### ORIGINAL PAPER

# Two new genomes in the *Oryza* complex identified on the basis of molecular divergence analysis using total genomic DNA hybridization

Received: 26 July 1996 / Accepted: 17 September 1996

Abstract The genus Oryza to which cultivated rice belongs has 24 species (2n = 24 or 48), representing seven genomes (AA, BB, CC, EE, FF, BBCC and CCDD). The genomic constitution of five of these species is unknown. These five species have been grouped into two species complexes, the tetraploid ridleyi complex (O. ridleyi, O. longiglumis) and the diploid meyeriana complex (O. granulata, O. meyeriana, O. indandamanica). To evaluate the genomic structure of these species in terms of divergence at the molecular level vis-à-vis other known genomes of Oryza, we used the total genomic DNA hybridization approach. Total genomic DNA (after restriction digestion) of 79 accessions of 23 Oryza species, 6 related genera, 5 outgroup taxa (2 monocots, 3 dicots) and 6 F<sub>1</sub>s and BC<sub>1</sub>s derived from crosses of O. sativa with wild species were hybridized individually with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled total genomic DNA from 12 Oryza species: O. ridlevi, O. longiglumis, O. granulata, O. meyeriana, O. brachyantha, O. punctata, O. officinalis, O. eichingeri, O. alta, O. latifolia, O. australiensis, and O. sativa. The labeled genomic DNAs representing the *ridlevi* and *meveriana* complexes cross-hybridized best to all the accessions of their respective species, less to those representing other genomes of Oryza and related genera, and least to outgroup taxa. In general, the hybridization differential measured in terms of signal intensities was >50-fold under conditions that permit detection of 70-75% homologous sequences, both in the presence and in the absence of O. sativa DNA as competitor. In contrast, when total DNAs representing other Oryza genomes were used as probes, species of the O. ridlevi and O. meyeriana com-

R. K. Aggarwal<sup>1</sup> · D. S. Brar · G. S. Khush (⊠) Plant Breeding, Genetics and Biochemistry Division, International Rice Research Institute, P. O. Box 933, Manila 1099, Philippines
Present address:

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Cellulor and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad-500 009, India plexes did not show any significant cross-hybridization (<5%). These results demonstrate that the genome(s) of both of these complexes are highly diverged and distinct from all other known genomes of Oryza. We, therefore, propose new genomic designations for these two species complexes: GG for the diploid O. meyeriana complex and HHJJ for the allotetraploid O. ridlevi complex. The results also suggest that the uniqueness of these genomes is not restricted to species-specific highly repetitive DNA sequences, but also applies to dispersed sequences present in single or low to moderate copy numbers. Furthermore these appear to share relatively more genome-specific repeat sequences between themselves than with other genomes of rice. The study also demonstrates the potential of total genomic DNA hybridization as a simple but powerful tool, complementary to existing approaches, for ascertaining the genomic makeup of an organism.

Key words *Oryza* · Species complex · Genomic constitution · Molecular divergence · Total genomic DNA hybridization

### Introduction

The genus *Oryza* to which cultivated rice (*O. sativa*, 2n = 24, *AA*) belongs has 22 wild species with 2n chromosome numbers of 24 or 48. Seventeen of these species represent the *AA*, *BB*, *CC*, *BBCC*, *CCDD*, *EE* and *FF* genomes. The genomic constitution of five species is unknown. These latter species have been grouped into two species complexes: the diploid *O. meyeriana* complex (*O. granulata*, *O. meyeriana*, *O. indandamanica*), and the tetraploid *O. ridleyi* complex (*O. ridleyi*, *O. longiglumis*) (Vaughan 1989). Cytological studies reveal that both *O. ridleyi* and *O. longiglumis* are allotetraploid and have similar genomes (Sitch et al. 1991; Katayama 1992), whereas the *O. granulata* (our unpublished results) and *O. indandamanica* (Khush et al. 1990).

Communicated by H. Saedler

The conventional approaches used for assigning new genome(s) are based on morphological, physiological, and biochemical differences: crossing relationships, chromosome number, and chromosome pairing behavior of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids from crosses between species with known and unknown genomic constitution (the latter being the most important criterion for classifying rice genomes; Morinaga 1964). However, in most cases production of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids between cultivated and distantly related species is difficult, mainly owing to strong hybridization barriers. In fact, this has been the major reason for not assigning genome(s) to the species of the O. ridlevi and O. meveriana complexes. Under these circumstances, where intersectional hybrids are difficult to produce, homology per se at the DNA sequence level, being central to chromosome pairing, can serve as a direct, simple index of the genomic makeup of a species. Therefore, we analyzed various Oryza species for homology/divergence at the DNA level to identify and, if possible, classify the genomes of the two species complexes.

Several molecular approaches are now available to determine genomic relationships among species. Some of these are based on thermal denaturation profiles of DNA (Sibley and Ahlquist 1986; King and Ingrouille 1987), restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) of nuclear sequences (Wang et al. 1992), multilocus mini- and microsatellite-specific repeat sequences (Rayburn and Gill 1987; Aggarwal et al. 1994), and total genomic DNA hybridization both on Southern blots and in situ (Anamthawat-Jonsson et al. 1990). Among these, RFLPs and multilocus probes provide useful information, but these involve considerable effort in isolating and cloning genome-specific sequences. Further, such sequences might be localized to specific region(s) of the genome, and/or might evolve at different rates (Flavell 1986), and thus might not be true indicators of overall genomic differentiation among species. Similarly, the DNA renaturation approach involving DNA-DNA solution hybridization of single to low copy sequences, although informative, is cumbersome and time consuming. In comparison, the total genomic DNA hybridization approach provides a simple, semiquantitative assay to study similarities or dissimilarities spread over whole genomes in a given set of species. The technique has been widely used in both plants and animals to characterize wide hybrids, identify alien chromosome additions and translocations, and understand the genomic relationships among related group of species (Brandriff et al. 1991; Bennett et al. 1992; Orgaard and Heslop-Harrison 1994). However, the potential of this technique to ascertain and define the genomes of species with unknown genomic constitution has remained unexplored.

In the present investigation, we demonstrate that the genomic constitution of species can be inferred based on the novel approach of evaluating divergence at the molecular level through total genomic DNA hybridization. We provide evidence that the genomes of species either the *O. meyeriana* or *O. ridleyi* complex are quite similar but each complex is highly diverged from other species of *Oryza*, thus warranting new genomic assignments.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### Plant materials

The material used comprised 79 rice accessions belonging to 23 *Oryza* species, 6 related genera, 2 cultivated monocots (maize and sugarcane), 3 dicot (soybean cultivars) and 6  $F_1$ s and BC<sub>1</sub>s derived from interspecific crosses involving cultivated and wild species of *Oryza* (Table 1). Green leaves of these plant samples were obtained from the Genetic Resource Center (GRC) and the Plant Breeding, Genetics, and Biochemistry division (PBGB), International Rice Research Institute. The  $F_1$  and BC<sub>1</sub> progenies from interspecific crosses were available from PBGB.

