REVIEW – CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



# **ERG expression in prostate cancer: biological relevance and clinical implication**

**Hatem Abou‑Ouf1,3 · Liena Zhao1 · Tarek A. Bismar1,2,3**

Received: 3 July 2015 / Accepted: 10 December 2015 / Published online: 28 December 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

#### **Abstract**

*Introduction* Screening for increased levels of prostatespecific antigen (PSA) has allowed early detection of a large majority of prostate cancer (PCa) cases. However, the relative lack of specificity of PSA has resulted in significant over-diagnosis and unnecessary treatment for indolent tumors. The fusion of the transmembrane protease serine 2 with E26 transformation-specific family genes, particularly ERG, is the most widespread genetic alteration in prostate cancer, and data suggest that it is more specific for neoplastic prostate disease and may be of added prognostic value and point toward molecular subtype of PCa.

*Methods* In this review, retrospective studies and clinical trials were analyzed to highlight the recent advances in our understanding of the cellular consequence of ERG rearrangement, describe its interactions with other genetic and molecular pathways, and discuss its potential diagnostic and prognostic value.

*Conclusion* ERG over-expression has an emerging role in the diagnosis of PCa pathology, although there is still debate about its prognostic value. Elucidation of the mechanisms of ERG gene rearrangements and expression promises novel therapeutic and diagnostic avenues for prostate cancer.

 $\boxtimes$  Tarek A. Bismar Tarek.Bismar@cls.ab.ca

- Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Calgary and Calgary Laboratory Services, Calgary, AB, Canada
- <sup>2</sup> Departments of Oncology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
- <sup>3</sup> Arnie Charbonneau Cancer Institute and Tom Baker Cancer Center, Calgary, AB, Canada

**Keywords** ERG · Prostate cancer · Clinical implication · Prognosis · Diagnosis · Gene signatures

#### **Introduction**

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer diagnosed in North American men, excluding skin cancers (Siegel et al. [2015](#page-11-0)). It is estimated that in 2015, approximately 220,800 new cases and 27,540 prostate cancer-related deaths will occur in the USA (Siegel et al. [2015](#page-11-0)). While the increased use of the relatively nonspecific (PSA) testing and subsequent biopsy has led to an increase in incidence rates, mortality figures have seen much less variation (Ross et al. [2008](#page-11-1)). The overall high death toll of PCa is mostly due to recurrence of previously treated cases and progression to a largely incurable hormone refractory metastatic disease (Denmeade and Isaacs [2002;](#page-9-0) Feldman and Feldman [2001](#page-9-1)). It is becoming obvious that more specific diagnostic and therapeutic modalities are a critical unmet need in prostate cancer research.

*ERG* was discovered in 2005, to be the most frequently over-expressed proto-oncogene in prostate cancers, by quantitative RT-PCR, with 72 % of cases overexpressing *ERG* (Petrovics et al. [2005](#page-11-2)). In the same year, Tomlins et al. [\(2005](#page-12-0)) reported a recurrent genomic rearrangement in prostate cancer, resulting in the fusion of the 5′ untranslated region of the androgen-responsive transmembrane serine proteinase *TMPRSS2* with E26 transformation-specific (*ETS*) family genes. While fusion to a number of *ETS* genes, including *ETV1*, *ETV4* and *ETV5,* has been reported, the most common gene fusion identified is between TMPRSS2 (21q22.3) and ETS-related gene (*ERG*) (21q22.2), present in about half of all prostate cancers studied (Kumar-Sinha et al. [2008](#page-10-0)).

#### *ERG* **background and discovery**

The *ETS*-related gene (*ERG*) was first described by Reddy et al. [\(1987](#page-11-3)), assigned to the *ETS* oncogene family (Reddy et al. [1987](#page-11-3); Rao et al. [1987](#page-11-4)), and is located on human chro-mosome 21, band q22 (Rao et al. [1988](#page-11-5)). The unique feature of the *ETS* family, including *ERG*, is the presence of the highly conserved *ETS* DNA-binding domain that binds to sequences containing a central *GGA* motif (Hollenhorst et al. [2011](#page-10-1)). Genes in the *ETS* family regulate embryonic development, cell cycle control, cell proliferation, differentiation and migration, apoptosis, and angiogenesis (Sharrocks [2001\)](#page-11-6). It has been reported that *ERG* is required for hematopoiesis, adult hematopoietic stem cell function, as well as the maintenance of normal peripheral blood platelet numbers (Loughran et al. [2008\)](#page-10-2).

*ERG* gene rearrangements in human have been found in various malignancies. Chromosomal translocation t (16; 21) (p11; q22), resulting in fusion of *TLS/FUS* to *ERG,* was identified in acute myeloid leukemia (Shimizu et al. [1993](#page-11-7); Kanazawa et al. [2005](#page-10-3)) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Oh et al. [2010](#page-11-8)). *ERG* deletion was also described in a subset of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Mullighan et al. [2007](#page-11-9)). Five to ten percent of patients with Ewing's sarcoma showed t (21; 22) translocation resulting in expression of a hybrid EWS/ERG protein (Sorensen et al. [1994](#page-12-1)). Moreover, *ERG* rearrangements have now been well documented in prostate cancer. The landmark study by Tomlins et al. ([2005](#page-12-0)) discovered gene fusion of the 5′ untranslated region of *TMPRSS2* (which encodes transmembrane protease, serine 2) to the *ETS* family members *ERG* or *ETV1* in the majority of the prostate cancer cases studied. These findings have now been independently corroborated by a number of researchers, with increased ERG protein expression thought to be reflective of *ERG* gene rearrangement in prostate cancer (Chaux et al. [2011](#page-9-2); Miettinen et al. [2011](#page-11-10); van Leenders et al. [2011](#page-12-2); Furusato et al. [2010](#page-9-3); Lotan et al. [2011;](#page-10-4) Park et al. [2010](#page-11-11)). Of note, the prevalence of Prostate cancer shows a great difference between western and Asian patients (Siegel et al. [2013\)](#page-11-12). It was reported that the incidence of PCa in western countries is 20 times higher than in Asian countries (Ferlay et al. [2013](#page-9-4)). Furthermore, recent studies have shown lower frequency of *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion in Asian countries (21 and 28 % in Korea and Japan, respectively) compared to USA (42–60 %) (Wang et al. [2012](#page-12-3)). This geographical/ ethnic variation might pertain to different molecular mechanisms of prostate tumorogenesis in these populations.

TMPRSS2 is constitutively expressed, downstream of androgen, in prostate tissues (Burdova et al. [2014\)](#page-9-5). In cultured prostate cancer cells, androgen-dependent *ERG* gene overexpression was noted in the VCaP cell line which is androgen sensitive and harbors the *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* gene fusion, but not in LNCaP cells which are also androgen sensitive but do

not harbor the *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* gene fusion (Tomlins et al. [2005\)](#page-12-0). Similarly, in human prostate cancer xenografts, ERG expression was restricted to samples carrying the *TMPRSS2*- *ERG* fusion, but not in the androgen-insensitive samples and fusion-negative samples (Hermans et al. [2006\)](#page-10-5). The focus of recent studies have been related to understanding the functional consequence of these reported gene fusions, in addition to uncovering any potential diagnostic or prognostic value.

## **Biological and molecular features of** *ERG* **gene rearrangements**

The *TMPRSS2* and *ERG* genes are located about 3Mbp apart on chromosome 21, and the most common gene rearrangement seen involves the deletion of all or part of the intervening sequence (Iljin et al. [2006](#page-10-6); Mertz et al. [2007](#page-11-13); Perner et al. [2006](#page-11-14)). Mani et al. [\(2009](#page-10-7)) showed an androgenmediated fusion mechanism in which androgen not only facilitated the chromosomal proximity between *TMPRSS2* and *ERG* gene partners, but also mediated recombinogenic double-strand breaks (DSBs) to form the gene fusion. The *ERG* gene belongs to the *ETS* family (29 members in five subfamilies) and has at least nine reported splice variants (Rao et al. [1987;](#page-11-4) Owczarek et al. [2004;](#page-11-15) Duterque-Coquillaud et al. [1993\)](#page-9-6). *ERG* upregulation is seen in about half of all prostate cancers, and the upregulation is thought to occur as a result of fusion transcripts consisting of the 5′ sequences of the androgen-regulated *TMPRSS2* and the 3′ sequence of *ERG* (Mani et al. [2009\)](#page-10-7).

