**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Cardiorespiratory kinetics: comparisons between athletes with diferent training habits**

**Jessica Koschate1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4178-2550) Laura Gerlich1 · Veronika Wirtz1 · Lutz Thieschäfer1 · Uwe Drescher1 · Uwe Hofmann1**

Received: 1 February 2019 / Accepted: 8 June 2019 / Published online: 21 June 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

## **Abstract**

**Purpose** Fast muscular oxygen uptake  $(\dot{V}O_{2musc})$  kinetics are limiting factors for high exercise capacities. It is hypothesized that  $\rm\dot{VO}_{2musc}$  and heart rate (HR) kinetics would be faster in individuals, performing long-distance endurance training (CONT) compared with athletes performing predominantly interval-based sports (INT).

**Methods** 17 subjects (INT:  $n=7$ ,  $24 \pm 5$  years,  $183 \pm 7$  cm,  $85 \pm 10$  kg,  $6 \pm 3$  h of training per week, CONT:  $n=10$ ,  $37 \pm 7$  years,  $175 \pm 9$  cm,  $69 \pm 10$  kg,  $6 \pm 3$  h of training per week) completed a treadmill work rate (WR) protocol with pseudo-randomized WR changes with velocities of 6.5 and 9.5 km h<sup>-1</sup>.  $\rm{VO_{2musc}}$  and the respective kinetics were estimated from the measured pulmonary oxygen uptake and HR combined with a circulatory model. Kinetics information were calculated using time series analysis. Higher maxima of the cross-correlation function (CCF) of WR and the respective parameter  $(VO<sub>2musc</sub>, HR)$  indicate faster kinetics responses.

**Results** The kinetics of HR (INT:  $0.23 \pm 0.04$  vs. CONT:  $0.42 \pm 0.18$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ),  $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{pullm}}$   $(0.30 \pm 0.05$  vs.  $0.53 \pm 0.20$ ;  $P=0.005$ ) and  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$  (0.31  $\pm$  0.06 vs. 0.53  $\pm$  0.16;  $P=0.005$ ) were significantly slower in INT compared with the CONT athletes.

**Conclusions** It seems that at least in the long-term CONT exercise, training without the need of changing intensities is favorable for fast  $\dot{V}O_2$  and HR kinetics compared with INT exercise including frequently changing intensities.

**Keywords** Endurance training · Cardiorespiratory kinetics · PRBS · Circulatory model · Changing metabolic demands





Communicated by Guido Ferretti.

 $\boxtimes$  Jessica Koschate J.Koschate@dshs-koeln.de

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Physiology and Anatomy, German Sport University, Am Sportpark Müngersdorf 6, 50933 Cologne, Germany



# **Introduction**

Muscular oxygen uptake  $(\dot{V}O_{2musc})$  kinetics are determined by the complex interplay of oxygen delivery and oxygen extraction inside the working muscle in response to changing metabolic demands. An adequate functional interaction between oxygen delivery and oxygen extraction is a prerequisite for fast  $VO_{2musc}$  kinetics (Hughson  $2009$ ; Murias et al.

[2014](#page-8-0); Poole and Jones [2012\)](#page-8-1). Faster oxygen uptake kinetics determined from phase 2 (metabolic component) of pulmonary measurements ( $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$ ) were reported to be associated with higher maximal oxygen uptake  $(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}})$  values (Powers et al. [1985](#page-8-2); Regensteiner et al. [1998;](#page-8-3) Chilibeck et al. [1996\)](#page-7-1) and were documented as more sensitive to training interventions, compared with  $VO_{2max}$  (e.g., Fukuoka et al. [2002](#page-7-2); Murias et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0).

Diferent groups of athletes were compared regarding their  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{nullm}}$  kinetics. Long-distance runners show faster  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pull}}$  kinetics compared with middle-distance runners (Berger et al. [2006;](#page-7-3) Kilding et al. [2006](#page-7-4), [2007\)](#page-7-5) and sub-elite sprint-trained athletes (Berger and Jones [2007\)](#page-7-6). In contrast, Do Nascimento Salvador et al. ([2016\)](#page-7-7) report no diferences in  $\rm{VO}_{2\text{pull}}$  kinetics during maximal and supramaximal work rate (WR) intensities between sprint-trained and middledistance runners. However, the comparability with kinetics responses during moderate-intensity exercise is not per se possible, since the characteristics of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  kinetics in these high-intensity exercise domains are still discussed and conclusions on diferences between various groups of subjects are difficult. Analyzing muscle biopsies from the m. vastus lateralis, it has been observed that muscles of long-distance runners contain less fast twitch (FT) and more slow twitch (ST) fbers compared with sprint-trained athletes. Further, the percentage of FT fbers decreased with the length of the running events that the individuals participated in (Inbar et al. [1981\)](#page-7-8). From the studies of Crow and Kushmerick [\(1982\)](#page-7-9), it can be concluded that ST fibers show faster  $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics than FT fbers.

 $\rm\dot{VO}_{2oulm}$  kinetics of endurance athletes and typical team sport athletes with similar lengths of competitive events but diferent changes of metabolic demands during those events were not compared, yet.

