#### **RESEARCH**



# **Comparison of mitochondrial genetic variation of** *Taenia hydatigena* **cysticerci from China and Mongolia**

Sayed Ajmal Qurishi<sup>1,2</sup> · Hong-Bin Yan<sup>1</sup> · Li Li<sup>1</sup> · John Aeskhaen Ohiolei<sup>1</sup> · Mughees Aizaz Alvi<sup>1,3</sup> · Lin-Sheng Zhang<sup>1</sup> · Ha Da<sup>4</sup> · Hong-Mei Qiao<sup>5</sup> · Nigus Abebe Shumuye<sup>1</sup> · Bao Hua<sup>4</sup> · Bing-Xin Bai<sup>4</sup> · Wen-Jun Tian<sup>1</sup> · Ju-Mei Xu<sup>5</sup> · **Bao‑Quan Fu<sup>1</sup> · Wan‑Zhong Jia1**

Received: 12 May 2022 / Accepted: 12 September 2022 / Published online: 23 September 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

#### **Abstract**

Parasitic infection is one of the many challenges facing livestock production globally. *Cysticercosis tenuicollis* is a common parasitic disease in domestic and wild ruminants (intermediate host) caused by the larval stage of *Taenia hydatigena* that primarily infects dogs (defnitive host). Although genetic studies on this parasite exist, only a few describe the genetic variation of this parasite in Mongolia. Our aim was thus, to identify the mitochondrial diferences in ovine isolates of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* entering China from Mongolia and comparison with existing Chinese isolates from sheep and goats based on the recently described PCR–RFLP method and mitochondrial genes of NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4 (*nad*4) and the NADH dehydrogenase subunit 5 (*nad*5). Sixty-nine isolates were collected during routine veterinary meat inspections from sheep that originated from Mongolia, at the modern slaughterhouses in Erenhot City, Inner Mongolia. Additional 114 cysticerci were also retrieved from sheep and goats from northern (Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, and Gansu Province), western (Tibet Autonomous Region), and southern (Jiangxi Province and Guangxi Province) China. The PCR–RFLP approach of the *nad*5 showed nine mitochondrial subclusters A1, A2, A3, A5, A8, A9, A10, A11, and B of *T. hydatigena* isolates from sheep and goats from Mongolia and China. Meanwhile, haplogroup A1 RFLP profle was more widespread than other variants. These data supplements existing information on the molecular epidemiology of *T. hydatigena* in China and Mongolia and demonstrate the occurrence of similar genetic population structures in both countries.

**Keywords** *Cysticercus tenuicollis* · Genetic diversity · China · Mongolia · *Nad*4+*nad*5 · PCR–RFLP

# **Introduction**

The genus *Taenia* belongs to the class Cestoda, the order Cyclophyllidea, and the family Taeniidae. Members of this genus infect carnivores and humans while their larval stages occur in a wide variety of intermediate hosts causing cysticercosis and coenurosis (Nguyen et al. [2016](#page-10-0)). Carnivores (primarily dogs) are the fnal hosts for *T. hydatigena*; meanwhile, wild and domestic ruminants act as intermediate hosts (Omar et al. [2016](#page-10-1)). The infection caused by the larval stages is of veterinary and medical importance with signifcant economic losses in undeveloped countries (Scala et al. [2015;](#page-10-2) Shamsaddini et al. [2017](#page-10-3)).

Handling Editor: Una Ryan

 $\boxtimes$  Wan-Zhong Jia jiawanzhong@caas.cn

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

*Taenia hydatigena* infection in wild carnivores has been reported in 17 provinces of Mongolia. For the parasites to complete the life cycle, ecological regions, the existence of wild carnivores, and the behavior of local herders all play important roles. The number of livestock in Mongolia has reached 66.5 million consisting primarily of goats and sheep, followed by horses, cattle, and camels. Most herders in rural areas of Mongolia own animals, which are kept in common open pasturages (Ulziijargal et al. [2020](#page-10-4)). Slaughter of livestock for sale or personal consumption without veterinary inspection is common in Mongolia, especially in rural regions, and this action can promote the transmission of *Taenia* species (Davaasuren et al. [2014](#page-9-0)). The prevalence of *T. hydatigena* in Mongolia according to copro-DNA analysis of the *cox*1 and 12S rRNA nuclear genes reaches about 61.3% in dogs, 19.9% in wolves, 13.8% in red foxes, 4.8% in corsac foxes, and 7.5% in snow leopards (Ulziijargal et al. [2020](#page-10-4)). *Cysticercus tenuicollis* has also been reported in 30%

of goats in the forest-steppe zone (Sharkhuu [2001\)](#page-10-5). *Cysticercus tenuicollis* have also been detected in Mongolian cattle, yaks, sheep, roe deer, and ibex (Sharhuu and Sharkhuu [2004](#page-10-6); Tazieva et al. [1981;](#page-10-7) Temuujin et al. [2022\)](#page-10-8).

In more than 20 provinces of western and north-western areas of China, where livestock husbandry is relatively developed, *Cysticercus tenuicollis* is mainly an enzootic disease. The rates of *C. tenuicollis* infection in sheep difer by province (Li et al. [2013;](#page-10-9) Zhang et al. [2018\)](#page-10-10). The prevalence of *C. tenuicollis* was as high as 46.94%, 62.77%, and 43.93% in goats, sheep, and pigs, respectively, in the Tibet Autonomous Region of China (Luo et al. [2017](#page-10-11); Xia et al. [2014\)](#page-10-12).