At least twenty different break points have been identified, However, of the most common variants that exist for *TMPRSS* fusion with *ERG*, two encode full-length ERG protein, eight encode N-truncated ERG sequences, and one encodes a *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion transcript (Hermans et al. [2008\)](#page-10-8). The most common fusion transcripts involve the fusion of *TMPRSS2* exon 1 to *ERG* exon 4 and *TMPRSS2* exon 1 fused to *ERG* exon 5 (Clark et al. [2007](#page-9-7); Svensson et al. [2014](#page-12-4)). Of note, certain *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion isoforms have been implicated as mediators of PCa progression (Wang et al. [2006](#page-12-5)). For instance, fusion between first two exons of *TMPRSS2* and exon 4 of *ERG* tends to be associated with lethal PCa (Wang et al. [2006](#page-12-5)). There is increasing evidence showing that TMPRSS2 is involved in metastasis and invasion pathways in the prostate via triggering protease-activated receptor-2 (Wilson et al. [2005\)](#page-12-6). In vivo mice studies showed that either overexpressing of ERG or inducing *TMPRSS2*- *ERG* fusions did not develop invasive prostate cancer (Klezovitch et al. [2008](#page-10-9)). Interestingly, microinvasive cancers were found enriched in *ERG* mice with PTEN-loss heterozygous background (Carver et al. [2009](#page-9-8)). These findings raise the possibility that ERG cross talk with other oncogenes or tumor suppressors to develop prostate cancer pathology.

Several studies have correlated whole-genome gene expression profiling with clinical data, obtained from ERGoverexpressing PCa samples, to pinpoint relevant signal transduction pathways. For example, Iljin et al. ([2006\)](#page-10-6) demonstrated that the WNT pathway was associated with ERG overexpression in PCa cancer. Another study reported the role of the transforming growth factor  $β$  (TGF- $β$ ) pathway in ERG-overexpressing PCa pathology (Brase et al. [2011](#page-9-9)). Although the exact regulating mechanism of *ERG* associated genes remains unclear, quite a few studies have reported multiple genes regulated by *ERG*, either directly or indirectly (Iljin et al. [2006;](#page-10-6) Brase et al. [2011](#page-9-9); Bismar et al. [2014\)](#page-9-10). However, despite the magnitude of information published on this subject in the recent few years, an important question about whether *ERG* and *ETV1* func tion the same way in PCa pathology remains unanswered. Although *ERG* and *ETV1* belong to the same *ETS* super family and both have common binding sites, they exert opposite effects on *AR*-mediated gene pathway (Baena et al. [2013\)](#page-9-11). Using expression data from localized PCa samples, *ERG* and *ETV1* downstream genes show differential clustering patterns (Boormans et al. [2010](#page-9-12)). The exact biological and molecular mechanisms of *ETV1* overexpres sion in PCa remain to be investigated.

#### *ERG***‑related gene signatures and potential targets**

*TMPRSS2* -*ERG* fusion has been reported present in about 50 % of surgically treated PCa cohorts (radical prosta tectomy series); it is less common in high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) averaging about 11 % (Mosquera et al. [2008;](#page-11-16) Park et al. [2014\)](#page-11-17). Additionally, in mice models, overexpression of *TMPRSS2* -*ERG* alone is not sufficient to induce prostate neoplasia, which is accel erated in the presence of *PTEN* genomic deletions (Carver et al. [2009](#page-10-10); King et al. 2009). This has led to the question of whether *TMPRSS2* -*ERG* is an early event in PCa pathogenesis and whether it can predict pathways related to HGPIN progression to invasive cancer. Previous stud ies have focused on identifying genetic signatures related to *ERG*, i.e., potential associated genes/pathways that may explain how *ERG* functions in promoting PCa progression. Recently, several groups identified a prognostic gene sig nature that was able to reflect *ERG* status and was at some points, considered to be more robust than ERG itself, there fore reflecting downstream targets of ERG overexpression as summarized in Table [1](#page-2-0) (Bismar et al. [2014;](#page-9-10) Barfeld et al. [2014](#page-9-13); Gasi Tandefelt et al. [2013;](#page-9-14) Mochmann et al. [2014](#page-11-18); Rajan et al. [2014;](#page-11-19) Setlur et al. [2008](#page-11-20)).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Other studies focused on identification of potential tar gets for *ERG*, which could act to explain disease progression or be of potential therapeutic targets. For example,



*TMRSS2*-*ERG* gene fusion, combined with disrupted androgen receptor, induced the epigenetic regulators of transcription polycomb-group protein H3K27 methyltransferase EZH2-mediated repression of gene function to regulate PCa progression (Yu et al. [2010\)](#page-12-7). Additionally, altered DNA methylation landscapes of polycombrepressed loci were documented to be associated with PCa progression and *ERG* oncogene expression in PCa (Kron et al. [2013](#page-10-11)).

Genetic *ERG* knockdown in *TMPRSS2*-*ERG*-expressing PCa cells interfered with cell differentiation by repressing genes implicated in epithelial differentiation (*PSA* and *SLC45A3*/*Prostein*) and induced morphological changes; *ERG* knockdown also inhibited cancer cell growth in vitro and in mouse xenografts (Sun et al. [2008\)](#page-12-8). Another study, using siRNA-treated cells, provided evidence that the activation of *C*-*MYC* oncogene was *ERG*-mediated (Sun et al. [2008](#page-12-8)). Reports also show that the *N*-*myc* downstream-regulated gene 1 (*NDRG1*) is fused to *ERG* in PCa (Pflueger et al. [2009\)](#page-11-21).

The loss of homeodomain-containing transcription factor NKX3.1, a known prostate tumor suppressor, leads to epithelial cell overgrowth (Chen et al. [2002\)](#page-9-15). Studies have established that loss of function of *NKX3.1* cooperates with the activation of *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusions in prostate tumorigenesis (Thangapazham et al. [2014\)](#page-12-9). Defects of *NKX3.1*, such as allelic loss, haploinsufficiency, attenuated expression, or decreased protein stability, represent established pathways in prostate tumorigenesis that may be related to regulation by *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion and *ERG* gene rearrangement (Chen et al. [2002](#page-9-15)). Another protein that is linked to *ERG* gene rearrangement and that represents a clinically relevant subgroup of prostate cancer is cysteine-rich secretory protein 3 (CRISP3) (Ribeiro et al. [2011](#page-11-22); Grupp et al. [2013a;](#page-10-12) Al Bashir et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0). It has been documented that CRISP3 expression was markedly increased in *PTEN*deleted, *ERG-*positive tumors and that the combined status of *ERG*, *PTEN,* and *CRISP3* may be a strong predictor of PCA tumors with the worst outcome (Ribeiro et al. [2011](#page-11-22); Al Bashir et al. [2014](#page-8-0)).

Recently, Gasi Tandefelt and colleagues reported on a 36-gene expression signature that identifies a subset within the *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* class of PCa that had a particularly poor clinical outcome (Gasi Tandefelt et al. [2013\)](#page-9-14). These subsets of patients were characterized by tumor growth factor-β, signaling-dependent genes, leading to enhanced PCa progression. The proposed role of TGF-β in aggressive tumors is in line with other studies showing an important role for stromal cells and fibroblast to myofibroblast differentiation in tumor progression (Franco and Hayward [2012](#page-9-16); Hagglof et al. [2014](#page-10-13); Tidehag et al. [2014\)](#page-12-10).