#### DNA extraction, digestion, and Southern blotting

Total genomic DNA was isolated from green leaves (approximately 10 g) according to the method of Dellaporta et al. (1983) with minor modifications. The quality and quantity of DNA were determined both spectrophotometrically as well as visually by ethidium bromide staining on agarose gels.

For Southern blotting,  $6-7 \ \mu g$  DNA from each sample was digested with *DraI* and electrophoresed overnight in a submerged horizontal agarose gel (0.9%) at 30 V in 1 × TAE buffer (40 mM TRIS-acetate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). In some cases, *XbaI*, *Eco*RV and *ScaI* were used in place of *DraI* for DNA digestion. After electrophoresis, the gels showing approximately equal concentrations of digested DNA for all samples were used for Southern blotting onto Hybond N+ nylon membrane following the alkaline transfer protocol as detailed by the manufacturer (Amersham, USA). Five identical sets of Southern blots, each representing all the 96 plant samples, were made and each set of blots was reprobed 3–4 times after stripping.

#### Probe DNA and labeling

The total genomic DNA of 12 different *Oryza* species (Table 2) was used as probe in separate hybridization experiments. In each case, approximately 1 µg *Hin*fl-digested DNA was labeled with <sup>32</sup>P- $\alpha$ dCTP using the nick translation protocol (Amersham, USA). The labeled DNA was denatured by keeping it in a heating block at 100° C for 7–8 min, and then plunging it into ice for 10 min before adding to the hybridization solution. The *Hin*dIII-digested  $\lambda$ DNA, used as marker on the gels, was labeled along with the genomic DNA.

In some experiments involving total DNA of *O. granulata*, *O. brachyantha*, *O. punctata*, and *O. ridleyi* as probe, the effect of genomic blocking on hybridization was also evaluated using unlabeled DNA from rice cultivar IR31917-45-3-2 as specific competitor. For this purpose,  $\sim 1 \ \mu g$  DNA of the latter was sheared to 200–500 bp fragments by autoclaving for 10 min, denatured similar to the probe DNA, and then added in 10-, 20-, 50- and 100-fold excess to labeled probe at the time of hybridization.

#### Southern hybridization

The membranes were prehybridized for 6–8 h in 5×SSPE, 5×Denhardt's, 0.5% SDS (Sambrook et al. 1989) along with sheared denatured salmon sperm DNA (100 µg/ml hybridization mixture) as a nonspecific blocking agent at 64° C. The <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probe was added at 20 ng/ml (1–5×10<sup>6</sup> cpm/ml) to the same prehybridization solution. The membranes were allowed to hybridize with the probe DNA for 16–18 h with gentle shaking at 64°–65° C.

 Table 1
 Plant materials used in the study. (? Unknown genome,

 Lane no. number of lanes on the autoradiograph, CRRI Central

 Rice
 Research Institute, Cuttack, India, PBGB, IRRI Plant

breeding, Genetics and Biochemistry Division, International Rice Research Institute)

