ORIGINAL PAPER

Mosquito larvicidal and pupicidal efficacy of *Solanum xanthocarpum* (Family: Solanaceae) leaf extract and bacterial insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae)

Palanisamy Mahesh Kumar • Kadarkarai Murugan • Kalimuthu Kovendan • Jayapal Subramaniam • Duraisamy Amaresan

Received: 10 December 2011 / Accepted: 15 December 2011 / Published online: 4 January 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract The bio-efficacy of Solanum xanthocarpum leaf extract and bacterial insecticide, Bacillus thuringiensis, were assessed against the first to fourth instar larvae and pupae of Culex quinquefasciatus, under the laboratory conditions. The medicinal plants were collected from the outskirt Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. The shade dried plant materials were extracted by employing the Soxhlet apparatus with ethanol (organic solvent) for 8 h and filtered. The extracts were concentrated at reduced temperature on a rotary evaporator and stored at a temperature of 4°C. Both S. xanthocarpum and B. thuringiensis show varied degree of larvicidal and pupicidal activity against various stages of C. quinquefasciatus. The LC_{50} and LC₉₀ of S. xanthocarpum against the first to fourth instar larvae and pupae were 155.29, 198.32, 271.12, 377.44, and 448.41 ppm and 687.14, 913.10, 1,011.89, 1,058.85, and 1,141.65 ppm, respectively. On the other hand, the LC₅₀ values of *B. thuringiensis* against the first to fourth instar larvae and pupae were 133.88, 157.14, 179.44, 206.80, and 240.74 ppm; the LC_{90} values were 321.04, 346.89, 388.86, 430.95, and 492.70 ppm, respectively. However, the combined treatment of S. xanthocarpum+B. thuringiensis (1:2) material shows highest larvicidal and pupicidal activity of the LC₅₀ values 126.81, 137.62, 169.14, 238.27, and 316.02 ppm and the LC₉₀ values 476.36, 613.49, 705.29, 887.85, and 1,041.73 ppm against C. quinquefasciatus in all the tested concentrations than the

P. Mahesh Kumar \cdot K. Murugan \cdot K. Kovendan (\boxtimes) \cdot

J. Subramaniam · D. Amaresan

Division of Entomology, Department of Zoology,

School of Life Sciences, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641 046 Tamil Nadu, India e-mail: gokulloyo@yahoo.co.in individuals and clearly established that there is a substantial amount of synergist act. Therefore, the present investigation clearly exhibit that both *S. xanthocarpum* and *B. thuringiensis* materials could serve as a potential of highest mortality rate against the mosquito larvae laboratory as well as the field conditions. Since *C. quinquefasciatus* is a ditch breeder vector mosquito, this is a user and eco-friendly biopesticide for the control of mosquito vector management program.

Introduction

Mosquitoes are responsible for the transmission of more diseases than any other group of arthropods and play an important role as etiologic agents of malaria, filariasis, dengue, yellow fever, Japanese encephalitis, and other viral diseases (James 1992). In 2001, resistance to insecticides concerned 540 species of arthropod, of which 198 were of medical and veterinary importance (Bills 2001).

Culex quinquefaciatus is a predominant house-resting mosquito in many tropical countries. It is important as a vector of filariasis in some countries as well as a nuisance mosquito. Mosquitoes breed in polluted waters such as blocked drains, damaged septic tanks, or soak age pools close to human habitations. Lymphatic filariasis is probably the fastest spreading insect-borne disease of man in the tropics, affecting about 146 million people (WHO, 1992). *C. quinquefasciatus* is the most widely distributed mosquito in India, mainly found in urban and suburban areas. The most efficient approach to control the vector is to target the immature stages of the life cycle. Lymphatic filariasis is a mosquito-borne disease caused by mosquito-transmitted filarial nematodes, including *Wuchereria bancrofti* and *Brugia malayi*.

The infected people carry the nocturnally periodic *W. bancrofti*, which has *C. quinquefasciatus* as the main mosquito vector. *C. quinquefasciatus* is a vector of lymphatic filariasis, which is a widely distributed tropical disease with around 120 million people infected worldwide, and 44 million people have common chronic manifestation (Bernhard et al. 2003). According to WHO, about 90 million people worldwide are infected with *W. bancrofti*, the lymphatic dwelling parasite, and ten times more people ate at the risk of being infected. In India alone, 25 million people harbor microfilaria (mf) and 19 million people suffer from filarial disease manifestations (NICD, 1990; Maheswaran et al. 2008).

Biopesticides provide an alternative to synthetic pesticides because of their generally low environmental pollution, low toxicity to humans, and other advantages (Liu et al. 2000). Many herbal products have been used as natural insecticides before the discovery of synthetic organic insecticides (ICMR Bulletin, 2003). Natural products of plant origin with insecticidal properties have been tried in the recent past in order to control a variety of insect pests and vectors. Many approaches have been developed to control mosquito menace. One such approach to prevent mosquitoborne disease is by killing mosquito at larval stage. The current mosquito control approach is based on synthetic insecticides. Even though they are effective, they created many problems like insecticide resistance (Liu et al. 2005). This has necessitated the need for a research and development of environmentally safe, biodegradable indigenous method for vector control. Phytoextracts are emerging as potential mosquito control agents, with low-cost, easy-toadminister, and risk-free properties as compared to isolated or synthesized biopesticides and can be used successfully in mosquito management (Rahuman and Venktesan 2008).

Plants may be a source of alternative agents for control of vectors because they are rich in bioactive chemicals, are active against a limited number of species including specific target insects, and are biodegradable. Phytochemical insecticides have received much attention, in this regard, as they are considered to be more environmentally biodegradable and considered safer than synthetic insecticides (Moretti et al. 2002; Cetin et al. 2004). Many researchers have reported on the effectiveness of plant extract against mosquito larvae (Kalyanasundaram and Das 1985; Govindarajan et al. 2008; Kovendan et al. 2011c, d).

The Solanaceae family comprises about 90 genera and 3,000 species which are widely distributed in the world. They are a rich source of active secondary metabolites (Coletto da Silva et al. 2004). Within this family, the genus *Solanum* is the largest and most complex with more than 1,500 species (Chowdhury et al. 2007), which yield a great variety of steroidal saponins and glycoalkaloids of interest from ecological and human health viewpoints (Roddick et al. 2001).

Numerous species of *Solanum* are known to possess a variety of biological activities including antimycotic (Singh et al. 2007), antiviral (Arthan et al. 2002), molluscicidal (Silva et al. 2006), teratogenic, and cytotoxic properties (Nakamura et al. 1996; Lu et al. 2009).