# *ERG* **linkage to phosphoinositol 3‑kinase and AKT signaling**

An early event in prostate cancers is the alteration of genes leading to inappropriate activation of the phosphoinositol-3 kinase/protein kinase-B (PI3K/AKT) signaling pathway (Li et al. [1997](#page-10-14)). This occurs most often through loss of function mutations or deletions of phosphate and tensin homologs on chromosome ten (*PTEN*), a lipid phosphatase that acts as a negative regulator of the PI3k/AKT signaling pathway (Li et al. [1997](#page-10-14)). Since *PTEN* loss is reported in a much larger percentage of HGPIN patients (Bettendorf et al. [2008\)](#page-9-17), Carver and colleagues investigated the cooperative action of *PTEN* and *ERG* rearrangements (Carver et al. [2009](#page-9-8)). In a series of experiments combining human prostate cancer genetic assessment with transgenic mice, they showed that when *PTEN* loss occurs concomitantly with *ERG* aberrant expression, the role of *ERG* on cell migration and invasion rapidly promotes progression of HGPIN to invasive cancer (Carver et al. [2009\)](#page-9-8). Loss of function mutations or rearrangements in the PTENassociated protein, membrane-associated guanylate kinase inverted (MAGI), is also reported in prostate cancer (Mahdian et al. [2014\)](#page-10-15). MAGI acts as a scaffold protein and augments the ability of *PTEN* to suppress AKT1 activation, and the loss of this protein would replicate the phenotype seen with *PTEN* loss. Although *ERG* has been shown to associate with *PTEN* genomic deletions, its clinical prognostic value has not been straightforward. An adverse outcome has been reported in tumors with combined PTEN loss and *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* rearrangement (Yoshimoto et al. [2008](#page-12-11)). Other studies suggested slightly better prognosis and documented that only *PTEN* deletions/decrease expression is of added adverse clinical outcome (Leinonen et al. [2013](#page-10-16); Nagle et al. [2013\)](#page-11-23). No matter the potential clinical implication, it is well established that *ERG*, *PTEN,* and *AR* are closely related and may reflect on molecular subtypes of PCa (Bismar et al. [2011;](#page-9-18) Bismar et al. [2012a\)](#page-9-19).

#### *ERG***‑related pathways and cellular responses**

A clear picture of *ERG*-regulated genes in prostate cancer has not yet fully been elucidated. *ERG* overexpression in PCa cells in vitro conveys invasiveness and induces plasminogen activation, as well as matrix metalloproteinase pathways (Tian et al. [2014](#page-12-12)). Studies have noted that *ERG* modulates prostaglandin signaling in prostate cancer cells (Mohamed et al. [2011](#page-11-24)). A role for prostanoid signaling in enabling invasiveness of PCa has been shown, with the regulation of MMP2 and MMP9 proposed as the mechanistic basis for prostanoid regulation of tumor invasiveness

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

(Attiga et al. [2000](#page-8-1)). These data are in line with the long established observation of prostanoid and eicosanoid signaling being involved in the development of various carcinomas, including those of the prostate (Badawi [2000](#page-9-20)). In vitro overexpression of *ERG* is also reported to promote cell migration, a property necessary for tumorigenesis, without affecting proliferation and another member of the MMP family (Carver et al. [2009\)](#page-9-8). A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 1 (ADAMTS1) (along with CXCR4) was found to be among genes strongly upregulated in the presence of *ERG* overexpression (Carver et al. [2009](#page-9-8)). Thus, regulation of the matrix metalloproteinase action in the tumor microenvironment is a likely consequence of *ERG* gene rearrangement.

Another protease pathway that is important in prostate cancer, but expressed markedly in *ERG*-negative cancers, is related to the serine peptidase inhibitor, Kazal type 1 (SPINK1). *SPINK1* encodes a 6-kDa trypsin inhibitor that is overexpressed in about 10–15 % of *ERG*-negative prostate cancers (Helgeson et al. [2008](#page-10-17)) and represents a subgroup of tumors positive for Trefoil3 (TFF3) (Terry et al. [2015](#page-12-13)). SPINK1 expression is also tightly linked to 6q15 and 5q21-deleted *ERG* fusion-negative prostate cancers, but was unrelated to PSA recurrence (Grupp et al. [2013b](#page-10-18)). *SPINK1* overexpression was associated with an aggressive subset of prostate cancer cell lines, and the genetic knockdown of SPINK1 attenuated the invasive phenotype and was further shown to mediate its oncogenic effects in part through epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) (Helgeson et al. [2008](#page-10-17)). Therefore, it was hypothesized that therapeutic targeting of either *SPINK1* or *EGFR* with monoclonal antibodies could suppress proliferation and invasion of prostate cancer cells (Ateeq et al. [2011\)](#page-8-2). While previous clinical trials of anti-*EGFR* monoclonal antibody (cetuximab) in metastatic CRPC were disappointing, subsets of patients did show benefits and were likely a *SPINK1* overexpressing patients (Slovin et al. [2009](#page-11-25)). There is now convincing evidence that *SPINK1* may be associated with increased risk of biochemical recurrence, and its inhibition is a promising therapeutic strategy (Terry et al. [2015](#page-12-13); Leinonen et al. [2010](#page-10-19)).

Acetylation and deacetylation of core histones (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4) play a key role in epigenetic repression and are important for transcriptional regulation cell cycle progression and development (Ng and Bird [2000](#page-11-26)). Histone deacetylase 1 is a protein responsible for deacetylation of lysine residues on the core histones and serves an important role in the regulation of eukaryotic gene expression. Importantly, overexpression of HDAC1 is reported to induce angiogenesis by negatively regulating p53 and von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor genes (Kim et al. [2001](#page-10-20); Ropero and Esteller [2007\)](#page-11-27). Since HDAC1 is reported to be overexpressed in cancers with *ERG* rearrangement (Iljin et al. [2006](#page-10-6)), such regulation of tumor suppressor genes could represent another mechanism by which ERG rearrangements promote prostate cancer. One point in regard to *ERG* association with other molecular markers, mainly SPINK1, PTEN and CRISP3, is that a combination of two or more markers is likely to affect disease prognosis and may signify subclass of PCa depending on the site where they occur (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Lastly, a study has demonstrated that miR-221 downregulated in *TMPRSS2*-*ERG*-positive PCa cells, hence providing an evidence of the cross talk between *ERG* gene fusion and miRNA expression (Gordanpour et al. [2011](#page-9-21)).

Still, the molecular mechanisms underlying the expression of *ERG*-regulated genes remain to be investigated.

# **The diagnostic and prognostic value of** *TMPRSS2***‑***ERG* **gene fusion**

The diagnostic and prognostic value of ERG expression remains to be fully established. Several studies have examined the prognostic value of *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion status in PCa. As summarized in Table [2,](#page-6-0) thirteen studies have shown a significant association between ERG fusion marker and PCa outcome. It is worth noting that although *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* has been frequently associated with poorer prognosis and more aggressive tumors, other studies have failed to show such prognostic value (Falzarano et al. [2010](#page-9-22); Toubaji et al. [2011](#page-12-14); Minner et al. [2011](#page-11-28); Hoogland et al. [2012](#page-10-21)).

Mehra and colleagues identified *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* rearrangement to be associated with a high pathological grade in a cohort of 96 surgically treated patients (Mehra et al. [2007](#page-10-22)). However, Darnel et al. [\(2009](#page-9-23)) reported that *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* is more frequent in less aggressive tumors with Gleason grade 3. *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* gene fusion, ERG expression or composite intensity score was also identified as a prognostic factor of increased rates of biochemical recurrence and cancer-specific mortality in patients that had undergone surgical treatment for primary cancers (Nam et al. [2007a](#page-11-29), [b](#page-11-30); Spencer et al. [2013](#page-12-15)). In another study, *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* gene rearrangement was associated with poor survival of prostate cancer patients with a combination of 5′ *ERG* deletion and *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* (25 % survival at 8 years), compared to patients negative for this rearrangement (90 % survival at 8 years) (Attard et al. [2008](#page-8-3)). Although, in another study assessing the gene fusion status in a cohort of patients managed expectantly, only 15 % of patients were found to have *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* rearrangement, this group of patients showed higher incidence of prostate cancer-specific death (Demichelis et al. [2007](#page-9-24)). This observation of less frequent *ERG* gene rearrangements in patients not managed surgically was validated in subsequent cohorts of patients with unsuspected, advanced, and castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (Falzarano et al. [2010](#page-9-22); Liu et al. [2011](#page-10-23)). Using ERG protein expression as a surrogate for *ERG* gene rearrangements in a cohort of men treated with hormonal therapy, we reported that high ERG intensity was associated with lower Gleason score, better response to hormonal therapy, better overall and cancerspecific survival, and longer free progression times to castration-resistant disease (Bismar et al. [2012b](#page-9-25)). In a revealing recent study, 1590 men with biopsy-diagnosed HGPIN, a precursor lesion of prostate cancer, showed that patients with ERG expression were more likely to develop prostate cancer (Park et al. [2014](#page-11-17)). While only 11.1 % of the HGPIN patients had *ERG* rearrangement, over a 3-year follow-up, 53 % of *ERG* rearrangement-positive patients and 35 % of *ERG*-negative patients progressed to prostate cancer, highlighting that HGPIN patient with ERG overexpression might need more rigorous clinical monitoring (Park et al. [2014](#page-11-17)).