Further, there is evidence that the analysis of  $VO<sub>2musc</sub>$ kinetics using the time constant of phase 2 of mono-exponential fitting of the  $VO_{2\text{pullm}}$  response to an increased metabolic demand might be distorted by an increasing cardiac output  $(Q, H$ offmann et al.  $2013$ ). It is frequently assumed that the distortions of the pulmonary measured  $\dot{V}O_2$  response due to an increasing  $\dot{Q}$  is limited to the cardiodynamic phase (phase I), which is commonly either determined to be constantly 20 s or detected individually and then excluded from the analysis. A lower HR could lead to a faster adjustment of HR (Coote  $2010$ ) and, therefore,  $\dot{Q}$  during changes of metabolic demands. This possibly influences the phase  $2\dot{V}O_{2\text{pulm}}$ kinetics, depending on the method, used to determine the cardiodynamic phase of the  $VO<sub>2pull</sub>$  response to increased metabolic demands (Mezzani et al. [2010](#page-7-12)). In the listed references, the kinetics of heart rate (HR) as an indicator of *Q̇* responses (DeLorey et al. [2004](#page-7-13)) are not mentioned (Berger and Jones [2007](#page-7-6); Kilding et al. [2006](#page-7-4), [2007;](#page-7-5) Do Nascimento Salvador et al. [2016](#page-7-7)). Absolute values of HR were reported as lower during rest and moderate exercise at steady state in long-distance runners compared with middle-distance runners (Kilding et al. [2006](#page-7-4)). Drescher et al. ([2018](#page-7-14)) compared mono-exponential ftting with time series analyses to determine the kinetics of  $VO<sub>2musc</sub>$ . The authors suggested to determine the cardiodynamic phase as accurately as possible (Drescher et al. [2018\)](#page-7-14). Hence, the approach of Hofmann et al.  $(2013)$  determines  $\rm \dot{VO}_{2musc}$  kinetics using time series analysis in combination with a circulatory model. Kinetics responses are not analyzed in two phases, but  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$ kinetics are determined from  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$  and HR measurements considering the dynamic adjustment of *Q̇* during changing metabolic demands.

The aim of this study was to compare  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics between endurance-trained athletes with predominantly continuous endurance exercise training characteristics (CONT) and individuals who perform sports with mostly intermittent exercise training characteristics, such as tennis, basketball or handball (INT). Considering the cardiodynamic phase by the use of a circulatory model (Hoffmann et al. [2013\)](#page-7-10) INT and CONT with equal  $\rm\dot{VO}_{2peak}$  values, but very different training regimes are compared. It was hypothesized that *V̇*  $O_{2musc}$ ,  $\dot{V}O_{2pulm}$  and HR kinetics would be faster in CONT than in INT athletes.

## **Methods**

#### **Participants**

Overall, 24 subjects participated in the study. Prior to the test procedures, the experimental protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the German Sport University Cologne considering the declaration of Helsinki including the amendments until 2013. A positive vote was available before the beginning of the study. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study prior to the tests. The subjects were recruited through social media and with the help of signboards. Subjects were eligible to participate in the experiment if they were between 18 and 45 years of age, reported a minimum of 3 years of experience in their sports activity, had 2–4 training sessions per week, and were experienced with running on a treadmill. Seven subjects had to be excluded from analyses: fve subjects due to noisy data and two subjects due to an exercise intensity during the WR protocol that was above their individual gas exchange threshold (GET). Finally, data from 17 subjects [INT *n*=7 (6 males, 1 female), CONT *n*=10 (5 males, 5 females)] were included in statistical analyses. Anthropometrical data for the included subjects are presented in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

Subjects were either INT trained (tennis, roller hockey, basketball or handball) or they were CONT trained

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

	INT $(n=7)$		CONT $(n=10)$		Sig
	Mean	<b>SD</b>	Mean	SD	
Age (years)	24	5	37	7	0.001
Height (cm)	182.9	7.4	174.9	8.5	0.088
Body mass (kg)	85.5	10.2	69.4	10.4	0.005
BMI ( $\text{kg m}^{-2}$ )	25.4	2.3	22.5	2.1	0.033
$\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ (L min <sup>-1</sup> )	4.27	0.52	3.68	0.59	0.043
rel $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ (mL min <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	50.12	4.66	53.33	7.06	0.315
$HR_{peak}$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	203	11	192	8	0.056
$RER_{peak}$ (a.u.)	1.16	0.04	1.12	0.07	0.315
$\text{VO}_{2\textrm{GET}}$ (mL min <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	42.74	4.18	39.65	3.8	0.193
$HR_{GET}$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	185	10	165	14	0.007
Velocity <sub>GET</sub> (km $h^{-1}$ )	12.43	1.27	11.7	0.95	0.315
Hours of training (h year <sup>-1</sup> )	293.6	69.7	380.3	125.6	0.161

*BMI* body mass index,  $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$  peak oxygen uptake,  $HR_{peak}$  peak heart rate,  $RER_{peak}$  peak respiratory exchange ratio,  $VO_{2GET}$  oxygen uptake at the gas exchange threshold, *HRGET* heart rate at the gas exchange threshold, *Velocity<sub>GET</sub>* velocity at the gas exchange threshold, *INT* group of interval-trained athletes, *CONT* group of athletes with continuous aerobic training

(long-distance running and cycling) and ran about  $19 \pm 9$  km per week (excluding the km they cycled) without using interval-based exercise training. The INT group trained about  $4 \pm 1$  times per week for  $6 \pm 3$  h for  $15 \pm 11$  years. The CONT group trained about  $4 \pm 1$  times per week for  $6 \pm 3$  h for  $8 \pm 5$  years.

