#### **REVIEW**



# **An emerging role of heterotrimeric G‑proteins in nodulation and nitrogen sensing**

Suvriti Sharma<sup>1</sup> • Jahanvi Ganotra<sup>1</sup> • Jyotipriya Samantaray<sup>1</sup> • Ranjan Kumar Sahoo<sup>3</sup> • Deepak Bhardwaj<sup>1</sup> • **Narendra Tuteja[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6157-0264)**

Received: 28 February 2023 / Accepted: 25 September 2023 / Published online: 17 October 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

### **Abstract**

## *Main conclusion* **A comprehensive understanding of nitrogen signaling cascades involving heterotrimeric G-proteins**  and their putative receptors can assist in the production of nitrogen-efficient plants.

**Abstract** Plants are immobile in nature, so they must endure abiotic stresses including nutrient stress. Plant development and agricultural productivity are frequently constrained by the restricted availability of nitrogen in the soil. Non-legume plants acquire nitrogen from the soil through root membrane-bound transporters. In depleted soil nitrogen conditions, legumes are naturally conditioned to fx atmospheric nitrogen with the aid of nodulation elicited by nitrogen-fxing bacteria. Moreover, apart from the symbiotic nitrogen fxation process, nitrogen uptake from the soil can also be a signifcant secondary source to satisfy the nitrogen requirements of legumes. Heterotrimeric G-proteins function as molecular switches to help plant cells relay diverse stimuli emanating from external stress conditions. They are comprised of Gα, Gβ and Gγ subunits, which cooperate with several downstream efectors to regulate multiple plant signaling events. In the present review, we concentrate on signaling mechanisms that regulate plant nitrogen nutrition. Our review highlights the potential of heterotrimeric G-proteins, together with their putative receptors, to assist the legume root nodule symbiosis (RNS) cascade, particularly during calcium spiking and nodulation. Additionally, the functions of heterotrimeric G-proteins in nitrogen acquisition by plant roots as well as in improving nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) have also been discussed. Future research oriented towards heterotrimeric G-proteins through genome editing tools can be a game changer in the enhancement of the nitrogen fxation process. This will foster the precise manipulation and production of plants to ensure global food security in an era of climate change by enhancing crop productivity and minimizing reliance on external inputs.

**Keywords** Legumes · Root nodule symbiosis · Calcium spiking · Nitrogen fxation · G-proteins · Nitrogen sensing · Nitrogen use efficiency

Communicated by Gerhard Leubner.

 $\boxtimes$  Deepak Bhardwaj deepakbhardwaj.bot@cujammu.ac.in

 $\boxtimes$  Narendra Tuteja ntuteja@icgeb.res.in

> Suvriti Sharma suvritisharmaharnal22@gmail.com

Jahanvi Ganotra jahanviganotra@gmail.com

Jyotipriya Samantaray jyotipriya.samantaray@gmail.com Ranjan Kumar Sahoo ranjan.sahoo@cutm.ac.in

- Department of Botany, Central University of Jammu, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir 181143, India
- <sup>2</sup> Plant Molecular Biology Group, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi 110067, India
- Department of Biotechnology, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Bhubaneswar, Odisha 752050, India

### **Introduction**

G-proteins also referred to as guanine nucleotide-binding proteins, are grouped structurally into two distinct classes: monomeric G-proteins and heterotrimeric G-proteins (Pandey [2020;](#page-14-0) Wang and Botella [2022](#page-15-0); Ganotra et al. [2023](#page-12-0)). The heterotrimeric G-proteins (hereafter G-proteins) comprise three different types of subunits:  $G\alpha$ ,  $G\beta$  and Gγ (Pandey [2019](#page-14-1); Wang and Botella [2022](#page-15-0)). The number of G-protein subunits could vary from plant to plant. For instance, the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* with a simpler genome harbor one canonical *Gα* (*GPA1*, *At2g26300*), three non-canonical extra-large Gα (*XLG1*, *At2g23460*; *XLG2*, *At4g34390* and *XLG3*, *At1g31930*), one *Gβ* (*AGB1*, *At4g34460*), and three *Gγ* genes, including two canonical *Gγ* (*AGG1*, *At3g63420* and *AGG2*, *At3g22942*) and one atypical *Gγ* (*AGG3, At5g20635*) (Stateczny et al. [2016](#page-15-1); Maruta et al. [2021a;](#page-14-2) Cantos et al. [2023\)](#page-11-0). *Brassica napus* contains 2 *Gα*, 6 *Gβ* and 13 *Gγ* genes, which contribute to a total of 21 G-protein family members (Xie et al. [2022](#page-15-2)). *M. truncatula* is a premier model legume for studies pertaining to symbiotic interactions and nitrogen fxation. A study identifed two *Gα*, three *XLG*, one *Gβ* and fve *Gγ* genes in the *M. truncatula* genome (Mt4.0) (Tang et al. [2014](#page-15-3)). Another study revealed the presence of two *Gα*, three *XLG*, one *Gβ* and six *Gγ* genes in the *P. sativum* genome (Pecrix et al. [2018;](#page-14-3) Bovin et al. [2022\)](#page-11-1). Plants harboring complex genomes have enlarged networks of G-proteins, such as allotetraploid *G. max*, which has four Gα, four Gβ and ten Gγ proteins (Choudhury et al. [2011](#page-12-1); Bisht et al. [2011](#page-11-2)).

G-proteins are molecular on–off switches, and in mammalian and yeast systems, the switch characteristic is encoded by nucleotides: a guanosine triphosphate (GTP) bound on-state and a guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-bound off-state (Ghusinga et al. [2022](#page-12-2)). The signal perception by serpentine transmembrane receptors, namely G-proteincoupled receptors (GPCR), results in an alternation in its conformation, which subsequently functions as a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) to catalyze the GDP to GTP exchange on the  $G\alpha$  protein (McIntire [2009](#page-14-4); Pandey and Vijayakumar [2018;](#page-14-5) Pandey [2019\)](#page-14-1). The Gα component separates from the Gβγ subunits when it binds to GTP, allowing each of these to engage with diverse efectors to initiate downstream signaling (McIntire [2009](#page-14-4)). A signal is terminated when Gα-GTP is inactivated by GTP hydrolysis, resulting in GDP-bound  $G\alpha$  being released from its effector and reassociated with the  $G\beta\gamma$  complex (McIntire [2009](#page-14-4)). A protein known as a regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) with GTPase activating protein (GAP) activity speeds up the intrinsic GTP hydrolysis on the  $G\alpha$  subunit (Siderovski and Willard [2005](#page-15-4)). The G-protein signaling

pathways in plants difer from the animal paradigm due to the existence of unique receptors and efectors, altered wiring of G-protein scenarios and disparate intrinsic characteristics of specifc G-protein components (Pandey and Vijayakumar [2018](#page-14-5); Maruta et al. [2019](#page-14-6); Ghusinga et al. [2022](#page-12-2)). Notably, it has not been established that GPCRs can activate  $G\alpha$  by promoting GDP to GTP exchange in plants (Pandey [2020\)](#page-14-0). The genetic and biochemical data imply that the exchange of nucleotides is less crucial for G-proteins to function in plants (Maruta et al. [2019](#page-14-6)). Furthermore, numerous receptor-like kinases (RLKs) have been implicated in the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of G-proteins to mediate their mechanistic regulation (Jia et al. [2019;](#page-13-0) Pandey [2020](#page-14-0)). XLG subunit, independent of GTP-binding, has been discovered to interact with the RGS, Gβγ dimer and defense-related RLKs with an affinity similar to that of canonical  $G\alpha$  subunits (Liang et al. [2016;](#page-13-1) Lou et al. [2020](#page-13-2); Maruta et al. [2021a](#page-14-2), [b](#page-14-7)). The functional investigation of RGS in *A. thaliana* and *G. max* signifes its crucial activities in the control of important physiological processes (Chen and Jones [2004](#page-11-3); Choudhury and Pandey [2015](#page-12-3)). According to Hackenberg et al. ([2017](#page-12-4)), several plants do not possess an RGS protein homolog. Therefore, it is ambiguous whether RGS-mediated deactivation is the primary mechanism controlling the G-protein cycle (Hackenberg et al. [2017](#page-12-4)).

G-proteins stimulate several intracellular signaling cascades in response to various extracellular stimuli (Majumdar et al. [2023\)](#page-14-8). Almost every aspect of plant growth and development is infuenced by the interaction of G-proteins with specific effectors (Roy Choudhury et al. [2019\)](#page-15-5). These crucial physiological processes include regulating stomatal movement, nodulation and phytohormone signaling (Chakravorty et al. [2011](#page-11-4); Choudhury and Pandey [2013](#page-12-5), [2015](#page-12-3), [2022;](#page-12-6) Jose and Choudhury [2020](#page-13-3); Bhardwaj et al. [2020](#page-11-5); Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)). Interestingly, the knock-down of a particular G-protein subunit gene and its characterization displayed diferent root morphologies in both monocotyledons and dicotyledons **(**Table [1](#page-2-0)**)**, thereby suggesting that G-proteins have a critical function in the development of plant roots in addition to their involvement in innate immunity and stress responses in plants (Trusov et al. [2007](#page-15-6); Ding et al. [2008](#page-12-7); Urano et al. [2015;](#page-15-7) Subramaniam et al. [2016;](#page-15-8) Gao et al. [2019](#page-12-8); Maruta et al. [2021a\)](#page-14-2). In this review, we have discussed the signaling mechanisms that involve G-proteins to regulate plant nitrogen nutrition.

Legumes can acquire nitrogen by atmospheric nitrogen fxation through endosymbiotic associations and the uptake of mineral nitrogen from soil (Murray et al. [2017](#page-14-9); Roy et al. [2020;](#page-15-9) Gu et al. [2022;](#page-12-9) Zhong et al. [2022](#page-16-0)). The process of symbiosis in legumes is tightly regulated depending on the nitrogen levels in the soil. Legumes presumably evolved in nutrient-poor circumstances where the capacity to fx

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



atmospheric nitrogen offers a significant growth benefit. The low soil nitrogen conditions encourage symbiotic associations of legumes with biological machines such as rhizobacteria (Murray et al. [2017;](#page-14-9) Roy et al. [2020\)](#page-15-9). Gram-negative rhizobia (such as *Rhizobium*, *Sinorhizobium, Azorhizobium, Bradyrhizobium,* and *Mesorhizobium*), that associate with legume roots are diazotrophic bacteria engaged in endosymbiotic relationships to develop nodules (Geurts and Bisseling [2002;](#page-12-10) Graham and Vance [2003](#page-12-11); Desbrosses and Stougaard [2011](#page-12-12); Rutten and Poole [2019](#page-15-10); Mahmud et al. [2020](#page-14-10)). Nuclear calcium oscillations are responsible for stimulating endosymbiotic programmes in response to rhizobial signals (Granqvist et al. [2012](#page-12-13); Charpentier and Oldroyd [2013;](#page-11-6) Charpentier [2018\)](#page-11-7). Moreover, calcium spiking represents one of the earliest events that can be detected and is a highly conserved component of the mutualistic signaling mechanism (Granqvist et al. [2015](#page-12-14)). This review includes information regarding the involvement of G-proteins in calcium spiking during root nodule symbiosis (RNS).

