#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Genome-wide identification, classification, and expression of phytocyanins in *Populus trichocarpa*

Shuangshuang Luo<sup>1,2</sup> · Wenfang Hu<sup>1,2</sup> · Yue Wang<sup>1,2</sup> · Bin Liu<sup>1,2</sup> · Hanwei Yan<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Yan Xiang<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Received: 3 August 2017 / Accepted: 17 January 2018 / Published online: 30 January 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

## Abstract

*Main conclusion* 74 phytocyanin genes were identified in the *Populus trichocarpa* genome. Phylogenetic analysis grouped the PC proteins into four subfamilies (UCs, PLCs, SCs, and ENODLs). Closely related PC proteins share similar motifs, implying similar functions. Expression profiles of *PtPC* genes were analyzed in response to drought and salt-stress.

Phytocyanins (PCs) are blue copper proteins associated with electron carrier activity that have a large influence on plant growth and resistance. The majority of PCs are chimeric arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs). In this work, we identified 74 *PC* genes in *Populus trichocarpa* and analyzed them comprehensively. Based on the ligands composition of copper-binding sites, glycosylation state, the domain structure and spectral characteristics of *PC* genes, PCs were divided into four subfamilies [uclacyanins (UCs), plantacyanins (PLCs), stellacyanins (SCs) and early nodulin-like proteins (ENODLs)], and phylogenetic relationship analysis classified them into seven groups. All *PtPCs* are randomly distributed on 17 of the 19 poplar chromosomes, and they appear to have undergone expansion via segmental duplication. Eight *PtPCs* do not contain introns, and each group has a similar conserved motif structure. Promoter analysis revealed *cis*-elements related to growth, development and stress responses, and established orthology relationships of PCs between *Arabidopsis* and poplar by synteny analysis. Expression profile analysis of *PC* genes expression in response to salt and drought stress revealed their stress-responses profiles. This work provides a theoretical basis for a further study of stress resistance mechanisms and the function of *PC* genes in poplar growth and development.

Keywords Evolution · Expression profile · Heat map · Phytocyanins · Poplar

Ele	ctronic supplementary material The online version of this tele (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-2849-2) contains oplementary material, which is available to authorized users.	<b>Abbrevia</b>	<b>tions</b>	
arti		AG	Arabinogalactan	
sup		AGPs	Arabinogalactan proteins	
Sh	uangshuang Luo and Wenfang Hu contributed equally to this rk.	ENODLs	Early nodulin-like proteins	
wo		PCs	Phytocyanins	
	Hanwei Yan hwyanahau@163.com	- PCLD PLCs SCs	Plastocyanin-like domain Plantacyanins Stellacyanins	
	Yan Xiang	SP	Signal peptide	
	xiangyan@ahau.edu.cn; xiangyanahau@sina.com	UCs	Uclacyanins	
1	Key Laboratory of Crop Biology of Anhui Province, School of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei 230036, China	K <sub>s</sub>	Number of synonymous substitutions per syn- onymous site	
2	Laboratory of Modern Biotechnology, School of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China	K <sub>a</sub>	non-synonymous site	

<sup>3</sup> National Engineering Laboratory of Crop Stress Resistance Breeding, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China

### Introduction

Blue copper proteins containing a single type I mononuclear copper site are known as phytocyanins (PCs) in plants which they are associated with electron carrier activity (Giri et al. 2004). The phytocyanin domain has a core  $\beta$ -sandwich comprising seven  $\beta$ -strands, and a disulfide bridge closing the metal centre is a characteristic feature (Hart et al. 1996). Based on the ligand composition of the copper-binding site, the glycosylation state, the domain structure, and the spectral characteristics, PCs can be grouped into four subfamilies: uclacyanins (UCs), plantacyanins (PLCs), stellacyanins (SCs) and early nodulin-like proteins (ENODLs) (Cao et al. 2015).

Residues ligating the copper ion in SCs consist of two His, one Cys, and one Gln, whereas PLCs and UCs also have two His and one Cys, but the Gln is replaced by a Met (Nersissian et al. 1998). Although PLCs have the same four conserved residues as UCs, they lack putative glycosylation sites on the backbone (Nersissian et al. 1998). Interestingly, early nodulins (ENODLs) might be involved in Cu-independent processes since they lack key copper-binding residues (Nersissian et al. 1998). PC genes containing AG (arabinogalactan) glycomodules and signal peptides (SPs) are believed to be members of the arabinogalactan proteins (AGP) superfamily (Mashiguchi et al. 2009).

PCs exert an important part in growth and development of plants, in addition to the influence of their spectroscopic and redox properties. OsUCL29 and ZmUC22 are significantly expressed under various stresses. BrUCL16 and ZmUC19 are specifically expressed in the stem and silique, respectively, which show that the first of the four PC subfamilies (UCs) appear to function in the evolution of polyploid plants (Ma et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013; Cao et al. 2015). Six SCs are induced by oxidative stress and Al toxicity in Arabidopsis (Ezaki et al. 2001, 2005). PeSCL1 and PeSCL3 are strongly expressed in the stem and roots of Phalaenopsis equestris (Xu et al. 2017). The PLC subfamily participates in the growth processes of several specific plants, including pollination through S-Rnase binding in tobacco (Cruz-Garcia et al. 2005). AtPLCs were also regarded as miR408, the member of the microRNAs targets, which were related to plant growth as transcription factors (Sunkar and Zhu 2004). Dong et al. (2005) found that AtPLCs were strongly expressed in the pistil to prevent pollination and destroy the endothecium structure, thereby influencing the growth of the anther in promoter-β-glucuronidase transgenic plants, as was also shown by an immunohistochemical analysis of wild-type pistil tissues. The last subfamily (ENODLs) is related to many aspects of plant development and important function

on transport nutrients, solutes, amino acids or hormones, and improves the fitness to pathogens during host colonization at the plant-microbe interface activities (Denancé et al. 2014). Additionally, ENODLs have high expression levels in the inflorescence of some plants, such as AtENODL3/4 (Mashiguchi et al. 2009), BrENODL22/27 (Li et al. 2013) and PeENODL5/7 (Xu et al. 2017). ENO-DLs are also relevant for the defence responses of plants. Mashiguchi et al. (2009) found that AtENODL2/18 were induced by osmotic and salt stress. Using hybridisation analysis, Yoshizaki et al. (2000) demonstrated that ENO-DLs were specifically expressed in tissues including apical buds in Pharbitis nil and root nodules of legumes, and the expression of these genes was distinctly down-regulated during floral induction. Furthermore, these genes might be involved in the organ differentiation of plants (Yoshizaki et al. 2000). AtFLA3, another type of chimeric AGP, is involved in microspore development and the formation of the pollen intine via the deposition of cellulose. Overexpression of this gene restricted the progress of pollination to lower the rate of seed production (Li et al. 2010). AtENODL14 localized at the synergid cell surface strongly and specifically interacts with the extracellular domain of the receptor-like kinase FERONIA, which could minutely control the reception of pollen tube (Escobar-Restrepo et al. 2007). Wild-type pollen tubes can not prevent growth and cause rupture after entering the ovules of quintuple ENODL mutants which loss the function, implying the core function ENODLs being in male-female communication and pollen tube reception (Hou et al. 2016). Furthermore, the overexpression of AtENODL15 by the endogenous promoter results in disturbed pollen tube guidance and reduced fertility (Hou et al. 2016).

To date, the features and functions of the PC gene family have been identified and investigated in several plant species, including Arabidopsis, rice, Chinese cabbage, maize, and orchid. However, no comprehensive analyses of the PC gene family in poplar have been conducted. In the present study, we identified 74 probable *PtPC* genes in Populus and performed comprehensive phylogenetic, structural, promoter, gene expansion and microsynteny analyses. Additionally, we selected 18 PtPCs to investigate their behaviour under drought and salt treatments. The results provide valuable information about their biological functions and stress responses. Furthermore, analysis of tissue-specific expression of the PtPC genes during development showed differences in their spatiotemporal expression patterns, and many were expressed at high levels in roots and xylem. Genome-wide analysis of the PC genes in Populus trichocarpa will facilitate a better understanding of the role of this gene family during poplar growth and development.

# **Materials and methods**

## Identification of PC family genes in poplar

The sequences of previously identified PC genes in Arabidopsis were downloaded from the NCBI database (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). We performed BLASTP searches (E value  $< 1e^{-6}$ ) with the Arabidopsis PC proteins as queries to identify *PtPCs* in the Phytozome database (http://www. phytozome.net, PF02298). Each protein sequence identified by BLASTP was checked for the existence of a plastocyanin-like domain (PCLD) to confirm membership of the PC gene family. The signal peptide (SP), glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor signal, and N-glycosylation sites of PtPCs were predicted by the SignalP 4.1 server (Petersen et al. 2011; Jeßberger et al. 2015), Big-PI Plant Predictor (Eisenhaber et al. 2003) and the NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http:// www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/), respectively. Potential arabinogalactan glycomodules (AGs) were predicted based on previously reported criteria (Mashiguchi et al. 2004). The subcellular localization of all PCs was predicted using the CELLOv2.5 server (http://cello.life.nctu.edu.tw/).

# **Phylogenetic analysis**

Protein sequences and alignments were analyzed using the DNAMAN program, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed with default parameters using the neighbour-joining (NJ) method in MEGA6.0 with 1000 bootstrap replicates (Hu et al. 2010; Tamura et al. 2013).

# Analysis of exons/introns, conserved motifs, and chromosomal location

We analyzed the exon/intron structure of *PC* genes by comparing the coding DNA sequence (CDS) and the corresponding genomic DNA sequence using the online GSDS server (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/). Conserved motifs were predicted using the online MEME program (http://meme. nbcr.net/meme/cgi-bin/meme.cgi). An image of the chromosomal location was constructed using MapInspect software based on the initial positional information provided in the Phytozome database.

