product. For some genes, overexpression in females may be necessary and may play a role in normal female development; for other genes, it may have no impact, but dosage is clearly important for a subset of X-linked genes. For example, abnormal duplication of DAX-1 in males results in sex reversal, whereas deletions lead to X-linked congenital adrenal hypoplasia (Goodfellow and Camerino 2001). The dosage of the PLP gene is also strictly regulated, since both duplication and deletion of the gene cause Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease, an X-linked demyelination disorder (Sistermans et al. 1998). However, expression from the X_i does not necessarily cause a significant increase in gene product, because gene expression from the X_i is often lower than that from the active chromosome, as observed for the STS gene (Migeon et al. 1982). Since TIMP1 is involved in a delicate biological balance with MMPs, any alterations may disrupt normal physiology, so we have examined *TIMP1* RNA levels to determine the contribution of expression from the X_i chromosome.

When determining the baseline level of TIMP1 expression, we discovered considerable variation in TIMP1 RNA levels. Cell-cycle variability and/or culture conditions probably account for many of the differences between RNA preparations of the same cell line, because the TIMP1 gene responds to a variety of growth factors (Denhardt et al. 1993). Surprisingly, however, beyond the variation within a cell line, there was an almost 2-fold range of *TIMP1* RNA between cell lines expressing only one copy of the gene. Diverse expression levels from the active X chromosomes could reflect sequence differences that lie at the TIMP1 promoter and that alter transcription factor binding sites. For instance, a single nucleotide polymorphism in the MMP-1 promoter creates a binding site for the Ets gene family of transcription factors and enhances expression (Rutter et al. 1998). The TIMP1 minimal promoter contains both AP-1 and Ets sites and changes in these binding sites could modify transcription, but the promoter sequence (-122 to +600 in Dean et al. 2000) is the same between the active hybrids with the highest and lowest expression levels (data not shown). The intrachromosomal variability does not correlate with the expressed polymorphism (Tables 1, 2; Anderson and Brown 1999). However, other sequence differences beyond the minimal promoter and exon 5 polymorphism may influence expression levels. TIMP1 is regulated at the RNA level, suggesting that RNA levels are a major determinant of protein levels (Doyle et al. 1997), but it is possible that there are translational mechanisms to provide a consistent amount of protein across cells. Since the TIMP1 protein is an inhibitor of MMPs, it is the balance of TIMPs to its target proteins (not absolute amounts) that is important in determining proteinase activity. Therefore, variable TIMP1 RNA levels between cell lines may reflect the amount of MMPs present within cell lines.

Expression levels from the X_i chromosome showed greater variability than levels from the X_a, because of very low level expression in some X_i^+ hybrids. The low level expression observed in X_i⁺ hybrids could be characteristic of these cell lines or attributable to residual silencing by epigenetic features associated with X inactivation such as methylation. By analyzing sibling cultures differing in methylation, we determined that low level expression was not inherent to the cell line but associated with the presence of methylation at the TIMP1 promoter. The observation of methylation and expression in single cell clones was unexpected since methylation generally correlates with silencing of X-linked genes. It is possible that there are critical sites required to silence TIMP1 that we have not examined. For example, it has recently been demonstrated that there are three crucial sites determining expression status for the X-linked HPRT gene (Chen et al. 2001). However, methylation of the four sites analyzed in the TIMP1 minimal promoter region does show strict correspondence with inactivation status in cells that have never expressed *TIMP1* from the X_i.

We have hypothesized that TIMP1+ methylated cultures are composed of a mixture of silent methylated cells and expressing unmethylated cells. This could also explain the lower level expression in the presence of methylation, presumably because of the lack of contribution from the methylated cells. However, the outcome of our subcloning experiments suggests a more complex composition (Fig. 2A). Both the unmethylated *TIMP1*+ state and the methylated silent clones are quite stable, indicating that the premise of a simple mixture of unstable cells is unlikely as methylated TIMP1+ clones persist. This suggests that TIMP1 promoter methylation and gene expression may not be mutually exclusive. When the TIMP1 promoter is methylated, expression from the X_i is significantly lower, close to or below the RPA assay background level of 0.25. These results are consistent with the findings for the HGNC (choroideremia) gene where single cell analysis has shown low-level expression from most cells, although methylation has not been analyzed (Carrel and Willard 1999).