Solanum xanthocarpum (Family: Solanaceae) is an important medicinal herb in Ayurvedic medicine. Various studies indicated that *S. xanthocarpum* possesses antiasthmatic, hypoglycemic, hepatoprotective, antibacterial, and insect repellent properties. The fruits are reported to contain several steroidal alkaloids like solanacarpine (Gupta and Dutt 1938), and solamargine. Other constituents like caffeic acid coumarins like aesculetin and aesculin (Tupkari et al. 1972), steroids carpesterol, diosgenin, campesterol, daucosterol, and triterpenes like cycloartanol and cycloartenol were reported from the fruits (Sato and Latham 1953). Steroidal glycoalkaloids are naturally occurring, secondary plant metabolites that are formed in a number of foods including potatoes, tomatoes, and eggplants (Friedman and McDonald 1997).

Taxonomy

| Kingdom | Plantae |
|----------------|----------------------|
| Subkingdom | Tracheobionta |
| Division | Magnoliophyta |
| Class | Magnoliopsida |
| Subclass | Asteridae |
| Order | Solanales |
| Family | Solanaceae |
| Genus | Solanum |
| Species | xanthocarpum |
| Botanical name | Solanum xanthocarpum |
| | (Schrad. & Wendl.) |

Bacillus thuringiensis is an insecticide with unusual properties that make it useful for pest control in certain situations. *B. thuringiensis* is a naturally occurring bacterium common in soils throughout the world. Several strains can infect and kill insects. Because of this property, *B. thuringiensis* has been developed for insect control. At present, *B. thuringiensis* is the only "microbial insecticide" in widespread use. The gram-positive endospore-forming bacterium *B. thuringiensis* produces parasporal crystalline inclusions that contain polypeptides (δ -endotoxin) that are toxic to a variety of insect species. The toxin induces the formation of a lytic pore in the midgut epithelial membrane that results in cell lysis, cessation of feeding, and death of the larva (Charles and de Barjac 1983; Singh et al. 1996; Daniel et al. 1995).

B. thuringiensis strains, pathogenic to insects, produce two distinct types of toxin proteins, Cry and Cyt proteins (Crickmore et al. 1995). Generally, the genes encoding these proteins are located on large plasmids, and the proteins are synthesized and form crystalline inclusions during sporulation. More than 100 different cry genes have been identified and sequenced, and significant homologies among the amino acid sequences of this group, in combination with experimental studies, suggest they have a common mode of action, colloidosmotic lysis (Crickmore et al. 1998; Höfte and Whitely 1989).

The use of bacterial agents for mosquito control, especially B. thuringiensis is gaining widespread importance (de Barjac 1978; Abdel-Hameed et al 1980; Priest 1992; Porter 1996). The strategy of combining different vector control agents has proven to be advantageous in various pest management programs (Caraballo, 2000; Seyoum et al. 2002). Many biological control agents have been evaluated against larval stages of mosquitoes, of which the most successful ones comprise bacteria such as B. thuringiensis and Bacillus sphaericus (NICD 1990). Well-known bacterial agents which have been used successfully for mosquito control are B. thuringiensis and B. sphaericus. Two bacterial agents such as the B. thuringiensis and B. sphaericus are being widely used for control of mosquito breeding in a variety of habitats (Balaraman et al. 1983; 1987; Armengol et al. 2006; Medeiros et al. 2005; Geetha and Manonmani 2010; Kovendan et al. 2011a, b).

The purpose of the present investigation was to explore the mosquito control agent under laboratory as well as field conditions. The plant extracts and *B. thuringiensis* are reported to have mosquitocidal properties of the control, the lymphatic filarial vector, *C. quinquefasciatus*.

Materials and methods

Collection of eggs and maintenance of larvae

The eggs rafts of *C. quinquefasciatus* were collected from National Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) field station of Mettupalayam, Tamil Nadu, India, using an "O" type brush. These eggs were brought to the laboratory and transferred to $18 \times 13 \times 4$ cm enamel trays containing 500 ml of water for hatching. The mosquito larvae were fed with pedigree dog biscuits and yeast at 3:1 ratio. The feeding was continued until the larvae transformed into the pupal stage.

Maintenance of pupae and adults

The pupae were collected from the culture trays and transferred to plastic containers (12×12 cm) containing 500 ml of water with the help of a dipper. The plastic jars were kept in a $90 \times 90 \times 90$ -cm mosquito cage for adult emergence. Mosquito larvae were maintained at $27+2^{\circ}$ C,

75–85% RH under a photoperiod of 14L:10D. A 10% sugar solution was provided for a period of 3 days before blood feeding.

Blood feeding of adult C. quinquefasciatus

The adult female mosquitoes were allowed to feed on the blood of a rabbit (a rabbit per day, exposed on the dorsal side) for 2 days to ensure adequate blood feeding for 5 days. After blood feeding, enamel trays with water from the culture trays were placed in the cage as oviposition substrates.

Collection of plant and preparation of extract

The S. xanthocarpum plant was collected in and around Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India. S. xanthocarpum plant was washed with tap water and shade dried at room temperature (27±2°C). An electrical blender powdered the dried plant materials (leaves). From the powder, 300 g of the plant materials was extracted with 1 L of organic solvents of ethanol for using a Soxhlet apparatus (Vogel 1978) boiling point range 60-80°C for 8 h. The extracts were filtered through a Buchner funnel with Whatman number 1 filter paper. The crude plant extracts were evaporated to dryness in rotary vacuum evaporator. One gram of the plant residue was dissolved in 100 ml of acetone (stock solution) and considered as 1% stock solution. From this stock solution, different concentrations were prepared ranging from 50 to 650 ppm, respectively.

Microbial bioassay

B. thuringiensis subsp. *israelensis* was obtained from Tuticorin Alkali Chemicals and Fertilizers Limited, Chennai, India. *B. thuringiensis* subsp. var *israelensis*, 630 ITU/mg (a.i.) 5% w/w; total proteins (including the active ingredient 5% w/w), 10% w/w; fermentation solids, 10% w/w; inert ingredient, 48% w/w; non-ionic surfactant, 0.2 w/w; food grade preservative, 0.3%; UV protectant, 0.1%; and water, 71.4% were used. Total 100% w/w was active specifically against mosquito larvae. The required quantity of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. var *israelensis* was thoroughly mixed with distilled water and prepare to various concentrations, ranging from 50 to 250 ppm, respectively.

Larval/pupal toxicity test

Laboratory colonies of mosquito larvae/pupae were used for the larvicidal/pupicidal activity. Twenty-five numbers of first to fourth instar larvae and pupae were introduced into 500-ml glass beaker containing 249 ml of de-chlorinated water, and 1 ml of desired concentrations of plant extract and *B. thuringiensis* were added. Larval food was given for the test larvae. At each tested concentration, two to five trials were made, and each trial consisted of five replicates.