# Analysis of microsynteny and gene duplication

A syntenic block is defined as an area in which exons/ introns in orthologs are located within 15 genes upstream or downstream in both genomes (Wang et al. 2015). Syntenic blocks within the *PtPC* gene family among chromosomes were acquired from the PGDD database (http://chibba.agtec .uga.edu/duplication). Microsynteny analysis was performed using MicroSyn software, and the online OrthoMCL program (http://orthomcl.org/orthomcl/) was used to analyze duplicated genes.

# Evaluation of $K_a/K_s$ values

 $K_{\rm a}$  (number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site)/ $K_{\rm s}$  (number of non-synonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous site) ratios were evaluated using the DnaSP software. Sliding window analysis of  $K_{\rm a}$  per nonsynonymous locus  $K_{\rm a}/K_{\rm s}$  ratio was also performed with a window size of 150 bp and a step size of 9 bp. Divergence time (*T*) was estimated by  $T = K_{\rm s}/(2 \times 9.1 \times 10^{-9}) \times 10^{-6}$  million years ago (Mya).

#### Promoter and microarray analysis

The 2000 bp upstream sequences of the *PtPCs* promoter regions were downloaded from the Phytozome database and used to identify the putative *cis*-elements in PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/) (Goodstein et al. 2011). To better understand the expression levels of *PtPCs*, the GSE13990 array data from poplar expression profiling was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database at the NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The corresponding probes for *PC* genes were identified using the online ProbeMatch tool available at the NetAffx Analysis Center (http://www.affym etrix.com/analysis/index.affx). The final gene expression data was identified using the corresponding PC-Probe and the GSE13990 data.

# Plant materials and stress treatments

The 8-week-old seedlings of *P. trichocarpa* (Torr. & Gray) used in all experiments were cultivated in the Tissue Culture Lab. Plants were treated with 20% PEG (polyethyleneglycol) and 200 mM NaCl for drought and salt stress, respectively. Untreated plants were used as controls. Leaves were collected for RNA extraction at four time points (4, 8, 12 and 24 h) after treatment.

# RNA isolation and qRT-PCR analysis after different stress treatments

TRIzol reagent was used to extract RNA from young poplar leaves under different stress treatments and in different organs, and first-strand cDNAs were synthesized. Primer Premier 5.0 and the NCBI primer BLAST tool were used to design and check primers for amplifying *PtPC* genes (Table S1). The poplar housekeeping gene encoding ubiquitin (UBQ, gene ID no. Potri.001G418500) was used as an internal control for normalizing experimental expression profile data (Hui et al. 2014). qRT-PCR was performed in a 20-µl volume, including 10 µl of  $2 \times \text{SYBR}^{\textcircled{B}}$  Premix Ex Taq<sup>TM</sup> (TaKaRa, Otsu, Japan), 0.4 µl of 50 × ROX Reference Dye, 2 µl diluted cDNA template, 0.8 µl of each specific primer, and 6 µl ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The qPCR reaction conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 40 thermal cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 5 s, and annealing at 55–60 °C for 34 s. The relative expression levels were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. It is noteworthy that relative gene expression [2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ CT, CK (0 h)</sup>] for each gene in the control plants was normalized to 1 as described previously for stress treatments (Schmittgen and Livak 2008). GraphPad software was used for statistical analysis, and three biological and technical replicates were performed for each sample.

# Results

## Identification of PC family genes in poplar

We identified 77 potential PC protein sequences in poplar, of which three were paired with identical sequences, resulting in 74 putative *PtPCs* (Table 1). Based on multiple sequence alignments (Fig. 1), *PtPCs* were classified into three subfamilies: uclacyanin-like proteins (*PtUCs*, 7), stellacyanin-like proteins (*PtSCs*, 19) and plantacyanins (*PtPLCs*, 3) based on the predicted copper-binding ligands (His, Cys, His and Met/Gln). The remaining 45 *PtPCs* belonged to the ENODL family based on the modified copper-binding residues. Moreover, the prediction of subcellular localization indicated that 93.2% of *PtPCs* were associated with the plasma membrane or were extracellular (Table 1), and only five PCs (*PtENODL9*, *PtENODL27*, *PtUC6*, *PtSC8* and *PtSC18*) were localized in the nucleus.

#### Phylogenetic and structural analysis of PtPCs

To better understand their structure and function, we predicted N-terminal signal peptides (SPs), glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor signals (GASs), AG glycomodules and N-glycosylation (Fig. S1; Table 1). The results revealed that 60 *PtPCs* had a predicted SP involved in targeting to the endoplasmic reticulum. Additionally, 49 *PtPCs* had GASs related to the localization at the plasma membrane. About 58.1% of poplar genes contained hypothetical AG glycomodules in the PAST-rich region (Pro, Ala, Ser, Thr). There were 60 *PtPCs* with putative N-glycosylation sites in the PCLD and PAST-rich region.

According to the predicted domain structures, *PtPCs* were divided into six types (Fig. 2): Type I includes a N-terminal SP, a PCLD, an arabinogalactan-like region (ALR), and a C-terminal GAS; by contrast, GAS is absent from type

II; type III resembles type I but lacks ALR; type IV only has SP and PCLD; type V lacks SP compared with type III; type VI only contains PCLD.

To investigate the evolutionary relationships of *PC* genes in *Arabidopsis*, *Zea mays* and poplar, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of *AtPC*, *ZmPC* and *PtPC* protein sequences. The 38 *AtPCs*, 60 *ZmPCs* and 74 *PtPCs* were divided into seven clades, consistent with previous *Arabidopsis*, rice and *Phalaenopsis equestris* studies (Fig. 3). The results indicated that clade VII had the most number of *PC* gene members (61), while clade VI contains the fewest PCs (12). All members of clade VI and clades VII entirely belong to the same subfamily, put it another way, the clade VI and the clades VII wholly contain SCs and ENODLs, respectively. Moreover, except for *ZmUC18/26* and *PtUC7*, all PCs in the clade IV belonged to the ENODL subfamily.

## Gene structure and conserved motifs

It was well known that gene structural diversity resulted from the evolution of multi-gene families. The exon/ intron structures of *PtPCs* are shown in Fig. S2. The gene structures of *PtPCs* are not complex and most include two introns. Like PtSC8, PtENODL1, 3, 34, 35, and 38, have only one intron, and eight PtPCs contain no introns. In addition to exon/intron pattern, conserved motifs could also be important for the diversified functions of PCs. We identified 10 different conserved motifs (Fig. 4), suggesting that members of the same subfamily shared a similar motif structure. The details of each conserved motif are shown in Table S2. Motif 1, motif 2, motif 6 and motif 10 are related to intermolecular electron transfer reactions, while others have no functional annotation. Most PtPCs include motif 1, 3, and 6, in the order 1-6-3. Motif 1 is the most common motif, present in all PtPC genes. Motif 7, 9 and 10 are only present in PtENODLs. PtUC1 differs from other PtUCs by lacking motif 6, and all PtSCs have motif 4 apart from PtSC7.

# Chromosomal location, gene duplication and conserved microsynteny

Based on the chromosomal location map, 74 *PtPCs* were randomly distributed on the 17 poplar chromosomes (Fig. 5). Chromosome 1 has the largest number of *PC* genes (14), while chromosomes 8 and 19 only have one *PtPC* gene, and chromosomes 4, 11, 13, 15, 16 and 17 only contain ENODL subfamily genes.

Many gene families in plants appear to be generated by expansion through segmental or tandem duplication. To better comprehend the evolution of poplar *PC* genes, we investigated genome duplication events in this family. Duplicated genes were confirmed using the Vista Synteny browser (http://pipeline.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/gateway2), which indicated