Molecular characterization and description of the genetic population structure of *C. tenuicollis* in sheep, camels, goats, pigs, deer, and wild ungulates (wild boar and wolves) are available in several countries (Abbas et al. [2021](#page-9-1); Alvi et al. [2020](#page-9-2); Boufana et al. [2015;](#page-9-3) Cengiz et al. [2019](#page-9-4); Filip et al. [2019](#page-10-13); Luo et al. [2017;](#page-10-11) Omar et al. [2016\)](#page-10-1). In a previous report, analyses of the complete mitochondrial demonstrated two major ancestors of *T. hydatigena*, namely, A and B. Moreover, a signifcant degree of genetic variation was also reported among *T. hydatigena* found in Asian and African countries (China, Nigeria, Pakistan, and Sudan) (Ohiolei et al. [2021a,](#page-10-14) [2021b\)](#page-10-15).

Although the distribution and prevalence of *T. hydatigena* have been widely investigated in fnal and intermediate hosts in Mongolia, the detailed genetic structure/variation requires detailed study since it shares a large land border with China with frequent animal movement. For China, while information is relatively available, additional and comparative studies with isolates from other countries will provide robust data for understanding the parasite transmission pattern and genetic population structure. Phylogenetic network analysis and the recent PCR–RFLP assay were thus applied to assess the mitochondrial genetic population structure of *T. hydatigena* metacestodes originating from Mongolia and China.

### **Methods**

#### **Study area and sample collection**

Mongolia is a country in East and Central Asia, bordering the People's Republic of China and the Russian Federation with a population of 2.7 million as of 2011. About 40% of the rural population are nomadic or semi-nomadic herdsmen; thus, the livestock sector consists of 90% of the total agricultural production. More than 70 million open-range pastoral livestock are present in the country. The temperature is 25 to 30 °C in the summer and can reach−45 to−50 °C in the winter (Tumur et al. [2020;](#page-10-16) Ulziijargal et al. [2020](#page-10-4)).

Cysticerci of *T. hydatigena* were collected from sheep entering China from Mongolia in the months of November and December 2020 during inspection at the modern slaughterhouses in Erenhot City, Inner Mongolia. An additional 114 cysticerci or cysts were also retrieved from sheep and goats from northern (Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, Ningxia Autonomous Region, and Gansu Province), western (Tibet Autonomous Region), and southern (Jiangxi Province and Guangxi Province) China. The infected visceral organs were separated from the carcass to record the size and number of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* cysts. The sizes were measured by a ruler. All samples were processed and preserved in 70% alcohol and stored at−70 °C before DNA extraction (Sarvi et al. [2020\)](#page-10-17).

#### **DNA extraction, amplifcation, and sequencing**

*Cysticercus tenuicollis* cysts (usually scoleces) were rinsed with phosphate buffer saline three times to remove the ethanol (Bhattacharya et al. [2007\)](#page-9-5). Genomic DNA extraction was performed from each cysticercus or cyst using a genomic DNA isolation kit that was supplied by TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA concentration of each extraction was assessed using a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientifc Inc. Nano Quant) and kept at−20 °C until PCR amplifcation. The *nad*4 and *nad*5 genes were amplifed using the following respective primer pairs, Thn4F (forward): 5′-GTCTATTACTCGTTTTCTAAACAG-3′ and Thn4R (reverse): 5′-AATCTCAACCAGACACATAAGTG-3′ and Thn5F (forward): 5′-TAGGATTAATTTATGACTAGA GTCTCT-3′ and Thn5R (reverse): 5′-CTTCTCTCAATCTAC CACTAGAAGAGG-3′ (Ohiolei et al. [2021b](#page-10-15)). In a PCR reaction cocktail containing 12.5 μl premix Ex *Taq*™ version 2.0 (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan), 10 pmol of each primer, 0.5 μl of genomic DNA extract (concentration  $(c) \ge 10$  ng), and DNase-free water up to the fnal volume of 25 μl for *nad*4. For *nad*5 amplifcation, PrimeSTAR GXL master mix was used instead of premix Ex *Taq*™ version 2.0 (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan). For the PCR reaction, 5 μl of 5×PrimeSTAR GXL Bufer (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan), 10 pmol of each primer, 2 μl of dNTP mixture (2.5 mM each), 0.5 μl PrimeSTAR GXL DNA Polymerase (1.25 U/μl), 0.5 μl of gDNA (c.  $\geq$  10 ng), and DNase-free water up to the final volume of 25 μl for *nad*4. The PCR conditions were as previously described (Ohiolei et al. [2019\)](#page-10-18). Then, 5 μl of the PCR amplicons was visualized by electrophoresis in 1.5% (w/v) Tris 0.09 M, borate 0.09 M, and EDTA 0.02 M agarose gels in  $1 \times$ TAE buffer (40 mM Tris–acetate, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.5), stained with Gel Red™ and viewed under a UV transilluminator. A 2000-bp stair was used as a DNA size marker in each gel for estimating the size of the amplicons. The PCR products were then sequenced (Beijing Tsingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

#### **RFLP‑PCR assay for diferentiation of haplogroups**

The described RFLP-PCR assay for *T. hydatigena* targeting 1444 bp of the NADH dehydrogenase subunit 5 gene (*nad*5) (Ohiolei et al. [2021b](#page-10-15)) was employed. Briefy, the fnal PCR products amplifed with the forward 5 ′-AGG AGTTACATTGTGTGTGACTGTG-3 ′ and reverse 5 ′-CCA CTTATAAAATTGATTCCTG-3 ′ primers were digested with the following restriction endonuclease enzymes: *Rsa*1 (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Vilnius, Lithuania) and *Acc*1 (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Vilnius, Lithuania). Restriction reactions were performed in 25 µl using a concentration, 1 µl *Rsa*1 (10 U/µl), 1 µl *Acc*1 (10 U/µl), 2 µl Tango bufer, 10 µl PCR product, and 11 µl nuclease-free water. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 16 h and the fragment patterns were examined after fractionation by 3% (w/v) Tris 0.09 M, borate 0.09 M, and EDTA 0.02 M aga rose gels in  $1 \times$  TAE buffer, stained with Gel Red<sup>TM</sup> under a UV transilluminator.