However, as noted above, the data on the clinical significance of ERG are not all in agreement. Studies have demonstrated some contrasting results. Teng et al. ([2013\)](#page-12-16) showed that although there is significant association between ERG protein levels, extra-prostatic extension, and higher pathological stage, ERG progression is not associated with an adverse clinical outcome and is of limited prognostic value in localized prostate cancer. This was recently confirmed, using gene expression data to predict *ERG* status, where *ERG* was noted more often with lower grade Gleason scores and in association with extra-prostatic extension, but with no significant association to biochemical relapse (BCR) (Tomlins et al. [2015a](#page-12-17)).

Minner et al. ([2011\)](#page-11-28) showed no clinical difference between *ERG*-positive and *ERG*-negative PCa in the absence of antihormonal therapy. Hoogland et al. ([2012\)](#page-10-21) demonstrated that ERG expression by immunohistochemistry had no predictive value for prostate cancer recurrence or progression after radical prostatectomy and that increased ERG levels were associated with the upregulation of androgen receptor expression in clinical specimens. Furthermore, *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion was found to be not prognostic for recurrence after radical prostatectomy for clinically localized prostate cancer, although men with *ERG* gene copy number gain without fusion were twice more likely to recur (Toubaji et al. [2011](#page-12-14)). When characterizing ERG in early onset PCa, ERG expression was noted to be present at higher rates of close to 60–65 % in two studies (Huang et al. [2014](#page-10-24); Steurer et al. [2014\)](#page-12-18). Furthermore, *ERG* was found to be associated with increased rates of biochemical recurrence postradical prostatectomy in one of those studies (Huang et al. [2014](#page-10-24)). In light of the contradictory results above, it seems that the significance of ERG expression in PCa is likely dependent on the heterogeneity of studied cohorts, the methods used to detect *ERG*, and the clinical outcome endpoint being investigated. For example, ERG expression shows a prognostic significance in localized prostate cancer in men below the age of 50 (Huang et al. [2014](#page-10-24)). Another study shows that *ERG* may also play a prognostic role in men treated expectantly by hormonal therapy or active surveillance (AS) as it reflects higher stage and higher tumor volume (Teng et al. [2013](#page-12-16)).

In a cohort of AS, positive *ERG* at diagnosis was suggested to be used to estimate the risk of progression during AS (Berg et al. [2014\)](#page-9-26). *ERG* prognostic and diagnostic value in urine samples has been investigated and documented in

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



several studies to provide added value to serum PSA in detecting PCa and high-grade PCa in biopsies (Tomlins et al. [2015b](#page-12-19)). In summary, it is clearly established that *ERG* fusion marker is considered a key genomic marker and should be taken into consideration when the prognostic val ues of other genomic events are investigated.

# **Clinical utility of** *TMPRSS2* **‑***ERG* **gene fusion and** *ERG* **expression**

Although PSA is still widely used to monitor PCa progres sion, it is too far from ideal to be considered as an early carcinogenic biomarker (Tomlins et al. [2005](#page-12-0)). It is note worthy that PSA can early detect merely 23–44 % of PCa pathology (Draisma et al. [2009](#page-9-27)). Thus, it is mandatory, for the sake of better diagnostic sensitivity, to discover more efficient biomarkers. Consistent with this notion, Leyten et al. [\(2014](#page-10-25)) showed that *TMPRSS2-ERG* fusion, as a urinary biomarker, improves PCa detection and considerably reduces the frequency of unnecessary prostate biopsies. Furthermore, detection of *ERG* alterations is beneficial to determine the cancer origin and hence differentiate between aggressive PCa variants such as prostate small cell carci noma from other small cell carcinomas with different ori gins (e.g., bladder or lung) (Guo et al. [2011](#page-10-26)). Recent works have introduced invaluable insights on using ERG as a ther apeutic target. It has been demonstrated that either geneti cally knocking down *TMPRSS2* -*ERG* fusion or inhibiting its upstream signaling inhibits PCa growth (Wang et al. [2008](#page-12-20); Dasgupta et al. [2012](#page-9-28)). A number of clinical trials are taking the advantage of the ability of *TMPRSS2* -*ERG* fusion product to exert tumorigenic activity, via its interaction with PARP1 [Poly (ADPRibose) Polymerase 1], to assess whether modulating this axis would benefit PCa patients (Brenner et al. [2011](#page-9-29)). Currently, ERG overexpression is considered a valuable tool in the diagnosis of PCa pathology.

# **Association and significance of** *ERG* **in radiation‑treated patients**

Data are mixed on the issue of ERG and radiosensitivity. An earlier study documented that **TMPRSS2-ERG** fusionpositive tumors were not more radiosensitive than the fusion-negative tumors and ERG rearrangement is therefore unlikely to be a predictive factor of image-guided radiotherapy response (Dal Pra et al. [2013\)](#page-9-30). However, another study documented that patients with both *ERG* and *PTEN* genetic aberrations are at significant adverse BCR following brachytherapy (Fontugne et al. [2014](#page-9-31)). A previous study has shown the potential of ERG and PTEN to

<span id="page-8-4"></span>

assess the prognostic value in Brachytherapy patients, but these data require further validation (Fontugne et al. [2014](#page-9-31)). NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency is prognostic for prostate cancer relapse following surgery or image-guided radiotherapy (Locke et al. [2012](#page-10-27)). A recent study has shown that loss of *NKX3.1* promotes *TMPRSS2*-*ERG* fusion gene expression (Thangapazham et al. [2014\)](#page-12-9), suggesting that the two molecular events may therefore be related. The full prognostic value in patients treated by radiotherapy still needs full exploration.

# *ERG* **and its potential diagnostic value in prostate pathology**

*ERG* has been investigated in several studies as a diagnostic tool for prostate biopsies in conjunction with basal cell markers and AMACR (Shah et al. [2013;](#page-11-31) Yaskiv et al. [2011](#page-12-21); Lee et al. [2014](#page-10-28); Shah [2013](#page-11-32)). Specifically, it was assessed in atypical glands and suspicious foci (Shah et al. [2013](#page-11-31)). Overall, *ERG* is not a useful marker by itself to replace basal cell markers or AMACR, as it is expressed in only half of PCa as compared to AMACR, which is overexpressed in about 90 % of PCa (Yaskiv et al. [2011](#page-12-21)). *ERG* is thought to be more specific, but less sensitive as a diagnostic maker for PCa (Lee et al. [2015](#page-10-29)). The conclusion of these studies is that ERG, in combination with AMACR and basal cell makers, may offer added value in prostate biopsies, but the potential improvement in such a diagnostic test could be limited.

### **Conclusion**

There is tantalizing evidence that ERG overexpression and *ERG* gene rearrangement is a useful predictive marker of metastatic potential or recurrence of prostate cancer. There is now a fairly good genetic and biological understanding of changes in prostate cancer. A number of clinical efforts to translate this knowledge to better diagnostic modalities and therapeutic strategies are underway. A key target is the rearrangement of the *ERG* gene and its interaction with other tumor-regulating pathways (Fig. [2](#page-8-4)). Further work to fully understand the functional consequence of these rearrangements and regulated cell signaling pathways promises exciting new advances in the diagnosis and management of prostate cancer.

**Acknowledgments** LZ and TAB drafted the manuscript. TAB supervised and oversight the manuscript outline.

**Funding** This work was supported in part by the Prostate Cancer Foundation Young Investigator Award (T.A.B). This work was also supported by Prostate cancer Canada and is proudly funded by the Movember Foundation, Grant #B2013-01.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare in this study.

