ION CHANNELS, RECEPTORS AND TRANSPORTERS

# STIM1 and Orai1 mediate CRAC channel activity and are essential for human glioblastoma invasion

Rajender K. Motiani · María C. Hyzinski-García · Xuexin Zhang · Matthew M. Henkel · Iskandar F. Abdullaev • Yu-Hung Kuo • Khalid Matrougui · Alexander A. Mongin · Mohamed Trebak

Received: 23 January 2013 /Revised: 19 February 2013 /Accepted: 20 February 2013 / Published online: 21 March 2013  $\odot$  Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Abstract The  $Ca^{2+}$  sensor stromal interacting molecule 1 (STIM1) and the  $Ca^{2+}$  channel Orai1 mediate the ubiquitous store-operated  $Ca^{2+}$  entry (SOCE) pathway activated by depletion of internal  $Ca^{2+}$  stores and mediated through the highly  $Ca^{2+}$ -selective,  $Ca^{2+}$  release-activated  $Ca^{2+}$  (CRAC) current. Furthermore, STIM1 and Orai1, along with Orai3, encode store-independent  $Ca^{2+}$  currents regulated by either arachidonate or its metabolite, leukotriene C4. Orai channels are emerging as important contributors to numerous cell functions, including proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis. Recent studies suggest critical involvement of STIM/Orai proteins in controlling the development of several cancers, including malignancies of the breast, prostate, and cervix. Here, we

mary astrocytes (HPA) and in primary human cell lines established from surgical samples of the brain tumor glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Using  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging, patch-clamp electrophysiology, pharmacological reagents, and gene silencing, we established that in GBM cells, SOCE and CRAC are mediated by STIM1 and Orai1. We further found that GBM cells show upregulation of SOCE and increased Orai1 levels compared to HPA. The functional significance of SOCE was evaluated by studying the effects of STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown on cell proliferation and invasion. Utilizing Matrigel assays, we demonstrated that in GBM, but not in HPA, downregulation of STIM1

quantitatively compared the magnitude of SOCE and the expression levels of STIM1 and Orai1 in non-malignant human pri-

Alexander A. Mongin and Mohamed Trebak share the senior authorship.

R. K. Motiani  $\cdot$  X. Zhang  $\cdot$  M. M. Henkel  $\cdot$  M. Trebak ( $\boxtimes$ ) The College of Nanoscale Science and Engineering (CNSE), University at Albany, State University of New York, 257 Fuller Rd., Albany, NY 12203, USA e-mail: mtrebak@albany.edu

R. K. Motiani : X. Zhang : M. M. Henkel : M. Trebak The Center for Cardiovascular Sciences, Albany Medical College, Albany, NY 12208, USA

M. C. Hyzinski-García · I. F. Abdullaev · A. A. Mongin (⊠) The Center for Neuropharmacology and Neuroscience, Albany Medical College, 47 New Scotland Ave. (MC-136), Albany, NY 12208, USA e-mail: MonginA@mail.amc.edu

Y.-H. Kuo

Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Albany Medical College, Albany, NY 12208, USA e-mail: yuhk34@hotmail.com

K. Matrougui Department of Physiology, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA 23501, USA

R. K. Motiani UNESCO-Regional Center for Biotechnology, New Delhi, India

Present Address: Y.-H. Kuo Department of Neurosurgery, Stony Brook University Medical Center, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

<span id="page-1-0"></span>and Orai1 caused a dramatic decrease in cell invasion. In contrast, the effects of STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown on GBM cell proliferation were marginal. Overall, these results demonstrate that STIM1 and Orai1 encode SOCE and CRAC currents and control invasion of GBM cells. Our work further supports the potential use of channels contributed by Orai isoforms as therapeutic targets in cancer.

Keywords Calcium signaling · STIM1 · Orai1 · Store operated calcium entry . Glioblastoma multiforme . Cancer invasion

## Introduction

Gliomas, and particularly their most aggressive form glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), represent the vast majority of primary brain tumors [[4,](#page-10-0) [35\]](#page-11-0). Among the primary brain malignancies, GBM is characterized by extremely poor prognosis and high resistance to all forms of treatments, including combination of surgical resection, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy. The median survival rates for GBM patients seldom reach 1 year and have not shown substantial improvement over the past few decades [[3,](#page-10-0) [35\]](#page-11-0). The aggressiveness of GBM is determined by numerous factors, including high genetic diversity, enhanced proliferative and invasive potential, and their ability to develop resistance to presently available drugs [\[4](#page-10-0), [14](#page-10-0), [35](#page-11-0)]. The current absence of effective therapies creates a pressing need for increased understanding of GBM biology and characterizations of novel signaling pathways that control GBM proliferation and invasion.

 $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  signaling controls a wide variety of cell functions, and  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  channels have emerged recently as important players in cancer progression [[22,](#page-10-0) [32](#page-11-0)]. Store-operated  $Ca^{2+}$  entry (SOCE) is the  $Ca^{2+}$  entry route into nonexcitable cells that is activated upon depletion of internal  $Ca^{2+}$  stores [[26,](#page-10-0) [28](#page-10-0), [33,](#page-11-0) [34\]](#page-11-0). Under physiological conditions, agonists binding to various growth factor receptors, including G-protein-coupled receptors and receptor tyrosine kinases, trigger activation of phospholipase C enzymes and lead to the hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate  $(PIP<sub>2</sub>)$  into diacylglycerol and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate  $(\text{IP}_3)$  [\[5](#page-10-0), [40\]](#page-11-0). IP<sub>3</sub> causes  $Ca^{2+}$  release from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and subsequent store depletion. Store depletion is then sensed by stromal interacting molecule 1 (STIM1), which aggregates and moves into discrete areas of junctional ER–plasma membrane to interact directly with Orai1 protein [\[16](#page-10-0), [29\]](#page-10-0). Orai1 is the pore forming unit of the SOCE channel that mediates a highly  $Ca^{2+}$ -selective  $Ca^{2+}$  release-activated  $Ca^{2+}$  (CRAC) current [\[9](#page-10-0), [17](#page-10-0), [25\]](#page-10-0). In mammalian cells, Orai1, in combination with Orai3, also encodes store-independent  $Ca^{2+}$ -selective channels that are regulated by STIM1 and gated by either arachidonic acid or its metabolite, leukotriene  $C_4$  [\[15,](#page-10-0) [20,](#page-10-0) [21\]](#page-10-0).