## **Molecular analysis**

All generated nucleotide sequences were manually checked for misread nucleotide bases and aligned with reference sequence in Unipro UGENE v1.29.0 software (Okonech nikov et al. [2012](#page-10-19)). nBLAST [\(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) [Blast.cgi](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi)) was used to confrm the identity of each sequence while the population indices, including the number of haplotypes (h), nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$ , and haplotype diversity (Hd) were estimated in DnaSP v6 (Rozas et al. [2017\)](#page-10-20). Pair wise fxation index or Fst was calculated using the Arlequin  $3.5.2.2$  software package (Excoffier et al.  $2005$ ). Medianjoining network was constructed with sequences of the *nad*4, *nad*5, and their concatenated genes (*nad*4-*nad*5) using Pop - ART [\(http://popart.otago.ac.nz\)](http://popart.otago.ac.nz) (Harigai et al. [2020\)](#page-10-21). The general time reversible model and a gamma-shaped distri bution of rates across sites with a proportion of invariable sites  $(GTR + G + I)$  were used as the best model of isolate evolution as determined by JModelTest (Posada [2008\)](#page-10-22). A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny was used to construct phylogenetic tree with sequences of concatenated [gene](#page-10-23)s (*nad*4-*nad*5) in the program MEGA-X (Kumar et al. 2018). The Interactive Tree of Life [\(https://itol.embl.de](https://itol.embl.de)) was used to display the phylogenetic tree.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Distribution pattern of *Taenia hydatigena* cysticerci in vari ous organs in sheep and goats

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

Host	Organs							
		Omentum Mesentery Liver		Lung	Total			
Sheep		79 (46%) 63 (36.6%) 29 (16.8%) 1 (0.6%) 172						
Goats	$11(100\%)$ 0		$\theta$	$\theta$	11			
Sheep and goats	90	63	29		183			



# **Result and discussion**

#### **Cyst characteristics and sequence variation**

The majority of the cysts were from sheep (172 out of 183 isolates) with only 11 from goats. The proportion of *C. tenuicollis* in slaughtered small ruminants was 46% in the omentum, 36.6% in the mesentery, 16.8% in the liver, and 0.6% in the lungs (Table [1](#page-2-0)). The high proportion of cysts collected from omentum followed by mesentery is a common observation (Alvi et al. [2020;](#page-9-2) Radfar et al. [2005\)](#page-10-24). Cysts were round with a white thin wall containing a scolex (bladder worms) which appeared as a white dot. The sizes range from 1 to 11 cm in the omentum and 1 to 2 cm in the liver and lung which is similar to previous cyst sizes reported in Al-Diwaniyah Province Abattoirs in Iraq by Al-Hamzawi and Al-Mayali ([2020\)](#page-9-7).

PCR amplification of 114 isolates from China was 100% (114 from 114 isolates) and 85.1% (97 out of 114 isolates) successful for the complete *nad*4 (1254 bp) and *nad*5 (1569 bp) mitochondrial genes, respectively. Examination of the entire *nad*4, *nad*5, and concatenated *nad*4-*nad*5 (2823 bp) genes sequences showed 182, 223, and 385 segregating sites or polymorphic sites, respectively.

PCR amplifcation of the sixty-nine isolates from Mongolia demonstrated 100% (69 from 69) and 85.5% (59 out of 69) successful amplifcation of *nad*4 (1254 bp) and *nad*5 (1569 bp) genes, respectively. Examination of the complete



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Median-joining network of *Taenia hydatigena* populations based on **a** *nad*4, **b** *nad*5, and **c** *nad*4*-nad*5. Black dots represent hypothetical haplotypes

*nad*4 (1254 bp), *nad*5 (1569 bp), and concatenated *nad*4 *nad*5 (2823 bp) gene sequences indicated 161, 168, and 318 polymorphic sites, respectively, for each gene (Table [2\)](#page-2-1).

The nBLAST search for the resulting sequences accurately identifed all isolates as *T. hydatigena* with 98.7 to 100% similarity to GenBank deposited sequences.

#### **Population and diversity indices**

The highest number of mutations, haplotypes, and nucleotide diversity were observed in sheep from China compared to Mongolia (Table [2\)](#page-2-1).

The overall number of mutations in Chinese sheep and goats was 191 (177 and 69 for sheep and goats, respectively), and in Mongolian sheep was 165 for *nad*4. Evaluation of *nad*5 gene showed 233 mutations in Chinese sheep and goats (223 and 31 for sheep and goats, respectively), and 173 in Mongolian sheep for *nad*5. A previous study on sheep (Ohiolei et al. [2021a](#page-10-14)) from China demonstrated lower mutations (93). Compared to another study from Nigeria, fewer mutations were observed in sheep (1) and fairly higher in goats (33) (Ohiolei et al. [2019](#page-10-18)). For the spliced genes (*nad*4-*nad*5), the number of mutations observed was 400 in Chinese sheep and goats (375 and 94 for sheep and goats,

respectively), and 326 in Mongolian sheep. Fewer mutations from Pakistan based on *cox*1 mitochondrial gene (30 and 26 for goats and sheep, respectively) (Alvi et al. [2020](#page-9-2)) and from Sudan based on *cox*1 (735 bp) and *nad*1 (764 bp) from sheep (2 and 4, respectively) were reported (Muku et al. [2020\)](#page-10-25). The disparity in sample sizes may be responsible for the number of mutations observed in all these studies.