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lane no.	e Species	Genome	Accession	Origin	Lane no.	Species	Genome	Accession	Origin
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Oryza sativa	AA	IR-31917	PBGB, IRRI	49			103787	Colombia
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2			IR-56	PBGB, IRRI	50	O. grandiglumis	CCDD	105155	Brazil
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3			IR-64	PBGB, IRRI	51			105157	Brazil
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	O. rufipogon	AA	105908	Thailand	52			105560	Brazil
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5			105909	Thailand	53			105669	Brazil
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6			105910	Thailand	54	O. malamphuzhaensis	BBCC?	105223	India
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7			106412	Vietnam	55			105328	India
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8			106423	Vietnam	56			105329	India
	9	O. longistaminata	AA	103886	Tanzania	57	O. ridleyi	Unknown	100820	Thailand
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10			103890	Senegal	58			100821	Thailand
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11			103902	Tanzania	59			106028	Thailand
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	O. barthii	AA	101937	Senegal	60			105973	Indonesia
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	O. nivara	AA	103407	Sri Lanka	61	O. longiglumis	Unknown	105146	Indonesia
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14			105721	Cambodia	62			105147	Indonesia
	15			106185	India	63			105148	Indonesia
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	O. glumaepatula	AA	100969	Suriname	64			105562	Indonesia
	17	O. perennis	AA	104823	Thailand	65	O. australiensis	EE	100882	via CRRI, India
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	O. punctata	BB	103896	Tanzania	66			103318	Australia
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19			104064	Nigeria	67			105269	Australia
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20			105690	Kenya	68			105272	Australia
22       BBCC       101409       Ghana       70       94-10482       via CRRI, India         23       101409       Ghana       71       O. granulata       Unknown       100879       India         24       104975       Kenya       72       102118       Thailand         25       O. officinalis       CC       100896       Thailand       73       102118       Thailand         26       101116       Philippines       74       104503       Malaysia         26       101399       Vietnam       75       104986       via CRRI, India         27       105100       Brunei       76       O. meyeriana       Unknown       106473       Philippines         30       100176       via CRRI, India       78       106474       Philippines         31       O. rhizomatis       CC       103448       Sri Lanka       80       Porteresia coarctata       Unknown       105504       Bagladesh         33       0. eichingeri       CC       101424       Uganda       83       Rhynchoryza subulata       105164       Madagascar         36       0. eichingeri       CC       101424       Uganda       83       Rhynchoryza subulata       105	21			105980	Cameroon	69	O. brachyantha	FF	101232	Sierra Leone
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22		BBCC	100884	India	70			94-10482	via CRRI, India
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23			101409	Ghana	71	O. granulata	Unknown	100879	India
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24			104975	Kenya	72			102118	Thailand
26101116Philippines74106449India27101399Vietnam75104986via CRRI, India28105100Brunei76O. meyerianaUnknownwsp-90-5??29105220Indonesia77106473Philippines30100176via CRRI, India78106474Philippines31O. rhizomatisCC103421Sri Lanka79O. indandamanicaUnknown10569432105448Sri Lanka80Porteresia coarctata·104362Bangladesh33105449Sri Lanka81Leersia tisseranti·101384New Guenia34O. eichingeriCC101424Uganda82Leersia perrieri·105164Madagascar36105181Uganda83Rhynchoryza subulata·105457Sri Lanka37105408Sri Lanka85Chikusichloa aquatica·106186Japan38105413Sri Lanka86Maize·Local cultivarPhilippines39O. minutaBBCC101089Philippines87Sugarcane·Local cultivarPhilippines41103876Philippines90Soybean·cv. ClarkPhilippines43O. altaCCDD100888via CRRI, India91 <sup>a</sup> BC1AAEWHD-145-1PBGB, IRRI44103876Philippines90 <td< td=""><td>25</td><td>O. officinalis</td><td>CC</td><td>100896</td><td>Thailand</td><td>73</td><td></td><td></td><td>104503</td><td>Malaysia</td></td<>	25	O. officinalis	CC	100896	Thailand	73			104503	Malaysia
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26			101116	Philippines	74			106449	India
28105100Brunei76O. meyerianaUnknownwsp-90-5??29105220Indonesia77106473Philippines30100176via CRRI, India78106474Philippines31O. rhizomatisCC103421Sri Lanka79O. indandamanicaUnknown105694India32105448Sri Lanka80Porteresia coarctata104502Bangladesh33105449Sri Lanka81Leersia tisseranti105164Madagascar34O. eichingeriCC101424Uganda82Leersia perrieri105164Madagascar36105181Uganda83Rhynchoryza subulata105457Sri Lanka105457Sri Lanka36105182Uganda84Hygroryza aristata105457Sri Lanka105457Sri Lanka38105413Sri Lanka86MaizeLocal cultivarPhilippines39O. minutaBBCC101089Philippines87SugarcaneLocal cultivarPhilippines41103876Philippines90SoybeanCv. ClarkPhilippinesPhilippines43O. altaCCDD100888via CRRI, India91*BC1AAEWHD-1145-1PBGB, IRRI44100952via CRRI, India91*BC1AAFWHD-614-1PBGB, IRRI44100955??96*F1ACPBGB, IRRI47 <td>27</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>101399</td> <td>Vietnam</td> <td>75</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>104986</td> <td>via CRRI, India</td>	27			101399	Vietnam	75			104986	via CRRI, India
29 $105220$ Indonesia77 $106473$ Philippines30 $100176$ via CRRI, India78 $106474$ Philippines31O. rhizomatisCC $103421$ Sri Lanka79O. indandamanicaUnknown $106474$ Philippines32 $105448$ Sri Lanka80Porteresia coarctata $104502$ Bangladesh33 $105449$ Sri Lanka81Leersia tisseranti $101384$ New Guenia34O. eichingeriCC $101424$ Uganda82Leersia perrieri $105164$ Madagascar35 $105181$ Uganda83Rhynchoryza subulata $100913$ Argentina36 $105182$ Uganda84Hygroryza aristata $105457$ Sri Lanka38 $105408$ Sri Lanka86MaizeLocal cultivarPhilippines39O. minutaBBCC $101089$ Philippines87SugarcaneLocal cultivarPhilippines40 $105413$ Sri Lanka86Soybeanc.v. ClarkPhilippines41 $103876$ Philippines90SoybeanLocal cultivarPhilippines42 $100952$ via CRRI, India $91^a$ BC1 $AAE$ WHD-1145-1PBGB, IRRI44 $100952$ via CRRI, India $92^b$ F1 $AF$ WHD-1188-1PBGB, IRRI45 $100967$ Suriname $92^c$ BC1 $AAF$ WHD-614-1PBGB, IRRI46 $109914$ Mexico $92$	28			105100	Brunei	76	O. meyeriana	Unknown	wsp-90-5	??
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29			105220	Indonesia	77	-		106473	Philippines
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30			100176	via CRRI, India	78			106474	Philippines
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	O. rhizomatis	CC	103421	Sri Lanka	79	O. indandamanica	Unknown	105694	India
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32			105448	Sri Lanka	80	Porteresia coarctata	•	104502	Bangladesh
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33			105449	Sri Lanka	81	Leersia tisseranti	•	101384	New Guenia
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34	O. eichingeri	CC	101424	Uganda	82	Leersia perrieri	•	105164	Madagascar
$36$ $105182$ Uganda $84$ Hygroryza aristata $105457$ Sri Lanka $37$ $105408$ Sri Lanka $85$ <i>Chikusichloa aquatica</i> $106186$ Japan $38$ $105413$ Sri Lanka $86$ Maize $106186$ Japan $39$ O. minuta $BBCC$ $101089$ Philippines $87$ Sugarcane $10cal cultivar$ Philippines $40$ $101141$ Philippines $88$ Soybean $\cdot$ $cv.$ UPSY-4Philippines $41$ $103876$ Philippines $89$ Soybean $\cdot$ $cv.$ ClarkPhilippines $42$ $105253$ Philippines $90$ Soybean $\cdot$ $Local cultivar$ Philippines $43$ O. alta $CCDD$ $100888$ via CRRI, India $91^a$ $BC_1$ $AAE$ WHD-1145-1PBGB, IRRI $44$ $100952$ via CRRI, India $92^b$ $F_1$ $AF$ WHD-457-1PBGB, IRRI $45$ $100967$ Suriname $93^c$ $BC_1$ $AAF$ WHD-614-1PBGB, IRRI $46$ $105143$ Guyana $94^d$ $F_1$ $A?$ WHD-614-1PBGB, IRRI $48$ $100955$ $??$ $96^c$ $F_1$ $AC$ PBGB, IRRI	35			105181	Uganda	83	Rhynchoryza subulata	•	100913	Argentina
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36			105182	Uganda	84	Hygroryza aristata	•	105457	Sri Lanka
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37			105408	Sri Lanka	85	Chikusichloa aquatica		106186	Japan
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38			105413	Sri Lanka	86	Maize	•	Local cultivar	Philippines
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39	O. minuta	BBCC	101089	Philippines	87	Sugarcane		Local cultivar	Philippines
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40			101141	Philippines	88	Soybean	•	cv. UPSY-4	Philippines
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41			103876	Philippines	89	Soybean		cv. Clark	Philippines
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42			105253	Philippines	90	Soybean		Local cultivar	Philippines
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43	O. alta	CCDD	100888	via CRRI. India	91 <sup>a</sup>	$BC_1$	AAE	WHD-1145-1	PBGB. IRRI
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44			100952	via CRRI. India	92 <sup>b</sup>	$F_1$	AF	WHD-457-1	PBGB. IRRI
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45			100967	Suriname	93 <sup>c</sup>	BC <sub>1</sub>	AAF	WHD-188-1	PBGB, IRRI
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46			105143	Guvana	94 <sup>d</sup>	F <sub>1</sub>	A?	WHD-614-1	PBGB, IRRI
48  100955  ??  96f F1  AC  PBGB, IRRI	47	O. latifolia	CCDD	100914	Mexico	95 <sup>e</sup>	BC <sub>1</sub>	AA?		PBGB, IRRI
	48	<i></i>		100955	??	96 <sup>f</sup>	$F_1$	AC		PBGB, IRRI