Table 1 List of <i>i</i>	PC genes identified in popl	lar and their sequence characteristics								
Name	Gene identifier	Chromosome location	Type b	SP	GPI	AG	N-glyco	Cu sites	Location	Reliable-index
PtENODL1	Potri.001G043600.1	Chr01:31536193155889 forward	٧	I	+	Ι	+	Y,I,Q,M	PlasmaMembr	2.833*
PtENODL2	Potri.001G085100.1	Chr01:67458386747275 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,-	PlasmaMembr	2.549*
PtENODL3	Potri.001G114200.1	Chr01:91387899140003 reverse	IV	+	I	I	Ι	Н,,Н,-	Extracellular	$1.997^{*}$
PtENODL4	Potri.001G187700.1	Chr01:1659452516596114 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	2.294*
PtENODL5	Potri.001G219800.1	Chr01:2255975322560344 reverse	V	+	I	I	+	Y,L,Y,M	PlasmaMembr	1.565*
PtENODL6	Potri.001G219900.1	Chr01:2256075522561735 reverse	III	+	+	I	+	Y,I,S,M	Extracellular	$1.418^{*}$
PtENODL7	Potri.001G273000.1	Chr01:2799462827997398 forward	Π	+	I	+	+	Н,G,Q,Q	Extracellular	3.693*
PtENODL8	Potri.001G338800.1	Chr01:3422977034230346 reverse	Π	+	I	+	+	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	2.572*
PtENODL9	Potri.001G398800.1	Chr01:4195302541959574 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,N,Q	Nuclear	2.921*
PtENODL10	Potri.001G419200.1	Chr01:4438932744390703 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,N,-	Extracellular	2.89*
PtENODL11	Potri.002G073800.1	Chr02:51068755107849 forward	V	+	I	I	I	H,C,H,-	Extracellular	3.222*
PtENODL12	Potri.002G150600.1	Chr02:1134648611347737 forward	I	+	+	+	+	Q,D,Q,-	Extracellular	3.66*
PtENODL13	Potri.002G241500.1	Chr02:2340003323400930 reverse	Ν	I	I	I	+	H,C,H,-	Extracellular	3.95*
PtENODL14	Potri.003G047300.1	Chr03:68431236843965 reverse	I	+	+	+	I	H,C,H,-	Extracellular	2.254*
PtENODL15	Potri.003G050500.1	Chr03:74163387417304 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,Q	PlasmaMembr	3.724*
PtENODL16	Potri.003G117900.1	Chr03:1412039014121023 forward	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,-	Extracellular	$2.331^{*}$
PtENODL17	Potri.003G183300.1	Chr03:1897346718974902 reverse	>	I	+	NC	+	Y,I,Q,M	PlasmaMembr	3.379*
PtENODL18	Potri.004G121100.1	Chr04:1138106911382693 reverse	Ш	+	+	I	+	M,I,F,M	Extracellular	$2.601^{*}$
PtENODL19	Potri.004G169700.1	Chr04:1883195618832471 forward	I	+	+	+	Ι	H,S,H,Q	Extracellular	$3.178^{*}$
PtENODL20	Potri.004G171100.1	Chr04:1903508419035571 forward	Π	+	I	+	I	,-,S,Н,Q	Extracellular	3.439*
PtENODL21	Potri.006G009000.1	Chr06:596909598686 forward	I	+	+	+	I	G,S,K,-	Extracellular	1.57*
PtENODL22	Potri.006G184100.1	Chr06:1977888419779912 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	$1.949^{*}$
PtENODL23	Potri.006G264600.1	Chr06:2675483326755600 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	2.083*
PtENODL24	Potri.007G104600.1	Chr07:1292070312922699 reverse	IV	+	I	I	+	A,C,Q,M	Extracellular	3.194*
PtENODL25	Potri.009G067300.1	Chr09:67341346737755 forward	II	+	I	+	+	Н,G,Q,Q	Extracellular	3.415*
PtENODL26	Potri.010G243600.1	Chr10:2193577021936830 reverse	Ι	+	+	+	+	F,C,Y,-	PlasmaMembr	3.233*
PtENODL27	Potri.011G117800.1	Chr11:1431870714320094 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,N,Q	Nuclear	2.92*
PtENODL28	Potri.011G135400.1	Chr11:1592418115925492 forward	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,-	Extracellular	3.187*
PtENODL29	Potri.013G030000.1	Chr13:19818751982471 forward	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,-	PlasmaMembr	2.937*
PtENODL30	Potri.013G054500.1	Chr13:40712684071889 forward	Π	+	I	+	+	H,C,H,-	Extracellular	1.678*
PtENODL31	Potri.014G072700.1	Chr14:58707735871448 forward	II	+	Ι	+	+	Q,D,Q,-	Extracellular	2.389*
PtENODL32	Potri.015G052000.1	Chr15:65442336544871 forward	>	I	+	NC	+	D,S,H,Q	PlasmaMembr	2.735*
PtENODL33	Potri.015G113300.1	Chr15:1285323612853705 reverse	IV	+	I	I	+	D,S,H,Q	PlasmaMembr	$1.982^{*}$
PtENODL34	Potri.015G114300.1	Chr15:1298338912984327 reverse	IV	+	I	I	I	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	2.07*
PtENODL35	Potri.015G114700.1	Chr15:1299500412995486 reverse	IV	+	I	I	I	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	2.542*
PtENODL36	Potri.015G115600.1	Chr15:1309974513100984 forward	ΙΛ	ı	I	NC	I	D,S,H,Q	PlasmaMembr	1.965*

Table 1 (continu	ued)									
Name	Gene identifier	Chromosome location	Type b	SP	GPI	AG	N-glyco	Cu sites	Location	Reliable-index
PtENODL37	Potri.015G117100.1	Chr15:1322587013227122 forward	VI	I	I	NC	Ι	D,S,H,Q	PlasmaMembr	2.107*
PtENODL38	Potri.016G015200.1	Chr16:829100830322 reverse	>	Ι	+	NC	Ι	G,S,K,-	Extracellular	2.007*
PtENODL39	Potri.016G050700.1	Chr16:32244323224818 reverse	IV	+	I	I	+	H,I,E,-	Extracellular	3.724*
PtENODL40	Potri.017G011200.1	Chr17:951902952811 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,D,-	Extracellular	$1.645^{*}$
PtENODL41	Potri.017G012300.1	Chr17:10381961039065 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,D,-	Extracellular	1.597*
PtENODL42	Potri.017G088500.1	Chr17:1058793510589774 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	Y,L,Y,M	PlasmaMembr	2.909*
PtENODL43	Potri.017G088600.1	Chr17:1059648210598961 reverse	III	+	+	I	+	M,I,F,M	Extracellular	2.459*
PtENODL44	Potri.018G018200.1	Chr18:13937151394619 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	D,S,H,Q	Extracellular	$1.647^{*}$
PtENODL45	Potri.019G037800.1	Chr19:42804784281067 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,-	Extracellular	2.747*
PtUC1	Potri.001G080700.1	Chr01:63858096386749 forward	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	4.24*
PtUC2	Potri.001G209300.1	Chr01:2101364621014419 reverse	N	+	I	I	+	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	2.817*
PtUC3	Potri.002G101200.1	Chr02:73113047312368 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	2.097*
PtUC4	Potri.002G101300.1	Chr02:73202717321432 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	3.688*
PtUC5	Potri.003G150300.1	Chr03:1644677416447642 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	$4.113^{*}$
PtUC6	Potri.007G120200.1	Chr07:1394289213943817 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	H,C,H,M	Nuclear	2.383*
PtUC7	Potri.009G136200.1	Chr09:1095936710960342 forward	V	+	I	I	+	H,C,H,M	PlasmaMembr	2.363*
PtPLC1	Potri.001G332200.1	Chr01:3356834133569287 reverse	I	+	+	+	I	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	3.038*
PtPLC2	Potri.002G074000.1	Chr02:51152305116446 forward	N	+	I	I	I	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	3.482*
PtPLC3	Potri.014G049600.1	Chr14:39764603977486 forward	I	+	+	+	I	H,C,H,M	Extracellular	2.279*
PtSC1	Potri.001G192100.1	Chr01:1748356417484349 reverse	I	+	+	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	1.839*
PtSC2	Potri.001G268700.1	Chr01:2765423127654728 forward	I	+	+	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	3.885*
PtSC3	Potri.002G052500.1	Chr02:34807303481467 forward	I	+	+	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	3.329*
PtSC4	Potri.002G156100.1	Chr02:1175155011751981 forward	>	I	+	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	$3.496^{*}$
PtSC5	Potri.002G156400.1	Chr02:1176495511765386 forward	>	I	+	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	3.442*
PtSC6	Potri.002G161300.1	Chr02:1217543512175944 forward	I	+	+	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	4.138*
PtSC7	Potri.006G067200.1	Chr06:50354105037170 reverse	ΙΛ	I	I	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	2.268*
PtSC8	Potri.006G067300.1	Chr06:50418925043953 reverse	>	I	+	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Nuclear	2.42*
PtSC9	Potri.006G067400.1	Chr06:50506055051796 reverse	Ш	+	+	I	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	2.43*
PtSC10	Potri.006G259000.1	Chr06:2625748526257937 forward	>	I	+	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	3.919*
PtSC11	Potri.006G259100.1	Chr06:2626101526261502 forward	ΙΛ	I	I	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	2.792*
PtSC12	Potri.008G151000.1	Chr08:1021091210213390 reverse	П	+	I	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	Extracellular	$1.625^{*}$
PtSC13	Potri.010G089900.1	Chr10:1136769311370059 forward	Π	+	I	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	PlasmaMembr	$2.394^{*}$
PtSC14	Potri.013G061300.1	Chr13:46397504640351 reverse	Π	+	I	+	+	Н,С,Н,Q	PlasmaMembr	1.458*
PtSC15	Potri.018G128800.1	Chr18:1508035015081733 reverse	Ш	+	+	I	+	Н,С,Н,Q	PlasmaMembr	$3.336^{*}$
PtSC16	Potri.018G128900.1	Chr18:1508650815088125 reverse	Ш	+	+	I	+	Н,С,Н,Q	PlasmaMembr	3.263*
PtSC17	Potri.018G129000.1	Chr18:1509535815096492 reverse	>	I	+	NC	+	Н,С,Н,Q	PlasmaMembr	4.234*

2.098\* 2.071\*

Reliable-index

Table 1 (continued)

SP signal peptide, GPI glycosylphosphatidylinositol, AG arabinogalactan, N-glycosylation, NC not checked as for lacking a signal peptide in its precursor protein backbone

\* indicates that each gene has the highest reliable-index of subcellular localization in different cellular

that 11 pairs of genes originated from segmental duplication (Table S3). Neighbouring genes were also analyzed to determine whether tandem duplication had occurred (Ye et al. 2009), but there was no evidence of this phenomenon in PtPCs.

To examine the evolutionary selection process, we calculated  $K_a/K_s$  ratios of 10 pairs of *PtPC* paralogs (Table 2). Almost all  $K_a/K_s$  ratios were < 0.5, but the *PtSC8/PtSC17* pair was > 1. Moreover, the duplication events of the 10 gene pairs were estimated to have occurred between 10.20 and 55.80 million years ago (Mashiguchi et al. 2004), based on sliding-window analysis of  $K_a/K_s$  ratios for all *PC* paralog pairs (Fig. S3).

To probe the relationships between homologous genes, we investigated the microsynteny between poplar and *Arabidopsis* sequences (Fig. S4). Pairwise comparison of flanking genes in chromosomal regions containing *PC* genes revealed three or more pairs displaying conserved microsynteny. Analysis of intraspecies microsynteny identified 23 collinear gene pairs in poplar (Fig. S5). Microsynteny analysis was also performed to evaluate the relationship between paralogous and orthologous *PC* genes, and 15 orthologous gene pairs with two-for-one microsynteny were identified between *Populus* and *Arabidopsis* sequences, but only six pairs were identified with one-for-one microsynteny, including *AtENODL20-PtENODL5*, and *At1g22480-PtUC3/ AtENODL8-PtENODL2*.

