ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Risk of mental illness after the diagnosis of occupational injury or disease: a retrospective cohort study

Ming-Hung Lin^{1,2} · Ya-Lun Yang³ · Fung-Chung Sung^{4,5} · Chiu-Shong Liu^{6,7} · Chi-Hsuan Lung⁸ · Jong-Yi Wang⁴

Received: 26 November 2019 / Accepted: 10 June 2020 / Published online: 18 June 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

or disease.

Objectives As studies on mental disorders in victims of occupational injury or disease are limited, this study aims to evaluate the risk of, and factors associated with, the development of mental illness in patients with occupational injury or disease using insurance claims data from Taiwan.

Methods This retrospective cohort study analyzed insurance records in Taiwan to identify 18,285 adults who experienced occupational injury or disease in 2002–2013 and 18,285 adults without occupational injury or disease who were matched by propensity score. The risks of mental disorders during a follow-up period of up to 2 years were estimated and compared between the two cohorts.

Results After controlling for other variables, the odds of mental illness in patients with occupational injury or disease was significantly higher compared to patients without occupational injury or disease. Additional factors associated with higher odds of mental disorders included female gender, age \geq 30 years (vs. 20–29 years), Charlson comorbidity index \geq 1, occupation category of labor union member, soldier, insured by social security, religious group member (vs. private or government employee), lower premium-based monthly salary (\leq 576 US\$), treatment at a district hospital or clinic (vs. medical center), treatment at a publically-owned or consortium-owned hospital (vs. private hospital), and central or southeast geographic location (vs. Taipei). The main types of mental illness were anxiety disorder (2.79%) and other psychoses (3.29%). **Conclusion** The risk of mental illness slightly increased during the 2-year period after the diagnosis of occupational injury

Keywords Occupational injury · Occupational disease · Mental illness · Risk factor

Jong-Yi Wang ericwang@mail.cmu.edu.tw

- ¹ Department of Pharmacy and Master Program, Tajen University, Pingtung, Taiwan
- ² Department of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan
- ³ Management of Planning and Coordinating Center Yuan's General Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
- ⁴ Department of Health Services Administration, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- ⁵ Management Office for Health Data, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan
- ⁶ Department of Family Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan
- ⁷ Department of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- ⁸ Department of Social Work, National Quemoy University, Kinmen 892, Taiwan

Introduction

Accidents are an important cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide and the sixth leading cause of deaths in Taiwan (Ministry of Health and Welfare 2017). Occupational injuries are estimated to account for more than 300,000 deaths annually and a substantial number of disability-adjusted life years globally (Rushton 2017; Takala et al. 2014). In Taiwan, the occupational accident rate in 2012 was 4.0 per 1000 full-time workers, and the occupational fatality rate was 2.9 per 100,000 full-time workers (Occupational Safety and Health Administration 2018). Occupational injuries can result in detrimental physical and psychological effects on the people affected and place economic burdens on individuals, industries and health care systems.

Several studies have provided evidence that occupational injury increases the risk of mental disorders (Lin et al. 2014). The reported rates of psychiatric disorders in workers who

have suffered an occupational injury range from 1.5% during the first 3 months after injury to 9.5% during the first year after injury (Lin et al. 2017; Asfaw and Souza 2012). Importantly, the rate of mental illness is around 50% higher in individuals with occupational injury than in control populations without occupational injury or with other medical conditions (Lin et al. 2017; Asfaw and Souza 2012). The average cost of outpatient treatment of depression is also higher for injured workers than for non-injured workers (Asfaw and Souza 2012). The psychiatric illnesses diagnosed in people with an occupational injury include major depressive disorder (MDD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and anxiety disorder (Lin et al. 2017; Asfaw and Souza 2012). The risk of mental illness after occupational injury is associated with various factors, including female gender, lower education level, longer hospitalization, and physical appearance related to residual injury (e.g. to the face) (Lin et al. 2017; Berecki-Gisolf et al. 2015). A worker may develop a mental illness within one year after occupational injury, and psychological distress can persist for up to 2 years after injury (Fauerbach et al. 2007). Furthermore, a mental disorder after occupational injury is an important factor delaying the return to work (Lin et al. 2013; Palmu et al. 2015).

Occupational injury and the subsequent mental disorders related to it are important public health challenges and studies on the associated factors and the types of mental disorders that develop are limited (Lin et al. 2017). The present study aims to evaluate the risk and associated factors of developing a mental disorder after occupational injury or disease in Taiwan. In addition, it analyzes the types of mental disorder that occurred.

Methodology

Study design and study cohorts

We sourced the data from the National Health Insurance Research Database, obtained from the National Health Research Institutes of Taiwan, to conduct a retrospective cohort study. Diseases were coded in the insurance database using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) (Medicode 1996). From the insurance claims data, people diagnosed with occupational injury or disease during the period 2003–2012 were identified as the injury cohort. The inclusion criteria were: (1) age \geq 20 years; (2) a recorded diagnosis of occupational injury or disease (payment category: GAVE_KIND); (3) no evidence of mental illness preceding the diagnosis of occupational injury; and (4) baseline information on demographic status and medical care received was available.

The propensity score was calculated for each person in the injury cohort. We further identified a non-injury cohort of the same sample size, matched by the propensity score and inclusion criteria to the injury cohort from the insurance claims data by people who were diagnosed with occupational injury or disease during the period 2003-2012. This statistical approach enabled us to reduce selection bias and confounding effects in our observational study (Joffe and Rosenbaum 1999). A propensity score for each person was determined using a multivariate logistic regression model that adjusted for the following baseline covariates: age range (based on subgroups of 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59 and \geq 60 years), gender, degree of urbanization of the region in which they resided, Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) and year of diagnosis of injury or disease (Charlson et al. 1987).

