ORIGINAL PAPER

Identification of piroplasm infection in questing ticks by RLB: a broad range extension of tick-borne piroplasm in China?

Mirza Omar Abdallah¹ · Qingli Niu¹ · Peifa Yu¹ · Guiquan Guan¹ · Jifei Yang¹ · Ze Chen¹ • Guangyuan Liu¹ • Yonghong Wei³ • Jianxun Luo¹ • Hong Yin^{1,2}

Received: 5 January 2016 /Accepted: 29 January 2016 /Published online: 20 February 2016 \oslash Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Sensitive and specific diagnostic method for rapid and simultaneous detection and discrimination of the different species is needed for an effective control of piroplasmosis. Here, a reverse line blot (RLB) assay was developed for piroplasm detection. A general pair of primer based on 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene was used to amplify V4 region of 18S rRNA gene. General and specific probes for 13 piroplasm species were cited from previous publications or designed according to the alignment of 18S rRNA gene sequences. For sensitivity test of RLB assay, serially diluted plasmids of the different species were used to access the sensitivity of the RLB. Four hundred and fifty tick samples collected from grass from different provinces of China were then detected. The result indicated that the RLB assay is highly specific and sensitive, detecting up to 10^2 copies/μl of recombinant plasmid DNA. Multiple piroplasms were detected as single or mixed infection from tick species. Eight piroplasm species, most of which were Theileria annulata (33/450, 7.3 %) or Babesia sp. Xinjiang (30/450, 6.7 %), were found to infect with 89 tick samples in four tick species; no infections with Babesia major, Babesia ovata, Babesia bigemina, Theileria sergenti, or Theileria equi were detected. The piroplasms speciesspecific RLB assay may have potential clinical application in the simultaneous detection and differentiation of Babesia and Theileria species.

Keywords Piroplasm . Reverse line blot . Detection . Differentiation

Mirza Omar Abdallah and Qingli Niu contributed equally to this work.

 \boxtimes Hong Yin yinhong@caas.cn

> Mirza Omar Abdallah mirzaomar03@yahoo.fr

Qingli Niu niuqingli@caas.cn

Peifa Yu ypf19890123@163.com

Guiquan Guan Guanguiquan@caas.cn

Jifei Yang yangjifei@caas.cn

Ze Chen chenze@caas.cn

Guangyuan Liu liuguangyuan@caas.cn Yonghong Wei ganang123@126.com

Jianxun Luo luojianxun@caas.cn

- State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Key Laboratory of Veterinary Parasitology of Gansu Province, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Xujiaping 1, Lanzhou, Gansu 730046, People's Republic of China
- ² Jiangsu Co-innovation Center for Prevention and Control of Important Animal Infectious Diseases and Zoonoses, Yangzhou 225009, People's Republic of China
- ³ Yang Yong town, Animal Husbandry Station, Lintan County, Gansu, People's Republic of China

Introduction

Piroplasms are naturally tick-transmitted protozoan parasites of the genera Babesia and Theileria and are pathogenic to cattle, yak, sheep/goat, horses, pigs, dogs, and cats and even human. During infection, the vertebrate hosts suffer high fever, anemia, and hemoglobinuria and even death (Li et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0). Piroplasms are responsible for vast economic losses: around 250 million domestic cattle in the world are threatened by tick-borne disease (TBD) (Slodki et al. [2011\)](#page-9-0); the total annual loss of small ruminants in China is estimated to be around 70 million USD (Ahmed et al. [2002](#page-8-0)).

Ixodid ticks can transmit a variety of pathogens (bacteria, virus, and protozoa) of veterinary and economic importance. The geographic distribution of piroplasms is influenced by their tick vectors. A recent study reported that ticks and TBD are a growing threat worldwide due to expanding tick populations, climate changes, and increased contacts between human, animals, and ticks (de La Fuente and Estrada-Peña [2012\)](#page-8-0). However, little is known about the presence of these pathogens in questing ticks in China. Moreover, several piroplasm species with known tick vectors display a broader host range than formerly thought (Aktas et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0), which could imply that additional unknown vectors might be involved in their transmission. Monitoring the presence of piroplasms in unfed ticks collected from the vegetation is important to understand the roles of the different tick species as reservoir for the infection and assess the risk of piroplasm transmission in order to better control the disease.

At present, four species of ovine Babesia (Babesia motasi, Babesia sp. Xinjiang, Babesia ovis, and Babesia crassa) have been identified. B. *motasi* phylogenetic group forms two sister clades consisting European isolates and the B. motasi-like clade identified in the Chinese isolates (B. motasi-like Lintan, Ningxian, Hebei, Tianzhu, and Liaoning) (Liu et al. [2007](#page-8-0); Guan et al. [2010](#page-8-0)). Haemaphysalis punctata transmits the B. motasi European isolates, while Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis and Haemaphysalis longicornis were recently described as the vectors of B. motasi-like Lintan isolate (Guan et al. [2010](#page-8-0)), and H. longicornis is the vector of Ningxian isolate (Bai et al. [2002\)](#page-8-0). The vectors of other B. motasi-like Chinese isolates remain unknown. It was recently reported that Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum transmits Babesia sp. Xinjiang in China (Guan et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). The known vectors of B. ovis are Rhipicephalus bursa, Rhipicephalus turanicus, and Rhipicephalus sanguineus (Uilenberg [2006\)](#page-9-0). Seven species of bovine Babesia (Babesia bovis, Babesia bigemina, Babesia major, Babesia divergens, Babesia ovata, Babesia orientalis, and Babesia U sp. Kashi) have been reported to threat cattle, buffalo, and/or yak (Qin et al. [2015;](#page-9-0) Luo et al. [2005a\)](#page-9-0). B. bovis and B. bigemina are the major causative agents of bovine babesiosis that are transmitted by Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus, Rhipicephalus annulatus, and Rhipicephalus geigyi and therefore often result in co-infection (Liu et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). B. major and B. ovata have low virulence and are transmitted by H. longicornis, H. punctata, while B. orientalis is highly pathogenic to only buffalo and can be transmitted by Rhipicephalus (He et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0). Beside, Babesia U sp. Kashi is transmitted by Hy. a. anatolicum, which has been reported only in China (Luo et al. [2005b\)](#page-9-0). B. divergens is transmitted by Ixodes ricinus and has been detected in anemic patients but not in cattle (Moreau et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0).