# Identification of *cis*-regulatory elements in the promoters of *PtPCs*

To investigate gene function and regulation, we analyzed *cis*-regulatory elements in the promoters of *PtPCs* (Table S4). In previous studies, we found that cis-regulatory elements play a pivotal role in controlling physical and reproductive growth, phytohormone responses, and abiotic and biotic stress responses. As shown in Fig. 6, endosperm expression elements (Skn-1\_motif and GCN4\_motifs) were found in 17 PtPCs and 6 PtPCs, respectively (Washida et al. 1999). The Skn-1\_motif was the most abundant *cis*-element in the promoter sequences of 17 PtPCs, and the Skn-1\_motif was the most populous in PtSC6. The CAT-box, RY-element and CCGTCC -box are present in two PtPCs, but MBSII, HD-Zip1 and HD-Zip2 are only present in one *PtPC* gene (Sessa et al. 1993; Bobb et al. 1997). We also identified the circadian control element and the O2 site, which were involved in zein metabolism regulation, in the promoters of PtPCs, and ten *cis*-elements related to phytohormone responses were detected (Anderson et al. 1994). The CGTCA and TGACG motifs that are related to MeJA responsiveness were found in seven PtPCs (Nejad et al. 2012). The TCA element, ABRE, ERE and TGA element were found in the

1	PtUC1	DISTDLDTWAQSKTFVVGDLLSFQYSS.SHSLEEV.KKEDFDSCNTTN.VARTFTNGNTTVPL.TEPGTRYFVCG.NQL.HCLGGM	79
	PtUC2	WIFNVSGWPKGKSFKAGDILVFNYSTAA <mark>H</mark> NVVAVN.KAGYSS <mark>C</mark> TSPRGAKVYTSGKDQIKLVKGQNFFI <mark>C</mark> S.FAG. <mark>HC</mark> QSGM	78
	PtUC3	WAIGMDYSTWTSGKTFSVGDSLVFNYGG.G <mark>T</mark> TVDEV.RASDYST <mark>C</mark> TTGN.AITSDSSGATTIAL.KTAGTHYFICG.VPG.HCGSGM	80
uclacyanins	PtUC4	WAIGMDYSTWTSGKTFSVGDSLVFNYGG.G <mark>HTVDEV.SASDYSTC</mark> TTGN.AITSDSSGATTIAL.KTAGTHYFICG.VFG. <mark>HC</mark> GSGM	80
	PtUC5	ISTDIDTWAQDXTFAVGDVLMFQYSS.SHSVDEV.KKEDFDSCNTIN.VLRTFTTGNTTVSL.TNPGTRYFVGG.NKL.HCLGGM	78
	PtUC6	WDATINLQAWAASNQELVGUNLIFQYGL.VHDVNEV.SKADUSCQITS.FLKSYSGGTTVIPL.SSPERKTYFTCA.TPG.HCAGGM	80
	DESC1	WRIGANCGSWSKKINFSVGDILVFKIVKGCNVIEV.LEAITSSMSSIGVLAILSGODULEL.NKAKKIWFV.N.FAG.BLGGA	82
	PtSC2	WIVE AN ALTINA SEANEHORDITIENTIAL DURAY, RESEARISSNELG. DIST. GENTUIGEN AND AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	84
	PtSC3	WISMG.GUDYODWA ADRNFHAGDTLUFNVNLGFNVKGVT.SODFFTCNATFFTA TYTSGSDAINLERLGHVFTG.FRG.HGLAGO	83
	PtSC4	WISMG.QVDYQDWAASKNFHGGDILVFNYNNCFHNYKQVI.HCGFESCNAISPLAIYINGSDIVILGKQLGHFYFICG.YFG.HCCAGO	84
	PtSC5	WISMG QVDYQDWAASKNFHGGDTLVFNYDNQFHNVKQVI.HQGFESCNATSPLATYTNGSDTVTLGKQLGHFYFICG.YPG.HCQAGQ	84
	PtSC7		77
	PtSC8	IPTGDPAFYSSWAANQTFNVGEILVFNFMANAHDVAKVT.KADYDACTTSSPISLVE.TSPARINL.DASGEHYFICN.FTG.HCSAGQ	83
	PtSC9	WKSPSSTSFYSDWASGKTFALGDTLKFTFTTGA <mark>H</mark> DVATVS.KSDYDN <mark>C</mark> NTGSQNNLLT.TGPATITL.NVTGDMYFLCT.IAG. <mark>H</mark> CSAGQ	84
stellacyanıns	PtSC10	WISVG.QVDYQEWAASKNFHVGDTLVFNYNSQFHNVKQVT.QQAFEA <mark>C</mark> NATSPIATYTNGYDTVTL.EKLGHFYFICG.YPG. <mark>H</mark> CQVGQ	83
	PtSC11	WISMG.QVDYQEWAASKNFHYGDILVFNYNNQFHNVKQAT.QQGFEACNATSPIATYINGYDTVIL.EKLGHFYFICG.YFG.HCQAGQ	83
	PtSC12	WITIG.NFDYKKWSATKIFQVHIILEKYNACHANMKVT.HAMWKACNISAPLATYTTGNDSITI.KTKCHHFFFCG.VPG.HCQAGO	83
	PtSC13	WLASG.NIDIKQWS.AIKIFQVGDVLLFEINAGENVMEKVI.HAMIKAGNISAEMAAIIIIGNDSIII.KIKKHHFFFGG.VFG.HCQAGU	01
	PtSC15	TIDEN SOVPEWT SOSTEOIOSEVENETETTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	82
	PtSC16		83
	PtSC17		83
	PtSC18		77
	PtSC19	WQAPSDTSFYSNWASGKTFTVGDTLTFTFSTTVHDVATVS.KSDYDNCNTASQSNVLT.VGPATITL.NATGNQYYFCT.LSN.HCTRGQ	84
	PtPLC1	WEESTDFSSWASGCKFKVGDCLVFKYTSGLHSVVELGGESAYKSCGLGT.ALNSMNTGNDVVKL.NKPGTRYFACG.TLG.HCGCGM	82
plantacyanins	PtPLC2	WILNMDSWPKGKRFKAGDTLVFTYDPTIHNVVAVN.RGGYSSCITPAGAKVYKSGKDQIKLSKGQNFFICN.VAG.HCESGM	78
· · · ·	PtPLC3	WSTTFGDYTTWVSGKTFTVGDSLLFKYSS.THTVAEV.SKGDYDSCSTSN.LGKTYTDGSSTVPL.STAGPMYFICP.TSG.HCSGGM	81
1	PtENODL1	WNPGINYTHWANNHTFYVGDLISFRYQKTQYNVFEVN.QTGYDN <mark>C</mark> TTEGAVGNWTSGKDFIPL.NKAKRYYFIGGNG.Q <mark>C</mark> FNGM	80
	PtENODL2	N.PQVYTYWSKYHTLKIGDSLLFLYPPSQDSVIQVT.RENYNS <mark>C</mark> NLTDPILYMNNGNSLFNI.TAYGDFYFTSG.VQG.H <mark>C</mark> QKK.	79
	PtENODL3	GKTFYVGDTLVFKYTAGAHNVLRV.DGTGFQE <mark>C</mark> KAAD.DIVPLTTGNDVIPL.STPGNKW	57
	PtENODL4	NS.SAVYTQWATRNRFQVGDSLSFEYNNDSVIEVD.KWGYYH <mark>C</mark> DGSKPIVAFNNGHGVFKL.DRPGPFYFISG.TPN.H <mark>C</mark> MGG <mark>2</mark>	78
	PtENODL5	WAPNMNFTAWAMHEEFYVGDWLYFGFDKTRYSVLEVN.KINYNNCNDKNCIANITRGGRDVFNL.TEARPYYFLSGRG.YC	81
	PtENODL6	WRSNVNF5EWSARQNIYVGEWLYF6FDKKLYNVLEVN.KIGYEGCHDVGFIKNIIRGGRDVFQV.NEAKTYYFINGGG.SCFGGM	81
	PtENODL7	ESNVNYQKWADEKNFSLEDFLIFNIDSNHSVVQTYN.FTFKSCDYDNSEENETVEWSSINPSNILTQAVIVAVPI.VKEEPIFFSGYYDEEQCQNGQ	96
	PteNODL8		75
	PTENODL9	WVINF.SESINGWA.ERNKEQVUDJVIKINNGJUSVLIVI.KUJINGKIKKELKIMGSGSSFQF.LKSGFIFFIJG.RED.RVKEV	83
	PCENODL10	WINNESS KOLINDER LEKKREGEDIGETUNTVOTTINUTUNDEGVSSTTDEGAR VVSS GEPOINT SKONFTEN VAS H	74
	PtENODL12	NTTA JNYSSWA JSOTFNICHVIIFETSNOTVOTVALITEKKOSTHENSKANTVANGA, TVEGOLITEVUL, TOGENYFESDANGCOGOG	95
	PtENODL13	WITHIGSWIT, DEKKEKAEDSLIENVERSTHEVATVD, VDEVDECTISPSSS, TYTS, GKUTIKL, KEGENVETES, LES, HODWE	78
	PtENODL14	WT.IGVDYNCWASTKKFOVGDTLVFNYNIMFHNVLCVI.KCDYESCNVKSPVAIFAS	81
	PtENODL15	NS.SSLYACWATRNRFQVGDSLSFDYKNDSVIEVN.KWGYYHCDASKHIVAFNNGNRVFKL.DKSGLFYYISG.TPS.HCKNGQ	78
	PtENODL16	WKPGFDYGAWANGKEFHVGDTLVFKYRAGAHNVLRV.NGTGFQECKAAD.DTVPLSSGNDVISL.STPGKKWYICG.FAE.HCESG.	81
	PtENODL17	WNPSINYTLWANNQTFYVGDLISFRYQKTQYNVFEVN.QTGYDNCTTEGALGNWTSGKDFIPL.NEAKRYYFICGNG.QCFNGM	80
	PtENODL18	WITNVNYIMWAQDKHFYNGDWLYRNQMNVLEVN.KIDFES <mark>C</mark> NSDHPLHNLIRGAGRDVVPL.NVIRTYYFISGKG.F <mark>C</mark> YGG <mark>M</mark>	78
	PtENODL19	WISMG.DVDYHDWAANKKFHVGDTLVFHHNYRFHDVKQVT.RQDFKS <mark>C</mark> NVASPIASYYNHHGYDSPTL.NRLGHFYFISA.FPD. <mark>H</mark> CQAGQ	85
	PtENODL20	WISMG.DVDYHDWAANKKFHVGDTLQVT.RQDFKS <mark>C</mark> NVASPIASYYNHHGYDSLTL.NRLGHFYFISA.FPD.H <mark>C</mark> QAGQ	73
	PtENODL21		78
early nodulin	PtENODL22	WKIPSSE.SDSLNRWAEASRFRVGDTLVWIYDPKKDSVLQVI.KKDVETCNTSSPLVTYKDGNTKVKL.DKSGPYYFISG.ADG.BCEQGQ	85
-like proteins	PtENODL23	WKIPSSE.SUSLNKWAEKAARLVGUSLAMKYDGGKUSVLQVI.KEAASCNITSPIEEYKUGNIKVKL.DRSGPFYFISG.AEG.HCEKGQ	85
ince protonio	PTENODL24		07
	PERIODI 26	WSDEP DEVCAUS SHEVISLEPTENDERASYNGIA TITELETISASSANTYNENSANTSKINGYTYNYELLERGETISTESSIDEGGENAY	84
	PtENODL27	WUTNE SESUNHAL FENERGUNDSLUEVENKGSDSULEUT KNDVNSGNTKKELK, TMDS, GSSUGGE DKSGREFFISG, NED, NCRKGO	83
	PtENODL28	WTVPENTSS.KSYFNEWA., EHHRFORGESILFVYEASOESVVOVT, KEGVENCTAEKPLA., TENE., GHTVEKF, NOSGPHYFISG.NBD, HCOK.	86
	PtENODL29	WILGFNYOTWACGKAFYVGDTLVFKYTFGAHNVLSV.NGTGFEECKAAD.DIVPLTTGNDVITL.STFGKKWYLCS.VFG.HCESG.	81
	PtENODL30	WIVNFNYITWASGKVFHVGDTLVFKYOP.PHNLYKV.DGNGFKNCVASG.EALTSGNDIITL.GSTGKKWYICG.FGK.HCS	76
	PtENODL31	NTTATNYSSWAASCTFNLGDYLIFKTSSNCTVICTYN.LTTFKNCSIDDTSYTDTFVYNGGNTVFNCAFTIIVPL.TICGPNYFFSDASDGICCOHG.	95
	PtENODL32	.WTIPKKD.SQMYIDWASKNRFKVDDTVQFKYNKDSVLVVT.EEEYQK <mark>C</mark> R.SAHPLFFSNNGDSVFKL.DRPGLFYFISG.VAG. <mark>H</mark> CERGQ	83
	PtENODL33		72
	PtENODL34		72
	PtENODL35	RIYVDWALGNRFQVGDTAREKDSVMKVG.VEDCKK <mark>C</mark> H.SRHPNFFSNTVYHL.NYPASSYFISG.VSG. <mark>H</mark> CEKGQ	69
	PtENODL36		53
	PtENODL37		53
	PteNODL38		17
	PCENODL39	WIKMS.QVDSBUMA.ANNSERVGUIVVININSQHRVKQVI.QQGELEVANIFILAIIISGSUGVIF	04
	PTENODI 41	MINESSENSING AND	03
	PtENODL42	. TPN . YNYTDMINGSHEFFYGDMILFVERKISYNVLEVN FFSYENONDGEFFEN ITEG GEDUUGL FEDERVEISG GARAGA	82
	PtENODL43	WISN. VNYTIWA. OGKHFYNGDWLFFVYDRNCMNILEVN.KTDYESCNSHPLHN. WIRGA. GRUVUL NUTNYVFIG. KG FRYGGM	82
	PtENODL44	.WKIPSSE.SDSLNKWAGKARFLIGDSLVWKYDGCKDSVLQVT.KEAYAACNTINPIEEYKDGNTKVKL.DKSGPFYFISG.AFG.HEFKG.	85
	PtENODL45	WIVNFNYITWASGKVFHVGDTLVFNYKP.PHNLFKV.DGAGFKDCAASG.EPMASGNDIITL.SSPGKKWYICG.YGR.HCS	76
	ruler	110	J