The National Health Research Institutes changed all personal identifications into surrogate numbers before releasing the database to researchers to protect the privacy of the insured people. This study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The NHIRD encrypts patient personal information to protect privacy and provides researchers with anonymous identification numbers associated with relevant claims information, including sex, date of birth, medical services received, and prescriptions. Therefore, patient consent is not required to access the NHIRD. This study was approved to fulfill the condition for exemption by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of China Medical University (CMUH104-REC2-115-CR3). The IRB also specifically waived the consent requirement.

Baseline characteristics

We considered variables used to estimate propensity score as the baseline factors that could be associated with the development of mental disorders. We also took in account of occupation, household income, type of medical care provider, and insurance sub-bureau as additional factors potentially associated with the development of mental disorders. In particular, the need for considering different categories in the type of health care providers in terms of level of hospital and ownership of hospital was due to an appreciation for the existence of inherent differences in the type and overall quality of health care services offered by different providers (Cid Pedraza et al. 2015; De Moraes et al. 2010). As noted by Lin et al. (2017), type and quality of care services for patients with occupational injury impact their psychological health outcomes in the long term. In line with research findings confirming differences in the profiles of occupational injury and related outcomes across occupations, insurance plans, and labor representation arrangements

(Concha-Barrientos et al. 2005; Brede et al. 2012), data on occupation were grouped into five categories: private or government employee, union or non-union, farmer or fisherman, military or social security insured, and religious or non-religious group.

Follow-up and outcome measures

Individuals included in this study were followed up for a maximum of 2 years or until being diagnosed with a mental disorder or censored because of lack to follow-up or withdrawal from the insurance database. Follow-up time in person-years was estimated for each person. Identification of mental illnesses was based on insurance claims data from the insurance database by participants for mental disorder related diagnoses and/or treatment. Types of mental illnesses were categorized as substance-related disorders, emotional disorder, anxiety disorder (Appendix 1 ICD Code) and other psychoses using the International Standard Diagnostic Classification of Diseases for Mental Illness (Medicode 1996).

Statistical analyses

Data analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). For enrollment into the control group, PSM was used to control for potential confounders (age, gender, degree of urbanization, CCI and year of diagnosis of injury or disease), as described above. A descriptive statistical approach was employed (Lin et al. 2017). Continuous data are presented as the mean, standard deviation (SD) and range. Count data are presented as n (%). In reference to Hou et al. (2011), the chi-square test for independence was used to determine whether there was an association between each baseline parameter and the occurrence of mental illness during the 2-year follow-up period. Binary logistic regression analysis, with the calculation of crude odds ratios (ORs), adjusted ORs (aORs), and 95% CIs, was used to identify factors, health, demographics, health provider, and geographic characteristics, that were associated with the occurrence of mental illness during the 2-year observation period. Multinomial logistic regression analysis was carried out to identify factors associated with the occurrence of different subtypes of mental illness during the 2-year follow-up period. P < 0.05 was taken to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Prevalence of mental disorders after occupational morbidity stratified by health, demographics, health provider and geographic characteristics

A total of 18,285 participants with occupational injury or disease were included in the analysis. The prevalence of mental disorders stratified by various baseline characteristics is presented in Table 1. The vast majority of participants who had been diagnosed with occupational injury (97.4%), 65.8% were male and 66.8% were aged 20–39 years. The CCI was 0 points in approximately half the participants (51.1%), and 60.5% were categorized as having occupation category 1 (private employee or government employee). The premium amount was \leq 576 US\$ in 57.7% of cases, and 64.5% of participants were treated in a private medical institution.

A total of 1494 participants (8.2%) developed a mental illness during the follow-up period (Table 1). The time interval between the diagnosis of occupational injury or disease and the diagnosis of mental illness was 9.51 ± 7.20 months. As shown in Table 1, the prevalence of mental disorders showed significant differences between subcategories of CCI (increasing prevalence with increasing CCI; P < 0.001), gender (higher prevalence in women; P < 0.001), age (increasing prevalence with increasing age; P < 0.001), occupation (highest prevalence for soldiers or those insured by social security; P < 0.05), premium-based monthly salary (highest prevalence for the 960-1210 US\$ category; P < 0.001), level of hospital (higher prevalence for medical centers and clinics; P < 0.001) and ownership of hospital (highest prevalence for publically-owned hospitals; P < 0.001). The prevalence of mental disorders did not vary significantly with type of occupational morbidity (occupational disease or occupational injury) or geographic characteristics (urbanization level or region).

Binary logistic regression analysis of health, demographics, health provider and geographic factors associated with mental disorders in the entire study cohort

Further analyses were performed for the entire study cohort, consisting of 18,285 people with occupational morbidity and 18,285 people without occupational morbidity who were propensity score-matched for gender, age, CCI, urbanization level and year of diagnosis. Binary logistic regression analysis revealed that occupational injury or disease was associated with significantly higher odds of mental illness (aOR: 1.098; 95% CI 1.008–1.196; **Table 1** Prevalence of mental disorders after occupational morbidity stratified by health, demographics, health provider and geographic characteristics (N = 18,285)