<sup>a</sup>91, (O. sativa IR31917-45-3-2 × O. australiensis Acc. 100882) × IR31917-45-3-2

<sup>b</sup> 92, O. sativa IR56  $\times$  O. brachyantha Acc. 101232

<sup>c</sup>93, (O. sativa IR56  $\times$  O. brachyantha Acc. 101232)  $\times$  IR56

<sup>d</sup> 94, O. sativa IR31917-45-3-2 × O. granulata Acc. 100879

e95, (O. sativa IR31917-45-3-2 × O. granulata Acc. 100879) × IR31917-45-3-2

<sup>f</sup>96, O. sativa cv. UPR  $63 \times O$ . officinalis Acc. 101116

Posthybridization washings and signal detection

The membranes were monitored for hybridization signal following two different washing regimes. All the post hybridization washings were carried out at  $60^{\circ}$ – $64^{\circ}$  C for 30 min each. First, membranes were washed in 3 × SSC (20 × SSC is 0.3 M NaCl, 0.03 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0), 0.1% SDS, to remove weakly hybridized and unhybridized probe. Subsequently, the membranes were washed twice in 2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS, followed by a rinse in 2 × SSC at room temperature. The wet membranes were wrapped in Saran wrap and exposed for autoradiography for 30 min, 60 min, 3 h, or 14 h. The next day, the same membranes were further washed once each in  $1 \times SSC$ , 0.1% SDS and 0.5 × SSC, 0.1% SDS, and twice in 0.1 × SSC, 0.1% SDS followed by a final rinse in 2 × SSC at room temperature. The membranes were again autoradiographed for 30 min, 60 min, 90 min, 3 h, 4.5 h, 14 h, or 28 h. Afterward, the

**Table 2** Oryza species from which total genomic DNA was used in hybridization

Species	Accession	Genome	
O. sativa	IR-64	AA	
O. punctata	105980	BB	
O. officinalis	101116	CC	
O. eichingeri	105413	CC	
O. australiensis	100882	EE	
O. brachyantha	101232	FF	
O. alta	100967	CCDD	
O. latifolia	100914	CCDD	
O. ridleyi	100821	Unknown	
O. longiglumis	105669	Unknown	
O. granulata	100879	Unknown	
O. meyeriana	106473	Unknown	

membranes were stripped of the bound radioactive probe before reprobing.

#### Stringency of hybridization and washing

The conditions for hybridization and washings were optimized based on standard filter hybridization kinetics (Anderson and Young 1985) and known parameters for DNA hybrid duplex stability (Meinkoth and Wahl 1984), effect of mismatched base pairs on melting temperature of the hybrid DNA duplex (Bonner et al. 1973), and taking the average %G + C content of cereals to be 45.5% (Swanson and Webster 1975). Accordingly, the hybridization conditions used were permissive for stable hybridization of DNA sequences with 70–75% homology, following a first-order kinetics of hybridization for double-stranded DNA probes to excess target sequences on the blots. Further, the stringency of the two posthybridization washing regimes (2 × SSC and 0.1 × SSC) favored detection of either partially related sequences (70–75%) or almost identical sequences (>95% matched) on the autoradiographs, respectively.

#### Signal quantification

Differences in the hybridization signal obtained on autoradiographs were visually analyzed and also measured semiquantitatively on a microcomputer-based image-digitizing system, the Computing Laser Densitometer, Model 300A ImageQuant 3-22 (Molecular Dynamics, USA). The total amount of hybridization intensity per lane on the autoradiographs, was calculated in optical density units in volume integration mode after subtracting the background value, which was determined from reading an unhybridized lane. Subsequently, the values were averaged over each species and normalized relative to the hybridization intensity of lanes representing the genomic probe (lanes showing maximum hybridization). For estimates of hybridization differential, the autoradiographs with shorter exposure times (60, 90 min, 3 h) were found to be best because the most intense signals did not saturate the detector response.

#### Results

The Southern profiles *Dra*I-digested DNA of *Oryza* species and other outgroup taxa, obtained after hybridization with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled total genomic DNA under different washing stringencies, are shown in Figs. 1–6. In each case, striking differences in hybridization pattern and signal strength were evident across the species tes-

ted. Each genomic probe revealed a highly specific pattern of hybridization, suggesting the presence of specific genomic complexes among the taxa analyzed. These differences were most pronounced in the case of specific genomic probing with the *O. meyeriana* and *O. ridleyi* complexes, followed by that of *O. brachyantha*, wherein the hybridization differential was of the order of 40- to 50-fold. In comparison, it varied only 10- to 20-fold across all the taxa, when other genomes (*AA*, *BB*, *CC*, *EE*, *FF*, *BBCC*, *CCDD*, Table 2) were used as probes. The quantification of hybridization intensity profiles, obtained after probing with six genomes of *Oryza* (Table 3), confirmed the observations made visually on the autoradiographs.

The hybridization patterns in general were characterized by conspicuous bands overlying the smears of hybridization, representing families of repetitive DNA sequences, specific to the probe (genomic DNA) used. In contrast, the strong smear that constituted ~80% of the total signal present in all lanes represents the hybridization of dispersed sequences of low to moderate or single copy numbers available in the hybridizing genomic DNA. The hybridization of blots prepared with restriction enzymes *ScaI*, *XbaI* or *Eco*RV revealed different families of repeat specific DNA fragments but without any apparent effect on the overall hybridization differential (data not shown).

Presence of plant genome-specific DNA repeat sequences

Hybridization profiles revealed the presence of a few families of DNA repeat sequences that were common to all the species tested, irrespective of the genomic DNA used as probe. One of the most conspicuous of these repeat families was visible as a strongly hybridizing polymorphic band in the range of ~8.0–9.5 kb across all the *Oryza* species as well as all other taxa (Figs. 1–6). The role of such conserved repeat sequence families in the structure and function of the plant genome needs further investigation.

Effect of washing stringency and blocking DNA

In general, increasing the stringency of washing from  $2 \times SSC (0.3 \text{ M Na}^+)$  to  $0.1 \times SSC (0.015 \text{ M Na}^+)$ , led to an overall reduction in the hybridization intensity on the

**Fig. 1,2** Southern blots of *Dra*I-digested DNA of 96 representative *Oryza* species and other taxa (see Table 1) hybridized with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled total genomic DNA of *O. granulata* Acc. 100879: **1** 14 h exposure after  $2 \times SSC$  low stringency wash; **2** 14 h exposure after  $0.1 \times SSC$  high stringency wash. Note the differences in hybridization intensities across lanes 71–79 and 94–95 in comparison with the rest of the lanes. *Small arrowheads* indicate repeat sequence bands specific to taxa of the *O. ridleyi* complex (lanes 57–64)



Fig.1 for legend see p.4



Fig. 2 for legend see p.4



Fig. 3 for legend see p.7



Fig. 4 for legend see p.7

blots. This effect was more pronounced for DNA from taxa other than those belonging to the probe DNA complex, thereby further increasing the hybridization differential considerably across the species (see Table 3; compare lanes, Figs. 1, 2; and 3, 4). Moreover, bands representing repetitive DNA sequences were more easily detectable after high stringency washing with  $0.1 \times SSC$ .

