RESEARCH

Optical coherence tomography and convolutional neural networks can diferentiate colorectal liver metastases from liver parenchyma ex vivo

lakovos Amygdalos¹ · Enno Hachgenei² · Luisa Burkl² · David Vargas³ · Paul Goßmann¹ · Laura I. Wolff¹ · Mariia Druzenko¹ · Maik Frye² · Niels König² · Robert H. Schmitt^{2,4} · Alexandros Chrysos¹ · Katharina Jöchle¹ · $\mathsf{Tom}\, \mathsf{F}$. Ulmer $^1\, \cdot$ Andreas Lambertz $^1\, \cdot$ Ruth Knüchel-Clarke $^3\, \cdot$ Ulf P. Neumann $^1\, \cdot$ Sven A. Lang 1

Received: 1 June 2022 / Accepted: 2 August 2022 / Published online: 12 August 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is an imaging technology based on low-coherence interferometry, which provides non-invasive, high-resolution cross-sectional images of biological tissues. A potential clinical application is the intraoperative examination of resection margins, as a real-time adjunct to histological examination. In this ex vivo study, we investigated the ability of OCT to diferentiate colorectal liver metastases (CRLM) from healthy liver parenchyma, when combined with convolutional neural networks (CNN).

Methods Between June and August 2020, consecutive adult patients undergoing elective liver resections for CRLM were included in this study. Fresh resection specimens were scanned ex vivo, before fxation in formalin, using a table-top OCT device at 1310 nm wavelength. Scanned areas were marked and histologically examined. A pre-trained CNN (Xception) was used to match OCT scans to their corresponding histological diagnoses. To validate the results, a stratifed k-fold crossvalidation (CV) was carried out.

Results A total of 26 scans (containing approx. 26,500 images in total) were obtained from 15 patients. Of these, 13 were of normal liver parenchyma and 13 of CRLM. The CNN distinguished CRLM from healthy liver parenchyma with an F1-score of 0.93 (0.03), and a sensitivity and specificity of 0.94 (0.04) and 0.93 (0.04), respectively.

Conclusion Optical coherence tomography combined with CNN can distinguish between healthy liver and CRLM with great accuracy ex vivo. Further studies are needed to improve upon these results and develop in vivo diagnostic technologies, such as intraoperative scanning of resection margins.

Keywords Optical coherence tomography · Hepatobiliary · Neural networks · Machine learning · Colorectal liver metastases · Deep learning

Aachen, Aachen, Germany ⁴ Laboratory for Machine Tools and Production Engineering (WZL), RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer type worldwide, with more than a million new cases diagnosed in 2018 (Bray et al. [2018\)](#page-10-0). The primary cause of mortality in CRC patients is metastatic disease, with up to 25% of patients sufering from synchronous liver metastases and a further 40% developing metachronous disease (Bingham et al. [2020](#page-10-1); Hitpass et al. [2020,](#page-10-2) [2021\)](#page-10-3). Curative liver resection with complete tumor removal is the best option for colorectal liver metastases (CRLM) and frozen sections are routinely employed to ensure tumor-negative resection margins (Hitpass et al. [2020, 2021;](#page-10-2) Lee et al. [2020](#page-10-4)). However, intraoperative frozen sections are time-consuming, especially when multiple tissue samples are examined. This leads to longer operation times, particularly when frozen sections are positive, requiring further clearance of the resection margin.

An attractive technology, with the potential to overcome these hurdles, is optical coherence tomography (OCT). This is a non-invasive imaging technology, based on low-coherence interferometry, which produces real-time, high-resolution cross-sectional images at a depth of 1–3 mm, depending on tissue type and wavelength (usually 800–1300 nm). Axial and lateral resolutions of 1–20 μm can be achieved, which are high enough to identify microscopic features such as lymphatic aggregates and blood vessels (Garcia-Allende et al. [2011](#page-10-5); Amygdalos [2014;](#page-10-6) Samel and Mashimo [2019](#page-11-0); Zhu et al. [2020](#page-11-1); Kufcsak et al. [2021](#page-10-7)). Combining the attractive features of OCT with an efficient and accurate quantitative analysis technique would result in a powerful diagnostic tool, especially when using advanced processing modalities, such as machine learning (ML) (Aggarwal et al. [2021,](#page-10-8) Saratxaga, Bote et al. 2021). For example, in surgery, intraoperative OCT could help better defne resection planes and potentially provide information on surgical margins faster than frozen section examination.

In the feld of artifcial intelligence, ML is a technique for training machines to autonomously perform tasks, using computational methods. In this process, features are

extracted from known data and used to make predictions on a new dataset (Goodfellow [2016;](#page-10-9) Chollet [2017](#page-10-10); Beam and Kohane [2018](#page-10-11); Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019;](#page-10-13) Aggarwal et al. [2021;](#page-10-8) Saratxaga et al. [2021](#page-11-2)). A ML model consisting of connected layers of computational units is known as a neural network (NN). In NN, units are typically structured in multiple layers, where each layer´s output serves as the input for the next (Goodfellow [2016](#page-10-9); Chollet [2017;](#page-10-10) Beam and Kohane [2018](#page-10-11); Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019;](#page-10-13) Aggarwal et al. [2021](#page-10-8); Saratxaga et al. [2021\)](#page-11-2). Such models form the basis of deep learning (DL), where much more complex problems can be processed. An example of a DL model that is widely used for the processing of images, is the convolutional neural network (CNN). Here, convolutional layers apply multiple flters on the input, allowing the DL process to recognize various structures in images during the learning process (Goodfellow [2016](#page-10-9); Chollet [2017;](#page-10-10) Beam and Kohane [2018](#page-10-11); Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019;](#page-10-13) Aggarwal et al. [2021](#page-10-8); Saratxaga et al. [2021\)](#page-11-2).