Six Theileria species including Theileria luwenshuni, Theileria uilenbergi, Theileria lestoquardi, Theileria ovis, Theileria recondita, and Theileria separata are known to cause ovine theileriosis in sheep and goats in tropical and subtropical regions (Yin et al. [2007](#page-9-0)). Only T. uilenbergi and T. luwenshuni are highly pathogenic and are transmitted by H. qinghaiensis and H. longicornis in China (Yin et al. [2007](#page-9-0); Li et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). In contrast, T. ovis and T. separata are nonpathogenic or mildly pathogenic to small ruminants and Hy. a. anatolicum could play an important role in T. ovis transmission (Yin et al. [2007](#page-9-0); Li et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0). Four bovine Theileria species (Theileria annulata, Theileria mutans, Theileria sergenti, and Theileria sinensis) have been reported; T. annulata caused tropical or Mediterranean theileriosis and transmitted by Hy. a. anatolicum, Hyalomma asiaticum, Hyalomma detritum, Hyalomma excavatum, Hyalomma dromedarii, and Hyalomma marginatum (Sayin et al. 2003). T. sergenti is the most prevalent benign Theileria and is transmitted by H. longicornis, while T. sinensis infects cattle and yaks and is transmitted by H. qinghaiensis (Liu et al. 2010).

Equine piroplasmosis is caused by either Theileria equi or Babesia caballi. Thirty-three ixodid species belong to the genera Amblyomma, Ixodes, Dermacentor, Hyalomma, Haemaphysalis, and Rhipicephalus that might implicate as competent vectors for B. caballi, T. equi, or both (Scoles and Ueti [2015](#page-9-0); Hawkins et al. [2015;](#page-8-0) Rothschild [2013;](#page-9-0) Wise et al. [2013\)](#page-9-0).

In the field, the risk of co-infection between Babesia and Theileria species is very high and the species are morphologically indistinguishable. There are several molecular detected methods including conventional PCR, nested PCR, multiplex PCR, real-time PCR, and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) which allow improvement in sensitivity, quantification, and speed of detection of the presence of piroplasm in hosts or ticks (Pienaar et al. [2011](#page-9-0); Odongo et al. [2010;](#page-9-0) Liu et al. [2013,](#page-8-0) [2015;](#page-9-0) Ros-García et al. [2012](#page-9-0)), while methods such as reverse line blot (RLB), bead arrays, pan-FRET assays, and high-resolution melt analysis allow detection of multiple species or genotypes at the same time (Niu et al. [2012](#page-9-0); Ros-García et al. [2013](#page-9-0); Yang et al. [2014](#page-9-0); Salim et al. [2013](#page-9-0)). Moreover, the RLB method is very convenient and useful for diagnosis, detection, quarantine, and epidemiological survey. More often, the marker gene for this application is the well-characterized 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene (Liu et al. [2013](#page-8-0); Niu et al. [2009\)](#page-9-0). The advantage of RLB is it uses multiple highly specific probes to enable the simultaneous detection and direct identification of several piroplasms in hosts or ticks, either in single or mixed infection (Iqbal et al. [2013;](#page-8-0) Ros-García et al. [2011](#page-9-0)). This technique has been used in integrated epidemiological monitoring of tick-borne diseases (Niu et al. [2012](#page-9-0)). However, RLB was rarely used to detect piroplasm in unfed ticks in China.

In this study, we described the development of the RLB assay for the simultaneous detection and identification of piroplasms from questing ticks collected from vegetation of several provinces of China. The different tick-parasite associations and comparison with previous reports were discussed.

Materials and methods

Parasites

B. motasi-like, Babesia sp. Xinjiang T. luwenshuni, T. uilenbergi, T. ovis, B. bigemina, B. bovis, B. major, B. ovata, T. annulata China, T. sergenti, T. sinensis, and T. equi were cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen at the Vector and Vector-borne disease (VVBD) laboratory of Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute (LVRI), CAAS Lanzhou, China. The parasites were isolated by inoculating infected blood cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen into hemoprotozoa-free splenectomized animal. When parasitemia was greater than 5 %, infected venous blood was collected into heparinized tubes, genomic DNA were extracted and then used as a positive control to develop the RLB assay. Genomic DNA from two *T. annulata* isolates (India and Turkey) were kindly provided by Professor Jabbar S. Ahmed (Forschungszentrum Borstel, Borstel, Germany). Two bacteria

Table 1 Sequence and concentration of oligonucleotide probes

(Anaplasma marginale and Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto) were used as a negative control.

Collection and identification of tick samples

A total of 450 unfed ticks, H. qinghaiensis ($n = 242$), H. longicornis (n=57), H. punctata (n=6), R. sanguineus $(n=19)$, Ixodes persulcatus $(n=42)$, and [Dermacentor](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3623253/) silvarum ($n = 84$), were randomly collected from vegetation in five provinces of China, including Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, Gansu, Heilongjiang, Henan, and Jilin provinces. The ticks were identified according to standard taxonomic keys (Teng and Jiang [1991](#page-9-0)). Each tick was placed in separate 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes and washed in 70 % ethanol, rinsed in sterile phosphate-buffered saline, and dried. The ticks were then powdered with a sterile pestle after freezing in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA was extracted from the tick samples using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions and stored at −20 °C until use.