**Fig. 1** Multiple sequence alignment of the amino acid sequences of the plastocyanin-like domains (PCLD) of *PtPC* proteins in *Populus trichocarpa*. Red, uclacyanins (UCs); blue, stellacyanins (SCs); cyan, plantacyanin (PLCs); purple, early nodulin-like proteins (ENODLs).

The conversed amino acids involved in copper binding are highlighted by a green background (His, Cys, His, and Gln/Met), while the Cys residues involved in disulfide linkage are indicated by a yellow background

promoters of 13, 8, 6 and 3 *PtPCs*, respectively (Goldsbrough et al. 1993; Shen and Ho 1995). Other elements, including the AuxRR core, TATC-box, GARE motif and P-box were also observed in 1, 2, 3 and 4 *PtPCs*,

respectively (Washida et al. 1999). In addition, we also identified some *cis*-elements related to abiotic and biotic stresses, including HSE and TC-rich repeats in 14 *PtPCs*, but the WUN motif was only present in *PtSC6*.



**Fig.2** Schematic representation of five groups of *PtPCs*. The diagram showing the features of *PtPC* domains was generated with MyDomains (http://prosite.expasy.org/cgi-bin/prosite/mydomains/). The figure is not drawn to scale

# Expression of PtPC genes

To reveal the different evolutionary fates of duplicated genes, we analyzed the expression patterns of *PtPCs* in six different tissues of poplar: young leaves, roots, xylem, female catkin, male catkin, and mature leaves (Fig. S6; Table S5). The heatmap showed that 11 *PtPCs (PtPLC1, PtENODL43, PtENODL7, PtSC6, PtUC2, PtENODL45, P9tSC, PtENODL41, PtSC10, PtENODL25, PtUC1)* were highly expressed in six organs. Notably, *PtPLC1* and *PtUC4* have relatively high expression levels only in roots and xylem, respectively.

#### Examination of PC gene expression by qRT-PCR

To confirm the expression of *PtPCs* in response to stresses, we used qRT-PCR to analyze relative expressions of 18 *PtPCs* under drought and salt treatments (Figs. 7, 8). The results of drought treatments showed that three *PtPCs* (*PtSC9*, *PtENODL24* and *PtENODL41*) were highly expressed at all four-time points measured, and some *PtPCs* were up-regulated at particular time points. For instance, *PtPLC1* was only up-regulated at 4 h after treatment. *PtUC7*, *PtSC13* and *PtSC17* were distinctly up-regulated at 24 h. By contrast, expression of *PtENODL9* remained low at all time points, while *PtENODL45* was observably down-regulated at 24 h after treatment.

Subsequently, we analyzed the expression patterns of *PtPCs* under salt treatments. The expression levels of *PtEDNOL9* remained low at all time points, but *PtPLC1* 

was down-regulated at 4 h yet up-regulated at other time points. Expression of *PtENODL24* and *PtENODL41* obviously showed a high expression under both, drought and salt treatment, at all time points, and expression of three *PtPCs* (*PtUC7*, *PtSC13* and *PtSC17*) was significantly increased at 24 h after salt treatment. Additionally, *PtUC2* was distinctly up-regulated at all time points except at 12 h. *PtUC7*, *PtSC13* and *PtSC17* had the similar expression after both drought and salt treatments at all time points.

To predict possible functions of *PtPC* genes in organ development, we also performed qRT-PCR analyses to examine the relative expression of the 18 PtPCs in five organs, including young leaves (YL), roots (RT), xylem (XY), mature leaves (ML), and phloem (PH) (Fig. 9; Table S6). As shown in Fig. 9, PtPCs showed the highest mRNA accumulation in all the tissues, including three in the roots (PtUC1, PtENODL45, PtENODL41) and young leaves (PtENODL24, PtENODL9, PtSC6), four in the mature leaves (PtENODL24, PtSC17, PtENODL7, PtUC7) and the phloem (PtENODL9, PtENODL25, PtENODL33, PtENODL43), five in the xylem (PtUC2, PtUC4, PtSC10, PtSC13, PtPLC1). However, most of the remaining genes had intensively different expression patterns. For example, PtENODL45 had low expression levels in mature leaves. It is worth noting that PtSC17 and PtENODL24 were both up-regulated in the mature leaves while they were expressed at a relatively low level in young leaves and xylem, respectively.