The aim of this study was to investigate the ability of OCT combined with CNN to diferentiate between healthy liver parenchyma and CRLM, ex vivo.

Methods

Patient cohort and inclusion criteria

Consecutive adult patients undergoing elective liver resections for CRLM at the University Hospital RWTH Aachen (UH-RWTH) between June and August 2020 were included in this study. Patients undergoing emergency operations were excluded, as were those unable or unwilling to provide informed consent.

OCT device and scan settings

A commercially available table-top spectral domain OCT (SD-OCT) device was used (TelestoTM V1, Thorlabs GmbH, Lübeck, Germany), operating at 1310 nm central wavelength, with an axial resolution of 4.9 μm in water and 6.5 μm in air, a maximum imaging depth of 2.5 mm and a scan rate of up to 92 kHz. The system can scan a single point, producing a column of pixels (A-scan) or sweep the beam to create two-dimensional (B-scan) or threedimensional (C-scan) images. In this study, C-scans were obtained for each area of interest and dimensions were set at 3.0 mm \times 3.0 mm \times 2.5 mm and $1024 \times 1024 \times 512$ pixels, respectively. This efectively produced 1024 B-scans for each site, at 1024×512 pixel resolution. The A-scan rate for acquisition was set to 28 kHz and at each point of the feld of view four consecutive A-scans were acquired and then averaged in order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

The resulting pixel sizes were 2.93 μ m in the X and Y axis and 4.97 µm in the Z axis direction, respectively. Scanning time for each C-scan was approximately 4 min. A typical SD-OCT system is depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0)

Specimen collection and scanning

The specimen collection process and scanning methodology were similar to our previously described work on upper gastrointestinal tissues (Garcia-Allende et al. [2011](#page-10-5); Amygdalos [2014](#page-10-6)). Briefy, resection specimens were collected directly from the operating room and scanned whilst still fresh. Tissues were placed in formalin only after imaging, as the cross-linking of tissue proteins caused by the formalin fxation process changes their structural and optical properties. In cases of frozen section examination, OCT scanning took place after reporting on frozen sections was complete, to prevent any interference with the diagnostic process. For each resection specimen, tumor and healthy liver parenchyma distant to tumor sites were scanned, completely flling up the system´s feld of view with each tissue type. This reduced the complexity of the CNN´s task, by ensuring that all OCT images were purely tumor or healthy liver parenchyma, without any mixed tissues, thus creating a classifcation task with only two classes for the neural network to solve. Liver specimens were sectioned into multiple lamellae for histological reporting, which allowed access to deep-lying tumor. Tissues were kept intact for the scanning process, to prevent drying-out and to ensure that specimens remained anatomically correct for histological reporting. Additionally, isotonic sodium chloride solution was poured onto the tissue surface between scans, to maintain hydration. Scanning was carried out in an "open air" confguration, with specimens placed directly under the OCT lens, at a slightly tilted angle, to minimize refections from the tissue surface. No covers, such as glass slides, were used and tissues were not treated with any contrast-enhancing agents. A real-time B-scan mode was used for initial placement of tissues and height adjustment of the OCT lens. The aim here was to minimize the amount of air above the tissue surface, in order to maximize signal penetration. At the same time, care was taken to prevent any tissue being cut off at the top of the image, as the laser beam traversed the surface to build up the C-scan. After acquisition, each three-dimensional C-scan was controlled in all

Fig. 1 A typical spectral domain OCT system. Broadband light is split into sample and reference arms, which travel equal distances to the tissue sample and a mirror, respectively. Transverse scanning allows for multiple points in tissue to be scanned. Light refected

from tissue and the reference mirror is recombined inside a spectrometer. Computer processing of the signal intensity at diferent depths produces A-scans, which are combined to create two-dimensional Band three-dimensional C-scans. Created with www.BioRender.com

directions for errors such as refection artefacts or cropped tissue. Scanned specimen areas were marked with pins and/ or embedded in separate containers. These areas were later sectioned, examined, and reported on independently of the main resection specimen, allowing for exact matching of OCT data with histological diagnoses. All specimens were placed in formalin within 30 min of resection and a dedicated pathologist reviewed the corresponding parafn-fxed sections, providing detailed histological information for each patient and OCT scan. A typical scanning orientation is depicted in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0)

Image pre‑processing

The OCT data outputted from the Thorlabs system was imported for processing into the Anaconda environment (*Anaconda Software Distribution*, 2020. *Anaconda Documentation*. Anaconda Inc. Retrieved from [https://docs.](https://docs.anaconda.com/) [anaconda.com/\)](https://docs.anaconda.com/), using the Python programming language (RRID:SCR_008394) (VanRossum and Drake [2010](#page-11-3)). C-scans were reconstructed using metadata (such as image dimensions, number of rows and columns, number of pixels etc.) and intensity values in decibel (dB), embedded in the original Thorlabs OCT fles. As the range of intensity values in the raw OCT images is too high for viewing and would drastically increase computational time, the next step involved scaling to a 0–255 range. For this, the frst, middle, and last B-scan of each C-scan was analyzed for minimum and maximum intensities, which were then used to scale intensities across the whole C-scan. Analysis of intensity values was done in the direction of A-scans (top to bottom), as the highest intensities are produced by refected signal at the tissue surface and the lowest are deep in the tissue, where signal is scattered and absorbed. This scaling technique produced images with higher contrast compared to standard methods, such as histogram analysis, which examines intensity across the whole image, regardless of location.