The effect of genomic blocking on hybridization was negligible. Inclusion of *O. sativa* DNA as specific competitor (block) in various proportions (blocking DNA to probe = 10:1, 20:1, 50:1, and 100:1) had no effect on the hybridization differential across the taxa. However, similar to the effect of high stringency washing inclusion of competitor DNA also increased the prominence of genome-specific repetitive bands in all cases.

# Probing with genomic DNA representing the *O. meyeriana* complex

The DraI fragments of all the Oryza species and other taxa that hybridized with genomic DNA of O. granulata (Acc. 100879), at two of washing stringencies are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 (Fig. 1, 14 h exposure after  $2 \times SSC$ wash to discriminate 70-75% homologous sequences; Fig. 2, 14 h exposure after  $0.1 \times SSC$  wash to display >95% matched DNA sequences). Overall appraisal of the hybridization signal, evident from autoradiographs at different exposure times, revealed that the probe DNA hybridized almost exclusively to the species of its own complex, i.e., O. granulata, O. indandamanica, and O. meyeriana (lanes 71–79, Figs. 1, 2), and the  $F_1$  and BC<sub>1</sub> (lanes 94, 95) derived from a cross of O. granulata with O. sativa (see Table 1). In comparison, the intensity of hybridization to all the remaining Oryza species and other taxa was almost negligible (less than 5%), irrespective of the level of stringency used (see Fig. 1. Table 3). In separate experiments, O. granulata-derived monosomic alien addition lines could easily be identified following a similar genomic hybridization procedure independent of the stringency level and blocking DNA used (unpublished results).

Analysis of autoradiographs from longer exposures, i.e., a 14 h exposure at a lower washing stringency of  $2 \times SSC$  (Fig. 1), and 14 h (Fig. 2) and 28 h exposures after higher stringency washes of  $0.1 \times SSC$ , revealed a family of repeat sequence fragments at ~1.2, 2.4, and 4.8 kb specific to taxa of the *O. ridleyi* complex (lanes 57–64, Fig. 2). Secondly, a ~5- to 10-fold differential was

visible in the hybridization intensities of rice entries other than those of the *O. meyeriana* complex (lanes 1– 70, Fig. 2) vis-à-vis related genera (lanes 80–85). This differential was even more pronounced in the case of the outgroup taxa, maize, sugarcane, and soybean (lanes 86–90, Fig. 2), wherein no hybridization signal was observed except for the plant-specific repeat sequences detailed above.

Inspection of the hybridized lanes from shorter exposure times (30, 60 min), revealed the presence of a ladder of restriction fragments starting at ~1.0 kb (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 kb) that were visible in all the species of the *O. meyeriana* complex and one band of ~6.0 kb that was specific only to the *O. granulata* accessions. This ~6.0 kb repeat sequence band, specific to *O. granulata* could also be identified in its hybrid and backcross derivative. The pattern of such repeat DNA-specific bands was found to vary with different restriction enzymes. The *Eco*RV digested DNA in particular, exhibited the most complex pattern (data not shown).

The results obtained from hybridization with genomic DNA from *O. meyeriana* Acc. 106473 were essentially similar to those obtained with *O. granulata*, except that it did not reveal the 6.0 kb repeat sequence band specific to *O. granulata* genomic DNA (see above). Instead, it showed two bands of ~4.8 and 6.2 kb, specific only to the *O. meyeriana* and *O. indandamanica* genomes.

Probing with genomic DNA representing the *O. ridleyi* complex

The genomic DNA of O. ridleyi Acc. 100821 and O. longiglumis Acc. 105669 were used as probes. Figures 3 and 4 show representative autoradiographs from hybridization with O. ridlevi genomic DNA as probe at two of stringency levels. Similar to the case of genomic probing specific to the O. meyeriana complex, here also the probes hybridized almost exclusively to lanes containing DNA of the probe complex and all the remaining taxa studied showed negligible signal (see 57-64, Figs. 3, 4; Table 3). The hybridization differential in signal intensity between species of the O. ridlevi complex vis-à-vis all the other species was >50-fold even at the lower stringency of washing, but was visibly less than that observed in the case of genomic probing with the O. meyeriana complex (Table 3). A closer inspection of the autoradiographs developed after 14 or 24 h at both stringencies of washing revealed: (a) the presence of repeat sequence restriction fragments at  $\sim 2.4$ , 2.6, 2.8 and 4.8 kb, specific only to the lanes of O. meyeriana complex species (see bands marked with arrowheads, lanes 71-79, Fig. 4); and (b) ~5- to 10-fold differential in hybridization signal intensity between the rest of the Oryza species (lanes 1–56, 65–79) as compared with the related genera (lanes 80-85) and 10- to 20-fold compared with other outgroup taxa (lanes 86-90, Figs. 3, 4). Further, the autoradiographs developed after 30 and 60 min at

**Fig. 3,4** Southern blots of *Dra*I-digested DNA of 96 representative *Oryza* species and other taxa (see Table 1) hybridized with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled total genomic DNA of *O. ridleyi* Acc. 100821: **3** 14 h exposure after  $2 \times SSC$  low stringency wash; **4** 14 h exposure after  $0.1 \times SSC$  high stringency wash. Note the differences in hybridization intensities across lanes 57–64 in comparison with the rest of the lanes. *Small arrowheads* indicate repeat sequence bands specific to taxa of the *O. meyeriana* complex (lanes 71–79)



Fig. 5 for legend see p.9



Fig. 6 for legend see p.9

high washing stringency, revealed the presence of a repeat sequence family specific to the *O. ridleyi* complex starting at ~6.0 kb (0.9, 1.2, 1.8, 2.4, 3.0, 3.6, and 4.8 kb). Similar results were obtained when *O. longiglumis* DNA was used as the genomic probe.