The STIM/Orai signaling has been recently implicated in migration and cell cycle progression of a number of human cancers, including malignancies of the breast, prostate, and cervix [[8](#page-10-0), [10](#page-10-0)–[13,](#page-10-0) [19,](#page-10-0) [23,](#page-10-0) [24,](#page-10-0) [41\]](#page-11-0). Furthermore, one recent study suggested that  $Ca^{2+}$  entry through Orai1 channels is important for proliferation and survival of the rat glioblastoma cell line C6 [[18](#page-10-0)]. In the present study, we focused on the role of STIM1/Orai1 in SOCE and established two primary glioblastoma cell lines derived from surgical samples of GBM (GBM1 and GBM8). We compared them to cultured human primary astrocytes in terms of STIM1/Orai1 expression, SOCE magnitude, and pharmacology. We showed that GBM1, GBM8, the commercially available and widely used human glioblastoma cell line U251, and human primary astrocytes (HPA) display SOCE, upon passive store depletion with thapsigargin, with identical pharmacological profile, namely inhibition with 5 μM  $Gd^{3+}$  and 30 μM 2-aminoethoxyphenyl-borane (2-APB). The magnitude of SOCE was twofold higher in GBM1, GBM8, and U251 compared with HPA. siRNA knockdown showed that SOCE in GBM1 cells is encoded by STIM1 and Orai1. Whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology showed that upon passive store depletion with 20 mM of the chelator BAPTA, GBM1 cells activate a highly  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -selective CRAC current which was dependent on STIM1 and Orai1 expression. Finally, using matrigel invasion assay, we showed that STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown caused a significant decrease in GBM1 and GBM8 cell invasion with no effect on HPA. However, the effects of STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown on GBM1 and GBM8 proliferation were rather modest; no significant effect on GBM cell proliferation was detected with STIM1 knockdown while Orai1 knockdown caused a small but statistically significant inhibition (by ∼20 %) of GBM1 and GBM8 cell proliferation.

#### Materials and methods

# Reagents

Thapsigargin and 2-aminoethyl diphenylborinate (2-APB) were purchased from Calbiochem, Fura-2AM was from Molecular Probes, Cs-BAPTA was from Life Technologies/Invitrogen, and  $GdCl<sub>3</sub>$  was from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Na-Methanesulfonate and Cs-methanesulfonate were obtained from Sigma. Gene-specific STIM1 and Orai1 siRNAs were acquired from Thermo Fisher Scientific/Dharmacon and additionally validated in our previous work (see [\[23,](#page-10-0) [24\]](#page-10-0) for sequences and specificity controls). All gene-specific primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (see [\[23,](#page-10-0) [24\]](#page-10-0) for primer sequences). Anti-GFAP monoclonal antibody (glial fibrillary acidic protein; G3893) was from Sigma. Matrigel Boyden chambers were obtained from BD Biosciences. All other chemicals and reagents, unless otherwise specified, were from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

#### Cell cultures

Human glioblastoma cells U251-MG (U251; gift of Dr. M.G. Kaplitt, Weill-Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY) and primary human astrocytes (ScienCell Research Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA) were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS), 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were grown at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>/95$  % air. The cell culture medium was replaced twice a week, and cells were passaged as necessary using recombinant protease TrypLE Express. All culture reagents are from Life Technologies/Invitrogen.

Primary glioblastoma cells (GBM1 and GBM8) were prepared from surgical samples of pathologically confirmed glioblastoma multiforme as previously described [\[2](#page-10-0)]. Tissue was obtained with written consent directly from the surgical suite under a protocol approved by the Albany Medical Center Institutional Review Board. Dissected samples of tumor tissue (∼300 mg) were thoroughly washed with icecold  $Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}$ -free phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) and minced to small pieces. Tissue fragments were digested in the solution of 0.125 % trypsin/0.015 % EDTA in PBS containing 250 μg/ml DNAse I and gently triturated using a fire-polished glass Pasteur pipette. The resulting cell suspension was filtered through a nylon cell strainer (70 μm, BD Biosciences). Cells were initially grown in T75 cell culture flasks (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland) in DMEM plus 20 % FBS supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 95 % air and 5 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . After two to three passages, the FBS content was reduced to 10 %, and penicillin and streptomycin concentrations were reduced to those described above. The astroglial origin and homogeneity of the resulting cultures were confirmed by immunocytochemical staining for glial fibrillary acidic protein (anti-GFAP monoclonal antibody, G3893, Sigma).

#### siRNA transfections

GBM1, GBM8, U251, and HPA cells were plated at ∼60 % confluence on 60-mm dishes (TPP) for mRNA expression analysis and Western blotting, or at ∼20 % density in poly-Dlysine-coated 12-well plates (BD Biosciences) for proliferation assays. Sub-confluent cells were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions, using STIM1 (siSTIM1), Orai1 (siOrai1), or non-targeting (siControl) siRNA constructs. In the majority of experiments, transfection was done together with pmaxGFP expressing plasmid (Lonza). Co-transfection with pmaxGFP was utilized to assess transfection efficacy and select cells for electrophysiological experiments. After transfection, cells were grown under standard culturing conditions for 72 h

before mRNA expression assays, or for 96 h for Western blot analysis of protein expression and all functional assays.

#### STIM1 and Orai1 mRNA expression

Expression of genes of interest was determined using quantitative RT-PCR. mRNA samples were isolated from cultured cells using the RNAqueous-4PCR kit (Applied Biosystems/Ambion) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Concentrations of mRNAwere quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA samples were prepared using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (BioRad) following the manufacturer's instructions. The RT reaction ratio was 1 μg of mRNA per 20 μl of reaction mix. One microliter of resulting cDNA was utilized for qPCR. Gene expression was quantified by using the CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad) and iQ SYBR Green Supermix kit (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Two housekeeping genes, RPL13A and RPS20, were used as internal reference. Validated primers for reference genes were obtained from Qiagen; their sequences were proprietary.

# Western blotting

Transfected cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris– HCl, pH 8; 150 mM NaCl; 1 % Triton X-100; 0.5 % sodium deoxycholate; 0.1 % SDS; and 0.2 mM EDTA). Protein samples (50–100 μg of protein per lane) were subjected to SDS-PAGE (7.5–10 %) and then electrotransferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. After blocking with 5 % nonfat dry milk (NFDM) dissolved in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1 % Tween 20 (TTBS) for 2 h at room temperature, blots were washed three times with TTBS and incubated overnight at 4 °C, with specific primary antibodies in TTBS containing 2 % NFDM. The primary antibodies used were anti-hOrai1 (1:1,000; Alomone Labs, Jerusalem, Israel), antihSTIM1 (1:250; BD Biosciences), and anti-β-actin-NT domain (1:2,000; Sigma). Next day, membranes were washed three times with TTBS and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antimouse (1:20,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) or anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) in TTBS containing 2 % NFDM. Detection was performed using the enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and a bioluminescent image analyzer LAS-4000 (FujiFilm Medical Systems, Stamford, CT, USA).