# Discussion

Genome-wide analysis of PC genes in plants has been performed previously in various species including Arabidopsis thaliana (Mashiguchi et al. 2009), Oryza sativa (Ma et al. 2011), Brassica rapa (Li et al. 2013), Zea mays (Cao et al. 2015) and Phalaenopsis equestris (Xu et al. 2017). All of these studies indicated a role in plant growth and development (Fedorova et al. 2002; Ozturk et al. 2002; Diab et al. 2004; Ma and Jie 2010; Wu et al. 2011), but *PC* genes have not been investigated extensively in poplar. In the present study, we identified 74 PtPCs and grouped them into seven clades based on phylogenetic tree analysis. The phylogenetic tree showed that most genes of each subfamily in poplar grouped tightly with these genes of subfamily in Arabidopsis and Zea mays, which is consistent with the fact that maize, Arabidopsis and poplar diverged from a common ancestor before the divergence of monocot and dicot lineages. AGPs belong to a subfamily of hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins (HRGPs), and are involved in the growth and development of the plant, such as stem strength, somatic embryogenesis in cotton, cell culture and extracellular signals transduction (Tan et al. 2004; Seifert and Roberts 2007; MacMillan et al. 2010; Poon et al. 2013; Ma et al. Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree for Phytocyanins (PCs) from Populus trichocarpa, Arabidopsis, and Zea mays. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using PC protein sequences from the three plant species by the neighbourjoining method in MEGA6.0, with 1000 bootstrap replicates displayed at each node. PC proteins are divided into seven groups, and different subfamilies are indicated by different colours. Red, early nodulin-like proteins (ENODLs); green, stellacyanins (SCs); yellow, uclacyanins (UCs); cyan, plantacyanin (PLCs); purple, unknown



2017). Several bioinformatics studies were used to identify the AGP gene family in plants. For instance, the PAST amino acid bias was calculated for AGPs in Arabidopsis as well rice (Schultz et al. 2002; Ma and Zhao 2010), the well-designed BIO OHIO program was employed for HRGPs in Arabidopsis and poplar (Showalter et al. 2010, 2016), a Python script named Finding-AGP was utilized in 47 plant specie (Ma et al. 2017), MAAB bioinformatics pipeline was conducted to classify HRGPs (Johnson et al. 2017), and BLAST searches were performed for AtENO-DLs, OsPCs and PePCs (Mashiguchi et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2017). Through these methods, the classical AGPs, lysine-rich AGPs, AG peptides, fasciclin-like AGPs, plastocyanin AGPs and other chimeric AGPs have been identified in Arabidopsis, rice and poplar. Chimeric AGPs were determined if the protein sequences contained at least one arabinogalactosylated domain and a domain with an unrelated motif (Schultz et al. 2002). In our study, 43 PtPCs contain AG glycomodules and SPs, including 27 PtENODLs, five PtUCs, nine PtSCs and two PtPLCs that might belong to the chimeric AGP group. Showalter et al. (2016) have identified 39 *PtPAGs* in poplar by BIO OHIO 2.0 bioinformatics program, and all *PtPAGs* belonged to the AGPs we identified in the present study except two *PtPAGs* (*PtPAG25* and *PtPAG27*). Moreover, eight additional *PtPCs* (*PtENODL2/7/8/12/19/21/25/31*) might be the member of a AGP superfamily due to the presence of putative arabinogalactan glycomodules found in our study. The previous report has identified 18 ENOD-like, seven UC-like and four SC-like AGPs in *Arabidopsis* (Li et al. 2013). There were about 1.5 times more ENOD-like, 0.71 times more UC-like and 2.25 more SC-like AGPs in poplar than in *Arabidopsis*, which may result from the evolutionary diversification of the two plants.

Multiple sequence alignment confirmed that Cys residues involved in the formation of disulfide linkages are highly conserved in all 74 *PtPCs*, suggesting they are essential for maintaining PCLD structure, function and stability. PCs are involved in electron transport in the cytomembrane. This process is related to photophosphorylation and can affect

PtENODL1		
PtENODL2		
PtENODL3		
PtENODL4		
PtENODL5	<b>63</b>	
PtENODL6		
PtENODL7		
PtENODL8		
PtENODL9		
PtENODL10		
PIENODI 11		
PIENODI 12		
PIENODI 12		
PIENODI 14		
PIENODI 15		
PIENODI 16		
PIENODI 17		
PIENODI 12		
PIENODI 10		
PIENODI 20		1 Marifi
PIENODI 21		1010111
PIENODI 22		2
PIENODI 23		14101112
PIENODI 24		3
DENODL24		Mours
PIENODL25		4
PIENODL20		Niotif4
PtENODL2/		5
PIENODL28		Motif5
PIENODL29		6
PIENODL30		Motifo
PIENODI 33		7
PIENODL32		Motif/
PIENODL33		8
PIENODL25		Notifs
PIENODL35		9
PIENODI 27		IVI OTII9
PIENODI 22		10
PIENODI 20		wouri
PIENODI 40		
DENODI 41		
PIENODI 42		
PIENODI 42		
PIENODI 44		
PIENODI 45		
PtUC1		
PtUC2		
PtUC3		
PtUC4		
PtUC5		
PtUC6		
PtUC7		
PtPLC1		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2 PtPLC3		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2 PtPLC3		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2 PtPLC3 PtSC1		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2 PtPLC3 PtSC1 PtSC2		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2 PtPLC3 PtSC1 PtSC2 PtSC3		
PtPLC1 PtPLC2 PtPLC3 PtSC1 PtSC2 PtSC3 PtSC4		
PIPLC1 PIPLC2 PIPLC3 PISC1 PISC2 PISC3 PISC4 PISC5		
PIPLC1      PIPLC2      PIPLC3      PISC1      PISC2      PISC3      PISC4      PISC5      PISC6		
PIPLC1      PIPLC2      PIPLC3      PISC1      PISC2      PISC3      PISC4      PISC5      PISC6      PISC7		
PHPLC1 PHPLC2 PHPLC3 PHSC1 PHSC3 PHSC4 PHSC5 PHSC6 PHSC7 PHSC8	III  IIII  III  III  III  III  III  III  III  IIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIIII  IIIIIII  IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	
PIPLC1      PIPLC2      PIPLC3      PISC1      PISC2      PISC3      PISC4      PISC5      PISC6      PISC7      PISC8      PISC9		
PIPLC1 PIPLC2 PIPLC3 PISC1 PISC2 PISC3 PISC4 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC9 PISC10	III IIIII IIII	
PIPLC1      PIPLC2      PIPLC3      PISC1      PISC2      PISC3      PISC4      PISC5      PISC6      PISC7      PISC8      PISC9      PISC10	III	
PHLC1 PHLC2 PHLC3 PHC3 PHSC1 PHSC3 PHSC3 PHSC4 PHSC3 PHSC4 PHSC4 PHSC9 PHSC10 PHSC11	III	
PHPLC1 PHPLC2 PHPLC3 PHSC3 PHSC4 PHSC3 PHSC4 PHSC4 PHSC4 PHSC4 PHSC5 PHSC5 PHSC5 PHSC5 PHSC12 PHSC13	III	
PIPLC1 PIPLC2 PIPLC3 PISC3 PISC3 PISC3 PISC4 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC12 PISC14	III	
PIPLC1 PIPLC2 PIPLC3 PIPC3 PISC3 PISC4 PISC3 PISC4 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC10 PISC11 PISC14 PISC15	III	
PIPLC1 PIPLC2 PIPLC3 PISC3 PISC4 PISC4 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC5 PISC7 PISC12 PISC112 PISC112 PISC114 PISC12 PISC13 PISC14 PISC15 PISC15 PISC14 PISC15 PISC	III  IIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIII  IIIIII  IIIIII  IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	
PIPLC1 PIPLC2 PIPLC3 PISC3 PISC4 PISC4 PISC4 PISC4 PISC5 PISC6 PISC7 PISC11 PISC11 PISC11 PISC11 PISC12 PISC13 PISC15 PISC16 PISC16 PISC15 PISC16 PISC17	III	
PIPLC1      PIPLC2      PIPLC3      PISC1      PISC2      PISC3      PISC4      PISC5      PISC6      PISC7      PISC8      PISC9      PISC9      PISC9      PISC1      PISC11      PISC13      PISC14      PISC15      PISC16      PISC17	III	

**Fig. 4** Schematic representation of the 10 conserved motifs in *PtPC* proteins. Motifs of the *PtPC* proteins were identified by MEME online tool. Each motif is represented by a differently coloured block, with their numbers in the centre of the motifs. The number in boxes (1-10) represents motif 1–motif 10, respectively. The position and length of each coloured box represents the actual motif size

ATP generation, and therefore influences physiological processes in plants.

Several reports on subcellular localization of PCs have provided information on their specific functions in plants. For instance, AtSC3 is located in the plasma membrane and related to aluminum as well oxidation stresses (Ezaki et al. 2001, 2005), which is possibly the response to other abiotic stresses. Khan et al. (2007) found that AtENODL9-1, one of the double mutants of AtENODL9, which is located in the sieve element plasma membrane, plays a more significant role in reproductive processes than irregular physiological processes, as revealed by analysis of the phenotype of homozygous T-DNA insertion mutants. All known PCs have secretion signals, which are essential to localize in the extracellular space. According to reports, more than half of ENODLs were predicted to be GPI-anchored proteins, implying theirs functions at the plasma membrane or extracellular matrix. Many proteins exist in the cell in a dynamic form, and they can perform diverse cell functions in different subcellulars. In addition, the plants often grow under a variety of environmental conditions, which may make some proteins, such as transcription factors, appear in different organelles during signal transduction. Our current study revealed that most PtPCs were localized in the plasma membrane or extracellular, and the phenomenon demonstrated that the different subcellular localization of phytocyanins may be due to diverse function in poplar. PtPCs may exert a significant part in the growth and the development of poplar, and they were divided into four subfamilies.

The exon/intron structure and motif arrangement are highly conserved in all subfamilies. The 74 identified PtPCs include different numbers of introns, indicating diverse functions during their evolution. The similar exon/ intron and motif composition of members within subfamilies imply conservation of function, and the phylogenetic analysis can, therefore, be beneficial. The specific motifs are ordered 1-6-3 in all sequences, indicating strong conservation of domain structure. Motifs 7, 9 and 10 are only present in members of the ENODL subfamily, indicating a role in the functional divergence of *PtENODLs*. Notably, all PLCs lack motif 7 in poplar, demonstrating divergence from other subfamilies during evolution and its probable specific-role in plant development and growth, which could be important to their functions during electron transportation. The identified subfamily has different motifs which may play a crucial role in the different functions that their genes perform. These specific motifs present in the *PtPCs* are most probably the structural basis for their diverse functions.