Probing with genomic DNA representing AA, BB, CC, CCDD, EE and FF genomes of the Oryza complex

The Southern blots of DraI-digested DNA of the Oryza species and other taxa were also hybridized with labeled total genomic DNA from other rice species representing AA, BB, CC, CCDD, EE, and FF genomes (Table 2), separately. Figures 5 and 6 show the representative hybridization patterns obtained after probing with O. punctata and O. brachyantha genomic DNA, respectively. In all the experiments, the genomic probe tested cross-hybridized more strongly and specifically to lanes representing its own genome and at a low to moderate level to the rest of the taxa studied. In each case, the overall level of hybridization was observed to be minimal for species of the O. meyeriana and O. ridleyi complexes, followed only by the outgroup taxa (Table 3, also compare, lanes 57-64 and 71-79 with lanes 80–90 and the remaining ones, Fig. 5). Moreover, except when probing with the FF genome, the hybridization differential observed across various taxa was 2 to 3 orders of magnitude less than that observed with genomic probing specific to the O. meyeriana and O. ridleyi complexes (Table 3). In contrast, probing with O. brachyantha DNA (FF genome) exhibited a hybridization differential as striking as that obtained with O. granulata genomic probing. Furthermore, probing with different genomic DNA probes revealed a highly variable pattern of repeat sequence-specific bands among the taxa studied.

#### Discussion

In the last two decades, a number of studies have demonstrated the utility and potential of various molecular tools to solve many of the problems and controversies of evolution and biosystematics that have remained unsolved in spite of best efforts made through conventional approaches (Avise 1994). In the *Oryza* complex, the genomic constitution of species belonging to the *O. ridleyi* and *O. meyeriana* complexes remains unknown. This has mainly been due to limited success in obtaining intersectional hybrids to analyze their meiotic behavior. To circumvent this problem, we analyzed the genomic constitution of these two complexes directly at the molecular level using a total genomic DNA hybridization ap-

proach.

In cereals, about 55-70% of the genomic DNA consists of highly repetitive interspersed and tandem repeats (Flavell 1986). The estimate for similar DNA sequences in rice, which has the smallest genome among the cereals, is around 52% (Deshpande and Ranjekar 1980). Total genomic DNA hybridization mainly makes use of the genetic variation that resides in these repetitive DNA sequences distributed throughout the genome (Anamthawat-Jonsson et al. 1990). Hence, it has the potential of providing reliable estimates of genetic variation at the DNA level, which is central to genome evolution and differentiation. In the present study, genetic variation was quantified (using total genomic DNA as probe) through Southern hybridization, which provides precise indices of DNA homology/divergence. DNA sequences with varying degrees of homology or mismatch can be detected just by modulating the hybridization and washing conditions. The distantly related sequences with high degrees of mismatch (divergence) are analyzed under relaxed conditions of hybridization, which favor a relatively higher discrimination ratio (Anderson and Young 1985). In contrast, closely related DNA sequences can be discriminated by the inclusion of excess unlabeled blocking DNA as specific competitor to the hybridizing probe (Anamthawat-Jonsson et al. 1990). The inability of the blocking DNA to exert its effect as a specific competitor further indicates that the hybridizing DNA sequences are highly diverged.

## Divergence of *O. ridleyi* and *O. meyeriana* complex species

The results show that the differences in the hybridization profiles were genome specific but not species specific. Thus, different species carrying similar genomes were indistinguishable from each other on genomic probing. A similar situation was observed for species/accessions belonging to the *O. ridleyi* and *O. meyeriana* complexes, indicating that the genomes of species in each of the two complexes are identical. Southern hybridization profiles and quantification of their signal intensities revealed that species belonging to the *O. ridleyi* and *O. meyeriana* complexes are highly diverged from all other *Oryza* species at the molecular level.

Probing with DNA representing the two species complexes revealed a 40- to 50-fold differential in the hybridization intensities at levels of stringency that are permissive for the detection of 70–75% homologous (25– 30% divergent) DNA sequences. This hybridization differential was not affected when washing stringency was raised to 0.1 × SSC, or upon inclusion of *O. sativa* (*AA* genome) DNA as the competitor. These results indicate that the two species complexes have diverged much more than 25–30% from all other genomes of

**Fig. 5, 6** Southern blots of *Dra*I-digested DNA of 96 representative *Oryza* species and other taxa (see Table 1) hybridized with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled total genomic DNA from: **5** *O. punctata* Acc. 105980: **6** *O. brachyantha* Acc. 101232. Washing stringency,  $2 \times SSC$ ; 3h exposure. Note that hybridization differential across lanes is considerably less in the case of probing with a *BB* genome (**Fig. 5**) compared with that of an *FF* genome (**Fig. 6**)

washing	stringencies												
Lanes <sup>a</sup>	Genome	% Hybridi	zation signal	(relative to 1	rice species rel	presenting th	e probe DN	<b>A</b> )					
		BB(O. pun	ctata)	CC(0. offi	cinalis)	EE(O. austr	aliensis)	FF(O. brac	hyantha)	Unknown(	O. ridleyi)	Unknown(	<ol> <li>granulata)</li> </ol>
		$2 \times SSC$	$0.1 \times SSC$	$2 \times SSC$	$0.1 \times SSC$	$2 \times SSC$	$0.1 \times SSC$	$2 \times SSC$	$0.1 \times SSC$	$2 \times SSC$	$0.1 \times SSC$	$2 \times SSC$	$0.1 \times SSC$
1 - 17	AA	16.4	13.0	5.0	3.5	9.8	9.4	7.5	4.4	2.6	1.5	2.9	1.2
18-20	BB	100.0	100.0	9.8	5.3	16.8	10.4	5.6	4.1	3.5	2.7	2.7	1.0
21 - 24	BBCC	56.1	45.3	24.0	12.1	15.5	7.4	3.1	1.7	3.4	1.6	2.5	0.9
25 - 30	CC	12.1	7.9	100.0	100.0	20.7	8.4	3.4	1.9	3.3	2.8	4.7	1.2
31–33	CC	8.5	7.7	90.2	78.4	18.6 E <sub>max</sub>	8.1	3.2	2.1	3.6	2.2	4.2	1.0
34–38	CC	33.2	32.4	24.0	18.0	14.1	9.7	3.6	3.0	3.1	1.8	2.3	0.8
39–42	BBCC	55.5	63.4	41.5	29.3	38.3	54.4	4.9	3.5	4.6	2.5	3.1	1.0
43-46	CCDD	18.9	13.6	21.8	9.1	29.2	13.1	5.2	3.4	3.7	2.5	5.9	1.3
47–49	CCDD	17.9	11.4	23.0	9.0	27.6	13.5	7.7	4.3	4.1	2.7	5.4	1.7
50-53	CCDD	18.1	12.6	21.4	9.4	23.3	12.7	6.2	4.3	4.1	1.8	6.0	1.2
54-56	BBCC	42.6	60.5	36.5	25.6	25.6	12.4	5.8	2.9	3.7	1.8	2.0	0.6
57-64	Unknown <sup>b</sup>	6.1	5.3	3.9	2.7	9.2	6.2	2.3	1.6	100.0	100.0	11.5	4.3
65–68	EE	15.8	3.6	22.2	9.1	100.0	100.0	4.4	1.6	4.3	3.1	3.1	1.2
69-70	FF	11.6	8.6	4.8	3.4	3.1	2.4	100.0	100.0	1.1	4.4	5.3	2.8
71–79	$Unknown^{c}$	5.6	1.4	5.0	1.5	3.8	1.2	7.2	3.7	3.5	2.4	100.0	100.0
80-85	Related genera	3.0	1.1	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.3	4.5	3.5	0.5	0.0	2.0	0.6
86 - 90	Outgroup taxa	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.7	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2
91	$AAE (BC_1)$	14.7	7.1	11.7	4.8	69.2	73.1	8.1	4.9	2.9	2.5	5.4	1.7
92	AF (F <sub>1</sub> )	15.6	10.2	4.9	6.3	5.5	1.8	69.0	$62.7 E_{max}$	1.8	2.7	4.1	2.3
93	$AAF(BC_1)$	17.2	8.1	3.8	4.0	5.0	2.1	$49.4 E_{max}$	36.2	2.1	2.2	3.5	2.2
94	$AU^{d}(F_{1})$	14.2	4.8	4.1	3.1	4.1	1.4	7.6	4.1	4.1	2.9	75.4	68.9
95	$AAU^{d}$ (BC <sub>1</sub> )	15.5	4.3	4.4	2.4	4.1	1.8	4.4	4.7	4.9	2.3	62.4	55.6
96	$AC(F_1)$	11.5	4.8	60.0	43.5	5.1	1.7	4.2	3.6	2.3	2.7	2.9	1.2
<sup>a</sup> Lanes o	in the autoradiogram	phs: for det	ails. see Table	1									
<sup>b</sup> Unknov	vn genome of O. ri	dleyi compl	cx ,	1									
<sup>d</sup> Haploic	vn genome of <i>O. m</i> 1 set of unknown ge	<i>eyeriana</i> col enome of <i>O</i>	nplex granulata										