The overall parsimony informative sites in Chinese sheep and goats were 106 (97 and 44 for sheep and goats, respectively), and 81 in Mongolian sheep for *nad*4. The *nad*5 gene gave 118 parsimony informative sites in Chinese sheep and goats (116 and 7 for sheep and goats, respectively), and 58 in Mongolian sheep. The parsimony of informative sites from a previous investigation in northern China provinces and autonomous regions (Qinghai, Gansu, Inner Mongolia, Xinjiang, and Beijing) was lower (52) (Ohiolei et al. [2021a](#page-10-14)). Compared to the current study, moreover, the present study comprised isolates from the southern part of the country. Similarly, lower parsimony informative sites were reported from Pakistan based on *cox*1 (387 bp) mitochondrial gene in goats (16) and sheep (15) (Alvi et al. [2020\)](#page-9-2) and from Sudan using *cox*1 (0) and *nad*1 (1) in sheep (Muku et al. [2020](#page-10-25)). The sample size diferences and the size of the mitochondrial genes used may have contributed to this variation. The



**Fig. 1** (continued)

spliced *nad*4-*nad*5 gave a total of 217 parsimony informative sites for sheep (206) and goats (48) from China, and 128 for sheep from Mongolia.

The number of haplotypes in Chinese sheep and goats was 87 and 11, respectively, and 62 in Mongolian sheep based on *nad*4. For *nad*5, it was 69 and 10 in Chinese sheep and goats, respectively, and 53 in Mongolian sheep. Previous reports showed fewer haplotypes in Chinese and Nigerian sheep and goats (Ohiolei et al. [2019](#page-10-18), [2021a](#page-10-14)). On a general note, the current indices were genetically incomparable to existing data from other countries due to the application and analysis of mitochondrial genes other than the nad4/nad5 genes. For instance, from Pakistan analysis of the *cox*1 gene of isolates found in goats and sheep gave 28 and 23 haplotypes, respectively (Alvi et al. [2020\)](#page-9-2); Sudan, 2 and 3 for *cox*1 and *nad*1, respectively, in sheep (Muku et al. [2020](#page-10-25)); Sardinia (21 and 16) using *nad*1 and *cox*1 mitochondrial gene, in sheep, goat, and wild boar were reported (Boufana et al. [2015](#page-9-3)); and Southern Italy (21) using *cox*1 (386 bp) gene in wild boar (Sgroi et al. [2020\)](#page-10-26).



**Fig. 1** (continued)

Overall, haplotype diversity (Hd) in Chinese sheep and goats was 0.995 and 1.000, respectively, and was 0.994 in Mongolian sheep based on *nad*4. For *nad*5, 0.987 and 1.000 in Chinese sheep and goats, respectively, and 0.991 in Mongolian sheep. Lower diversities are also found in sheep in other regions of China (Ohiolei et al. [2021a\)](#page-10-14), and likewise in Nigerian sheep and goats (Ohiolei et al. [2019](#page-10-18)). The lack of *nad*4/*nad*5 data from other countries with existing genetic data did not allow proper comparison. Nonetheless, results from Pakistan based on *cox*1 mitochondrial gene in goats and sheep were 0.893 and 0.908, respectively (Alvi et al. [2020](#page-9-2)) and Sardinia (0.988 and 0.947) for *nad*1 and *cox*1 mitochondrial gene in sheep, goat, and wild boar (Boufana et al. [2015\)](#page-9-3). In Sudan, much lower Hd has been demonstrated for *cox*1 (Hd=0.222) and *nad*1 (Hd=0.345) in sheep (Muku et al. [2020](#page-10-25)).

Low nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$  was observed in Chinese sheep and goats 0.013 (0.013 and 0.017 for sheep and goats, respectively), and in Mongolian sheep 0.011 using the *nad*4 gene and even lower for *nad*5 gene with 0.009 and 0.004 for Chinese sheep and goats, respectively and 0.007 for Mongolian sheep. Mitochondrial genes other than those analyzed in this study have also demonstrated lower  $\pi$  in Europe ( $\pi$ =0.012 and  $\pi$ =0.007) (Boufana et al. [2015\)](#page-9-3) and Africa ( $\pi$ =0.001) (Muku et al. [2020\)](#page-10-25).

The overall *nad*4 Tajima's D was negative for all Chinese isolates  $-1.733$  ( $-1.709$  and  $-0.226$  for sheep and goats, respectively), as well as in Mongolian sheep−1.956. According to *nad*5, higher negative values were observed in Chinese sheep and goats  $(-2.199 \text{ and } -1.483,$  respectively) with an overall value of−2.257 and−2.464 in Mongolian sheep (Table [2\)](#page-2-1). Elsewhere in China, reports show higher Tajima's D−1.583 in sheep (Ohiolei et al. [2021a\)](#page-10-14). In contrast, a Nigeria study showed a positive Tajima's D in sheep (1.166) and a similar negative value in goats  $(-1.646)$  (Ohiolei et al. [2019\)](#page-10-18) and negative values in sheep  $(-1.657)$  and goats  $(-1.323)$  from Pakistan based on *cox*1 (Alvi et al. [2020\)](#page-9-2), Sardinia (−0.748 and−1.486) using *nad*1 and *cox*1 mitochondrial gene, respectively (Boufana et al. [2015\)](#page-9-3), and Sudan in sheep using *cox*1 (−1.362) and *nad*1 (−1.321) (Muku et al. [2020](#page-10-25)).