# $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  measurements

 $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  measurements were performed as described previously [\[23](#page-10-0), [24\]](#page-10-0). Briefly, coverslips with cells plated at medium density were mounted in a Teflon chamber and incubated at 37 °C for 40–60 min in culture media containing 4 μM Fura-2/AM for all cell lines. Cells were then washed three times with solution containing (in millimolar) 140 NaCl,  $1.13 \text{ MgCl}_2$ ,  $4.7 \text{ KCl}$ ,  $2 \text{ CaCl}_2$ ,  $10 \text{ D-glucose}$ , and  $10 \text{ HEPES}$ (pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH). After washing, coverslips were incubated in the same medium for at least 10 min before  $[Ca^{2+}]$  measurements were initiated. For  $[Ca^{2+}]$  assays, fluorescence images of several cells were recorded and analyzed with a digital fluorescence imaging system InCyt Im2 (Intracellular Imaging Inc., Cincinnati, OH). Fura-2 was excited alternatively at 340 and 380 nm, and fluorescence was captured at an emission wavelength of 510 nm. The 340/380 ratio images were obtained on a pixel-by-pixel basis. All experiments were conducted at room temperature. All figures depicting  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging traces are an average from several cells from one coverslip and are representative of several recordings (see figures for exact number of cells and independent recordings) performed on independently transfected cell batches.

#### Whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology

To measure CRAC currents, we carried out patch-clamp recordings in the whole-cell configuration using an Axopatch setup (Digidata 1440A and Axopatch 200B, Molecular Devices) as previously described[\[23](#page-10-0), [24](#page-10-0), [38](#page-11-0), [42](#page-11-0)]. Data analysis was performed using Clampfit 10.1 software. Pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments) using a P-97 micropipette puller (Sutter Instruments). They were further polished with a DMF1000 microforge (World Precision Instruments) to a resistance of 2.5–4.0 M $\Omega$  when filled with pipette solution of the following composition (in millimolar):105 Csmethanesulfonate, 20 Cs-1,2-bis-(2-aminophenoxy)ethane- $N, N, N', N'$ -tetraacetic acid (Cs-BAPTA), 8 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 10 HEPES (pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH). Increased concentration of  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  (8 mM) in the pipette solution was utilized to inhibit TRPM7 currents [\[31](#page-11-0)]. Before performing the recordings, cells were washed with bath solution containing (in millimolar): 105 Na-methanesulfonate, 10 CsCl, 1.2  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ , 20 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 glucose, and 10 HEPES (pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH). Only cells with tight seals (>16 G $\Omega$ ) were selected to break in. Cells were maintained at a 0-mV holding potential and subjected to voltage ramps from −140 to  $+100$  mV, lasting 250 ms and applied every 2 s. To amplify  $Ca^{2+}$  channel currents during experiments, the bath solution was switched to divalent-free (DVF) solution containing (in millimolar): 135 Na-methanesulfonate, 10 HEDTA, 1 EDTA, and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH) was used as previously published [\[38](#page-11-0)]. All currents were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at a rate of 10 kHz. All experiments were performed at room temperature  $(20-25 \text{ °C})$ .

#### Proliferation assays

Cell proliferation was quantified using a colorimetric MTT proliferation assay. HPA, GBM1, and GBM8 cells were plated in 12-well plates at the density of 40,000, 30,000, and 40,000 cells per well, respectively. Cells were transfected as described above 24 h later and were grown additionally for 96 h after transfection. For MTT assays, cells were briefly washed with basal solution containing (in millimolar): 135 NaCl, 3.8 KCl, 1.2 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.3 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.2 KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose (pH=7.4, adjusted with NaOH). Five hundred microliters of basal solution containing 0.5 mg/ml thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Sigma) was then added to each well. After 1-h incubation at 37 °C, MTT solution was removed, and cells were solubilized in acidified isopropanol (Sigma) to dissolve newly formed formazan particles. Two hundred-microliter aliquots from each well were then transferred into a 96 well plate. Absorbances at 562 nm were measured using an ELx800 absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments) and compared to absorbance in wells transfected with control siRNA constructs.

#### Matrigel invasion assays

Invasion assays were performed using Matrigel-coated invasion chambers (BD Biosciences) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. For these experiments, cells were transfected with either siControl, siOrai1, or siSTIM1. Seventy-two hours post-transfection, they were plated in the upper chamber of rehydrated Matrigel inserts, serumfree media at density of  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/chamber for GBM cells, and  $6 \times 10^5$  cells/chamber for HPA. Cells were allowed to invade the Matrigel matrix overnight towards the bottom chamber containing complete culture media with 10 % FBS. On the next day, the bottom part of the insert was fixed with paraformaldehyde and stained with DAPI (Vectashield) to visualize the nuclei of invaded cells. Ten random pictures of each insert were taken using a Leica DM IRB microscope at ×20 magnification. The number of invaded cells was counted manually. All invasion assays were performed in triplicates and in at least three independent transfections.

#### Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean values  $\pm$  SE. Statistical analysis was done using paired  $t$  test with Origin 8.1 software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA), except when described otherwise. Differences were considered significant when  $P$  values were <0.05. The  $P$  values of  $< 0.05$ ,  $< 0.01$ , and  $< 0.001$  are indicated as \*, \*\*, and \*\*\*, respectively.

#### Results

# SOCE in non-malignant HPA and GBM cells

Primary glioblastoma cells (GBM1 and GBM8) were established from surgical samples of pathologically confirmed glioblastoma multiforme patients under an approved protocol as previously described [[2\]](#page-10-0). Figure 1 shows immunostaining of HPA, GBM1, and GBM8 cells with an antibody recognizing the astroglial cell marker, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; green), thus confirming the astroglial nature of these cells. These images are representative of typical immunostainings from all primary human astroglial cell cultures used in our lab. Please note that despite substantial morphological difference between HPA, GBM1, and GBM8 (Fig. 1), in all cases, >95 % of all cells were GFAP positive.