#### **Experimental setup**

The test protocol, which both groups completed, was performed on a h\p\cosmos pulsar treadmill (h\p\cosmos sports and medical GmbH, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany). The work rate (WR) protocol started with a 3 min resting measurement in the standing position, followed by 300 s at  $6.5 \text{ km h}^{-1}$  (low step), two 300-s-long sequences of pseudorandomized WR changes with velocities of either 6.5 or 9.5 km h<sup>-1</sup> (PRBS1 and PRBS2) and 300 s at 9.5 km h<sup>-1</sup> (high step). The inclination was set to 1% during this part of the WR protocol, the shortest sequence during the PRBS was 20 s and the longest 80 s. The acceleration mode of the treadmill was set to 4, which corresponds to 1.38 m  $s^{-2}$ . The subjects were instructed to run and not to walk during the entire protocol, which was monitored at all times by the test supervisor.

The moderate PRBS exercise protocol was followed by an incremental ramp protocol to evaluate peak oxygen uptake  $(VO<sub>2peak</sub>)$ . Every minute the speed was increased by 1 km h<sup>-1</sup> until the maximum of 14 km h<sup>-1</sup> was reached. The intensity was then further increased by raising the inclination of the



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 1** WR protocol with the PRBS to evaluate cardiorespiratory kinetics followed by the incremental ramp protocol. *WR* work rate, *PRBS* pseudo-random-binary sequence

treadmill by 1% every 30 s (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-1). An active recovery phase of 5 min at 4 km h<sup>-1</sup> was started immediately after completing the ramp protocol. The ramp protocol was terminated when the subject was not able to keep up with the speed of the treadmill anymore.

Pulmonary gas exchange was measured breath-by-breath (Metalyzer 3B, Cortex Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). HR, stroke volume (SV), and  $\dot{Q}$  were measured beat to beat via a Physiofow Enduro device (Manatech Biomedical, Poissy, France). All devices were calibrated according to the manufacturers' guidelines before each testing. The volume transducer of the gas analyzer was calibrated with a 3L calibration syringe and the analyzers were calibrated with gases of known concentration.

To obtain homogenous samplings, the data were interpolated at 1 s intervals and synchronized through trigger signals at the start of the measurement (Lamarra et al. [1987](#page-7-15)). Aberrant breaths, caused by, e.g., coughing were identifed and deleted if the deviation was greater than four standard deviations of the six surrounding values.

#### **Kinetic analysis**

The kinetic analyses followed the description of Hofmann et al. ([2013\)](#page-7-10). The PRBS WR protocol was auto-correlated (ACF) which resulted in a triangular shape and can be interpreted as an approximation of a WR impulse (Ben-nett et al. [1981](#page-7-16)). HR,  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$ , and  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{musc}}$  were crosscorrelated with the WR protocol  $(CCF(x))$ . This resulted in a wave-like form and was interpreted as the response of each parameter to the WR impulse (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). A higher maximum of the CCF (CCF<sub>max</sub> $(x)$ ) describes a faster response of the respective parameter to the change in WR. Therefore, a greater value for  $CCF_{\text{max}}(\dot{V}O_{2\text{musc}})$  represents a faster kinetics response of the estimated muscular  $\dot{V}O_2$ response to an increased metabolic demand. A greater time lag of the parameters' response was indicated by a greater time lag between the maximum of the ACF and the CCF (CCF<sub>lag</sub> $(x)$ ) (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). In addition, the entire CCF time courses were analyzed and compared between the groups from  $\log -50$  s to 150 s in 10 s intervals.

 $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$  kinetics were estimated from  $\dot{V}O_{2pulm}$  and HR, using a circulatory model, which considers a venous volume as well as  $\dot{V}O_2$  and perfusion of the non-working part of the body. Applying this method, it is possible to analyze the  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pulm}}$  (including circulatory distortions) and  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{musc}}$ kinetics separately without excluding certain data from the analyses (Hoffmann et al. [2013](#page-7-10)).

#### **Peak oxygen uptake**

 $VO<sub>2peak</sub>$  was assumed, in case the subject showed a respiratory exchange ratio of at least 1.05 and HR was above the age-adjusted maximum. The mean  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$  over the last 30 s of the incremental part of the WR protocol was determined as  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ . GET was detected applying the criteria described by Beaver et al. [\(1986](#page-7-17)).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Exemplary response of the parameters to the WR protocol as absolute values (**a**) and after time series analysis (**b**). *HR* heart rate, *V*<sup>O</sup><sub>2pulm</sub> pulmonary oxygen uptake, *V*<sup>O</sup><sub>2musc</sub> muscular oxygen uptake, *WR* work rate, *ACF(WR)* auto-correlation function of the work rate protocol, *CCF* cross-correlation function,  $CCF_{max}$  maximum of the cross-correlation function of each respective parameter on the *y*-axis,  $CCF_{lag}$  time lag between the maximum of the ACF and the CCF