Primer and probe design

A pair of previously described primers based on the 18S rRNA gene conserved region was used for RLB (Gubbels et al. [1999\)](#page-8-0). General (catch-all) and species-specific RLB oligonucleotide probes for each Babesia and Theileria species were deduced from the V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene sequences with a range of 2.5–800 μM and containing N-(trifluoroacetamidohexylcyanoethyl, N, N-diisopropyl phosphoramidite [TFA])-C6 amino linker. The primers and probes were synthetized by Sangon Biotech Company, China (Table 1).

PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing

PCR was performed to amplify the V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene from standard positive samples and all collected tick samples. The PCR reaction consisted of 2 μl genomic DNA, 25 μM of each primer (RLB-F and RLB-R), 5 μl 10 × reaction buffer, 2.5 μM of each dNTP, and 5 U/μl Taq polymerase in a total volume of 50 μl. The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s. Final extension was at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplified products were analyzed on 1 % agarose gels with ethidium bromide staining. An expected length of about 500 bp was obtained and ligated into the pGEM-T Easy Vector and cloning. Positive colonies were selected after screening by colony PCR using vector primers (T7/SP6). Recombinant plasmids were extracted from overnight bacterial cultures, and the V4 region of 18S rRNA was sequenced using vector primers. These plasmids with the inserts were used as positive controls to assess the specificity and sensitivity of RLB.

RLB hybridization

The RLB protocol was performed as described previously (Gubbels et al. [1999](#page-8-0)). Briefly, a Biodyne C blotting membrane (BNBCH5R, Pall BioSupport) was activated at room temperature by incubating in 16 % EDAC (E7750, Sigma) for 10 min, then washed in distilled water, and placed in a MN45 miniblotter (FZB, Germany). Specific oligonucleotide probes were diluted in $10-1000 \mu M/150 \mu l$ in 500 mM $NaHCO₃$ (pH 8.4), added to the miniblotter slots, and

incubated for 2 min. Then, the membrane was incubated in 100 mM NaOH for 10 min and rinsed with demineralized water at 60 °C for 5 min in $2 \times$ SSPE/0.1 % SDS. The membrane was then placed perpendicular to the probe orientation in the miniblotter. Twenty microliters of each PCR product was diluted in $2 \times$ SSPE/0.1 % SDS to a final volume of 150 μl, heated to 99 °C for 10 min, and then cooled immediately on ice. The denatured PCR products were then added to the slots in the miniblotter and incubated for 60 min at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. and the membrane was washed twice at 60 °C for 10 min in $2 \times$ SSPE/0.5 % SDS. Additionally, the membrane was treated at 42 °C for 60 min with peroxidase-labeled streptavidin diluted 1:4000 in $2 \times$ SSPE/0.5 % SDS and washed twice at 42 °C for 10 min in $2 \times$ SSPE/0.5 % SDS and twice at room temperature for 5 min in $2 \times$ SSPE. Finally, chemiluminescence detection was performed according to standard procedures (Amersham).

Specificity and sensitivity of RLB

Specific probes of 13 piroplasms were designed on the basis of the hypervariable V4 region in the 18S rRNA gene. The V4 region of piroplasm species, two bacteria (A. marginale and B. burgdorferi sensu stricto), was amplified using a pair of universal primers, and water was used as the blank control.

To assess RLB sensitivity, the plasmid length was first calculated by adding the vector length (pGEM-T Easy— 3018 bp) and the length of the partial 18S rRNA gene sequence from each pathogen. The plasmid concentrations were measured by spectrophotometry. Plasmid copy number was then calculated using the following formula:

Copies/µl = Plasmid concentration (ng / µl) ×
$$
10^{-9}
$$
 × 6.02 × 10^{23}
Plasmid length × 660

Based on the copy number of each parasite species analyzed, plasmids with the inserts were serially diluted from an initial copy number of 10^{10} to 10^{0} copies/ μ l and then used as template for the RLB sensitivity analysis.

Confirmation and sequence analysis of positive samples

Based on the target genes of 18S rRNA (to detect all piroplasm species), as well as rap-1a or rap-1b gene (for Babesia sp. Xinjiang or B. motasi-like, respectively), COB gene (for T. annulata), or ITS genes (for T. sinensis), the PCR was performed to amplify positive samples, which were randomly screened and used to

further confirm RLB-tested result. The positive PCR samples were cloned into pGEM-T easy vector and sequenced (GENEWIZ Biotech Company, Suzhou, China). The obtained sequences were subjected to blast search on the NCBI database ([http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) [Blast.cgi\)](http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) using the BLASTn algorithm. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using ClustalW 2.0. 12.

Statistical analysis

The 95 % confidence intervals (95 % CIs) for the overall prevalence values of each tick species were calculated using IBM SPSS Statistics version 19.0.

Results

Specificity of RLB

All probes from 13 piroplasms bound only to their respective target sequence, resulting in the recognition of individual isolate, species, or group. The nucleotide probes did not show any cross-reaction with A. marginale and B. burgdorferi sensu stricto DNA or water used as a blank control. The catch-all probe specifically detected any Theileria and Babesia species present. Each Theileria or Babesia was identified by two oligonucleotide probes: the catch-all probe and species-specific probes for either Theileria or Babesia species (Fig. 1).

Sensitivity of RLB

The RLB assay is capable of detecting about 10^2 copies (*B*. major), $10³$ copies (Babesia sp. Xinjiang, T. luwenshuni and T. sergenti), 10^4 copies (*B. ovata* and *T. uilenbergi*), 10^5 copies (B. motasi-like Hebei/Ningxian, B. bigemina, B. bovis, T. ovis,

T. sinensis, T. annulata, and T. equi), and 10^6 copies *(B.* motasi-like Lintan/Tianzhu) (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)).

