RESEARCH

First morphometric and molecular characterization of *Fasciola* **spp. in Northwest Tunisia**

Ines Hammami^{1,2}[®] [·](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3513-9566) Lavina Ciuca³ · Maria Paola Maurelli³ · Rihab Romdhane¹ · Limam Sassi¹ · Mohamed Ridha Rjeibi^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7074-2021),4} · Nadia Farhat⁵ · Alain Kouam Simo⁶ · Laura Rinaldi³ · Mourad Rekik⁷ · Mohamed Gharbi¹

Received: 2 May 2023 / Accepted: 31 July 2023 / Published online: 29 August 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

The aim of this study was to characterize the Tunisian *Fasciola* spp. fukes by morphometric and molecular analyses. Flukes were collected from livers of sheep slaughtered in Seinane slaughterhouses (Bizerte gouvernorate, Northwest Tunisia) between January and March 2021.

Five morphometric parameters were determined for all the liver fukes, as follows: (i) total body length (BL), (ii) distance between ventral sucker and the tail (VS-T), (iii) distance between oral sucker and ventral sucker (OS-VS), (iv) abdomen diameter (AD), (v) tail diameter (TD) and the body length to width ratio (BL/BW). Molecular identifcation of the fuke specimens was carried out by polymerase chain reaction, restriction fragment polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) of a 680 bp sequence of the internal transcribes spacer 1 (ITS1) gene and by amplifcation, sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis of a 500 bp sequence of the ITS2 gene. Morphometric measurements showed that the mean of the total body length of the adult flukes was 21.1 ± 2.7 mm with minimum and maximum lengths of 13 and 31 mm, respectively. The PCR-RFLP analysis revealed a single profle consisting of three bands of approximately 370, 100, and 60 bp. *Fasciola* sequences described in the present study (GenBank numbers: OQ457027 and OQ457028) showed 99.58–100% identity to *Fasciola hepatica*. In conclusion, the results of this study show that molecular and phylogenetic analyses confrm the presence of a single species of *F. hepatica* in the Sejnane region Northwest of Tunisia. However, further studies are needed to identify the occurrence of *Fasciola* species in other Tunisian regions.

Keywords *Fasciola hepatica* · Sheep · Morphometry · PCR-RFLP · ITS1 · ITS2 · Tunisia

Introduction

Fasciolosis is a worldwide zoonosis caused by *Fasciola* trematode parasites, mainly *Fasciola hepatica* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Fasciola gigantica* (Cobbold, 1856) (Itagaki et al. [2022](#page-8-0)).

Section Editor: Robin Flynn

 \boxtimes Ines Hammami ineshammami4421@gmail.com

- ¹ Laboratoire de Parasitologie, École Nationale de Médecine Vétérinaire de Sidi Thabet, Univ Manouba, 2020 Sidi Thabet, Tunisia
- ² Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, 2092 Manar II, Tunis, Tunisia
- ³ Unit of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production, University of Naples Federico II, CREMOPAR, Via Delpino, 1, 80137 Napoli, Italy

Liver fukes primarily infect domestic ruminants, although wild herbivores and other mammals, including humans, can be also infected (Mas-Coma et al., [2009;](#page-8-1) Sabourin et al., [2018](#page-9-0); Evack et al., [2020](#page-8-2); Omar et al., [2021\)](#page-8-3). Of the two species, *F*. *hepatica* has a cosmopolitan distribution over the five continents with the exception of Antarctica (Abebe et al., [2010](#page-7-0);

- Laboratoire de Parasitologie, Institut de La Recherche Vétérinaire de Tunisie, 20 Rue de Jebel Lakdhar, La Rabta, 1006 Tunis, Tunisia
- ⁵ Circonscription de la production animale, 7010 Sejnane, Bizerte, Tunisia
- Faculté des Sciences de la Santé, Université des Montagnes, BP 208 Bangangté, Cameroon
- ⁷ International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Amman, Jordan

Admassu et al., [2015\)](#page-7-1), while *F*. *gigantica* is widespread in the tropical and subtropical regions of Africa and Asia (Robinson and John [2009\)](#page-8-4). Moreover, *F*. *hepatica* and *F*. *gigantica* could coexist in areas where the climatic and ecological conditions allow the survival intermediate hosts of both species (Mas-Coma et al., [2009;](#page-8-1) Malatji et al., [2019\)](#page-8-5). Consequently, cases of hybridization between *F*. *hepatica* and *F*. *gigantica* have been reported in areas where the geographical distribution of the two *Fasciola* species overlaps, such as in some African countries like Egypt and South Africa (Amer et al., [2011](#page-7-2); Haridwal et al., [2021\)](#page-8-6) and in Asia like Japan, Korea, China, and Vietnam (Itagaki et al., [2005a;](#page-8-7) Ichikawa and Itagaki, [2012;](#page-8-8) Anh et al., [2018](#page-7-3); Itagaki et al., [2022\)](#page-8-0). *Fasciola* hybrids result from hybridization between *Fasciola* species composed of both mixed and introgressive genotypes resulting from interspecifc mating between them (Nguyen et al., [2018;](#page-8-9) Eliza et al., [2020\)](#page-8-10).