To uncover and characterize SOCE in GBM cells, we performed Fura2  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging assays in cells treated with the sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum  $Ca^{2+}$  ATPase inhibitor thapsigargin (2 μM). This standard pharmacological maneuver is used to deplete the internal  $Ca^{2+}$  stores and activate SOCE. We used the classical SOCE protocol to separate the  $Ca^{2+}$  release from intracellular stores from  $Ca^{2+}$  entry through plasmalemmal channels [[6,](#page-10-0) [7](#page-10-0), [27](#page-10-0), [38](#page-11-0)]. Intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  release was assessed upon addition of thapsigargin in nominally  $Ca^{2+}$ -free solution.  $Ca^{2+}$  entry was measured upon restoration of 2 mM  $Ca^{2+}$  to the bath solution after depletion of the intracellular stores. In experiments presented in Fig. [2,](#page-5-0) we compared SOCE characteristics in non-malignant HPA to those in human primary glioblastoma multiforme cells (GBM1 and GBM8) and in the well-established GBM cell line U251. In all three GBM cell lines as well as in HPA, the SOCE displayed the typical pharmacological properties of SOCE reported for many other cell types, namely blockade by low concentration of lanthanides (5  $\mu$ M Gd<sup>3+</sup>) and 30  $\mu$ M [2](#page-5-0)-APB (Fig. 2). These characteristics are consistent with the idea that the SOCE in GBM cells and HPA is encoded by STIM1 and Orai1. Interestingly, the magnitude of SOCE in all three GBM cell

lines was significantly larger than in HPA (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). Statistical analysis on a large number of independent measurements including a total of 100–300 cells per condition showed that the magnitude of SOCE in GBM cells was twice that of HPA (Fig. [3a\)](#page-6-0); no statistically significant difference was noted between different cell lines regarding the  $Ca^{2+}$  release phase.

In order to understand if increased SOCE in malignant GBM cells is related to increased expression of STIM1 and Orai1, we performed quantitative PCR assays using the reverse-transcribed mRNA samples, which were prepared from HPA, GBM1, GBM8, and U251 cells. As seen in Fig. [3b,](#page-6-0) GBM1 and GBM8 cells had increased expression of Orai1 mRNA compared to HPA. Furthermore, GBM8 cells showed increased expression of STIM1. We found no statistically significant changes in expression of either Orai1 or STIM1 in U251 cells.

#### SOCE in GBM cells is encoded by STIM1 and Orai1

We showed above that all GBM cell lines display the same pharmacological profile suggesting that SOCE in all cell lines is likely encoded by STIM1 and Orai1. To determine the molecular players of SOCE in GBM cells, we chose to undertake protein knockdown of STIM1 and Orai1 using siRNA silencing approach. We selected one cell line, GBM1, to initially test the efficacy of siRNA constructs in primary GBM cells. Our previous studies validated the selectivity and potency of these siRNA in other human cell lines, such as human breast cancer cells and human endothelial cells [[1,](#page-10-0) [23,](#page-10-0) [24\]](#page-10-0). The efficacy of STIM1 and Orai1 downregulation was documented at the mRNA levels (using qPCR at 72 h post-transfection) as well as protein levels (using Western blotting at 96 h posttransfection). As seen in Fig. [4a and c](#page-6-0), STIM1 siRNA caused ∼65 % downregulation in mRNA and corresponding ∼65 % decrease in STIM1 protein. Transfection with Orai1 siRNA (Fig. [4b, d\)](#page-6-0) downregulated Orai1 mRNA expression by ∼90 % and protein levels by ∼75 %.



Fig. 1 Characterization of astroglial origin of HPA and GBM cells. Immunostaining of primary human astrocytes (HPA) and primary glioblastoma cell lines (GBM1 and GBM8) derived from surgical samples of glioblastoma multiforme. Cells were fixed and stained with the

antibody recognizing the astroglial cell marker, GFAP (green) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). All images were captured using a Zeiss LSM510META-NLO confocal microscope at the same magnification. In all cultures >95 % of cells were GFAP positive

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Pharmacological characterization of SOCE in human primary astrocytes and glioblastoma cells. Standard "Ca<sup>2+</sup>-off/Ca<sup>2+</sup>-on" protocol was employed using thapsigargin to measure SOCE in HPA (a and b), and primary glioblastomas GBM1 and GBM8 (c–f), and established glioblastoma cell line U251 (g, h). 5  $\mu$ M Gd<sup>3+</sup> or 30  $\mu$ M 2-APB was used to block SOCE. Both  $Gd^{3+}$  and 2-APB were able to completely

The same constructs were used then to test their effects on SOCE. STIM1 siRNA knockdown led to a significant 46 % decrease in SOCE (Fig. [5a, c](#page-7-0)) while siRNA targeting Orai1 significantly reduced SOCE by 66 % (Fig. [5b, d\)](#page-7-0).

Store depletion-activated CRAC currents in GBM cells also depend on STIM1 and Orai1

To provide the final proof that SOCE pathway in GBM cells requires STIM1 and Orai1, we performed whole-cell patchclamp recordings of CRAC currents in GBM1 cells transfected with either STIM1 or Orai1 siRNA. To isolate CRAC, the recordings were done with a pipette solution containing 20 mM of the  $Ca^{2+}$  chelator BAPTA to deplete the internal  $Ca^{2+}$  stores and a bath solution containing 20 mM  $Ca^{2+}$  to maximize inward  $Ca^{2+}$  currents. As seen in Fig. [6a,](#page-7-0) in whole-cell mode, BAPTA dialysis produced a small, slowly developing inward current that was fully activated within 3–4 min. This current was potently amplified by replacing the  $Ca^{2+}$ -containing bath solution with a DVF solution (Fig. [6a](#page-7-0)). In DVF solutions,  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  ions become the charge carrier. As shown in the same panel (Fig. [6a\)](#page-7-0), during the second and the third DVF application, this amplified  $Na<sup>+</sup>$  current immediately and rapidly depotentiated within the short time window of DVF application. Such current behavior is highly consistent with the signature CRAC properties [[31\]](#page-11-0). The first DVF switch that was performed



abrogate SOCE in all cell types suggesting that all these cells mediate SOCE via a molecularly similar pathway. Representative traces representing the average of several cells assayed simultaneously from the same coverslip  $(n)$  are shown in the figure. Each trace is representative of at least three independent coverslips

right after whole-cell break-in, at the time when CRAC development was negligible, was used to gauge background currents before store depletion (Fig. [6a,](#page-7-0) note the absence of depotentiation). In Fig. [6d,](#page-7-0) we show the current/voltage  $(I/V)$  relationship of currents recorded in DVF bath solutions taken where indicated by the asterisk in Fig. [6a,](#page-7-0) after subtraction of background currents. The resulting currents show all typical properties of classical CRAC, namely inward rectification and positive reversal potential (∼+60 mV) [\[17\]](#page-10-0). Most importantly, knockdown of either STIM1 or Orai1 in GBM1 cells led to a dramatic inhibition of CRAC currents measured in either  $Ca^{2+}$ -containing or DVF solutions (Fig. [6b](#page-7-0)–e). Figure [6e](#page-7-0) presents statistical comparison of CRAC current amplitudes recorded from five to six cells per group.