TIMP1 is not always expressed from the X_i, and comparing cell lines with and without this X_i expression may reveal which epigenetic factors are required to maintain the inactive state. The X_i is associated with the hypermethylation of promoters, nuclease insensitivity, delayed replication timing, and the hypoacetylation of histones. These epigenetic features are most likely redundant, and we propose that one of these features can be lost without disrupting dosage compensation. For example, cell lines from patients with ICF immunodeficiency syndrome have a hypomethylated X_i chromosome, but a gene is expressed from the X_i only when replication timing is advanced similar to the X_a homolog (Hansen et al. 2000). In the $X_i^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ cells, one of the epigenetic controls may be absent at *TIMP1*, allowing low level expression from the X_i before methylation is disrupted. However, once methylation is lost, TIMP1 stably expresses at a high level, which could result in the loss of dosage equivalence. It will be interesting to study the other features normally associated with X inactivation to determine whether they differ when TIMP1 is expressed from the inactive chromosome.

Cells from the three females with expression from the X_i showed methylation at the *TIMP1* promoter. The small amount of TIMP1 RNA expressed from the X_i in the presence of ongoing methylation is unlikely to be biologically significant given the variability of TIMP1 expression from the active chromosome. In the hybrid cell lines, there was a slight trend toward loss of methylation amongst TIMP1+ cells (see Fig. 2A). If this trend also occurs in females, then as women age, they may accumulate cells that express higher levels of TIMP1 from the X_i. If TIMP1 rises above an accepted range of expression, it may lead to aberrant regulation of metalloproteinase activity. A controlled balance of the MMPs and the TIMPs are required for normal tissue remodeling processes such as pregnancy, development, and wound healing. Increased amounts of TIMP1 may reduce overall MMP activity, predisposing women to fibrotic disorders but potentially protecting them from some forms of metastasis. Expression from the X_i chromosome with the eventual rise of a gene product above a normal range may help explain observed sex differences in the susceptibility to some late-onset disorders, such as arthritis and multiple sclerosis.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by a CIHR grant (MOP-13690) to C.J.B.

References

- Anderson CL, Brown CJ (1999) Polymorphic X-chromosome inactivation of the human *TIMP1* gene. Am J Hum Genet 65: 699–708
- Arthur MJ, Iredale JP, Mann DA (1999) Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases: role in liver fibrosis and alcoholic liver disease. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 23:940–943
- Avner P, Heard E (2001) X-chromosome inactivation: counting, choice and initiation. Nat Rev Genet 2:59–67
- Brown CJ, Carrel L, Willard HF (1997) Expression of genes from the human active and inactive X chromosomes. Am J Hum Genet 60:1333–1343

- Carrel L, Willard HF (1999) Heterogeneous gene expression from the inactive X chromosome: an X-linked gene that escapes X inactivation in some human cell lines but is inactivated in others. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:7364–7369
- Carrel L, Hunt PA, Willard HF (1996) Tissue and lineage-specific variation in inactive X chromosome expression of the murine Smcx gene. Hum Mol Genet 5:1361–1366
- Carrel L, Čottle AA, Goglin KC, Willard HF (1999) A first-generation X-inactivation profile of the human X chromosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:14440–14444
- Chen C, Yang MC, Yang TP (2001) Evidence that silencing of the HPRT promoter by DNA methylation is mediated by critical CpG sites. J Biol Chem 276:320–328
- Chomczynski P, Sacchi N (1987) Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. Anal Biochem 162:156–159
- Clark IM, Rowan AD, Edwards DR, Bech-Hansen T, Mann DA, Bahr MJ, Cawston TE (1997) Transcriptional activity of the human tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 1 (TIMP-1) gene in fibroblasts involves elements in the promoter, exon 1 and intron 1. Biochem J 324:611-617
- Dean G, Young DA, Edwards DR, Clark IM (2000) The human tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP)-1 gene contains repressive elements within the promoter and intron 1. J Biol Chem 275:32664–32671
- Denhardt DT, Feng B, Edwards DR, Cocuzzi ET, Malyankar UM (1993) Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP, aka EPA): structure, control of expression and biological functions. Pharmacol Ther 59:329–341
- Disteche CM (1995) Escape from X inactivation in human and mouse. Trends Genet 11:17–22
- Disteche CM (1999) Escapees on the X chromosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:14180–14182
- Doyle GA, Saarialho-Kere UK, Parks WC (1997) Distinct mechanisms regulate TIMP-1 expression at different stages of phorbol ester-mediated differentiation of U937 cells. Biochemistry 36:2492–2500
- Gomez DE, Alonso DF, Yoshiji H, Thorgeirsson UP (1997) Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases: structure, regulation and biological functions. Eur J Cell Biol 74:111–122
- Goodfellow P, Pym B, Mohandas T, Shapiro LJ (1984) The cell surface antigen locus, MIC2X, escapes X-inactivation. Am J Hum Genet 36:777–782
- Goodfellow PJ, Mondello C, Darling SM, Pym B, Little P, Goodfellow PN (1988) Absence of methylation of a CpG-rich region at the 5' end of the MIC2 gene on the active X, the inactive X, and the Y chromosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:5605– 5609
- Goodfellow PN, Camerino G (2001) DAX-1, an "antitestis" gene. Exs 91:57–69
- Guedez L, Stetler-Stevenson WG, Wolff L, Wang J, Fukushima P, Mansoor A, Stetler-Stevenson M (1998) In vitro suppression of programmed cell death of B cells by tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1. J Clin Invest 102:2002–2010
- Hansen RS, Stoger R, Wijmenga C, Stanek AM, Canfield TK, Luo P, Matarazzo MR, D'Esposito M, Feil R, Gimelli G, Weemaes CM, Laird CD, Gartler SM (2000) Escape from gene silencing in ICF syndrome: evidence for advanced replication time as a major determinant. Hum Mol Genet 9:2575–2587
- Itoh Y, Nagase H (1995) Preferential inactivation of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 that is bound to the precursor of matrix metalloproteinase 9 (progelatinase B) by human neutrophil elastase. J Biol Chem 270:16518–16521