#### **Statistical analysis**

Diferences between the two groups regarding the kinetics parameters, peak values and hours of training were calculated using Mann–Whitney *U* tests (two-tailed), due to the small sample size. Diferences between the groups regarding the diferent lags of the CCFs were analyzed applying a repeated measures ANOVA with the factors 'group' (INT, CONT) and 'lag' (−50 s to 150 s). Mean values of the cardiorespiratory parameters during the PRBS WR protocol were compared between the two groups and the diferent steps using repeated measures ANOVA with the factors 'group' (INT, CONT) and 'step' (rest, low, PRBS1, PRBS2, high). In addition, potential differences between  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$  and  $\dot{V}$  $O_{2\text{pulm}}$  kinetics (CCF<sub>max</sub> and CCF<sub>lag</sub>) were calculated using repeated measures ANOVA with the factors 'group' (INT, CONT) and 'parameter' ( $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$ ,  $\dot{V}O_{2mulm}$ ). In case the Mauchly test of sphericity showed signifcant diferences (*α*≤10%) the Greenhouse–Geisser correction was used. Post hoc effects were calculated using the Bonferroni test. Correlation analyses for HR and HR kinetics were performed using the Spearman rank order correlation coefficient (twotailed). The level of statistical significance was set to  $\alpha \leq 5\%$ .

## **Results**

 $VO<sub>2peak</sub>$  was significantly higher in the INT group. Relative  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$  was not significantly different between the groups. In the INT group, HR at the GET was signifcantly higher and tended to be higher at peak exercise during the incremental part of the treadmill test. Hours spent for training over the past year were not signifcantly diferent between the groups (Table [1](#page-2-0)).

Comparing the metabolic and cardiac data during the PRBS steps of the treadmill test, diferences between the groups were only observed for  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$  and  $\dot{Q}$ , but not for HR or SV. Main efects and post hoc results are shown in Table [2](#page-4-0).

Calculating the  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$  as mean value during the two PRBS relative to the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  achieved at GET in percent ( $\%VO_2$  GET), there was no statistical difference between INT (73.1±7.3%) and CONT (76.1±7.4%; *P*=0.417).

The kinetics parameters,  $CCF_{max}(HR)$ ,  $CCF_{max}(VO_{2musc})$ and  $CCF_{\text{max}}(\dot{V}O_{2\text{pulm}})$  were significantly greater for CONT compared with INT with no within group differences between  $CCF_{max}(\dot{V}O_{2pulm})$  and  $CCF_{max}(\dot{V}O_{2musc})$  (parameter:  $P = 0.949$ ; parameter  $\times$  group:  $P = 0.864$ ) (Table [3](#page-4-1)). In addition,  $CCF_{lag}(VO_{2pulm})$  was significantly greater than  $CCF<sub>lag</sub>(VO<sub>2musc</sub>)$  (parameter:  $P < 0.001$ ; parameter  $\times$  group:  $P=0.117$ ), but there were no differences between the groups  $(P=0.118)$  (Table [3](#page-4-1)).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



*<sup>V</sup>̇ O2pulm* pulmonary oxygen uptake, *HR* heart rate, *SV* stroke volume, *Q'* cardiac output, *Rest* mean values during second −40 to −11 of the resting phase, *Low* mean values during second 260–289 of the 6.5 km h−1 constant phase, *PRBS1* mean values during second 300–599 of the frst pseudo-random binary sequence, *PRBS2* mean values during second 600–899 of the second pseudo-random binary sequence, *High* mean values during second 1160–1189 of the 9.5 km h−1 constant phase, *INT* group of interval-trained athletes, *CONT* group of athletes with continuous aerobic training

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 3** Mean±SD of the kinetics parameters during the PRBS treadmill test

	INT $(n=7)$		<b>CONT</b> $(n=10)$		Sig. group difference
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
$CCF_{max}(HR)$ (a.u.)	0.23	0.04	0.42	0.18	0.001
$CCFlag(HR)$ (a.u.)	13	3	10.20	4.94	0.161
$CCF_{\text{max}}(\dot{V}O_{2_{\text{musc}}})$ (a.u.)	0.31	0.06	0.53	0.16	0.005
$CCFlag(\dot{V}O2musc)$ (a.u.)	26	6	19	7	0.070
$CCF_{\text{max}}(\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{pullm}})$ (a.u.)	0.30	0.05	0.53	0.20	0.007
$CCF_{lag}(VO_{2pulm})$ (a.u.)	31	5	28	7	0.475

 $CCF_{max}(x)$  cross-correlation function between work rate and the respective parameter,  $CCF_{lq}$  time lag between the maximum of the auto-correlation function and the cross-correlation function between work rate and the respective parameter, *HR* heart rate,  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$  muscular oxygen uptake,  $\dot{V}O_{2pulm}$  pulmonary oxygen uptake, *INT* group of interval-trained athletes, *CONT* group of athletes with continuous aerobic training

The CCF courses for HR,  $VO_{2musc}$  and  $VO_{2pulm}$  in comparison between the two groups are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) For the CCF course of  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$ , significant differences were found for the factors lag ( $P < 0.001$ ), group  $\times$  lag  $(P = 0.002)$  and the factor group  $(P = 0.032)$ . Similarly, regarding the CCF course of  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$ , significant effects for lag ( $P < 0.001$ ), lag  $\times$  group ( $P = 0.001$ ) but not for group ( $P = 0.574$ ) were calculated. For the CCF course of HR, significant effects for lag ( $P < 0.001$ ), lag  $\times$  group  $(P=0.020)$ , but not for group  $(P=0.350)$  were calculated. Post hoc, statistical differences between the groups were found at several lags (marked with asterisks in Fig. [3](#page-5-0)).