Detection of parasite DNA in field tick samples

In summary, 450 tick samples from eight regions of five provinces of China were detected for piroplasm infection by using RLB. The detail of the results was summarized in Tables [2](#page-5-0) and [3](#page-6-0). In general, four of six tick species were infected with eight piroplasm species with single or mix infections. H. qinghaiensis is the most prevalent to infect with two Babesia and four Theileria species with single or coinfection way, and D. silvarum less, with two Babesia and two Theileria species infection, mainly infected with T. annulata. Among these positive tick samples, 76 single infected ticks, most of which were infected by T. annulata (33/450, 7.3 %) or Babesia sp. Xinjiang (30/450, 6.7 %), were from Gansu and Jilin provinces. No infections with B. major, B. ovata, B. bigemina, T. sergenti, or T. equi were detected. Of all these positive samples, 13 tick samples involving two tick

Fig. 1 RLB assay for specificity test of 13 piroplasms. Oligonucleotides probes are applied in vertical lanes and PCR products are applied in horizontal rows. Lanes 1 and 16 indicate catch-all, 2 and 3 indicate B. motasi-like (Hebei/Ningxian and Lintan/Tianzhu groups, respectively), 4 indicates Babesia sp. Xinjiang, 5 indicates B. major, 6 indicates B. ovata, 7 indicates B. bigemina, 8 indicates B. bovis, 9 indicates T. uilenbergi, 10 indicates T. luwenshuni, 11 indicates T. ovis, 12 indicates T. sergenti, 13 indicates T. annulata, 14 indicates T. sinensis, and 15 indicates T. equi. Rows: PCR product hybridization with probe. 1 to 4 indicate B. motasi-

like (Hebei, Ningxiang, Lintan, and Tianzhu strains respectively); 5 indicates Babesia sp. Xinjiang; 6 indicates B. major; 7 indicates B. ovata; 8 indicates B. bigemina; 9 indicates B. bovis; 10 indicates T. uilenbergi; 11 indicates T. luwenshuni; 12 indicates T. ovis; 13 indicates T. sergenti, 14 to 16 indicate T. annulata isolates from Chinese cattle (row 14), Indian cattle (row 15), and Turkish cattle (row 16); 17 indicates T. sinensis; 18 indicates T. equi; 19 indicates A. marginale DNA; 20 indicates B. burgdorferi sensu stricto (B31) DNA; and 21 indicates blank control

Fig. 2 RLB assay for sensitivity test for each of the 13 piroplasm species tested. Oligonucleotides probes are applied in vertical lanes, and diluted plasmids are applied in horizontal rows. The assay detected copy number of about 10^2 (*B. major*), 10^3 (*Babesia* sp. Xinjiang, *T. luwenshuni*, and *T.*

species (*H. qinghaiensis* and *D. silvarum*) were presented mix infection with two or three different piroplasm species.

Sequencing analysis

The PCR products from selected field-positive samples (7 D. silvarum samples, 30 H. qinghaiensis samples, 1 R. sanguineus

sergenti), 10^4 (B. ovata and T. uilenbergi), 10^5 (B. motasi-like Hebei/ Ningxian, B. bigemina, B. bovis, T. ovis, T. equi, T. sinensis, and T. *annulata*), and 10^6 copies (*B. motasi*-like Lintan/Tianzhu)

sample, and 1 H. *longicornis* sample) were sequenced and compared with the published sequences. One D. silvarum DNA was amplified and sequenced based on rap-1b gene to verify the presence of B. motasi-like; the sequence presented 99 % similar to the rap-1b gene of Babesia sp. Hebei from China (GenBank accession number: KJ205336). Nineteen H. qinghaiensis and one D. silvarum DNA were sequenced

Table 2 Piroplasm distribution in questing ticks collected from the vegetation in different regions of China

| Province | County | Tick species | | No. Infection of piroplasm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|--|-----------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------------|--|
| | | | | $B. m-$ like | $B.$ sp. XJ | | | $B.$ bo $B.$ ma $B.$ ov $B.$ bi $T.$ ui | | | T. lu | Т. о | T. an | T. si | T. se T. eq | |
| Xinjiang | Zhaosu | H. punctata | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Shaya | R. sanguineus | 19 | | | | | | | | | 1(5.3) | | | | |
| Gansu | Lintan | H. ginghaiensis 242 | | | 32(13.2) 1(0.4) | | | | | $13(5.4)$ 7 (2.9) | | | 25(10.3) 1(0.4) | | | |
| Heilongjiang | Luobei | I. persulcatus | 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tonghe | I. persulcatus | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mudanjiang I. persulcatus | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Henan | Linzhou | H. longicornis | 57 | | | | | | | 1(1.8) | 1(1.8) | | | | | |
| Jilin | Jiaohe | D. silvarum | 84 | | 3(3.6) 1(1.2) | | | | | | 2(2.4) | | 15(17.9) | | | |
| Total $(\%)$ | | | 450 | | $3(0.7)$ 33 (7.3) | 1(0.2) | | | | 14(3.1) | 10(2.2) | 1(0.2) | 40 (8.9) | 1(0.2) | | |

H. punctata = Haemaphysalis punctata, R. sanguineus = Rhipicephalus sanguineus, H. qinghaiensis = Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis, I. persulcatus = Ixodes persulcatus, H. longicornis = Haemaphysalis longicornis, D. silvarum = Dermacentor silvarum, B. m-like = Babesia motasi-like, B. sp. XJ = Babesia sp. Xinjiang, B. ma = B. major, B. ov = B. ovata, B. bi = B. bigemina, B. bo = B. bovis, T. ui = T. uilenbergi, T. lu = T. luwenshuni, T. $o = T. ovis, T. an = T. annulata, T. se = T. sergenti, T. si = T. sinensis, T. eq = T. equi$