- Jeppesen P, Turner BM (1993) The inactive X chromosome in female mammals is distinguished by a lack of histone H4 acetylation, a cytogenetic marker for gene expression. Cell 74:281– 289
- Jones PL, Wolffe AP (1999) Relationships between chromatin organization and DNA methylation in determining gene expression. Semin Cancer Biol 9:339–347
- Lee MA, Palace J, Stabler G, Ford J, Gearing A, Miller K (1999) Serum gelatinase B, TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 levels in multiple sclerosis. A longitudinal clinical and MRI study. Brain 122:91– 197
- Lukashev ME, Werb Z (1998) ECM signalling: orchestrating cell behaviour and misbehaviour. Trends Cell Biol 8:437–441
- Lyon MF (1961) Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (*Mus musculus* L.). Nature 190:372–373
- Migeon BR (1972) Stability of X chromosomal inactivation in human somatic cells. Nature 239:87–89
- Migeon BR, Shapiro LJ, Norum RA, Mohandas T, Axelman J, Dabora RL (1982) Differential expression of steroid sulphatase locus on active and inactive human X chromosome. Nature 299:838–840
- Nagase H, Woessner JF Jr (1999) Matrix metalloproteinases. J Biol Chem 274:21491–21494
- Rutter JL, Mitchell TI, Buttice G, Meyers J, Gusella JF, Ozelius LJ, Brinckerhoff CE (1998) A single nucleotide polymorphism in the matrix metalloproteinase-1 promoter creates an Ets binding site and augments transcription. Cancer Res 58:5321–5325
- Schempp W, Meer B (1983) Cytologic evidence for three human X-chromosomal segments escaping inactivation. Hum Genet 63:171–174
- Sistermans EA, Coo RF de, De Wijs IJ, Van Oost BA (1998) Duplication of the proteolipid protein gene is the major cause of Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease. Neurology 50:1749–1754
- Sternlicht MD, Lochter A, Sympson CJ, Huey B, Rougier JP, Gray JW, Pinkel D, Bissell MJ, Werb Z (1999) The stromal proteinase MMP3/stromelysin-1 promotes mammary carcinogenesis. Cell 98:137–146
- Sykes AP, Bhogal R, Brampton C, Chander C, Whelan C, Parsons ME, Bird J (1999) The effect of an inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases on colonic inflammation in a trinitrobenzenesulphonic acid rat model of inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 13:1535–1542
- Tsuchiya KD, Willard HF (2000) Chromosomal domains and escape from X inactivation: comparative X inactivation analysis in mouse and human. Mamm Genome 11:849–854
- Vignola AM, Kips J, Bousquet J (2000) Tissue remodeling as a feature of persistent asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 105:1041– 1053
- Yen PH, Patel P, Chinault AC, Mohandas T, Shapiro LJ (1984) Differential methylation of hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase genes on active and inactive human X chromosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81:1759–1763
- Yip D, Ahmad A, Karapetis CS, Hawkins CA, Harper PG (1999) Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors: applications in oncology. Invest New Drugs 17:387–399
- Yoshihara Y, Nakamura H, Obata K, Yamada H, Hayakawa T, Fujikawa K, Okada Y (2000) Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases in synovial fluids from patients with rheumatoid arthritis or osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 59:455–461