It is important to distinguish between the two infections caused by *F*. *hepatica* and *F. gigantica*, respectively, as they have distinct pathological and epidemiological features and diferent control approaches. Distinction at the species level can be performed by morphological measurements of adult fukes based on body length and width, including the *ratio* between them (Periago et al., [2006](#page-8-11), [2008](#page-8-12); Diyana et al., [2020](#page-8-13); Shykat et al., [2022\)](#page-9-1), but this method does not allow identifcation in areas where the two species overlap due to the multiple variations in their morphological characteristics (Mas-Coma and Bargues [1997\)](#page-8-14). *Fasciola* identifcation to the species level can be performed also by molecular tools. For this purpose, diferent molecular targets have been used, mainly nucleotide sequences of the frst nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS1), second nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS2), and 28S ribosomal RNA genes (28S rRNA) (Marcilla et al., [2002;](#page-8-15) Itagaki et al., [2005a](#page-8-7); Anh et al., [2018;](#page-7-3) Evack et al., [2020;](#page-8-2) Omar et al., [2021\)](#page-8-3). Moreover, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) markers like cytochrome c oxidase 1 (cox1) and the NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1 (NAD1) genes have been developed for the phylogenetic studies and genetic variability of *Fasciola* species (Farjallah et al., [2009](#page-8-16); Gupta and Bhardwaj, [2013](#page-8-17); Bargues et al., [2017;](#page-8-18) Laatamna et al., [2021](#page-8-19); Shykat et al., [2022\)](#page-9-1). Furthermore, the coprological analyses were not able to diferentiate between the eggs of the two *Fasciola* species; however, they only allow a diagnosis at the genus level (Mas-Coma et al., [2005](#page-8-20)).

Fasciolosis is one of the most serious parasitic infections of small ruminants in Tunisia, particularly in sheep reared in the northwest and southwest regions of the country. The mean prevalence of *F. hepatica* infection in the Sejnane region (Northwest Tunisia) was 70%, 65%, and 60% in tracer lambs, ewes, and lambs, respectively (Akkari et al., [2011\)](#page-7-4), while the prevalence values were about 35% and 44% in sheep in Gafsa and Tozeur regions (southwest Tunisia), respectively (Ayadi et al., [1997;](#page-7-5) Hammami et al., [2005](#page-8-21)). Human fasciolosis appears to be under notifed and/or diagnosed in Tunisia since only 36 cases were reported between 1940 and 2005 (Hammami et al., [2005](#page-8-21)). However, there is a lack of molecular studies and genetic characterization of Tunisian liver fukes (Farjallah et al., [2009](#page-8-16); Amor et al., [2011](#page-7-6)). Moreover, morphometric characterization was never performed on Tunisian *Fasciola* populations. Therefore, the present study aimed to perform the frst morphological and molecular study on Tunisian *Fasciola* spp. population collected from sheep livers in Sejnane slaughterhouse, Northwest Tunisia, using polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis of the ITS1 and sequence analysis of ITS2.

Materials and methods

Study area and sample collection

Between January and March 2021, monitoring activities were performed at the local sheep slaughterhouse in Sejnane (Northwest Tunisia). The Sejnane district belongs to the governorate of Bizerte, and it is situated in the Northwest of Tunisia at a mean altitude of 92 m (Fig [1](#page-2-0)). This locality is characterized by a Mediterranean climate with a mean annual rainfall of 507.5 mm and a mean annual temperature ranging from 10.7 to 30 °C during winter and summer, respectively (National Institute of Meteorology, Tunisia [2021](#page-8-22)). Moreover, this slaughterhouse is the only one in the Sejnane district, which includes the three main endemic regions of fasciolosis in northern Tunisia (Sejnane, Joumine, and Bazina).

A total of 382 adult liver fukes were collected from 66 sheep slaughtered in the study period. The mean number of flukes collected from each liver was 5.78 ± 2.01 with a maximum and minimum of 2 and 24 fukes per liver, respectively. After collection, the liver flukes were thoroughly washed with sterile distilled water and preserved in 70% ethanol at room temperature until further analysis.

Fasciola **morphometric measurement**

Of the total 382 liver fukes, 335 (87.7%) were subjected to morphometric analysis, as some specimens were damaged during collection. To characterize the Tunisian *Fasciola* liver fukes, several morphometric parameters, expressed in millimeters (mm), were estimated using a stereomicroscope equipped with a calibrated ocular micrometer. Therefore, the morphometric parameters included in the study were as follows: (i) total body length (BL), (ii) distance between ventral sucker and the tail (VS-T), (iii) distance between oral sucker and ventral sucker (OS-VS), (iv) abdomen diameter

(AD), (v) tail diameter (TD) and the body length to width ratio (BL/BW) (Valero et al., [2001;](#page-9-2) Akhlaghi et al., [2017](#page-7-7); Diyana et al., [2020\)](#page-8-13) (Fig. [2,](#page-3-0) Table [1](#page-3-1)). The results are given as mean values, standard deviation (SD), and range of values (min and max) of all measured parameters.

To avoid any bias due to specimens' preservation, all morphometric measurements were carried out in the day of fukes' collection.

DNA extraction

A total of 66 liver fukes (i.e., one fuke for each liver) were selected for molecular analyses. DNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg of each fuke specimen, using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purifcation Kit (Wizard Genomics DNA Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and DNA was stored at −20 °C until analysis. The PCR was performed for each sample using a pair of primers targeting the hypervariable regions V1–V3 coding for the 18S rRNA gene to detect the presence of eukaryotic DNA and to assess its quality as described by Wang et al. [\(2014\)](#page-9-3) (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

ITS1‑PCR and RFLP analysis

PCR was performed for the *Fasciola* spp. ITS1 gene, approximately 680 bp in length, coding for the 18S-5.8S rRNA, according to the protocol of Itagaki et al. ([2005b](#page-8-23)). Briefy,