As the CNN is not designed to work with three-dimensional data, each C-scan was analyzed as a series of B-scans. These were first exported as portable network graphics (PNG) fles and pre-processed, starting with correction of artefacts caused by refections from the tissue surface during the scanning process. These artefacts are caused by saturation of single pixels in the detector camera used within the

Fig. 2 Typical OCT scanning orientation. Here a lamella including both CRLM and healthy liver parenchyma is being scanned. The area of tumor already scanned has been marked with red pins

spectrometer of the OCT system and manifest as bright columns in the images, which contain no useful information. The artefacts were corrected by a function, which removed columns with a signifcantly higher mean intensity than the mean intensity of the whole image, resulting in slightly narrower fnal images. These corrected images then underwent a series of steps to remove further errors and areas containing no useful information, such as the air above the tissue surface or areas deep in the tissue with insufficient SNR. To identify those regions, a 5×5 median filter was applied, followed by binarization through gray value thresholding. Here, any pixel with a value of 20 and below was converted to black and the rest turned to white. The binarization process was followed by *foodfll, erosion* and *dilation* operations, to connect pixels of the same type (tissue or non-tissue) to continuous areas, resulting in images where all useful information (superficial tissue) is white, and the rest (air, deep tissue) is black. After that, the OpenCV function *fndContours* (Suzuki and Abe [1985](#page-11-4)) was applied to better defne boundaries between these areas. The process produced images where useful information was sharply displayed, whereas air and deep tissue were blackened out, making cropping of these areas easier. Finally, corrected images were cropped to remove blackened out areas and produce overlapping 299×299 pixel square shapes, which is the required input size for the Xception CNN (Chollet [2017\)](#page-10-10). After the automated pre-processing of all data, manual quality control was carried out on the outputs through expert OCT users (IA and LB), to remove problematic C-scans and to guarantee the highest possible quality of the used data. Criteria for exclusion of C-scans were: too many refection artefacts, causing the error-correction process to crop a signifcantly large $(\geq 1/3)$ part of the image, artefacts which persisted even after error-correction, afecting the readability of images through the ML algorithm, and pronounced tissue surface irregularities, resulting in cropped images which contained little tissue information. In cases where these problems were limited to a few B-scans, they were ignored. If, however, $\geq 1/3$ of B-scans per C-scan were afected, the whole C-scan was excluded from analysis. The preprocessing methodology is summarized in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0)

Neural network analysis

For DL analysis of the preprocessed images, the opensource, high-level application programming interface (API) Keras was used (available at [https://github.com/keras-team/](https://github.com/keras-team/keras) [keras](https://github.com/keras-team/keras)). From there, a pre-trained Xception CNN was fnetuned and used to diferentiate OCT scans based on their corresponding histological diagnoses. The original architecture of Xception and a modifed version for OCT image analysis have been extensively described before (Chollet [2017](#page-10-10); Saratxaga et al. [2021\)](#page-11-2). Briefy, Xception consists of a linear stack of 36 depth-wise separable convolution layers, structured into 14 modules with residual connections in all but the frst and last one (Chollet [2017](#page-10-10)). It is an "extreme" version of the Inception module frst described in 2014 (Szegedy et al. [2015\)](#page-11-5), applying a 3×3 convolution to every single output channel of the pointwise convolution, a so-called depth-wise convolution. Efectively, Xception examines cross-channel correlations frst, then spatial correlations. Experiments have shown that the absence of non-linearities leads to both faster convergence and better fnal performance. Therefore, the Xception modules are implemented without intermediate non-linearity, in contrast to Inception (Chollet [2017\)](#page-10-10). The input of Xception is a fxed size 299×299 red–green–blue (RGB) image, with an input channel for each color. As OCT scans are grayscale images and therefore only use one channel, the same grayscale image was used for all three input channels and the model was modifed for a binary output (healthy or tumor). Additionally, a GlobalAveragePooling layer, a fully connected layer with a single output and the sigmoid activation function were added to the model architecture. The modifed Xception architecture, as used in this study, is outlined in Fig. [4.](#page-6-0)

Stratifed k-fold cross-validation (CV) was used to apply a 70:15:15 data-split for training, validation, and testing. First, 15% of the total dataset was randomly selected for the testing phase and set aside. Then, the CV process was carried out on the remaining 85%, in 5 sets (labelled A–E), each with 5 random, non-overlapping iterations of the 70:15 training/validation split. Individual C-Scans were kept intact throughout all data-splitting and randomization processes, preventing neighboring B-Scans being split into training and validation sets, which would result in falsely high accuracy. Through multiple exploratory model-training runs, the optimal CNN hyperparameters were determined to be a batch size of 20 over 10 epochs and a learning rate of 0.00001. Batch size refers to the number of images being processed by the model at the same time, whereas an epoch is a complete cycle, where all batches comprising the dataset have been processed. The learning rate defnes the step size in which the weights of the model are changed between epochs, to optimize the CNN performance. The CV process resulted in a total of 25 trained versions of the CNN (labelled A1–E5), identical in all but the data-split on which their training was based. Each version then made predictions on the same test data previously set aside, ensuring inter-model comparability.