The overall Fu's Fs were negative with very high values across all studied populations (Table [2](#page-2-1)) supporting the existence of rare haplotypes as expected from a recent population expansion. This feature is common in virtually all *T*. *hydatigena* populations in Asia and Europe (Alvi et al. [2020](#page-9-2); Boufana et al. [2015;](#page-9-3) Ohiolei et al. [2021a\)](#page-10-14) except in certain geography in Africa where very low values were reported from a rather small population (Muku et al. [2020](#page-10-25); Ohiolei et al. [2019](#page-10-18)).

	Rsal restriction site Acc1	restriction (bp) site	<b>DNA</b> fragments	RFLP profile Country		Host	Remarks
Haplogroup A 226		692	752, 466, 226	Hap-A1		Mongolia, China Sheep and goats	Same with previous reported (China) (Ohiolei et al., $2021b$ , this study (Mongolia)
	226		1218, 226	Hap-A2	Mongolia, China Sheep		Same with previous reported (China) (Ohiolei et al., $2021b$ , this study (Mongolia)
	226-927	692	517, 466, 235, 226	$Hap-A3$	Mongolia, China Sheep and goats		Same with previous reported (China) (Ohiolei et al., $2021b$ , this study (Mongolia)
	226-1245	692	553, 466, 226, 199	Hap-A5	Mongolia, China Sheep		This study
	226-1090	692	466, 398, 354, 226	Hap-A8	Mongolia, China Sheep		This study
	226-572	692	752, 346, 226, 120	Hap-A9	Mongolia, China	Sheep	This study
	226-1256	692	564, 466, 226, 188	$Hap-A10$	China	Sheep	This study
	226-725		719, 499, 226	$\text{Hap-A11}$	China	Sheep	This study
Haplogroup B	226-1245		1019, 226, 199	Hap-B	Mongolia, China	Sheep	Same with previous reported (China and Pakistan) (Ohiolei et al., $2021b$ , this study (Mongolia)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3** Fragment sizes and profles of *T. hydatigena nad*5 gene (1444 bp) digested with *Rsa*1 and *Acc*1 restriction enzymes

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2 a**, **b** A mitochondrial genomic region was amplifed using *Taenia hydatigena* genomic DNA. Visualized from left to right, lane MW is the DNA ladder and the lanes are PCR fragments digested with restriction enzymes of *Rsa*1 and *Acc*1



Average number of diferences (K) was 17.14, 14.84, and 30.77 for *nad*4 (1254 bp), *nad*5 (1569 bp), and concatenated *nad*4-*nad*5 (2823 bp) mitochondrial genes, respectively, in China, and 14.96, 11.24, and 24.14 for *nad*4, *nad*5, and *nad*4-*nad*5, respectively, for the population from Mongolia.

Pairwise fxation index or Fst between China and Mongolia was also estimated to determine the genetic diferences between populations from both countries and was 0.000 and 0.003 for *nad*4, respectively and 0.000 and 0.007 for China and Mongolia, respectively, for *nad*5. For the combined genes, it was 0.000 and 0.005, respectively (Table [2](#page-2-1)).

### **Haplotype networks**

The haplotype network of nuclear *nad*4 and *nad*5 genes and their concatenation of *C. tenuicollis* showed haplotypes arranged in a star-like confguration with three extending groups (A1, A2, and B) (Fig. [1a, b](#page-3-0), and [c](#page-3-0)). For *nad*4, the network formed a star-like confguration of 153 haplotypes of *C*. *tenuicollis* of Mongolian and Chinese origin, of which seven haplotypes H6, H69, H5, H8, H46, H61, and H57 were present in both countries. For *nad*5, of the 125 haplotypes, four haplotypes H8, H15, H51, and H47 appeared in both countries. For the spliced *nad*4-*nad*5 gene network, only one haplotype H76 of the 144 was common to both countries, and interestingly, similar fndings were reported previously (Sgroi et al. [2020](#page-10-26)). The majority of sequences from 93 out of 114 Chinese isolates were as haplogroup A variants, while 15 and 6 were as haplogroups B and A2 for the *nad*4 gene. However, out of 69 isolates from Mongolia 60, 5, and 4 belonged to haplogroups A, A2, and B, respectively. The most isolates, 85 out of 97, were as haplogroup A variants, 8 as haplogroup B variants, and 4 as haplogroup A2 for the *nad*5 gene from China, whereas from Mongolia 55, 1, and 3 from 59 isolates were as



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Phylogenetic relationship computed by MEGA-X software, using the concatenated complete nucleotide sequences (*nad*4-*nad*5) and *Echinococcus granulosus* (AB786664) was used as an outgroup

haplogroups A, A2, and B, respectively. On the other hand, for concatenated *nad*4-*nad*5, 85, 8, and 4 out of 97 Chinese isolates were grouped in to haplogroups A, B, and A2 variants whereas for Mongolia, 55, 1, and 3 out of 59 isolates were as haplogroups A, A2, and B, respectively (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0), [b,](#page-3-0) and [c](#page-3-0)).