The legumes develop root cortex-based nodules in symbiosis with rhizobia (Gauthier-Coles et al. [2019](#page-12-15)). In legumes, there are two basic morphological variants of nodules, namely indeterminate and determinate. These two forms are distinguished by the existence or absence of a persistent nodule meristem, which reliably coincides with the cortical cell layers that develop nodule primordia (Kohlen et al. [2018](#page-13-4)). For instance, *Glycine max* and *Lotus japonicus* develop round determinate nodules devoid of persistent meristem (Ferguson et al. [2010](#page-12-16); Pan and Wang [2017](#page-14-11)). In contrast, *Medicago truncatula* and *Pisum sativum* produce indeterminate nodules with a cylindrical shape in which nodule primordia generate in the inner cortex, and mature nodules retain a persistent meristem (Pan and Wang [2017](#page-14-11); Bovin et al. [2022\)](#page-11-1). Among the repertoire of proteins present in plants, the G-proteins have signifcant roles to play in the emergence of leguminous root nodules in response to a symbiotic relationship with rhizobia (Choudhury and Pandey [2013,](#page-12-5) [2015;](#page-12-3) Pandey [2020;](#page-14-0) Bovin et al. [2022\)](#page-11-1), which have been highlighted in this review.

A major challenge for plant survival is nutrient acquisition from soil (Bhardwaj et al. [2015;](#page-11-8) Rahman et al. [2018\)](#page-14-12). In plants, the detailed mechanism of nitrogen sensing pathways linked with G-proteins remains obscure. This review summarizes the developments in the mechanistic intricacies of nitrogen signaling involving G-proteins in plants. G-proteins show the ability to infuence inforescence, root architecture, seed size, number, and germination capacity, which in turn modulates essential agronomic features such as grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) (Zhang et al.  $2015$ ; Wu et al. [2018;](#page-15-11) Liang et al. [2018](#page-13-5); Kaur et al. [2018](#page-13-6); Sun et al. [2018;](#page-15-12) Cui et al. [2020;](#page-12-17) Biswal et al. [2022\)](#page-11-9). In this review, insights into the participation of G-proteins in regulating NUE in plants have also been discussed. This can assist in addressing the pressing issues of increasing crop growth and yield.

## **Possible role of G‑proteins in root nodule symbiosis**

RNS is a molecular interaction between the host plant and the bacterial partner (Lazdunski et al. [2004](#page-13-7); Mbengue et al. [2020\)](#page-14-13). The outcome of a highly specifc and complex signal exchange between legumes and rhizobia is the selective rhizobial colonization of legume cells within root nodules, which develop upon activation of various genes, establishing the symbiotic pathway (Desbrosses and Stougaard [2011](#page-12-12); Das et al. [2019;](#page-12-18) Chen et al. [2021\)](#page-12-19). The sensing of plant



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Proposed model showing the role of  $G\alpha$  subunit in flavonoid biosynthesis and immune responses during *G. max*-*Rhizobium* symbiosis. The signal exchange between legume and rhizobia is commenced by the secretion of favonoids from the roots of leguminous hosts. The figure depicts that  $G\alpha$  subunit is involved in the induction of favonoids-responsive genes. The host favonoids trigger the rhizobial Nod-cassette. The NodD protein, which is formed by rhizobia as a result of favonoid perception, binds to the *nod* box in the promoter region of *nod* genes. This triggers the expression of the *nod* genes, which produces lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCO), also referred to as Nod factors (NFs). These NFs have β-1,4-linked *N*-acetyl-p-glucosamine framework with four or fve reducing and non-reducing terminal glucosamine residues (Geurts and Bisseling [2002](#page-12-10)). NFs are species-

favonoids by nitrogen-fxing rhizobia is one of the earli-est steps in specificity between hosts and rhizobia (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0) (Hirsch and Fujishige [2012\)](#page-13-9). Flavonoids are among the wellstudied group of low molecular weight secondary metabolites (Hassan and Mathesius [2012;](#page-12-20) Dong and Song [2020\)](#page-12-21) and are crucial for the sensitization of nitrogen-fxing bacteria (Liu and Murray [2016;](#page-13-10) Bag et al. [2022](#page-11-10)). Flavonoids are derived structurally from a 15-carbon skeleton composed of two benzene rings and are typically biosynthesized via the phenylpropanoid pathway (Liu and Murray [2016](#page-13-10); Dong and

specifc and undergo a range of substitutions at diferent positions of its non-reducing (-R1, -R2, -R3, -R4) and reducing ends (-R5, -R6) (Wang et al. [2018\)](#page-15-17). *Rhizobium* releases NFs for symbiosis development. The intruding *Rhizobium* is initially perceived by the legume host as a potential pathogen, resulting in a transient defense reaction. Symbiosis receptor-like kinase (SymRK) associates directly with and suppresses the kinase activity of a positive regulator of plant immune responses, namely Brassinosteroid insensitive 1-associated receptor kinase 1 (BAK1). Further, SymRK phosphorylation of  $G\alpha$  prevents it from interacting with Gβγ dimer. Consequently, Gα is unable to infuence host immune responses by inefective interaction with BAK1 receptor

Song [2020\)](#page-12-21). Plants produce a range of favonoids, with up to 10,000 found across the plant kingdom (Mathesius [2018](#page-14-14)). The specifc plant favonoids that the rhizobia in the rhizosphere interact with and recognize include isofavonoids, daidzein, genistein, coumestrol, and naringenin, among others (Liu and Murray [2016](#page-13-10); Bosse et al. [2021\)](#page-11-11). According to Sugiyama et al. [\(2008](#page-15-16)), the exudates from soybean roots contain genistein and daidzein, which serve as signal molecules in the chemical communication between soybean and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. The roots of *Phaseolus vulgaris*

when inoculated with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* shows the formation of nodules in the presence of genistein, daidzein, and coumestrol (Abd-Alla [2011\)](#page-11-12). The favonoid medicarpin, which is generated by both *Trifolium* and *Medicago* sp., has been shown to inhibit the growth of incompatible bacterial strains (Maxwell et al. [1989](#page-14-15)). Therefore, these studies imply that the ability of *Rhizobium sp.* to successfully form a symbiotic association is strongly infuenced by the combination of host favonoids present in the root exudate of legume species (Dong and Song [2020](#page-12-21)). Interestingly, in *A. thaliana,* G-protein signaling has been attributed to regulate favonoid biosynthesis. The study revealed variations in the expression levels of several genes associated with the favonoid biosynthesis in a knock-out mutant of the *A. thaliana* G-protein α subunit (*gpa1-5*) (Chakraborty et al. [2015](#page-11-13)). In future studies, the function of the G-protein complex signaling cascade can be determined in the legume favonoid biosynthesis pathway using the G-protein subunit mutant studies. Consequently, establishing the involvement of the G-protein complex in the legume favonoid synthesis cascade might pave avenues for enhancing nitrogen fxation by regulating the production of specifc favonoids using gene editing techniques.

Despite their diversity, all rhizobia contain conserved *nodABC* genes for the formation of the *N*-acylated oligosaccharide core of the lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCO), also called Nod factors (NFs), implying that these genes are monophyletic (Debellé et al. [2001\)](#page-12-22). The favonoid perception by rhizobia results in changes in the conformation of the NodD protein, enabling it to attach to the *nod* box in the promoter region of *nod* genes. This triggers the *nod* gene expression of rhizobia, which eventually culminates in the synthesis of NFs to activate various host responses (Geurts and Bisseling [2002;](#page-12-10) Hassan and Mathesius [2012;](#page-12-20) Hirsch and Fujishige [2012;](#page-13-9) Ghantasala and Roy Choudhury [2022](#page-12-23)). The legumes possess specialized lysin-motif (LysM) and leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-containing RLKs for perceiving the rhizobial NFs (Singh and Verma [2023](#page-15-18)). These complex multicomponent receptors are localized in the plasma membrane of the root epidermal cells (Ferguson et al. [2010](#page-12-16); Roy et al. [2020\)](#page-15-9). Interestingly, the interplay between the symbiotic and defense signaling mechanisms is observed in legumes during nodulation (Cao et al. [2017;](#page-11-14) Ivanova et al. [2022](#page-13-11)). It is widely accepted that rhizobia actively inhibit the host's immune response to facilitate infection and symbiotic development (Cao et al. [2017\)](#page-11-14). A study in *L. japonicus* reported that a key symbiotic component termed as Symbiosis receptor-like kinase (SymRK) aids in the rhizobial inhibition of plant innate immunity. SymRK interacts with Brassinosteroid insensitive 1-associated receptor kinase 1 (BAK1), a positive regulator of plant innate immunity, to repress BAK1 kinase activity during rhizobial infection (Feng et al. [2021\)](#page-12-24). Conversely, treatment with fagellin 22 (fg22), a conserved peptide motif in the fagellar protein of several bacteria, triggers defense responses that impede rhizobial infection and result in the delay of nodule organogenesis. This has been revealed by the adverse effect of flg22 on the spontaneous nodule production in the *L. japonicus* mutant, spontaneous-nodule-formation 1 (*snf1)*. Moreover, after the symbiotic partner colonizes the host legume, the symbiotic pathway takes precedence over the defensive response (Lopez-Gomez et al. [2012\)](#page-13-12). Previous studies examined the control of G-protein signaling by SymRK through protein–protein interactions and receptor-mediated in vitro phosphorylation during *G. max* nodulation (Choudhury and Pandey [2013,](#page-12-5) [2022](#page-12-6)). The studies reveal that SymRK phosphorylates  $G\alpha$  to prevent the negative regulation of nodulation (Choudhury and Pandey [2015](#page-12-3); Pandey [2020](#page-14-0)). Therefore, it suggests that  $G\alpha$  might modulate host immunological responses by interacting with the SymRK-BAK1 complex, thereby afecting RNS. During RNS, the activity of G-protein subunits in the defense responses of legumes has not yet been investigated. Therefore, dissecting the specifics of all the molecular actors engaged in the RNS signaling cascade represents an exciting frontier of research. However, numerous studies illustrate the signifcance of G-proteins in other RNS stages, including calcium spiking and the control of nodule development in legumes (Choudhury and Pandey [2015;](#page-12-3) Mbengue et al. [2020](#page-14-13); Pandey [2020;](#page-14-0) Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)).

### **Involvement of G‑proteins in calcium spiking**

Various responses of NF signaling in the host plant include depolarization of the root hair plasma membrane, ion fux across the membrane, calcium spiking, changes in the cytoskeleton architecture, root hair curling, IT development and the production of nodule primordia (Heidstra and Bisseling [1996](#page-12-25); Cárdenas et al. [2000;](#page-11-15) Tsyganova et al. [2018;](#page-15-19) Roy et al. [2020;](#page-15-9) Yang et al. [2022\)](#page-15-20). In *L. japonicus* and *M. truncatula*, the membrane-bound Nod factor receptors (NFRs) such as LjNFR1/MtLYK3 and LjNFR5/MtNFP perceive difusible NF released by rhizobia (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)) (Smit et al. [2007;](#page-15-21) Singh and Verma [2023](#page-15-18)). Also, LjSymRK/*M. truncatula* does not make infections 2 (MtDMI2) acts as a co-receptor with NFRs (Madsen et al. [2003;](#page-14-16) Antolín-Llovera et al. [2014;](#page-11-16) Singh and Verma [2023\)](#page-15-18), which induces calcium spiking (or calcium oscillations) in and around the nucleus of root hair cells of host plants (Granqvist et al. [2015;](#page-12-14) Genre and Russo [2016](#page-12-26)).