A cross-entropy loss function was used to calculate prediction errors and construct confusion matrices for the CV process. From these, sensitivity, specifcity, F1-score and loss values were calculated, the latter being common performance metrics in ML. The F1-score is the harmonic mean of positive predictive value (PPV) and sensitivity (also known as precision and recall in the ML context, respectively), whereas loss

Fig. 3 Summary of the preprocessing methodology. **A** detection and removal of refection artefacts from a B-scan, resulting in a slightly narrower fnal image. **B** application of a median flter, binary mask, *foodfll*, *erosion*, *dilation* and *fndContours* functions, resulting in

images where all useful information is white and the rest is black. **C** cropping of black areas and conversion of useful areas in the image into 299px x 299px squares, for input into the neural network

is a metric of CNN prediction accuracy on the training and validation set, indicating how well the model is learning (Murphy [2013](#page-11-6); Tharwat [2020](#page-11-7)). The F1-score and loss values were plotted as learning curves for each CV run, to illustrate model optimization after an increasing number of epochs. Predictions on the test set from the 25 trained and validated versions of the CNN were also recorded as confusion matrices, providing performance metrics for each individual model. These were then averaged to provide F1-score, sensitivity, and specifcity values for the study as a whole. Continuous data are presented as mean (standard deviation) where applicable. More information about the F1-score and loss functions can be found in the Supplement. The programming code used in this study has been uploaded to https://github.com/iamygdalos/OCT_CRLM and can be used to reproduce these experiments, as well as be modifed for new research questions. Furthermore, the OCT data used in this study is available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Results

Specimen statistics

Two C-scans of tumor and two of healthy liver parenchyma were discarded due to persisting noise and refection errors. As

Global architecture of customised Xception convolutional neural network

Fig. 4 Diagram of the modifed Xception model architecture, as used in this study. The input has been modifed to accept the same image in grayscale for each channel and a global averaging pool has been added to the model

a result, 26 scans (comprising approximately 26,500 B-scans) from 15 patients (7 males, 8 females, mean age 57) were included in the study. Of these, 13 were of normal liver parenchyma and 13 of CRLM. As both tumor and normal scans were largely obtained from the same patients, there are no clinical or demographic diferences to report between healthy and tumor groups.

Xception classifcation results

Across all 25 trained versions, the Xception CNN distinguished tumor from healthy liver parenchyma with a mean F1-score of 0.93 (0.03). Mean sensitivity and specifcity were 0.94 (0.04) and 0.93 (0.04), respectively. Sensitivity and specificity across all 25 models ranged from 0.86 to 0.99 and from 0.78 to 0.96, respectively, whereas the F1-score ranged from 0.88 to 0.97. During CNN training and validation, F1-scores fuctuated among the frst four epochs of each CV, then fattened out for the rest (see plotted learning curves in Supplemental Fig. 1), showing a good optimization of the model. The prediction results on the test set for all models are outlined in Table [1](#page-7-0) and the corresponding confusion matrices are depicted in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0)

Discussion

This ex vivo study demonstrated that OCT combined with the Xception CNN can diferentiate between healthy liver parenchyma and CRLM with high sensitivity and specifcity. Specifcally, across 25 trained versions of the CNN, a mean F1-score of 0.93 was achieved, with a mean sensitivity and specifcity of 0.94 and 0.93, respectively.

The application of ML in clinical situations is the subject of an ever-increasing number of studies in diverse clinical areas (Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019;](#page-10-13) Zhou et al. [2020](#page-11-8); Aggarwal et al. [2021](#page-10-8)). Many focus on the identifcation of pathological lesions in various imaging modalities, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), ultrasound, mammography, or endoscopic pictures (Esteva et al. [2019](#page-10-12); Kelly et al. [2019](#page-10-13); Zhou et al. [2020](#page-11-8); Aggarwal et al. [2021](#page-10-8)). Furthermore, DL models are increasingly being applied to digital patient records (Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019](#page-10-13); Beaulieu-Jones et al. [2021\)](#page-10-14), or clinical and perioperative data, with the aim of predicting morbidity, mortality and oncological outcomes (Motwani et al. [2017](#page-10-15); Hofer et al.

Table 1 Performance metrics of the 25 trained CNN models, derived from their predictions on the test set

CNN convolutional neural network; *PPV* positive predictive value; *NPV* negative predictive value; *SD* standard deviation. The CNN models are labelled A1–E5, according to which cross-validation set and cycle they were trained and validated in

[2020;](#page-10-16) Rahman et al. [2020](#page-11-9); Subudhi et al. [2021](#page-11-10)). Finally, DL analysis of histopathological images has been shown to predict survival and response to chemotherapy or immunotherapy regimens in oncological patients (Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019](#page-10-13); Wulczyn et al. [2021](#page-11-11)).

