Childhood otitis media is associated with dizziness in adulthood: the HUNT cohort study

Lisa Aarhus[1] · Kristian Tambs[1] · Howard J. Hoffman[2] · Bo Engdahl[1]

Abstract The objective of the study was to examine the association between otitis media in childhood and dizziness in adulthood. Longitudinal, population-based cohort study of 21,962 adults (aged 20–59 years, mean 40) who completed a health questionnaire in the Nord-Trøndelag Hearing Loss Study was conducted. At 7, 10 and 13 years of age, the same individuals underwent screening audiometry in a longitudinal school hearing investigation. Children found with hearing loss underwent an ear, nose and throat specialist examination. Adults diagnosed with childhood chronic suppurative otitis media ($n = 102$) and childhood hearing loss after recurrent acute otitis media ($n = 590$) were significantly more likely to have increased risk of reported dizziness when compared to adults with normal hearing as children at the school investigation and also a negative history of recurrent otitis media ($n = 21,270$), $p < 0.05$. After adjusting for adult age, sex and socio-economic status, the odds ratios were 2.1 [95 % confidence interval (CI): 1.4–3.3] and 1.3 (95 % CI: 1.0–1.5), respectively. This longitudinal cohort study suggests that childhood chronic suppurative otitis media and childhood hearing loss after recurrent acute otitis media are associated with increased risk of dizziness in adulthood. This might reflect a permanent effect of inflammatory mediators or toxins on the vestibular system. The new finding stresses the importance of treatment and prevention of these otitis media conditions.

Keywords Otitis media · Inner ear · Dizziness · Vertigo · Follow-up

Introduction

Otitis media is one of the most common childhood diseases. Overwhelming evidence from human temporal bone studies and experimental studies in animals suggests that toxins or inflammatory mediators from otitis media enter and affect the labyrinth by crossing the round window membrane [1] (review). In serous labyrinthitis, one of the most common complications occurring during otitis media, invasion by bacterial or viral toxins inflames the vestibular apparatus and the cochlea. The inflammation is usually associated with temporary vertigo, and sometimes mild sensorineural hearing loss, implying preservation of viable vestibular and cochlear hair cells.

However, once toxic substances enter the inner ear, various permanent shifts in both cochlear and vestibular function can occur. Whereas the association between a history of childhood otitis media and hearing loss both in children [2–6] and adults [7–9] is well established, few studies have shown symptoms of subsequent vestibular dysfunction [10–12], and only in preschool children.

Dizziness is often defined as the sum of vertigo (illusion of movement of oneself or the environment), disequilibrium (a sensation of imbalance and/or postural instability),

✉ Lisa Aarhus
 lisa.aarhus@fhi.no; Lisa.Aarhus@me.com

1 Division of Mental Health, Department of Psychosomatic and Health Behavior, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, PO Box 4404, Nydalen, 0403 Oslo, Norway

2 Epidemiology and Statistics Program, Division of Scientific Programs, National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

presyncope (feeling faint or light-headed) and "other types of dizziness" [13]. Dizziness is a common symptom associated with extensive handicap and psychological morbidity [14]. The causes are multifactorial, but vestibular vertigo accounts for a considerable percentage [15]. Knowledge about possible permanent effects of otitis media on the vestibular apparatus is important in future considerations of interventions for otitis media. Hence, the present study aims to assess whether a history of otitis media in childhood is associated with increased risk of dizziness in adulthood.

Materials and methods

The present study used data from a linkage between two large, prior hearing investigations in Norway: the school hearing investigation in Nord-Trøndelag (SHINT, 1954–1986) and The Nord-Trøndelag Hearing Loss Study (NTHLS, 1996–98).

Two related studies from the same database (the linkage between SHINT and NTHLS) employing similar materials and measurements are presented elsewhere [7, 16]. These studies examined childhood sensorineural hearing loss and the effects of combination with later aging and noise exposure [16], and to which extent childhood otitis media is associated with hearing loss in adulthood [7].