Recently, a study in *P. sativum* reveals that calcium infux activation, which is followed by root hair deformation, involves the G-protein complex **(**Table [2](#page-6-0)**)** (Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)). The interaction between LysM-RLK K1 and the PsGα2 (*Psat5g034360*) was demonstrated in *P. sativum* with the aid of a Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay (Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)). According to a study, SYM10 has an apparently



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Nod factor signaling cascade of events involving G-proteins occurring during legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis. MtNFP/LjNFR5 and MtLYK3/LjNFR1 are receptor-like kinases (RLKs) involved in Nod factor (NF) sensing. *M. truncatula* Does not make infections 2 (MtDMI2)/LjSymRK functions as a co-receptor, causing intracellular signaling pathways to be activated in the legume root hair cells. The figure depicts the role of  $G\alpha$  in mediating early symbiotic stages, including calcium ( $Ca^{2+}$ ) influx by regulating an unknown  $Ca^{2+}$  channel present in the plasma membrane. Consequently, cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$ spiking occurs which further generates nuclear  $Ca^{2+}$  spikes, where nuclear pore complexes like nucleoporin 133 (NUP133) and NUP85 play a signifcant role (Kanamori et al. [2006\)](#page-13-15). G-protein subunits interact with G-protein-coupled receptor 1 (GCR1) and Receptor of G-protein signaling (RGS) to efect nodulation. It is hypothesized

inactive kinase activity and associates with LysM-RLK К1 containing a YAQ motif in its kinase domain, making it functional in regulating symbiosis initiation (Nakagawa et al. [2011;](#page-14-17) Kirienko et al. [2018](#page-13-13)). This indicates the participation of G-proteins in calcium response followed by NF sensing in legumes during the initial developmental stages of symbiosis (Bovin et al. [2022\)](#page-11-1). To positively control nodulation and expression of early nodulation genes in *G. max*, NFR1 phosphorylates RGS proteins. The phosphorylated RGS helps to initiate the signaling which results in calcium

that G-protein complexes that bind with and are phosphorylated by LjNFR1 activate the release of an unidentifed secondary messenger, which would promote  $Ca^{2+}$  spiking. The MtDMI1/LjPOLLUX and LjCASTOR cation channels get activated by this unknown secondary messenger. MtDMI1/LjPOLLUX and CNGC15s infuence nodule organogenesis by regulating  $Ca^{2+}$  or potassium  $(K^+)$  ions (Venkateshwaran et al. [2012](#page-15-23)). MtDMI3/LjCCaMK phosphorylates CYCLOPS/IPD3, which further engages with transcription factors such as DELLA, nodulation signaling pathway 1 (NSP1) and NSP2 to enhance nodule inception (*NIN)* expression (Cerri et al. [2017;](#page-11-17) Diédhiou and Diouf [2018;](#page-12-27) Mbengue et al. [2020\)](#page-14-13). This triggers nodule organogenesis or rhizobial infection involving NIN, NF-Ys, Ets2 repressor factors required for nodulation 1 (ERN1) and early nodulin 11 (ENOD11) (Laporte et al. [2014;](#page-13-16) Laloum et al. [2014](#page-13-17))

spikes while retaining the negative regulator of nodulation (G $\alpha$ ) in its inactive state (Choudhury and Pandey [2013,](#page-12-5) [2015](#page-12-3); Mbengue et al. [2020](#page-14-13)). Also, in the absence of rhizobia, mastoparan 7, a G-protein agonist, mimics NF-induced early nodulin 11 (*ENOD11)* and *ENOD12* gene expression in root hair cells of *M. truncatula* during symbiosis and root hair deformation in *Vigna unguiculata*, which is prevented by the G-protein antagonist pertussis toxin (Pingret et al. [1998](#page-14-18); Kelly and Irving [2003](#page-13-14); Sun et al. [2007](#page-15-22)). Mastoparan 7 has also been proven to result in calcium spiking in the roots

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

of *M. truncatula,* which are not reliant on NFP and DMI2 (Sun et al. [2007](#page-15-22)). Furthermore, an inhibitor of a downstream signaling component of G-protein namely, phospholipase D (PLD), ceases the calcium oscillations (Charron et al. [2004](#page-11-19)). According to these fndings, mastoparan 7 either directly or indirectly activates PLD signaling by acting downstream of NFP and the DMI2. Accordingly, a hypothesis suggested that G-protein signaling downstream of the RLK could activate the production of an unidentifed secondary messenger, which in turn causes nuclear calcium oscillations (Mbengue et al. [2020](#page-14-13)). The MtDMI1/LjPOLLUX and LjCASTOR cation channels, which show the interaction with three Cyclic Nucleotide-Gated Channels, namely CNGC15a, CNGC15b and CNGC15c, get activated by an unknown secondary messenger (Charpentier et al. [2016;](#page-11-20) Mbengue et al. [2020](#page-14-13)). Overall, G-protein subunits act as downstream elements of the NF perception pathway and trigger calcium spiking, which further activates a signal cascade involving various transcription factors including CYCLOPS/IPD3, DELLA, nodulation signaling pathway 1 (NSP1), NSP2 to enhance nodule inception (*NIN)* expression for the development of symbiotic nodules (Lévy et al. [2004;](#page-13-19) Tirichine et al. [2006](#page-15-25); Singh et al. [2014](#page-15-26); Laporte et al. [2014;](#page-13-16) Laloum et al. [2014](#page-13-17); Mbengue et al. [2020;](#page-14-13) Yuan et al. [2022;](#page-15-27) Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)).

# **Molecular basis of root nodulation involving G‑proteins, their putative receptors, and associated proteins**

Indications for the participation of G-proteins in the regulation of nodule development in various leguminous species have been found in several pharmacological and biochemical tests. The development of nodules is regulated by certain G-proteins subunits (Choudhury and Pandey [2013](#page-12-5)). Overexpression of G-protein components and RNAi suppression leads to a higher and lower number of nodules, respectively, confrming their functions as positive regulators of nodule development (Choudhury and Pandey [2013](#page-12-5)). One fnding is direct evidence for the signifcance of MtGβ1 and PsGβ1 in symbiosis development regulation in *M. truncatula* and *P. sativum*, respectively, wherein the number of indeterminate nodules generated were considerably reduced by RNAibased inhibition of *MtGβ1* (*Medtr3g116500)* and *PsGβ1* (*Psat5g006200)* (Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)).

A variety of membrane-bound receptors, including GPCR, RGS and RLKs, can be coupled with G-proteins in plants (Pandey [2019;](#page-14-1) Chakraborty and Raghuram [2022\)](#page-11-21). In accordance with a study, the downregulation of *GCR1* in *L. japonicus* results in a signifcant impact on *LjNIN*, a downstream component of the G-protein signaling network, which encodes for transcriptional factors crucial for nodulation (Rogato et al. [2016](#page-14-19)). According to Choudhury and Pandey ([2015\)](#page-12-3), a RLK protein complex i.e., NFR1–NFR5–SymRK interacts and phosphorylates G-protein subunits. Enhanced GAP activity toward the  $G\alpha$  subunit is demonstrated by phosphorylated RGS, implying that RLK-mediated phosphorylation of RGS enables the G-protein cycle to cease more rapidly (Choudhury and Pandey [2016](#page-12-28)). Therefore, NFR1 phosphorylation of RGS keeps  $G\alpha$  in an inactive state, whereas SymRK phosphorylation of Gα prevents it from interacting with Gβγ. In this scenario, the negative regulator  $G\alpha$  would be inactivated, and the positive regulators, i.e., Gβγ, would lead to efective nodulation (Choudhury and Pandey [2015](#page-12-3); Pandey [2020\)](#page-14-0). A recent study demonstrated that SymRK phosphorylates  $G\alpha$  in vitro at numerous sites, including two in the active site to prevent GTP binding (Choudhury and Pandey [2022\)](#page-12-6). The two amino acids that are phosphorylated in the active region of conventional Gα are conserved in the GTP-binding pocket of XLG proteins, suggesting that XLG may also be phosphorylated and infuenced by SymRK (Pandey [2020\)](#page-14-0). Similar to the G $\alpha$  subunit, the XLG subunit is also involved in interactions with Gβγ and RGS protein (Pandey [2020;](#page-14-0) Lou et al. [2020;](#page-13-2) Maruta et al. [2021a,](#page-14-2) [b\)](#page-14-7). Although XLG proteins are important regulators of defense signaling that operate in parallel with the classical Gα proteins in *A. thaliana*, their role in the regulation of nodulation has not been fully investigated (Maruta et al. [2015;](#page-14-20) Liang et al. [2016](#page-13-1); Pandey [2020\)](#page-14-0). This emphasizes the need for elucidating the potential role of XLG proteins in nodule formation that can be gleaned from insights into the molecular mechanism of XLG signaling transduction cascades.

Various well-known G-protein signaling downstream components, including PLC, PLD, diacylglycerol pyrophosphate, phosphatidic acid, and G-protein-related phosphoinositide 3-kinase, have been associated with the regulation of nodulation (Misra et al. [2007;](#page-14-21) Peleg-Grossman et al. [2007](#page-14-22); Santos-Briones et al. [2009](#page-15-28)). The Co-IP experiments have confrmed the association of G-protein subunits with PLC, implying crosstalk between G-protein and PLC-mediated symbiotic signaling pathways in both *M. truncatula* and *P. sativum* (Bovin et al. [2022](#page-11-1)). In plants, Phospholipase  $D\alpha$ 1 (PLD $\alpha$ 1) is a crucial regulatory element of the G-protein signaling complex (Li et al. [2009](#page-13-20); Lu et al. [2013\)](#page-13-21) and it also interacts with  $G\alpha$  as well as  $G\beta$  proteins (Zhao and Wang [2004;](#page-16-0) Gookin and Assmann [2014](#page-12-29)). According to Roy Choudhury and Pandey ( $2016$ ), PLD $\alpha$ 1 and RGS1 are found in proximity to the G-protein complex, or perhaps PLD $\alpha$ 1 and G-proteins form a macromolecular complex. This model positions  $PLD\alpha1$  and  $G\alpha$  downstream of RGS1, which serves as  $PLD\alpha1$  inhibitor rather than GAP and attributes the role of GAP to  $PLD\alpha1$  (Roy Choudhury and Pandey [2016](#page-15-29)). Also, pharmacological and molecular methods have been employed to uncover the role of  $PLD\alpha1$  in signaling during nodulation in soybean (Zhang et al. [2021\)](#page-16-2). Taken together, these observations led to the suggestion of a simplified mechanism for the roles of the RGS,  $PLD\alpha1$ , and G-proteins in RNS (Pacheco and Quinto [2022\)](#page-14-23). According to this model, when NFs are perceived, the cytosolic kinase domain of NFR1 phosphorylates RGS and as a result, PLD $\alpha$ 1 is released from its inactivated state. The G-protein complex is then rendered inactive by the active  $PLD\alpha1$  that act as a GAP. Consequently, the Gα subunit cannot inhibit the growth of nodules, and free Gβγ dimers serve as positive regulators of nodule development (Pacheco and Quinto [2022\)](#page-14-23). Moreover, future research can also determine the role of any member of the PLD family other than  $PLD\alpha1$  in controlling the G-protein cycle (Pacheco and Quinto [2022\)](#page-14-23). This indicates the necessity of further research to shed light on the molecular mechanisms underlying potential G-protein and PLD signaling during the root nodule development in legumes.