Deep learning has also been applied to OCT images in various settings, such as ophthalmology, cardiology and neurosurgery (Athanasiou et al. [2019](#page-10-17); Alqudah [2020](#page-10-18); Le et al. [2021](#page-10-19); Moller et al. [2021;](#page-10-20) Zhang et al. [2021](#page-11-12)). In the gastrointestinal system, Saratxaga et al. combined Xception CNN with OCT to achieve an accuracy of 89% in distinguishing between healthy and diseased mouse colon (Saratxaga et al. [2021](#page-11-2)). Furthermore Zeng et al. scanned fresh human colon resection specimens, in a similar fashion to our study, and used the RetinaNet DL to distinguish healthy colon from tumor (Zeng et al. [2020\)](#page-11-13). In their study, the CNN was manually trained by human operators to detect a dentate pattern in normal mucosa images. A sensitivity of 100% and specifcity of 99.7% was achieved (Zeng et al. [2020](#page-11-13)). Finally, Fonollà et al. combined volumetric laser endomicroscopy (VLE), a form of OCT, with multiple pre-trained CNN based on the Vgg167 architecture and achieved 88% accuracy in detecting neoplasia in patients with Barrett´s oesophagus (Fonollà et al. [2019](#page-10-21)).

Despite the plethora of studies on OCT in gastrointestinal tissues, there are hardly any on liver tissues. An in vitro study investigated drug-induced liver injury using OCT on 3D liver spheroids (Martucci et al. [2018\)](#page-10-22), whereas various ex vivo studies have been carried out on animal liver tissues, ranging from proof-of-concept studies (Jain et al. [2011\)](#page-10-23), to experiments on improving contrast in OCT images (Genina et al. [2012](#page-10-24)), to investigations of fbrosis and steatosis using polarization-sensitive OCT (Wu et al. [2007](#page-11-14); Mukherjee et al. [2021\)](#page-11-15). Mu et al. carried out a proof-of-concept ex vivo study on formalin-fxed human tissues, including liver, using fullfeld optical coherence tomography (FF-OCT) (Mu et al. [2019\)](#page-11-16). This was a side-by-side demonstration of imaging capabilities compared to histology pictures, without any

Fig. 5 Confusion matrices for the 25 trained CNN models, derived from their predictions on the test set. The models are labelled A1–E5, according to which cross-validation set and cycle they were trained

testing of the system´s diagnostic capabilities. A similar study from Zhu et al. demonstrated FF-OCT images of human liver tissues, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and cholangiocarcinoma (Zhu et al. [2015\)](#page-11-17). In a following study, the same research group applied a support vector machine (SVM) model to FF-OCT images of formalin-fxed human liver specimens, distinguishing morphological characteristics of HCC from healthy liver parenchyma with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.94 (Zhu et al. [2020](#page-11-1)). Finally, Zhou et al. carried out an in vitro study with human normal and cancerous liver tissues using gold nanoparticles as contrast agents. Tissue samples were frozen within 12 h after resection and continuously scanned over 4 h whilst thawing, as nanoparticles were applied on the tissue surface. Comparisons of signal intensity were carried out between cancer and normal, showing a larger signal attenuation in cancerous tissues, although no formal statistical analysis was carried out (Zhou et al. [2015\)](#page-11-18).

All in all, previous studies have demonstrated the potential of OCT as a diagnostic system beyond its imaging capabilities, but also sufer from various limitations. There is a great variation in methodologies, computer models and processing algorithms, OCT systems and tissues being

and validated in; *AP* actual positive; *AN* actual negative; *PP* predicted positive; *PN* predicted negative

investigated (Garcia-Allende et al. [2011](#page-10-5); Amygdalos [2014](#page-10-6)). Many studies are designed as proof-of-principle demonstrations, without systematic calibration and long-term data gathering. In contrast, we employed a pre-trained CNN and used CV, both being well accepted techniques (Beam and Kohane [2018](#page-10-11); Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Kelly et al. [2019;](#page-10-13) Aggarwal et al. [2021](#page-10-8); Saratxaga et al. [2021\)](#page-11-2). Specifcally, the CV process in our study was carried out fvefold over 5 nonoverlapping sets, producing 25 trained versions of the CNN with consistently high F1-scores ranging from 0.88 to 0.97, giving us confdence in the reproducibility of our results. Furthermore, we used Xception, which is a top performer in tests on large image datasets and has been shown to work well on OCT images (Saratxaga et al. [2021\)](#page-11-2). Moreover, a common problem in ex vivo OCT studies is the lack of access to fresh tissues, leading to generally low sample numbers and a large variation in the physiological conditions of tissues between diferent studies (fresh, frozen, formalinfxed, whole specimens, small biopsies) (Garcia-Allende et al. [2011;](#page-10-5) Amygdalos [2014;](#page-10-6) Zhu et al. [2015,](#page-11-17) [2020](#page-11-1); Mu et al. [2019](#page-11-16); Zeng et al. [2020](#page-11-13), [2021;](#page-11-19) Saratxaga et al. [2021\)](#page-11-2). In this study, we scanned fresh tissues directly after resection and before fxation in formalin, keeping their structural and optical properties as close to in vivo as possible, which lets us extrapolate our results to that domain (Garcia-Allende et al. [2011](#page-10-5); Amygdalos [2014\)](#page-10-6). Additionally, access to whole resection specimens allowed for imaging orientations applicable to real-life clinical scenarios and the examination of various tissue types, such as tumor, liver parenchyma, bile ducts, lymph nodes or even areas of transition from healthy to cancerous tissue. Finally, the non-destructive methodology of this study (no excision of separate tissue samples, instead marking of areas on resection specimens), which left the histopathological diagnostic process unencumbered, enabled the collection of a relatively large dataset in a short time period, even after the exclusion of OCT scans with high noise levels and other problems.