Fig. 2 Schematic representation of morphometric measurements. BL, total body length; VS-T, distance between ventral sucker and the tail; OS-VS, distance between oral sucker and ventral sucker; AD, abdomen diameter; TD, tail diameter and the body length to width ratio (BL/BW)

a total volume of 25 μl containing 1x PCR bufer, 4.5 mM $MgCl₂$, 1.0 mM of each dNTP, 0.75 U of Taq polymerase (Bioron GmbH, Germany), 10 μM of each primer (ITS1- F: TTGCGCTGATTACGTCCCTG and ITS1-R: TTGGCT GCGCTCTTCATCGAC) (Bio Basic, Canada Inc.), and 2 μL DNA sample was prepared A negative control consisting of nuclease-free water was added for each PCR run. The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 10 min, followed by 25 cycles (94; 58 and 72 °C for 90 s each) and a fnal extension at 72 °C for 10 min (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). PCR products were examined in a 2% w/v agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide at a concentration of 0.5 μg/ml, and visualized under UV light.

Fasciola species were identifed using an RFLP assay with the RsaI restriction enzyme. The reaction mixture consisted of 10 μL PCR product, $2 \mu l$ buffer, $1 \mu L$ RsaI enzyme, and distilled water for a fnal volume of 22 μL. Then, the mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 3 h (Nangru et al. [2022](#page-8-24)). The RFLP products were electrophorized in a 2.5% w/v agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide at a concentration of 0.5 μg/mL, and then visualized under UV light. ITS1 profles allowing species identifcation were obtained from the study by Ichikawa and Itagaki [\(2010](#page-8-25)).

ITS2 amplifcation and phylogenetic analysis

The genetic diversity of Tunisian *Fasciola* specimens was investigated by amplifying approximately a 500 bp of the ITS2 gene coding for the 5.8S and 28S rRNA according to the protocol of Itagaki et al. ([2005a](#page-8-7)). The reaction volume consisted of 12.5 μl master mix (Takara, France), 6.25 pM of each primer (ITS2-F: TGTGTCGATGAAGAGCGCAG and ITS2-R: TGGTTAGTTTCTTTTCCTCCGC) (Eurofins,

Table 1 Morphometric data (mean, SD, and range values) of *Fasciola* fukes isolated from sheep in Tunisia compared to those of *F. hepatica* in Bolivia and Iran and *Fasciola gigantica* in Iran

	Mean in mm \pm SD (range)				
Morphometric parameters	Fasciola spp. (Sheep, Tunisia) (Current study)	Fasciola hepatica (Sheep, Bolivia) (Valero et al. 2001)	Fasciola hepatica (Sheep, Iran) (Yakhchali et al. 2015)	Fasciola gigantica (Sheep, Iran) (Yakhchali et al. 2015)	
Total body fluke length (BL)	21.1 ± 2.7 $(13-31)$	16.10 ± 4.80 $(4.90 - 31.11)$	21.1 ± 2	34.1 ± 4	
Abdomen diameter (AD)	10.14 ± 3.04 $(2-18)$	7.11 ± 2.27 $(1.58 - 12.55)$	12 ± 1.5	9 ± 0.1	
Ventral sucker to tail length (VS-T)	18.5 ± 2.6 $(11-27)$	$13.03 + 4.45$ $(3.16 - 27.39)$	$19 + 1.8$	23.7 ± 4.2	
Oral to ventral sucker length (OS-VS)	$2.6 + 0.7$ $(1-9)$	$1.51 + 0.31$ $(0.06 - 2.56)$	2.8 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 0.3	
Tail diameter (TD)	6 ± 2.22 $(2-12)$	NA.	NA	NA	
Body length to width ratio (BL/BW)	2.32 ± 0.96 $(1.07 - 5.75)$	2.33 ± 0.44 $(1.33 - 4.17)$	$(1.46 - 2.09)$	$(3.77 - 6.28)$	

SD standard deviation, *NA* not available

Table 2 *Fasciola* spp. PCR primers and conditions

	Target DNA Primer name sequence $(5'–3')$	Amplicon size (bp)	Amplification cycle	Reference
18S	1A: AAC CTG GTT GAT CCT GCC AGT 564R: GGC ACC AGA CTT GCC CTC	700	Initial denaturation: 5 min at 94 $^{\circ}$ C Amplification: 25 cycles at 94; 59 and 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 50 s each Final extension: 72 °C for 10 min	Wang et al. (2014)
ITS ₁	ITS1-F: TTGCGCTGATTACGTCCCTG ITS1-R: TTGGCTGCGCTCTTCATCGAC	680	Initial denaturation: 10 min at 94 $^{\circ}$ C Amplification: 25 cycles at 94; 58 and 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 90 s each Final extension: 72° C for 10 min	(Itagaki et al. 2005b)
ITS ₂	ITS2-F: TGTGTCGATGAAGAGCGCAG ITS2-R: TGGTTAGTTTCTTTTCCTCCGC	500	Initial denaturation: 10 min at 95 $^{\circ}$ C Amplification: 35 cycles at 94 \degree C for 60 s, 53 \degree C for 90 s, and 72 \degree C for 60 s Final extension: at 72° C for 10 min	(Itagaki et al. 2005a)

Germany), 5 μl of DNA, and distilled water to a volume of 25 μL. The amplifcation conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles (94 °C at 60 s, 53 °C at 90 s, and 72 °C at 60 s) and a fnal extension at 72 °C for 10 min (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). PCR products were electrophorized in a 1% w/v agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide at a concentration of 0.5 μg/ml, and visualized under UV light.