A signifcant correlation was shown between the mean HR during the PRBS and CCF<sub>max</sub>(HR) ( $r_{SP} = -0.574$ ,  $P=0.016$ ).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Means  $(\pm SE)$  of each 10 s interval of the cross-correlation function between work rate and **a** pulmonary oxygen uptake (CCF(*V̇* O2pulm)), **b** heart rate (CCF(HR)) and **c** muscular oxygen uptake ( $\overline{\text{CCF}}(\text{VO}_{2\text{musc}})$ ). *Sig.* significant difference between the groups at the respective lag

## **Discussion**

Despite no significant differences in relative  $VO<sub>2peak</sub>$ , and relative exercise intensities during the kinetics test  $(\% \dot{V}O_2)$ GET),  $VO_{2musc}$ , HR as well as  $VO_{2pulm}$  kinetics were significantly faster as assessed by greater  $CCF_{\text{max}}$  values, in the CONT compared with the INT training group, which is in line with the hypothesis.

Peak HR, peak respiratory exchange ratio,  $\dot{V}O_2$  and velocity at GET,  $\%VO_2$  GET, hours of training per year (Table [2\)](#page-4-0) as well as HR and SV during the PRBS protocol were not statistically diferent between the groups (Table [2](#page-4-0)).

Therefore, these factors can be excluded as infuencing factors for the signifcantly diferent kinetics. However, peak HR (by trend) and HR at GET as well as  $Q$  and  $VO_{2\text{pulm}}$ during several steps of the PRBS WR protocol were signifcantly higher in the INT group (Table [2](#page-4-0)). The higher HRs at higher exercise intensities may be explained with the younger age of the INT group. The greater  $\dot{Q}$  and  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$ during several WR steps might be due to the signifcantly greater body mass and potentially also muscle mass of the INT group.

The comparable relative  $VO_{2\text{peak}}$  of the INT and CONT group is in contrast to the results of Berger and Jones ([2007\)](#page-7-6) and Do Nascimento Salvador et al. [\(2016\)](#page-7-7), but in line with those of Kilding et al. [\(2006,](#page-7-4) [2007](#page-7-5)). According to the results, it is considered, that the diferent training regimes of INT and CONT influence  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$  and  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics differently.

The data analysis by Abdelkrim et al. ([2010\)](#page-7-18) revealed that basketball players spend  $19.3 \pm 3.5\%$  of total time of a game in the maximal intensity HR zone,  $56.0 \pm 6.3\%$ in the high-intensity zone,  $17.3 \pm 5.5\%$  in the moderate and  $7.4 \pm 6.1\%$  of total time in the low-intensity zone. Activities during a game vary from sprinting over striding, running, jogging and walking. Smekal et al. ([2001\)](#page-8-4) documented frequently changing HRs between 100 min−1 and  $\sim 160 \text{ min}^{-1}$  during a 70-min tennis game, which is very diferent to CONT athletes who run mostly at a constant HR during competitive events. Exercise intensities of INT athletes vary between 20.4 and 86.8% of  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ (Smekal et al. [2001;](#page-8-4) compare also Abdelkrim et al. [2010](#page-7-18)). Therefore, INT athletes train for repetitive, short but high metabolic demands over the duration of the respective game. CONT athletes train for long, rather stable metabolic demands during their competitions.  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pullm}}$  and  $\dot{V}$  $O_{2musc}$  kinetics both are faster in CONT compared with INT athletes. Faster  $VO_{2musc}$  kinetics in the CONT group may be explained with diferent muscle fber type distributions, which can be assumed in the respective athlete groups. Oxygen extraction depends on enzymatic activity of the corresponding working muscle fbers. ST fbers contain more mitochondria and have a higher oxidative capacity, FT fbers are known to depend more on glycolytic processes. ST fibers are assumed to show faster  $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics compared with FT fbers (Crow and Kushmerick [1982](#page-7-9)). Pringle et al. ([2003\)](#page-8-5) found a correlation between phase  $2 \dot{V}O_2$  kinetics and the percentage of ST muscle fibers during heavy and severe, but not moderate exercise. It has been observed that muscles of long-distance runners have less FT fbers compared with sprint-trained athletes (Saltin and Gollnick [1983\)](#page-8-6) and that the percentage of FT fbers decreases with the length of the running events, that the individuals participate in (Inbar et al. [1981](#page-7-8)). In line with this, it is assumed that training with high volume, low velocity and low intensity is favorable for the development of ST fbers and training with low volume but high velocity and high intensity is in favor of FT fber formation (Kohn et al. [2007](#page-7-19); Wilson et al. [2012](#page-8-7)). In former studies with long-distance runners, distributions of about 63% ST fibers and 37% FT fbers were observed whereas about a distribution of 48% ST and 52% FT fbers were reported for Field Hockey Players (Saltin and Gollnick [1983\)](#page-8-6). Ostojic et al. ([2006](#page-8-8)) estimated a mean of  $64.1 \pm 9.4\%$  FT fiber content in professional basketball players using assumptions from vertical jumps. Although no muscle biopsy samples were taken and the respective games were not analyzed as in the experiments of Smekal et al. [\(2001](#page-8-4)) and Abdelkrim et al. ([2010\)](#page-7-18), a higher percentage of FT fbers in INT athletes would be in line with their slower  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$  kinetics.