Table 3 Theileria and Babesia infection in ticks collected from grass

| Piroplasm infection | Infected ticks | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|----------|---------|--------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | $H.$ qin | H. lon | $H.$ pun | D. sil | R. sang | I. per | Total $(\%)$ | | | | |
| Single infections | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $B.$ m -like | | | | 1(1.2) | | | 1(0.2) | | | | |
| $B.$ sp XJ | 30(12.4) | | | | | | 30(6.7) | | | | |
| $B.$ bo | 1(0.4) | | | | | | 1(0.2) | | | | |
| T. ui | 6(2.5) | 1(1.8) | | | | | 7(1.6) | | | | |
| $T.$ lu | 2(0.8) | 1(1.8) | | | | | 3(0.7) | | | | |
| $T.$ o | | | | | 1(5.3) | | 1(0.2) | | | | |
| T. an | 23(9.5) | | | 10(11.9) | | | 33(7.3) | | | | |
| Total single infections | | | | | | | 76 (16.9) | | | | |
| Two co-infections | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $B. m-like+T.$ an | | | | 2(2.4) | | | 2(0.4) | | | | |
| <i>B</i> . sp $XJ + T$ <i>an</i> | 1(0.4) | | | 1(1.2) | | | 2(0.4) | | | | |
| $B.$ sp $XJ + T.$ ui | 1(0.4) | | | | | | 1(0.2) | | | | |
| $T.$ $ui + T.$ lu | 4(1.7) | | | | | | 4(0.9) | | | | |
| $T.$ $ui + T.$ an | 1(0.4) | | | | | | 1(0.2) | | | | |
| $T. \, lu + T. \,an$ | | | | 2(2.4) | | | 2(0.4) | | | | |
| Three co-infections | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $T.$ $ui+T.$ $lu+T.$ si | 1(0.4) | | | | | | 1(0.2) | | | | |
| Total co-infections | | | | | | | 13(2.9) | | | | |
| Total infections | 70 (28.9) | 2(3.5) | | 16(19) | 1(5.3) | | 89 (19.8) | | | | |

H. qin = Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis, H. pun = Haemaphysalis punctata, H. lon = Haemaphysalis longicornis, D. sil = Dermacentor silvarum, R. sang = Rhipicephalus sanguineus, I. per = Ixodes persulcatus, B. mlike= Babesia motasi-like, B. sp. XJ= Babesia sp. Xinjiang, B. bo = Babesia bovis, T. ui = Theileria uilenbergi, T. $lu = Theileria luwenshuni, T. o = Theileria ovis, T. an = Theileria annulata, T. si = Theileria sinensis$

based on the rap-1a gene of Babesia sp. Xinjiang, and the derived sequences showed 99 % identity with Babesia sp. Xinjiang $rap-1a\beta2$ gene (GenBank accession number: KF811199). The 18S rRNA gene sequence from H. qinghaiensis infected by B. bovis was also clarified. Five D. silvarum and eight H. qinghaiensis infected by T. annulata were sequenced based on COB genes and shared 99 to 100 % homology with the T. annulata COB gene (GenBank accession number: KP731977 and KF732030). For T. sinensis infecting H. qinghaiensis, one sample based on ITS gene was sequenced and shared 99 % similarity with T. sinensis ITS gene from China (GenBank accession number: EF547931). Similarly, R. sanguineus infected by T. ovis as well as H. qinghaiensis and H. longicornis infected by T. uilenbergi or by T. luwenshuni were sequenced and their 18S rRNA gene sequences corresponded with this gene sequence on GenBank (GenBank accession number: FJ603460, JF719835, and JX469524, respectively).

Discussion

Diagnostic of piroplasms is considered with regard to sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic method assays and their use in epidemiology. Molecular tests allow direct confirmation of the presence of parasite genomic material and are specific and highly sensitive for parasite detection. Specifically, PCR-based molecular diagnostic tool, RLB technique has been established for the detection and identification of different Babesia or Theileria species or genotypes at the same time. Recently, PCR-RLB was used for the rapid and simultaneous detection of co-infecting Babesia and Theileria species in animals or ticks (Iqbal et al. [2013;](#page-8-0) García-Sanmartín et al. [2008;](#page-8-0) Ros-García et al. [2011;](#page-9-0) Niu et al. [2012\)](#page-9-0). In this study, specificity and sensitivity of RLB method were developed and used for the species-specific detection and differentiation of piroplasms.

After optimizing the reaction system of the RLB assay, no signal was observed with any of the bacteria or water used as a negative or blank control. This indicates that the speciesspecific probes used in this study were specific for *Babesia* spp. and Theileria spp. and that PCR-RLB method can be used to effectively amplify the target DNA and easily discriminate between piroplasm infections, which is in agreement with previous reports of RLB methods (Niu et al. [2012;](#page-9-0) Iqbal et al. [2013;](#page-8-0) Aktas et al. [2015](#page-8-0)).

The detection limit of RLB assays was lowest in $10²$ copies in this study. Several studies investigated the sensitivity of

RLB and demonstrated parasite detection with lower parasitemia than other diagnostic methods, like PCR, nest-PCR, or LAMP (Gubbels et al. [1999](#page-8-0); Schnittger et al. [2004](#page-9-0); Altay et al. [2008](#page-8-0); Niu et al. [2009,](#page-9-0) [2012;](#page-9-0) Notomi et al. [2000\)](#page-9-0). Our results confirmed that RLB assay is sensitive and could be used to detect piroplasm species in very low parasitemia.