Notably, no studies were found in the literature on classifying SD-OCT scans of liver tissue using ML or DL approaches, such as CNNs. Hence, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the frst to combine these techniques using human liver tissue. Expanding on this work with a larger dataset would allow us to investigate new research questions, such as the diferentiation of diferent tumors or properties of liver parenchyma (for example, steatosis, fbrosis, chemotherapy-associated liver damage). Furthermore, we could modify the CNN architecture, for example by increasing the number of hidden layers to enable learning of more complex features, tuning hyperparameters to improve learning rate, or programming the CNN to process whole volume data (C-scans) to extract more relevant information (Chollet [2017](#page-10-10); Esteva et al. [2019;](#page-10-12) Saratxaga et al [2021](#page-11-2)).

Our study sufers from some limitations, starting with our OCT system, whose speed and memory capacity placed constraints on image quality and scan size, as time is limited when working with fresh tissues. As OCT technology is rapidly evolving, new systems are continually being produced, ofering higher speed, resolution, and SNR. Utilizing such a system in future work would allow us to overcome some of these problems. Moreover, although Xception performed well in distinguishing liver tissue from CRLM, an increasing loss was observed over the epochs of most CV runs, indicating overftting (Cawley and Talbot [2010](#page-10-25)). Additionally, the F1-scores varied greatly within individual CV runs, which suggests a high dependence on the distribution of the training and validation data split. These problems could be overcome by training the CNN on a larger dataset. Finally, using a larger or external test dataset (such as from another clinic or research group) would have also strengthened our results (Beam and Kohane [2018;](#page-10-11) Esteva et al. [2019](#page-10-12); Kelly et al. [2019;](#page-10-13) Aggarwal et al. [2021\)](#page-10-8). However, as already mentioned, there is little available data on OCT in liver tissues.

Despite its limitations, our study showed promising results as a proof of concept, with the potential for development into future clinical applications. These include quick intraoperative examination of liver resection margins, which

would reduce the number of frozen sections and total operation time (Moller et al. [2021](#page-10-20)). A key obstacle is the diferentiation of benign lesions (such as ductular proliferations, von Meyenburg complexes or hemangiomas) or scarred liver tissue from clinically relevant malignant lesions. This is crucial for the correct defnition of resection margins as tumorfree and can be challenging under real-life frozen section conditions (Moglerr et al. [2012;](#page-10-26) Pittman and Yantiss [2018](#page-11-20)). For these challenges to be overcome, the algorithm must be trained on a larger dataset, encompassing diverse tissue types and pathologies, as well as mixed-tissue scans. This will be the aim of further ex vivo studies, eventually moving to the in vivo domain.

Conclusions

This ex vivo study on human liver specimens showed that the Xception CNN can diferentiate between healthy liver parenchyma and CRLM in OCT images, with a high sensitivity and specifcity. This could lead to quick and accurate detection of tumors in vivo, for example in the intraoperative examination of resection margins during liver surgery. Further studies are necessary in this area, especially moving from the ex vivo to the in vivo setting.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-022-04263-z>.

Acknowledgements Not applicable.

Author contributions The study was designed by the initiating study team (IA, EH, DV, RKC, UN, and SL). Data collection and analysis were carried out by IA, EH, LB, DV, MF, AC, KJ, PG, LW, and MD. The manuscript was drafted by IA, EH, LB, and SL. All additional authors (RS, NK, FU, and AL) contributed substantially to the fnal version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This research project was supported by internal departmental funds. Equipment was provided by the Fraunhofer Institute for Production Technology IPT, Aachen, Germany.

Availability of data and materials The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request (iamygdalos@ukaachen.de).

