

Riccardo Soffietti
Roberta Rudā
Roberto Mutani

Management of brain metastases

■ **Abstract** Brain metastases occur in 20–40% of patients with cancer and their frequency has increased over time. Lung, breast and skin (melanoma) are the commonest sources of brain metastases, and in up to 15% of patients the primary site remains unknown. After the introduction of MRI, multiple lesions have outnumbered single lesions. Contrast-enhanced MRI is the gold standard for the diagnosis. There are no pathognomonic features on CT or MRI that distinguish brain metastases from primary malignant brain tumors or nonneoplastic conditions: therefore a tissue diagnosis by biopsy should be always obtained in patients with unknown primary tumor before undergoing radiotherapy and/or chemother-

apy. Some factors are prognostically important: a high Performance Status, a solitary brain metastasis, an absence of systemic metastases, a controlled primary tumor and a younger age. Based on these factors, subgroups of patients with different prognosis have been identified (RPA class I, II, III). Symptomatic therapy includes corticosteroids to reduce vasogenic cerebral edema and anticonvulsants to control seizures. In patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases prophylactic anticonvulsants should not be used routinely. The combination of surgery and whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) is superior to WBRT alone for the treatment of single brain metastasis in patients with limited or absent systemic disease and good neurological condition. Complete surgical resection allows a relief of intracranial hypertension, seizures and focal neurological deficits. Radiosurgery, alone or in conjunction with WBRT, yields results which are comparable to those reported after surgery followed by WBRT, provided that lesion's diameter does not exceed 3–3.5 cm. Radiosurgery offers the potential of treating patients with surgically inaccessible metastases.

Still controversial is the need for WBRT after surgery or radiosurgery: local control seems better with the combined approach, but overall survival does not improve. Late neurotoxicity in long surviving patients after WBRT is not negligible; to avoid this complication patients with favorable prognostic factors must be treated with conventional schedules of RT, and monitoring of cognitive functions is important. WBRT alone is the treatment of choice in patients with single brain metastasis not amenable to surgery or radiosurgery, and with an active systemic disease, and in patients with multiple brain metastases. A small subgroup of these latter may benefit from surgery. The response rate of brain metastases to chemotherapy is similar to the response rate of the primary tumor and extracranial metastases, some tumor types being more chemosensitive (small cell lung carcinoma, breast carcinoma, germ cell tumors). New radiosensitizers and cytotoxic or cytostatic agents, and innovative technique of drug delivery are being investigated.

■ **Key words** brain metastases · diagnosis · treatment

Received: 20 May 2002
Accepted: 23 May 2002

Riccardo Soffietti, MD (✉) ·
R. Rudā · R. Mutani
II Division of Neurology
Dept. Neuroscience
University and San Giovanni Battista
Hospital
V. Cherasco 15
10126, Torino, Italy
Tel.: +39-011/6334904
Fax: +39-011/6963487
E-Mail: riccardo.soffietti@unito.it

Introduction

Brain metastases represent one of the most frequent neurological complications of systemic cancer, being an important cause of morbidity and mortality [99, 111, 127]. Brain metastases are the commonest intracranial tumors, outnumbering primary brain tumors [6]. The frequency of brain metastases has increased over time, probably as a result of advances in neuroimaging procedures and improvements in the treatment of primary tumor and systemic disease, which have led to an increase of survival.

The majority of patients who develop brain metastases have a relatively short survival, despite the fact that initial treatment is often effective. The short survival may be the result of either a progressive systemic disease (more than a half of patients) or an uncontrolled neurological disease. The treatment of brain metastases includes corticosteroids, anticonvulsants, radiotherapy, surgery, radiosurgery and chemotherapy. Although for many patients effective palliation is transient or not possible, other patients with metastatic brain disease do well for prolonged periods with a vigorous therapeutic approach. Based on the knowledge of prognostic factors, i. e. those factors that are recognized to significantly influence the duration of survival, it is crucial to identify at diagnosis subgroups of patients with different life expectancy, who need to be treated with different therapeutic approaches.

Epidemiology and pathophysiology

Brain metastases occur in 20–40% of patients with cancer, being symptomatic during life in 60–75% or discovered incidentally on CT/MRI and at autopsy [6].

In adults, lung (36–64%), breast (15–25%) and skin (melanoma) (5–20%) are the commonest sources of brain metastases. Less frequent are cancers from colon-rectum, kidney, prostate, testis, ovary and sarcomas; in general any systemic tumor is able to metastasize to the brain. The primary site is unknown in up to 15% of patients with brain metastases. The propensity of primary tumors to spread to the brain parenchyma (“neurotropism”) differs, being high in melanoma (20–45% of patients), small-cell lung cancer, choriocarcinoma and other germ cell tumors; intermediate in breast cancer, non small-cell lung cancers (being more frequent in adenocarcinomas than in squamous tumors) and renal cancer; low in cancers of the prostate, gastrointestinal tract, ovary, thyroid and sarcomas. Cerebral metastatic disease in children is less frequent than in adults (6–10%) [16, 54, 123]. The commonest childhood solid tumors that metastasize to the brain are neuroblastomas and a variety of sarcomas, including rhabdomyosarcoma, Wilm’s tumor, Ewing’s sarcoma and

osteogenic sarcoma. In children older than 15 years, germ cell tumors have the highest incidence.

Brain metastases are more often diagnosed in patients with known malignancy and sometimes this is the first evidence of the metastatic disease (metachronous presentation). Less frequently (up to 30%) brain metastases are discovered in patients at the same time as primary tumour diagnosis (synchronous presentation) or before the evidence of primary disease (precocious presentation).

In the CT era about 50% of brain metastases were thought to be single, while MRI has revealed that multiple lesions are between two thirds and three fourths [110, 121]. Brain metastases from renal and pelvic-abdominal tumors are often single, whereas malignant melanoma and lung tumors have a greater tendency to produce multiple cerebral lesions.

The overwhelming majority of brain metastases arise from embolisation of tumour cells through the arterial circulation (hematogenous spread). The occurrence of metastases in the different locations is roughly proportional to their relative mass and blood flow: lesions are located in the cerebral hemispheres in at least 80% of patients, in the cerebellum in 15%, in the brainstem in 5%, being very rare in basal ganglia, pineal gland and hypophysis [34]. Brain metastases most commonly are found at the junction of the hemispheric gray and white matter and are overrepresented in “watershed” areas of the brain, consistent with the origin of metastases from tumor cell emboli carried to terminal arterioles. Melanoma is unusual in its predilection to metastasize to the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia rather than to the gray-white matter junction [19, 32]. There are a few circumstances in which nonspecific hematogenous spread does not explain the observed distribution of brain metastases. For instance, pelvic and abdominal tumors have a predilection to form posterior fossa metastases far in excess of what the proportion of blood flow supply to this region would predict. Dissemination by way of Batson’s vertebral venous plexus has long been invoked to explain this phenomenon, but this hypothesis cannot explain why patients with pelvic or abdominal tumors have not a high incidence of spinal and skull metastases as well, as these structures are also drained by Batson’s plexus.

The “soil and seed” hypothesis of metastasis formation [42, 43] explains why circulating tumor cells may travel throughout the body, but metastases tend to form in particular organs (as in the brain in absence of lung metastases): the metastasis formation would be the result of the interactions between the organ microenvironment (the “soil”) and the adhesive and invasive capabilities of the metastasizing tumor cells (the “seed”). Neoplastic cells with the potential to colonize the brain may express unique molecular determinants and may also respond to brain-derived growth factors, and thus

be able to invade, proliferate and induce angiogenesis [78, 90, 132].

Diagnosis

The clinical presentation of brain metastases is similar to the presentation of any intracranial mass lesion. Headache is a presenting symptom in 40% to 50% of patients, is commoner with multiple metastases or with posterior fossa tumors, and may be mild. Papilledema is associated to headache in 15–25% of patients only. Up to 40% of patients present with focal neurological deficits, and seizures occur in about 15% to 20% of patients. Another 5% to 10% of patients have an acute “stroke-like” onset of symptoms, due to an intratumoral hemorrhage (especially from melanoma, choriocarcinoma and renal carcinoma). Altered mental status or impaired cognition are frequently seen, particularly in patients with multiple metastases and/or increased intracranial pressure, sometimes resembling a metabolic encephalopathy. The symptoms and signs at presentation are often quite subtle; however, brain metastases should be suspected in any patient with known systemic cancer in whom new neurological findings develop.