Participants

The baseline childhood study

The school hearing investigation in Nord-Trøndelag (SHINT) was an audiometric screening of nearly all 7-, 10- and 13-year-old school children in the entire Nord-Trøndelag County from 1954 to 1986. It was conducted by the late Norwegian Ear, Nose and Throat (ENT)-specialist, H. F. Fabritius, and his colleagues [17]. The study did not record information confirming the participation of children with normal hearing, so the exact number of participants is unknown. The number of children born between 1941 and 1977 in Nord-Trøndelag, 78,524, might serve as a crude approximation. Children with hearing loss at screening were invited to an ENT specialist examination. From 1954 to 1962, average attendance at the ENT examinations for children with positive screening was 97 % [17]. Because most parents would bring their child to an ENT examination if recommended, we believe this high level of attendance did not change later. Altogether, 10,269 children took part in the ENT examination. Detailed information about SHINT is found elsewhere [17].

The follow-up adult study

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT 2, 1995-97) was a general, population-based, cross-sectional study where all residents in the county of Nord-Trøndelag, Norway, aged ≥20 years were invited. Out of 93,898 invited persons, 65,237 participated (69 %) [18]. HUNT 2 included examinations and questionnaires. Detailed information about HUNT 2 is found elsewhere [19]. The Nord-Trøndelag Hearing Loss Study (NTHLS, 1996–98) was part of HUNT 2, and included pure-tone audiometry and hearing questionnaires. This study used Questionnaire 1. The total adult population (≥20 years) from 17 of the 23 municipalities in Nord-Trøndelag was invited. Valid audiometric data were collected from 50,723 participants with given consent. Among individuals born between 1941 and 1977 (the population cohort of the present study), 87 % of the county population was invited with an overall participation rate of 59 %. The NTHLS is described in detail elsewhere [20].

After linkage: the final sample of the present study

Childhood otitis media cases: Among the 10,269 children diagnosed with different types of hearing loss in SHINT, 2337 were diagnosed with hearing loss together with chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) or hearing loss after recurrent acute otitis media (rAOM). Out of these 2337 participants, 721 attended the follow-up adult study, however, 29 were excluded due to invalid data on dizziness (14 did not have a valid Questionnaire 1 and 15 had a valid Questionnaire 1 but missing values on the dizziness question). Accordingly, 692 childhood otitis media cases (CSOM = 102 and hearing loss after rAOM = 590) were included in the present study.

Non-cases: As previously described, SHINT did not register the children with normal hearing. Thus, as a reference group we included all participants of NTHLS who were primary school age during SHINT (born between 1941 and 1977) and who were not registered with hearing loss in SHINT (n = 29,720). From these, the present study includes those who in the NTHLS questionnaire 1 reported a negative history of recurrent otitis media (n = 21,507), however, those with invalid data on dizziness (n = 237 had missing values on the dizziness question) were excluded. Accordingly, 21,270 non-cases were included in the present study. Figure 1 presents the flow of the participants.

With regard to the general loss to follow-up, this was explained in detail by Aarhus et al. [7]. In short, among the 10,269 participants diagnosed with hearing loss in the baseline childhood study, only 3066 (29.9 %) attended the follow-up adult study. About 13 % of the participants in the childhood study were living in a municipality not invited to the

```
┌─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│              The baseline childhood study, 1954–1986: N~78,000¹                    │
└─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┘
```


┌──┐   ┌──┐
│ Diagnosed with hearing loss together   │   │ Normal hearing at the childhood study: │
│ with chronic suppurative otitis media or │   │ n=unknown (~78 000-10,269~68,000)   │
│ hearing loss after recurrent acute otitis │   └──┘
│ media at the childhood study: n=2337   │   │
└──┘   ├──> Not attending the adult study: n=unknown
                    │   │
 Not attending the adult study: n= 1616² <──   │
                    │   Attending the adult   Attending the adult study
 Attending both the childhood and the   │   study and born   and born between 1941–
 adult study: n=721   │   between 1941–1977:   1977, but not attending the
                    │   n=29,720   <── childhood study: n=unknown
 Non-valid data on dizziness: n=29 <──   │
                    │   ├──> Not reporting a negative history of
                    │   │   recurrent otitis media: n=8213
                    │   │
                    │   Reporting a negative history of recurrent otitis
                    │   media: n= 21,507
                    │   │
                    │   ├──> Non-valid data on dizziness: n=237
                    │   │

┌───┐
│ Final sample of the present study: 692 childhood otitis media cases and 21,270   │
│ non-cases   │
└───┘

¹ Number of children born in Nord-Trøndelag 1941-1977

² The general loss to follow-up is explained previously (Aarhus et al. 2014) and in the text

Fig. 1 Flow of participants

adult study, and some were lost due to not being old enough to be invited or loss of identification number. Also, the participation rate at the adult study among the population of this study was 59 %. Most likely, a substantial number moved out of Nord-Trøndelag after the childhood study, and a few died (about 2 %; information provided by Statistics Norway).