The control was set up by mixing 1 ml of acetone with 249 ml of dechlorinated water. The larvae and pupae were exposed to dechlorinated water without acetone which served as control. The control mortalities were corrected by using Abbott's formula (Abbott 1925).

| Corrected mortality = | Observed mortality in treatment – Observed mortality in control × | 100 |
|-----------------------|---|-----|
| Confected monanty – | 100 - Control mortality | 100 |

 $Percentage mortality = \frac{Number of dead larvae/pupae}{Number of larvae/pupae introduced} \times 100$

The LC_{50} and LC_{90} were calculated from toxicity data by using probit analysis (Finney 1971).

Field trail

For the field trial, the quantity of plant extract residues and Bti required (based on laboratory LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values) quantity for each treatment was determined by calculating the total surface area of sewage water bodies in each habitat. The required quantities of S. xanthocarpum and Bti were mixed thoroughly with water in a bucket with constant agitation. Teepol was used as emulsifying agent (0.05%). Field applications of the S. xanthocarpum plant extracts and Bti were done with the help of a knapsack sprayer (Sujatha Products, India, Private Limited, 2010) and uniformly on the surface of the sewage water bodies in each habitat. Dipper sampling and counting of larvae monitored the larval density before 24, 48, and 72 h after the treatment. A separate sample was taken to determine the composition of each larval habitat. Six trails were conducted for S. xanthocarpum of the plant extracts and B. thuringiensis alone and combined the treatment. The percentage of reduction was calculated by the following formula:

Percentage of Reduction
$$= \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where C is the total number of mosquitoes in control, T is the total number of mosquitoes in treatment.

Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to analysis of variance; the means were separated using Duncan's multiple range tests (DMRT) by Alder and Rossler (1977). The average larval mortality data were subjected to probit analysis, for calculating LC_{50} and LC_{90} , values were calculated by using the (Finney 1971) method. SPSS (Statistical software package) 16.0

version was used. Results with P < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Larval and pupal mortality of C. quinquefasicatus after the treatment of ethanol S. xanthocarpum was observed. Table 1 provides the results of larval and pupal mortality of C. quinquefasicatus (first to fourth instar larvae) after the treatment at different concentrations (50 to 650 ppm). Forty three percent mortality was noted at first instar larvae by the treatment of S. xanthocarpum at 50 ppm, whereas it has been increased to 92% at 650 ppm; 21.2% mortality was noted at 50 ppm of S. xanthocarpum leaf extract treatment. Similar trend has been noted for all the instars of C. quinquefasicatus at different concentration of S. xanthocarpum treatment. The LC50 and LC90 values were represented as follows; LC₅₀ value of first instar was 155.29 ppm, second instar was 198.32 ppm, third instar was 271.12 ppm, fourth instar was 377.44 ppm, and pupa was 448.41 ppm, respectively. The LC_{90} value of first instar was 687.14 ppm, second instar was 913.10 ppm, third instar was 1,011.89 ppm, fourth instar was 1,058.85 ppm, and pupa was 1,141.65 ppm, respectively.

Table 2 shows the results of larval and pupal mortality of C. quinquefasicatus (first to fourth instar larvae and pupae) after the treatment of B. thuringiensis at different concentrations (50 to 250 ppm). Mortality (30.8%) was noted at first instar larvae by the treatment of B. thuringiensis at 50 ppm, whereas it has been increased to 81.8% at 250 ppm of B. thuringiensis treatment, and 16.6% mortality was noted at pupae by the treatment of B. thuringiensis at 50 ppm, and it has been increased to 51.6% at 250 ppm, respectively. Similar trend has been noted for all the larval instars and pupae of C. quinquefasciatus at different concentrations of *B. thuringiensis* treatment. The LC_{50} and LC₉₀ values were represented as follows: LC₅₀ value of first instar was 133.88 ppm, second instar was 157.14 ppm, third instar was 179.44 ppm, fourth instar was 206.80 ppm, and pupa was 240.74 ppm, respectively. The LC₉₀ value of first

Table 1 Larval and pupal toxicity effect of ethanolic extract of S. xanthocarpum against filarial vector, C. quinquefasciatus

| $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$ | | 1 1 | · · | | | 1 0 | , 1 1 . | , , | |
|--|---------------|----------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------------|--------|-------|
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 | % of Larval ar | nd pupal mortality | ∕±SD | ee ax / | | x^2 | | |
| First instar $43\pm1.74e$ $54\pm1.35f$ $64\pm1.67e$ $77\pm1.85ef$ $92\pm1.95ef$ 155.29 (79.75–210.66) 687.14 2 Second instar $41.6\pm1.35de$ $51.4\pm1.85e$ 57 ± 1.26 cd 66 ± 1.78 cd $83.8\pm1.46de$ 198.32 (103.13–265.30) 913.10 3 Third instar 35 ± 1.67 d $45.6\pm1.95de$ $55.6\pm1.49c$ $64\pm1.09c$ 75.2 ± 1.16 d 271.12 (190.32–336.41) $1,011.89$ 0 Fourth instar $26.2\pm1.83b$ $35.2\pm1.72b$ $51.6\pm1.49b$ $60\pm1.26b$ $67.6\pm1.35b$ 377.44 ($316.34-442.86$) 1058.85 0 Pupa $21.2\pm1.46a$ $33.2\pm1.93a$ $46\pm1.72a$ $52.6\pm1.35a$ $63.6\pm1.85a$ 448.41 ($386.54-527.01$) $1,141.65$ 0 | pupae | Concentration | of S. xanthocarpa | um (ppm) | . , | | | | |
| Second instar $41.6\pm 1.35de$ $51.4\pm 1.85e$ 57 ± 1.26 66 ± 1.78 cd $83.8\pm 1.46de$ 198.32 $(103.13-265.30)$ 913.10 3 Third instar 35 ± 1.67 $45.6\pm 1.95de$ $55.6\pm 1.49c$ $64\pm 1.09c$ 75.2 ± 1.16 271.12 $(190.32-336.41)$ $1,011.89$ 0 Fourth instar $26.2\pm 1.83b$ $35.2\pm 1.72b$ $51.6\pm 1.49b$ $60\pm 1.26b$ $67.6\pm 1.35b$ 377.44 $(316.34-442.86)$ 1058.85 0 Pupa $21.2\pm 1.46a$ $33.2\pm 1.93a$ $46\pm 1.72a$ $52.6\pm 1.35a$ $63.6\pm 1.85a$ 448.41 $(386.54-527.01)$ $1,141.65$ 0 | | 50 | 200 | 350 | 500 | 650 | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c} (765.53-1,182.09) \\ (765.53-1,182.09) \\ (765.53-1,182.09) \\ (842.19-327.44) \\ Fourth instar \\ 26.2\pm 1.83b \\ 21.2\pm 1.46a \\ 33.2\pm 1.93a \\ 46\pm 1.72a \\ 52.6\pm 1.35a \\ 63.6\pm 1.85a \\ 448.41 \\ (386.54-527.01) \\ (842.19-327.44) \\ (891.97-1,354.47) $ | First instar | 43±1.74e | 54±1.35f | 64±1.67e | 77±1.85ef | 92±1.95ef | 155.29 (79.75–210.66) | | 2.88* |
| Fourth instar $26.2\pm 1.83b$ $35.2\pm 1.72b$ $51.6\pm 1.49b$ $60\pm 1.26b$ $67.6\pm 1.35b$ 377.44 $(316.34-442.86)$ 1058.85 0 Pupa $21.2\pm 1.46a$ $33.2\pm 1.93a$ $46\pm 1.72a$ $52.6\pm 1.35a$ $63.6\pm 1.85a$ 448.41 $(386.54-527.01)$ $1,141.65$ 0 | Second instar | 41.6±1.35de | 51.4±1.85e | 57±1.26 cd | 66±1.78 cd | 83.8±1.46de | 198.32 (103.13–265.30) | | 3.17* |
| Pupa 21.2±1.46a 33.2±1.93a 46±1.72a 52.6±1.35a 63.6±1.85a 448.41 (386.54–527.01) 1,141.65 0 | Third instar | 35±1.67 d | 45.6±1.95de | 55.6±1.49c | 64±1.09c | 75.2±1.16 d | 271.12 (190.32–336.41) | , | 0.13* |
| The second | Fourth instar | 26.2±1.83b | 35.2±1.72b | 51.6±1.49b | 60±1.26b | 67.6±1.35b | 377.44 (316.34–442.86) | | 0.90* |
| | Pupa | 21.2±1.46a | 33.2±1.93a | 46±1.72a | 52.6±1.35a | 63.6±1.85a | 448.41 (386.54–527.01) | · · | 0.75* |