## **Soil nitrogen sensing in conjunction with G‑proteins**

In soils, numerous microbial communities engage in nitrogen transformations into plant-usable forms to regulate nitrogen mobilisation and fxation capacity (Robertson and Grofman [2007\)](#page-14-24). In aerobic soils, most plants have nitrate as their principal source of nitrogen (Liu et al. [2015\)](#page-13-22). The nitrate transporters, or channels, are grouped into fve families in higher plants, namely, the nitrate transporter 1/peptide transporter family (NPF or NRT1/PTR), nitrate transporter 2 (NRT2), aluminium-activated malate transporter (ALMT), slow anion channel-associated 1 homolog 3 (SLAC1/ SLAH3) and chloride channel (CLC) (Krapp et al. [2014](#page-13-23); Léran et al. [2015;](#page-13-24) Pellizzaro et al. [2017\)](#page-14-25). The first identifed nitrate transporter implicated in the primary nitrate response (PNR) in *A. thaliana* was the moonlighting protein AtNPF6.3 (AtNRT1.1 or CHL1) (Fichtner et al. [2021](#page-12-30); Gu et al. [2022\)](#page-12-9). Members of the NPF and NRT2 families have been shown to associate with nitrate acquisition in roots (Gu et al. [2022](#page-12-9)). Moreover, plants have developed two nitrate uptake systems: a high-affinity transport system (HATS) and a low-affinity transport system (LATS) (Wang et al. [2012](#page-15-30)). In comparison with low-affinity transporters, high-affinity transporters function better at lower concentrations of nitrate while becoming saturated at greater nitrate concentrations (Muratore et al. [2021\)](#page-14-26). More importantly, except for NPF6.3 (NRT1.1 or CHL1), all members of the NRT2 family are HATS, while the majority of the NPF/NRT1 family members are LATS (Ho et al. [2009;](#page-13-25) Wang et al. [2012](#page-15-30)). NPF6.3 is considered as a dual-affinity nitrate sensor and transporter in *A. thaliana* (Ho et al. [2009](#page-13-25); Gu et al. [2022](#page-12-9)).

Several fndings reveal the importance of G-proteins in regulating nitrate uptake and metabolism encoding genes in plants **(**Fig. [3](#page-8-0)**)** (Chakraborty et al. [2015,](#page-11-13) [2019](#page-11-18); Liu et al. [2018](#page-13-26); Pathak et al. [2021](#page-14-27)). Researchers are increasingly aware that GPCR is found in a multitude of cells, tissues, and organs in animals, plants, and microbes and that it shows involvement in the detection of a variety of nutrients (Moran

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** A simplifed representation of the involvement of G-protein subunits in nitrate signaling in *A. thaliana.* NPF6.3 (NRT1.1 or CHL1) functions as a transporter as well as a sensor of nitrate. Depending on the nitrate levels, regulation of the expression of *NRT1.1* shows probable involvement of GCR1- GPA1 coupling followed by an interaction with the *Arabidopsis* nitrate regulated 1 (ANR1) transcription factor. This signaling subsequently regulates the nitrogen (N) responsive genes, which include nitrogen starvation/assimilation genes such as the nitrate transporter *NRT2.1*, isocitrate dehydrogenase (*ICDH*) and asparagine synthase 1 (*ASN1*)



et al. [2021](#page-14-28); El-Defrawy and Hesham [2020;](#page-12-31) Chakraborty and Raghuram [2022](#page-11-21)). Previous fndings in *A. thaliana* have shown genetic evidence of the GCR1-GPA1 linkage controlling the nitrate response (Chakraborty et al. [2015,](#page-11-13) [2019\)](#page-11-18). In a report, *gpa1-5*, *gcr1-5,* and *gpa1-5gcr1-5* mutants were studied to investigate the role of three mutants in regulating nitrate uptake and metabolism encoding genes. *NRT1* was shown to be highly expressed in all three mutants as compared to the WT at low nitrate levels, but *NRT1* expression was low at high nitrate levels (Chakraborty et al. [2019](#page-11-18)). By considering *NRT1* as a low-affinity nitrate transporter, the low nitrate condition may have been insufficient due to mutations in *GCR1* and/or *GPA1*, resulting in increased expression of *NRT1*, which was not observed at a high nitrate level (Chakraborty et al. [2019](#page-11-18)). Henceforth, according to the aforementioned study, the *gcr1-5* mutant exhibits an altered dose-dependent diferential nitrogen response for *NRT1* gene expression. Additionally, a study has revealed the in vitro interactions of GPCR with all three subunits of G-proteins in *P. sativum* (Misra et al. [2007](#page-14-21)). Therefore, it develops an interest in exploring whether coupling of G-proteins with GPCR in *P. sativum* also effectuates significant expression level alterations of nitrate transporters. The canonical GPCRs are often implicated in agronomically signifcant processes in plants (Chakraborty and Raghuram [2022\)](#page-11-21), but the level of association of GPCR with the  $G\alpha$  subunit has remained mostly unidentifed (Chakraborty et al. [2019\)](#page-11-18). The fndings of future investigations can provide compelling scientifc evidence to reassess the involvement of GPCR in plant G-protein-mediated signaling pathways.

*Arabidopsis* nitrate regulated 1 (ANR1), a MADS intervening keratin-like and C-terminal (MIKC)-type MADS-box transcription factor, was the frst to be discovered in nitrate signaling pathways (Zhang and Forde [1998\)](#page-16-3). In nitraterich localized areas, lateral root elongation is hindered in *ANR1* loss-of-function mutants, which afects the root system's plasticity (Zhang and Forde [1998\)](#page-16-3). Later research revealed that NRT1.1 regulates *ANR1* at the transcriptional level (Remans et al. [2006\)](#page-14-29). According to Chakraborty et al. [\(2015\)](#page-11-13), NRT1.1 interacts with AtGPA1, which controls the transcription of nitrate-responsive genes through ANR1. This study employed *gpa1-5*, a novel *GPA1* knock-out mutant, to reveal that numerous nitrogen starvation/assimilation genes, such as the nitrate transporter *NRT2.1*, isocitrate dehydrogenase (*ICDH*), and asparagine synthase (*ASN1*), were up-regulated in *gpa1-5* (Chakraborty et al. [2015\)](#page-11-13). It has been demonstrated that a *Triticum aestivum Gβ* gene*, TaNBP1* (AK332651), regulates transcription of the nitrate transporter gene (*NRT2.2*) in transgenic *Nicotiana benthamiana*, thereby indicating a role in nitrogen uptake (Liu et al. [2018\)](#page-13-26). Additionally, the role of RGA1 in nitrogen-responsive transcriptional regulation has been established by a study in *Oryza sativa* (Pathak et al. [2021](#page-14-27)). The genes encoding the ammonium and nitrate transporters were shown to be downregulated in the *rga1* mutant, demonstrating the relevance of *RGA1* function in nitrogen uptake. Moreover, the transcription factor network analysis of the *rga1* mutant revealed the importance of *RGA1* in regulating the nitrogen signaling cascade with several diferentially expressed genes (DEGs), including *Nin-like* and *OsCIPK23*, among others (Pathak et al. [2021](#page-14-27)). Notably, the G-protein subunit mutant studies can be benefcial for translating key research fndings from commercially signifcant crop species to legumes for deciphering the possible participation of G-proteins in nitrogen uptake.

According to Fan et al. ([2017\)](#page-12-32), there are reports on nitrate transporter being used for improving crop productivity. For instance, the increased expression of *OsNRT1.1B,* a lowaffinity nitrate transporter, in *japonica* rice may aid in the improved sensing of varied nitrate concentrations and increasing the capacity for nitrogen accumulation inside the grain (Hu et al. [2015\)](#page-13-27). In addition, rice with overexpressed *OsNRT2.3b* has a better ability to absorb other nutrients, which reduces photorespiration and promotes growth and grain yield (Fan et al. [2016](#page-12-33)). Furthermore, numerous studies demonstrate that  $G\alpha$  subunits regulate the expression level of plant nitrate transporters (Chakraborty et al. [2015](#page-11-13), [2019](#page-11-18); Pathak et al. [2021](#page-14-27)). This indicates that optimizing nitrate uptake and utilization via G-protein subunits might contribute to increasing crop yield.

# **G‑proteins and regulation of nitrogen use efficiency**

NUE is a multigenic quantitative trait, including numerous nitrogen-responsive genes and mechanisms that require thorough characterization (Mandal et al. [2022\)](#page-14-30). G-proteins are implicated in critical agronomic traits such as NUE, thereby directly impacting yield (Xu et al. [2016b](#page-15-31); Stateczny et al. [2016](#page-15-1)). There are fndings on the signifcance of the Gγ subunit in governing nitrogen assimilation and NUE. For instance, in rice, the *DEP1* (*Os09g0441900*) gene is a plant-specifc Gγ subunit that directs branching, density, and erectness of panicles and was also discovered to be a signifcant quantitative trait locus (QTL) for NUE (Huang et al. [2009;](#page-13-28) Sun et al. [2014,](#page-15-24) [2018](#page-15-12); Xu et al. [2016a;](#page-15-32) Li et al. [2023\)](#page-13-18). Both RGA1 and RGB1 subunits have been confrmed to interact with the DEP1 protein in vivo (Sun et al. [2014](#page-15-24)). The G protein  $γ$ -like (GGL) domain of DEP1 interacts with the rice RGB1 subunit on the plasma membrane and within the nucleus. Additionally, DEP1 binds with RGA1, and the von Willebrand factor type C (vWFC) domain at the C-terminus of DEP1 may be involved in this interaction (Sun et al. [2014\)](#page-15-24). According to the study, nitrogen-mediated growth responses are likewise inhibited by reduced RGA1 or increased RGB1 activity (Sun et al. [2014](#page-15-24)). Also, plants containing the *dep1-32* loss-of-function allele are unafected by nitrogen availability, whereas plants with the *dep1-1* gainof-function allele demonstrate higher nitrogen uptake even when nitrogen is scarce, suggesting that manipulating G-protein activity could be a novel strategy for regulating NUE (Sun et al. [2014](#page-15-24)). *DEP1* affects genes related to ammonium absorption and assimilation (such as *OsAMT1;1*, *OsGS1;2* and *OsNADH-GOGAT1*), thereby regulating nitrogen uptake and metabolism (Huang et al. [2009](#page-13-28)). These genes showed an up-regulation in *dep1* allelic plants when nitrogen levels were low. Despite the low nitrogen availability, the *dep1* allelic plants exhibit higher glutamine synthase activity and accumulate more internal nitrogen than the *DEP1* allelic plants (Sun et al. [2014\)](#page-15-24). According to a recent study in rice, the increased stomatal conductance conferred by *dep1* results in a higher photosynthetic capability under minimal nitrogen circumstances (Li et al. [2023\)](#page-13-18). This research aids to comprehend the photosynthetic efficiency of the *dep1* variety in low nitrogen environments by analyzing photosynthesis, stomatal function, and nitrogen uptake and assimilation (Li et al. [2023\)](#page-13-18).