All amplicons were purifed using the Zymo Research DNA Clean & Concentration clean up kit (Genomics DNA Clean & Concentrator®, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced in the forward and reverse directions with the same primers used for amplifcation. The chromatograms were evaluated and analyzed with 4peaks software (version 1.8). Multiple sequence alignment was performed using MEGA XI software (version 11.0.11) (Kumar et al., [2018](#page-8-26)). The NCBI Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to evaluate the level of identity with other reported sequences deposited in the Gen-Bank database (Altschul et al. [1990](#page-7-8)). Phylogenetic trees of Tunisian *Fasciola* spp. were constructed using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei [1987](#page-9-5)) implemented in the software MEGA XI following 1000 bootstrap replications. Moreover, *F. gigantica* (GenBank accession number DQ385828) was used as an outgroup root.

Results

Fluke's morphometric measurement

The morphometric characteristics of the isolated fukes from sheep are summarized in Table [1](#page-3-1). The results showed that the mean of the total body length of the adult fukes was 21.1 ± 2.7 mm and the mean ratio length/width of the fluke body was 2.32 ± 0.96 mm. Moreover, the morphometric assessment revealed that the mean of abdomen diameter and the tail diameter of the *Fasciola* specimens were 10.14 ± 3.04 mm and 6 ± 2.22 mm, respectively. The morphological parameters of the adult *Fasciola* specimens isolated from sheep in Tunisia did not difer from those of *F. hepatica* isolated from sheep in Bolivia and Iran (Table [1\)](#page-3-1).

ITS1‑PCR and RFLP analysis

In ITS1-PCR amplifcation, all samples produced an amplicon of approximately 680 bp, indicating that they belong to the *Fasciola* genus. The negative control did not produce bands in any of the PCR reactions. The RFLP results generated a single profle consisting of three bands of approximately 370, 100, and 60 bp indicating that the amplicons belong to *F. hepatica* (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)). Neither *F*. *gigantica* nor an intermediate RFLP pattern was detected in all digested amplicons. Therefore, all specimens collected from sheep livers in the current study belonged to *F. hepatica.*

Fig. 3 RFLP pattern of ITS1-PCR products after digestion with RsaI enzyme. Lane L: 100 bp DNA ladder; lanes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5: *F*a*sciola hepatica*

ITS2 amplifcation and phylogenetic analysis

The sequencing of 66 ITS2 PCR amplicon from Tunisian *Fasciola* spp. confrmed the presence of *F*. *hepatica* in sheep livers in the Sejnane region (Northwest Tunisia). Sequence alignment of the amplicons (approximately 500 bp in length) revealed two distinct variants (GenBank accession numbers OQ457027 and OQ457028). Both variants have two nucleotide diferences at positions 15 (frst variant) and 16 (second variant) (T/G and G/A, respectively), with 99.79% similarity between them. Of the 66 aligned sequences, 63.64% $(42/66)$ belongs to the first variant and 36.36% $(24/66)$ to the second variant. These results show that the frst variant is more abundant than the second in the Tunisian *F. hepatica* specimens.

Phylogenetic trees of *F*. *hepatica* were constructed using the ITS2 rDNA gene sequence of our amplicons and those published in GenBank. Our *F. hepatica* amplicons showed 99.58–100% identity with the amplicons published in Gen-Bank. The sequences of the two amplicons obtained in the present study fall into the same clade as amplicons from North Africa (Egypt and Libya), Asia (Japan, Vietnam, India, and Iran), Australia, and slightly distantly from amplicons from Switzerland and Iran. Our variants are clearly distinct from other *Fasciola* species such as *F*. *gigantica* (GenBank DQ385828) in sheep in Egypt (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)).

Discussion

The present study provides new insights into the liver fuke (*Fasciola* spp.) population infecting sheep in the Sejnane region (Northwest Tunisia). To our knowledge, this is the frst morphometric study of adult liver fukes isolated from sheep in Tunisia, complemented by molecular characterization of the ITS1 gene (PCR-RFLP) and phylogenetic analysis based on the ITS2 gene.

The morphometric measurements showed that the mean body length of Tunisian *Fasciola* spp. was 21.1 ± 2.7 mm, with minimum and maximum lengths of 13 and 31 mm, respectively. The mean abdomen diameter of the fukes was 10.14 ± 3.04 mm, ranging from 2 to 18 mm. These results are consistent with those obtained in other studies conducted on *F*. *hepatica* from sheep in Bolivia and Iran. The mean