Variable	Frequency	%	No ment orders	No mental dis- orders		disorders	p value	
			n	%	n	%	(chi-squared)	
Total			16,791	91.83	1,494	8.17		
Health characteristics								
Type of occupational morbidity							0.6881	
Occupational disease	482	2.64	445	92.32	37	7.68		
Occupational injury	17,803	97.36	16,346	91.82	1457	8.18		
Charlson comorbidity index							< 0.0001*	
0	9345	51.11	8880	95.02	465	4.98		
1	7845	42.90	7041	89.75	804	10.25		
≥2	1095	5.99	870	79.45	225	20.55		
Demographic characteristics								
Gender							< 0.0001*	
Male	12,037	65.83	11,148	92.61	889	7.39		
Female	6248	34.17	5643	90.32	605	9.68		
Age							< 0.0001*	
20–29 years	8471	46.33	7854	92.72	617	7.28		
30–39 years	3745	20.48	3472	92.71	273	7.29		
40–49 years	3252	17.79	2958	90.96	294	9.04		
50–59 years	2262	12.37	2018	89.21	244	10.79		
>60 years	555	3.04	489	88.11	66	11.89		
Occupation							0.0255*	
First category (private employee or government employee)	11.054	60.45	10.193	92.21	861	7.79		
Second category (labor union member)	4258	23.29	3880	91.12	378	8.88		
Third category (farmer or fisherman)	1655	9.05	1528	92.33	127	7.67		
Fourth and fifth categories (soldier or insured by social security)	138	0.75	121	87.68	17	12.32		
Sixth category (religious group member)	1180	6.45	1069	90.59	111	9.41		
Premium-based monthly salary (US\$)	1100	0.15	1009	20.22		2.11	0.0002*	
< 576	10 553	57 71	9768	92 56	785	7 44	0.0002	
576_760	2844	15 55	2576	90.58	268	9.42		
760_960	12011	7.07	1184	91.64	108	8 36		
960 1210	1292	7.07	1104	91.04 80.47	1/1	10.53		
1210 1526	1339	7.32	1222	09.47	141	8.04		
> 1526	014	5.00	1223 842	91.00	120	0.94 7 00		
> 1520	914	5.00	042	92.12	12	7.00		
Level of heapital							< 0.0001*	
Level of nospital	2020	15 46	2560	00.81	260	0.10	< 0.0001	
Designal begrital	2020	25.40	4202	90.01	200	9.19		
District heavitel	4044	23.40	4525	95.09	222	6.52		
	5574 7020	19.55	5541	95.48	233	0.32		
Community of hermited	1239	39.39	0339	90.01	080	9.59	<0.0001*	
Ownership of nospital	11 70 4	64.45	10.065	02.20	010	7.00	< 0.0001*	
Private	11,/84	64.45	10,865	92.20	919	/.80		
Public	2000	10.94	1/93	89.65	207	10.35		
Consortium	3811	20.84	3481	91.34	330	8.66		
Association	690	3.77	652	94.49	38	5.51		
Geographic characteristics							0.0274	
Urbanization level				0			0.9371	
Level I (highest)	5383	29.44	4935	91.68	448	8.32		
Level 2	5352	29.27	4915	91.83	437	8.17		

Variable	Frequency	%	No ment orders	tal dis- Mental disor		disorders	orders p value	
			n	%	n	%	(chi-squared)	
Level 3	3878	21.21	3575	92.19	303	7.81		
Level 4	2401	13.13	2208	91.96	193	8.04		
Level 5	381	2.08	348	91.34	33	8.66		
Level 6	436	2.38	397	91.06	39	8.94		
Level 7 (lowest)	545	2.48	413	90.97	41	9.03		
Region							0.4630	
Taipei	6333	34.63	5826	91.99	507	8.01		
Northern	2279	12.46	2110	92.58	169	7.42		
Central	4029	22.03	3697	91.76	332	8.24		
Southern	2374	12.98	2180	91.83	194	8.17		
Southeast	2909	15.91	2651	91.13	258	8.87		
Eastern	361	1.97	327	90.58	34	9.42		

Table 1 (continued)

**p* < 0.05

P = 0.032; see Table 2). Additional factors identified as being associated with higher odds of mental illness included female gender, age \geq 30 years vs. 20–29 years, CCI score \geq 1, occupation categories 2 (labor union member), 4/5 (soldier or insured by social security) or 6 (religious group member) vs. occupation type 1 (private or government employee), lower premium-based monthly salary (\leq 576 US\$), treatment at a district hospital or clinic vs. a medical center, treatment at a publically-owned or consortium-owned hospital vs. a private hospital, and central or southeast geographic location vs. Taipei (Table 2). In particular, age \geq 30 years was identified as having a significantly linear association with increased odds of mental illness, while salary was identified as having a significantly inverse association with increased odds of mental illness regardless of gender and occupation type. In line with the results from the descriptive statistical analysis (Table 1), the binary logistic regression analysis indicated that the urbanization level did not significantly impact the prevalence of mental illnesses associated with occupational injury or disease.

Multinomial logistic regression analysis of factors associated with different types of mental illness in the occupational injury/disease cohort

Table 3 presents the factors associated with each type of mental illness in the occupational injury/disease cohort. Notably, male gender was associated with higher odds of substance-related disorder but lower odds of emotional disorder, anxiety disorder or other psychoses (Table 3). Furthermore, CCI score ≥ 1 was an important factor associated with increased odds of all subtypes of mental illness

(Table 3). The odds of a substance-related disorder in particular increased if treatment was given at a local medical service provider, while the odds of all four subtypes of mental illness dropped if treatment was at a private medical service provider, whereas urbanization level did not significantly impact the odds of any of the subtypes of mental illness (Table 3).

Discussion

A notable finding of the present study was that 8.2% of people who had experienced occupational injury or disease developed a mental disorder during the 2-year follow-up period. The average time from the diagnosis of occupational injury or disease to the development of mental illness was around 9.5 months. Risk factors for the development of mental illness included gender, age \geq 30 years (vs. 20–29 years), Charlson comorbidity index \geq 1, occupation category of labor union member, soldier, insured by social security, veteran or religious group member (vs. private or government employee), lower premium-based monthly salary (\leq US\$576), treatment at a district hospital or clinic (vs. medical center), treatment at a publically-owned or consortium-owned hospital (vs. private hospital), and central or southeast geographic location (vs. Taipei). The main types of mental illness were anxiety disorder (2.79%) and other psychoses (3.29%). Additionally, although female gender was associated with higher odds of emotional disorder, anxiety disorder or other psychoses, men were at greater risk of developing substance-related disorder after occupational injury or disease. These novel findings regarding risk factors for mental illness after occupational