The time lag (CCF<sub>lag</sub>) was significantly greater for  $\dot{V}$  $O_{2\text{pullm}}$ , which is due to the delayed appearance of the oxygen signal traveling through the venous volume between muscle and mouth. However, no signifcant diferences were found between  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pulm}}$  and  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{musc}}$  kinetics considering the  $CCF_{\text{max}}$  for this treadmill exercise test protocol.

Similar to  $\dot{V}O_{2musc}$  and  $\dot{V}O_{2pulm}$  kinetics, the HR kinetics were slower in the INT compared with the CONT group. The slower HR kinetics were most likely caused by the higher absolute mean HR values by trend during the PRBS (Table [2\)](#page-4-0), since the mean HR during the two PRBS correlated negatively with HR kinetics. A higher HR indicates a greater sympathetic nerve activity during exercise, which has been reported to be slower compared with the adjustment of HR by a predominantly decreasing parasympathetic nerve activity (Coote [2010](#page-7-11)). In the CCF courses of HR (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)b, lag 80–110 s), a slower return to baseline is visible in the INT group. This might be beneficial during repetitively changing and eventually higher metabolic demands. HR kinetics represent the kinetics of *Q̇* (DeLorey et al. [2004](#page-7-13)). Therefore, it is speculated that perfusion may be maintained in the formerly working muscle after the end of the metabolic demand. This might be benefcial for the elimination of lactic acid and other metabolites in the muscle and, therefore, a better muscle metabolic baseline for the next increase in work rate intensity. This would be in line with the characteristics of a competitive event for INT athletes.

The results of this experiment are not comparable to those of short-term training interventions. Training interventions with interval or continuous exercise were either shown as similarly effective (Berger et al. [2006](#page-7-3); Da Boit et al. [2014](#page-7-20); McKay et al. [2009;](#page-7-21) Murias et al. [2010](#page-8-9); Overend et al. [1992\)](#page-8-10) or interval training was even superior to continuous endurance exercise training in accelerating  $\dot{V}O<sub>2</sub>$  kinetics (Daussin et al.  $2008$ ; Drescher et al.  $2018$  [by trend]), although  $VO_{2max}$ changed after both interventions. Over several years, continuous aerobic exercise training seems favorable to speed *V̇*  $O_2$  kinetics, compared with interval training as performed by

the INT athletes. This might be explained with the formation of a greater percentage of ST fbers in endurance athletes.

#### **Limitations**

All subjects were tested using the same WR protocol on the treadmill. According to individual exercise capacity and body mass, the relative intensity of the WR protocol was higher in some cases compared with others. Koppo et al. ([2004\)](#page-7-23) found slower  $\dot{V}O_2$  kinetics in untrained subjects with increasing WR intensity, possibly caused by increased motor unit recruitment. However,  $\%VO<sub>2</sub> GET$  and the velocity at GET were not signifcantly diferent between INT and CONT. Therefore, it can be assumed that the relative intensity of the WR protocol did not cause the diferences in the kinetics responses. However, the signifcantly greater BMI, caused by the greater body mass and height in the INT group is in accordance with the results of Santos et al. [\(2014](#page-8-11)), showing diferent anthropometric characteristics of diferent groups of athletes. The subjects of the CONT group were older, which might have had an infuence on maximal HR, which was lower in the CONT group. Further, age per se was reported not to infuence cardiorespiratory kinetics. Physical exercise capacity seems to have a greater infuence, as outlined by Grey et al. ([2015](#page-7-24)). In addition, one could have assumed that the maximum oxygen uptake of the CONT athletes would have to be higher compared to the INT athletes. The CONT athletes might have been in a lower performance class, compared to INT. This would further underline the results of INT athletes showing slower kinetics although being in a higher performance class. However, it should be pointed out that it is not possible to reconstruct and compare the detailed training regimes (volume, intensity) of both groups over the past years. In future experiments, it is suggested to analyze teams of the same type of sport and specify the activities during a game as done by Smekal et al. ([2001](#page-8-4)) and Abdelkrim et al. ([2010\)](#page-7-18) for a more detailed comparison.

### **Conclusions**

Despite no significant differences in relative  $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ , the kinetics of  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{pulm}}$  and  $\dot{V}O_{2\text{musc}}$  were slower in INT compared with the CONT athletes. This might be afected by a higher percentage of ST fibers in the CONT athletes, which were documented to show faster kinetics compared with FT fbers. Although this was not measured in the present experimental setup, it can be concluded, that the ratio of ST and FT muscle fbers in the respective athlete is an important influencing factor for  $VO_{2musc}$  kinetics. It was also shown for the frst time that HR kinetics are faster in CONT compared with INT athletes. This is associated with a higher HR in the INT athletes during the test and possibly benefcial for a

maintained perfusion of the working muscle during repetitive short metabolic demands. It seems that at least in the long term, high-volume exercise training without the need of changing intensities, as performed in the CONT group, is favorable for fast  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  and HR kinetics, compared with the typical team sports training with frequently changing intensities.