## **Restriction fragment length polymorphism**

We digested a portion of the *nad*5 gene as recently described (Ohiolei et al. [2021a](#page-10-14), [b](#page-10-15)). The in silico RFLP fragment generated for 156 sequences showed nine RFLP profles, namely, A1, A2, A3, A5, A8, A9, A10, A11, and B. A comparison of the in silico RFLP fragments of the Chinese and Mongolian isolates revealed five common haplogroups (A1, A2, A3, A5, and B). Besides four additional profles (A8, A9, A10, A11), others were previously encountered in either one or all of the following countries: China, Nigeria, Pakistan, and Sudan (Ohiolei et al. [2021b\)](#page-10-15). The fragment sizes and profles of the haplogroups are showed in Table [3.](#page-6-0) Haplogroup A1 was more widespread than other haplogroups as previously described (Ohiolei et al. [2021b\)](#page-10-15). Figure [2a,](#page-7-0) [b](#page-7-0) depict PCR–RFLP profles of all variants.

#### **Phylogenetic analyses**

In total, 156 spliced (*nad*4*-nad*5) nucleotide sequences with other homologous sequences from GenBank were used for the phylogenetic analysis. All obtained sequences diverged significantly from other taeniid species, *T. solium* (AB086256), *T. asiatica* (AF445798), *T. saginata* (AY684274), *T. multiceps* (GQ228818), *T. pisiformis* (GU569096), *T. ovis* (NC\_021138), and *E. granulosus* (AB786664), which is used as an outgroup. The majority of nucleotide sequences of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* concatenated *nad*4-*nad*5 genes within phylogenetic tree, except for these isolates (haplotypes H136, H83, H125, H76, H104, H45, H131, H8, H69, H41, H40, H93, H38, H82, H120, H23, H127, H36, H98, H16, H97, H96, H139, H39, H14, H129, H143, H116, H9, H101, H92, H90, H79, H87, H21, H123, H91, H81, H51, H112, H124, H77, H109, H33, H56, and H32), clustered together and were divided in two subclades (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)). This study showed H119, H72, H109, H133, H2, H33, H100, H28, H56, H32, H117, and H50 as haplogroup B, and H11, H70, H102, H29, H112, H124, and H77 from Mongolia and China as haplogroup A2 of *T. hydatigena*, as represented in Fig. [3](#page-8-0), and others as haplogroups A including A1, A3, A5, A8, A9, A10, and A11.

# **Conclusion**

In conclusion, analysis of the mitochondrial loci demonstrate similar genetic composition of *C. tenuicollis* in sheep and goats in both countries compared to other geographical locations suggesting that animal movement and migration between the border countries contribute to the existing genetic population structure. These data provide supplementary information on the genetic epidemiology of *T. hydatigena* in China and Mongolia. Nonetheless, the lack of *nad*4 and *nad*5 genetic information from other enzootic regions limited a robust comparative outlook in the afected regions.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to acknowledge the Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences for providing the scholarship. We would like also thank to Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute for all the facilitations. Finally, our thanks goes to those who help during sample collection and processing.

**Author contribution** SA Qurishi and WZ Jia did the conceptualization; SA Qurishi, HB Yan, L Li, and JA Ohiolei designed the research work, data analysis; SA Qurishi, LS Zhang, HaDa, HM Qiao, BaoHua, BX Bai, WJ Tian, and JM Xu did the sample collection and processing; SA Qurishi, JA Ohiolei, MA Alvi, and NA Shumuye review the manuscript; HB Yan, L Li, BQ Fu, and WZ Jia supervised the overall activities of the research. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** This work was funded by Central Public-Interest Scientifc Institution Basal Research Fund (Y2022GH13; 1610312020016), National Key Research and Development Program of China

(2021YFE0191600), and Cultivation of Achievements of State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology (SKLVEB2020CGPY01).

**Data availability** All data supporting the conclusions of this article are included in this article and its additional fles. Representative nucleotide sequences of *nad*4 and *nad*5 genes from the current study have been deposited in the GenBank database under the following accession numbers ON379092–ON379244 and ON379245–ON379369, respectively.

#### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval** All animals were handled in strict accordance with good animal practice according to the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People's Republic of China, and the study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. LVRIAEC2012-007).

**Consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

# **References**

- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Abbas I, El-alfy ES, Janecek-erfurth E, Strube C (2021) Molecular characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* isolates from sheep in the Nile Delta, Egypt and a review on *Taenia hydatigena* infections worldwide. Parasitology 148:913–933. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182021000536) [1017/S0031182021000536](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182021000536)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Al-hamzawi EG, Al-mayali HMH (2020) Morphological characterizations of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* of *Taenia hydatigenia* isolated from sheep and goats slaughered in Al-Diwaniyah Province Abattoirs, Iraq. Eur Asian J Biosci 14:2261–2266
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Alvi MA, Ohiolei JA, Saqib M, Li L, Muhammad N, Tayyab MH, Qamar W, Alvi AA, Wu YD, Li XR (2020) Preliminary information on the prevalence and molecular description of *Taenia hydatigena* isolates in Pakistan based on mitochondrial *cox*1 gene. Infect Genet Evol 85:104481.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104481>
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Bhattacharya D, Bera A, Bera B, Maity A, Das S (2007) Genotypic characterisation of Indian cattle, buffalo and sheep isolates of *Echinococcus granulosus*. Vet Parasitol 143:371–374. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.09.007) [doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.09.007)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Boufana B, Scala A, Lahmar S, Pointing S, Craig PS, Dessì G, Zidda A, Pipia AP, Varcasia A (2015) A preliminary investigation into the genetic variation and population structure of *Taenia hydatigena* from Sardinia, Italy. Vet Parasitol 214:67–74. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.08.003) [1016/j.vetpar.2015.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.08.003)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Cengiz G, YucelTenekeci G, Bilgen N (2019) Molecular and morphological characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) from Turkey. Acta Parasitol 64:652–657. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-019-00085-1) [doi.org/10.2478/s11686-019-00085-1](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-019-00085-1)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Davaasuren A, Dorjsuren T, Yanagida T, Sako Y, Nakaya K, Davaajav A, Agvaandaram G, Enkhbat T, Gonchigoo B, Dulmaa N (2014) Recent situation of taeniasis in Mongolia (2002–2012). Korean J Parasitol 52:211.<https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2014.52.2.211>
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2005) Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinform 1:47–50. [https://doi.org/10.1177/1176934305](https://doi.org/10.1177/117693430500100003) [00100003](https://doi.org/10.1177/117693430500100003)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Filip KJ, Pyziel AM, Jeżewski W, Myczka AW, Demiaszkiewicz AW, Laskowski Z (2019) First molecular identification of *Taenia hydatigena* in wild ungulates in Poland. EcoHealth 16:161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-019-01392-9>
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Harigai W, Saito A, Suzuki H, Yamamoto M (2020) Genetic diversity of Ligidium isopods in Hokkaido and Niigata, northern Japan, based on mitochondrial DNA analysis. Zool Sci 37:417–428. <https://doi.org/10.2108/zs200017>
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096) [msy096](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Li WH, Jia WZ, Qu ZG, Xie ZZ, Luo JX, Yin H, Sun XL, Blaga R, Fu BQ (2013) Molecular characterization of *Taenia multiceps* isolates from Gansu Province, China by sequencing of mitochondrial cytochrome C oxidase subunit 1. Korean J Parasitol 51:197–201. <https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2013.51.2.197>
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Luo H, Zhang H, Li K, Rehman MU, Mehmood K, Lan Y, Huang S, Li J (2017) Epidemiological survey and phylogenetic characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* isolated from Tibetan pigs in Tibet. China Biomed Res Int 2017:6. [https://doi.org/10.1155/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7857253) [2017/7857253](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7857253)
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Muku RJ, Yan HB, Ohiolei JA, Saaid AA, Ahmed S, Jia WZ, Fu BQ (2020) Molecular identifcation of *Taenia hydatigena* from sheep in Khartoum. Sudan Korean J Parasitol 58:93. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3347/Kjp.2020.58.1.93) [3347/Kjp.2020.58.1.93](https://doi.org/10.3347/Kjp.2020.58.1.93)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Nguyen MTT, Gabriel S, Abatih EN, Dorny P (2016) A systematic review on the global occurrence of *Taenia hydatigena* in pigs and cattle. Vet Parasitol 226:97–103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.06.034) [2016.06.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.06.034)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Ohiolei JA, Luka J, Zhu GQ, Yan HB, Li L, Magaji AA, Alvi MA, Wu YT, Li JQ, Fu BQ, Jia WZ (2019) First molecular description, phylogeny and genetic variation of *Taenia hydatigena* from Nigerian sheep and goats based on three mitochondrial genes. Parasit Vectors 12:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3780-5>
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Ohiolei JA, Yan HB, Li L, Li WH, Wu YD, Alvi MA, Zhang NZ, Fu BQ, Wang XL, Jia WZ (2021a) A new molecular nomenclature for *Taenia hydatigena*: mitochondrial DNA sequences reveal suffcient diversity suggesting the assignment of major haplotype divisions. Parasitology 148:311–326. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202000205X) [S003118202000205X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118202000205X)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Ohiolei JA, Yan HB, Li L, Alvi MA, Muku RJ, Wu YD, Zhang NZ, Li WH, Guo AM, Wang XL, Fu BQ, Jia WZ (2021b) PCR-RFLP assay confrms the existence of diferent mitochondrial lineages of *Taenia hydatigena* including a possible geographically restricted group. Transbound Emerg Dis. [https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.141551) [141551](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.141551)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Okonechnikov K, Golosova O, Fursov M, U Team (2012) Unipro UGENE: a unifed bioinformatics toolkit. Bioinform 28:1166– 1167.<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts091>
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Omar MAE, Elmajdoub LO, Al-aboody MS, Elsify AM, Elkhtam AO, Hussien AA (2016) Molecular characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* of slaughtered livestock in Upper Egypt governorates. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 6:706–708. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2016.06.009) [apjtb.2016.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2016.06.009)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Posada D (2008) jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Mol Biol Evol 25:1253–1256.<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn083>
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Radfar MH, Tajalli S, Jalalzadeh M (2005) Prevalence and morphological characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* (*Taenia hydatigena* cysticerci) from sheep and goats in Iran. Vet Arh 75:469–476
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Rozas J, Ferrer-mata A, Sánchez-delbarrio JC, Guirao-rico S, Librado P, Ramos-onsins SE, Sánchez-gracia A (2017) DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. Mol Biol Evol 34:3299–3302. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx248>
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Sarvi S, Behrestaghi LE, Alizadeh A, Hosseini SA, Gohardieh S, Bastani R, Charati JY, Daryani A, Amouei A, Spotin A (2020) Morphometric, genetic diversity and phylogenetic analysis of *Taenia hydatigena* (Pallas, 1766) larval stage in Iranian livestock. Parasitology 147:231–239. [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182019001434) [182019001434](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182019001434)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Scala A, Pipia AP, Dore F, Sanna G, Tamponi C, Marrosu R, Bandino E, Carmona C, Boufana B, Varcasia A (2015) Epidemiological updates and economic losses due to *Taenia hydatigena* in sheep from Sardinia, Italy. Parasitol Res 114:3137–3143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-45-32-x>
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Sgroi G, Varcasia A, D'alessio N, Varuzza P, Buono F, Amoroso MG, Boufana B, Otranto D, Fioretti A, Veneziano V (2020) *Taenia hydatigena* cysticercosis in wild boar (Sus scrofa) from southern Italy: an epidemiological and molecular survey. Parasitology 147:1636–1642. [https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118200](https://doi.org/10.1017/S00311820001559) [01559](https://doi.org/10.1017/S00311820001559)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Shamsaddini S, Mohammadi MA, Mirbadie SR, Rostami S, Dehghani M, Sadeghi B, Harandi MF (2017) A conventional PCR for diferentiating common taeniid species of dogs based on in silico microsatellite analysis. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo 2017:59.<https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-9946201759066>
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Sharhuu G, Sharkhuu T (2004) The helminth fauna of wild and domestic ruminants in Mongolia—a review. Eur J Wildl Res 50:150–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-004-0050-3>
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Sharkhuu T (2001) Helminths of goats in Mongolia. Vet Parasitol 101:161–169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017\(01\)00508-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(01)00508-8)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Tazieva ZH, Isabaev MI, Sharhuu G (1981) Legočnye nematody kopytnyh Mongolii. Tezisy dokladov nauč. konf. vsesoűzn. obŝestva gel'mintol. Akad Nauk SSSR 33:74–76
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Temuujin J, Ulziijargal G, Yeruult C, Amarbayasgalan Z, Mungunzaya T, Bayarsaikhan U, Khulan J, Wandra T, Sato MO, Gantstetseg C (2022) Distribution and prevalence of Taenia hydatigena, Taenia multiceps, and Mesocestoides spp. in Mongolian sheepdogs. Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Rep 28:2. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vprsr.2021.100680) [1016/j.vprsr.2021.100680](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vprsr.2021.100680)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Tumur E, Heijman W, Gurjav EA, Agipar B, Heerink N (2020) Options for increasing Mongolia's livestock sector exports-a revealed comparative advantage analysis. Mong J Agric Sci 30:38–50.<https://doi.org/10.5564/mjas.v30i2.1490>
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Ulziijargal G, Yeruult C, Khulan J, Gantsetseg C, Wandra T, Yamasaki H, Narankhajid M (2020) Molecular identifcation of *Taenia hydatigena* and Mesocestoides species based on copro-DNA analysis of wild carnivores in Mongolia. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 11:72–82.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.12.004>
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Xia C, Liu J, Yuan Z, Feng J, Song T (2014) Investigation of infectious prevalence of major metacestodes in livestock in Tibet. Chinese Vet Sci Zhongguo Shouyi Kexue 44:1205–1209
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Zhang Y, Zhao W, Di yang YT, Zhang W, Liu A (2018) Genetic characterization of three mitochondrial gene sequences of goat/sheepderived *Coenurus cerebralis* and *Cysticercus tenuicollis* isolates in Inner Mongolia. China Parasite 25:2. [https://doi.org/10.1051/](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2018002) [parasite/2018002](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2018002)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