Contrast-enhanced MRI is more sensitive than enhanced CT (including double-dose delayed contrast) or unenhanced MRI in detecting brain metastases, particularly lesions in the posterior fossa or multiple punctate metastases [28, 110, 121]. Although T2-weighted images are sensitive in showing vasogenic edema as areas of increased signal intensity, not all metastatic lesions have sufficient edema to be identified. Some studies have reported that triple doses of gadolinium are significantly better than single doses [122, 133]. Metastases 1 cm or greater in diameter were easily seen with standard doses of contrast and generally produce T2 signal abnormality as well; triple-dose gadolinium was slightly better in demonstrating metastases from 5 to 10 mm and was three times as sensitive for demonstrating lesions less than 5 mm [133]. MRI is particularly recommended for patients with an apparently single metastasis on CT, who are candidates for surgical resection, and for patients with limited primary disease (i. e. lung tumors) in whom the demonstration of asymptomatic brain metastases would alter the therapeutic management.

There are no pathognomonic features on CT or MRI that distinguish brain metastases; however a peripheral location, spherical shape, ring enhancement with prominent peritumoral edema and multiple lesions all suggest metastatic disease. These characteristics are helpful but not diagnostic even in patients with a history of cancer. A differential diagnosis including primary brain tumors (especially malignant gliomas and lymphomas) and nonneoplastic conditions (abscesses, infections, hemorrhages) must be considered. A tissue di-

agnosis by biopsy should be obtained in patients with either unknown primary tumor or well-controlled systemic cancer, especially if a long interval has elapsed since the initial cancer diagnosis, or, seldom, in patients with active systemic cancer when the radiographic appearance is atypical: in the age of stereotactic biopsy there is never a justification for irradiating “presumed brain metastases” without an histological diagnosis of cancer.

When a brain mass is discovered on CT or MRI and there is no prior history of cancer, it is difficult to know how far to pursue a systemic investigation. As in most cases of brain metastases the primary tumor is located in the lung [67, 82], a chest radiograph and chest CT are always recommended. CT of the abdomen occasionally shows an unsuspected cancer. Further search for a primary tumor is almost never fruitful without positive features in the patient’s history or localizing signs on the physical examination to suggest a specific primary tumor [126].

Regarding brain metastases from an undetected primary site at the first investigations, a recent study has shown that, when performing serial investigations based on CT during the follow-up in asymptomatic patients, the primary tumor (a non small-cell lung carcinoma in the majority) may be discovered in almost all patients, but few of them only benefit in terms of survival from the early detection and treatment [106]. Therefore a costly extensive evaluation for the undetected primary during the follow-up is not appropriate until more effective cancer therapies are available [106, 126]: in this regard the clinical relevance of FDG-PET for detecting the primary tumor in addition to conventional procedures [62] is limited.

In patients with brain metastases, a CSF examination is not indicated, apart from those with symptoms, signs or neuroimaging findings that suggest an associated leptomeningeal carcinomatosis.

Prognostic factors

Several studies have identified the following factors as prognostically favourable in patients with brain metastases: a high Performance Status, a solitary brain metastasis, an absence of systemic metastases, a controlled primary tumor and a younger age (<60–65 years) [36, 48, 59, 66, 93]. Gaspar [48] utilized RTOG (Radiation Therapy Oncology Group) databases to perform a recursive-partitioning analysis (RPA) on 1200 patients with brain metastases. Based on univariate analysis, the Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) (Table 1) was the most important prognostic factor and became the first node of a prognostic tree. Among patients with KPS of 70 or greater, status of the primary tumor was the second most important prognostic factor. Age was the third

Table 1 Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS)

KPS 100	Normal; no complaints; no evidence of disease
KPS 90	Able to carry on normal activity; minor signs or symptoms of disease
KPS 80	Normal activity with effort; some signs or symptoms of disease
KPS 70	Cares for self; unable to carry on normal activity or to do active work
KPS 60	Requires occasional assistance, but is able to care for most personal needs
KPS 50	Requires considerable assistance and frequent medical care
KPS 40	Disabled; requires special care and assistance
KPS 30	Severely disabled; hospitalization is indicated, although death not imminent
KPS 20	Very sick; hospitalization necessary; active support treatment is necessary
KPS 10	Moribund; fatal processes progressing rapidly
KPS 0	Death

factor and systemic metastases the fourth. The following three prognostic classes were then constructed (Table 2): RPA class I, including patients with KPS of 70 or greater, age 65 years or younger, controlled primary tumor and no systemic metastases, with a median survival of 7.1 months; RPA class II, including all patients not belonging to class I or III, with a median survival of 4.2 months; RPA class III, including patients with KPS less than 70, with a median survival of 2.3 months. In this study 20% of patients were allocated to class I and 65% to class II. The validity of this prognostic classification has been confirmed on other databases [23, 49, 92, 130], with two additional findings: class I patients may be very few (3% only in one study) and class II patients with controlled systemic disease show survival figures similar to those of class I patients. In conclusion, the RPA classes provide significant prognostic information that can be used to select a minority of patients for intensive local treatments (surgery, radiosurgery).

Recently a poor Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE) has been shown to be prognostically important [87], but it is unknown if MMSE may provide additional prognostic significance to the RPA classes. Not unanimously recognized as favorable prognostic factors are a

Table 2 Recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) of prognostic factors in patients with brain metastases

RPA class	Criteria	Median survival time (months)
I	Karnofsky Performance Status \geq 70 < 65 years of age Controlled primary tumor No systemic metastases	7.1
II	Karnofsky performance status \geq 70 and at least one of the following: \geq 65 years of age Uncontrolled primary tumor Presence of systemic metastases	4.2
III	Karnofsky Performance Status < 70	2.3

breast primary, a metachronous presentation, a time interval > 12 months between the diagnosis of primary and the appearance of brain metastasis, the number of brain metastases and the response to steroids [1, 66, 124, 134].

The prognosis is not different between patients with a known and unknown primary tumor [75, 82, 89].

Therapy

■ General considerations

Therapy of patients with brain metastases can be divided into two areas: symptomatic therapy and definitive therapy. Symptomatic measures are usually instituted immediately and are the same for patients with single or multiple metastases; they include corticosteroids to reduce cerebral edema and anticonvulsants to control seizures. Definitive therapy is directed against the tumor itself and is designed to eradicate or at least diminish the malignancy: surgery, radiosurgery and conventional radiotherapy are the most commonly used treatments.

A critical problem is that of the criteria of evaluation of treatment results. Improvement in neurologic function is a prime goal of treatment but patient's neurological status can be affected by steroid dose and concurrent systemic problems. More objective ways of evaluating a response to a given treatment modality include performing serial imaging studies (CT or MRI) and documenting a decrease in steroid requirements [74]. The duration of survival is an objective and easily measurable way for evaluating treatment efficacy in brain metastases, but its usefulness is limited by the fact that more than a half of patients die from the systemic cancer and not from the neurological disease. Time to neurological deterioration and quality of life have been increasingly used in recent years.

■ Corticosteroids

Dexamethasone is the corticosteroid of choice, largely because of its minimal mineralocorticoid effect. Its long half-life allows for twice-daily dosing. Most patients are successfully managed with starting doses of 4 to 8 mg per day [111, 129]. Patients with severe headache, focal deficits or somnolence may be started at higher doses (16 mg per day); occasionally patients require higher doses (up to 100 mg/d). Patients with small, completely asymptomatic lesions may not need steroids. Steroids may be useful to reduce the acute side effects of cranial irradiation. Up to 75% of patients with brain metastases show marked clinical improvement within 24 to 72 hours after beginning dexamethasone [20]: generalized

symptoms such as headache and altered mental status tend to improve more dramatically than focal symptoms. Any corticosteroid is effective if given in equipotent doses. It is advisable to continually attempt to reduce the dose once definitive treatment is underway and patients have stabilized. Patients who respond well can often be completely weaned off steroids within several weeks, whereas approximately 25% of patients require long-term treatment to maintain neurological function. Side effects from steroids are frequent and can contribute to disability. When used as the sole form of treatment, dexamethasone produces about one month's remission of symptoms and slightly increases the 4-to-6-week median survival of patients who receive no treatment at all. The mechanism of corticosteroid effect in cerebral edema remains unclear, although it is thought to restore the disrupted capillary permeability (partly due to vasoactive substances secreted by tumors).