length of *F. hepatica* was 16.10 ± 4.80 mm, and its mean width was 7.11 ± 2.27 mm in Bolivia (Valero et al., [2001](#page-9-2)), whereas *F*. *hepatica* measured approximately 21.1 ± 2 mm in length and 12 ± 1.5 mm in width in Iran (Yakhchali et al., [2015](#page-9-4))*.* Moreover, *F*. *gigantica* isolated from the same host species in Iran measured 34.1 \pm 4 mm in length and 9 \pm 0.1 mm in width, respectively (Yakhchali et al., [2015\)](#page-9-4). Other studies throughout the world described the morphology of *F*. *hepatica* isolated from other diferent species such as cattle, bufaloes, and pigs (Valero et al., [2001;](#page-9-2) Diyana et al., [2020;](#page-8-13) Sumruayphol et al., [2020;](#page-9-6) Haridwal et al., [2021;](#page-8-6) Abdel-Fatah et al., [2022](#page-7-9)). On the other hand, they compared the morphology of *F*. *hepatica* and *F*. *gigantica* isolated from the same host species and found that the latter was longer and narrower than *F*. *hepatica* (Valero et al., [2001](#page-9-2); El-Rahimy et al., [2012](#page-8-27); Amer et al., [2016;](#page-7-10) Akhlaghi et al., [2017](#page-7-7); Diyana et al., [2020;](#page-8-13) Sumruayphol et al., [2020](#page-9-6); Shykat et al., [2022](#page-9-1)). Similarly, previous studies based on morphometric diferences between the two *Fasciola* species have shown that the average distance between the posterior testis and the posterior border of the body was shorter in *F*. *hepatica* than in *F*. *gigantica* (Bergeon and Laurent [1970;](#page-8-28) Sahba et al., [1972](#page-9-7)). Similarly, Mas-Coma and Bargues ([1997\)](#page-8-14) have shown that the shoulders of *F. hepatica* are more developed, the cephalic cone is longer, and the caeca are less branched than in *F*. *gigantica*. Moreover, Dar et al. [\(2003\)](#page-8-29) showed that the rediae of *F*. *gigantica* developing in *Radix natalensis* and *Galba truncatula* are morphologically diferent from those of *F. hepatica*. Morphological measurements are a simple tool for discriminating *F*. *hepatica* and *F*. *gigantica* in areas with low occurrence and without intermediate forms. In contrast, morphometric assessments are unable to discriminate between the two liver fuke species in areas (e.g., Egypt, Japan, Korea, Vietnam, China) where the presence of co-infection with both *Fasciola* species and their intermediate forms has already been confrmed (Itagaki et al., [2005a,](#page-8-7) [2005b;](#page-8-23) Ichikawa and Itagaki, [2012;](#page-8-8) Anh et al., [2018](#page-7-3); Itagaki et al., [2022](#page-8-0)). Furthermore, the morphometric characteristics of adult *Fasciola* spp. and eggs have been shown to be critically infuenced by the defnitive host species (Valero et al., [2001\)](#page-9-2), thus confrming the limitations of morphometric analyses in discriminating *Fasciola* species.

The PCR-RFLP analysis of Tunisian *Fasciola* spp. of the ITS1 gene using the restriction enzyme RsaI revealed a unique profle consisting of three bands of approximately 370, 100, and 60 bp, thus indicating that the fuke samples belonged to the *F*. *hepatica* species. Moreover, neither *F*. *gigantica* nor intermediate RFLP patterns were detected in all digested amplicons The same profle of *F. hepatica* that we obtained (360, 100, and 60 bp) in the present study was also found in other studies in diferent countries around the world (Ichikawa and Itagaki [2010;](#page-8-25) Itagaki et al. [2011](#page-8-30); Diyana et al. [2020](#page-8-13); Hasanpour et al. [2020](#page-8-31)). On the other hand, *F*. *gigantica* yielded RFLP patterns of approximately 370, 170, and 60 bp using the same technique and restriction enzyme (Ichikawa and Itagaki, [2010](#page-8-25); Itagaki et al., [2011](#page-8-30); Ichikawa and Itagaki, [2012](#page-8-8); Anh et al., [2018](#page-7-3); Diyana et al., [2020](#page-8-13); Hasanpour et al., [2020\)](#page-8-31). It is noteworthy that an intermediate form has been detected by this technique in Japan, Korea, and Vietnam giving a profle consisting of four bands of approximately 370, 170, 100, and 60 bp (Itagaki et al., [2005b;](#page-8-23) Ichikawa and Itagaki, [2012](#page-8-8); Anh et al., [2018](#page-7-3)). Other studies used a PCR-RFLP assay based on the partial rDNA of ITS1 and diferent restriction enzymes from RsaI to diferentiate and identify *Fasciola* species such as AvaII and DraII, while the latter has no restriction sites in *F*. *gigantica* amplicons (Marcilla et al., [2002](#page-8-15); El-Rahimy et al., [2012](#page-8-27); Yakhchali et al., [2015](#page-9-4)). Molecular approaches based on DNA analyses are useful tools for the identifcation and genetic characterization of parasites with similar morphology. Therefore, various molecular targets, primarily deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequences of the ITS1, ITS2, and 28S rRNA genes, have been used to diferentiate *F*. *hepatica* from *F*. *gigantica* (Adlard et al., [1993](#page-7-11); Marcilla et al., [2002](#page-8-15); Itagaki et al., [2005a;](#page-8-7) Anh et al., [2018](#page-7-3); Evack et al., [2020](#page-8-2); Omar et al., [2021\)](#page-8-3). In addition, RFLP of amplifed DNA is a suitable method for diferentiating *Fasciola* ITS1, using various restriction enzymes such as RsaI, AvaII, and DraII, while the PCR-RFLP method relies on patterns produced by the efects of endonucleases on ITS genes to identify *Fasciola* species (Ichikawa and Itagaki, [2010](#page-8-25); Dar et al., [2012](#page-8-32); Yakhchali et al., [2015;](#page-9-4) Anh et al., [2018](#page-7-3); Diyana et al., [2020](#page-8-13); Hasanpour et al., [2020](#page-8-31)).

The presence of *F. hepatica* in the Sejnane region of northwestern Tunisia was confrmed by sequencing of the ITS2 amplicon. The analysis revealed two distinct variants (GenBank accession numbers OQ457027 and OQ457028) with a similarity of 99.79%. This diference between the two variants could not be related to the host species or the geographical origin of the samples, as they are the same (Alasaad et al., [2007](#page-7-12)). However, this variation could be explained by the movements of *Fasciola*-infected animals in diferent regions of Tunisia or even by the introduction of infected animals imported from abroad (Schwantes et al., [2020](#page-9-8)). These results would require the sequencing of samples isolated from other host species and/or other regions in order to determine whether there is *Fasciola* species diversity in Tunisia.