**Ethical approval** NA (review article).

#### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Al Bashir S, Alshalalfa M, Hegazy SA, Dolph M, Donnelly B, Bismar TA (2014) Cysteine-rich secretory protein 3 (CRISP3), ERG and PTEN define a molecular subtype of prostate cancer with implication to patients' prognosis. J Hematol Oncol 7:21. doi:[10.1186/1756-8722-7-21](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-8722-7-21)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Ateeq B, Tomlins SA, Laxman B, Asangani IA, Cao Q, Cao X et al (2011) Therapeutic targeting of SPINK1-positive prostate cancer. Sci Transl Med 3(72):72ra17. doi[:10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3001498) [scitranslmed.3001498](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3001498)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Attard G, Clark J, Ambroisine L, Fisher G, Kovacs G, Flohr P et al (2008) Duplication of the fusion of TMPRSS2 to ERG sequences identifies fatal human prostate cancer. Oncogene 27(3):253–263. doi:[10.1038/sj.onc.1210640](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210640)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Attiga FA, Fernandez PM, Weeraratna AT, Manyak MJ, Patierno SR (2000) Inhibitors of prostaglandin synthesis inhibit human

prostate tumor cell invasiveness and reduce the release of matrix metalloproteinases. Cancer Res 60(16):4629–4637

- <span id="page-9-20"></span>Badawi AF (2000) The role of prostaglandin synthesis in prostate cancer. BJU Int 85(4):451–462
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Baena E, Shao Z, Linn DE, Glass K, Hamblen MJ, Fujiwara Y et al (2013) ETV1 directs androgen metabolism and confers aggressive prostate cancer in targeted mice and patients. Genes Dev 27(6):683–698. doi:[10.1101/gad.211011.112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.211011.112)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Barfeld SJ, East P, Zuber V, Mills IG (2014) Meta-analysis of prostate cancer gene expression data identifies a novel discriminatory signature enriched for glycosylating enzymes. BMC Med Genomics 7(1):513. doi:[10.1186/s12920-014-0074-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12920-014-0074-9)
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>Berg KD, Vainer B, Thomsen FB, Roder MA, Gerds TA, Toft BG et al (2014) ERG protein expression in diagnostic specimens is associated with increased risk of progression during active surveillance for prostate cancer. Eur Urol 66(5):851–860. doi:[10.1016/j.eururo.2014.02.058](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2014.02.058)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>Bettendorf O, Schmidt H, Staebler A, Grobholz R, Heinecke A, Boecker W et al (2008) Chromosomal imbalances, loss of heterozygosity, and immunohistochemical expression of TP53, RB1, and PTEN in intraductal cancer, intraepithelial neoplasia, and invasive adenocarcinoma of the prostate. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 47(7):565–572
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Bismar TA, Yoshimoto M, Vollmer RT, Duan Q, Firszt M, Corcos J et al (2011) PTEN genomic deletion is an early event associated with ERG gene rearrangements in prostate cancer. BJU Int 107(3):477–485. doi:[10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09470.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09470.x)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>Bismar TA, Yoshimoto M, Duan Q, Liu S, Sircar K, Squire JA (2012a) Interactions and relationships of PTEN, ERG, SPINK1 and AR in castration-resistant prostate cancer. Histopathology 60(4):645–652. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2559.2011.04116.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2559.2011.04116.x)
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>Bismar TA, Dolph M, Teng LH, Liu S, Donnelly B (2012b) ERG protein expression reflects hormonal treatment response and is associated with Gleason score and prostate cancer specific mortality. Eur J Cancer 48(4):538–546. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2012.01.001) [ejca.2012.01.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2012.01.001)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Bismar TA, Alshalalfa M, Petersen LF, Teng LH, Gerke T, Bakkar A et al (2014) Interrogation of ERG gene rearrangements in prostate cancer identifies a prognostic 10-gene signature with relevant implication to patients' clinical outcome. BJU Int 113(2):309–319. doi:[10.1111/bju.12262](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bju.12262)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Boormans JL, Hermans KG, Made AC, van Leenders GJ, Wildhagen MF, Collette L et al (2010) Expression of the androgen-regulated fusion gene TMPRSS2-ERG does not predict response to endocrine treatment in hormone-naive, node-positive prostate cancer. Eur Urol 57(5):830–835. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2009.08.013) [eururo.2009.08.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2009.08.013)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Brase JC, Johannes M, Mannsperger H, Falth M, Metzger J, Kacprzyk LA et al (2011) TMPRSS2-ERG-specific transcriptional modulation is associated with prostate cancer biomarkers and TGF-beta signaling. BMC Cancer 11:507. doi:[10.1186/1471-2407-11-507](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-11-507)
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>Brenner JC, Ateeq B, Li Y, Yocum AK, Cao Q, Asangani IA et al (2011) Mechanistic rationale for inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase in ETS gene fusion-positive prostate cancer. Cancer Cell 19(5):664–678. doi:[10.1016/j.ccr.2011.04.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2011.04.010)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Burdova A, Bouchal J, Tavandzis S, Kolar Z (2014) TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion in prostate cancer. Biomed Pap 158(4):502–510. doi:[10.5507/bp.2014.065](http://dx.doi.org/10.5507/bp.2014.065)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Carver BS, Tran J, Gopalan A, Chen Z, Shaikh S, Carracedo A et al (2009) Aberrant ERG expression cooperates with loss of PTEN to promote cancer progression in the prostate. Nat Genet 41(5):619–624. doi:[10.1038/ng.370](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.370)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Chaux A, Albadine R, Toubaji A, Hicks J, Meeker A, Platz EA et al (2011) Immunohistochemistry for ERG expression as a surrogate for TMPRSS2-ERG fusion detection in

 $\mathcal{L}$  Springer

prostatic adenocarcinomas. Am J Surg Pathol 35(7):1014–1020. doi:[10.1097/PAS.0b013e31821e8761](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e31821e8761)

- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Chen H, Nandi AK, Li X, Bieberich CJ (2002) NKX-3.1 interacts with prostate-derived Ets factor and regulates the activity of the PSA promoter. Cancer Res 62(2):338–340
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Clark J, Merson S, Jhavar S, Flohr P, Edwards S, Foster CS et al (2007) Diversity of TMPRSS2-ERG fusion transcripts in the human prostate. Oncogene 26(18):2667–2673. doi[:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210070) [sj.onc.1210070](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210070)
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>Dal Pra A, Lalonde E, Sykes J, Warde F, Ishkanian A, Meng A et al (2013) TMPRSS2-ERG status is not prognostic following prostate cancer radiotherapy: implications for fusion status and DSB repair. Clin Cancer Res 19(18):5202–5209. doi[:10.1158/1078-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-1049) [0432.CCR-13-1049](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-1049)
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>Darnel AD, Lafargue CJ, Vollmer RT, Corcos J, Bismar TA (2009) TMPRSS2-ERG fusion is frequently observed in Gleason pattern 3 prostate cancer in a Canadian cohort. Cancer Biol Ther 8(2):125–130
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>Dasgupta S, Srinidhi S, Vishwanatha JK (2012) Oncogenic activation in prostate cancer progression and metastasis: molecular insights and future challenges. J Carcinog 11:4. doi[:10.4103/1477-3163.93001](http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/1477-3163.93001)
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>Demichelis F, Fall K, Perner S, Andren O, Schmidt F, Setlur SR et al (2007) TMPRSS2: ERG gene fusion associated with lethal prostate cancer in a watchful waiting cohort. Oncogene 26(31):4596–4599. doi[:10.1038/sj.onc.1210237](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210237)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Denmeade SR, Isaacs JT (2002) A history of prostate cancer treatment. Nat Rev Cancer 2(5):389–396. doi:[10.1038/nrc801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc801)
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>Draisma G, Etzioni R, Tsodikov A, Mariotto A, Wever E, Gulati R et al (2009) Lead time and overdiagnosis in prostate-specific antigen screening: importance of methods and context. J Natl Cancer Inst 101(6):374–383. doi:[10.1093/jnci/djp001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djp001)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Duterque-Coquillaud M, Niel C, Plaza S, Stehelin D (1993) New human erg isoforms generated by alternative splicing are transcriptional activators. Oncogene 8(7):1865–1873
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>Falzarano SM, Navas M, Simmerman K, Klein EA, Rubin MA, Zhou M et al (2010) ERG rearrangement is present in a subset of transition zone prostatic tumors. Mod Pathol 23(11):1499–1506. doi:[10.1038/modpathol.2010.150](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2010.150)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Feldman BJ, Feldman D (2001) The development of androgenindependent prostate cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 1(1):34–45. doi:[10.1038/35094009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35094009)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Lortet-Tieulent J, Rosso S, Coebergh JW, Comber H et al (2013) Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries in 2012. Eur J Cancer 49(6):1374–1403. doi:[10.1016/j.ejca.2012.12.027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2012.12.027)
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>Fontugne J, Lee D, Cantaloni C, Barbieri CE, Caffo O, Hanspeter E et al (2014) Recurrent prostate cancer genomic alterations predict response to brachytherapy treatment. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 23(4):594–600. doi[:10.1158/1055-9965.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-1180) [EPI-13-1180](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-1180)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Franco OE, Hayward SW (2012) Targeting the tumor stroma as a novel therapeutic approach for prostate cancer. Adv Pharmacol 65:267–313. doi:[10.1016/B978-0-12-397927-8.00009-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397927-8.00009-9)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Furusato B, Tan SH, Young D, Dobi A, Sun C, Mohamed AA et al (2010) ERG oncoprotein expression in prostate cancer: clonal progression of ERG-positive tumor cells and potential for ERGbased stratification. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 13(3):228– 237. doi:[10.1038/pcan.2010.23](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/pcan.2010.23)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Gasi Tandefelt D, Boormans JL, van der Korput HA, Jenster GW, Trapman J (2013) A 36-gene signature predicts clinical progression in a subgroup of ERG-positive prostate cancers. Eur Urol 64(6):941–950. doi:[10.1016/j.eururo.2013.02.039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2013.02.039)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>Gordanpour A, Stanimirovic A, Nam RK, Moreno CS, Sherman C, Sugar L et al (2011) miR-221 is down-regulated in TMPRSS2: ERG fusion-positive prostate cancer. Anticancer Res 31(2):403–410
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Grupp K, Kohl S, Sirma H, Simon R, Steurer S, Becker A et al (2013a) Cysteine-rich secretory protein 3 overexpression is linked to a subset of PTEN-deleted ERG fusion-positive prostate cancers with early biochemical recurrence. Mod Pathol 26(5):733–742. doi:[10.1038/modpathol.2012.206](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2012.206)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Grupp K, Diebel F, Sirma H, Simon R, Breitmeyer K, Steurer S et al (2013b) SPINK1 expression is tightly linked to 6q15- and 5q21 deleted ERG-fusion negative prostate cancers but unrelated to PSA recurrence. Prostate 73(15):1690–1698. doi[:10.1002/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pros.22707) [pros.22707](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pros.22707)
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Guo CC, Dancer JY, Wang Y, Aparicio A, Navone NM, Troncoso P et al (2011) TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion in small cell carcinoma of the prostate. Hum Pathol 42(1):11–17. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2010.05.026) [humpath.2010.05.026](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2010.05.026)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Hagglof C, Hammarsten P, Stromvall K, Egevad L, Josefsson A, Stattin P et al (2014) TMPRSS2-ERG expression predicts prostate cancer survival and associates with stromal biomarkers. PLoS One 9(2):e86824. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0086824](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086824)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Helgeson BE, Tomlins SA, Shah N, Laxman B, Cao Q, Prensner JR et al (2008) Characterization of TMPRSS2:ETV5 and SLC45A3: ETV5 gene fusions in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 68(1):73–80
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Hermans KG, van Marion R, van Dekken H, Jenster G, van Weerden WM, Trapman J (2006) TMPRSS2: ERG fusion by translocation or interstitial deletion is highly relevant in androgen-dependent prostate cancer, but is bypassed in late-stage androgen receptor-negative prostate cancer. Cancer Res 66(22):10658–10663. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1871](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1871)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Hermans KG, van der Korput HA, van Marion R, van de Wijngaart DJ, Ziel-van der Made A, Dits NF et al (2008) Truncated ETV1, fused to novel tissue-specific genes, and full-length ETV1 in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 68(18):7541–7549. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5930](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5930)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Hollenhorst PC, McIntosh LP, Graves BJ (2011) Genomic and biochemical insights into the specificity of ETS transcription factors. Annu Rev Biochem 80:437–471. doi[:10.1146/annurev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.79.081507.103945) [biochem.79.081507.103945](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.79.081507.103945)
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Hoogland AM, Jenster G, van Weerden WM, Trapman J, van der Kwast T, Roobol MJ et al (2012) ERG immunohistochemistry is not predictive for PSA recurrence, local recurrence or overall survival after radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Mod Pathol 25(3):471–479. doi[:10.1038/modpathol.2011.176](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.176)
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Huang KC, Dolph M, Donnelly B, Bismar TA (2014) ERG expression is associated with increased risk of biochemical relapse following radical prostatectomy in early onset prostate cancer. Clin Transl Oncol 16(11):973–979. doi[:10.1007/s12094-014-1182-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12094-014-1182-x)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Iljin K, Wolf M, Edgren H, Gupta S, Kilpinen S, Skotheim RI et al (2006) TMPRSS2 fusions with oncogenic ETS factors in prostate cancer involve unbalanced genomic rearrangements and are associated with HDAC1 and epigenetic reprogramming. Cancer Res 66(21):10242–10246
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Kanazawa T, Ogawa C, Taketani T, Taki T, Hayashi Y, Morikawa A (2005) TLS/FUS-ERG fusion gene in acute lymphoblastic leukemia with t(16;21)(p11;q22) and monitoring of minimal residual disease. Leuk Lymphoma 46(12):1833–1835. doi:[10.1080/10428190500162203](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10428190500162203)
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Kim MS, Kwon HJ, Lee YM, Baek JH, Jang JE, Lee SW et al (2001) Histone deacetylases induce angiogenesis by negative regulation of tumor suppressor genes. Nat Med 7(4):437–443. doi:[10.1038/86507](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/86507)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>King JC, Xu J, Wongvipat J, Hieronymus H, Carver BS, Leung DH et al (2009) Cooperativity of TMPRSS2-ERG with PI3 kinase pathway activation in prostate oncogenesis. Nat Genet 41(5):524–526
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Klezovitch O, Risk M, Coleman I, Lucas JM, Null M, True LD et al (2008) A causal role for ERG in neoplastic transformation of

prostate epithelium. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(6):2105– 2110. doi[:10.1073/pnas.0711711105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711711105)

- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Kron K, Trudel D, Pethe V, Briollais L, Fleshner N, van der Kwast T et al (2013) Altered DNA methylation landscapes of polycombrepressed loci are associated with prostate cancer progression and ERG oncogene expression in prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 19(13):3450–3461. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-3139](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-3139)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Kumar-Sinha C, Tomlins SA, Chinnaiyan AM (2008) Recurrent gene fusions in prostate cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 8(7):497–511. doi:[10.1038/nrc2402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc2402)
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Lee SL, Yu D, Wang C, Saba R, Liu S, Trpkov K et al (2014) ERG expression in prostate needle biopsy: potential diagnostic and prognostic implications. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol. doi:[10.1097/PAI.0000000000000119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAI.0000000000000119)
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Lee SL, Yu D, Wang C, Saba R, Liu S, Trpkov K et al (2015) ERG expression in prostate needle biopsy: potential diagnostic and prognostic implications. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 23(7):499–505. doi:[10.1097/PAI.0000000000000119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAI.0000000000000119)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Leinonen KA, Tolonen TT, Bracken H, Stenman UH, Tammela TL, Saramaki OR et al (2010) Association of SPINK1 expression and TMPRSS2: ERG fusion with prognosis in endocrinetreated prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 16(10):2845–2851. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2505](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2505)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Leinonen KA, Saramäki OR, Furusato B, Kimura T, Takahashi H, Egawa S et al (2013) Loss of PTEN is associated with aggressive behavior in ERG-positive prostate cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 22(12):2333–2344. doi[:10.1158/1055-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.epi-13-0333-t) [9965.epi-13-0333-t](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.epi-13-0333-t)
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Leyten GH, Hessels D, Jannink SA, Smit FP, de Jong H, Cornel EB et al (2014) Prospective multicentre evaluation of PCA3 and TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusions as diagnostic and prognostic urinary biomarkers for prostate cancer. Eur Urol 65(3):534–542. doi:[10.1016/j.eururo.2012.11.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2012.11.014)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Li J, Yen C, Liaw D, Podsypanina K, Bose S, Wang SI et al (1997) PTEN, a putative protein tyrosine phosphatase gene mutated in human brain, breast, and prostate cancer. Science 275(5308):1943–1947
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Liu S, Yoshimoto M, Trpkov K, Duan Q, Firszt M, Corcos J et al (2011) Detection of ERG gene rearrangements and PTEN deletions in unsuspected prostate cancer of the transition zone. Cancer Biol Ther 11(6):562–566
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Locke JA, Zafarana G, Ishkanian AS, Milosevic M, Thoms J, Have CL et al (2012) NKX3.1 haploinsufficiency is prognostic for prostate cancer relapse following surgery or image-guided radiotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 18(1):308–316. doi[:10.1158/1078-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2147) [0432.CCR-11-2147](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2147)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Lotan TL, Gupta NS, Wang W, Toubaji A, Haffner MC, Chaux A et al (2011) ERG gene rearrangements are common in prostatic small cell carcinomas. Mod Pathol 24(6):820–828. doi[:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.7) [modpathol.2011.7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.7)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Loughran SJ, Kruse EA, Hacking DF, de Graaf CA, Hyland CD, Willson TA et al (2008) The transcription factor Erg is essential for definitive hematopoiesis and the function of adult hematopoietic stem cells. Nat Immunol 9(7):810–819. doi[:10.1038/ni.1617](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.1617)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Mahdian R, Nodouzi V, Asgari M, Rezaie M, Alizadeh J, Yousefi B et al (2014) Expression profile of MAGI2 gene as a novel biomarker in combination with major deregulated genes in prostate cancer. Mol Biol Rep 41(9):6125–6131. doi[:10.1007/s11033-014-3491-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11033-014-3491-0)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Mani RS, Tomlins SA, Callahan K, Ghosh A, Nyati MK, Varambally S et al (2009) Induced chromosomal proximity and gene fusions in prostate cancer. Science 326(5957):1230. doi[:10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1178124) [science.1178124](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1178124)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Mehra R, Tomlins SA, Shen R, Nadeem O, Wang L, Wei JT et al (2007) Comprehensive assessment of TMPRSS2 and ETS family gene aberrations in clinically localized prostate cancer. Mod Pathol 20(5):538–544. doi[:10.1038/modpathol.3800769](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.3800769)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Mertz KD, Setlur SR, Dhanasekaran SM, Demichelis F, Perner S, Tomlins S et al (2007) Molecular characterization of TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion in the NCI-H660 prostate cancer cell line: a new perspective for an old model. Neoplasia 9(3):200–206
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Miettinen M, Wang ZF, Paetau A, Tan SH, Dobi A, Srivastava S et al (2011) ERG transcription factor as an immunohistochemical marker for vascular endothelial tumors and prostatic carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 35(3):432–441. doi[:10.1097/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e318206b67b) [PAS.0b013e318206b67b](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e318206b67b)
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>Minner S, Enodien M, Sirma H, Luebke AM, Krohn A, Mayer PS et al (2011) ERG status is unrelated to PSA recurrence in radically operated prostate cancer in the absence of antihormonal therapy. Clin Cancer Res 17(18):5878–5888. doi[:10.1158/1078-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-1251) [0432.CCR-11-1251](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-1251)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Mochmann LH, Neumann M, von der Heide EK, Nowak V, Kuhl AA, Ortiz-Tanchez J et al (2014) ERG induces a mesenchymal-like state associated with chemoresistance in leukemia cells. Oncotarget 5(2):351–362
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Mohamed AA, Tan SH, Sun C, Shaheduzzaman S, Hu Y, Petrovics G et al (2011) ERG oncogene modulates prostaglandin signaling in prostate cancer cells. Cancer Biol Ther 11(4):410–417
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Mosquera JM, Perner S, Genega EM, Sanda M, Hofer MD, Mertz KD et al (2008) Characterization of TMPRSS2-ERG fusion high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and potential clinical implications. Clin Cancer Res 14(11):3380–3385. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-5194](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-5194)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Mullighan CG, Goorha S, Radtke I, Miller CB, Coustan-Smith E, Dalton JD et al (2007) Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 446(7137):758– 764. doi:[10.1038/nature05690](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05690)
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Nagle RB, Algotar AM, Cortez CC, Smith K, Jones C, Sathyanarayana UG et al (2013) ERG overexpression and PTEN status predict capsular penetration in prostate carcinoma. Prostate 73(11):1233–1240. doi[:10.1002/pros.22675](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pros.22675)
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>Nam RK, Sugar L, Wang Z, Yang W, Kitching R, Klotz LH et al (2007a) Expression of TMPRSS2: ERG gene fusion in prostate cancer cells is an important prognostic factor for cancer progression. Cancer Biol Ther 6(1):40–45
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>Nam RK, Sugar L, Yang W, Srivastava S, Klotz LH, Yang LY et al (2007b) Expression of the TMPRSS2: ERG fusion gene predicts cancer recurrence after surgery for localised prostate cancer. Br J Cancer 97(12):1690–1695
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>Ng HH, Bird A (2000) Histone deacetylases: silencers for hire. Trends Biochem Sci 25(3):121–126
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Oh SH, Park TS, Choi JR, Lee S, Cho SY, Kim SY et al (2010) Two childhood cases of acute leukemia with t(16;21)(p11.2;q22): second case report of infantile acute lymphoblastic leukemia with unusual type of FUS-ERG chimeric transcript. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 200(2):180–183. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cancergencyto.2010.04.009) [cancergencyto.2010.04.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cancergencyto.2010.04.009)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Owczarek CM, Portbury KJ, Hardy MP, O'Leary DA, Kudoh J, Shibuya K et al (2004) Detailed mapping of the ERG–ETS2 interval of human chromosome 21 and comparison with the region of conserved synteny on mouse chromosome 16. Gene 324:65–77
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Park K, Tomlins SA, Mudaliar KM, Chiu YL, Esgueva R, Mehra R et al (2010) Antibody-based detection of ERG rearrangementpositive prostate cancer. Neoplasia 12(7):590–598
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Park K, Dalton JT, Narayanan R, Barbieri CE, Hancock ML, Bostwick DG et al (2014) TMPRSS2: ERG gene fusion predicts subsequent detection of prostate cancer in patients with high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia. J Clin Oncol 32(3):206–211. doi:[10.1200/JCO.2013.49.8386](http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.49.8386)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Perner S, Demichelis F, Beroukhim R, Schmidt FH, Mosquera JM, Setlur S et al (2006) TMPRSS2: ERG fusion-associated

deletions provide insight into the heterogeneity of prostate cancer. Cancer Res 66(17):8337–8341

- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Petrovics G, Liu A, Shaheduzzaman S, Furusato B, Sun C, Chen Y et al (2005) Frequent overexpression of ETS-related gene-1 (ERG1) in prostate cancer transcriptome. Oncogene 24(23):3847–3852. doi[:10.1038/sj.onc.1208518](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1208518)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Pflueger D, Rickman DS, Sboner A, Perner S, LaFargue CJ, Svensson MA et al (2009) N-myc downstream regulated gene 1 (NDRG1) is fused to ERG in prostate cancer. Neoplasia 11(8):804–811
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Rajan P, Stockley J, Sudbery IM, Fleming JT, Hedley A, Kalna G et al (2014) Identification of a candidate prognostic gene signature by transcriptome analysis of matched pre- and post-treatment prostatic biopsies from patients with advanced prostate cancer. BMC Cancer 14:977. doi:[10.1186/1471-2407-14-977](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-977)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Rao VN, Papas TS, Reddy ES (1987) erg, a human ets-related gene on chromosome 21: alternative splicing, polyadenylation, and translation. Science 237(4815):635–639
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Rao VN, Modi WS, Drabkin HD, Patterson D, O'Brien SJ, Papas TS et al (1988) The human erg gene maps to chromosome 21, band q22: relationship to the 8; 21 translocation of acute myelogenous leukemia. Oncogene 3(5):497–500
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Reddy ES, Rao VN, Papas TS (1987) The erg gene: a human gene related to the ets oncogene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 84(17):6131–6135
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Ribeiro FR, Paulo P, Costa VL, Barros-Silva JD, Ramalho-Carvalho J, Jeronimo C et al (2011) Cysteine-rich secretory protein-3 (CRISP3) is strongly up-regulated in prostate carcinomas with the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene. PLoS One 6(7):e22317. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0022317](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022317)
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>Ropero S, Esteller M (2007) The role of histone deacetylases (HDACs) in human cancer. Mol Oncol 1(1):19–25. doi:[10.1016/j.molonc.2007.01.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2007.01.001)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Ross LE, Berkowitz Z, Ekwueme DU (2008) Use of the prostatespecific antigen test among U.S. men: findings from the 2005 National Health Interview Survey. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention: a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive. Oncology 17(3):636–644. doi[:10.1158/1055-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-2709) [9965.EPI-07-2709](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-2709)
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Setlur SR, Mertz KD, Hoshida Y, Demichelis F, Lupien M, Perner S et al (2008) Estrogen-dependent signaling in a molecularly distinct subclass of aggressive prostate cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 100(11):815–825. doi[:10.1093/jnci/djn150](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djn150)
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>Shah RB (2013) Clinical applications of novel ERG immunohistochemistry in prostate cancer diagnosis and management. Adv Anat Pathol 20(2):117–124. doi[:10.1097/PAP.0b013e3182862ac5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAP.0b013e3182862ac5)
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>Shah RB, Tadros Y, Brummell B, Zhou M (2013) The diagnostic use of ERG in resolving an "atypical glands suspicious for cancer" diagnosis in prostate biopsies beyond that provided by basal cell and alpha-methylacyl-CoA-racemase markers. Hum Pathol 44(5):786–794. doi:[10.1016/j.humpath.2012.06.024](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2012.06.024)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Sharrocks AD (2001) The ETS-domain transcription factor family. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2(11):827–837. doi:[10.1038/35099076](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35099076)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Shimizu K, Ichikawa H, Tojo A, Kaneko Y, Maseki N, Hayashi Y et al (1993) An ets-related gene, ERG, is rearranged in human myeloid leukemia with t(16;21) chromosomal translocation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90(21):10280–10284
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A (2013) Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 63(1):11–30. doi:[10.3322/caac.21166](http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21166)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2015) Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 65(1):5–29. doi[:10.3322/caac.21254](http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21254)
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Slovin SF, Kelly WK, Wilton A, Kattan M, Myskowski P, Mendelsohn J et al (2009) Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody cetuximab plus Doxorubicin in the treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Clin Genitourin Cancer 7(3):E77–E82. doi[:10.3816/CGC.2009.n.028](http://dx.doi.org/10.3816/CGC.2009.n.028)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Sorensen PH, Lessnick SL, Lopez-Terrada D, Liu XF, Triche TJ, Denny CT (1994) A second Ewing's sarcoma translocation, t(21;22), fuses the EWS gene to another ETS-family transcription factor, ERG. Nat Genet 6(2):146–151. doi[:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng0294-146) [ng0294-146](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng0294-146)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Spencer ES, Johnston RB, Gordon RR, Lucas JM, Ussakli CH, Hurtado-Coll A et al (2013) Prognostic value of ERG oncoprotein in prostate cancer recurrence and cause-specific mortality. Prostate 73(9):905–912. doi:[10.1002/pros.22636](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pros.22636)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Steurer S, Mayer PS, Adam M, Krohn A, Koop C, Ospina-Klinck D et al (2014) TMPRSS2-ERG fusions are strongly linked to young patient age in low-grade prostate cancer. Eur Urol 66(6):978–981. doi:[10.1016/j.eururo.2014.06.027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2014.06.027)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Sun C, Dobi A, Mohamed A, Li H, Thangapazham RL, Furusato B et al (2008) TMPRSS2-ERG fusion, a common genomic alteration in prostate cancer activates C-MYC and abrogates prostate epithelial differentiation. Oncogene 27(40):5348–5353. doi:[10.1038/onc.2008.183](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2008.183)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Svensson MA, Perner S, Ohlson AL, Day JR, Groskopf J, Kirsten R et al (2014) A comparative study of ERG status assessment on DNA, mRNA, and protein levels using unique samples from a Swedish biopsy cohort. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 22(2):136–141. doi:[10.1097/PDM.0b013e31829e0484](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PDM.0b013e31829e0484)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Teng LH, Wang C, Dolph M, Donnelly B, Bismar TA (2013) ERG protein expression is of limited prognostic value in men with localized prostate cancer. ISRN Urol 2013:786545. doi:[10.1155/2013/786545](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/786545)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Terry S, Nicolaiew N, Basset V, Semprez F, Soyeux P, Maille P et al (2015) Clinical value of ERG, TFF3, and SPINK1 for molecular subtyping of prostate cancer. Cancer. doi[:10.1002/cncr.29233](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29233)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Thangapazham R, Saenz F, Katta S, Mohamed AA, Tan SH, Petrovics G et al (2014) Loss of the NKX3.1 tumorsuppressor promotes the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene expression in prostate cancer. BMC Cancer 14:16. doi:[10.1186/1471-2407-14-16](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-16)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Tian TV, Tomavo N, Huot L, Flourens A, Bonnelye E, Flajollet S et al (2014) Identification of novel TMPRSS2: ERG mechanisms in prostate cancer metastasis: involvement of MMP9 and PLXNA2. Oncogene 33(17):2204–2214. doi[:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2013.176) [onc.2013.176](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2013.176)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Tidehag V, Hammarsten P, Egevad L, Granfors T, Stattin P, Leanderson T et al (2014) High density of S100A9 positive inflammatory cells in prostate cancer stroma is associated with poor outcome. Eur J Cancer 50(10):1829–1835. doi:[10.1016/j.ejca.2014.03.278](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2014.03.278)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, Dhanasekaran SM, Mehra R, Sun XW et al (2005) Recurrent fusion of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. Science 310(5748):644–648
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Tomlins SA, Alshalalfa M, Davicioni E, Erho N, Yousefi K, Zhao S et al (2015a) Characterization of 1577 primary prostate cancers

reveals novel biological and clinicopathologic insights into molecular subtypes. Eur Urol 68(4):555–567. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.04.033) [eururo.2015.04.033](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.04.033)

- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Tomlins SA, Day JR, Lonigro RJ, Hovelson DH, Siddiqui J, Kunju LP et al (2015b) Urine TMPRSS2: ERG plus PCA3 for individualized prostate cancer risk assessment. Eur Urol. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.04.039) [eururo.2015.04.039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.04.039)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Toubaji A, Albadine R, Meeker AK, Isaacs WB, Lotan T, Haffner MC et al (2011) Increased gene copy number of ERG on chromosome 21 but not TMPRSS2-ERG fusion predicts outcome in prostatic adenocarcinomas. Mod Pathol 24(11):1511–1520. doi:[10.1038/modpathol.2011.111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.111)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>van Leenders GJ, Boormans JL, Vissers CJ, Hoogland AM, Bressers AA, Furusato B et al (2011) Antibody EPR3864 is specific for ERG genomic fusions in prostate cancer: implications for pathological practice. Mod Pathol 24(8):1128–1138. doi[:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.65) [modpathol.2011.65](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2011.65)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Wang J, Cai Y, Ren C, Ittmann M (2006) Expression of variant TMPRSS2/ERG fusion messenger RNAs is associated with aggressive prostate cancer. Cancer Res 66(17):8347–8351. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1966](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1966)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Wang J, Cai Y, Yu W, Ren C, Spencer DM, Ittmann M (2008) Pleiotropic biological activities of alternatively spliced TMPRSS2/ ERG fusion gene transcripts. Cancer Res 68(20):8516–8524. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-1147](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-1147)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Wang JJ, Liu YX, Wang W, Yan W, Zheng YP, Qiao LD et al (2012) Fusion between TMPRSS2 and ETS family members (ERG, ETV1, ETV4) in prostate cancers from northern China. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 13(10):4935–4938
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Wilson S, Greer B, Hooper J, Zijlstra A, Walker B, Quigley J et al (2005) The membrane-anchored serine protease, TMPRSS2, activates PAR-2 in prostate cancer cells. Biochem J 388(Pt 3):967–972. doi[:10.1042/BJ20041066](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20041066)
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Yaskiv O, Zhang X, Simmerman K, Daly T, He H, Falzarano S et al (2011) The utility of ERG/P63 double immunohistochemical staining in the diagnosis of limited cancer in prostate needle biopsies. Am J Surg Pathol 35(7):1062–1068. doi[:10.1097/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e318215cc03) [PAS.0b013e318215cc03](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e318215cc03)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Yoshimoto M, Joshua AM, Cunha IW, Coudry RA, Fonseca FP, Ludkovski O et al (2008) Absence of TMPRSS2:ERG fusions and PTEN losses in prostate cancer is associated with a favorable outcome. Mod Pathol 21(12):1451–1460. doi[:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2008.96) [modpathol.2008.96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2008.96)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Yu J, Yu J, Mani RS, Cao Q, Brenner CJ, Cao X et al (2010) An integrated network of androgen receptor, polycomb, and TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusions in prostate cancer progression. Cancer Cell 17(5):443–454. doi[:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.03.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2010.03.018)