Control-Nil mortality, LFL lower fiducial limit, UFL upper fiducial limit, x^2 Chi-square value

Numbers within a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

Each value is the mean±SD of five replicates.

*P<0.05 significance level

instar was 321.04 ppm, second instar was 346.89 ppm, third instar was 388.86 ppm, fourth instar was 430.95 ppm, and pupa was 492.70 ppm, respectively.

Table 3 provides the considerable larval and pupal mortality after the combined effect of *B. thuringiensis* and *S. xanthocarpum* extract against all the larval instars and pupae. The concentration at 50+20 ppm combined treatment of *S. xanthocarpum* and *B. thuringiensis* for first instar larval mortality and pupal mortality was 32.4%, respectively. The LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values were represented as follows: LC₅₀ value of first instar was 126.81 ppm, fourth instar was 137.62 ppm, and pupa was 316.02 ppm, respectively. The LC₉₀ value

of first instar was 476.36 ppm, second instar was 613.49 ppm, third instar was 705.29 ppm, fourth instar was 887.85, and pupa was 1,041.73 ppm, respectively. The χ^2 values are significant at *P*<0.05 level. The 95% confidence limits LC₅₀, LC₉₀ (LFL–UFL) values were also calculated. Larval and pupal mortality was observed after 24 h exposure. No mortality was observed in the control group.

A total number of 1,600 *C. quinquefasciatus* larvae were observed in the sewage water body systems. After treatment with *S. xanthocarpum* against *C. quinquefasciatus*, larval density was reduced by 25.3%, 70.3%, 94.1%, and 23.8% at 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively. Similarly, the reduction of *C. quinquefasciatus* larval densities after treatment with *B.*

Table 2 Larval and pupal toxicity effect of B. thuringeinsis against filarial vector, C. quinquefasciatus

| Mosquito larval instars and pupae | Percent of larv | al and pupal mor | tality±SD | LC ₅₀ (ppm) (LFL–UFL) | LC ₉₀ (ppm) (LFL–UFL) | x^2 | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Concentration | of B. thuringeins | is (ppm) | | | | | |
| | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | | | |
| First instar | 30.8±1.72de | 37.8±1.46e | 56±1.09f | 63±1.67e | 81.8±1.93e | 133.88 (115.43–150.66) | 321.04(283.62–380.67) | 2.28* |
| Second instar | 25.±1.96 d | 33.6±1.74 d | 48.4±1.49e | 58.4±1.2 d | 75.8±1.32 d | 157.14 (139.98–175.12) | 346.89(305.17-414.11) | 0.87* |
| Third instar | 23.2±1.46c | 29±1.67c | 43.4±1.35 cd | 53.4±1.2c | 68.2±1.72bc | 179.44 (160.68–202.27) | 388.86(336.11-478.75) | 0.66* |
| Fourth instar | 19.2±1.46b | 26.4±1.01b | 36.6±1.95b | 48.8±1.6bc | 60±1.75ab | 206.80 (185.02–237.93) | 430.95(366.51–546.38) | 0.08* |
| Pupa | 16.6±1.74a | 23±1.41a | 33.4±1.62a | 41.6±1.85a | 51.6±1.35a | 240.74 (211.80–290.00) | 492.70(407.16-660.70) | 0.06* |

Control-Nil mortality, LFL lower fiducial limit, UFL upper fiducial limit, x² Chi-square value

Numbers within a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

Each value is mean±SD of five replicates

*P<0.05 significance level

| Mosquito larval instars and pupae | Percent of larv | al and pupal mor | tality±SD | LC ₅₀ (ppm) (LFL–UFL) | LC ₉₀ (ppm) (LFL–UFL) | x^2 | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | Concentration | of S. xanthocarp | um (ppm)+B. th | () | | | | |
| | 50+20 | 150+40 | 250+60 | 350+80 | 450+100 | | | |
| First instar | 44.8±1.72de | 59.2±1.6e | 71.4±1.01e | 83.4±1.35ef | 98.2±1.16ef | 126.81 (73.09–166.77) | 476.36 (426.23–548.75) | 5.22* |
| Second instar | 43.6±1.36cd | 57.2±1.72d | 66.6±1.35d | 73.4±1.85cd | 90.4±1.49e | 137.62 (63.96–188.42) | 613.49 (533.31–745.16) | 2.92* |
| Third instar | 42±1.67c | 54.2±1.32bc | 60.6±1.01c | 66.8±1.16c | 87.2±1.46cd | 169.14 (93.44–221.64) | 705.29 (602.43–885.78) | 4.67* |
| Fourth instar | 37.4±1.35b | 46.2±1.63b | 55.8±1.46b | 62.8±1.72ab | 74.4±1.85ab | 238.27 (163.84–295.36) | 887.85 (731.30–198.29) | 0.26* |
| Pupa | 32.4±1.01a | 41±1.41a | 52.6±1.62a | 55.8±1.16a | 66.2±1.32a | 316.02 (248.62–385.23) | 1,041.73 (834.35–491.52) | 0.59* |

Table 3 Combined effect of larval and pupal activity of ethanolic extract of S. xanthocarpum and B. thuringiensis against filarial vector, C. quinquefasciatus

Control-Nil mortality, LFL lower fiducial limit, UFL upper fiducial limit, x^2 Chi-square value *Significant at P<0.05 level.