Declaration

Conflict of interest The authors declare no potential conficts of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate The study was conducted under ethical approval of the Institutional Review Board of the RWTH Aachen University (EK-105/20) and in accordance with the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki, the Declaration of Istanbul, and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines (ICHGCP). All patients provided written informed consent before inclusion in this study.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Aggarwal R, Sounderajah V, Martin G, Ting DSW, Karthikesalingam A, King D, Ashrafan H, Darzi A (2021) Diagnostic accuracy of deep learning in medical imaging: a systematic review and metaanalysis. NPJ Digit Med 4(1):65
- Alqudah AM (2020) AOCT-NET: a convolutional network automated classifcation of multiclass retinal diseases using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography images. Med Biol Eng Comput 58(1):41–53
- Amygdalos I (2014) Detection and classifcation of gastrointestinal cancer and other pathologies through quantitative analysis of optical coherence tomography data and goniophotometry. PhD Thesis, Department of Surgery & Cancer, Imperial College London. <https://doi.org/10.25560/27257>
- Athanasiou L, Olender M, de la Torre Hernandez JM, Ben-Assa E, Edelman E (2019) A deep learning approach to classify atherosclerosis using intracoronary optical coherence tomography, Proceedings, vol 10950. Medical imaging 2019: computer-aided diagnosis; 109500N (2019) Event: SPIE Medical Imaging, 2019, San Diego, California, United States. [https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/](https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/126580) [126580,](https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/126580)<https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2513078>
- Beam AL, Kohane IS (2018) Big data and machine learning in health care. JAMA 319(13):1317–1318
- Beaulieu-Jones BK, Yuan W, Brat GA, Beam AL, Weber G, Ruffin M, Kohane IS (2021) Machine learning for patient risk stratifcation: standing on, or looking over, the shoulders of clinicians? NPJ Digit Med 4(1):62
- Bingham G, Shetye A, Suresh R, Mirnezami R (2020) Impact of primary tumour location on colorectal liver metastases: a systematic review. World J Clin Oncol 11(5):294–307
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 68(6):394–424
- Cawley GC, Talbot NLC (2010) On over-ftting in model selection and subsequent selection bias in performance evaluation. J Mach Learn Res 11:2079–2107
- Chollet F (2017) Xception: deep learning with depthwise separable convolutions. In: 2017 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR), pp 1800–1807. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.195) [1109/CVPR.2017.195](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.195)
- Esteva A, Robicquet A, Ramsundar B, Kuleshov V, DePristo M, Chou K, Cui C, Corrado G, Thrun S, Dean J (2019) A guide to deep learning in healthcare. Nat Med 25(1):24–29
- Fonollà R, Scheeve T, Struyvenberg MR, Curvers WL, de Groof AJ, van der Sommen F, Schoon EJ, Bergman JJGHM, de With PHN (2019) "Ensemble of deep convolutional neural networks for classifcation of early Barrett's neoplasia using volumetric laser endomicroscopy. Appl Sci 9(11):2183. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/app9112183) [app9112183](https://doi.org/10.3390/app9112183)
- Garcia-Allende PB, Amygdalos I, Dhanapala H, Goldin RD, Hanna GB, Elson DS (2011) Morphological analysis of optical coherence tomography images for automated classifcation of gastrointestinal tissues. Biomed Opt Express 2(10):2821–2836
- Genina EA, Terentyuk GS, Khlebtsov BN, Bashkatov AN, Tuchin VV (2012) Visualisation of distribution of gold nanoparticles in liver tissues ex vivo and in vitro using the method of optical coherence tomography. Quantum Electron 42(6):478–483
- Goodfellow, I. B. Y. C. A. (2016). Deep learning.
- Hitpass L, Amygdalos I, Sieben P, Raaf V, Lang S, Bruners P, Kuhl CK, Barabasch A (2021) MRI-based quantitation of hepatic steatosis does not predict hypertrophy rate after portal vein embolization in patients with colorectal liver metastasis and normal to moderately elevated fat fraction. J Clin Med 10(9):2003. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10092003) doi.org/10.3390/jcm10092003
- Hitpass L, Heise D, Schulze-Hagen M, Pedersoli F, Ulmer F, Amygdalos I, Isfort P, Neumann U, Kuhl C, Bruners P, Zimmermann M (2020) Primary tumor location is a prognostic factor for intrahepatic progression-free survival in patients with colorectal liver metastases undergoing portal vein embolization as preparation for major hepatic surgery. Cancers (basel) 12(6):1638. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12061638) [10.3390/cancers12061638](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12061638)
- Hofer IS, Lee C, Gabel E, Baldi P, Cannesson M (2020) Development and validation of a deep neural network model to predict postoperative mortality, acute kidney injury, and reintubation using a single feature set. NPJ Digit Med 3:58
- Jain M, Shukla N, Manzoor M, Nadolny S, Mukherjee S (2011) Modifed full-feld optical coherence tomography: a novel tool for rapid histology of tissues. J Pathol Inform 2:28
- Kelly CJ, Karthikesalingam A, Suleyman M, Corrado G, King D (2019) Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artifcial intelligence. BMC Med 17(1):195
- Kufcsak A, Bagnaninchi P, Erdogan AT, Henderson RK, Krstajic N (2021) Time-resolved spectral-domain optical coherence tomography with CMOS SPAD sensors. Opt Express 29(12):18720–18733
- Le D, Son T, Yao X (2021) Machine learning in optical coherence tomography angiography. Exp Biol Med (maywood) 246(20):2170–2183
- Lee KS, Suchett-Kaye I, Abbadi R, Finch-Jones M, Pope I, Strickland A, Rees J (2020) Microscopic resection margins adversely infuence survival rates after surgery for colorectal liver metastases: an open ambidirectional cohort study. Int J Surg 83:8–14
- Martucci NJ, Morgan K, Anderson GW, Hayes PC, Plevris JN, Nelson LJ, Bagnaninchi PO (2018) Nondestructive optical toxicity assays of 3D liver spheroids with optical coherence tomography. Adv Biosyst 2(3):1700212
- Mogler C, Flechtenmacher C, Schirmacher P, Bergmann F (2012) Frozen section diagnostics in visceral surgery. Liver, bile ducts and pancreas. Pathologe 33(5):413–423
- Moller J, Bartsch A, Lenz M, Tischoff I, Krug R, Welp H, Hofmann MR, Schmieder K, Miller D (2021) Applying machine learning to optical coherence tomography images for automated tissue classifcation in brain metastases. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg 16(9):1517–1526
- Motwani M, Dey D, Berman DS, Germano G, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah MH, Andreini D, Budoff MJ, Cademartiri F, Callister TQ, Chang HJ, Chinnaiyan K, Chow BJ, Cury RC, Delago A, Gomez M,

Gransar H, Hadamitzky M, Hausleiter J, Hindoyan N, Feuchtner G, Kaufmann PA, Kim YJ, Leipsic J, Lin FY, Mafei E, Marques H, Pontone G, Raff G, Rubinshtein R, Shaw LJ, Stehli J, Villines TC, Dunning A, Min JK, Slomka PJ (2017) Machine learning for prediction of all-cause mortality in patients with suspected coronary artery disease: a 5-year multicentre prospective registry analysis. Eur Heart J 38(7):500–507