Measurement of the variables

Diagnosis (main exposure variable)

The childhood diagnoses were assessed in SHINT by audiometry and medical examinations. The audiometric screening was performed by a trained hearing assistant or district health nurse in a quiet location within the school, obtaining air-conduction thresholds by pure-tone audiometry at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 kHz. Hearing loss for the screening was defined as thresholds 20 decibel hearing level (dB HL) or greater at three or more frequencies or a 30 dB HL or greater threshold at one or more frequencies in either one or both ears.

All children found with hearing loss at the audiometric screening examination in the school were invited to an ENT specialist examination at one of 14 out-patient clinics

in Nord-Trøndelag. Also, their parents completed a questionnaire with questions about their children's ear problems. The medical examination included family and medical history, a complete ENT examination and a new pure-tone audiometry with both air- and bone-conduction thresholds. Depending upon the diagnosis, the children had one or more controls at the ENT specialist. The ENT specialist recorded the history, findings, diagnoses (the presumed etiology of the hearing loss) and the treatments. The present study included as cases children diagnosed with (definitions by dr.Fabritius): (1) CSOM: chronic infection of the middle ear including eardrum perforation and intermittent secretion, conductive or mixed hearing loss; the minimum duration for eardrum perforation was not defined; (2) hearing loss associated with a history of rAOM: no middle ear effusion at the clinical examination but a history of preschool rAOM, sometimes also occurring during school years, mostly including eardrum pathology, conductive or mixed hearing loss. Some of these children had other diagnoses in addition to CSOM or hearing loss after rAOM, including SNHL (with no audiometric air–bone gap), otosclerosis, anomalies, cognitive disorders or syndromes; all the children with these additional diagnoses were excluded from our sample.

To reveal possible differences between childhood otitis media cases who did or did not attend the follow-up adult study (selection bias), we calculated the childhood hearing threshold level. Mostly, hearing thresholds <20 dB HL were not registered in these childhood audiograms, so values for many single frequencies were "missing". These missing values were replaced by the mean value of those values <20 dB HL registered in the total childhood hearing loss case group ($n = 10{,}269$). For instance for 1000 Hz, right ear, there were 2855 cases with values ≥20 dB HL, 1535 cases with registered values <20 dB HL, and 5879 cases with missing values (hearing thresholds <20 dB). Mean value for the cases with registered values <20 dB HL was 12 dB (95 % CI 11–13), and missing values in the case group were replaced by this mean value. The variable was defined as PTA at 0.5–4 kHz in the worse hearing ear.

Socio-economic status (covariate)

We collected information on covariates from national registries and from questionnaires in HUNT 2. From national registries we obtained information on highest level of completed education (primary and secondary school, vocational school, high school, undergraduate or graduate school) and income in 1998.

Dizziness (outcome variable)

Dizziness was assessed by a questionnaire item in NTHLS: "Are you bothered by dizziness?" which was answered: "yes/maybe/no". Participants with invalid data on dizziness were excluded (numbers described previously). The variable was dichotomized, collapsing "yes" and "maybe" and using "no" as the reference category.

Statistical analyses

Statistical significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. We used logistic regression analysis (SPSS version 20) to estimate odds ratios (OR) with 95 % confidence intervals (CI) for each type of childhood otitis media (0 = reference category) and adult dizziness (0 = no, 1 = yes/maybe). The model was conducted with and without adjustment for adult age (in years), sex and socio-economic status (level of education and income in 1998).

Descriptive statistics

To compare the baseline risk factors in childhood otitis media cases ($n = 2337$) who did ($n = 721$) or did not ($n = 1616$) attend the follow-up adult study (to reveal possible selection bias) we used a Chi-square test for sex and an independent t test for childhood hearing threshold.