Furthermore, nitrogen heterogeneity in the soil is a key factor in determining root development (Araya et al. [2016](#page-11-22)). While nitrogen defciency stops root growth, a moderate amount of nitrogen in the soil encourages the extension of lateral roots that would otherwise be stunted in high nitrogen environments (Linkohr et al. [2002;](#page-13-29) Liu et al. [2017](#page-13-30)). Altering the root system architecture is an adaptive strategy to improve NUE (Awasthi and Laxmi [2021\)](#page-11-23). According to a study, the rice G-protein mutants vary from the WT in lateral root number and high nitrogen inhibition growth (Liang et al. [2018](#page-13-5)). The high nitrogen-induced suppression of root growth was abolished in the *A. thaliana agb1-2* mutant. This indicates that G-protein modulates the root architecture in response to nitrogen availability (Liang et al. [2018](#page-13-5)). In *T. aestivum*, the nitrogen starvation response is reportedly regulated by *TaNBP1* (Liu et al. [2018\)](#page-13-26). In line with its function in promoting nitrogen accumulation, *TaNBP1* overexpression in *N. benthamiana* results in enhanced phenotypic, expanded root system architecture and increased biomass for transgenic plants under nitrogen defciency in comparison to the WT (Liu et al. [2018\)](#page-13-26). Furthermore, a class C Gγ subunit of *A. thaliana* termed as AGG3 may also be involved in the NUE. During the early seedling stage of development, the model monocot *Setaria virdis* overexpressing *AtAGG3* (*At5g20635*) showed improved root growth, enabling greater plant survival under limited nitrogen circumstances (Kaur et al. [2018\)](#page-13-6). A study in *B. napus* revealed that under nitrogen deprivation, *Gα* (*BnGA1*) and fve C-type *Gγ* genes (*BnGG9*, *BnGG10*, *BnGG11*, *BnGG12* and *BnGG13*) were initially upregulated in roots, while *Gα* was initially downregulated and fve C-type *Gγ* genes were substantially expressed at various times in leaves (Xie et al. [2022](#page-15-2)). These fndings shed light on the biological processes that G-protein genes perform in response to an inadequate supply of nitrogen (Xie et al. [2022\)](#page-15-2). Through genetically abrogating each of the three rice *XLGs* separately and synergistically using CRISPR/ Cas9 genome editing in rice, a study uncovered a role for XLGs in agronomic traits. The research results refect that non-canonical XLGs are crucial regulators of rice plant growth, grain flling and panicle phenotype (Biswal et al. [2022](#page-11-9)). Henceforth, deciphering the XLG signaling in agronomic performance can assist in establishing its association with NUE for crop improvement strategies.

## **Conclusions**

The increasing use of nitrogen fertilizers contributes to an enormous growth in agricultural production. However, at the same time, soil quality has signifcantly deteriorated. Decreased soil fertility and unsustainable long-term crop yields are potential consequences of synthetic chemicals which very often suppress the symbiotic nitrogen fxation process (Akter et al. [2018](#page-11-24); Reinprecht et al. [2020](#page-14-31); Móring et al. [2021](#page-14-32)). With steady population growth and climate change, it has become challenging to increase crop productivity without exacerbating environmental degradation. The development of plants that could flourish independently of expensive nitrogen fertilizers would be a signifcant achievement in research related to nitrogen fxation. G-proteins and their potential receptors are emerging as key players in understanding root development and nodulation process. Hence, a deeper knowledge of G-protein signaling can provide insights into the control of symbiosis and root development, thereby laying the foundation for a multitude of studies in the future. Moreover, persistent efforts to incorporate the nitrogen-fxing trait into non-legume crops, particularly cereals, which constitute a signifcant section of the food basket, can lessen our reliance on inorganic nitrogen fertilizers. Also, uncovering the function of G-proteins in the nitrate sensing pathway and their translation into growth-enhancing reactions is crucial for enhancing NUE, crop productivity and reducing pollution caused by chemical fertilizers. The emerging research on regulating nutrient stress is supplemented by the multiple functions of G-proteins in plant root development and nitrogen signaling. Therefore, besides alleviating plant abiotic and biotic stress, G-proteins may also aid in plant adaptation to nutrient stress. This will assist in engineering more efficient crops with improved NUE by genome modifications to maximize crop yield and limit excess nitrogen being added to the environment, thereby promoting a sustainable future for modern agriculture.

**Acknowledgements** Authors acknowledge the University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India for funding this research.

**Author contributions** NT, DB and RKS conceptualised the idea. SS and JG contributed equally to write the manuscript. SS, JG and JS designed the fgures. NT, DB, RKS and JS edited the manuscript. All the authors read and approved it for publication.

**Funding** No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript.

**Data availability** Not applicable.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Abd-Alla MH (2011) Nodulation and nitrogen fxation in interspecies grafts of soybean and common bean is controlled by isofavonoid signal molecules translocated from shoot. Plant Soil Environ 57:453–458. <https://doi.org/10.17221/379/2010-PSE>
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Akter Z, Pageni BB, Lupwayi NZ, Balasubramanian PM (2018) Biological nitrogen fxation by irrigated dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes. Can J Plant Sci 98(5):1159–1167. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2017-0301) [doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2017-0301](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2017-0301)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Antolín-Llovera M, Ried MK, Parniske M (2014) Cleavage of the SYMBIOSIS RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE ectodomain promotes complex formation with Nod factor receptor 5. Curr Biol 24(4):422–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.12.053>
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Araya T, Kubo T, von Wirén N, Takahashi H (2016) Statistical modeling of nitrogen-dependent modulation of root system architecture in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Integr Plant Biol 58(3):254–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12433>
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Awasthi P, Laxmi A (2021) Root architectural plasticity in changing nutrient availability. Rhizobiology: molecular physiology of plant roots. Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84985-6\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84985-6_2)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Bag S, Mondal A, Majumder A, Mondal SK, Banik A (2022) Flavonoid mediated selective cross-talk between plants and benefcial soil microbiome. Phytochem Rev. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-022-09806-3) [s11101-022-09806-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-022-09806-3)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Bhardwaj D, Medici A, Gojon A, Lacombe B, Tuteja N (2015) A new insight into root responses to external cues: paradigm shift in nutrient sensing. Plant Signal Behav 10(12):1049791. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2015.1049791) [doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2015.1049791](https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2015.1049791)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Bhardwaj D, Sahoo RK, Naqvi AR, Lakhanpaul S, Tuteja N (2020) Pea Gβ subunit of G proteins has a role in nitric oxide-induced stomatal closure in response to heat and drought stress. Protoplasma 257(6):1639–1654. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-020-01529-6>
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Bisht NC, Jez JM, Pandey S (2011) An elaborate heterotrimeric G-protein family from soybean expands the diversity of plant G-protein networks. New Phytol 190(1):35–48. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03581.x) [1469-8137.2010.03581.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03581.x)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Biswal AK, Wu TY, Urano D, Pelissier R, Morel JB, Jones AM, Biswal AK (2022) Novel mutant alleles reveal a role of the extra-large G protein in rice grain flling, panicle architecture, plant growth, and disease resistance. Front Plant Sci 12:2821. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.782960) [10.3389/fpls.2021.782960](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.782960)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Bosse MA, da Silva MB, de Oliveira NGRM, de Araujo MA, Rodrigues C, de Azevedo JP, Dos Reis AR (2021) Physiological impact of favonoids on nodulation and ureide metabolism in

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

legume plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 166:512–521. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.007) [doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.007)

- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Bovin AD, Pavlova OA, Dolgikh AV, Leppyanen IV, Dolgikh EA (2022) The role of heterotrimeric G-protein beta subunits during nodulation in *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn and *Pisum sativum* L. Front Plant Sci 12:3264. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.808573) [fpls.2021.808573](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.808573)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Cantos CF, dePamphilis CW, Assmann SM (2023) Extra-large G proteins have extra-large efects on agronomic traits and stress tolerance in maize and rice. Trends Plant Sci. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2023.04.005) [10.1016/j.tplants.2023.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2023.04.005)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Cao Y, Halane MK, Gassmann W, Stacey G (2017) The role of plant innate immunity in the legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis. Annu Rev Plant Biol 68:535–561. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-041030)[arplant-042916-041030](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-041030)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Cárdenas L, Holdaway-Clarke TL, Sánchez F, Quinto C, Feijó JA, Kunkel JG, Hepler PK (2000) Ion changes in legume root hairs responding to Nod factors. Plant Physiol 123(2):443–452. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.123.2.443>
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Cerri MR, Wang Q, Stolz P, Folgmann J, Frances L, Katzer K, Li X, Heckmann AB, Wang TL, Downie JA, Klingl A (2017) The ERN1 transcription factor gene is a target of the CCaMK/ CYCLOPS complex and controls rhizobial infection in *Lotus japonicus*. New Phytol 215(1):323–337. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14547) [1111/nph.14547](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14547)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Chakraborty N, Raghuram N (2022) Life, death and resurrection of plant GPCRs. Plant Mol Biol. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-022-01323-3) [s11103-022-01323-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-022-01323-3)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Chakraborty N, Sharma P, Kanyuka K, Pathak RR, Choudhury D, Hooley R, Raghuram N (2015) G-protein α-subunit (GPA1) regulates stress, nitrate and phosphate response, favonoid biosynthesis, fruit/seed development and substantially shares GCR1 regulation in *A. thaliana*. Plant Mol Biol 89(6):559– 576.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0374-2>
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Chakraborty N, Kanyuka K, Jaiswal DK, Kumar A, Arora V, Malik A, Gupta N, Hooley R, Raghuram N (2019) *GCR1* and *GPA1* coupling regulates nitrate, cell wall, immunity and light responses in *Arabidopsis*. Sci Rep 9(1):1–17. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42084-2) [10.1038/s41598-019-42084-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42084-2)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Chakravorty D, Trusov Y, Zhang W, Acharya BR, Sheahan MB, McCurdy DW, Assmann SM, Botella JR (2011) An atypical heterotrimeric G-protein γ-subunit is involved in guard cell K+-channel regulation and morphological development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 67(5):840–851. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04638.x) [10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04638.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04638.x)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Charpentier M (2018) Calcium signals in the plant nucleus: origin and function. J Exp Bot 69(17):4165–4173. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery160) [1093/jxb/ery160](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery160)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Charpentier M, Oldroyd GE (2013) Nuclear calcium signaling in plants. Plant Physiol 163(2):496–503. [https://doi.org/10.1104/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.220863) [pp.113.220863](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.220863)
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Charpentier M, Sun J, Martins TV, Radhakrishnan GV, Findlay K, Soumpourou E, Thouin J, Véry AA, Sanders D, Morris RJ, Oldroyd GE (2016) Nuclear-localized cyclic nucleotide– gated channels mediate symbiotic calcium oscillations. Science 352(6289):1102–1105. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0109) [aae0109](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0109)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Charron D, Pingret JL, Chabaud M, Journet EP, Barker DG (2004) Pharmacological evidence that multiple phospholipid signaling pathways link *Rhizobium* nodulation factor perception in *Medicago truncatula* root hairs to intracellular responses, including Ca2+ spiking and specifc *ENOD* gene expression. Plant Physiol 136(3):3582–3593. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.051110>
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Chen JG, Jones AM (2004) AtRGS1 function in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Methods Enzymol 389:338–350. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(04)89020-7) [6879\(04\)89020-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(04)89020-7)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Chen WF, Wang ET, Ji ZJ, Zhang JJ (2021) Recent development and new insight of diversifcation and symbiosis specifcity of legume rhizobia: mechanism and application. J Appl Microbiol 131(2):553–563.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14960>
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Choudhury SR, Pandey S (2013) Specifc subunits of heterotrimeric G proteins play important roles during nodulation in soybean. Plant Physiol 162(1):522–533. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.215400>
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Choudhury SR, Pandey S (2015) Phosphorylation-dependent regulation of G-protein cycle during nodule formation in soybean. Plant Cell 27(11):3260–3276.<https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00517>
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Choudhury SR, Pandey S (2016) Interaction of heterotrimeric G-protein components with receptor-like kinases in plants: an alternative to the established signaling paradigm? Mol Plant 9(8):1093– 1095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2016.05.012>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Choudhury SR, Pandey S (2022) SymRK-dependent phosphorylation of Gα protein and its role in signaling during soybean (*Glycine max*) nodulation. Plant J 110(1):277–291. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15672) [1111/tpj.15672](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15672)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Choudhury SR, Bisht NC, Thompson R, Todorov O, Pandey S (2011) Conventional and novel Gγ protein families constitute the heterotrimeric G-protein signaling network in soybean. PLoS ONE 6(8):2336. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023361>
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Cui Y, Jiang N, Xu Z, Xu Q (2020) Heterotrimeric G protein are involved in the regulation of multiple agronomic traits and stress tolerance in rice. BMC Plant Biol 20:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-2289-6) [1186/s12870-020-2289-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-2289-6)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Das DR, Horváth B, Kundu A, Kaló P, DasGupta M (2019) Functional conservation of CYCLOPS in crack entry legume *Arachis hypogaea*. Plant Sci 281:232–241. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plant](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.12.003) [sci.2018.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.12.003)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Debellé F, Moulin L, Mangin B, Dénarié J, Boivin C (2001) Nod genes and Nod signals and the evolution of the *Rhizobium* legume symbiosis. Acta Biochim Pol 48(2):359–365. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.18388/abp.2001_3921) [18388/abp.2001\\_3921](https://doi.org/10.18388/abp.2001_3921)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Desbrosses GJ, Stougaard J (2011) Root nodulation: a paradigm for how plant-microbe symbiosis infuences host developmental pathways. Cell Host Microbe 10(4):348–358. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.09.005) [1016/j.chom.2011.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.09.005)
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Diédhiou I, Diouf D (2018) Transcription factors network in root endosymbiosis establishment and development. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 34(3):1–14. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-018-2418-7) [s11274-018-2418-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-018-2418-7)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Ding L, Pandey S, Assmann SM (2008) *Arabidopsis* extra-large G proteins (XLGs) regulate root morphogenesis. Plant J 53(2):248– 263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03335.x>
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Dong W, Song Y (2020) The signifcance of favonoids in the process of biological nitrogen fxation. Int J Mol Sci 21(16):5926. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21165926) [doi.org/10.3390/ijms21165926](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21165926)
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>El-Defrawy MM, Hesham AEL (2020) G-protein-coupled receptors in fungi. Fungal biotechnology and bioengineering. Springer, Cham, pp 37–126. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41870-0\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41870-0_3)
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Fan X, Tang Z, Tan Y, Zhang Y, Luo B, Yang M, Lian X, Shen Q, Miller AJ, Xu G (2016) Overexpression of a pH-sensitive nitrate transporter in rice increases crop yields. Proc Natl Acad Sci 113(26):7118–7123.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525184113>
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Fan X, Naz M, Fan X, Xuan W, Miller AJ, Xu G (2017) Plant nitrate transporters: from gene function to application. J Exp Bot 68(10):2463–2475. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx011>
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Feng Y, Wu P, Liu C, Peng L, Wang T, Wang C, Tan Q, Li B, Ou Y, Zhu H, Yuan S (2021) Suppression of LjBAK1-mediated immunity by SymRK promotes rhizobial infection in *Lotus japonicus*. Mol Plant 14(11):1935–1950. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.07.016) [2021.07.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.07.016)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Ferguson BJ, Indrasumunar A, Hayashi S, Lin MH, Lin YH, Reid DE, Gresshoff PM (2010) Molecular analysis of legume nodule