Numbers within a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

Each value is the mean \pm SD of five replicates

thuringiensis were 23.87%, 67.5%, and 91.7%, respectively. Combined effect of *S. xanthocarpum* and *B. thuringiensis* were 15.34%, 68.12%, and 100% at 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively (Tables 4, 5).

Discussion

C. quinquefasciatus is one of the potential vectors of *W. bancrofti*, the causative agent of human lymphatic filariasis infecting over 120 million people all over the world (Terranella et al. 2006). Singh Karam and Bansal (2003) and Bansal et al. (2009) also observed that extracts from fresh green and yellow fruits of this plant were very much effective to the vectors of malaria and dengue. Mohan et al. (2005) also observed that the fruits of this plant were very effective against the larvae of

Anopheles stephensi (24 h LC_{50} of CCl4 extract being 5.1 ppm) and *C. quinquefasciatus* (24 h LC_{50} of petroleum ether extract being 62.2 ppm), respectively.

The petroleum ether *S. xanthocarpum* extract exhibited maximum larvicidal activity against *A. stephensi* compared to the other extracts. Results regarding the larvicidal efficacy of this plant are supported by findings of Singh Karam and Bansal (2003), who studied the larvicidal activity of aqueous fruit (LC₅₀=0.058%) and root extract (LC₅₀=1.08%) of *S. xanthocarpum* against *A. stephensi*. Mohan et al. (2005) reported the larvicidal activity of carbon tetrachloride fruit extracts of the same plant (LC₅₀=5.11 ppm) against the same vector species. The individual bioefficacy of petroleum ether root extract of *S. xanthocarpum* and temephos was studied and noted their LC₅₀ values 41.28 and 38.48 ppm; 0.0041 and 0.0029 ppm and LC₉₀ 111.16 and 80.83 ppm; 0.0164 and

| Sample No. | Before treatment | Larval density | | | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | | After treatr | After treatment | | | | | | |
| | | S. xanthoco | arpum | | B. thuringiensis | | | | |
| | | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | | |
| 1 | 275 | 205 | 115 | 37 | 214 | 104 | 25 | | |
| 2 | 290 | 210 | 95 | 22 | 222 | 116 | 27 | | |
| 3 | 249 | 173 | 85 | - | 195 | 89 | 30 | | |
| 4 | 260 | 190 | 76 | 2 | 182 | 65 | 20 | | |
| 5 | 241 | 195 | 44 | - | 204 | 78 | 16 | | |
| 6 | 285 | 222 | 60 | 31 | 201 | 68 | 14 | | |
| Total | 1,600 | 1,195 | 475 | 94 | 1,218 | 520 | 132 | | |
| Average | 266.66 | 199.16 | 79.16 | 15.6 | 213.0 | 86.6 | 22.0 | | |
| Reduction | _ | 25.31% | 70.31% | 94.12% | 23.87% | 67.5% | 91.75 | | |

Table 4Field trial by usingplant extracts of S. xanthocar-pum and bacterial insecticideB. thuringiensis sewagewater bodies $2.0 \times 1.8 \times 1.4$ against C. quinquefasciatus

Table 5 Field trial by using combined effect of sewage water bodies $2.0 \times 1.8 \times 1.4$ against *C. quinquefasciatus*

| Sample no. | Before treatment | Larval density | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------|------|--|--|--|
| | | After treatment | | | | | |
| | | S. xanthocarpum+B. thuringiensis | | | | | |
| 1 | 275 | 220 | 98 | _ | | | |
| 2 | 290 | 241 | 97 | _ | | | |
| 3 | 249 | 236 | 72 | - | | | |
| 4 | 260 | 190 | 81 | - | | | |
| 5 | 241 | 214 | 79 | _ | | | |
| 6 | 285 | 253 | 83 | - | | | |
| Total | 1,600 | 1354 | 510 | 0 | | | |
| Average | 266.66 | 225.66 | 85 | 0 | | | |
| Reduction | _ | 15.34% | 68.12% | 100% | | | |

0.0116 ppm after 24 and 48 h of exposure, respectively (Mohan et al. 2006; 2008). In the present results, 43% mortality was noted at first instar larvae by the treatment of *S. xanthocarpum* at 50 ppm, whereas it has been increased to 92% at 650 ppm; 21.2% mortality was noted at 50 ppm of *S. xanthocarpum* leaf extract treatment at 24 h exposure; the LC₅₀ values of first to fourth instars and pupae were 155.29, 198.32, 271.12, 377.44, and 448.41 ppm, respectively. The LC₉₀ value of first instar was 687.14 ppm, second instar was 913.10 ppm, third instar was 1,011.89 ppm, fourth instar was 1,058.85 ppm, and pupa was 1,141.65 ppm, respectively.

Various compounds including phenolics, terpenoids, and alkaloids exit in plants (Wink 1993) which may jointly or independently contribute to the generation of larvicidal activities in mosquitoes (Hostettmann and Potterat 1997). Earlier authors reported that the effect of water extract of citrus-seed extract showed LC₅₀ values of 135, 319.40, and 127,411.88 ppm against the larvae of *Aedes aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus* (Sumroiphon et al. 2006). Dua et al. (2006) have reported that the mean median lethal concentration values of the aqueous extract from the roots of *Hibiscus abelmoschus* against the larvae of *Anopheles culicifacies*, *A. stephensi*, and *C. quinquefasciatus* were 52.3, 52.6, and 43.8 ppm, respectively. The aqueous extract of *Rhinacanthus nasutus* showed LC₅₀ values of 5,124 and 9,681 mg/l against *C. quinquefasciatus* and *A. aegypti*, respectively (Chansang et al. 2005).

In a previous study, the oils of 41 plants were evaluated for their effects against third instar larvae of *A. stephensi*, *A. aegypti*, and *C. quinquefasciatus*. At first, the oils were surveyed against *A. aegypti* using a 50 ppm solution. Thirteen oils from 41 plants (camphor, thyme, amyris, lemon, cedarwood, frankincense, dill, myrtle, juniper, black pepper, verbena, helichrysum, and sandalwood) induced 100% mortality after 24 h, or even after shorter periods. The pest oils were tested against third instar larvae of the three mosquito species in concentrations of 1, 10, 50, 100, and 500 ppm. The lethal concentration 50 values of three oils ranged between 1 and 101.3 ppm against *A. aegypti*, between 9.7 and 101.4 ppm for *A. stephensi* and between 1 and 50.2 ppm for *C. quinquefasciatus* (Amer and Mehlhorn 2006a).