Functional effects of STIM1 and Orai1 gene silencing on GBM proliferation and invasiveness

After validation of siRNA efficacy and determination that SOCE and CRAC in GBM cells are mediated by STIM1 and Orai1, we sought to determine the functional significance of these two proteins in non-malignant and malignant glial cells. We focused on two important aspects of cell physiology that have a direct impact on malignancy of transformed cells, namely rate of proliferation and invasiveness.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 3 Statistical analysis of SOCE and mRNA expression of STIM1 and Orai1. a The amplitude of SOCE in all four cell lines (HPA, GBM1, GBM8, and U251) was calculated from all the experiments performed and was statistically analyzed. The number of independent experiments performed and total number of cells analyzed for each cell line are shown in the *bar graph* as  $n=x$ , y, where x=number of independent experiments and  $y$ =total number of cells analyzed. **b** qPCR on reverse-transcribed STIM1 and Orai1 mRNA isolated from all four cell lines and normalized to expression in HPA

We started with comparing rates of cell proliferation in primary GBM1 and GBM8 cells after STIM1 or Orai1 silencing, using commonly utilized MTT assay. Transfection with siRNA against either STIM1 or Orai1 produced modest effect on GBM1 and GBM8 cell proliferation as compared to the same cells transfected with non-targeting control siRNA, with the effects of siOrai1 being the only statistically significant (∼20 %; Fig. [7](#page-8-0)). Unlike rapidly proliferating GBM1 and GBM8, which over 4 days increased MTT signal >4-fold, transfected human primary astrocytes proliferated very slowly and produced marginal increase in the MTT signal over the same time frame (tested in three cultures; data not shown). This slow growth and the resulting insufficient sensitivity in MTT assays did not allow us to determine the effects, if any, of STIM1 and Orai1 gene silencing on HPA proliferation.



Fig. 4 STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown in GBM1 cells. a, b qPCR on GBM1 cells transfected with siRNA against either STIM1 or Orai1 showing significant decrease in STIM1 and Orai1 expression. c, d Western blot analysis showing that siRNA targeting STIM1 and Orai1 were able to significantly knockdown their respective targets. Data representative of three independent experiments

In a manner similar to our previous studies in breast cancer [[24\]](#page-10-0), we next used Matrigel invasion assays with serum as a chemo-attractant to explore the role of STIM1 and Orai1 in GBM invasion. HPA were again used as a nonmalignant control. As shown in Fig. [8a](#page-9-0)–c, silencing of either STIM1 or Orai1 potently reduced invasion of GBM1 and GBM8 cells (in the range of 55–65  $\%$ ,  $P<0.01$ ). Interestingly, STIM1 and Orai1 siRNA had no effects on Matrigel invasion of HPA. This was not due to the inability of HPA to invade the Matrigel matrix. These cells showed some level of invasion, which was significantly less than that of GBM1 and GBM8 cells, but was not affected by either STIM1 or Orai1 gene silencing (Fig. [8a, d\)](#page-9-0).

## Discussion

There are two major findings from this work: (1) identification of STIM1 and Orai1 as essential components of SOCE and CRAC in two primary GBM cell lines established from surgical samples from human patients and (2) discovery of the critical role for STIM1 and Orai1 in regulation of GBM cell invasiveness, but a modest effect on cell proliferation. These conclusions are supported by gene silencing experiments performed with siRNA constructs thoroughly validated in our previous studies [\[1](#page-10-0), [23,](#page-10-0) [24](#page-10-0)]. They are corroborated by independent measurements of SOCE using  $Ca^{2+}$  imaging and CRAC current recordings using whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 5 STIM1 and Orai1 mediate SOCE in GBM1 cells. Representative traces from GBM1 cells transfected with either siSTIM1 (a) or siOrai1  $\triangle$ F340/380 (b) and depicting abrogation of SOCE upon STIM1 or Orai1 knockdown compared to cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siControl). c, d,  $1.5$ Statistical analysis on SOCE from eight independent experiments originating from at least two separate transfections is shown. Number of independent experiments performed and total number of cells analyzed for each condition are also depicted in the *bar graph* as  $n=x$ , y, where F340/380  $x$ =number of independent experiments and  $y$ =total number of cells analyzed  $1.5$ A siControl 20 mM BAPTA (in pipette)  $=$  DVF  $\equiv$  $\equiv$ 

 $\star$ 

 $-100$ 



Fig. 6 STIM1 and Orai1 mediate CRAC currents in GBM1 cells. CRAC currents activated by BAPTA dialysis through the patch pipette are abolished by STIM1 and Orai1 specific knockdown compared to control siRNA ( $a$ –c). a Whole-cell CRAC currents measured in C $a$ <sup>2+</sup>-containing (20 mM) bath solutions (at −100 mV) and Na+ CRAC currents recorded in divalent-free (DVF) external solutions were activated by dialysis of 20 mM BAPTA through the patch pipette in cells transfected with control non-targeting siRNA (siControl). Knockdown of either STIM1 (b) or

Orai1 (c) dramatically inhibited both  $Ca^{2+}$  and Na<sup>+</sup> CRAC currents activated by BAPTA as compared to control (sicontrol 1.395±0.236 vs  $0.360\pm0.105$  siSTIM1 vs  $0.380\pm0.039$  siOrai1). *I/V* relationships of Na<sup>+</sup> CRAC from GBM1 cells transfected with either siControl, siSTIM1, or siOrai1were taken where indicated by the *asterisks* indicated by various shades of gray and are shown in  $d$ . Statistical analyses on Na<sup>+</sup> CRAC currents taken at −100 mV from several independent experiments  $(n$  number is provided in the figure) are shown in  $e$ 