The overall infection rates of B. motasi-like, Babesia sp. Xinjiang, B. bovis, T. uilenbergi, T. luwenshuni, T. annulata, *T. ovis, and T. sinensis were 0.7 % (95 % CI* = 0–1.13), 7.3 % $(95\% CI = 0-12.13), 0.2\% (95\% CI = 0-0.38), 3.1\% (95\%$ CI = 0–5), 2.2 % (95 % CI = 0.13–3), 0.2 % (95 % CI = 0– 0.38), 8.9 % (95 % CI = 0–11.88), and 0.2 % (95 % CI = 0– 0.38), respectively, in tick samples using the RLB assay (Table [2\)](#page-5-0), 19.8 % (89/450) tick samples were positive for piroplasms with T. annulata (40/450) and Babesia sp. Xinjiang (33/450), the most widespread hemoprotozoan species infecting ticks from Gansu and Jilin provinces. This is in agreement with the study which reported that T. annulata was mainly found in the north or northwest of China (Liu et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0). It has been reported that the ticks of the genera Hyalomma spp. transmit T. annulata and Hy. a. anatolicum transmit Babesia sp. Xinjiang (Sayin et al. [2003](#page-9-0); Guan et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). In our case, T. annulata and Babesia sp. Xinjiang were first detected in H. qinghaiensis and D. silvarum in China. T. annulata was previously detected in Dermacentor marginatus in France (Bonnet et al. [2013](#page-8-0)), but no related studies reported either T. annulata or Babesia sp. Xinjiang infecting the two H. qinghaiensis and D. silvarum ticks so far. Similar situation was observed with *B. motasi*-like and *T. luwenshuni* infection in D. silvarum or B. bovis detected in H. qinghaiensis. The role of these ticks in T. annulata, Babesia sp. Xinjiang, B. motasi-like, T. luwenshuni, and B. bovis transmission remains uncertain. It should be noted that H. qinghaiensis and D. silvarum could play a role as reservoir and risk for these piroplasm transmissions, since the highest positive rates for T. annulata and Babesia sp. Xinjiang were found in these tick species. Taking into account that H. qinghaiensis and Dermacentor spp. are three-host ticks, it is likely that these infections were acquired by the ticks during blood meals of previous tick stages, resulting from transstadial or transovarial transmission.

T. sinensis is widespread among field cattle or yaks throughout China, including Lintao, Dingxi, and Weiyuan cities from the Gansu Province, which are endemic to T. sinensis (Yin et al. [2002](#page-9-0); Liu et al. [2010](#page-8-0)). Here, one H. qinghaiensis infected by T. sinensis from Lintan of Gansu Province was found. Lintan is likely to be a new endemic region for T. sinensis. Among ovine Theileria species, T. uilenbergi was frequently found in tested ticks, especially in H. qinghaiensis ticks, than T. luwenshuni, which is in agreement with previous study that reported more T. uilenbergi detected in H. qinghaiensis ticks by RLB (Niu et al. [2012\)](#page-9-0). T. uilenbergi has been reported in the southeastern parts of China (Zhang et al. [2014](#page-9-0)). In our study, T. luwenshuni was also found in Henan, consistent with previous studies (Chen et al. [2014](#page-8-0)), and Gansu, infecting H. longicornis and H. qinghaiensis ticks, respectively. In addition, T. luwenshuni was detected in one D. silvarum tick from Jilin. T. luwenshuni infection in Dermacentor niveus from Mongolian gazelle in northern China was reported (Li et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0), but the occurrence of T. luwenshuni infection in D. silvarum ticks has not been reported yet. The potential role of T. luwenshuni transmission by this tick has to be further studied. T. ovis is a new isolate of ovine Theileria from Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, and Hy. a. anatolicum could play an important role in transmission (Li et al. [2010](#page-8-0)). Interestingly, we found T. ovis in one R. sanguineus tick collected in Shaya, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, consistent with studies that reported the presence of T. ovis in R. sanguineus in Iran and Turkey (Zakkyeh et al. [2012](#page-9-0); Aydin et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0). In Turkey, T. ovis infection was also noticed in R. bursa and R. turanicus (Aydin et al. [2015](#page-8-0)). Taken together, these results suggest that R. sanguineus could act as an additional vector for T. ovis in China. It was reported that B. bovis could be transmitted by several Rhipicephalus species (Liu et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0). In the present study, B. bovis infection in H. qinghaiensis was first reported, which is likely due to the feeding behavior of this tick on hosts; this tick species was likely co-infected during blood meal on different single infected hosts at different life stages. The ability of H. qinghaiensis to transmit B. bovis depends on host-pathogen compatibility and needs further investigation. B. motasi-like was also for the first time detected in D. silvarum, either in single or mixed infection. Dermacentor spp. lack host specificity and are able to infect sheep, goats, and cattle in the field, which could explain the presence of B. motasilike in this tick species. Further studies on this tick species should be performed to better understand its role in the B. motasi-like circulation.

Among the six tick species examined in terms of Theileria and Babesia species, four were infected involving eight different piroplasm species. Among the infected ticks, the threehost ticks H. qinghaiensis and D. silvarum carried different piroplasm species that included double or triple infections and combinations of piroplasm species which were either from the same genus or both *Theileria* and *Babesia* (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). Tick life cycles with two and three hosts increase the chance of coinfections. Moreover, the highest infection rate was also observed in *H. qinghaiensis* and *D. silvarum*. Our result indicates that H. qinghaiensis and D. silvarum play a role in the maintenance of these parasites in China. These two tick species showed a mixed profile of vector-pathogen combinations that were never described before which might explain the increase in infection prevalence of these piroplasms species. As these tick-pathogen associations came from ticks collected from vegetation after moulting, the presence of piroplasm infection in these ticks would suggest that this kind of infection

occurred at an earlier stage, implying that the piroplasm was able to multiply within the tick. All ticks used in this study are of epidemiological importance in China (Chen et al. 2014; Yu et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0). The majority of ticks found in China belong to the genus Haemaphysalis, and H. qinghaiensis is an endemic species and is distributed in the western plateau while H. longicornis is widely distributed in China (19 provinces) and could support diverse pathogenic microorganisms (Yu et al. [2015;](#page-9-0) Chen et al. 2010, 2012). In the genus Dermacentor, D. silvarum could carry a large numbers of varying pathogenic microorganisms (Yu et al. [2015](#page-9-0)). R. sanguineus is distributed in 20 provinces in China and is a vector of Coxiella, Rickettsia, Ehrlichia, Babesia, and Hepatozoon (Chen et al. 2014). Our finding showed that even Theileria species could be carried by this tick species.