development and autoregulation. J Integr Plant Biol 52(1):61–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00899.x>

- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Fichtner F, Dissanayake IM, Lacombe B, Barbier F (2021) Sugar and nitrate sensing: a multi-billion-year story. Trends Plant Sci 26(4):352–374.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.11.006>
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Ganotra J, Sharma B, Biswal B, Bhardwaj D, Tuteja N (2023) Emerging role of small GTPases and their interactome in plants to combat abiotic and biotic stress. Protoplasma 260(4):1007–1029 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-022-01830-6>
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Gao Y, Gu H, Leburu M, Li X, Wang Y, Sheng J, Fang H, Gu M, Liang G (2019) The heterotrimeric G protein β subunit *RGB1* is required for seedling formation in rice. Rice 12(1):1–14. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12284-019-0313-y) [doi.org/10.1186/s12284-019-0313-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12284-019-0313-y)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Gauthier-Coles C, White RG, Mathesius U (2019) Nodulating legumes are distinguished by a sensitivity to cytokinin in the root cortex leading to pseudonodule development. Front Plant Sci 9:1901. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01901>
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Genre A, Russo G (2016) Does a common pathway transduce symbiotic signals in plant–microbe interactions? Front Plant Sci 7:96. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00096>
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Geurts R, Bisseling T (2002) *Rhizobium* Nod factor perception and signalling. Plant Cell 14:S239–S249. [https://doi.org/10.1105/](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.002451) [tpc.002451](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.002451)
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Ghantasala S, Roy Choudhury S (2022) Nod factor perception: an integrative view of molecular communication during legume symbiosis. Plant Mol Biol. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-022-01307-3) [s11103-022-01307-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-022-01307-3)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Ghusinga KR, Elston TC, Jones AM (2022) Towards resolution of a paradox in plant G-protein signaling. Plant Physiol 188(2):807– 815. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab534>
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Gookin TE, Assmann SM (2014) Signifcant reduction of BiFC nonspecifc assembly facilitates *in planta* assessment of heterotrimeric G-protein interactors. Plant J 80(3):553–567. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12639) [org/10.1111/tpj.12639](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12639)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Graham PH, Vance CP (2003) Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. Plant Physiol 131(3):872–877. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.017004) [1104/pp.017004](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.017004)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Granqvist E, Wysham D, Hazledine S, Kozlowski W, Sun J, Charpentier M, Martins TV, Haleux P, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Downie JA, Oldroyd GE (2012) Bufering capacity explains signal variation in symbiotic calcium oscillations. Plant Physiol 160(4):2300– 2310.<https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.205682>
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Granqvist E, Sun J, Op den Camp R, Pujic P, Hill L, Normand P, Morris RJ, Downie JA, Geurts R, Oldroyd GE (2015) Bacterialinduced calcium oscillations are common to nitrogen-fxing associations of nodulating legumes and non-legumes. New Phytol 207(3):551–558.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13464>
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Gu B, Chen Y, Xie F, Murray JD, Miller AJ (2022) Inorganic nitrogen transport and assimilation in pea (*Pisum sativum*). Genes 13(1):158. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13010158>
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Hackenberg D, McKain MR, Lee SG, Roy Choudhury S, McCann T, Schreier S, Harkess A, Pires JC, Wong GK, Jez JM, Kellogg EA (2017)  $G\alpha$  and regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) protein pairs maintain functional compatibility and conserved interaction interfaces throughout evolution despite frequent loss of RGS proteins in plants. New Phytol 216(2):562–575. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14180) [10.1111/nph.14180](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14180)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Hassan S, Mathesius U (2012) The role of favonoids in root–rhizosphere signalling: opportunities and challenges for improving plant–microbe interactions. J Exp Bot 63(9):3429–3444. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err430) [doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err430](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err430)
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Heidstra R, Bisseling TON (1996) Nod factor-induced host responses and mechanisms of Nod factor perception. New Phytol 133(1):25–43. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb043](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04339.x) [39.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04339.x)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Hirsch AM, Fujishige NA (2012) Molecular signals and receptors: communication between nitrogen-fxing bacteria and their plant hosts. Biocommun Plants. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23524-5_14) [3-642-23524-5\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23524-5_14)
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Ho CH, Lin SH, Hu HC, Tsay YF (2009) CHL1 functions as a nitrate sensor in plants. Cell 138(6):1184–1194. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.004) [1016/j.cell.2009.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.004)
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Hu B, Wang W, Ou S, Tang J, Li H, Che R, Zhang Z, Chai X, Wang H, Wang Y, Liang C (2015) Variation in *NRT1.1B* contributes to nitrate-use divergence between rice subspecies. Nat Genet 47(7):834–838. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3337>
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Huang X, Qian Q, Liu Z, Sun H, He S, Luo D, Xia G, Chu C, Li J, Fu X (2009) Natural variation at the *DEP1* locus enhances grain yield in rice. Nat Genet 41(4):494–497. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.352) [10.1038/ng.352](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.352)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Ivanova KA, Chernova EN, Kulaeva OA, Tsyganova AV, Kusakin PG, Russkikh IV, Tikhonovich IA, Tsyganov VE (2022) The regulation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) symbiotic nodule infection and defense responses by glutathione, homoglutathione, and their ratio. Front Plant Sci.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.843565>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Izawa Y, Takayanagi Y, Inaba N, Abe Y, Minami M, Fujisawa Y, Kato H, Ohki S, Kitano H, Iwasaki Y (2010) Function and expression pattern of the α subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein in rice. Plant Cell Physiol 51(2):271–281. [https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcp186) [pcp186](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcp186)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Jia H, Song G, Werth EG, Walley JW, Hicks LM, Jones AM (2019) Receptor-like kinase phosphorylation of *Arabidopsis* Heterotrimeric G-protein Gα-subunit AtGPA1. Proteomics 19(24):1900265. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201900265>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Jose J, Choudhury SR (2020) Heterotrimeric G-proteins mediated hormonal responses in plants. Cell Signal 76:109799. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2020.109799) [10.1016/j.cellsig.2020.109799](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2020.109799)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Kanamori N, Madsen LH, Radutoi S, Frantescu M, Quistgaard EM, Miwa H, Downie JA, James EK, Felle HH, Haaning LL, Jensen TH (2006) A nucleoporin is required for induction of  $Ca^{2+}$  spiking in legume nodule development and essential for rhizobial and fungal symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103(2):359–364. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508883103) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508883103](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508883103)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Kaur J, Roy Choudhury S, Vijayakumar A, Hovis L, Rhodes Z, Polzin R, Blumenthal D, Pandey S (2018) *Arabidopsis* type III Gγ protein AGG3 is a positive regulator of yield and stress responses in the model monocot *Setaria viridis*. Front Plant Sci 9:109. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00109) [doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00109](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00109)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Kelly MN, Irving HR (2003) Nod factors activate both heterotrimeric and monomeric G-proteins in *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. Planta 216(4):674–685. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-002-0900-8) [s00425-002-0900-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-002-0900-8)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Kirienko AN, Porozov YB, Malkov NV, Akhtemova GA, Le Signor C, Thompson R, Safray C, Dalmais M, Bendahmane A, Tikhonovich IA, Dolgikh EA (2018) Role of a receptor-like kinase K1 in pea *Rhizobium* symbiosis development. Planta 248(5):1101–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-2944-4>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Kohlen W, Ng JLP, Deinum EE, Mathesius U (2018) Auxin transport, metabolism, and signalling during nodule initiation: indeterminate and determinate nodules. J Exp Bot 69(2):229–244. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx308) [doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx308](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx308)
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Krapp A, David LC, Chardin C, Girin T, Marmagne A, Leprince AS, Chaillou S, Ferrario-Méry S, Meyer C, Daniel-Vedele F (2014) Nitrate transport and signalling in *Arabidopsis*. J Exp Bot 65(3):789–798. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru001>
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Laloum T, Baudin M, Frances L, Lepage A, Billault-Penneteau B, Cerri MR, Ariel F, Jardinaud MF, Gamas P, de Carvalho-Niebel F, Niebel A (2014) Two CCAAT-box-binding transcription factors redundantly regulate early steps of the legume-rhizobia endosymbiosis. Plant J 79(5):757–768. [https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12587) [12587](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12587)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Laporte P, Lepage A, Fournier J, Catrice O, Moreau S, Jardinaud MF, Mun JH, Larrainzar E, Cook DR, Gamas P, Niebel A (2014) The CCAAT box-binding transcription factor NF-YA1 controls rhizobial infection. J Exp Bot 65(2):481–494. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert392) [1093/jxb/ert392](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert392)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Lazdunski AM, Ventre I, Sturgis JN (2004) Regulatory circuits and communication in Gram-negative bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2(7):581–592. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro924>
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Léran S, Edel KH, Pervent M, Hashimoto K, Corratgé-Faillie C, Ofenborn JN, Tillard P, Gojon A, Kudla J, Lacombe B (2015) Nitrate sensing and uptake in *Arabidopsis* are enhanced by *ABI2*, a phosphatase inactivated by the stress hormone abscisic acid. Sci Signal 8(375):ra43.<https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aaa4829>
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Lévy J, Bres C, Geurts R, Chalhoub B, Kulikova O, Duc G, Journet EP, Ané JM, Lauber E, Bisseling T, Dénarié J (2004) A putative  $Ca^{2+}$ and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase required for bacterial and fungal symbioses. Science 303(5662):1361–1364. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093038) [doi.org/10.1126/science.1093038](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093038)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Li M, Hong Y, Wang X (2009) Phospholipase D-and phosphatidic acid-mediated signaling in plants. Biochim Biophys Acta 1791(9):927–935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.02.017>
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Li Y, Lv X, Rui M, Hu J, Volkov VS, Zeng D, Wang Y (2023) Rice *dep1* variety maintains larger stomatal conductance to enhance photosynthesis under low nitrogen conditions. Crop Des. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropd.2023.100025) [doi.org/10.1016/j.cropd.2023.100025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropd.2023.100025)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Liang X, Ding P, Lian K, Wang J, Ma M, Li L, Li L, Li M, Zhang X, Chen S, Zhang Y (2016) *Arabidopsis* heterotrimeric G proteins regulate immunity by directly coupling to the FLS2 receptor. Elife 5:e13568.<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13568>
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Liang Y, Zhao X, Jones AM, Gao Y (2018) G proteins sculp root architecture in response to nitrogen in rice and *Arabidopsis*. Plant Sci 274:129–136.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.05.019>
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Linkohr BI, Williamson LC, Fitter AH, Leyser HO (2002) Nitrate and phosphate availability and distribution have diferent efects on root system architecture of *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 29(6):751–760. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01251.x>
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Liu CW, Murray JD (2016) The role of favonoids in nodulation hostrange specificity: an update. Plants 5(3):33. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants5030033) [3390/plants5030033](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants5030033)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Liu Q, Chen X, Wu K, Fu X (2015) Nitrogen signaling and use efficiency in plants: what's new? Curr Opin Plant Biol 27:192–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.08.002>
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Liu KH, Niu Y, Konishi M, Wu Y, Du H, Sun Chung H, Li L, Boudsocq M, McCormack M, Maekawa S, Ishida T (2017) Discovery of nitrate–CPK–NLP signalling in central nutrient–growth networks. Nature 545(7654):311–316. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22077) [nature22077](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22077)
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Liu Z, Zhao Y, Wang X, Yang M, Guo C, Xiao K (2018) *TaNBP1*, a guanine nucleotide-binding subunit gene of wheat, is essential in the regulation of N starvation adaptation via modulating N acquisition and ROS homeostasis. BMC Plant Biol 18:1–14. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1374-6) [doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1374-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1374-6)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Lopez-Gomez M, Sandal N, Stougaard J, Boller T (2012) Interplay of fg22-induced defence responses and nodulation in *Lotus japonicus*. J Exp Bot 63(1):393–401.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err291>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Lou F, Abramyan TM, Jia H, Tropsha A, Jones AM (2020) An atypical heterotrimeric Gα protein has substantially reduced nucleotide binding but retains nucleotide-independent interactions with its cognate RGS protein and Gβγ dimer. J Biomol Struct Dyn 38(17):5204–5218. [https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2019.1704879) [1704879](https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2019.1704879)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Lu S, Bahn SC, Qu G, Qin H, Hong Y, Xu Q, Zhou Y, Hong Y, Wang X (2013) Increased expression of phospholipase  $D\alpha$ 1 in guard cells decreases water loss with improved seed production under drought in *Brassica napus*. Plant Biotechnol J 11(3):380–389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12028>