Results

Descriptive statistics of the sample are presented in Table 1. The prevalence of dizziness ("Are you bothered by dizziness?" answered as "yes" or "maybe") in the total sample was 16.9 %. Average age at the last ENT examination for the childhood otitis media cases was 10 years. Mean time between observation in childhood and in adulthood was 31 years. Descriptive analyses revealed no significant differences in childhood hearing threshold (p value = 0.507) and sex (p value = 0.059) between childhood otitis media cases who did or did not attend the follow-up adult study.

The results from the regression analyses are shown in Table 2. Adults diagnosed with childhood CSOM and childhood hearing loss after rAOM were significantly more likely to have increased risk of reported dizziness when compared to adults with normal hearing as children at the school investigation and also a negative history of recurrent otitis media ($p < 0.05$). After adjusting for adult age, sex and socio-economic status, the odds ratios were 2.1 (95 % CI 1.4–3.3) and 1.3 (95 % CI 1.0–1.5), respectively.

Discussion

Main findings

Adults diagnosed with childhood CSOM and childhood hearing loss after rAOM were significantly associated with increased risk of dizziness in adulthood as compared to adults with normal hearing at the school investigation and a negative history of recurrent otitis media.

Strengths and limitations of the study

The validity of this cohort study has also been described previously in two related studies from the same database [7, 16].

Selection bias

Since, all schools in Nord-Trøndelag were included in the childhood study, we do not suspect a serious selection bias at his stage. There was a considerable loss to follow-up, since only 721 out of 2337 childhood otitis media cases attended the follow-up adult study. However, we have no reason to suspect a selective loss to follow-up. The present paper revealed no important differences between childhood otitis media cases who did or did not attend follow-up. Also, Aarhus et al. [7] reported no important differences in the distribution of risk factors (childhood hearing threshold, etiology, sex) between the total childhood hearing loss case

Table 1 Descriptive statistics for the sample

Characteristics	Total sample (n = 21,962)	Non-cases[a] (n = 21,270)	CSOM[b] (n = 102)	Hearing loss after rAOM[c] (n = 590)
Male/female (%)	48.5/51.5	48.5/51.5	44.1/55.9	51.9/48.1
Age at the adult study: range, mean (SD)	19–59, 40 (10.1)	19–56, 40 (10.1)	20–54, 41 (8.7)	20–59, 41 (8.0)
Dizziness[d]: yes/maybe/no	6.8/10.3/82.9	6.7/10.2/83.1	10.8/20.6/68.6	11.2/9.5/79.3

[a] Normal hearing at the school investigation and no history of recurrent otitis media

[b] Chronic suppurative otitis media: four participants had been operated with radical cholesteatoma removal, 13 with myringoplasty and eight with a non-specified ear operation. Nine participants with dry eardrum perforations were included into this group

[c] Recurrent acute otitis media

[d] Assessed by questionnaire in the follow-up adult study: «Are you bothered by dizziness?»

Table 2 The association between otitis media in childhood and dizziness in adulthood

Diagnosis (N)	Unadjusted odds ratios		Adjusted[a] odds ratios	
	(95 % CI)	p value	(95 % CI)	p value
Reference group (21,507)[b]	Reference category		Reference category	
CSOM (102)[c]	2.2 (1.5–3.4)	<0.001	2.1 (1.4–3.3)	<0.001
Hearing loss after rAOM (590)[d]	1.3 (1.0–1.6)	0.017	1.3 (1.0–1.5)	0.029

[a] Adjusted for age, sex and socio-economic status (level of education and income)

[b] Normal hearing at the school investigation and no self-reported history of recurrent otitis media

[c] Chronic suppurative otitis media

[d] Hearing loss after a history of preschool, recurrent acute otitis media

cases (n = 10,269) who did (n = 3066) or did not attend follow-up [7]. Finally, the follow-up adult study was part of a very large, general health study (HUNT), so we do not suspect that possible occurrence of dizziness affected the likelihood of participation.

Information bias: diagnosis

We do not suspect serious misclassification of childhood otitis media cases (false positives), since the diagnoses were determined by an ENT specialist after repeated, complete examinations including air- and bone-conduction audiometry [17]. Children with additional, more severe hearing disorders or syndromes were excluded. The classifications of various types of otitis media at that time [17] correspond well with the classifications used today [21]. Considering the course and treatment of otitis media at that time, antibiotics were prescribed and middle ear surgery was performed at the Namsos Hospital. If some of the effects of otitis media on dizziness reflect a poorer course and treatment at that time, this would only further underline the importance of optimal treatment in more adverse conditions.