In conclusion, the RLB assay was able to simultaneously detect low numbers of pathogens in tick and sensitive and specific for the detection and identification of piroplasms, even when two or three species were present as multiple infections in field tick samples. The use of RLB technique guarantees that all new piroplasm species and genotypes can be detected at least at the group level by applying a catch-all probe. Moreover, this method can substantially improve the detection of piroplasm carriers and better protect livestock trade and facilitate preventive control programs. These findings that are of biological significance will be clarified in future experimental transmission studies. Meanwhile, the detection of piroplasm in field ticks provides a new perspective for the circulation of these pathogens in nature.

Acknowledgments This study was supported financially by the NSFC (Nos. 31502054, 31372432, 31201899, 31272556, 31402189, and 31471967), ASTIP; Creative Research Groups of Gansu Province (No. 1210RJIA006); NBCIS CARS-38; Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Research (Nos. 201303035 and 201303037), MOA; the 973 Program (2015CB150300), Supporting Program (2013BAD12B03, 2013BAD12B05), MOST, China; and the Jiangsu Co-innovation Center Programme for Prevention and Control of Important Animal Infectious Diseases and Zoonoses, State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology Project.

References

- Ahmed JS, Yin H, Schnittger L, Jongejan F (2002) Ticks and tick-borne diseases in Asia with special emphasis on China. Parasitol Res 88(13 Suppl 1):S51–5
- Aktas M, Özübek S, Altay K et al (2015) Molecular detection of tickborne rickettsial and protozoan pathogens in domestic dogs from Turkey. Parasitol Vectors 8:157
- Altay K, Aktas M, Dumanli N (2008) Detection of Babesia ovis by PCR in Rhipicephalus bursa collected from naturally infested sheep and goats. Res Vet Sci 85:116–9
- Aydin MF, Aktas M, Dumanli N (2015) Molecular identification of Theileria and Babesia in ticks collected from sheep and goats in the Black Sea region of Turkey. Parasitol Res 114:65–69
- Bai Q, Liu G, Liu D, Ren J, Li X (2002) Isolation and preliminary characterization of large Babesia sp. from sheep and goats in the eastern part of Gansu Province. Chin Parasitol Res 13(Suppl 1):16– 21, In Chinese
- Bonnet S, de la Fuente J, Nicollet P et al (2013) Prevalence of tick-borne pathogens in adult Dermacentor spp. ticks from nine collection sites in France. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 13:226–36
- Chen Z, Yang X, Bu F, Yang X, Yang X, Liu J (2010) Ticks (Acari: Ixodoidea: Argasidae, Ixodidae) of China. Exp Appl Acarol 51: 393–404
- Chen Z, Yang X, Bu F, Yang X, Liu J (2012) Morphological, biological and molecular characteristics of bisexual and parthenogenetic Haemaphysalis longicornis. Vet Parasitol 189:344–52
- Chen Z, Liu Q, Liu JQ et al (2014) Tick-borne pathogens and associated co-infections in ticks collected from domestic animals in central China. Parasitol Vectors 7:237
- de La Fuente J, Estrada-Peña A (2012) Ticks and tick-borne pathogens on the rise. Ticks Tick borne Dis 3:115–16
- García-Sanmartín J, Barandika JF, Juste RA et al (2008) Distribution and molecular detection of Theileria and Babesia in questing ticks from northern Spain. Med Vet Entomol 22:318–25
- Guan GQ, Ma ML, Moreau E, Liu JL, Lu BY, Bai Q et al (2009) A new ovine Babesia species transmitted by Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum. Exp Parasitol 122:261–67
- Guan G, Moreau E, Liu J, Hao X, Ma M, Luo J et al (2010) Babesia sp. BQ1 (Lintan): molecular evidence of experimental transmission to sheep by Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis and Haemaphysalis longicornis. Parasitol Int 59:265–67
- Gubbels JM, de Vos AP, van der Weide M et al (1999) Simultaneous detection of bovine Theileria and Babesia species by reverse line blot hybridization. J Clin Microbiol 37:1782–89
- Hawkins E, Kock R, Mckeever D et al (2015) Prevalence of Theileria equi and Babesia caballi as well as the identification of associated ticks in sympatric Grevy's zebras (Equus grevyi) and donkeys (Equus africanus asinus) in northern Kenya. J Wildl Dis 51:137–47
- He L, Feng HH, Zhang WJ et al (2012) Occurrence of Theileria and Babesia species in water buffalo (Bubalus babalis, Linnaeus, 1758) in the Hubei Province, South China. Vet Parasitol 186:490–96
- Iqbal F, Khattak R, Ozubek S et al (2013) Application of the reverse line blot assay for the molecular detection of Theileria and Babesia sp. in sheep and goat blood samples from Pakistan. Iran J Parasitol 8:289– 95
- Li Y, Luo J, Guan G et al (2009) Experimental transmission of Theileria uilenbergi infective for small ruminants by Haemaphysalis longicornis and Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis. Parasitol Res 104: 1227–31
- Li Y, Guan G, Liu A et al (2010) Experimental transmission of Theileria ovis by Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum. Parasitol Res 106:991– 94
- Li Y, Chen Z, Liu Z et al (2014) First report of Theileria and Anaplasma in the Mongolian gazelle, Procapra gutturosa. Parasitol Vectors 7: 614
- Liu AH, Yin H, Guan GQ et al (2007) At least two genetically distinct large Babesia species infective to sheep and goats in China. Vet Parasitol 147:246–51