# **Authors and Afliations**

Sayed Ajmal Qurishi<sup>1,2</sup> · Hong-Bin Yan<sup>1</sup> · Li Li<sup>1</sup> · John Aeskhaen Ohiolei<sup>1</sup> · Mughees Aizaz Alvi<sup>1,3</sup> · Lin-Sheng Zhang<sup>1</sup> · Ha Da<sup>4</sup> · Hong-Mei Qiao<sup>5</sup> · Nigus Abebe Shumuye<sup>1</sup> · Bao Hua<sup>4</sup> · Bing-Xin Bai<sup>4</sup> · Wen-Jun Tian<sup>1</sup> · Ju-Mei Xu<sup>5</sup> · **Bao‑Quan Fu<sup>1</sup> · Wan‑Zhong Jia1**

Sayed Ajmal Qurishi qurishisayedajmal@gmail.com

Hong-Bin Yan yanhongbin@caas.cn

Li Li lili03@caas.cn

John Aeskhaen Ohiolei asekhaenj@gmail.com

Mughees Aizaz Alvi mugheesaizazalvi@gmail.com

Lin-Sheng Zhang 1031079417@qq.com

Ha Da hada7757@163.com

Hong-Mei Qiao 964108593@qq.com

Nigus Abebe Shumuye nigusabeshu@gmail.com

Bao Hua 410326516@qq.com

Bing-Xin Bai 280175812@qq.com Wen-Jun Tian 374864788@qq.com

Ju-Mei Xu 136496762@qq.com

Bao-Quan Fu fubaoquan@caas.cn

- <sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology/ College of Veterinary Medicine, Lanzhou University/ National Professional Laboratory for Animal Echinococcosis/ Key Laboratory of Veterinary Parasitology of Gansu Province/ Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, CAAS, Lanzhou 730046, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Agriculture, Faryab University, Maymana, Afghanistan
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan
- <sup>4</sup> Xilin Gol League Animal Disease Control Center, Xilinhot 026000, Inner Mongolia, China
- <sup>5</sup> Animal Disease Prevention and Control Center of Sunan County, Gansu Province, Sunan, Zhangye City 734400, China