The follow-up adult study did not confirm that CSOM was cured at the time of follow-up in HUNT 2. CSOM can heal and years later re-rupture due to a new infection, which could again introduce dizziness. Regarding the long time between the baseline childhood study and the follow-up adult study (mean 31 years), including the access to middle ear surgery and antibiotic treatment at the time of baseline study, we suspect that CSOM was likely to have been cured.

We do not suspect serious misclassification of non-cases (false negatives) because there were three separate hearing examinations (1st, 4th, and 7th grades), so most of these conditions were probably detected. However, we lack information confirming that non-cases actually took part in the childhood study. By including all participants of the adult study who were in primary school age during the childhood study, we assumed that: (1) they lived in Nord-Trøndelag between 1954 and 1986. To the extent that migration explains part of the loss to follow-up, there must also have been an immigration to Nord-Trøndelag, since the number of habitants has slightly increased during the last 50 years [19]; (2) all children in Nord-Trøndelag between 1954 and 1986 attended primary school. According to Statistics Norway, the number of pupils that attended first grade class at primary school during the childhood study period was nearly equal to the number of persons born 7 years earlier; (3) the childhood study included all children at primary school between 1954 and 1986. A great effort was made to include all school children, including those living in very small communities or rural areas,

children at special schools, and so on [17]. This situation that some participants categorized as non-cases probably had undetected childhood hearing loss because they did not participate in the childhood study may have caused a small underestimation of the associations. This bias cannot exceed an almost trivial value, however, since the false negative to true negative ratio will remain low because of the relatively low prevalence of childhood CSOM and childhood hearing loss after rAOM.

Information bias: dizziness

The outcome variable was based on a single, self-reported answer to the question: "Are you bothered by dizziness?" Non-differential misclassification could have led to underestimating the results. However, in another large study, which used the established and validated "Vertigo Symptom Scale", the authors found a dizziness prevalence of 15 % [22], which is quite similar to our finding (16.9 %). If there are systematic differences with regard to the prevalence of "a little dizziness/maybe" between otitis media cases and non-cases, the strength of the association between otitis media and dizziness might be influenced by the way the dizziness variable is dichotomized (the treatment of maybe as yes or no). Prevalence studies reveal variation. We believe our way of dichotomizing is adequate, since we believe that "maybe" is reasonable to count as dizzy. Since there were few missing values on the dizziness question (15 out of 721 in otitis media cases and 237 out of 21,507 in non-cases) and we have no reason to suspect "missing not at random", we do not believe the exclusion of these few participants has biased the results.

Information bias: childhood hearing threshold

The imputation of missing childhood hearing thresholds probably introduced some inaccuracy. However, childhood hearing threshold was only used to reveal possible systematic differences between childhood otitis media cases who did or did not attend follow-up. Since we can think of no reason why an inaccuracy by imputation should be unevenly distributed across these subgroups, we do not believe the imputation has biased the results.

Confounding

We have no reason to believe that the results represent unmeasured confounding. No otitis media cases in the present study were registered with previous myringotomy or tympanostomy, but we do not find this information absolute politely, since it was not an obligatory question in the medical examination. However, we do not believe this factor is an important confounder for the studied

associations, since it is not a treatment of CSOM and often not for rAOM. Adjustment for age, sex and socio-economic status had only marginal influence on the effect estimates.

Design

Our longitudinal cohort study cannot establish cause and effect. Yet, it seems unlikely that the outcomes measured in adulthood could affect childhood ear diseases; therefore, in general we think it is reasonable to assume this directionality.

Comparisons with other studies

Although the middle–inner ear interaction that occurs in otitis media has been an area of interest for many decades, few studies have examined possible long-term effects on the vestibular apparatus. In the study by Casselbrant et al., preschool children with a history of recurrent or persistent middle ear effusion (n = 40) showed poorer performance on vestibular and balance tests (rotational and moving platform posturography) compared to children without a history of significant middle ear effusion [10]. Similarly, another study of preschool children with a history of more than six episodes of otitis media (n = 18) revealed lower scores on various vestibular tests compared to children without previous episodes of otitis media [12].