D

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 7 STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown marginally affected on GBM1 and GBM8 cell proliferation. GBM cells were transfected with siRNA against either STIM1 (siSTIM1), Orai1 (siOrai1), or non-targeting siRNA control (siControl). MTT assays were performed as described in the "[Materials and methods](#page-1-0)" section, and results are shown for GBM1 (a) and GBM8 (b) cells. STIM1 knockdown has no effect on GBM cell proliferation while Orai1 knockdown caused a small but significant inhibition of proliferation (∼20 %). Data are representative of four independent experiments

Abrogation by low concentrations of lanthanides (e.g., 5 μM  $Gd^{3+}$ ) and 30–50 μM 2-APB are characteristics of STIM1/Orai1-mediated SOCE. SOCE in GBM cells shows typical pharmacological features of STIM1/Orai1-mediated  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  entry identified in numerous non-malignant cell types, including leukocytes, endothelial cells, and smooth muscle cells [\[1](#page-10-0), [27,](#page-10-0) [30\]](#page-10-0), as well as certain malignant cells, such as breast cancer cells [[23\]](#page-10-0). Specifically, primary GBM cells activate highly  $Ca^{2+}$ -selective CRAC currents in response to store depletion, with classical CRAC biophysical properties. Consistent with the idea of STIM1 and Orai1 involvement in SOCE and CRAC, we found STIM1 and Orai1 mRNA and proteins to be expressed in GBM cells. The established and frequently utilized U251 human glioblastoma cells and primary human astrocytes also showed SOCE activity in response to thapsigargin with an identical pharmacological profile to GBM1 and GBM8 cells. Furthermore, siRNA gene silencing of STIM1 and Orai1 in primary GBM1 cells unequivocally demonstrated indispensable role of both proteins in SOCE and CRAC currents.

Interestingly, quantitative comparison of SOCE in GBM cells demonstrated approximately twofold upregulation of SOCE in all malignant cell lines utilized in this study, as compared to non-malignant HPA. These results are consistent with the upregulation of SOCE components in tumor tissues. Indeed, Scrideli et al. recently performed a gene expression profile analysis on primary glioblastomas and identified a number of potential target genes that were significantly overexpressed in GBM, including STIM1 [\[36](#page-11-0)]. Previous work by Suyama et al. associated STIM1 expression with metastatic melanomas [\[39](#page-11-0)]. Our own qPCR assays in primary GBM cell lines showed statistically significant upregulation of Orai1 transcripts and, in one case, STIM1. However, upregulation of SOCE did not necessarily mirror upregulation of STIM1 and Orai1 mRNA. For instance, U251 cells demonstrated statistically significant increase in SOCE with no matching increases in expression of either STIM1 or Orai1 transcripts. These data may point to a more complex regulation of SOCE in malignant cells than merely changes in the mRNA expression level; Orai1 protein levels might be upregulated in U251 cells despite unchanged mRNA levels.

The critical question, of course, is whether STIM1/Orai1 contributes to the cancerous properties of GBM cells. Emerging literature points to a major role of STIM1/Orai1 in tumorigenesis, at least in certain tumor types. Thus, Yang et al. discovered critical involvement of STIM1 and Orai1 in invasiveness and metastasis of breast cancers [\[41](#page-11-0)], while Chen et al. obtained qualitatively similar results regarding STIM1 and Orai1 in cervical cancer growth, migration, and angiogenesis [[8\]](#page-10-0). In our own recent work, we found that Orai3, another member of the Orai family that is exclusively expressed in mammals, is a unique component of SOCE pathway in the estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ -positive (ER $\alpha^+$ ) breast cancer cells and plays a critical role in  $ER\alpha^+$  tumor progression both in vitro and in vivo [\[23](#page-10-0), [24](#page-10-0)]. Downregulation of Orai3 potently reduced  $ER\alpha^+$  breast cancer cell proliferation and invasiveness, as determined by assays similar to those utilized in this study. However, it remains to be determined whether the effects observed on GBM invasion with STIM1 and Orai1 knockdown are due to SOCE or to storeindependent pathways [[37\]](#page-11-0) (or other alternative pathways) encoded or regulated by STIM and Orai proteins. Further studies are needed to determine the role of Orai2 and Orai3

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 8 STIM1 and Orai1 regulate invasion of GBM1 and GBM8 cells but not HPA. Knockdown of either STIM1 or Orai1 significantly decreased invasive capabilities of GBM1 and GBM8 cells in response to serum (a–c), while no effect was observed for HPA (a, d). Cells were seeded in the top chamber in absence of serum and were allowed to invade overnight towards the bottom chamber containing 10 % FBS

(see "[Materials and methods](#page-1-0)"). On the next day, cells that were able to invade were fixed, stained with DAPI, and manually counted to assess invasion. The statistical analyses of approximately 100 fields originating from three independent transfections analyzed in triplicates are also shown (b–d)

in native  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling pathways in GBM cells and the potential involvement of Orai2 and Orai3 in GBM development. Our results not only suggest the important role of STIM1 and Orai1 in tumor progression, but also indicate potential genetic diversity of STIM1/Orai1-mediated pathways between different tissues. Our present findings highlight the involvement of STIM1 and Orai1 in GBM cell invasion. Knockdown of either gene transcript potently suppressed invasion of primary GBM1 and GBM8 cells in vitro, in Matrigel invasion assays. In contrast, STIM1 or Orai1 silencing had no effect on invasion properties of nonmalignant HPA cells.

In contrast to invasion, STIM1 knockdown had no significant effect on GBM cell proliferation, while Orai1 knockdown produced a marginal albeit statistically significant inhibition of cell growth. The limited dependence of GBM cell proliferation on STIM1 and Orai1 expression (∼20 % decrease in cell proliferation upon Orai1 knockdown) suggests a minimal role for SOCE in this process. Nonetheless, this small effect of Orai1 knockdown might contribute to the overall tumor progression in vivo. A recent study using a rat glioblastoma C6 cell line found that Orai1-mediated SOCE is important for GBM cell proliferation and survival [[18](#page-10-0)]. The same authors reported that Orai1 knockdown in rat C6 cells was more efficient at inhibiting cell proliferation than STIM1 knockdown [\[18\]](#page-10-0). In rat C6 cells, suppression of proliferation was substantially stronger than that observed by us in human primary GBM cells. Such differences may reflect a different contribution of SOCE to proliferation between rat and human cells, or differences between primary tumor cells and immortalized cell lines.