■ Anticonvulsants

The need for anticonvulsant medication is clear in patients who have experienced a seizure by the time their brain tumor is diagnosed. Although many clinicians routinely place patients with brain metastases on prophylactic antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), the evidence does not support this practice. A large retrospective study of patients with brain metastases revealed that 13% of patients put on prophylactic AEDs (almost always phenytoin) had late seizures compared with 11% of patients not getting prophylactic AEDs [26]. A prospective blinded study randomized patients with supratentorial brain tumors (90% of whom had brain metastases) to receive either valproic acid or placebo [52]. Late seizures occurred in 35% of the treatment group and 24% of the placebo group. Phenytoin, carbamazepine and phenobarbital all reduce the efficacy of corticosteroids. Furthermore these anticonvulsants stimulate the cytochrome P450 system, accelerating the metabolism of many chemotherapeutic agents, including nitrosoureas, paclitaxel, cyclophosphamide, topotecan, irinotecan, thiotepa, adriamycin and methotrexate: consequently, inadequate chemotherapeutic dosing of brain tumor patients is a significant problem [41]. The potential immunosuppressive effect of anticonvulsant medications represents an additional risk to this already compromised patient population [88].

Recently the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology has reported on anticonvulsant prophylaxis in patients with newly diagnosed brain tumors [53]. Twelve studies, either randomized controlled trials or cohort studies, investigating the ability of prophylactic AEDs (phenytoin, phenobarbital, valproic acid) to prevent first seizures have been exam-

ined, and none have demonstrated efficacy. Furthermore, there was no evidence of an effect on the frequency of first seizures, and subtherapeutic levels of anticonvulsants were extremely common. In contrast the severity of anticonvulsants' side effects appeared to be higher (20 to 40%) in brain tumor patients (because of drug interactions) than in the general population receiving anticonvulsants. Erythema multiforme and Stevens-Johnson syndrome have been reported as apparently rare but life-threatening complications in patients taking phenytoin and tapering doses of dexamethasone during or shortly after receiving cranial radiotherapy [35]. As a consequence the recommendation of the Subcommittee of the AAN [53] is that in patients with newly diagnosed brain tumors prophylactic anticonvulsants should not be used routinely. The role of prophylactic anticonvulsants remains to be addressed specifically in some subgroups of patients who have a higher risk of developing seizures, such as those with metastatic melanoma, hemorrhagic lesions and multiple metastases. The efficacy of the newer AEDs has not yet been investigated.

As for patients who underwent a neurosurgical procedure, the efficacy of prophylaxis has not been proven [65]: in this regard the recommendation of the AAN is to taper AEDs 1 week postoperatively in patients placed on prophylactic AEDs for surgery.

■ Management of venous thromboembolism

Venous thromboembolism is a common complication in patients with brain tumors [56]: the incidence in patients with brain metastases ranges between 1.03% and 20% [69, 109]. The two therapeutic approaches include anticoagulation and placement of inferior vena cava filter. The risk of intracranial hemorrhage is often considered an absolute contraindication to anticoagulation of a patient with brain metastasis and venous thromboembolic disease. However, the largest study detected only two serious and one minor intracerebral hemorrhages in 42 patients with brain metastases who underwent anticoagulation whereas four of 10 patients, treated initially with inferior vena cava filters, experienced recurrent venous thromboembolic events [112]. Moreover the risk of long-term filter failure is quite high [33, 70]. As a general rule anticoagulation is not contraindicated as the initial strategy in most patients with brain metastases, apart from those with imminent surgery and/or hemorrhagic lesions.

■ Treatment of single brain metastasis

Surgery

Surgery has been used in the treatment of single brain metastasis for long time, but its role in improving the prognosis has remained unclear until the last decade. Three randomized studies have compared surgical resection, followed by whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT), with WBRT alone [83, 95, 128]. The American study [95] and the Dutch study [128] have included mainly patients with controlled or limited systemic disease, and both have reported a significant improvement in survival of patients receiving the combined treatment (median survival 9–10 months) compared with those receiving WBRT alone (median survival 3–6 months). In the American study patients who had surgery displayed a lower rate of local relapses (20% versus 52%) and a longer time of functional independence. By contrast the Canadian study [83], which included a higher proportion of patients with an active systemic disease and lower performance scores, failed to show any advantage of surgery plus radiotherapy over radiotherapy alone.

In clinical practice surgery should be considered in any patient with a single brain metastasis in an accessible location, especially when the size is large, the mass effect significant and/or an obstructive hydrocephalus is present. Complete surgical resection allows an immediate relief of symptoms of intracranial hypertension and of seizures, a reduction of focal neurological deficits and a rapid steroid taper in the majority of patients. Several technical factors improve the safety of surgery (by reducing the mortality and morbidity below 3% and 10% respectively): at present time frameless stereotaxis, ultrasound guidance, motor strip mapping and advances in neuroanesthesia, in the near future functional and intraoperative MRI. Surgery is more often reserved for patients with limited or absent systemic disease at the time of diagnosis of a brain metastasis, and these patients do far better; however, even patients with disseminated systemic disease may benefit from surgery, especially in terms of quality of life, if their disease is controllable (e.g. bone metastases from breast cancer) or if their primary neoplasm is radioresistant (e.g. renal cancer, melanoma) [31, 131]. The combined resection of a solitary brain metastasis and a primary non small-cell lung carcinoma (stage I and II) yields good results: median survival of at least 12 months with 10–30% of patients surviving at 5 years [61]. In selected patients with local relapse of a single brain metastasis and good performance status, reoperation affords a neurological improvement and a prolongation of survival [5, 12].

Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS)

In recent years an increasing number of patients with brain metastases have been treated by stereotactic radiosurgery [17]. This procedure allows the delivery of a single high dose of radiation, using multiple cobalt sources (gamma-knife) or a linear accelerator (Linac) through a stereotactic device, to targets of 3–3.5 cm maximum diameter. The dose is inversely related to tumor diameter or volume (between 2 cm and 3 cm median doses of 17–18 Gy). The rapid dose fall-off of SRS minimizes the risk of damage to the surrounding normal nervous tissue. As opposed to primary malignant brain tumors, brain metastases are physically and biologically ideal targets for SRS. They are generally small, spherical, and minimally invasive, with radiographically distinct margins: all these characteristics are favorable for the dose distribution of SRS. In patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases, a rapid decrease of symptoms, local tumor response (“control”) rate of 80–90% and a median survival of 7–12 months have been reported [3, 7, 39, 44, 77, 80, 115, 120]. An homogeneous baseline enhancement and a good initial radiographic response to SRS are good predictors of long-term control [97], whereas a diameter more than 3 cm is a negative prognostic factor [4]. A remarkable finding across SRS series is that metastases from highly radioresistant tumors, like melanoma and renal cell carcinoma, which respond very poorly to fractionated radiotherapy, respond virtually as well to SRS as do tumors far more sensitive to conventional radiation. For example, the median survival for patients with metastatic melanoma treated with the gamma-knife was 8 months, with a 97% local control rates [71].