Biological control with entomopathogenic bacteria has been increasingly used as a larvicide to control populations of various medically important dipterans of the genera *Culex* and *Aedes*. Like chemical larvicides, these agents can cause drastic density-dependent mortality, killing all larvae within 24–48 h, after breeding site treatment. Moreover, they are selective to insects and are consequently considered soft to non-target fauna commercial products. Based on this, *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* is currently available (Thiery et al. 1996). The combined effect of neem and pongamia oil with *B. thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* showed higher larval toxicity on *C. quinquefasciatus* (Murugan et al. 2002). Kuppusamy and Ayyadurai (2011) reported that lyophilized powders of purified Cyt1A crystals of *B. thuringiensis* were much more toxic yielding a 50% LC₅₀ of 11.332 mg/l, respectively.

Garcia and Desrochers (1979) observed appreciable mortality only with high concentrations $(1 \times 107 \text{ cells/ml})$ of B. thuringiensis var. israelensis. The biocide at 1 to 10 kg/ha (0.25 to 2.5 ppm) caused 18% to 88% mortality of midges during a 4-week evaluation period. Younger instars are more susceptible than older ones as shown by C. quinquefasciatus. Exposure periods longer than 48 h in the laboratory may produce better activity results of the B. thuringiensis var. israelensis formulations against the midges' species (Ali, 1981). It was recently reported that B. thuringiensis israelensis against the first to fourth instar larvae were of values LC₅₀=9.332%, 9.832%, 10.212%, 10.622% and LC₉₀= 15.225%, 15.508%, 15.887%, and 15.986% larvae of C. quinquefasciatus, respectively (Kovendan et al. 2011a). In the present results, B. thuringiensis first to fourth instar larvae and pupae have LC₅₀ values of 133.88, 157.14, 179.44, 206.80, and 240.74 ppm, respectively, against C. quinquefasciatus.

The persistency of larvicidal effects of 13 oils (camphor, thyme, amyris, lemon, cedarwood, frankincense, dill, myrtle, juniper, black pepper, verbena, helichrysum, and sandalwood) was examined by storage of 50-ppm solutions under different conditions (open, closed, in the light, and in the dark) for 1 month after the preparation of the solutions. The stored solutions were tested against *A. aegypti* larvae for four times during the storage period. Some oils under some conditions stayed effective until the last test, while some solutions had lost their toxicity during a short time after preparation. Thus, the mode of storage is absolutely important for the larvicidal effects. The fresh preparations were always the best (Amer and Mehlhorn 2006b).

Rao et al. (1995) reported that the field-tested relatively stable lipid-rich fractions of neem products were as effective as good quality crude neem products in the control of culicine vectors of Japanese encephalitis and produced a slight but significant reduction in population of anopheline pupae. According to Mustafa and Al Khazaraji (2008) Azadirachta excels Jack showed excellent larvicidal properties at low concentrations against Culex pipiens molestus. Its LC_{50} value after 1 day was 62.5 µg/mL. Dua et al. (2009) stated that emulsified concentration of neem oil formulation showed 95.5% reduction in larval population of C. quinquefasciatus in 1 day under field trials and thereafter 80% reduction was achieved up to the third week. In a recent study, the field trials were conducted by using *Clerodendron* inerme and Acanthus ilicifolius treatment in different habitats of three species of mosquito vectors namely malarial vector, A. stephensi, dengue vector, A. aegypti, and filarial vector, C. quinquefasciatus (Vadavalli, Mettupalayam, Navavoor privu, Pommanam palayam, Ooty, Mettupalayam (Kallaru) in Tamil Nadu, India. The percentage reduction of larval mortality also showed the variations among the different breeding habitats of mosquito vectors at 24, 48, and 72 h. This may be due to the impact of geographical distribution of A. stephensi, A. aegypti, and C. quinquefas*ciatus* at the breeding sites (Kovendan and Murugan, 2011). The maximum highest percentage of larval mortality L. aspera and followed by Abutilon indicum, Hydnellum suaveolens, and Jatropha curcas plant extracts of field trial 60.4%, 81.9%, and 99.7% and 51.7%, 77.6%, and 92%; and 50%, 73.5%, and 90.4%; 46.7%, 71.7%, and 89.9% at 24, 48 and 72 h, respectively, against C. quinquefasciatus (Kovendan et al. 2011e). In the present results, combined effect of S. xanthocarpum and B. thuringiensis in the field were 15.34%, 68.12%, and 100% at 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively.

In the present study, the larvicidal, pupicidal, and filed evaluation of plant extracts and Bti against *C. quinquefasciatus* were evaluated. These plant extracts and bacterial insecticide showed that they have good effective mosquito control and this work shows promising results. The natural products of biopesticdes are eco-friendly for the vector control management programs.

Acknowledgments We thank Dr. K. Sasikala, Professor and Head, Department of Zoology, Bharathiar University for the laboratory facilities provided. The authors are grateful to Mr. N. Muthukrishnan, Technician and Mr. A. Anbarasan, Lab Assistant, National Centre for Diseases Control (NCDC), Mettupalayam, Tamil Nadu for their help in mosquito collection and mosquito samples provided for the present work.

References

- Abbott WS (1925) A method of computing the effectiveness of insecticides. J Econ Entomol 18:267–269
- Abdel-Hameed A, Carlberg G, El Tayer OM (1980) Studies world. J Microbiol Biotechnol 6:299–304