The endosperm offers diverse nutrients to seedling growth after germination before it turns into photosynthetic and self-sufficiency (Zhang et al. 2016). Most *PtPCs* had Skn-1\_motif *cis*-regulatory elements were relevant to endosperm expression. Analysis of promoter regions showed



Fig. 5 Chromosomal locations of the 74 predicted *PtPC* genes. The chromosome number is indicated above of each chromosome. Duplicated paralogous pairs of *PtPC* genes and segmental duplication genes are connected by black and red dashed lines in different colours

that the majority of *PtPCs* contained TC-rich repeats *cis*regulatory elements, which suggested that *PtPC* genes also played a significant role in stress responses. In *Boea crassifolia*, *BcBCP1*, an ENOD-like gene, increases tolerance to osmotic stress in transgenic tobacco under the control of the *CaMV* 35S promoter. Ezaki et al. (2005) found that the *Arabidopsis* blue-copper binding gene restrained aluminum absorption so as to keep plants and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (yeast) out of aluminum toxicity. In the present study, *PtENODL24* containing the MYB *cis*-element was strongly induced under drought treatment, which was supported by the promoter *cis*-element analysis.

Gene duplication is a vital source of new genes in the course of evolution (Lynch and Conery 2000; Gu et al. 2003; Khan et al. 2007). Gene duplication can also help organisms adapt to different environments during development

and growth (Bowers et al. 2003). Gene duplication mechanisms such as unequal exchange, reverse transcription, or entire gene duplication produce a gene or base sequence that resembles the original gene (Zhang 2003). However, previous studies indicated that half of OsPCs in rice were the result of segmental duplication, and the others derived from tandem duplication, which indicated that these two types of duplication event played an equivalent role in the expansion of OsPC genes (Ma et al. 2011). The results of the present study indicated that the conserved region of 10 PtPC paralogs resulted from segmental duplication events, suggesting that segmental duplication exerted a crucial part in the expansion of the PtPC gene family.

 $K_a/K_s$  ratio can be used to measure the historical choice of coding sequences (Vandepoele et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2016). In this study,  $K_a/K_s$  sliding window analysis indicated that

**Table 2**  $K_a$ ,  $K_s$  and  $K_a/K_s$  values calculated for paralogous *PC* genepairs (Pt–Pt) in the *Populus trichocarpa* genome

Paralogous pairs	K <sub>a</sub>	K <sub>s</sub>	$K_{\rm a}/K_{\rm s}$ ratio	Date (MY)
PtENODL1- PtENODL17	0.13114	0.31199	0.42	17.14
PtENODL12- PtENODL31	0.11411	0.33971	0.336	18.67
PtENODL18- PtENODL43	0.05937	0.21822	0.272	11.99
PtENODL21- PtENODL38	0.11769	0.31169	0.378	17.13
PtENODL23- PtENODL44	0.08612	0.33559	0.257	18.44
PtSC4-PtSC11	0.10877	0.38728	0.281	21.28
PtSC5-PtSC11	0.11514	0.39735	0.29	21.83
PtSC6-PtSC11	0.0918	0.43306	0.212	23.79
PtUC1-PtUC5	0.10047	0.25478	0.394	14
PtSC8-PtSC17	1.68599	1.01526	1.661	55.78

most *PtPCs* were under disadvantageous selection, imply a strong selection constraint and purifying selection in the *PtPC* genes. This suggests that functional divergence of duplicated genes might have been promoted by positive selection during evolution, which may facilitate the adaptation of plants in different environments. By evaluating the duplication time of paralogs, we inferred that all large-scale duplication events involving *PtPCs* occurred within the last 10.20-55.80 million years. We observed microsynteny in *PtPCs* and identified 23 collinear *PC* gene pairs, indicating a low degree of divergence during evolution. Simultaneously, we observed microsynteny between *Populus* and *Arabidopsis PC* genes and identified 15 pairs orthologs, hence orthologs derived from common ancestral genes are present in different species.

Since expression analysis can provide valuable information for further exploration of the relative expression levels and better understand the *PC* gene function in *P. trichocarpa*, we examined the expression of *PtPCs* in poplar in different tissues using microarray data. The results demonstrated that most genes were highly expressed in roots and xylem, indicating roles in vegetative growth. Through comparisons of

Fig. 6 Cis-acting elements in the promoter regions of poplar PC genes. a Number of each cis-acting element in the promoter region (2 kb upstream of the translation start site) of PtPC genes. b Statistics for all PtPC genes, including the corresponding cis-acting elements (red dots) and the total number of cis-acting elements in the PtPC gene family (black boxes) are given. Based on functional annotation, the cis-acting elements were classified into three major classes: plant growth and development, phytohormone responsive, or abiotic and biotic stresses-related (detailed results shown in Table S4)





**Fig. 7** Expression profiles of *PtPC* genes in response to drought treatment as determined by qRT-PCR. A heat-map shows the hierarchical clustering of the relative expression of 74 *PtPC* genes under drought treatment. Blue indicates lower and red represents higher transcript abundance compared to the relevant control. The leaves were sprayed with 20% PEG-6000 and sampled after 4, 8, 12 and 24 h of treatment. Relative expression levels of 74 *PC* genes were examined by qRT-PCR and normalized with respect to the reference gene UBQ (Potri.001G418500) under drought stress treatment



**Fig. 8** Expression profiles of *PtPC* genes under NaCl treatment as determined by qRT-PCR. A heat-map shows the hierarchical clustering of the relative expression of 74 *PtPC* genes under NaCl treatment. Blue indicates lower and red represents higher transcript abundance compared to the relevant control. Salt-stress was carried out by watering the plants with a 200 mM solution of NaCl. Plants were sampled after 4, 8, 12 and 24 h of treatment. Relative expression levels of 74 *PC* genes were examined by qRT-PCR and normalized with respect to the reference gene UBQ (Potri.001G418500) under NaCl treatment



**Fig. 9** The qRT-PCR analysis of expression profiles. A heat-map shows the hierarchical clustering of the relative expression of 18 *PtPC* genes across the five different tissues analyzed. The vertical colour scale at the right of the image represents  $\log_2$  expression values: red indicates a high level and blue represents a low level of transcript abundance. Relative expression levels of all *PtPCs* were examined by qRT-PCR and normalized with respect to the reference gene UBQ (Potri.001G418500) in different tissues. *RT* roots, *YL* young leaves, *ML* mature leaves, *XY* xylem, *PH* phloem

microarray analysis and qRT-PCR analysis, we found that the majority of *PtPCs* was similarly expressed in the same organs. However, there were some different results. For example, PtENODL41 was expressed at low levels in roots according to microarray analysis but relatively high in the same tissue according to qRT-PCR, which might result from differences in the experiments, such as conditions, poplar ages, sample collection times and so on. In addition, abiotic stresses such as high salinity and high temperature influence plant growth and development. Many stress-response genes are activated to help plants to deal with stress in adverse circumstances. Hence, it is important to identify the major regulatory pathways of stress responses in poplar. The results of qRT-PCR experiments indicated an important function for PtPCs under drought or salt stresses. Previous reports showed that OsUC23/26/27 and BrUC6/16 were highly expressed under drought or salt stresses. Differences of gene expression among species indicated that PC genes in different plants may generate diverse responses to abiotic stress.

# Conclusions

In the current study, we identified 74 *PtPCs* and comprehensively and systematically analyzed their PC domains, gene structure, gene replication, chromosomal distribution, and conserved microsynteny. The evolutionary relationships between PCs in *Arabidopsis* and poplar were also investigated, and the results revealed different expression patterns, indicating differentiated functions. To further understand the function and role of each *PC* family gene, multiple methods including molecular genetic analysis should be employed. The qRT-PCR analysis indicated a central role of *PtPCs* in many aspects of plant growth and development and plant stress response. Our findings provide a theoretical basis for further research on the function of *PtPCs*.

*Author contribution statement* SSL and WFH designed and conceived the experiment, carried out the principal bioinformatics analysis, drafted the manuscript. Performed the experiments: SSL, WFH. Edited the data, figures and tables: YW, BL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YX. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements** We thank the members of the Laboratory of Modern Biotechnology for their assistance in this study.

**Funding** National Natural Science Foundation of China (31370561) and National Science and Technology Support Plan Corpus (2015BAD07B070104).

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

- Anderson SL, Teakle GR, Martino-Catt SJ, Kay SA (1994) Circadian clock- and phytochrome regulated transcription is conferred by a 78 bp *cis*-acting domain of the *Arabidopsis CAB2* promoter. Plant J 6(4):457–470
- Bobb AJ, Chern MS, Bustos MM (1997) Conserved RY-repeats mediate transactivation of seed-specific promoters by the developmental regulator PvALF. Nucleic Acids Res 25(3):641–647
- Bowers JE, Chapman BA, Rong J, Paterson AH (2003) Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. Nature 422(6930):433–438
- Cao J, Li X, Lv Y, Ding L (2015) Comparative analysis of the phytocyanin gene family in 10 plant species: a focus on *Zea mays*. Front Plant Sci 6:515. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00515
- Cruz-Garcia F, Nathan HC, Kim D, Mcclure B (2005) Stylar glycoproteins bind to S-RNase in vitro. Plant J 42(3):295–304
- Denancé N, Szurek B, Noël LD (2014) Emerging functions of nodulinlike proteins in non-nodulating plant species. Plant Cell Physiol 55(3):469–474
- Diab AA, Teulat-Merah B, This D, Ozturk NZ, Benscher D, Sorrells ME (2004) Identification of drought-inducible genes and differentially expressed sequence tags in barley. Theor Appl Genet 109(7):1417–1425
- Dong J, Kim ST, Lord EM (2005) Plantacyanin plays a role in reproduction in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 138(2):778–789