SRS offers the potential for treating patients with surgically comorbidities that preclude surgery or with inaccessible lesions. Metastases in the eloquent cortex, basal ganglia, thalamus and brainstem can be treated with relatively low risk. Huang [57] reported a local control rate of more than 90% and a median survival of 9 months in patients treated with gamma-knife for mid-brain and pontine metastases. The type of radiosurgical procedure, gammaknife or Linac-based, does not have an impact on overall survival [18, 108]. Radiosurgery, alone or in conjunction with WBRT, has been reported to be superior to conventional WBRT alone in terms of local control, survival and quality of life [72]. This issue is currently being addressed by the RTOG study 9508, which is comparing in a phase III study WBRT with versus without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with single brain metastasis. Radiosurgery, alone or in conjunction with WBRT, yields results which are comparable to those reported for surgery followed by WBRT [7, 85, 94], with the only exception of the paper of Bindal [13], where patients undergoing surgical resection survived longer and had a better local control. SRS is a pro-

cedure done under local anesthesia in an outpatient setting or with an overnight hospitalisation; consequently, in addition to patient convenience, it offers cost effectiveness advantages over surgery [81, 107]. A randomized trial to compare radiosurgery with surgery would be warranted, but it is difficult to organize. The favourable overall median survival time after SRS in most series is at least partly the result of patient selection with a large percentage of patients free from an active extracranial disease. On the other hand, as brain metastases patients with active extracranial disease have a median survival after SRS of 4–5 months only, the value of the sophisticated SRS in this subgroup is unclear [127, 130], as similar results are reported after conventional WBRT [38].

Radiosurgery is effective for patients with brain metastases that have recurred following conventional WBRT [22, 73, 114].

Acute (early) and chronic (late) complications following radiosurgery are relatively modest after treatment of brain metastases [51, 79]. Acute reactions, due to edema, occur in 7–10% of patients, more often within 2 weeks from treatment, and include headache, nausea and vomiting, worsening of preexistent neurological deficits and seizures. They are generally reversible with steroids. Chronic complications consist mainly of radionecrosis (5–11%), requiring a reoperation in no more than 4% of patients. Radiographically a transient increase in the size of the irradiated lesion, with increasing edema and mass effect, with or without frank radionecrosis, is not distinguishable from a tumor progression [58]. A larger tumor diameter and a higher treatment dose are associated with unacceptable local toxicity [114].

Whole-brain radiotherapy after surgery or radiosurgery (adjuvant WBRT)

A point of controversy, especially after the introduction of MRI, is whether adjuvant WBRT, whose rationale is that of destroying microscopic metastatic deposits at original tumor site or at distant intracranial locations, is necessary after complete surgical resection or radiosurgery [84, 118]. Some retrospective studies [30, 117] and one phase III study from USA [96] have reported that adjuvant WBRT after complete surgical resection significantly reduces local and distant CNS relapses (18% with surgery + WBRT versus 70% with surgery alone according to Patchell study), without affecting overall survival or functionally independent survival, except for a modest advantage in patients without evidence of extracranial disease. Similarly WBRT in conjunction with radiosurgery improves local control and reduces the risk of new distant brain metastases [24, 47, 72, 103, 116, 118], but most studies support the viewpoint that combined radiosurgery and WBRT does not im-

prove the overall survival [44, 80, 115, 118], except for patients without evidence of extracranial disease [98]. WBRT may cause early adverse effects (fatigue, alopecia, eustachian tube dysfunction) and late neurotoxicity. Long term survivors after WBRT frequently develop radiographic changes on CT or MRI, including cortical atrophy, ventriculomegaly and hyperintensity of the periventricular white matter in T2-weighted images. A subset of these patients (up to 11%) have clinical concomitants, that include memory loss progressing to dementia, frontal gait disorders, urinary incontinence [29, 91]. The clinical picture may resemble normal pressure hydrocephalus, but few patients benefit from ventriculoperitoneal shunting [29]. This radiation-induced leukoencephalopathy is a consequence of a damage to microvessels. The risk for this complication increases with hypofractionated schedules of RT (size fraction > 2 Gy): consequently, patients with favourable prognostic factors are optimally treated with conventional fractions of 1.8–2 Gy to a total dose of 40–50 Gy instead of fractions of 3 Gy to a total dose of 30 Gy, as commonly employed in the past. Both the RTOG and the EORTC (European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer) are performing phase III trials to investigate the role of WBRT after surgical resection or radiosurgery.

The monitoring of cognitive functions by means of neuropsychological tests should be performed in all clinical trials dealing with the problem of late effects of radiation [102].

In clinical practice there is an increasing tendency to omit adjuvant WBRT in patients with a controlled systemic disease and/or radioresistant lesions, reserving WBRT or radiosurgery as salvage treatments at recurrence. Some centers employ focal RT after surgical resection.

Whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) alone

WBRT alone is the treatment of choice for patients with single brain metastasis not amenable to surgery or radiosurgery, especially those with an active and disseminated systemic disease [93]. Median survival after WBRT alone is 3 to 6 months. The neurological improvement, that can be achieved independently from steroids, is not well known [10]: radiosensitive tumors, such as breast cancer, respond better than the radioresistant ones, such as colon and renal cancers or melanomas. The RTOG has demonstrated that different fractionation schedules, ranging from 10 Gy in one fraction to 40 Gy in 20 fractions, yield comparable results [15, 50]. However, very high single fractions, such as 10 Gy, can produce severe neurological side effects during treatment and provide less clinical benefit. Nausea, vomiting, headache, fever and transient worsening of neurological symptoms in the initial phase of therapy may be observed. Therefore WBRT should be delivered quickly

but safely to permit a rapid return home: for these reasons the commonest schedule is 30 Gy/d in 10 fractions. Accelerated hyperfractionated schemes [86] or radiation sensitizers (misonidazole, bromodeoxyuridine) [9] have not been found to improve survival results.

■ The treatment of multiple brain metastases

WBRT alone has been for a long time the sole treatment, with a median survival of 2–6 months depending on the prognostic factors. Owing to the short life expectancy, hypofractionated treatments are generally employed. In patients with poor prognostic factors one may even question the benefit of radiation therapy: it is our policy to withhold active treatment in bedridden patients (especially with an active systemic disease) and only to provide symptomatic therapy with steroids or anticonvulsants. Radiosurgery may be an alternative to WBRT [39, 113]. Some studies [63, 119] have reported an improved local control after combined SRS plus WBRT. When the number of brain metastases is limited (generally up to 3), the lesions are accessible and the patients are relatively young, in good neurological condition and with a controlled systemic disease, complete surgical resection yields similar results to those for single lesions [11, 94]. The resection of the symptomatic lesion(s) and the radiation treatment of the other ones is of clinical value as well [106].

■ New approaches in radiotherapy

Fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (2–3 fractions) has been suggested as being more comfortable for patients and less costly than radiosurgery [76]. Intensity modulation (tomotherapy) and novel radiosensitizers (gadolinium Texaphyrin, RSR 13) are under investigation [21, 60]. In particular gadolinium texaphyrin, a metalloporphyrin with a complex mechanism of radiation sensitization, seems promising. It is detectable by MRI and selectively accumulates in primary and metastatic tumors, without increasing radiation toxicity to normal tissue. A phase II trial of standard fractionated RT (30 Gy in 10 fractions), combined with gadolinium texaphyrin intravenously prior to each radiation fraction, was recently conducted in patients with brain metastases [21]. The radiological response rate was 72%; median survival was 5.4 months for RPA class 2 patients and 3.8 months for RPA class 3 patients (compared with 4.2 and 2.3 months in the RTOG database). These results have led to a phase III trial of the RTOG, which is ongoing.

■ The role of chemotherapy

Chemosensitivity is the critical factor for the response of brain metastases to chemotherapeutic agents and some points are well established [68, 100]: brain metastases are as responsive as primary systemic cancer; higher response rates are observed when newly diagnosed, chemotherapy-naïve patients are treated; response rate of brain and systemic cancer declines with second and third-line therapy; the response to chemotherapy of brain metastases from mostly chemosensitive tumors (small-cell lung carcinoma, germ cell tumors, breast cancer) is of the same order of that observed after radiotherapy. Response rates to chemotherapy alone are as high as: 21–76% in small-cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) [55, 64, 101]; 27–50% in non small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) [46, 104]; 35–60% in breast cancer [14, 27, 105]. Cisplatin and etoposide seem to be the most effective combination [45]. Among new drugs, topotecan in SCLC [55] and temozolomide in NSCLC and melanoma [2, 25] are promising. The addition of radiotherapy to chemotherapy may improve the response rate, but not the survival [101, 104, 125].