- Alder HL, Rossler EB (1977) Introduction to probability and statistics. Freeman, San Francisco, p 246
- Ali A (1981) Bacillus thuringiensis serovar. israelensis (ABG-6108) against Chironomids and some non target aquatic invertebrates. J Invertebr Pathol 38:264–272
- Amer A, Mehlhorn H (2006a) Larvicidal effects of various essential oils against *Aedes, Anopheles*, and *Culex* larvae (Diptera, Culicidae). Parasitol Res 99:466–472
- Amer A, Mehlhorn H (2006b) Repellency effect of forty-one essential oils against Aedes, Anopheles, and Culex mosquitoes. Parasitol Res 99:478–490
- Armengol G, Hernandez J, Velez JG, Orduz S (2006) Long-lasting effects of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovar israelensis experimental tablet formulation for *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) control. J Econ Entomol 99:1590–1595
- Arthan D, Svasti J, Kittakoop P, Pittayakhachonwut D, Tanticharoen M, Thebtaranonth Y (2002) Antiviral isoflavonoid sulfate and steroidal glycosides from the fruits of *Solanum torvum*. Phytochemistry 59:459–463
- Balaraman K, Balasubramanian M, Jambulingam P (1983) Field trial of *Bacillus thuringiensis* H-14 (VCRC B-17) against *Culex* and *Anopheles* larvae. Indian J Med Res 77:38–43
- Balaraman K, Gunasekaran K, Pillai PK, Manonmani AM (1987) Field trial with different formulations of *Bacillus sphaericus* for mosquito control. Indian J Med Res 85:620–625
- Bansal SK, Singh KV, Kumar S (2009) Larvicidal activity of the extracts from different parts of the plant *Solanum xanthocarpum* against important mosquito vectors in the arid region. J Environ Biol 30:221–226
- Bernhard L, Bernhard P, Magnusson P (2003) Management of patients with lymphoedema caused by filariasis in North-eastern Tanzania: alternative approaches. Physiotherapy 89:743–749
- Bills PA (2001) New database of pesticide resistant insects and mites (Arthropods). Pestic Notes 14:2–4
- Caraballo AJ (2000) Mosquito repellent action of Neemos. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 16:45–6
- Cetin H, Erler F, Yanikoglu A (2004) Larvicidal activity of a botanical natural product, AkseBio2, against *Culex pipiens*. Fitoterapia 75:724–728
- Chansang U, Zahiri NS, Bansiddhi J, Boonruad T, Thongsrirak P, Mingmuang J, Benjapong N, Mulla MS (2005) Mosquito larvicidal activity of aqueous extracts of long pepper (*Piper retrofractum Vah*l) from Thailand. J Vector Ecol 30(2):195–200
- Charles JF, de Barjac H (1983) Action des cristaux de Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis Su intestin moyen des larves de Aedes aegypti L. en microscopic electronique. Ann Microbiol Inst Pasteur 134:197–218
- Chowdhury N, Bhattacharjee I, Laskar S, Chandra G (2007) Efficacy of *Solanum villosum* Mill. (Solanaceae: Solanales) as a biocontrol agent against fourth instar larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. Turk J Zool 31:365–370
- Coletto da Silva A, Kinupp V, Absy M, Kerr W (2004) Pollen morphology and study of the visitors (Hymenoptera, Apidae) of *Solanum stramoniifolium* Jacq. (Solanaceae) in Central Amazon. Acta Bot Bras 18:653–657
- Crickmore N, Zeigler DR, Feitelson J, Schnepf E, Van Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Dean DH (1998) Revision of the nomenclature for the *Bacillus thuringiensis* pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62:807–813
- Crickmore N, Bone EJ, Williams JA, Ellar DJ (1995) Contribution of the individual components of the D-endotoxin crystal to the mosquitocidal activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 131:249–254
- Daniel T, Umarani S, Sakthivadivel M (1995) Insecticidal action of Ervatamia divaricata L. and Acalypha indica L. against Culex quinquefasciatus Say. Geobias 14:95–98

- De Barjac H (1978) Une nouvelle variete de *Bacillus thuringiensis* tres toxique pour les moustiques. *B. thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* serotype 14. CR Acad Sci (Paris) 286:797–800
- Dua VK, Pandey AC, Alam ME, Dash AP (2006) Larvicidal activity of *Hibiscus abelmoschus* Linn. (Malvaceae) against mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 22(1):155–157
- Dua VK, Pandey AC, Raghavendra K, Gupta A, Sharma T, Dash AP (2009) Larvicidal activity of neem oil (*Azadirachta indica* formulation against mosquitoes). Malar J 8:124
- Finney DJ (1971) Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, London, pp 68–78
- Friedman M, McDonald GM (1997) Potato glycoalkaloids: chemistry, analysis, safety and plant physiology. Crit Rev Plant Sci 16:55– 132
- Garcia R, Desrochers BD (1979) Toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* to some California mosquitoes under different conditions. Mosq News 39:541–544
- Geetha I, Manonmani AM (2010) Surfactin: a novel mosquitocidal biosurfactant produced by *Bacillus subtilis* sp. *subtilis* (VCRC B471) and influence of abiotic factors on its pupicidal efficacy. Let appl microbiol 51(4):406–412
- Govindarajan M, Jebanesan A, Pushpanathan T (2008) Larvicidal and ovicidal activity of *Cassia fistula* Linn. leaf extract against filarial and malarial vector mosquitoes. Parasitol Res 102(2):289–292
- Gupta MP, Dutt S (1938) Chemical examination of the seeds of *Solanum xanthocarpum* Schard & Wendel. Part II. The constituents. J Indian Chem Soc 15:95–100
- Höfte H, Whitely H (1989) Insecticidal crystal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Microbiol Rev 53:242–255
- Hostettmann K, Potterat O (1997) Strategy for the isolation and analysis of antifungal, molluscicidal, and larvicidal agents from tropical plants. In: Phy tochemicals for pest control (Eds. P.A. Hedin, R.M. Hollingworth, E.P. Masler, J. Miyamoto and D.G. Thompson). ACS Symp. Ser. No. 658, Am. Chem. Soc., Washington D.C., USA. p 14 26
- Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) Bulletin (2003) Prospects of using herbal products in the control of mosquito vectors 33(1): 1-10
- James AA (1992) Mosquito molecular genetics: the hands that feed bite back. Science 257:37–8
- Kalyanasundaram M, Das PK (1985) Larvicidal and synergistic activity of plant extracts for mosquito control. Indian J Med Res 82:19–21
- Kovendan K, Murugan K (2011) Effect of medicinal plants on the mosquito vectors from the different agro-climatic regions of Tamil Nadu, India. Adv Environ Biol 5(2):335–344
- Kovendan K, Murugan K, Vincent S, Kamalakannan S (2011a) Larvicidal efficacy of *Jatropha curcas* and bacterial insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, against lymphatic filarial vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res 109:1251–1257
- Kovendan K, Murugan K, Vincent S, Barnard DR (2011b) Studies on larvicidal and pupicidal activity of *Leucas aspera* Willd. (Lamiaceae) and bacterial insecticide, *Bacillus sphaericus* against malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston. (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. doi:10.1007/s00436-011-2469-2
- Kovendan K, Murugan K, Vincent S (2011c) Evaluation of larvicidal activity of *Acalypha alnifolia* Klein ex Willd. (Euphorbiaceae) leaf extract against the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi*, dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* and *Bancroftian filariasis* vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. doi:10.1007/ s00436-011-2525-y
- Kovendan K, Murugan K, Naresh Kumar A, Vincent S, Hwang JS (2011d) Bio-efficacy of larvicdial and pupicidal properties of *Carica papaya* (Caricaceae) leaf extract and bacterial insecticide, spinosad against chikungunya vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. doi:10.1007/s00436-011-2540-z
- Kovendan K, Murugan K, Panneerselvam C, Mahesh Kumar P, Amerasan D, Subramaniam J, Vincent S, Barnard DR (2011e) Laboratory and

field evaluation of medicinal plant extracts against filarial vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. doi:10.1007/s00436-011-2736-2