**Acknowledgements** This research was supported by a research fund of the German Aerospace Center (DLR e.V.; Grant number 50WB1626). We would like to thank Janosch Wacker for his support during the measurements.

**Author contributions** JK, UH, LG and VW conceived and designed the research. JK, LG and VW conducted the experiments. UD and UH contributed new analytical thoughts. JK, LG, VW, LT, UD and UH analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

**Funding** This research was supported by a research fund of the German Aerospace Center (DLR e.V.; Grant number: 50WB1626).

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Abdelkrim BN, Castagna C, Jabri I, Battikh T, El Fazaa S, Ati JE (2010) Activity profle and physiological requirements of junior elite basketball players in relation to aerobic-anaerobic ftness. J Strength Cond Res 24:2330–2342. [https://doi.org/10.1519/](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e381c1) [JSC.0b013e3181e381c1](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e381c1)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Beaver WL, Wasserman K, Whipp BJ (1986) A new method for detecting anaerobic threshold by gas exchange. J Appl Physiol 60:2020–2027
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Bennett FM, Reischl P, Grodins FS, Yamashiro SM, Fordyce WE (1981) Dynamics of ventilatory responses to exercise in humans. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 51:194–203
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Berger NJA, Jones AM (2007) Pulmonary  $O<sub>2</sub>$  uptake on-kinetics in sprint- and endurance-trained athletes. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 32:383–393.<https://doi.org/10.1139/H06-109>
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Berger NJA, Tolfrey K, Williams AG, Jones AM (2006) Infuence of continuous and interval training on oxygen uptake on-kinetics. Med Sci Sports Exerc 38:504–512. [https://doi.org/10.1249/01.](https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000191418.37709.81) [mss.0000191418.37709.81](https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000191418.37709.81)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Chilibeck PD, Paterson DH, Smith WD, Cunningham DA (1996) Cardiorespiratory kinetics during exercise of diferent muscle groups and mass in old and young. J Appl Physiol 81:1388–1394
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Coote JH (2010) Recovery of heart rate following intense dynamic exercise. Exp Physiol 95:431–440. [https://doi.org/10.1113/expph](https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.2009.047548) [ysiol.2009.047548](https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.2009.047548)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Crow MT, Kushmerick MJ (1982) Chemical energetics of slow- and fast-twitch muscles of the mouse. J Gen Physiol 79:147–166. [https](https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.79.1.147) [://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.79.1.147](https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.79.1.147)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Da Boit M, Bailey SJ, Callow S, Dimenna FJ, Jones AM (2014) Effects of interval and continuous training on  $O_2$  uptake kinetics during severe-intensity exercise initiated from an elevated metabolic baseline. J Appl Physiol 116:1068–1077. [https://doi.org/10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01365.2013) [japplphysiol.01365.2013](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01365.2013)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Daussin FN, Zoll J, Dufour SP, Ponsot E, Lonsdorfer-Wolf E, Doutreleau S, Mettauer B, Piquard F, Geny B, Richard R (2008) Efect of interval versus continuous training on cardiorespiratory and mitochondrial functions. Relationship to aerobic performance improvements in sedentary subjects. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 295:R264–R272. [https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpre](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00875.2007) [gu.00875.2007](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00875.2007)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>DeLorey DS, Kowalchuk JM, Paterson DH (2004) Efects of prior heavy-intensity exercise on pulmonary  $O_2$  uptake and muscle deoxygenation kinetics in young and older adult humans. J Appl Physiol 97:998–1005. [https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01280](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01280.2003) [.2003](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01280.2003)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Do Nascimento Salvador PC, Dal Pupu J, de Lucas RD, de Aguiar RA, Arins FB, Guglielmo LGA (2016) The  $V$ <sup>O</sup><sub>2</sub> kinetics of maximal and supramaximal running exercises in sprinters and middle distance runners. J Strength Cond Res 30:2857–2863
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Drescher U, Schefter T, Koschate J, Schifer T, Brixius K, Schneider S, Hofmann U (2018) Oxygen uptake kinetics following six weeks of interval and continuous endurance exercise training—an explorative pilot study. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 247:156–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resp.2017.09.015>
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Fukuoka Y, Grassi B, Conti M, Guiducci D, Sutti M, Marconi C et al (2002) Early effects of exercise training on  $V'O<sub>2</sub>$  on-and off-kinetics in 50-year-old subjects. Pfüg Arch 443:690–697. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-001-0748-y) [org/10.1007/s00424-001-0748-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-001-0748-y)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Grey TM, Spencer MD, Belfry GR, Kowalchuk JM, Paterson DH, Murias JM (2015) Efects of age and long-term endurance training on *V*<sup>'</sup>O<sub>2</sub> kinetics. Med Sci Sports Exerc 47(2):289–298. [https](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000398) [://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000398](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000398)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Hofmann U, Drescher U, Benson AP, Rossiter HB, Essfeld D (2013) Skeletal muscle *V'O*<sub>2</sub> kinetics from cardio-pulmonary measurements: assessing distortions through  $O<sub>2</sub>$  transport by means of stochastic work-rate signals and circulatory modelling. Eur J Appl Physiol 113:1745–1754. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0042](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-013-2598-7) [1-013-2598-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-013-2598-7)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Hughson RL (2009) Oxygen uptake kinetics: historical perspective and future directions. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 34:840–850. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1139/H09-088) [doi.org/10.1139/H09-088](https://doi.org/10.1139/H09-088)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Inbar O, Kaiser P, Tesch P (1981) Relationships between leg muscle fber type distribution and leg exercise performance. Int J Sports Med 2:154–159. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1034603>
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Kilding A, Winter E, Fysh M (2006) A comparison of pulmonary oxygen uptake kinetics in middle- and long-distance runners. Int J Sports Med 27:419–426.<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-865778>
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Kilding AE, Fysh M, Winter EM (2007) Relationships between pulmonary oxygen uptake kinetics and other measures of aerobic ftness in middle- and long-distance runners. Eur J Appl Physiol 100:105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0413-z>
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Kohn TA, Essen-Gustavsson B, Myburgh KH (2007) Exercise pattern influences skeletal muscle hybrid fibers of runners and nonrunners. Med Sci Sports Exerc 39:1977–1984. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e3181453546) [org/10.1249/mss.0b013e3181453546](https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e3181453546)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Koppo K, Bouckaert J, Jones AM (2004) Efects of training status and exercise intensity on phase II  $V'O<sub>2</sub>$  kinetics. Med Sci Sports Exerc 36:225–232. [https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000113473](https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000113473.48220.20) [.48220.20](https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000113473.48220.20)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Lamarra N, Whipp BJ, Ward SA, Wasserman K (1987) Efect of interbreath fuctuations on characterizing exercise gas exchange kinetics. J Appl Physiol 62:2003–2012
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>McKay BR, Paterson DH, Kowalchuk JM (2009) Efect of short-term high-intensity interval training vs. continuous training on  $O<sub>2</sub>$ uptake kinetics, muscle deoxygenation, and exercise performance. J Appl Physiol 107:128–138. [https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysi](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.90828.2008) [ol.90828.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.90828.2008)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Mezzani A, Grassi B, Giordano A, Corra U, Colombo S, Giannuzzi P (2010) Age-related prolongation of phase I of  $V'O<sub>2</sub>$  on-kinetics in