In clinical practice, chemotherapy represents a starting treatment (followed by WBRT) in patients with brain metastases from SCLC and germ cell tumors only, whereas WBRT remains the treatment of choice in symptomatic patients with brain metastases from NSCLC, breast cancer and other solid tumors in the adult.

The blood-brain barrier and the blood-tumor barrier are limiting factors for the response to chemotherapy of micrometastases (< 1 mm in diameter). Innovative techniques of drug delivery are being investigated: blood-brain barrier disruption by hyperosmolar mannitol [37]; blood-brain tumor barrier manipulation by agonists of bradykinin such as RMP-7 [8]; local chemotherapy utilizing BCNU-impregnated biodegradable wafers [40].

■ Conclusions

Surgery or radiosurgery are now treatments of choice in brain metastasis patients with favorable prognostic factors (RPA class I and a subgroup of class II). After the local treatment of a brain metastasis by either surgery or radiosurgery, survival does not seem to be adversely affected if WBRT is postponed to become salvage treatment at the time of recurrence. In this regard new randomized trials, focusing on the time to neurological deterioration, cognitive defects and quality of life, are needed to better determine the timing of WBRT. How frequently asymptomatic patients need follow-up scans is still controversial.

Biological agents, monoclonal antibodies, gene ther-

apy will be increasingly available in the near future; however, as a general rule, changes in the current management of patients with brain metastases should not be merely based on the availability of advanced techniques

or new compounds, but on clinical trials showing a significant improvement of prognosis due to the innovative treatment modalities when compared with the standard ones.

References

1. Abrahams JM, Torchia M, Putt M, Kaiser LR, Judy KD (2001) Risk factors affecting survival after brain metastases from non-small cell lung carcinoma: a follow-up study of 70 patients. *J Neurosurg* 95: 595–600
2. Abrey LE, Olson JD, Raizer JJ, Mack M, Rodavitch A, Boutros DY (2001) A phase II trial of temozolomide for patients with recurrent or progressive brain metastases. *J Neuro oncol* 53: 259–265
3. Adler JR, Cox RS, Kaplan I, Martin DP (1992) Stereotactic radiosurgical treatment of brain metastases. *J Neurosurg* 76: 444–449
4. Alexander E, Moriarty TM, Davis RB, Wen PY, Fine HA, Black PM, Kooy HM, Loeffler JS (1995) Stereotactic radiosurgery for the definitive, noninvasive treatment of brain metastases. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 87: 34–40
5. Arbit E, Wronski M, Burt M, Galicich JH (1995) The treatment of patients with recurrent brain metastases: a retrospective analysis of 109 patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 76: 765–773
6. Arnold SM, Patchell RA (2001) Diagnosis and management of brain metastases. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 15: 1085–1107
7. Auchter RM, Lamond JP, Alexander E, Buatti JM, Chappell R, Friedman WA, Kinsella TJ, Levin AB, Noyes WR, Schultz CJ, Loeffler JS, Mehta MP (1996) A multi-institutional outcome and prognostic factor analysis of radiosurgery for resectable single brain metastasis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 35: 27–35
8. Bartus RT (1999) The blood-brain barrier as a target for pharmacological modulation. *Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel* 2: 152–167
9. Berk L (1995) An overview of radiotherapy trials for the treatment of brain metastases. *Oncology* 9: 1205–1219
10. Bezjak A, Adam J, Barton R, Panzarella T, Laperriere N, Wong CS, Mason W, Buckley C, Levin W, McLean M, Wu JSY, Sia M, Kirkbride P (2002) Symptom response after palliative radiotherapy for patients with brain metastases. *Eur J Cancer* 38: 487–496
11. Bindal RK, Sawaya R, Leavens ME, Lee JJ (1993) Surgical treatment of multiple brain metastases. *J Neurosurg* 79: 210–216
12. Bindal RK, Sawaya R, Leavens ME, Hess KR, Taylor SH (1995) Reoperation for recurrent metastatic brain tumors. *J Neurosurg* 83: 600–604
13. Bindal AK, Bindal RK, Hess KR, Shiu A, Hassenbusch SJ, Shi WM, Sawaya R (1996) Surgery versus radiosurgery in the treatment of brain metastasis. *J Neurosurg* 84: 748–754
14. Boogerd W, Dalesio O, Bais EM, van der Sande JJ (1992) Response of brain metastases from breast cancer to systemic chemotherapy. *Cancer* 69: 972–980
15. Borgelt BB, Gelber RD, Kramer S, Brady LW, Chang CH, Davis LW, Perez CA, Hendrickson FR (1980) The palliation of brain metastases: final results of the first two studies by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 6: 1–9
16. Bouffet E, Doumi N, Thiesse P, Mottolese C, Jouvett A, Lacroze M, Carrie C, Frappaz D, Brunat-Mentigny M (1997) Brain metastases in children with solid tumors. *Cancer* 79: 403–410
17. Brada M, Foord T (2002) Radiosurgery for brain metastases. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 14: 28–30
18. Buatti JM, Friedman WA, Meeks SL, Bova FJ (2000) RTOG 90–05: the real conclusion. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 47: 269–271
19. Byrne TN, Cascino TL, Posner JB (1983) Brain metastasis from melanoma. *J Neurooncol* 1: 313–317
20. Cairncross JG, Posner JB (1983) The management of brain metastases. In: Walker MD (ed) *Oncology of the Nervous System*. Martinus Nijhoff, Boston, pp 342–377
21. Carde P, Timmerman R, Mehta MP, Koprofski CD, Ford J, Tishler RB, Miles D, Miller RA, Renschler MF (2001) Multicenter phase Ib/II trial of the radiation enhancer motefaxin gadolinium in patients with brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 19: 2074–2083
22. Chen JCT, Petrovich Z, Giannotta SL, Yu C, Apuzzo ML (2000) Radiosurgical salvage therapy for patients presenting with recurrence of metastatic disease to the brain. *Neurosurgery* 46: 860–867
23. Chidel MA, Suh JH, Reddy CA, Chao ST, Lundbeck MF, Barnett GH (2000) Application of recursive partitioning analysis and evaluation of the use of whole brain radiation among patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery for newly diagnosed brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 47: 993–999
24. Chougule PB, Burton-Williams M, Saris S (2000) Randomized treatment of brain metastases with gamma knife radiosurgery, whole brain radiotherapy or both. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 48 (suppl): 114
25. Christodoulou C, Bafaloukos D, Kosmidis P, Samantas E, Bamias A, Papakostas P, Karabelis A, Bacoyannis C, Skarlos DV (2001) Phase II study of temozolomide in heavily pretreated cancer patients with brain metastases. *Ann Oncol* 12: 249–254
26. Cohen N, Strauss G, Lew R, Silver D, Recht L (1988) Should prophylactic anticonvulsants be administered to patients with newly-diagnosed cerebral metastases? A retrospective analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 6: 1621–1624
27. Colleoni M, Graiff C, Nelli P, Vicario G, Sgarbossa G, Pancheri F, Manente P (1997) Activity of combination chemotherapy in brain metastases from breast and lung adenocarcinoma. *Am J Clin Oncol* 20: 303–307
28. Davis PC, Hudgins PA, Peterman SB, Hoffman JC Jr (1991) Diagnosis of cerebral metastases: double dose delayed CT vs contrast enhanced MR imaging. *AJNR* 12: 293–300
29. De Angelis LM, Delattre JY, Posner JB (1989) Radiation-induced dementia in patients cured of brain metastases. *Neurology* 39: 789–796
30. De Angelis LM, Mandell LR, Thaler HT, Kimmel DW, Galicich JH, Fuks Z, Posner JB (1989) The role of postoperative radiotherapy after resection of single brain metastases. *Neurosurgery* 24: 798–805
31. De Angelis LM (1992) The management of a single CNS metastasis. In: Hachinski VC (ed) *Challenges in Neurology*. FA Davis Company, Philadelphia, pp 257–267
32. De la Monte SM, Moore GW, Hutchins GM (1983) Pattern of distribution of metastases from malignant melanoma in humans. *Cancer Res* 43: 3427–3433