In conclusion, high dependence of GBM cell invasion on expression of STIM1 and Orai1 suggests the potential use these genes as a prospective drug target for new therapies. Future studies are needed to unravel additional native channels contributed by STIM/Orai proteins in GBM cells, the precise mechanisms of their activation, and the downstream signaling pathways linking these  $Ca^{2+}$  entry routes to migratory responses of GBM cells.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Acknowledgments This work was supported by NIH grant R01 HL097111 (to MT), AMC translational grant 206-465247 (to AAM and YHK), and in part by NIH grants R01 NS061953 (to AAM) and R01 HL095566 (to KM). The authors are grateful to Dr. M.G. Kaplitt for the gift of U251-MG cell line.

#### References

- 1. Abdullaev IF, Bisaillon JM, Potier M, Gonzalez JC, Motiani RK, Trebak M (2008) Stim1 and Orai1 mediate CRAC currents and store-operated calcium entry important for endothelial cell proliferation. Circ Res 103(11):1289–1299. doi[:10.1161/01.RES.0000338496.95579.56](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000338496.95579.56)
- 2. Abdullaev IF, Rudkouskaya A, Mongin AA, Kuo YH (2010) Calcium-activated potassium channels BK and IK1 are functionally expressed in human gliomas but do not regulate cell proliferation. PLoS One 5(8):e12304. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0012304](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012304)
- 3. Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Sloan AE, Schwartz AG (2003) Relative survival rates and patterns of diagnosis analyzed by time period for individuals with primary malignant brain tumor, 1973–1997. J Neurosurg 99(3):458–466. doi:[10.3171/jns.2003.99.3.0458](http://dx.doi.org/10.3171/jns.2003.99.3.0458)
- 4. Behin A, Hoang-Xuan K, Carpentier AF, Delattre JY (2003) Primary brain tumours in adults. Lancet 361(9354):323–331. doi[:10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)12328-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12328-8)
- 5. Berridge MJ (1993) Inositol trisphosphate and calcium signalling. Nature 361(6410):315–325
- 6. Bird GS, DeHaven WI, Smyth JT, Putney JW Jr (2008) Methods for studying store-operated calcium entry. Methods 46(3):204– 212. doi[:10.1016/j.ymeth.2008.09.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2008.09.009)
- 7. Bisaillon JM, Motiani RK, Gonzalez-Cobos JC, Potier M, Halligan KE, Alzawahra WF, Barroso M, Singer HA, Jourd'heuil D, Trebak M (2010) Essential role for STIM1/Orai1-mediated calcium influx in PDGF-induced smooth muscle migration. Am j physiol Cell physiol 298(5):C993–C1005
- 8. Chen YF, Chiu WT, Chen YT, Lin PY, Huang HJ, Chou CY, Chang HC, Tang MJ, Shen MR (2011) Calcium store sensor stromalinteraction molecule 1-dependent signaling plays an important role in cervical cancer growth, migration, and angiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(37):15225–15230. doi[:10.1073/pnas.1103315108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103315108)
- 9. Derler I, Schindl R, Fritsch R, Romanin C (2012) Gating and permeation of Orai channels. Front biosci j virtual libr 17:1304–1322
- 10. Faouzi M, Hague F, Potier M, Ahidouch A, Sevestre H, Ouadid-Ahidouch H (2011) Down-regulation of Orai3 arrests cell-cycle progression and induces apoptosis in breast cancer cells but not in normal breast epithelial cells. J Cell Physiol 226(2):542–551. doi[:10.1002/jcp.22363](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcp.22363)
- 11. Faouzi M, Kischel P, Hague F, Ahidouch A, Benzerdjeb N, Sevestre H, Penner R, Ouadid-Ahidouch H (2012) ORAI3 silencing alters cell proliferation and cell cycle progression via c-myc pathway in breast cancer cells. Biochim Biophys Acta. doi[:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.12.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.12.009)
- 12. Feng M, Grice DM, Faddy HM, Nguyen N, Leitch S, Wang Y, Muend S, Kenny PA, Sukumar S, Roberts-Thomson SJ, Monteith GR, Rao R (2010) Store-independent activation of Orai1 by SPCA2 in mammary tumors. Cell 143(1):84–98. doi:[10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.08.040) [j.cell.2010.08.040](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.08.040)
- 13. Flourakis M, Lehen'kyi V, Beck B, Raphael M, Vandenberghe M, Abeele FV, Roudbaraki M, Lepage G, Mauroy B, Romanin C, Shuba Y, Skryma R, Prevarskaya N (2010) Orai1 contributes to the establishment of an apoptosis-resistant phenotype in prostate cancer cells. Cell death dis 1:e75. doi:[10.1038/cddis.2010.52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2010.52)
- 14. Furnari FB, Fenton T, Bachoo RM, Mukasa A, Stommel JM, Stegh A, Hahn WC, Ligon KL, Louis DN, Brennan C, Chin L, DePinho RA, Cavenee WK (2007) Malignant astrocytic glioma: genetics,

biology, and paths to treatment. Genes Dev 21(21):2683–2710. doi[:10.1101/gad.1596707](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.1596707)