Table 2	Binary l	ogistic regress	ion analysis of facto	ors associated with	h mental disorders	in the entire cohort $(N=36,570)$
---------	----------	-----------------	-----------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------------

Variable	Bivariate model			Multivariate model			
	Crude OR	95% CI	p value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p value	
Health characteristics							
Occupational morbidity							
Absent (reference)	1.00			1.00			
Present	0.99	0.92-1.07	0.72	1.10	1.01-1.20	0.032*	
Charlson comorbidity index							
0 (reference)	1.00			1.00			
1	2.30	2.12-2.50	< 0.0001*	2.30	2.10-2.50	< 0.0001*	
≥2	5.33	4.72-6.02	< 0.0001*	4.71	4.12-5.38	< 0.0001*	
Demographic characteristics							
Gender							
Male (reference)	1.00			1.00			
Female	1.26	1.17-1.36	< 0.0001*	1.38	1.28-1.50	< 0.0001*	
Age							
20–29 years (reference)	1.00			1.00			
30–39 years	1.35	1.22-1.50	< 0.0001*	1.61	1.43-1.80	< 0.0001*	
40–49 years	1.74	1.57-1.93	< 0.0001*	1.76	1.57-1.98	< 0.0001*	
50–59 years	2.05	1.83-2.28	< 0.0001*	1.74	1.53-1.97	< 0.0001*	
\geq 60 years	2.21	1.83-2.66	< 0.0001*	1.30	1.05-1.59	0.014*	
Occupation							
First category (private employee or government employee, reference)	1.00			1.00			
Second category (labor union member)	1.25	1.14-1.38	< 0.0001*	1.14	1.02-1.27	0.02*	
Third category (farmer or fisherman)	1.01	0.88-1.17	0.87	1.04	0.88-1.21	0.66	
Fourth and fifth categories (soldier or insured by social secu- rity)	1.86	1.35–2.58	0.0002*	2.10	1.49–2.94	< 0.0001*	
Sixth category (veteran or religious group member)	1.77	1.59-1.96	< 0.0001*	1.53	1.36-1.71	< 0.0001*	
Premium-based monthly salary (US\$)							
<576 (reference)	1.00			1.00			
576–760	1.17	1.05-1.29	0.0040*	0.88	0.78-0.99	0.031*	
760–960	1.01	0.85-1.20	0.88	0.77	0.64-0.92	0.005*	
960-1210	1.14	0.96-1.34	0.13	0.88	0.73-1.05	0.15	
1210–1526	0.96	0.80-1.15	0.66	0.72	0.59-0.87	0.0008*	
>1526	0.85	0.69-1.05	0.14	0.67	0.53-0.83	0.0003*	
Provider characteristics							
Level of hospital							
Medical center (reference)	1.00			1.00			
Regional hospital	0.87	0.77-0.99	0.03*	0.95	0.83-1.10	0.45	
District hospital	0.82	0.71-0.94	0.004*	1.20	1.01 - 1.41	0.034*	
Clinic	0.71	0.635-0.796	< 0.0001*	1.242	1.054-1.463	0.0097*	
Ownership of hospital							
Private (reference)	1.00			1.00			
Public	1.85	1.66-2.054	< 0.0001*	2.07	1.80-2.40	< 0.0001*	
Consortium	1.40	1.27-1.55	< 0.0001*	1.65	1.42-1.91	< 0.0001*	
Association	0.94	0.73-1.22	0.66	1.16	0.88-1.53	0.31	
Geographic characteristics							
Urbanization level							
Level 1 (highest, reference)	1.00			1.00			
Level 2	1.01	0.92-1.11	0.840	0.95	0.86-1.06	0.38	
Level 3	0.94	0.84-1.05	0.25	0.93	0.82-1.05	0.23	

Table 2	(continued)
---------	-------------

Variable	Bivariate m	odel	Multivariate model			
	Crude OR	95% CI	p value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p value
Level 4	1.02	0.90-1.15	0.74	0.95	0.82-1.09	0.45
Level 5	0.96	0.74-1.26	0.79	0.95	0.71-1.29	0.76
Level 6	0.97	0.75-1.25	0.80	0.85	0.64-1.13	0.27
Level 7 (lowest)	1.07	0.84-1.36	0.58	0.98	0.76-1.27	0.89
Region						
Taipei (reference)	1.00			1.00		
Northern	0.94	0.83-1.06	0.305	1.09	0.95-1.25	0.22
Central	1.09	0.98-1.20	0.12	1.26	1.12-1.43	0.0002*
Southern	1.08	0.96-1.22	0.23	1.12	0.97-1.28	0.13
Southeast	1.15	1.03-1.29	0.014*	1.20	1.06-1.36	0.004*
Eastern	1.32	1.02-1.69	0.03*	1.27	0.97-1.67	0.08

The cohort consisted of people with occupational morbidity and people without occupational morbidity who were matched 1:1 using propensity score matching based on gender, age, CCI, urbanization level and year of diagnosis

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio

*p < 0.05

injury or disease should be taken into consideration during the long-term management of people who experience occupational injury or disease.

In this study, the average time interval between the diagnosis of occupational injury or disease and the diagnosis of mental illness was 9.5 months, which is consistent with published data (Lin et al. 2014; Asfaw and Souza 2012; Palmu et al. 2015). Previous investigations have reported that occupational injury elevates the risk of mental disorders (Lin et al. 2014; Kim 2013). The rates of mental disorders after occupational injury range from 1.5% during the first 3 months to 9.5% during the first 12 months after injury (Lin et al. 2014, 2017, 2012; Asfaw and Souza 2012; Kim 2013). Moreover, mental illness occurs more often in individuals with occupational injury than in people without occupational injury (Lin et al. 2014, 2017, 2012; Asfaw and Souza 2012). Stress caused by occupational injury is a potential risk factor for mental illness. Some studies have pointed out that the causes of greater psychological stress in workers with occupational injury might include physical pain, unsatisfactory rehabilitation, sudden changes in disease condition, aggravation of injury caused by an early return to work, aggravation of a local injury, difficulties experienced during applications for occupational injury compensation or related resources, lack of employment security, inability to return to the place of employment, and a lack of social support from employers (Lin et al. 2014, 2017; Asfaw and Souza 2012; Dersh et al. 2006; Qin et al. 2014). Although it was not possible to assess potential stressors in the present study, some or all of the above factors may have contributed to the development of mental illness in the occupational injury/disease cohort.