healthy humans. AJP Regul Integr Comp Physiol 299(3):R968– R976. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00739.2009>

- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Murias JM, Kowalchuk JM, Paterson DH (2010) Speeding of  $V'O<sub>2</sub>$ kinetics with endurance training in old and young men is associated with improved matching of local  $O_2$  delivery to muscle  $O_2$ utilization. J Appl Physiol 108:913–922. [https://doi.org/10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01355.2009) [japplphysiol.01355.2009](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01355.2009)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Murias JM, Spencer MD, Paterson DH (2014) The critical role of  $O<sub>2</sub>$ provision in the dynamic adjustment of oxidative phosphorylation. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 42:4–11
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Ostojic SM, Mazic S, Dikic N (2006) Profling in basketball: physical and physiological characteristics of elite players. J Strength Cond Res 20:740–744
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Overend TJ, Paterson DH, Cunningham DA (1992) The effect of interval and continuous training on the aerobic parameters. Can J Sport Sci 17:129–134
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Poole DC, Jones AM (2012) Oxygen uptake kinetics. Compr Physiol 2:933–996
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Powers SK, Dodd S, Beadle RE (1985) Oxygen uptake kinetics in trained athletes differing in  $V$ <sup>O</sup><sub>2max</sub>. Eur J Appl Physiol 54:306–308
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Pringle JSM, Doust JH, Carter H, Tolfrey K, Campbell IT, Sakkas GK, Jones AM (2003) Oxygen uptake kinetics during moderate, heavy and severe intensity "submaximal" exercise in humans. The infuence of muscle fbre type and capillarisation. Eur J Appl Physiol 89(3–4):289–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-003-0799-1>
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Regensteiner JG, Bauer TA, Reusch JEB, Brandenburg SL, Sippel JM, Vogelsong AM, Smith S, Wolfel EE, Eckel RH, Hiatt WR (1998) Abnormal oxygen uptake kinetics responses in women with type II diabetes mellitus. J Appl Physiol 85:310–317
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Saltin B, Gollnick PD (1983) Skeletal muscle adaptability signifcance for metabolism and performance. In: Peachy LD, Adnan R, Geiger SR (eds) Handbook of physiology section 10: skeletal muscle. The Williams & Wilkins Company, Baltimore, pp 555–631
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Santos DA, Dawson JA, Matias CN, Rocha PM, Minderico CS, Allison DB, Sardinha LB, Silva AM (2014) Reference values for body composition and anthropometric measurements in athletes. PLoS ONE 9(5):e97846.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097846>
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Smekal G, von Duvillard SP, Rihacek C, Pokan R, Hofmann P, Baron R, Tschan H, Bachl N (2001) A physiological profle of tennis match play. Med Sci Sports Exerc 33:999–1005. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200106000-00020) [org/10.1097/00005768-200106000-00020](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200106000-00020)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Wilson JM, Loenneke JP, Jo E, Wilson GJ, Zourdos MC, Kim J-S (2012) The effects of endurance, strength, and power training on muscle fber type shifting. J Strength Cond Res 26:1724–1729

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.