The two sequences obtained in the present study clustered to those from Africa, Asia, and Australia, sharing high homology (99.58–100%) with amplicons from other regions and hosts deposited in GenBank. Our amplicons showed 99.6–100% identity with others isolated from sheep in Libya, India, and Australia (GenBank accession numbers: MT025436, MH048706, MF678650, respectively), from goats in Egypt (GenBank accession number:

MT423007), and from cattle in Japan, Egypt, Vietnam, and Iran (GenBank accession numbers: LC056929, AB510492, MN970007, KF866250, respectively).

The two variants difered slightly (99.58% identity) in cattle isolates from Switzerland and in sheep isolate from Iran (GenBank accession numbers: MK321602 and HQ199841, respectively). However, this study confrms that the amplicons were distinct from other *Fasciola* species such as *F*. *gigantica* in sheep from Egypt (GenBank accession number: DQ385828).

The molecular identity of Tunisian *F. hepatica* with other *F. hepatica* isolates from other countries bordering Tunisia could be explained by a genetic mixing between *Fasciola* ecotypes due to intensive international livestock trade and passive displacement of intermediate hosts.

Conclusion

The results from PCR-RFLP showed a unique profile consistent with that of *F. hepatica*, indicating that this technique is efective in diferentiating the two species, *F. hepatica* and *F. gigantica*. Molecular analyses were in line with morphometric and phylogenetic analyses, indicating the presence of a single species of *F. hepatica* in the Sejnane region of northwestern Tunisia. Our results will be useful to support molecular profling and comparison with other *Fasciola* specimens from diferent regions of Tunisia and other North Africa countries.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the staff of the slaughterhouse of the Sejnane region (Tunisia) for their support for sampling. The authors would also like to thank the PREPARE4VBD project (European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant agreement no. 101000365) for supporting molecular analysis. Thanks also to the Mediterranean and Middle East Universities Network Agreement (MUNA) for collaboration in networking.

Author contributions Ines Hammami: investigation, methodology, data analyses, writing—original draft. Lavina Ciuca: methodology, writing—review and editing. Maria Paola Maurelli: methodology, writing—review and editing. Rihab Romdhane: investigation. Limam Sassi: investigation. Mohamed Ridha Rjeibi: methodology. Nadia Farhat: investigation. Alain Kouam Simo: investigation, methodology. Laura Rinaldi: funding acquisition, writing—review and editing. Mourad Rekik: funding acquisition. Mohamed Gharbi: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition, writing—review and editing.

Funding This work was supported by the Laboratoire d'Épidémiologie des Infections Enzootiques des Herbivores en Tunisie: Application à la Lutte (Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifque, Tunisia) (LR16AGR01), the CGIAR Research Program on Livestock (CRP Livestock), and the Unit of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production, University of Naples Federico II.

Data availability The data that support the fndings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval The present study was performed in a certifed slaughterhouse under the supervision of an officially certified veterinarian by the Tunisian state.

Consent to participate Informed consent was obtained from the slaughterhouse staff prior to sampling the *Fasciola* flukes.