- Eisenhaber B, Wildpaner M, Schultz CJ, Borner GH, Dupree P, Eisenhaber F (2003) Glycosylphosphatidylinositol lipid anchoring of plant proteins. Sensitive prediction from sequence- and genome-wide studies for Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol 133(4):1691–1701. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.023580
- Escobar-Restrepo JM, Huck N, Kessler S, Gagliardini V, Gheyselinck J, Yang WC, Grossniklaus U (2007) The FERONIA receptorlike kinase mediates male-female interactions during pollen tube reception. Science 317(5938):656–660
- Ezaki B, Katsuhara M, Kawamura M, Matsumoto H (2001) Different mechanisms of four aluminum (Al)-resistant transgenes for Al toxicity in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 127(3):918–927
- Ezaki B, Sasaki K, Matsumoto H, Nakashima S (2005) Functions of two genes in aluminium (Al) stress resistance: repression of oxidative damage by the *AtBCB* gene and promotion of efflux of Al ions by the *NtGDI1*gene. J Exp Bot 56(420):2661–2671
- Fedorova M, Mortel JVD, Matsumoto PA, Cho J, Town CD, VandenBosch KA, Gantt JS, Vance CP (2002) Genome-wide identification of nodule-specific transcripts in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Physiol 130(2):519–537
- Giri AV, Anishetty S, Gautam P (2004) Functionally specified protein signatures distinctive for each of the different blue copper proteins. BMC Bioinform 5(1):1–8
- Goldsbrough AP, Albrecht H, Stratford R (1993) Salicylic acidinducible binding of a tobacco nuclear protein to a 10 bp sequence which is highly conserved amongst stress-inducible genes. Plant J 3(4):563–571
- Goodstein DM, Shu S, Russell H, Rochak N, Hayes RD, Joni F, Therese M, William D, Uffe H, Nicholas P (2011) Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 40:1178–1186
- Gu Z, Steinmetz LM, Gu X, Scharfe C, Davis RW, Li WH (2003) Role of duplicate genes in genetic robustness against null mutations. Nature 421(6918):63–66
- Hart PJ, Nersissian AM, Herrmann RG, Nalbandyan RM, Valentine JS, Eisenberg D (1996) A missing link in cupredoxins: crystal structure of cucumber stellacyanin at 1.6 A resolution. Protein Sci 5(11):2175–2183
- Hou Y, Guo X, Cyprys P, Zhang Y, Bleckmann A, Cai L, Huang Q, Luo Y, Gu H, Dresselhaus T, Dong J, Qu L (2016) Maternal ENODLs are required for pollen tube reception in *Arabidopsis*. Curr Biol 26(17):2343–2350
- Hu R, Qi G, Kong Y, Kong D, Qian G, Zhou G (2010) Comprehensive analysis of NAC domain transcription factor gene family in *Populus trichocarpa*. BMC Plant Biol 10(1):145. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2229-10-145
- Hui M, Lin F, Zhu C, Xue C, Hualin Z, Yan X (2014) Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the IQD gene family in *Populus trichocarpa*. Plant Sci 229:96–110
- Jeßberger N, Krey VM, Rademacher C, Böhm ME, Mohr AK, Ehlingschulz M, Scherer S, Märtlbauer E (2015) From genome to toxicity: a combinatory approach highlights the complexity of enterotoxin production in *Bacillus cereus*. Front Microbiol 6(6):560
- Johnson KL, Cassin AM, Lonsdale A, Bacic A, Doblin MS, Schultz CJ (2017) A motif and amino acid bias bioinformatics pipeline to identify hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins. Plant Physiol 174(2):886–903
- Khan JA, Wang Q, Sjölund RD, Schulz A, Thompson GA (2007) An early nodulin-like protein accumulates in the sieve element plasma membrane of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 143(4):1576–1589
- Li J, Yu M, Geng LL, Zhao J (2010) The fasciclin-like arabinogalactan protein gene, *FLA3*, is involved in microspore development of Arabidopsis. Plant J 64(3):482–497
- Li J, Gao G, Zhang T, Wu X (2013) The putative phytocyanin genes in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa L*.): genome-wide identification,

classification and expression analysis. Mol Genet Genom 288(1-2):1-20

- Lynch M, Conery JS (2000) The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. Science 290(5494):1151–1155
- Ma H, Jie Z (2010) Genome-wide identification, classification, and expression analysis of the arabinogalactan protein gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J Exp Bot 61(10):2647–2668
- Ma H, Zhao J (2010) Genome-wide identification, classification, and expression analysis of the arabinogalactan protein gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J Exp Bot 61(10):2647–2668
- Ma H, Zhao H, Liu Z, Zhao J (2011) The phytocyanin gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): genome-wide identification, classification and transcriptional analysis. PLoS One 6(10):e25184
- Ma Y, Yan C, Li H, Wu W, Liu Y (2017) Bioinformatics prediction and evolution analysis of arabinogalactan proteins in the plant kingdom. Front Plant Sci 8:1–17
- MacMillan CP, Mansfield SD, Stachurski ZH, Evans R, Southerton SG (2010) Fasciclin-like arabinogalactan proteins: specialization for stem biomechanics and cell wall architecture in Arabidopsis and Eucalyptus. Plant J 62(4):689–703
- Mashiguchi K, Yamaguchi I, Suzuki Y (2004) Isolation and identification of glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored arabinogalactan proteins and novel β-glucosyl Yariv-reactive proteins from seeds of rice (*Oryza sativa*). Plant Cell Physiol 45(12):1817–1829
- Mashiguchi K, Asami T, Suzuki Y (2009) Genome-wide identification, structure and expression studie, and mutant collection of 22 early nodulin-like protein genes in *Arabidopsis*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 73(11):2452–2459
- Nejad ES, Askari H, Soltani S (2012) Regulatory TGACG-motif may elicit the secondary metabolite production through inhibition of active cyclin-dependent kinase/cyclin complex. Plant Omics 5(6):553–558
- Nersissian AM, Immoos C, Hill MG, Hart PJ, Williams G, Herrmann RG, Valentine JS (1998) Uclacyanins, stellacyanins, and plantacyanins are distinct subfamilies of phytocyanins: plant-specific mononuclear blue copper proteins. Protein Sci 7(9):1915–1929
- Ozturk ZN, Talamé V, Deyholos M, Michalowski CB, Galbraith DW, Gozukirmizi N, Tuberosa R, Bohnert HJ (2002) Monitoring largescale changes in transcript abundance in drought- and salt-stressed barley. Plant Mol Biol 48(5–6):551–573
- Petersen TN, Brunak S, Von HG, Nielsen H (2011) SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods 8(10):785–786
- Poon S, Heath R, Clarke A (2013) A chimeric arabinogalactan protein promotes somatic embryogenesis in cotton cell culture. Plant Physiol 160(2):684–695
- Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ (2008) Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nat Protoc 3(6):1101–1108
- Schultz CJ, Rumsewicz MP, Johnson KL, Jones BJ, Gaspar YM, Bacic A (2002) Using genomic resources to guide research directions. The arabinogalactan protein gene family as a test case. Plant Physiol 129(4):1448–1463
- Seifert GJ, Roberts K (2007) The biology of arabinogalactan proteins. Annu Rev Plant Biol 58(1):137–161
- Sessa G, Morelli G, Ruberti I (1993) The Athb-1 and -2 HD-Zip domains homodimerize forming complexes of different DNA binding specificities. EMBO J 12(9):3507–3517

- Shen Q, Ho TH (1995) Functional dissection of an abscisic acid (ABA)-inducible gene reveals two independent ABA-responsive complexes each containing a G-box and a novel *cis*-acting element. Plant Cell 7(3):295–307
- Showalter AM, Keppler B, Lichtenberg J, Gu D, Welch LR (2010) A bioinformatics approach to the identification, classification, and analysis of hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins. Plant Physiol 153(2):485–513
- Showalter AM, Keppler B, Liu X, Lichtenberg J, Welch LR (2016) Bioinformatic identification and analysis of hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins in *Populus trichocarpa*. BMC Plant Biol 16(1):229
- Sunkar R, Zhu JK (2004) Novel and stress-regulated microRNAs and other small RNAs from Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16(8):2001–2019
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30(12):2725–2729
- Tan L, Qiu F, Lamport DTA, Kieliszewski MJ (2004) Structure of a hydroxyproline (Hyp)-arabinogalactan polysaccharide from repetitive Ala-Hyp expressed in transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum*. J Biol Chem 279(13):1315656–1315665
- Vandepoele K, Simillion C, Van de Peer Y (2003) Evidence that rice and other cereals are ancient aneuploids. Plant Cell 15(9):2192–2202
- Wang Y, Feng L, Zhu Y, Li Y, Yan H, Xiang Y (2015) Comparative genomic analysis of the WRKY III gene family in *populus*, grape, *arabidopsis* and rice. Biol Direct 10:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13062-015-0076-3
- Washida H, Wu CY, Suzuki A, Yamanouchi U, Akihama T, Harada K, Takaiwa F (1999) Identification of *cis*-regulatory elements required for endosperm expression of the rice storage protein glutelin gene *GluB-1*. Plant Mol Biol 40(1):1–12
- Wu H, Shen Y, Hu Y, Tan S, Lin Z (2011) A phytocyanin-related early nodulin-like gene, *BcBCP1*, cloned from *Boea crassifolia* enhances osmotic tolerance in transgenic tobacco. J Plant Physiol 168(9):935–943
- Wu M, Li Y, Chen D, Liu H, Zhu D, Xiang Y (2016) Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the IQD gene family in moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). Sci Rep UK 6:24520
- Xu L, Wang XJ, Wang T, Li LB (2017) Genome-wide identification, classification, and expression analysis of the phytocyanin gene family in *Phalaenopsis equestris*. Biol Plant 61(3):1–8
- Ye H, Du H, Tang N, Li X, Xiong L (2009) Identification and expression profiling analysis of TIFY family genes involved in stress and phytohormone responses in rice. Plant Mol Biol 71(3):291–305
- Yoshizaki M, Furumoto T, Hata S, Shinozaki M, Izui K (2000) Characterization of a novel gene encoding a phytocyanin-related protein in morning glory (*Pharbitis nil*). Biochem Biophys Res Commun 268(2):466–470
- Zhang J (2003) Evolution by gene duplication: an update. Trends Ecol Evol 18(6):292–298
- Zhang R, Tucker MR, Burton RA, Shirley NJ, Little A, Morris J, Milne L, Houston K, Hedley PE, Waugh R, Fincher GB (2016) The dynamics of transcript abundance during cellularisation of developing barley endosperm. Plant Physiol 170(3):1549–1565