- Liu AH, Guan GQ, Liu ZJ et al (2010) Detecting and differentiating Theileria sergenti and Theileria sinensis in cattle and yaks by PCR based on major piroplasm surface protein (MPSP). Exp Parasitol 126:476–81
- Liu A, Guan G, Du P et al (2013) Rapid identification and differentiation of Theileria sergenti and Theileria sinensis using a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay. Vet Parasitol 191:15–22
- Liu J, Guan G, Liu A et al (2014) A PCR method targeting internal transcribed spacers: The simultaneous detection of Babesia bigemina and Babesia bovis in cattle. Acta Parasitol 59:132–38
- Liu J, Li Y, Liu A et al (2015) Development of a multiplex PCR assay for detection and discrimination of Theileria annulata and Theileria sergenti in cattle. Parasitol Res 114:2715–21
- Luo JX, Yin H, Liu ZJ et al (2005a) Molecular phylogenetic studies on an unnamed bovine Babesia sp. based on small subunit ribosomal RNA gene sequences. Vet Parasitol 133:1–6
- Luo JX, Yin H, Guan GQ et al (2005b) A comparison of small-subunit ribosomal RNA gene sequences of bovine Babesia species transmitted by Haemaphysalis spp. In China. Parasitol Res 95:145–49
- Moreau E, Bonsergent C, Al Dybiat I et al (2015) Babesia divergens apical membrane antigen-1 (BdAMA-1): a poorly polymorphic protein that induces a weak and late immune response. Exp Parasitol 155:40–45
- Niu Q, Luo J, Guan G et al (2009) Detection and differentiation of ovine Theileria and Babesia by reverse line blotting in China. Parasitol Res 104:1417–23
- Niu Q, Guan G, Liu Z et al (2012) Simultaneous detection of piroplasma infections in field Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis ticks by reverse line blotting. Exp Appl Acarol 56:123–32
- Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H et al (2000) Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 28:E63
- Odongo DO, Sunter JD, Kiara HK, Skilton RA, Bishop RP (2010) A nested PCR assay exhibits enhanced sensitivity for detection of Theileria parva infections in bovine blood samples from carrier animals. Parasitol Res 106:357–65
- Pienaar R, Potgieter FT, Latif AA, Thekisoe OMM, Mans BJ (2011) Mixed Theileria infections in free-ranging buffalo herds: implications for diagnosing Theileria parva infections in Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer). Parasitology 138:884–95
- Qin SY, Wang JL, Ning HR et al (2015) First report of Babesia bigemina infection in white yaks in China. Acta Trop 145:52–4
- Ros-García A, M'Guirbi Y, Bouattour A, Hurtado A (2011) First detection of Babesia occultans in Hyalomma ticks from Tunisia. Parasitology 138:578–82
- Ros-García A, Nicolás A, García-Pérez AL, Juste RA, Hurtado A (2012) Development and evaluation of a real-time PCR assay for the quantitative detection of Theileria annulata in cattle. Parasitol Vectors 5: 171
- Ros-García A, Barandika JF, García-Pérez AL, Juste RA, Hurtado A (2013) Assessment of exposure to piroplasms in sheep grazing in communal mountain pastures by using a multiplex DNA bead-based suspension array. Parasitol Vectors 6:277
- Rothschild CM (2013) Equine piroplasmosis. J Equine Vet Sci 33:497– 508
- Salim B, Bakheit MA, Sugimoto C (2013) Rapid detection and identification of Theileria equi and Babesia caballi by high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis. Parasitol Res 112:3883–86
- Sayin F, Karaer Z, Dincer S et al (2003) A comparison of susceptibilities to infection of four species of Hyalomma ticks with Theileria annulata. Vet Parasitol 113:115–21
- Schnittger L, Yin H, Qi B et al (2004) Simultaneous detection and differentiation of Theileria and Babesia parasites infecting small ruminants by reverse line blotting. Parasitol Res 92:189–96
- Scoles GA, Ueti MW (2015) Vector ecology of equine piroplasmosis. Annu Rev Entomol 60:561–80
- Slodki J, Jasik KP, Kepa M, Idzik D, Wojtyczka RD (2011) Ticktransmitted disease caused by Apicomplexa. Acta Protozool 50: 155–61
- Teng K, Jiang Z (1991) Economic insect fauna of China. fasc 39 Acari. Ixodidae Science Press, Beijing, In Chinese
- Uilenberg G (2006) Babesia—a historical perspective. Vet Parasitol 138: $3 - 10$
- Wise LN, Kappmeyer LS, Mealey RH, Knowles DP (2013) Review of equine piroplasmosis. J Vet Intern Med 27:1334–46
- Yang Y, Mao Y, Kelly P et al (2014) A pan-Theileria FRET-qPCR survey for Theileria spp. in ruminants from nine provinces of China. Parasitol Vectors 7:413
- Yin H, Luo JX, Guan GQ et al (2002) Experiments on transmission of an unidentified Theileria sp. to small ruminants with Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis and Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum. Vet Parasitol 108:21–30
- Yin H, Schnittger L, Luo J, Seitzer U, Ahmed JS (2007) Ovine theileriosis in China: a new look at an old story. Parasitol Res 101(Suppl 2): S191–95
- Yu Z, Wang H, Wang T, Sun W, Yang X, Liu J (2015) Tick-borne pathogens and the vector potential of ticks in China. Parasitol Vectors 8: 24–31
- Zakkyeh T, Mohammad Ali O, Nasibeh HV et al (2012) First molecular detection of Theileria ovis in Rhipicephalus sanguineus tick in Iran. Asian Pac J Trop Med 5(1):29–32
- Zhang X, Liu Z, Yang J et al (2014) Multiplex PCR for diagnosis of Theileria uilenbergi, Theileria luwenshuni, and Theileria ovis in small ruminants. Parasitol Res 113:527–31