Consent for publication All authors read and consent to the publication of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abdel-Fatah OR, Arafa WM, Wahba AA, El-Dakhly KM (2022) Economic losses, morpho-molecular identifcation, and identity of Fasciola species recovered from Egypt. J Parasit Dis 46:1036– 1046. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-022-01526-x>
- Abebe R, Abunna F, Mekuria S, Megersa B (2010) Fasciolosis: prevalence, fnancial losses due to liver condemnation and evaluation of a simple sedimen- tation diagnostic technique in cattle slaughtered at Hawassa Municipal abattoir, southern Ethiopia. Ethiop Vet J 14(1):39–51. <https://doi.org/10.4314/evj.v14i1.63868>
- Adlard RD, Barker SC, Blair D, Cribb TH (1993) Comparison of the second internal transcribed spacer (Ribosomal DNA) from populations and species of fasciolidae (Digenea). Int J Parasitol 23:423–425. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519\(93\)90022-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519(93)90022-Q)
- Admassu B, Shite A, Kinfe G (2015) A review on bovine fasciolosis, vol 7, pp 139–146.<https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ejbs.2015.7.03.9558>
- Akhlaghi E, Mohammadi MA, Ziaali N et al (2017) Morphometric and molecular study of Fasciola isolates from ruminants in Iran. Turkiye parazitolojii Derg 41:192–197. [https://doi.org/10.5152/](https://doi.org/10.5152/tpd.2017.5214) [tpd.2017.5214](https://doi.org/10.5152/tpd.2017.5214)
- Akkari H, Gharbi M, Darghouth MA (2011) Infestation of tracer lambs by Fasciola hepatica in Tunisia : determining periods for strategic anthelmintic treatments. OIE Rev Sci Tech 30:917–929
- Alasaad S, Huang CQ, Li QY et al (2007) Characterization of Fasciola samples from diferent host species and geographical localities in Spain by sequences of internal transcribed spacers of rDNA. Parasitol Res 101:1245–1250.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-007-0628-2>
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W et al (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2) [S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
- Amer S, Dar Y, Ichikawa M et al (2011) Parasitology International Identi fi cation of Fasciola species isolated from Egypt based on sequence analysis of genomic (ITS1 and ITS2) and mitochondrial (NDI and COI) gene markers. Parasitol Int 60:5–12. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2010.09.003) [org/10.1016/j.parint.2010.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2010.09.003)
- Amer S, ElKhatam A, Zidan S et al (2016) Identity of Fasciola spp. in sheep in Egypt. Parasites and Vectors 9(1):8. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1898-2) [1186/s13071-016-1898-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1898-2)
- Amor N, Farjallah S, Said K, Ben SB (2011) First report of Fasciola hepatica in Equus caballus host species from Tunisia based on the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer regions. Turkish J Vet Anim Sci 35:319–324.<https://doi.org/10.3906/vet-1007-391>
- Anh DN, Anh LT, Tuan LQ et al (2018) Identifcation of Fasciola species isolates from Nghe An Province, Vietnam, based on ITS1 sequence of ribosomal DNA using a Simple PCR-RFLP method. J Parasitol Res 2018:1–6.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2958026>
- Ayadi A, Makni F, Ben Said M (1997) État actuel de la fasciolose en Tunisie. Bull la Société Française Parasitol 15:27–32
- Bargues MD, Gayo V, Sanchis J et al (2017) DNA multigene characterization of Fasciola hepatica and Lymnaea neotropica and its fascioliasis transmission capacity in Uruguay, with historical correlation, human report review and infection risk analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11(2):e0005352
- Bergeon P, Laurent M (1970) Diférences entre la morphologie testiculaire de Fasciola hepatica et Fasciola gigantica. Rev d'élevage médecine vétérinaire des pays Trop 23:223. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.19182/remvt.7700) [19182/remvt.7700](https://doi.org/10.19182/remvt.7700)
- Dar Y, Amer S, Mercier A et al (2012) Molecular identifcation of Fasciola spp. (Digenea: Fasciolidae) in Egypt. Parasite 19:177–182. <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2012192177>
- Dar Y, Vignoles P, Dreyfuss G, Rondelaud D (2003) Fasciola hepatica and Fasciola gigantica: comparative morphometric studies on the redial stage of both species. Parasitol Res 91:369–373. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-003-0966-7) [org/10.1007/s00436-003-0966-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-003-0966-7)
- Diyana JNA, Mahiza MIN, Latifah H et al (2020) Occurrence, morphometric, and molecular investigation of cattle and bufalo liver adult fuke in Peninsular Malaysia main abattoirs. J Parasitol Res 2020:9–14.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5436846>
- El-Rahimy HH, Mahgoub AMA, El-Gebaly NSM et al (2012) Molecular, biochemical, and morphometric characterization of Fasciola species potentially causing zoonotic disease in Egypt. Parasitol Res 111:1103–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-012-2938-2>
- Eliza N, Calvani D, Ichikawa-seki M et al (2020) Which species is in the faeces at a time of global livestock movements : single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping assays for the diferentiation of Fasciola spp . q. Int J Parasitol. 50(2):91–101. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.12.002) [1016/j.ijpara.2019.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.12.002)
- Evack JG, Schmidt RS, Boltryk SD et al (2020) Molecular confrmation of a Fasciola gigantica × Fasciola hepatica hybrid in a Chadian bovine. J Parasitol 106(2):316–322.<https://doi.org/10.1645/19-66>
- Farjallah S, Sanna D, Amor N et al (2009) Genetic characterization of Fasciola hepatica from Tunisia and Algeria based on mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. Parasitol Res 105:1617–1621. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-009-1601-z>
- Gupta A, Bhardwaj A (2013) Mitochondrial DNA- a tool for phylogenetic and biodiversity search in equines. J Biodivers Endanger Species 01:1–8.<https://doi.org/10.4172/2332-2543.s1-006>
- Hammami H, Hamed N, Ayadi A (2005) Epidemiological studies on Fasciola hepatica in Gafsa oases (South West of Tunisia). Parasite 14:261–264
- Haridwal S, Malatji MP, Mukaratirwa S (2021) Food and Waterborne Parasitology Morphological and molecular characterization of Fasciola hepatica and Fasciola gigantica phenotypes from coendemic localities in Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal provinces of South Africa. Food Waterborne Parasitol 22:e00114. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2021.e00114) doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2021.e00114
- Hasanpour H, Falak R, Naddaf SR et al (2020) Molecular characterization of fasciola spp. From some parts of Iran. Iran J Public Health 49:157–166.<https://doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v49i1.3062>
- Ichikawa M, Itagaki T (2012) Molecular analysis of aspermic Fasciola fukes from Korea on the basis of the nuclear ITS1 region and mitochondrial DNA markers and comparison with Japanese aspermic Fasciola fukes. J Vet Med Sci 74:899–904. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.11-0523) [org/10.1292/jvms.11-0523](https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.11-0523)
- Ichikawa M, Itagaki T (2010) Discrimination of the ITS1 types of Fasciola spp. based on a PCR-RFLP method. Parasitol Res 106:757– 761.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-1724-2>
- Itagaki T, Hayashi K, Ohari Y (2022) The causative agents of fascioliasis in animals and humans: parthenogenetic Fasciola in Asia and other regions. Infect Genet Evol 99:105248. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2022.105248) [10.1016/j.meegid.2022.105248](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2022.105248)
- Itagaki T, Ichinomiya M, Fukuda K et al (2011) Hybridization experiments indicate incomplete reproductive isolating mechanism

between Fasciola hepatica and Fasciola gigantica. Parasitology 138:1278–1284.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182011000965>