In this study, females were much more likely than males to suffer from mental illness after occupational injury or disease. This is in good agreement with previous research showing that women were at higher risk than men in suffering from mental illness after occupational injury or disease (Lin et al. 2017; Asfaw and Souza 2012; Berecki-Gisolf et al. 2015). An important finding of our analysis was that males were at substantially higher risk of substance-related disorders whereas females were at greater risk of emotional disorders, anxiety disorders or other psychoses. This observation mirrors the general trends seen in population studies since women exhibit a lower rate of substance abuse but higher rates of many other mental illnesses, including mood and anxiety disorders (Becker and Hu 2008; McLean et al. 2011; Steel et al. 2014).

The results of the present analysis showed that older patients with occupational injury, particularly those aged 30–59 years, were more likely to suffer from mental illness. In general agreement with our finding, a previous study reported that the highest risk of mental illness after occupational injury was in people aged 31–40 years (Asfaw and Souza 2012). Moreover, another investigation also concluded that older age increased the risk of mental illness after occupational injury (Lin et al. 2017). Older age was also found to be associated with failure to retain work 1 year after an occupational injury and a higher rate of PTSD after military service (Brede et al. 2012; Armenta et al. 2018). Thus, older people may be more susceptible to the development of mental illness after occupational injury or other traumatic

 Table 3
 Multinomial logistic regression analysis of factors associated with different types of mental illness in the occupational injury/disease cohort

Variable	Substance-related disorder		Emotional disorder		Anxiety disorder		Other psychoses	
	aOR	р	aOR	р	aOR	р	aOR	р
Gender								
Female (reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Male	5.586	< 0.001 ^a	0.603	0.002^{a}	0.468	< 0.001 ^a	0.671	< 0.001 ^a
Age								
20–29 years	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
30–39 years	1.009	0.967	0.583	0.044 ^a	1.278	0.098	1.167	0.234
40–49 years	0.897	0.654	0.639	0.108	1.597	0.003 ^a	1.160	0.305
50–59 years	0.670	0.157	0.581	0.080	1.836	< 0.001 ^a	1.158	0.353
\geq 60 years	0.559	0.188	0.701	0.386	1.271	0.332	0.948	0.826
Occupation category ^a								
1 (reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
2	1.124	0.524	1.211	0.323	1.110	0.361	0.991	0.933
3	1.040	0.883	0.915	0.774	1.032	0.870	0.952	0.783
4 or 5	5.165	< 0.001 ^a	0.918	0.934	0.800	0.758	1.443	0.397
6	1.249	0.408	2.098	0.004 ^a	1.126	0.540	1.130	0.478
Occupational injury or disease								
Occupational disease (Reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Occupational injury	1.738	0.291	0.802	0.562	1.622	0.142	1.197	0.508
Premium-based monthly salary (US\$)								
<576 (reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
576–760	1.323	0.213	1.458	0.147	0.822	0.204	1.081	0.571
760–960	1.057	0.860	1.425	0.279	0.839	0.365	0.865	0.442
960-1210	1.211	0.515	1.006	0.987	1.218	0.259	1.196	0.287
1210–1526	1.649	0.068	1.150	0.702	0.726	0.120	1.032	0.859
>1526	0.636	0.293	0.843	0.733	1.082	0.711	0.745	0.197
Charlson comorbidity index								
0 points (reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
1 point	2.046	< 0.001 ^a	2.653	< 0.001 ^a	2.230	< 0.001 ^a	2.025	< 0.001 ^a
>2 points	3.752	< 0.001 ^a	7.001	< 0.001 ^a	6.054	< 0.001 ^a	4.085	< 0.001 ^a
Level of hospital								
Clinic (Reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
District hospital	0.256	< 0.001 ^a	0.641	0.083	0.992	0.953	0.506	< 0.001 ^a
Regional hospital	0.085	< 0.001 ^a	0.511	0.028 ^a	0.790	0.161	0.467	< 0.001 ^a
Medical center	0.100	< 0.001 ^a	0.685	0.238	0.976	0.896	0.596	0.004 ^a
Ownership of hospital	01100	(01001	01000	0.200	01770	0.070	01070	01001
Public (reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Private	0.127	$< 0.001^{a}$	0.273	$< 0.001^{a}$	0.679	0.034 ^a	0.532	$< 0.001^{a}$
Association	0.154	0.011 ^a	0.275	0.031 ^a	0.075	0.924	0.590	0.073
Consortium	0.134	0.011 ^a	0.205	0.382	1.064	0.724	0.806	0.075
Degree of urbanization	0.555	0.010	0.010	0.502	1.004	0.704	0.000	0.101
Level 1 (highest) (reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Level 1 (ingliest) (reference)	1.00	0 362	1.00	0.914	0.759	0.114	0.995	0 974
Level 3	1 212	0.302	0.778	0.214	0.759	0.114	1 102	0.274
Level J	0.054	0.022	1 407	0.209	1 29/	0.050	0.761	0.404
Level 5	1 225	0.555	0.300	0.414	0.670	0.502	1 501	0.+04
Level 5	1.555	0.522	1.061	0.209	0.070	0.230	1.005	0.072
Level 7 (lowest)	2 381	0.078	0.947	0.009	0.315	0.435	0.020	0.970
	2.501	0.020	0.777	0.717	0.157	0.755	0.720	0.007