- Mu N, Gao WR, Zhou YW (2019) Non-invasive observation of human tissue samples with full feld optical coherence tomography. Chin J Electron 28(5):987–992
- Mukherjee P, Miyazawa A, Fukuda S, Yamashita T, Lukmanto D, Okada K, El-Sadek IA, Zhu L, Makita S, Oshika T, Yasuno Y (2021) Label-free functional and structural imaging of liver microvascular complex in mice by Jones matrix optical coherence tomography. Sci Rep 11(1):20054
- Murphy KP (2013) Machine learning: a probabilistic perspective. MIT Press, Cambridge
- Pittman ME, Yantiss RK (2018) Frozen sections of the liver. Surg Pathol Clin 11(2):453–466
- Rahman SA, Walker RC, Lloyd MA, Grace BL, van Boxel GI, Kingma BF, Ruurda JP, van Hillegersberg R, Harris S, Parsons S, Mercer S, Grifths EA, O'Neill JR, Turkington R, Fitzgerald RC, Underwood TJ; OCCAMS Consortium (2020) Machine learning to predict early recurrence after oesophageal cancer surgery. Br J Surg 107(8):1042–1052.<https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.11461>
- Samel NS, Mashimo H (2019) Application of OCT in the gastrointestinal tract. Appl Sci Basel 9(15):2991. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/app9152991) [app9152991](https://doi.org/10.3390/app9152991)
- Saratxaga CL, Bote J, Ortega-Morán JF, Picón A, Terradillos E, del Río NA, Andraka N, Garrote E, Conde OM (2021) Characterization of optical coherence tomography images for colon lesion diferentiation under deep learning. Appl Sci 11(7):3119. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/app11073119) [3390/app11073119](https://doi.org/10.3390/app11073119)
- Subudhi S, Verma A, Patel AB, Hardin CC, Khandekar MJ, Lee H, McEvoy D, Stylianopoulos T, Munn LL, Dutta S, Jain RK (2021) Comparing machine learning algorithms for predicting ICU admission and mortality in COVID-19. NPJ Digit Med 4(1):87
- Suzuki S, Abe K (1985) Topological structural analysis of digitized binary images by border following. Comput vis Gr Image Process 30(1):32–46
- Szegedy C, Wei L, Yangqing J, Sermanet P, Reed S, Anguelov D, Erhan D, Vanhoucke V, Rabinovich A (2015) IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR), pp 1–9. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298594) doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298594
- Tharwat A (2020) Classifcation assessment methods. App Comput Inf 17(1):168–192
- VanRossum G, Drake FL (2010) The Python language reference. Python Software Foundation, Hampton
- Wu CC, Wang YM, Lu LS, Sun CW, Lu CW, Tsai MT, Yang CC (2007) Tissue birefringence of hypercholesterolemic rat liver measured with polarization-sensitive optical coherence tomography. J Biomed Opt 12(6):064022
- Wulczyn E, Steiner DF, Moran M, Plass M, Reihs R, Tan F, Flament-Auvigne I, Brown T, Regitnig P, Chen PC, Hegde N, Sadhwani A, MacDonald R, Ayalew B, Corrado GS, Peng LH, Tse D, Muller H, Xu Z, Liu Y, Stumpe MC, Zatloukal K, Mermel CH (2021) Interpretable survival prediction for colorectal cancer using deep learning. NPJ Digit Med 4(1):71
- Zeng Y, Chapman WC Jr, Lin Y, Li S, Mutch M, Zhu Q (2021) Diagnosing colorectal abnormalities using scattering coefficient maps acquired from optical coherence tomography. J Biophotonics 14(1):e202000276
- Zeng Y, Xu S, Chapman WC Jr, Li S, Alipour Z, Abdelal H, Chatterjee D, Mutch M, Zhu Q (2020) Real-time colorectal cancer diagnosis using PR-OCT with deep learning. Theranostics 10(6):2587–2596
- Zhang G, Fu DJ, Liefers B, Faes L, Glinton S, Wagner S, Struyven R, Pontikos N, Keane PA, Balaskas K (2021) Clinically relevant deep learning for detection and quantifcation of geographic atrophy from optical coherence tomography: a model development and external validation study. Lancet Digital Health 3(10):e665–e675
- Zhou F, Wei HJ, Ye XP, Hu K, Wu GY, Yang HQ, He YH, Xie SS, Guo ZY (2015) Infuence of nanoparticles accumulation on optical properties of human normal and cancerous liver tissue in vitro estimated by OCT. Phys Med Biol 60(3):1385–1397
- Zhou J, Wang W, Lei B, Ge W, Huang Y, Zhang L, Yan Y, Zhou D, Ding Y, Wu J, Wang W (2020) Automatic detection and classifcation of focal liver lesions based on deep convolutional neural networks: a preliminary study. Front Oncol 10:581210
- Zhu Y, Gao W, Guo Z, Zhou Y, Zhou Y (2020) Liver tissue classifcation of en face images by fractal dimension-based support vector machine. J Biophotonics 13(4):e201960154
- Zhu Y, Gao WR, Zhou Y, Guo YC, Guo F, He Y (2015) Rapid and high-resolution imaging of human liver specimens by full-feld optical coherence tomography. J Biomed Opt 20(11):116010. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.20.11.116010>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.