- 15. Gonzalez-Cobos JC, Zhang X, Zhang W, Ruhle BC, Motiani RK, Schindl R, Muik M, Spinelli AM, Bisaillon JM, Shinde AV, Fahrner M, Singer HA, Matrougui K, Barroso M, Romanin C, Trebak M (2013) Store-independent Orai1/3 channels activated by intracrine leukotriene C4: role in neointimal hyperplasia. Circ Res. doi[:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.300220) [111.300220](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.300220)
- 16. Hogan PG, Rao A (2007) Dissecting ICRAC, a store-operated calcium current. Trends biochem sci 32(5):235–245
- 17. Hoth M, Penner R (1992) Depletion of intracellular calcium stores activates a calcium current in mast cells. Nature 355(6358):353– 356
- 18. Liu H, Hughes JD, Rollins S, Chen B, Perkins E (2011) Calcium entry via ORAI1 regulates glioblastoma cell proliferation and apoptosis. Exp Mol Pathol 91(3):753–760. doi[:10.1016/j.yexmp.2011.09.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2011.09.005)
- 19. McAndrew D, Grice DM, Peters AA, Davis FM, Stewart T, Rice M, Smart CE, Brown MA, Kenny PA, Roberts-Thomson SJ, Monteith GR (2011) ORAI1-mediated calcium influx in lactation and in breast cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 10(3):448–460. doi[:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0923](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0923)
- 20. Mignen O, Thompson JL, Shuttleworth TJ (2007) STIM1 regulates Ca2+ entry via arachidonate-regulated Ca2+-selective (ARC) channels without store depletion or translocation to the plasma membrane. J Physiol 579(Pt 3):703–715
- 21. Mignen O, Thompson JL, Shuttleworth TJ (2008) Both Orai1 and Orai3 are essential components of the arachidonateregulated  $Ca^{2+}$ -selective (ARC) channels. J Physiol 586(1):185– 195
- 22. Monteith GR, McAndrew D, Faddy HM, Roberts-Thomson SJ (2007) Calcium and cancer: targeting  $Ca^{2+}$  transport. Nat Rev Cancer 7(7):519–530
- 23. Motiani RK, Abdullaev IF, Trebak M (2010) A novel native storeoperated calcium channel encoded by Orai3: selective requirement of Orai3 versus Orai1 in estrogen receptor-positive versus estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cells. J Biol Chem 285(25):19173– 19183
- 24. Motiani RK, Zhang X, Harmon KE, Keller RS, Matrougui K, Bennett JA, Trebak M (2013) Orai3 is an estrogen receptor alpha-regulated  $Ca^{2+}$  channel that promotes tumorigenesis. FASEB j off publ Fed Am Soc Exp Biol 27(1):63–75. doi[:10.1096/fj.12-213801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.12-213801)
- 25. Muik M, Schindl R, Fahrner M, Romanin C (2012) Ca(2+) release-activated Ca(2+) (CRAC) current, structure, and function. Cell mol life sci CMLS 69(24):4163–4176. doi[:10.1007/s00018-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00018-012-1072-8) [012-1072-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00018-012-1072-8)
- 26. Parekh AB, Putney JW Jr (2005) Store-operated calcium channels. Physiol Rev 85(2):757–810. doi:[10.1152/physrev.00057.2003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00057.2003)
- 27. Potier M, Gonzalez JC, Motiani RK, Abdullaev IF, Bisaillon JM, Singer HA, Trebak M (2009) Evidence for STIM1- and Orai1 dependent store-operated calcium influx through ICRAC in vascular smooth muscle cells: role in proliferation and migration. FASEB j off publ Fed Am Soc Exp Biol 23(8):2425–2437. doi[:10.1096/fj.09-131128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-131128)
- 28. Potier M, Trebak M (2008) New developments in the signaling mechanisms of the store-operated calcium entry pathway. Pflugers Arch - Eur j physiol 457(2):405–415. doi:[10.1007/s00424-008-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00424-008-0533-2) [0533-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00424-008-0533-2)
- 29. Prakriya M, Feske S, Gwack Y, Srikanth S, Rao A, Hogan PG (2006) Orai1 is an essential pore subunit of the CRAC channel. Nature 443(7108):230–233
- 30. Prakriya M, Lewis RS (2001) Potentiation and inhibition of Ca(2+) release-activated Ca(2+) channels by 2-aminoethyldiphenyl borate (2-APB) occurs independently of IP(3) receptors. J Physiol 536(Pt 1):3–19
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>31. Prakriya M, Lewis RS (2002) Separation and characterization of currents through store-operated CRAC channels and  $Mg^{2+}$ inhibited cation (MIC) channels. J Gen Physiol 119(5):487–507
- 32. Prevarskaya N, Skryma R, Shuba Y (2011) Calcium in tumour metastasis: new roles for known actors. Nat Rev Cancer 11(8):609–618. doi[:10.1038/nrc3105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc3105)
- 33. Putney JW Jr (1986) A model for receptor-regulated calcium entry. Cell calcium 7(1):1–12
- 34. Putney JW Jr (1990) Capacitative calcium entry revisited. Cell calcium 11(10):611–624
- 35. Schwartzbaum JA, Fisher JL, Aldape KD, Wrensch M (2006) Epidemiology and molecular pathology of glioma. Nat Clin Pract Neurol 2(9):494–503. doi:[10.1038/ncpneuro0289,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncpneuro0289) quiz 491 p following 516
- 36. Scrideli CA, Carlotti CG Jr, Okamoto OK, Andrade VS, Cortez MA, Motta FJ, Lucio-Eterovic AK, Neder L, Rosemberg S, Oba-Shinjo SM, Marie SK, Tone LG (2008) Gene expression profile analysis of primary glioblastomas and non-neoplastic brain tissue: identification of potential target genes by oligonucleotide microarray and real-time quantitative PCR. J Neurooncol 88(3):281–291. doi[:10.1007/s11060-008-9579-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11060-008-9579-4)
- 37. Shuttleworth TJ (2009) Arachidonic acid, ARC channels, and Orai proteins. Cell calcium 45:602–610
- 38. Spinelli AM, Gonzalez-Cobos JC, Zhang X, Motiani RK, Rowan S, Zhang W, Garrett J, Vincent PA, Matrougui K, Singer HA, Trebak M (2012) Airway smooth muscle STIM1 and Orai1 are upregulated in asthmatic mice and mediate PDGF-activated SOCE, CRAC currents, proliferation, and migration. Pflugers Arch - Eur j physiol 464(5):481–492. doi[:10.1007/s00424-012-1160-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00424-012-1160-5)
- 39. Suyama E, Wadhwa R, Kaur K, Miyagishi M, Kaul SC, Kawasaki H, Taira K (2004) Identification of metastasisrelated genes in a mouse model using a library of randomized ribozymes. J Biol Chem 279(37):38083–38086. doi:[10.1074/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C400313200) [jbc.C400313200](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C400313200)
- 40. Trebak M, Lemonnier L, Smyth JT, Vazquez G, Putney JW Jr (2007) Phospholipase C-coupled receptors and activation of TRPC channels. Handb Exp Pharmacol 179:593–614. doi:[10.1007/978-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-34891-7_35) [3-540-34891-7\\_35](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-34891-7_35)
- 41. Yang S, Zhang JJ, Huang XY (2009) Orai1 and STIM1 are critical for breast tumor cell migration and metastasis. Cancer cell 15(2):124–134. doi:[10.1016/j.ccr.2008.12.019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.12.019)
- 42. Zhang W, Halligan KE, Zhang X, Bisaillon JM, Gonzalez-Cobos JC, Motiani RK, Hu G, Vincent PA, Zhou J, Barroso M, Singer HA, Matrougui K, Trebak M (2011) Orai1-mediated I (CRAC) is essential for neointima formation after vascular injury. Circ Res 109(5):534–542