(************									
Variable	Substance-related disorder		Emotional disorder		Anxiety disorder		Other psychoses		
	aOR	р	aOR	р	aOR	р	aOR	р	
Region									
Central (Reference)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Northern	1.093	0.748	0.534	0.049 ^a	0.903	0.521	0.968	0.841	
Taipei	0.929	0.756	0.604	0.039 ^a	0.617	< 0.001 ^a	1.036	0.790	
Eastern	1.791	0.122	1.006	0.990	0.897	0.744	0.665	0.278	
Southern	1.198	0.468	0.773	0.340	0.693	0.032 ^a	0.909	0.551	
Southeastern	1.789	0.012 ^a	1.003	0.989	0.682	0.015 ^a	1.259	0.103	

Table 3 (continued)

aOR adjusted odds ratio, 95% CI 95% confidence interval

^aOccupation type: category 1, private employee or government employee; category 2, labor union member; category 3, farmer or fisherman; category 4 or 5, soldier or insured by social security; category 6, veteran or religious group member

events. Interestingly, the subgroup analyses revealed that only anxiety disorders showed an association with older age, with a significantly elevated risk in those aged 40–59 years. However, this finding can also be explained by the general understanding that older age is associated with individuals having an increased risk of occupational injury and feeling of being vulnerable (Qin et al. 2014; Takala et al. 2014), which are elements that might contribute to higher odds of anxiety disorders among older people. This finding in people with occupational injury or disease is not entirely consistent with data on the general population, which indicate that anxiety disorders peak at 25–44 years (Martin 2003). The reasons for this apparent discrepancy are unknown and merit further research.

A notable finding of this study was that people with a higher degree of comorbidity (higher CCI score) were at greater risk of developing mental illness, and this association was consistently observed for all four subtypes of mental disorder. This observation is in agreement with other investigations showing that a higher CCI is associated with a greater long-term risk of anxiety or depression in patients with traumatic spinal cord injury and with higher anxiety scores in orthopedics and trauma inpatients (Lim et al. 2017; de Moraes et al. 2010). Furthermore, chronic illnesses have also been reported to elevate the risks of mood disorders such as depression (Patten 2001; Gadalla 2008). Thus, particular attention should be paid to the mental health status of people with comorbidities after occupational injury or disease, since these individuals are at higher risk for the development of mental illness.

Low levels of household income are known to be associated with mental illness and suicide attempts, and a reduction in household income is a risk for incident mood disorders (Sareen et al. 2011). A notable finding of our research was that patients with occupational morbidity and lower premium-based monthly salary were more likely to suffer from mental illness. Consistent with our data, salary as a percentage of total family income was found to be a risk factor for major depression or PTSD in people in Taiwan with occupational injury (Lin et al. 2017), while higher pre-injury income was associated with lower depressive symptoms in a Canadian cohort (O'Hagan et al. 2012). In addition, parttime work and shorter job tenure were associated with the development of depression after occupational injury (Kim 2013). Previous research has indicated that work-related injury or disease can have profound financial effects on those affected due to medical care-related costs, other ongoing expenses and reduced income, and that this can force individuals to use up their savings, borrow money, deplete retirement funds or even declare bankruptcy (Lax and Klein 2008). Thus, the psychological impact of occupational injury or disease may be greater on people with lower income.

Among the people with occupational morbidity analyzed in this study, the risk of mental illness was higher if they had occupations in categories 4/5 (soldier or insured by social security) or 6 (veteran or religious group member) as compared with category 1 (private employee or government employee). Other research has identified occupation type as a factor influencing the risk of depression after occupational injury (Asfaw and Souza 2012). This effect of occupation type on the risk of mental illness after occupational injury or disease may be related to differences in salary, since previous studies have shown that people with lower income are more likely to suffer from mental illness or have poor mental health (Sareen et al. 2011; Mangalore and Knapp 2012).

Although the degree of urbanization did not influence the risk of mental disorders, people who suffered occupational injury or disease in the Taipei sub-bureau had a lower risk of developing mental illness, most notably anxiety and emotional disorders. This may reflect better accessibility to medical resources that are concentrated in metropolitan areas with better economic conditions. A similar argument may underlie our observation that the risk of mental illness after occupational injury or disease was higher for patients treated in publically-owned medical institutions or local medical centers (clinics), since private medical institutions may have a greater array of medical resources. In support of this, previous studies have suggested that high-level medical institutions provide better medical care and that mortality rates are lower for private hospitals than for public hospitals (Hou et al. 2011; Cid Pedraza et al. 2015).

This study has some limitations. First, it sourced data from the National Health Insurance Research database issued by the Taiwan National Institutes of Health. However, this database only contains data for insured persons who have used medical resources; it does not contain data for people who have not used medical resources or who paid for their medical treatment. Therefore, it was not possible to assess the development of mental disorders in people without disease or those who paid for their treatment. Second, certain potential risk factors for mental disorder after occupational injury or disease, such as personality traits, past history of mental illness or family history of mental illness, could not be analyzed because they could not be obtained from the database. Third, the follow-up period was only 2 years, so we did not compare the long-term rates of mental illness between those with and without occupational injury or disease. Fourth, it was not possible to evaluate whether the severity of occupational injury was a risk factor for mental illness as relevant information was unavailable. Fifth, traffic accidents on the way to and from work are included as occupational injuries in Taiwan, so direct comparisons with other published data not including traffic accidents as occupational injuries could not be made.

Conclusion

An analysis of data from the National Health Insurance Research Database for 2003–2013 revealed that 8.2% of people with occupational injury or disease developed a mental illness during the 2-year follow-up period, with an average time of 9.5 months between the diagnosis of occupational injury or disease and the diagnosis of mental illness. Risk factors for the development of mental illness included gender, age \geq 30 years, low income and high CCI score. Although female gender was associated with a higher risk of emotional disorder, anxiety disorder or other psychoses, men were at higher risk of substance-related disorder. The results of this study provide useful reference information for government health organizations and unions that could help to develop preventive interventional measures for people at high risk of mental illness after occupational injury or disease.