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Madsen EB, Madsen LH, Radutoiu S, Olbryt M, Rakwalska M, Szczyglowski K, Sato S, Kaneko T, Tabata S, Sandal N, Stougaard J (2003) A receptor kinase gene of the LysM type is involved in legume perception of rhizobial signals. Nature 425(6958):637– 640.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02045>
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Mahmud K, Makaju S, Ibrahim R, Missaoui A (2020) Current progress in nitrogen fxing plants and microbiome research. Plants 9(1):97.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010097>
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Majumdar P, Torres Rodríguez MD, Pandey S (2023) Role of heterotrimeric G-proteins in improving abiotic stress tolerance of crop plants. J Plant Growth Regul. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-023-10965-6) [s00344-023-10965-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-023-10965-6)
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>Mandal VK, Jangam AP, Chakraborty N, Raghuram N (2022) Nitrate-responsive transcriptome analysis reveals additional genes/processes and associated traits viz height, tillering, heading date, stomatal density and yield in japonica rice. Planta 255(2):42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-021-03816-9>
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Maruta N, Trusov Y, Brenya E, Parekh U, Botella JR (2015) Membrane-localized extra-large G proteins and Gβγ of the heterotrimeric G proteins form functional complexes engaged in plant immunity in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 167(3):1004–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.255703>
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Maruta N, Trusov Y, Chakravorty D, Urano D, Assmann SM, Botella JR (2019) Nucleotide exchange–dependent and nucleotide exchange–independent functions of plant heterotrimeric GTPbinding proteins. Sci Signal. [https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aav9526) [aav9526](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aav9526)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Maruta N, Trusov Y, Jones AM, Botella JR (2021a) Heterotrimeric G proteins in plants: canonical and atypical  $G\alpha$  subunits. Int J Mol Sci 22(21):11841.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222111841>
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Maruta N, Trusov Y, Urano D, Chakravorty D, Assmann SM, Jones AM, Botella JR (2021b) GTP binding by *Arabidopsis* extralarge G protein 2 is not essential for its functions. Plant Physiol 186(2):1240–1253. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab119>
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Mathesius U (2018) Flavonoid functions in plants and their interactions with other organisms. Plants 7(2):30. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants7020030) [3390/plants7020030](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants7020030)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Maxwell CA, Hartwig UA, Joseph CM, Phillips DA (1989) A chalcone and two related flavonoids released from alfalfa roots induce nod genes of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Plant Physiol 91(3):842–847. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.91.3.842>
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Mbengue MD, Hervé C, Debellé F (2020) Nod factor signaling in symbiotic nodulation. Adv Bot Res 94:1–39. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.abr.2019.10.002) [10.1016/bs.abr.2019.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.abr.2019.10.002)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>McIntire WE (2009) Structural determinants involved in the formation and activation of G protein βγ dimers. Neurosignals 17(1):82–99. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000186692>
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Misra S, Wu Y, Venkataraman G, Sopory SK, Tuteja N (2007) Heterotrimeric G-protein complex and G-protein-coupled receptor from a legume (*Pisum sativum*): role in salinity and heat stress and cross-talk with phospholipase C. Plant J 51:656–669. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03169.x>
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>Moran AW, Daly K, Al-Rammahi MA, Shirazi-Beechey SP (2021) Nutrient sensing of gut luminal environment. Proc Nutr Soc 80(1):29–36. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665120007120>
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>Móring A, Hooda S, Raghuram N, Adhya TK, Ahmad A, Bandyopadhyay SK, Barsby T, Beig G, Bentley AR, Bhatia A, Dragosits U (2021) Nitrogen challenges and opportunities for agricultural and environmental science in India. Front Sustain Food Syst 5:505347. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.505347) [505347](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.505347)
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>Muratore C, Espen L, Prinsi B (2021) Nitrogen uptake in plants: the plasma membrane root transport systems from a physiological and proteomic perspective. Plants 10(4):681. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10040681) [10.3390/plants10040681](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10040681)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Murray JD, Liu CW, Chen Y, Miller AJ (2017) Nitrogen sensing in legumes. J Exp Bot 68(8):1919–1926. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw405) [jxb/erw405](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw405)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Nakagawa T, Kaku H, Shimoda Y, Sugiyama A, Shimamura M, Takanashi K, Yazaki K, Aoki T, Shibuya N, Kouchi H (2011) From defense to symbiosis: limited alterations in the kinase domain of *LysM* receptor-like kinases are crucial for evolution of legume– *Rhizobium* symbiosis. Plant J 65(2):169–180. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04411.x) [1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04411.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04411.x)
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>Pacheco R, Quinto C (2022) Phospholipase Ds in plants: their role in pathogenic and symbiotic interactions. Plant Physiol Biochem 173:76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.01.025>
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Pan H, Wang D (2017) Nodule cysteine-rich peptides maintain a working balance during nitrogen-fxing symbiosis. Nat Plants 3(5):1–6.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.48>
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Pandey S (2019) Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling in plants: conserved and novel mechanisms. Annu Rev Plant Biol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100231) [org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100231](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100231)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Pandey S (2020) Plant receptor-like kinase signaling through heterotrimeric G-proteins. J Exp Bot 71(5):1742–1751. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa016) [10.1093/jxb/eraa016](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa016)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Pandey S, Vijayakumar A (2018) Emerging themes in heterotrimeric G-protein signaling in plants. Plant Sci 270:292–300. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.03.001) [org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.03.001)
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>Pathak RR, Mandal VK, Jangam AP, Sharma N, Madan B, Jaiswal DK, Raghuram N (2021) Heterotrimeric G-protein α subunit (RGA1) regulates tiller development, yield, cell wall, nitrogen response and biotic stress in rice. Sci Rep 11(1):1–19. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81824-1) [1038/s41598-021-81824-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81824-1)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Pecrix Y, Staton SE, Sallet E, Lelandais-Brière C, Moreau S, Carrère S et al (2018) Whole-genome landscape of *Medicago truncatula* symbiotic genes. Nat Plants 4:1017–1025. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0286-7) [10.1038/s41477-018-0286-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0286-7)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Peleg-Grossman S, Volpin H, Levine A (2007) Root hair curling and *Rhizobium* infection in *Medicago truncatula* are mediated by phosphatidylinositide-regulated endocytosis and reactive oxygen species. J Exp Bot 58(7):1637–1649. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm013) [erm013](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm013)
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>Pellizzaro A, Alibert B, Planchet E, Limami AM, Paven ML (2017) Nitrate transporters: an overview in legumes. Planta 246(4):585– 595. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-017-2724-6>
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Pingret JL, Journet EP, Barker DG (1998) *Rhizobium* Nod factor signaling: evidence for a G protein-mediated transduction mechanism. Plant Cell 10:659–671.<https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.10.5.659>
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Rahman MA, Lee SH, Ji HC, Kabir AH, Jones CS, Lee KW (2018) Importance of mineral nutrition for mitigating aluminum toxicity in plants on acidic soils: current status and opportunities. Int J Mol Sci 19(10):3073.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19103073>
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>Reinprecht Y, Schram L, Marsolais F, Smith TH, Hill B, Pauls KP (2020) Efects of nitrogen application on nitrogen fxation in common bean production. Front Plant Sci 11:1172. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01172) [org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01172](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01172)
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>Remans T, Nacry P, Pervent M, Filleur S, Diatlof E, Mounier E, Tillard P, Forde BG, Gojon A (2006) The Arabidopsis NRT1.1 transporter participates in the signaling pathway triggering root colonization of nitrate-rich patches. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103(50):19206–19211.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0605275103>
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>Robertson GP, Grofman PM (2007) Nitrogen transformations. Soil microbiology, ecology and biochemistry. Academic Press, London, pp 341–364. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-047514-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-047514-1.50017-2) [50017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-047514-1.50017-2)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Rogato A, Valkov VT, Alves LM, Apone F, Colucci G, Chiurazzi M (2016) Down-regulated *Lotus japonicus GCR1* plants exhibit nodulation signalling pathways alteration. Plant Sci 247:71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.03.007>
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Roy S, Liu W, Nandety RS, Crook A, Mysore KS, Pislariu CI, Frugoli J, Dickstein R, Udvardi MK (2020) Celebrating 20 years of genetic discoveries in legume nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fxation. Plant Cell 32(1):15–41. [https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00279) [00279](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00279)
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>Roy Choudhury S, Pandey S (2016) The role of  $PLD\alpha1$  in providing specifcity to signal-response coupling by heterotrimeric G-protein components in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 86:50–61. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13151) [org/10.1111/tpj.13151](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13151)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Roy Choudhury S, Marlin MA, Pandey S (2019) The role of Gβ protein in controlling cell expansion via potential interaction with lipid metabolic pathways. Plant Physiol 179(3):1159–1175. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01312) [doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01312](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01312)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Rutten PJ, Poole PS (2019) Oxygen regulatory mechanisms of nitrogen fxation in rhizobia. Adv Microb Physiol 75:325–389. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ampbs.2019.08.001) [org/10.1016/bs.ampbs.2019.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ampbs.2019.08.001)
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>Santos-Briones C, Cárdenas L, Estrada-Navarrete G, Santana O, Minero-García Y, Quinto C, Sánchez F (2009) GTPγS antagonizes the mastoparan-induced in vitro activity of PIP2-phospholipase C from symbiotic root nodules of *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Physiol Plant 135(3):237–245. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01184.x) [01184.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01184.x)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Siderovski DP, Willard FS (2005) The GAPs, GEFs, and GDIs of heterotrimeric G-protein alpha subunits. Int J Biol Sci. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.17615/5a9d-2173) [org/10.17615/5a9d-2173](https://doi.org/10.17615/5a9d-2173)
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Singh J, Verma PK (2023) Role of Nod factor receptors and its allies involved in nitrogen fxation. Planta 257(3):54. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-023-04090-7) [10.1007/s00425-023-04090-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-023-04090-7)
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Singh S, Katzer K, Lambert J, Cerri M, Parniske M (2014) CYCLOPS, a DNA-binding transcriptional activator, orchestrates symbiotic root nodule development. Cell Host Microbe 15:139–152. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.01.011) [doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.01.011)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Smit P, Limpens E, Geurts R, Fedorova E, Dolgikh E, Gough C, Bisseling T (2007) *Medicago* LYK3, an entry receptor in rhizobial nodulation factor signaling. Plant Physiol 145(1):183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.100495>
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Stateczny D, Oppenheimer J, Bommert P (2016) G protein signaling in plants: minus times minus equals plus. Curr Opin Plant Biol 34:127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2016.11.001>
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Subramaniam G, Trusov Y, Lopez-Encina C, Hayashi S, Batley J, Botella JR (2016) Type B heterotrimeric G protein γ-subunit regulates auxin and ABA signaling in tomato. Plant Physiol 170(2):1117–1134. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01675>
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Sugiyama A, Shitan N, Yazaki K (2008) Signaling from soybean roots to rhizobium: an ATP-binding cassette-type transporter mediates genistein secretion. Plant Signal Behav 3(1):38–40. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.3.1.4819) [org/10.4161/psb.3.1.4819](https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.3.1.4819)
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Sun J, Miwa H, Downie JA, Oldroyd GE (2007) Mastoparan activates calcium spiking analogous to Nod factor-induced responses in *Medicago truncatula* root hair cells. Plant Physiol 144(2):695– 702. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.093294>
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Sun H, Qian Q, Wu K, Luo J, Wang S, Zhang C, Ma Y, Liu Q, Huang X, Yuan Q, Han R (2014) Heterotrimeric G proteins regulate nitrogen-use efficiency in rice. Nat Genet 46(6):652–656. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2958) [doi.org/10.1038/ng.2958](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2958)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Sun S, Wang L, Mao H, Shao L, Li X, Xiao J, Ouyang Y, Zhang Q (2018) A G-protein pathway determines grain size in rice. Nat Commun 9(1):851.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03141-y>
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Tang H, Krishnakumar V, Bidwell S, Rosen B, Chan A, Zhou S, Gentzbittel L, Childs KL, Yandell M, Gundlach H, Mayer KF (2014) An improved genome release (version Mt4.0) for the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. BMC Genom 15(1):1–14. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-312) [org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-312](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-312)
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Tirichine L, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Yoshida S, Murakami Y, Madsen LH, Miwa H, Nakagawa T, Sandal N, Albrektsen AS, Kawaguchi M, Downie A (2006) Deregulation of a  $Ca^{2+}/$