To our knowledge, no prior study has provided long-term follow-up of otitis media with regard to dizziness. Thus, our study adds valuable information, showing that childhood CSOM and childhood hearing loss after rAOM are associated with long-lasting effects on dizziness.

Possible underlying mechanisms

We can only speculate about the underlying mechanisms of our findings. The results could reflect a permanent effect of inflammatory mediators or toxins from otitis media on the vestibular apparatus. Although the vestibule is relatively far from the round window, it has been shown that endotoxin can penetrate the inner ear via various routes, such as the round window, blood vessels or lymphatics, and/or interscala exchange, resulting in a disturbance not only of the cochlea but also of vestibular end organs [23]. Once toxic substances enter the vestibular system, various permanent sequelae can occur.

For example, otitis media could be associated with an accelerated age-related cellular loss in the vestibular end organ (presbystasis). Also, otitis media could predispose to later vestibulopathies. The recurrent nature, and also some shared histopathological characteristics, of benign paroxysmal positions vertigo (BPPV), vestibularis neuritis, or Ménière's (the three most common vestibulopathies), suggest a recurrent cause [24] and a viral etiology is

suggested. Knowledge about possible influence due to a history of recurrent otitis media is scarce. Paparella et al. [25] suggested that childhood otitis media may lead to Ménière's later in life, and BPPV has been associated with a history of inner ear disease [26] (review).

Considerations about the outcome variable

The causes of dizziness are multifactorial and it has been suggested that vestibular vertigo accounts for about 1/3 of dizziness symptoms [15]. Neuhauser et al. reported a prevalence of vestibular vertigo (at least one of the following criteria: (1) rotational vertigo; (2) positional vertigo; (3) recurrent dizziness with nausea and either imbalance or oscillopsia) of 4.9 % [15]. [15]. If we could have distinguished between vestibular vertigo and other etiologies for 'dizziness', then it is possible that the effect of otitis media is much larger using vestibular vertigo as an outcome variable instead of dizziness.

Conclusion

The results from this cohort study suggest that CSOM and hearing loss after rAOM in childhood are associated with increased risk of dizziness in adulthood. This might reflect a permanent effect of inflammatory mediators or toxins on the vestibular system. CSOM and rAOM are relevant causes of preventable dizziness, and this new finding stresses the importance of optimal management of these otitis media conditions in childhood.

Compliance with ethical standards

The manuscript has not been submitted to more than one journal for simultaneous consideration. The manuscript has not been published previously (partly or in full). A single study is not split up into several parts to increase the quantity of submissions and submitted to various journals or to one journal over time. No data have been fabricated or manipulated (including images) to support your conclusions. No data, text, or theories by others are presented as if they were our own ("plagiarism"). Consent to submit has been received explicitly from all co-authors, as well as from the responsible authorities—tacitly or explicitly—at the institute/organization where the work has been carried out. Authors whose names appear on the submission have contributed sufficiently to the scientific work and, therefore, share collective responsibility and accountability for the results.

Research involving human participants The research is in compliance with the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics. We do not possess any person identifiable data. For all individual participants included in the study, informed consent was obtained. For this type of study, no formal consent is required.

References

1. Cureoglu S, Schachern PA, Rinaldo A, Tsuprun V, Ferlito A, Paparella MM (2005) Round window membrane and labyrinthine pathological changes: an overview. Acta Otolaryngol 125(1):9–15
2. Ahonen JE, McDermott JC (1984) Extended high-frequency hearing loss in children with cleft palate. Audiology 23(5):467–476
3. Hunter LL, Margolis RH, Rykken JR, Le CT, Daly KA, Giebink GS (1996) High frequency hearing loss associated with otitis media. Ear Hear 17(1):1–11
4. Margolis RH, Hunter LL, Rykken JR, Giebink GS (1993) Effects of otitis media on extended high-frequency hearing in children. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 102(1 Pt 1):1–5
5. Laitila P, Karma P, Sipila M, Manninen M, Rakho T (1997) Extended high frequency hearing and history of acute otitis media in 14-year-old children in Finland. Acta oto-laryngologica Supplementum 529:27–29
6. Sorri M, Maki-Torkko E, Alho OP (1995) Otitis media and long-term follow-up of hearing. Acta Otolaryngol 115(2):193–195
7. Aarhus L, Tambs K, Kvestad E, Engdahl B (2014) Childhood otitis media: a cohort study with 30-year follow-up of hearing (the HUNT study). Ear Hear. doi:10.1097/aud.0000000000000118
8. Tambs K, Hoffman HJ, Engdahl B, Borchgrevink HM (2004) Hearing loss associated with ear infections in Nord-Trondelag, Norway. Ear Hear 25(4):388–396
9. Jensen RG, Koch A, Homoe P (2013) The risk of hearing loss in a population with a high prevalence of chronic suppurative otitis media. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 77(9):1530–1535. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2013.06.025
10. Casselbrant ML, Furman JM, Mandel EM, Fall PA, Kurs-Lasky M, Rockette HE (2000) Past history of otitis media and balance in four-year-old children. Laryngoscope 110(5 Pt 1):773–778. doi:10.1097/00005537-200005000-00007
11. Schaaf RC (1985) The frequency of vestibular disorders in developmentally delayed preschoolers with otitis media. Am J Occup Ther 39(4):247–252