33. Decousus H, Leizorovicz A, Parent F, Page Y, Tardy B, Girard P, Laporte S, Faivre R, Charbonnier B, Barral FG, Huet Y, Simonneau G (1998) A clinical trial of vena caval filters in the prevention of pulmonary embolism in patients with proximal deep-vein thrombosis. *Prevention du Risque d'Embolie Pulmonaire par Interruption Cave Study Group. N Engl J Med* 338: 409–415
34. Delattre JY, Krol G, Thaler HT, Posner JB (1988) Distribution of brain metastases. *Arch Neurol* 45: 741–744
35. Delattre JY, Safai B, Posner JB (1988) Erythema multiforme and Stevens-Johnson syndrome in patients receiving cranial irradiation and phenytoin. *Neurology* 38: 194–198
36. Diener-West M, Dobbins TW, Phillips TL, Nelson DF (1989) Identification of an optimal subgroup for treatment evaluation of patients with brain metastases using RTOG Study 7916. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 16: 669–673
37. Doolittle ND, Anderson CP, Bleyer WA, Cairncross JG, Cloughesy T, Eck SL, Guastadisegni P, Hall WA, Muldoon LL, Patel SJ, Peereboom D, Siegal T, Neuwelt EA, for the Blood-Brain Barrier Disruption Consortium (2001) Importance of dose intensity in neuro-oncology clinical trials: Summary Report of the Sixth Annual Meeting of the Blood-Brain Barrier Disruption Consortium. *Neuro-Oncology* 3: 46–54
38. Ellerhorst J, Strom E, Nardone E, McCutcheon I (2001) Whole brain irradiation for patients with metastatic melanoma: a review of 87 cases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 49: 93–97
39. Engenhardt RB, Kimmig N, Hover KH, Wowra B, Romahn J, Lorenz WJ, van Kaick G, Wannenmacher M (1993) Long-term follow-up for brain metastases treated by percutaneous single high dose irradiation. *Cancer* 71: 1353–1361
40. Ewend MG (2000) Surgical treatment of brain metastases. *Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Meeting of Society of Neuro-Oncology (SNO), November 9, Chicago, pp 1–3*
41. Fetell MR, Grossman SA, Fisher JD, Erlanger B, Rowinsky E, Stockel J, Piantadosi S (1997) Preirradiation paclitaxel in glioblastoma multiforme: efficacy, pharmacology, and drug interactions. *New Approaches to Brain Tumor Therapy Central Nervous System Consortium. J Clin Oncol* 15: 3121–3128
42. Fidler IJ (1988) Origin of cancer metastases and its implications for therapy. *Isr J Med Sci* 24: 456–463
43. Fidler IJ, Yano S, Zhang RD, Fujimaki T, Bucana CD (2002) The seed and soil hypothesis: vascularisation and brain metastases. *Lancet Oncol* 3: 53–57
44. Flickinger JC, Kondziolka D, Lunsford LD, Coffey RJ, Goodman ML, Shaw EG, Hudgins WR, Weiner R, Harsh GR 4th, Sneed PK (1994) A multi-institutional experience with stereotactic radiosurgery for solitary brain metastasis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 28: 797–802
45. Franciosi V, Cocconi G, Michiara M, Di Costanzo F, Fosser V, Tonato M, Carlini P, Boni C, Di Sarra S (1999) Front-line chemotherapy with cisplatin and etoposide for patients with brain metastases from breast, NSCLC or malignant melanoma: a prospective study. *Cancer* 85: 1599–1605
46. Fujita A, Fukuoka S, Takabatake H, Tagaki S, Sekine K (2000) Combination chemotherapy of cisplatin, ifosfamide, and irinotecan with rhG-CSF support in patients with brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer who had concurrent brain metastases at the time of diagnosis. *Oncology* 59: 291–295
47. Fuller BG, Kaplan ID, Adler J, Cox RS, Bagshaw MA (1992) Stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases: the importance of adjuvant whole brain irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 23: 413–418
48. Gaspar L, Scott C, Rotman M, Asbell S, Phillips T, Wasserman T, McKenna WG, Byhardt R (1997) Recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) of prognostic factors in three Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) brain metastases trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 37: 745–751
49. Gaspar L, Scott C, Murray K, Curran W (2000) Validation of the RTOG recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) classification for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 47: 1001–1006
50. Gelber RD, Larson M, Borgelt BB, Kramer S (1981) Equivalence of radiation schedules for the palliative treatment of brain metastases in patients with favorable prognosis. *Cancer* 45: 1749–1753
51. Gelblum DY, Lee H, Bilsky M, Pinola C, Longford S, Wallner K (1998) Radiographic findings and morbidity in patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 42: 391–395
52. Glantz MJ, Cole BF, Friedberg MH, Lathi E, Choy H, Furie K, Akerley W, Wahlberg L, Lekos A, Louis S (1996) A randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial of divalproex sodium prophylaxis in adults with newly diagnosed brain tumors. *Neurology* 46: 985–991
53. Glantz MJ, Cole BF, Forsyth PA, Recht LD, Wen PY, Chamberlain MC, Grossman SA, Cairncross JG (2000) Practice parameter: anticonvulsant prophylaxis in patients with newly diagnosed brain tumors. Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 54: 1886–1893
54. Graus F, Walker RW, Allen JC (1983) Brain metastases in children. *J Pediatr* 103: 558–561
55. Grossi F, Scolaro T, Tixi L, Loprevite M, Ardizzone A (2001) The role of systemic chemotherapy in the treatment of brain metastases from small-cell lung cancer. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 37: 61–67
56. Hamilton MG, Hull RD, Pineo GF (1994) Venous Thromboembolism in Neurosurgery and Neurology Patients: a review. *Neurosurgery* 34: 280–296
57. Huang CF, Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD (1999) Stereotactic radiosurgery for brainstem metastases. *J Neurosurg* 84: 563–568
58. Huber PE, Hawighorst H, Fuss M, van Kaick G, Wannenmacher MF, Debus J (2001) Transient enlargement of contrast uptake on MRI after linear accelerator (LINAC) stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 49: 1339–1349
59. Jacot W, Quantin X, Boher JM, Andre F, Moreau L, Gainet M, Depierre A, Quoix E, Le Chevalier T, Pujol JL (2001) Brain metastases at the time of presentation of non-small lung cancer: a multi-centric AERIO analysis of prognostic factors. *Br J Cancer* 84: 903–909
60. Kavanagh B, Khandelwal SR, Schmidt-Ullrich RK, Roberts JD, Shaw EG, Pearlman AD, Venitz J, Dusenbery KE, Abraham DJ, Gerber MJ (2001) A phase I study of RSR13, a radiation-enhancing hemoglobin modifier: tolerance of repeated intravenous doses and correlation of pharmacokinetics with pharmacodynamics. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 49: 1133–1139
61. Kelly K, Bunn PA (1998) It is time to reevaluate our approach to the treatment of brain metastases in patients with non-small cell lung cancer? *Lung Cancer* 20: 85–91
62. Kole AC, Nieweg OE, Pruijm J, Hoekstra HJ, Koops HS, Roodenburg JL, Vaalburg W, Vermey A (1998) Detection of unknown occult primary tumors using Positron Emission Tomography. *Cancer* 82: 1160–1166
63. Kondziolka D, Patel A, Lunsford LD, Kassam A, Flickinger JC (1999) Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole brain radiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone for patients with multiple brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 45: 427–434