calmodulin-dependent kinase leads to spontaneous nodule development. Nature 441(7097):1153–1156. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04862) [10.1038/nature04862](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04862)

- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Trusov Y, Rookes JE, Tilbrook K, Chakravorty D, Mason MG, Anderson D, Chen JG, Jones AM, Botella JR (2007) Heterotrimeric G protein γ subunits provide functional selectivity in Gβγ dimer signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 19(4):1235–1250. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.050096) [doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.050096](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.050096)
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Tsyganova AV, Kitaeva AB, Tsyganov VE (2018) Cell diferentiation in nitrogen-fxing nodules hosting symbiosomes. Funct Plant Biol 45(2):47–57.<https://doi.org/10.1071/FP16377>
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Ullah H, Chen JG, Temple B, Boyes DC, Alonso JM, Davis KR, Ecker JR, Jones AM (2003) The β-subunit of the *Arabidopsis* G protein negatively regulates auxin-induced cell division and afects multiple developmental processes. Plant Cell 15(2):393–409. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.006148) [doi.org/10.1105/tpc.006148](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.006148)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Urano D, Jackson D, Jones AM (2015) A G protein alpha null mutation confers prolifcacy potential in maize. J Exp Bot 66(15):4511– 4515.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv215>
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Urano D, Leong R, Wu TY, Jones AM (2020) Quantitative morphological phenomics of rice G protein mutants portend autoimmunity. Dev Biol 457(1):83–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.09.007) [2019.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.09.007)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Venkateshwaran M, Cosme A, Han L, Banba M, Satyshur KA, Schleif E, Parniske M, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Ané JM (2012) The recent evolution of a symbiotic ion channel in the legume family altered ion conductance and improved functionality in calcium signaling. Plant Cell 24(6):2528–2545. [https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.098475) [112.098475](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.098475)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Wang Y, Botella JR (2022) Heterotrimeric G protein signaling in abiotic stress. Plants 11(7):876. [https://doi.org/10.3390/plants1107](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11070876) [0876](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11070876)
- <span id="page-15-30"></span>Wang YY, Hsu PK, Tsay YF (2012) Uptake, allocation and signaling of nitrate. Trends Plant Sci 17(8):458–467. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.006) [1016/j.tplants.2012.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.006)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Wang D, Couderc F, Tian CF, Gu W, Liu LX, Poinsot V (2018) Conserved composition of nod factors and exopolysaccharides produced by diferent phylogenetic lineage *Sinorhizobium* strains nodulating Soybean. Front Microbiol 9:2852. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02852) [3389/fmicb.2018.02852](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02852)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Wu Q, Regan M, Furukawa H, Jackson D (2018) Role of heterotrimeric  $G\alpha$  proteins in maize development and enhancement of agronomic traits. PLoS Genet 14(4):e1007374. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007374) [10.1371/journal.pgen.1007374](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007374)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Xie Y, Nan Y, Atif A, Hu W, Zhang Y, Tian H, Gao Y (2022) Molecular identifcation of the G-protein genes and their expression profles in response to nitrogen deprivation in *Brassica napus*. Int J Mol Sci 23(15):8151.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23158151>
- <span id="page-15-32"></span>Xu H, Zhao M, Zhang Q, Xu Z, Xu Q (2016a) The *DENSE AND ERECT PANICLE 1 (DEP1)* gene offering the potential in the breeding of high-yielding rice. Breed Sci 66(5):659–667. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.16120) [doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.16120](https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.16120)
- <span id="page-15-31"></span>Xu Q, Zhao M, Wu K, Fu X, Liu Q (2016b) Emerging insights into heterotrimeric G protein signaling in plants. J Genet Genomics 43(8):495–502.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2016.06.004>
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Yan Y, Zhang W, Li Y, He C, Gao L, Yu X (2018) Functions of CsGPA1 on the hypocotyl elongation and root growth of cucumbers. Sci Rep 8(1):1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33782-4>
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Yang J, Lan L, Jin Y, Yu N, Wang D, Wang E (2022) Mechanisms underlying legume–*Rhizobium* symbioses. J Integr Plant Biol 64(2):244–267.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13207>
- <span id="page-15-27"></span>Yuan P, Luo F, Gleason C, Poovaiah BW (2022) Calcium/calmodulinmediated microbial symbiotic interactions in plants. Front Plant Sci. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.984909>
- Zhang H, Forde BG (1998) An *Arabidopsis* MADS box gene that controls nutrient-induced changes in root architecture. Science

279(5349):407–409. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.279.5349.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.279.5349.407) [407](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.279.5349.407)

- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Zhang DP, Zhou Y, Yin JF, Yan XJ, Lin S, Xu WF, Baluška F, Wang YP, Xia YJ, Liang GH, Liang JS (2015) Rice G-protein subunits qPE9-1 and RGB1 play distinct roles in abscisic acid responses and drought adaptation. J Exp Bot 66(20):6371–6384. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv350) [doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv350](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv350)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Zhang G, Yang J, Chen X, Zhao D, Zhou X, Zhang Y, Wang X, Zhao J (2021) Phospholipase D-and phosphatidic acid-mediated phospholipid metabolism and signaling modulate symbiotic interaction and nodulation in soybean (*Glycine max*). Plant J 106(1):142–158.<https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15152>
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Zhao J, Wang X (2004) *Arabidopsis* phospholipase Dα1 interacts with the heterotrimeric G-protein α-subunit through a motif analogous to the DRY motif in G-protein-coupled receptors. J Biol Chem 279(3):1794–1800. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M309529200>

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Zhong Y, Tian J, Li X, Liao H (2022) Cooperative interactions between nitrogen fxation and phosphorus nutrition in legumes. New Phytol 237(3):734–745.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18593>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.