12. Denning J, Mayberry W (1987) Vestibular dysfunction in pre-school-children with a history of otitis-media. Occup Ther J Res 7(6):335–348

13. Sloane PD, Coeytaux RR, Beck RS, Dallara J (2001) Dizziness: state of the science. Ann Intern Med 134(9 Pt 2):823–832

14. Yardley L, Owen N, Nazareth I, Luxon L (1998) Prevalence and presentation of dizziness in a general practice community sample of working age people. Br J Gen Pract 48(429):1131–1135

15. Neuhauser HK, Radtke A, von Brevern M, Lezius F, Feldmann M, Lempert T (2008) Burden of dizziness and vertigo in the community. Arch Intern Med 168(19):2118–2124. doi:10.1001/archinte.168.19.2118

16. Aarhus L, Tambs K, Nafstad P, Bjørgan E, Engdahl B (2015) Childhood sensorineural hearing loss: effects of combined exposure with aging or noise exposure later in life. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. doi:10.1007/s00405-015-3649-y

17. Fabritius HF (1968) Hearing investigations of school children in North Trondelag County. J Oslo City Hosp 18(1):5–44

18. Krokstad S, Langhammer A, Hveem K, Holmen TL, Midthjell K, Stene TR, Bratberg G, Heggland J, Holmen J (2013) Cohort profile: the HUNT study, Norway. Int J Epidemiol 42(4):968–977. doi:10.1093/ije/dys095

19. Holmen J, Midthjell K, Krüger Ø, Langhammer A, Holmen TL, Bratberg GH, Vatten L, Lund-Larsen PG (2003) The Nord-Trøndelag health study 1995–97 (HUNT 2): objectives, contents, methods and participation. Norsk epidemiologi 13(1):19–32

20. Engdahl B, Tambs K, Borchgrevink HM, Hoffman HJ (2005) Screened and unscreened hearing threshold levels for the adult population: results from the Nord-Trondelag hearing loss study. Int J Audiol 44(4):213–230

21. Gates GA, Klein JO, Lim DJ, Mogi G, Ogra PL, Pararella MM, Paradise JL, Tos M (2002) Recent advances in otitis media. 1. Definitions, terminology, and classification of otitis media. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol Suppl 188:8–18

22. Wiltink J, Tschan R, Michal M, Subic-Wrana C, Eckhardt-Henn A, Dieterich M, Beutel ME (2009) Dizziness: anxiety, health care utilization and health behavior–results from a representative German community survey. J Psychosom Res 66(5):417–424. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2008.09.012

23. Takumida M, Anniko M (2004) Localization of endotoxin in the inner ear following inoculation into the middle ear. Acta Oto-laryngol 124(7):772–777. doi:10.1080/00016480410017459

24. Gacek RR (2013) A perspective on recurrent vertigo. ORL 75(2):91–107. doi:10.1159/000348710

25. Paparella MM, de Sousa LC, Mancini F (1983) Meniere's syndrome and otitis media. Laryngoscope 93(11 Pt 1):1408–1415

26. Riga M, Bibas A, Xenellis J, Korres S (2011) Inner ear disease and benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: a critical review of incidence, clinical characteristics, and management. Int J Otolaryngol 2011:709469. doi:10.1155/2011/709469