**Table 3** Per cent hybridization intensities obtained after probing with genomic DNA of six rice species, quantified from autoradiographs developed after 3 h exposure at two different washing stringencies

10

*Oryza*, the additional degree of divergence being directly proportional to the hybridization differential obtained at lower washing stringency. Assuming that each 3- to 4-fold hybridization differential reflects an additional DNA divergence of about 1%, one could make a conservative estimate of more than 35–40% overall divergence of the genomes in the two complexes relative to other *Oryza* species.

The presence of strong distinct signals in the hybridized lanes suggested that there are several families of DNA repeat sequences specific to the genome(s) of the two species complexes. The presence of genome-specific repeat sequences has been demonstrated for almost all the known genomes in rice (Zhao et al. 1989; Aswidinnoor et al. 1991). The relative abundance and distribution of such genome-specific repeat sequences are probably central to the observed high degree of divergence in these genomes. However, the divergence in the two complexes is seemingly a general feature of their respective genomes, also involving single copy and low to moderately repeated DNA sequences, evidenced as strong smears along any given hybridized lane, irrespective of the restriction enzyme used for digesting the DNA used on the blot. The very high level of divergence specific to single and low copy DNA sequences was also apparent in O. granulata (O. meyeriana complex) from the analysis of 52 rice cDNA and genomic RFLP probes distributed randomly over the molecular map of rice (Aggarwal et al. 1996). Wang et al. (1992), using 25 single copy RFLP probes, observed that the species belonging to these two complexes (O. ridleyi, O. longiglumis, O. meyeriana) were the most diverged and genetically distant from the remaining species of rice. In another experiment, we have analyzed the plant materials tested in the present study using 45 RFLP markers covering all rice chromosomes, to determine the divergence specific to unique, single and low copy genomic DNA sequences. The preliminary findings (unpublished) of the study also indicate that the O. ridleyi and O. meveriana complexes have highly diverged and unique genomes, and that genomic differentiation in these is evenly spread over the single copy DNA sequences, as revealed by hybridization of total genomic DNA.

New genomic designations for species in the *O. ridleyi* and *O. meyeriana* complexes

The hybridization differentials observed on probing with genomic DNA of different *Oryza* species (Tables 2, 3) clearly established that the genomes in species of the *O. ridleyi* and *O. meyeriana* complexes are highly diverged and distinct from other known genomes of *Oryza*, and that these share relatively more genome-specific repeat sequences between themselves. Nevertheless, the genomes in both the complexes share relatively more similarity with other species of *Oryza* than with related genera (*Leersia, Rhynchoryza, Porteresia, Hygroryza*), and outgroup taxa (sugarcane, maize, and soybean).

Further, the degree of divergence among the known genomes of rice, except for the FF genome, was much less than that observed for the two species complexes. Based on these results, we propose new genomic designations for these complexes: GG for the diploid O. meyeriana complex, and HHJJ for the allotetraploid O. ridleyi complex. The results suggest that generation of genome-specific repetitive DNA sequences has probably been central to the evolution of different genomes in the Oryza complex, whereas species differentiation within a genomic group has involved mainly micro-evolutionary changes like sequence divergence and chromosomal rearrangement. Involvement of repetitive DNA sequences (their type, position, amplification, deletion, turnover) in genomic evolution and speciation of various grasses and higher eukaryotes is now well accepted (Ahn et al. 1993; Moore et al. 1993).

Distinctive status warranting new genomic assignments to the above two complexes is further supported by earlier cytogenetic and morpho-physiological studies. Analysis of a few intersectional hybrids revealed that O. ridlevi is probably an allotetraploid having genomes different from AA, BB, CC, CCDD, BBCC and EE, and that the O. meyeriana genome is different from AA (Katayama and Onizuka 1979; Katayama et al. 1981; Katayama 1992). Similarly, analysis of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids also demonstrated that both O. ridlevi and O. longiglumis are allotetraploid and have similar genomes (Sitch et al. 1991) whereas the O. granulata genome is similar to that of O. indandamanica, but different from AA (Khush et al. 1990). Studies on seed protein profiles also suggested that species in the two complexes have genomes other than those currently recognized in the genus Oryza (Sarkar and Raina 1992).