- Itagaki T, Kikawa M, Sakaguchi K et al (2005a) Genetic characterization of parthenogenic Fasciola sp. in Japan on the basis of the sequences of ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA. Parasitology 131:679–685. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182005008292>
- Itagaki T, Kikawa M, Terasaki K et al (2005b) Molecular characterization of parthenogenic Fasciola sp. in Korea on the basis of DNA sequences of ribosomal ITS1 and mitochondrial NDI gene. J Vet Med Sci 67:1115–1118. <https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.67.1115>
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M et al (2018) MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549.<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>
- Laatamna AE, Tashiro M, Zokbi Z et al (2021) Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of Fasciola hepatica from high- plateau and steppe areas in Algeria. Parasitol Int 80:102234. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2020.102234) doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2020.102234
- Malatji MP, Pfukenyi DM, Mukaratirwa S (2019) Fasciola species and their vertebrate and snail intermediate hosts in East and Southern Africa : a review. J Helminthol 94:1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X19000531) [S0022149X19000531](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X19000531)
- Marcilla A, Bargues MD, Mas-Coma S (2002) A PCR-RFLP assay for the distinction between Fasciola hepatica and Fasciola gigantica. Mol Cell Probes 16:327–333.<https://doi.org/10.1006/mcpr.2002.0429>
- Mas-Coma S, Bargues MD (1997) Human liver fukes: a review. Res Rev Parasitol Parasitol 57:145–218
- Mas-Coma S, Bargues MD, Valero MA (2005) Fascioliasis and other plant-borne trematode zoonoses. Int J Parasitol 35:1255–1278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.07.010>
- Mas-Coma S, Valero MA, Bargues MD (2009) Chapter 2 Fasciola, lymnaeids and human fascioliasis, with a global overview on disease transmission, epidemiology, evolutionary genetics, molecular epidemiology and control. Adv Parasitol 69:41–146. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(09)69002-3) [org/10.1016/S0065-308X\(09\)69002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(09)69002-3)
- Nangru A, Maharana B, Vohra S, Kumar B (2022) Molecular identifcation of Theileria species in naturally infected sheep using nested PCR–RFLP. Parasitol Res 121(5):1487–1497. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-022-07489-5) [1007/s00436-022-07489-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-022-07489-5)
- National Institute of Meteorology, Tunisia 2021. [https://www.meteo.tn/](https://www.meteo.tn/fr/institut-national-de-la-meteorologie) [fr/institut-national-de-la-meteorologie](https://www.meteo.tn/fr/institut-national-de-la-meteorologie). Consulted on March 2023
- Nguyen TBN, Van DN, Nguyen TKL et al (2018) Distribution status of hybrid types in large liver fukes , Fasciola species (Digenea :Fasciolidae), from ruminants and humans in Vietnam. Korean J Parasitol 56:453–461.<https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2018.56.5.453>
- Omar MA, Elmajdoub LO, Ali AO et al (2021) Original paper Genetic characterization and phylogenetic analysis of Fasciola species based on ITS2 gene sequence , with frst molecular evidence of intermediate Fasciola from water bufaloes in Aswan. Egypt. Ann Parasitol 67(1):55–65.<https://doi.org/10.17420/ap6701.312>
- Periago MV, Valero MA, El Sayed M et al (2008) First phenotypic description of Fasciola hepatica/Fasciola gigantica intermediate forms from the human endemic area of the Nile Delta. Egypt. Infect Genet Evol 8:51–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2007.10.001) [10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2007.10.001)
- Periago MV, Valero MA, Panova M, Mas-Coma S (2006) Phenotypic comparison of allopatric populations of Fasciola hepatica and Fasciola gigantica from European and African bovines using a computer image analysis system (CIAS). Parasitol Res 99:368–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-006-0174-3>
- Robinson MW, John P (2009) Zoonotic helminth infections with particular emphasis on fasciolosis and other trematodiases. Philos Trans R Soc Lond., B, Biol Sci 364:2763–2776. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0089) [10.1098/rstb.2009.0089](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0089)
- Sabourin E, Alda P, Vázquez A et al (2018) Impact of human activities on fasciolosis transmission. Trends Parasitol 34(10):891–903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.08.004>
- Sahba GH, Arfaa F, Farahmandian I, Jalali H (1972) Animal fascioliasis in Khuzestan, southwestern Iran. J Parasitol 58:712–716. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3278298>
- Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454>
- Schwantes JB, Quevedo P, D'Avila MF et al (2020) Fasciola hepatica in Brazil: genetic diversity provides insights into its origin and geographic dispersion. J Helminthol 94:1–7. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X19000774) [1017/S0022149X19000774](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X19000774)
- Shykat CA, Islam S, Ahmed F et al (2022) Current status of Fasciolosis of goat in Sylhet, Bangladesh: an integrated morphomolecular study. J Parasitol Res 2022:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6159388>
- Sumruayphol S, Siribat P, Dujardin JP et al (2020) Fasciola gigantica, F. Hepatica and Fasciola intermediate forms: geometric morphometrics and an artifcial neural network to help morphological identifcation. PeerJ 8:e8597. [https://doi.org/10.7717/](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8597) [peerj.8597](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8597)
- Valero MA, Darce NA, Panova M, Mas-Coma S (2001) Relationships between host species and morphometric patterns in Fasciola hepatica adults and eggs from the northern Bolivian Altiplano

hyperendemic region. Vet Parasitol 102:85–100. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(01)00499-X) [10.1016/S0304-4017\(01\)00499-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(01)00499-X)

- Wang Y, Tian RM, Gao ZM et al (2014) Optimal eukaryotic 18S and universal 16S/18S ribosomal RNA primers and their application in a study of symbiosis. PLoS One 9:e90053. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090053) [1371/journal.pone.0090053](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090053)
- Yakhchali M, Malekzadeh-Viayeh R, Mani-Baran A, Mardani K (2015) Morphological and molecular discrimination of fasciola species isolated from domestic ruminants of urmia city, iran. Iran J Parasitol 10:46–55

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.