64. Kristensen CA, Kristjansen PE, Hansen HH (1992) Systemic chemotherapy of brain metastases from SCLC: a review. *J Clin Oncol* 10: 1498–1502
65. Kuijlen JM, Teernstra OP, Kessels AG, Herpers MJ, Beuls EA (1996) Effectiveness of antiepileptic prophylaxis used with supratentorial craniotomies: a meta-analysis. *Seizure* 5: 291–298
66. Lagerwaard FJ, Levendag PC, Nowak PJ, Eijkenboom WM, Hanssens PE, Schmitz PI (1999) Identification of prognostic factors in patients with brain metastases: a review of 1292 patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 43: 795–803
67. Le Chevalier T, Smith FP, Caille P, Constans JP, Rouesse JG (1985) Site of primary malignancies in patients presenting with cerebral metastases: a review of 120 cases. *Cancer* 56: 880–882
68. Lesser GJ (1996) Chemotherapy of cerebral metastases from solid tumors. *Neurosurgery Clin North Am* 7: 527–536
69. Levi ADO, Wallace MC, Bernstein M, Walters BC (1991) Venous Thromboembolism after Brain Tumor Surgery: a retrospective review. *Neurosurgery* 28: 859–863
70. Levin JM, Schiff D, Loeffler JS, Fine HA, Black PM, Wen PY (1993) Complications of therapy for venous thromboembolic disease in patients with brain tumors. *Neurology* 43: 1111–1114
71. Levine SD, Petrovich Z, Cohen-Gadol AA, Masri LS, Morton DL, O'Day SJ, Essner R, Zelman V, Yu C, Luxton G, Apuzzo ML (1999) Gamma knife radiosurgery for metastatic melanoma: an analysis of survival, outcome, and complications. *Neurosurgery* 44: 59–64
72. Li B, Yu J, Suntharalingam M, Kennedy AS, Amin PP, Chen Z, Yin R, Guo S, Han T, Wang Y, Yu N, Song G, Wang L (2000) Comparison of three treatment options for single brain metastasis from lung cancer. *Int J Cancer* 90: 37–45
73. Loeffler JS, Kooy HM, Wen PY, Fine HA, Cheng CW, Mannarino EG, Tsai JS, Alexander E (1990) The treatment of recurrent brain metastases with stereotactic radiosurgery. *J Clin Oncol* 8: 576–582
74. Macdonald DR, Cascino T, Schold SC, Cairncross JG (1990) Response criteria for Phase II studies of malignant glioma. *J Clin Oncol* 8: 1277–1280
75. Maesawa S, Kondziolka D, Thompson TP, Flickinger JC, Dade L (2000) Brain metastases in patients with no known primary tumor. The role of stereotactic radiosurgery. *Cancer* 89: 1095–1101
76. Manning MA, Cardinale RM, Benedict SH, Kavanagh BD, Zwicker RD, Amir C, Broaddus WC (2000) Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy as an alternative to radiosurgery for the treatment of patients with brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 47: 603–608
77. Maor MH, Dubey P, Tucker SL, Shiu AS, Mathur BN, Sawaya R, Lang FF, Hassenbusch SJ (2000) Stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases: results and prognostic factors. *Int J Cancer* 90: 157–162
78. Marchetti D, Li J, Shen R (2000) Astrocytes contribute to the brain-metastatic specificity of melanoma cells by producing heparanase. *Cancer Res* 60: 4767–4770
79. McKenzie MR, Souhami L, Caron JL, Olivier A, Villemure JG, Podgorsak EB (1993) Early and late complications following dynamic stereotactic radiosurgery and fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy. *Can J Neurol Sci* 20: 279–285
80. Mehta MP, Rozenthal JM, Levin B, Mackie TR, Kubsad SS, Gehring MA, Kinsella TJ (1992) Defining the role of radiosurgery in the management of brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 24: 619–625
81. Mehta M, Noyes W, Craig B, Lamond J, Aughter R, French M, Johnson M, Levin A, Badie B, Robbins I, Kinsella T (1997) A cost-effectiveness and cost-utility analysis of radiosurgery vs. resection for single-brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 39: 445–454
82. Merchut MP (1989) Brain metastases from undiagnosed systemic neoplasms. *Arch Intern Med* 149: 1076–1080
83. Mintz AH, Kestle J, Rathbone MP, Gaspar L, Hugenholtz H, Fisher B, Duncan G, Skingley P, Foster G, Levine M (1996) A randomized trial to assess the efficacy of surgery in addition to radiotherapy in patients with a single cerebral metastasis. *Cancer* 78: 1470–1476
84. Mintz A, Cairncross JG (1998) Treatment of a single brain metastasis. The role of radiation following surgical resection. *JAMA* 280: 1527–1529
85. Muacevic A, Kreth FW, Horstmann GA, Schmid-Elsaesser R, Wowra B, Steiger HJ, Reulen HJ (1999) Surgery and radiotherapy compared with gamma knife radiosurgery in the treatment of solitary cerebral metastases of small diameter. *J Neurosurg* 91: 35–43
86. Murray KJ, Scott C, Greenberg H, Emami B, Seider M, Vora NL, Olson C, Whitton A, Movsas B, Curran W (1997) A randomized phase III study of accelerated hyperfractionated versus standard radiation in patients with unresected brain metastases: A report of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 9104. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 39: 571–574
87. Murray KJ, Scott C, Zachariah B, Michalski JM, Demas W, Vora NL, Whitton A, Movsas B (2000) Importance of the Mini-Mental Status Examination in the treatment of patients with brain metastases: a report from the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group protocol. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 48: 59–64
88. Neuwelt EA, Kikuchi K, Hill S, Lipsky P, Frenkel EP (1983) Immune responses in patients with brain tumors. Factors such as anti-convulsants that may contribute to impaired cell-mediated immunity. *Cancer* 51: 248–255
89. Nguyen LN, Maor MH, Oswald MJ (1998) Brain metastases as the only manifestation of an undetected primary tumor. *Cancer* 83: 2181–2184
90. Nicolson GL, Menter DG, Herrmann JL, Yun Z, Cavanaugh P, Marchetti D (1996) Brain metastasis: role of trophic, autocrine, and paracrine factors in tumor invasion and colonization of the central nervous system. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 213: 89–115
91. Nieder C, Schwerdtfeger K, Steudel WI, Schnabel K (1998) Patterns of relapse and late toxicity after resection and whole-brain radiotherapy for solitary brain metastases. *Strahlenther Onkol* 174: 275–278
92. Nieder C, Nestle U, Motaref B, Walter K, Niewald M, Schnabel K (2000) Prognostic factors in brain metastases: should patients be selected for aggressive treatment according to recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) classes? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 46: 297–302
93. Noordijk EM, Vecht CJ, Haaxma-Reiche H, Padberg GW, Voormolen JH, Hoekstra FH, Tans JT, Lambooi N, Metsaars JA, Wattendorff AR (1994) The choice of treatment of single brain metastasis should be based on extracranial tumor activity and age. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 29: 711–717
94. Nussbaum ES, Djalilian HR, Cho KH, Hall WA (1996) Brain metastases. Histology, multiplicity, surgery, and survival. *Cancer* 78: 1781–1788
95. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW, Dempsey RJ, Maruyama Y, Kryscio RJ, Markesbery WR, Macdonald JS, Young B (1990) A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *N Engl J Med* 322: 494–500

96. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, Dempsey RJ, Mohiuddin M, Kryscio RJ, Markesbery WR, Foon KA, Young B (1998) Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *JAMA* 280: 1485–1489
97. Peterson AM, Meltzer CC, Evanson EJ, Flickinger JC, Kondziolka D (1999) MR imaging response of brain metastases after gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery. *Radiology* 211: 807–814
98. Pirzkall A, Debus J, Lohr F, Fuss M, Rhein B, Engenhart-Cabillic R, Wannenmacher M (1998) Radiosurgery alone or in combination with whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 16: 3563–3569
99. Posner JB, Chernick NL (1978) Intracranial metastases from systemic cancer. *Adv Neurol* 19: 579–592
100. Postmus PE, Smit EF (1999) Chemotherapy for brain metastases of lung cancer: a review. *Ann Oncol* 10: 753–759
101. Postmus PE, Haaxma-Reiche H, Smit EF, Groen HJM, Karnicka H, Lewinski T, van Meerbeek J, Clerico M, Gregor A, Curran D, Sahnoud T, Kirkpatrick A, Giaccone G (2000) Treatment of brain metastases of small-cell lung cancer: comparing teniposide and teniposide with whole-brain radiotherapy – a phase III study of the European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer, Lung Cancer Cooperative Group. *J Clin Oncol* 18: 3400–3408
102. Regine WF, Scott C, Murray K, Curran W (2001) Neurocognitive outcome in brain metastases patients treated with accelerated-fractionation vs. accelerated-hyperfractionated radiotherapy: an analysis from Radiation Therapy Oncology Group study 91–04. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 51: 711–717
103. Regine WF, Huhn JL, Patchell RA, St Clair WH, Strottmann J, Meigooni A, Sanders M, Young AB (2002) Risk of symptomatic brain tumor recurrence and neurologic deficit after radiosurgery alone in patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases: results and implications. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 52: 333–338
104. Robinet G, Thomas P, Breton JL, Lena H, Gouva S, Dabouis G, Bennouna J, Souquet PJ, Balmes P, Thiberville L, Fournel P, Quoix E, Riou R, Rebattu P, Perol M, Paillotin D, Mornex F (2001) Results of a phase III study of early versus delayed whole brain radiotherapy with concurrent cisplatin and vinorelbine combination in inoperable brain metastases of non-small cell lung cancer: Groupe Francais de Pneumocancerologie (GFPC) protocol 95-1. *Ann Oncol* 12: 59–67
105. Rosner D, Nemoto T, Lane WW (1986) Chemotherapy induces regression of brain metastases in breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 58: 832–839
106. Rudà R, Borgognone M, Benech F, Vasario E, Soffiotti R (2001) Brain metastases from unknown primary tumor. A prospective study. *J Neurol* 248: 394–398
107. Rutigliano MJ, Lunsford LD, Kondziolka D, Strauss MJ, Khanna V, Green M (1995) The cost effectiveness of stereotactic radiosurgery versus surgical resection in the treatment of solitary metastatic brain tumors. *Neurosurgery* 37: 445–455
108. Sanghavi SN, Miranpuri SS, Chappell R, Buatti JM, Sneed PK, Suh JH, Regine WF, Weltman E, King VJ, Goetsch SJ, Breneman JC, Sperduto PW, Scott C, Mabanta S, Mehta MP (2001) Radiosurgery for patients with brain metastases: a multi-institutional analysis, stratified by the RTOG recursive partitioning analysis method. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 51: 426–434
109. Sawaya R, Zuccarello M, Elkalliny M, Nishiyama H (1992) Postoperative venous thromboembolism and brain tumors: part I. Clinical profile. *J Neurooncol* pp 119–125
110. Schellinger PD, Meinck HM, Thron A (1999) Diagnostic accuracy of MRI compared to CT in patients with brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* 44: 275–281
111. Schiff D (2001) Single brain metastasis. *Curr Treat Options Neurol* 3: 89–99
112. Schiff D, De Angelis LM (1994) Therapy of venous thromboembolism in patients with brain metastases. *Cancer* 73: 493–498
113. Serizawa T, Iuchi T, Ono J, et al. (2000) Gamma knife treatment for multiple metastatic brain tumors compared with whole-brain radiation therapy. *J Neurosurg* 93: 32–36
114. Shaw E, Scott C, Souhami L, Dinapoli R, Kline R, Loeffler J, Farnan N (2000) Single dose radiosurgical treatment of recurrent previously irradiated primary brain tumors and brain metastases: final report of RTOG protocol 90–05. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 47: 291–298
115. Shiau CY, Sneed PK, Shu HK, Lamborn KR, McDermott MW, Chang S, Nowak P, Petti PL, Smith V, Verhey LJ, Ho M, Park E, Wara WM, Gutin PH, Larson DA (1997) Radiosurgery for brain metastases: relationship of dose and pattern of enhancement to local control. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 37: 375–383
116. Shirato H, Takamura A, Tomita M, Suzuki K, Nishioka T, Isu T, Kato T, Sawamura Y, Miyamachi K, Abe H, Miyasaka K (1997) Stereotactic irradiation without whole-brain irradiation for single brain metastasis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 37: 385–391
117. Smalley SR, Schray MF, Laws ER Jr, O'Fallon JR (1987) Adjuvant radiation therapy after surgical resection of solitary brain metastasis: association with pattern of failure and survival. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 13: 1611–1616
118. Sneed PK, Lamborn KR, Torstner JM, McDermott MW, Chang S, Park E, Gutin PH, Phillips TL, Wara WM, Larson D (1999) Radiosurgery for brain metastases: is whole brain radiotherapy necessary? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 3: 549–558
119. Sperduto PW, Scott C, Andrews D (2000) Preliminary report of RTOG 9508: a phase III trial comparing whole brain irradiation alone versus whole brain irradiation plus stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with two or three unresected brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 48 (suppl): 113
120. Sturm V, Kober B, Hover KH, Schlegel W, Boesecke R, Pastyr O, Hartmann GH, Schabbert S, zum Winkel K, Kunze S (1987) Stereotactic percutaneous single dose irradiation of brain metastases with a linear accelerator. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 13: 279–282
121. Sze G, Milano E, Johnson C, Heier L (1990) Detection of brain metastases: comparison of contrast-enhanced MR with unenhanced MR and enhanced CT. *AJNR* 11: 785–791
122. Sze G, Johnson C, Kawamura Y, Goldberg SN, Lange R, Friedland RJ, Wolf RJ (1998) Comparison of single- and triple-dose contrast material in the MR screening of brain metastases. *AJNR* 19: 821–828
123. Tasdemioglu E, Patchell RA (1997) Cerebral metastases in childhood malignancies. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 139: 182–187
124. Thomas AJ, Rock JP, Johnson CC, Weiss L, Jacobsen G, Rosenblum ML (2000) Survival of patients with synchronous brain metastases: an epidemiological study in southeastern Michigan. *J Neurosurg* 93: 927–931
125. Ushio Y, Arita N, Hayakawa T, Mogami H, Hasegawa H, Bitoh S, Oku Y, Ikeda H, Kanai N, Kanoh M (1991) Chemotherapy of brain metastases from lung carcinoma: a controlled randomized study. *Neurosurgery* 28: 201–205

-
126. Van de Pol M, van Aalst VC, Wilmink JT, Twijnstra A (1996) Brain metastases from an unknown primary tumor: which diagnostic procedures are indicated? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 61: 321–323
127. Van den Bent MJ (2001) The diagnosis and management of brain metastases. *Curr Opin Neurol* 14: 717–723
128. Vecht CJ, Haaxma-Reiche H, Noordijk EM, Padberg GW, Voormolen JH, Hoekstra FH, Tans JT, Lambooi N, Metsaars JA, Wattendorff AR (1993) Treatment of single brain metastasis: radiotherapy alone or combined with neurosurgery? *Ann Neurol* 33: 583–590
129. Vecht CJ, Hovestadt A, Verbiest HB, van Vliet JJ, van Putten WL (1994) Dose-effect relationship of dexamethasone on Karnofsky performance in metastatic brain tumors: a randomized study of doses of 4, 8, and 16 mg per day. *Neurology* 44: 675–680
130. Weltman E, Salvajoli JV, Brandt RA, de Morais Hanriot R, Prisco FE, Cruz JC, de Oliveira Borges R, Lagatta M, Ballas Wajsbrot D (2001) Radio-surgery for brain metastases: who may not benefit? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 51: 1320–1327
131. Wronski M, Arbit E (2000) Surgical treatment of brain metastases from melanoma: a retrospective study of 91 patients. *J Neurosurg* 93: 9–18
132. Yano S, Shinohara H, Herbst RS, Kuniyasu H, Bucana CD, Ellis LM, Davis DW, McConkey DJ, Fidler IJ (2000) Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor is necessary but not sufficient for production and growth of brain metastasis. *Cancer Res* 60: 4959–4967
133. Yuh WT, Tali ET, Nguyen HD, Simonson TM, Mayr NA, Fisher DJ (1995) The effect of contrast dose, imaging time, and lesion size in the MR detection of intracerebral metastasis. *AJNR* 16: 373–380
134. Zimm S, Wampler GL, Stablein D, Hazra T, Young HF (1981) Intracerebral metastases in solid-tumor patients: natural history and results of treatment. *Cancer* 48: 384–394