- Kuppusamy C, Ayyadurai N (2011) Synergistic activity of Cyt1A from Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis with Bacillus sphaericus B101 H5a5b against Bacillus sphaericus B101 H5a5b-resistant strains of Anopheles stephensi Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res. doi:10.1007/s00436-011-2502-5
- Liu SQ, Shi Cao JJ, Jia H, Liu FB, XQ, Shi GL (2000) Survey of pesticidal component in plant. In Entomology in China in 21st Century, Proceedings of 2000 Conference of Chinese Entomological Society ed . Science & Technique Press. 1098–1104
- Liu H, Xu Q, Zhang L, Liu N (2005) Chlorpyrifos resistance in mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*. J Med Entomol 42(5):815–820
- Lu Y, Luo J, Huang X, Kong L (2009) Four new steroidal glycosides from *Solanum torvum* and their cytotoxic activities. Steroids 74:95–101
- Maheswaran R, Sathis S, Ignacimuthu S (2008) Larvicidal activity of Leucus aspera (Willd.) against the larvae of Culex quinquefasciatus Say. and Aedes aegypti. Int J Biol 2(3):214–217
- Medeiros FP, Santos MA, Regis L, Rios EM, Rolim Neto PJ (2005) Development of a *Bacillus sphaericus* tablet formulation and its evaluation as a larvicide in the biological control of *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 100:431–434
- Mohan L, Sharma P, Srivastava CN (2005) Evaluation of Solanum xanthocarpum extracts as mosquito larvicides. J Environ Biol 26:399–401
- Mohan L, Sharma P, Srivastava CN (2006) Evaluation of *Solanum xanthocarpum* extracts as a synergist for cypermethrin against the filarial vector, *Celux quinquefasciatus* (Say). Entomol Res 36:220–5
- Mohan L, Sharma P, Srivastava CN (2008) Bioefficacy of chloropyrephos and temephos against anopheline and culicine larvae. J Entomol Res 32:147–50
- Moretti MD, Sanna-Passino G, Demontis S, Bazzoni E (2002) Essential oil formulations useful as a new tool for insect pest control. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech 3:E13
- Murugan K, Thangamathi P, Jeyabalan D (2002) Interactive effect of botanical and *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* on *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. J Sci Ind Res 61:1068–1076
- Mustafa MA, Al Khazaraji A (2008) Effect of some plant extracts on the *Culex pipiens* molestus Forskal larvae. Iraqi J Vet Sci 22:9–12
- Nakamura T, Komori A, Lee Y, Hashimoto F, Yahara S, Nohara T, Ejima A (1996) Cytotoxic activities of *Solanum* steroidal glycosides. Biol Pharm Bull 19:554–566
- National Institute of Communicable Diseases (NICD) (1990) Proceedings of the National Seminar on operation research on vector control in filariasis. New Delhi
- Porter AG (1996) Mosquitocidal toxins, genes and bacteria: the hit squad. Parasitol Today 12:175–179
- Priest FG (1992) Biological control of mosquitoes and other biting flies by *Bacillus sphaericus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis*. J Appl Microbiol 72:357–369
- Rahuman AA, Venktesan P (2008) Larvicidal efficacy of five cucurbitacious plant leaf extracts against mosquito species. Parasitol Res 103:133–139
- Rao DR, Reuben R, Nagasampagi BA (1995) Development of combined use of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and water management for the control of culicine mosquitoes in rice fields. Med Vet Entomol 9:25–33
- Roddick J, Weissenberg M, Leonard A (2001) Membrane disruption and enzyme inhibition by naturally-occurring and modified chacotriose-containing *Solanum* steroidal glycoalkaloids. Phytochemistry 56:603–610
- Sato Y, Latham JR (1953) The isolation of diosgenin from *Solanum xanthocarpum*. J Am Chem Soc 75:6067

- Seyoum A, Palsson K, Kung'a S (2002) Traditional use of mosquito repellent plants in western Kenya and their evaluation in semi-field experimental huts against *Anopheles gambiae:* ethnobotanical studies and application by thermal expulsion and direct burning. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 96:225–31
- Singh CP, Singh KN, Pandey MC (1996) Insect growth regulatory effect of neem derivative "Neemolin" on Spilosoma obligue Walker. Pestology 5:11–13
- Silva T, Camara C, Agra F, de Carvalho M, Frana M, Brandoline S, da Silva L, Braz-Filho R (2006) Molluscicidal activity of *Solanum* species of the northeast of Brazil on *Biomphalaria glabrata*. Fitoterapia 77:449–452
- Singh Karam V, Bansal SK (2003) Larvicidal potential of a perennial herb Solanum xanthocarpum against vectors of malaria and dengue/DHF. Curr Sci 84:749–751
- Singh O, Subharani K, Singh N, Devi N, Nevidita L (2007) Isolation of steroidal glycosides from *Solanum xanthocarpum* and studies on their antifungal activities. Nat Prod Res 21:585–590
- Sumroiphon S, Yuwaree C, Arunlertaree C, Komalamisra N, Rongsriyam Y (2006) Bioactivity of citrus seed for mosquito-borne diseases

larval control. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 37 (3):123-127

- Terranella A, Eigiege A, Gontor I, Dagwa P, Damishi S, Miri E, Blackburn B, McFarland D, Zingeser J, Jinadu MY, Richards FO (2006) Urban lymphatic filariasis in central Nigeria. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 100(2):163–172
- Thiery I, Back C, Barbazzan P, Sinegre G (1996) Applications de Bacillus thuringiensis et de B. sphaericus dans la demoustication et la., lutte contreles veccteurs de maladies tropicales. Ann Inst Pasteur Actual 7:47–260
- Tupkari SV, Saoji AN, Deshmukh VK (1972) Phytochemical study of Solanum xanthocarpum. Planta Med 22(6):184–187
- Vogel (1978) Textbook of practical organic chemistry. The English 804 Language Book Society and Longman, London, p 1368
- WHO (1992) Lymphatic filariasis: the disease and its control. 5th report. Who Expert Committee on Filariasis. Technical Report Series. p 821
- Wink M (1993) Production and application of phytochemicals from an agricultural perspective. In: Van Beek TA, Breteler H (eds) Phytochemistry and agriculture. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, pp 171–213