Author contributions Conceptualization: M-HL, J-YW, Y-LY. Data curation: C-SL, C-HL, F-CS. Investigation: all authors. Methodology: all authors. Validation: C-SL, C-HL, F-CS. Resources: Y-LY, J-YW. Supervision: J-YW. Writing—original draft: M-HL, J-YW. Writing—review and editing: all authors.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at China Medical University and Hospital (CMUH104-REC2-115-CR3). Informed consent was waived due to data anonymization. This study was financially supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (Grant no. MOST104-2410-H-039-006) and China Medical University in Taiwan (Grant no. CMU107-S-18).

Appendix

See Tables 4 and 5.

Table 4 International classification of diseases for mental illness

Code	Diagnosis
290–319	Mental disorders
290–294	Organic psychotic conditions
290	Senile and presenile organic psychotic conditions
291	Alcoholic psychoses
292	Drug psychoses
293	Transient organic psychotic conditions
294	Other organic psychotic conditions (chronic)
295–299	Other psychoses
295	Schizophrenic disorders
296	Affective psychoses
297	Paranoid states
298	Other nonorganic psychoses
299	Psychoses with origin specific to childhood
300–316	Neurotic disorders, personality disorders and other nonpsychotic mental disorders
300	Neurotic disorders
301	Personality disorders
302	Sexual deviations and disorders
303	Alcohol dependence syndrome
304	Drug dependence
305	Nondependent abuse of drugs
306	Physiological malfunction arising from mental factors
307	Special symptoms or syndromes not elsewhere classified
308	Acute reaction to stress
309	Adjustment reaction
310	Specific nonpsychotic mental due to organic brain damage
311	Depressive disorder, not elsewhere classified
312	Disturbance of conduct not elsewhere classified
313	Disturbance of emotions specific to childhood and ado- lescence
314	Hyperkinetic syndrome of childhood
315	Specific delays in development
316	Psychic factors associated with diseases classified else- where
317-319	Mental retardation
317	Mild mental retardation
318	Other specified mental retardation
319	Unspecified mental retardation

Source: Medicode (1996) ICD-9-CM: International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision

 Table 5
 International standard classification substance-related disorders, emotional disorders and anxiety

Code	Diagnosis
Substance-related disorders	
291.0	Alcohol withdrawal delirium
291.1	Alcohol amnestic syndrome
291.2	Other alcoholic dementia
291.3	Other withdrawal hallucinosis
291.5	Alcoholic jealousy
291.8	Alcohol withdrawal
291.9	Unspecified alcoholic psychosis
292.0	Drug withdrawal syndrome
292.11	Drug-induced organic delusional syndrome
292.12	Drug-induced hallucinosis
292.81	Drug-induced delirium
292.82	Drug-induced dementia
292.83	Drug-induced amnestic syndrome
292.84	Drug-induced organic affective syndrome
292.89	Other specified drug-induced mental disorders
292.9	Unspecified drug-induced mental disorder
303.00	Acute alcoholic intoxication, unspecified
303.90	Other and unspecified alcohol dependence, unspecific
304	Opioid type dependence, unspecified
305	Alcohol abuse, unspecified
Emotional disorder	
290.13	Presenile dementia with depressive features
290.2	Senile dementia with delusional or depressive features
290.21	Senile dementia with depressive features
290.43	Arteriosclerotic dementia with depressive features
296.2	Major depressive disorder, single episode
296.20	Major depressive disorder, single episode, unspecified
296.21	Major depressive disorder, single episode, mild
296.22	Major depressive disorder, single episode, moderate
296.23	Major depressive disorder, single episode, severe without mention of psychotic behavior
296.24	Major depressive disorder, single episode, severe specified as with psychotic behavior
296.25	Major depressive disorder, single episode, in partial or unspecified remission
296.26	Major depressive disorder, single episode, in full remission
296.3	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode
296.30	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, unspecified
296.31	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, mild
296.32	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, moderate
296.33	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, severe without mention of psychotic behavior
296.34	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, severe specified as with psychotic behavior
296.35	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, in partial or unspecified remission
296.36	Major depressive disorder, recurrent episode, in full remission
300.4	Neurotic depression
301.12	Chronic depressive personality disorder
301.13	Cyclothymic disorder
309.0	Brief depressive reaction
309.1	Prolonged depressive reaction
311	Depressive disorder, not elsewhere classified
Anxiety disorder	
293.89	Other specified transient organic mental disorders

Table 5 (continued)

Code	Diagnosis			
309.81	Prolonged posttraumatic stress disorder			
300.00	Anxiety state, unspecified			
300.01	Panic disorder			
300.02	Generalized anxiety disorder			
300.21	Agoraphobia with panic attacks			
300.22	Agoraphobia without mention of panic attacks			
300.23	Social phobia			
300.29	Other isolated or simple phobias			
300.3	Obsessive-compulsive disorders			
300.8	Other neurotic disorders			
300.89	Other neurotic disorders			
300.9	Unspecified neurotic disorder			
308.3	Other acute reaction to stress			

Source: Medicode (1996) ICD-9-CM: International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision

References

- Asfaw A, Souza K (2012) Incidence and cost of depression after occupational injury. J Occup Environ Med 54:1086–1091
- Armenta RF, Rush T, LeardMann CA, Millegan J, Cooper A, Hoge CW, Millennium CST (2018) Factors associated with persistent posttraumatic stress disorder among U.S. military service members and veterans. BMC Psychiatry 18:48
- Becker JB, Hu M (2008) Sex differences in drug abuse. Front Neuroendocrinol 29:36–47
- Berecki-Gisolf J, Smith PM, Collie A, McClure RJ (2015) Gender differences in occupational injury incidence. Am J Ind Med 58:299–307
- Brede E, Mayer TG, Gatchel RJ (2012) Prediction of failure to retain work 1 year after interdisciplinary functional restoration in occupational injuries. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 93:268–274
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR (1987) A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 40:373–383
- Cid Pedraza C, Herrera CA, Prieto Toledo L, Oyarzún F (2015) Mortality outcomes in hospitals with public, private not-for-profit and private for-profit ownership in Chile 2001–2010. Health Policy Plan 1:i75–81
- Concha-Barrientos M, Nelson DI, Fingerhut M, Driscoll T, Leigh J (2005) The global burden due to occupational injury. Am J Ind Med 48:470–481
- Dersh J, Gatchel RJ, Mayer T, Polatin P, Temple OR (2006) Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in patients with chronic disabling occupational spinal disorders. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 31:1156–1162
- De Moraes VY, Jorge MR, Faloppa F, Belloti JC (2010) Anxiety and depression in Brazilian orthopaedics inpatients: a cross sectional study with a clinical sample comparison. J Clin Psychol Med Settings 17:31–37
- Fauerbach JA, McKibben J, Bienvenu OJ, Magyar-Russell G, Smith MT, Holavanahalli R, Patterson DR, Wiechman SA, Blakeney P, Lezotte D (2007) Psychological distress after major burn injury. Psychosom Med 69:473–482
- Gadalla T (2008) Association of comorbid mood disorders and chronic illness with disability and quality of life in Ontario, Canada. Chronic Dis Can 28:148–154

- Hou YH, Lin SP, Chiu YL, Hsu YJ, Cheng LL (2011) Investigating the quality of outpatient care for diabetic patients in different health care organizations in Taiwan. Cheng Ching Med J 7:32–41
- Joffe MM, Rosenbaum PR (1999) Invited commentary: propensity scores. Am J Epidemiol 150:327–333
- Kim J (2013) Depression as a psychosocial consequence of occupational injury in the US working population: findings from the medical expenditure panel survey. BMC Public Health 13:303
- Lax MB, Klein R (2008) More than meets the eye: social, economic, and emotional impacts of work-related injury and illness. New Solut 18:343–360
- Lim SW, Shiue YL, Ho CH, Yu SC, Kao PH, Wang JJ, Kuo JR (2017) Anxiety and depression in patients with traumatic spinal cord injury: a nationwide population-based cohort study. PLoS ONE 12:e0169623
- Lin KH, Guo NW, Shiao SC, Liao SC, Hu PY, Hsu JH, Hwang YH, Guo YL (2013) The impact of psychological symptoms on return to work in workers after occupational injury. J Occup Rehabil 23:55–62
- Lin KH, Guo NW, Liao SC, Kuo CY, Hu PY, Hsu JH, Hwang YH, Guo YL (2012) Psychological outcome of injured workers at 3 months after occupational injury requiring hospitalization in Taiwan. J Occup Health 54:289–298
- Lin KH, Chu PC, Kuo CY, Hwang YH, Wu SC, Guo YL (2014) Psychiatric disorders after occupational injury among National Health Insurance enrollees in Taiwan. Psychiatry Res 219:645–650
- Lin KH, Shiao JS, Guo NW, Liao SC, Kuo CY, Hu PY, Hsu JH, Hwang YH, Guo YL (2017) Long-term psychological outcome of workers after occupational injury: prevalence and risk factors. J Occup Rehabil 24:1–10
- Mangalore R, Knapp M (2012) Income-related inequalities in common mental disorders among ethnic minorities in England. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 47:351–359
- Martin P (2003) The epidemiology of anxiety disorders: a review. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 5:281–298
- McLean CP, Asnaani A, Litz BT, Hofmann SG (2011) Gender differences in anxiety disorders: prevalence, course of illness, comorbidity and burden of illness. J Psychiatr Res 45:1027–1035
- Ministry of Health and Welfare (2017) Taiwan's leading causes of death in 2016. https://www.mohw.gov.tw/cp-3425-33347-2.html. Accessed 1 Aug 2018

- Medicode (1996) ICD-9-CM: International classification of diseases, 9th revision, Clinical Modification. Salt Lake City
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Ministry of Labor, Republic of China (2018) National Occupational Safety and Health Profile of Taiwan. https://she.mcu.edu.tw/sites/default/files /u3/National%2520Occupational%2520Safety%2520and%2520H ealth%2520Profile%2520of%2520Taiwan.pdf. Accessed 1 Aug 2018
- O'Hagan FT, Ballantyne PJ, Vienneau P (2012) Mental health status of Ontario injured workers with permanent impairments. Can J Public Health 103:e303–e308
- Palmu R, Partonen T, Suominen K, Vuola J, Isometsä E (2015) Return to work six months after burn: a prospective study at the Helsinki Burn Center. Burns 41:1152–1160
- Patten SB (2001) Long-term medical conditions and major depression in a Canadian population study at waves 1 and 2. J Affect Disord 63:35–41
- Qin J, Kurowski A, Gore R, Punnett L (2014) The impact of workplace factors on filing of workers' compensation claims among nursing home workers. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 15:29
- Rushton L (2017) The global burden of occupational disease. Curr Environ Health Rep 4:340–348

- Sareen J, Afifi TO, McMillan KA, Asmundson GJ (2011) Relationship between household income and mental disorders: findings from a population-based longitudinal study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 68:419–427
- Steel Z, Marnane C, Iranpour C, Chey T, Jackson JW, Patel V, Silove D (2014) The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980–2013. Int J Epidemiol 43:476–493
- Takala J, Hämäläinen P, Saarela KL, Yun LY, Manickam K, Jin TW, Heng P, Tjong C, Kheng LG, Lim S, Lin GS (2014) Global estimates of the burden of injury and illness at work in 2012. J Occup Environ Hyg 11:326–337

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.