The results presented here thus validate, at the molecular level, the present biosystemic status of the O. ridlevi and O. meyeriana complexes as the most diverged taxa in the genus Oryza in the subfamily Oryzoideae of the Poaceae (Gramineae) family. Moreover, the observation that genomes of these two complexes share relatively more genome-specific repeat sequences between themselves, and that members of these complexes overlap considerably in their habitat and geographic distribution suggest a relatively closer phylogenetic relationship between them. Our results also support the removal of Leersia perrieri, L. tisseranti, Porteresia coarctata, and Rhynchoryza subulata from the genus Oryza, as they showed the least similarity to any of the rice species, like other related genera (Chikusichloa aquatica, Hygroryza aristata) and outgroup taxa (maize, sugarcane, soybean).

This study demonstrates that the approach of revelation of the molecular divergence through total genomic probing can provide a reliable index of the genetic makeup of a species and is thus a powerful complementary tool for studying genomic evolution and biosystematics. It can also be used in situations where gene(s) suppressing chromosome pairing could affect conclusions regarding homology from analysis of the meiotic behavior of chromosomes (Bennett et al. 1992). The present work provides the first evidence that genomic hybridization on Southern blots can be efficiently used in deducing the uniqueness of genome in the two species complexes of rice.

Acknowledgements This study was carried out with financial support from the Rockfeller Foundation's Program on Rice Biotechnology. This support is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Dr. Ning Huang for making laboratory facilities available to us, and to the Genetic Resources Center for providing some of the plant materials used in the study. We are grateful to Dr. D. Balasubramanium, the Director, Centre for Cellular & Molecular Biology, Hyderabad, India, for allowing us to use the microcomputer-based image-digitizing system, and Mr. Bapiraju for technical assistance in quantification of the hybridization intensities.

#### References

- Aggarwal RK, Majumdar KC, Lang JW, Singh L (1994) Genetic affinities among crocodilians as revealed by DNA fingerprinting with a Bkm-derived probe. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:10601– 10605
- Aggarwal RK, Brar DS, Huang N, Khush GS (1996) Molecular analysis of introgression in Oryza sativa × O. brachyantha and O. sativa × O. granulata derivatives. Int Rice Res Newslett (in press)
- Ahn S, Anderson JA, Sorrels ME, Tanksley SD (1993) Homeologous relationships of rice, wheat and maize chromosomes. Mol Gen Genet 241:483–490
- Anamthawat-Jonsson K, Schwarzacher T, Leitch AR, Bennett MD, Heslop-Harrison JS (1990) Discrimination between closely related *Triticeae* species using genomic DNA as a probe. Theor Appl Genet 79:721–728
- Anderson MLM, Young BD (1985) Quantitative filter hybridization. In: Hames BD, Higgins SJ (eds) Nucleic acid hybridization: a practical approach. IRL, Oxford, Washington DC, pp 73–111
- Aswidinnoor H, Nelson RJ, Dallas JF, McIntyre CL, Leung H, Gustafson JP (1991) Cloning and characterization of repetitive DNA sequences from genomes of *Oryza minuta* and *Oryza* australiensis. Genome 34:790–798
- Avise JC (1994) (ed) Molecular markers, natural history and evolution. Chapman & Hall, NY, USA, pp 1–511
- Bennett ST, Kenton AY, Bennett MD (1992) Genomic in situ hybridization reveals the allopolyploid nature of Milium montianum (Gramineae). Chromosoma 101:420–424
- Bonner TI, Brenner DJ, Neufeld BR, Britten RJ (1973) Reduction in the rate of DNA reassociation by sequence divergence. J Mol Biol 81:123–135
- Brandriff BF, Gordon LA, Segraves R, Pinkel D (1991) The malederived genome after sperm-egg fusion: spatial distribution of chromosomal DNA and paternal-maternal genomic association. Chromosoma 100:262–266

- Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hick JB (1983) A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol Biol Rep 1:19–21
- Deshpande VG, Ranjekar PK (1980) Repetitive DNA in three *Gramineae* species with low DNA content. Hoppe-Seyler's Z Physiol Chem 361:1223–1233
- Flavell RB (1986) Repetitive DNA and chromosome evolution in plants. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 312:227–242
- Katayama T (1992) Intersectional hybridization between Oryza australiensis Domin and O. ridleyi Hook. Jpn J Genet 67:415– 417
- Katayama T, Onizuka W (1979) Intersectional  $F_1$  plants from *Oryza sativa* × *O. ridleyi* and *O. sativa* × *O. meyeriana.* Jpn J Genet 54:43–46
- Katayama T, Onizuka W, Shin Y-B (1981) Intersectional  $F_1$  hybrids obtained from the crosses, *Oryza minuta* Presl. × *O. ridleyi* Hook. and *O. officinalis* Wall. × *O. ridleyi* Hook. Jpn J Genet 56:67–71
- Khush GS, Multani DS, Vergara GV, Brar DS (1990) Taxonomic status of Oryza indandamanica. Rice Genet Newslett 7:88–89
- King GJ, Ingrouille MJ (1987) Genome heterogeneity and classification of the Poaceae. New Phytol 107:633–644
- Meinkoth J, Wahl G (1984) Hybridization of nucleic acids immobilized on solid supports. Anal Biochem 138:267–284
- Moore G, Gale MD, Kurata N, Flavell RB (1993) Molecular analysis of small grain cereal genomes: current status and prospects. Biotech 11:584–589
- Morinaga T (1964) Cytogenetical investigations on Oryza species. In: IRRI (ed) Rice genetics and cytogenetics. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 91–102
- Orgaard M, Heslop-Harrison JS (1994) Relationships between species of *Leynus*, *Psathyrostachys*, and *Hordeum (Poaceae*, *Triticeae*) inferred from Southern hybridization of genomic and cloned DNA probes. Plant Sys Evol 189:217–231
- Rayburn AL, Gill BS (1987) Use of repeated DNA sequences as cytological markers. Am J Bot 74:574–580
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- Sarkar R, Raina SN (1992) Assessment of genome relationships in the genus Oryza L. based on seed-protein profile analysis. Theor Appl Genet 85:127–132
- Sibley CG, Ahlquist JE (1986) Reconstructing bird phylogeny by comparing DNA's. Sci Am 254:82–93
- Sitch LA, Dalmacio R, Brar DS, Khush GS (1991) Genomic relationship of *Oryza longiglumis* and *O. ridleyi*. Rice Genet Newlett 8:93–94
- Swanson CP, Webster PL (1975) The cell, 4th edn. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey
- Vaughan DA (1989) The genus *Oryza* L., current status of taxonomy. IRRI Res Paper Ser 138, pp 21
- Wang ZY, Second G, Tanksley SD (1992) Polymorphism and phylogenetic relationships among species in the genus *Oryza* as determined by analysis of nuclear RFLPs. Theor Appl Genet 83:565–581
- Zhao X, Wu T, Xie Y, Wu R (1989) Genome-specific repetitive sequences in the genus *Oryza*. Theor Appl Genet 78:201–209