HANDSURGERY

Factors associated with complex regional pain syndrome type I in patients with surgically treated distal radius fracture

Young Hak Roh · Beom Koo Lee · Jung Ho Noh · Jong Ryoon Baek · Joo Han Oh · Hyun Sik Gong · Goo Hyun Baek

Received: 26 June 2014/Published online: 14 October 2014 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract

Purpose Wrist fracture is considered a typical initiating trauma for complex regional pain syndrome type I (CRPS I). However, few studies have comprehensively evaluated factors associated with the occurrence of CRPS I after the surgical treatment of a distal radius fracture (DRF). This study evaluates the factors influencing the occurrence of CRPS I after the surgical treatment of a DRF.

Methods A total of 477 patients with a DRF who had been treated surgically were enrolled in this prospective observational study. Patients were followed for 6 months after surgery, and CRPS I was diagnosed using the Budapest diagnostic criteria for research. The factors assessed for the development of CPRS I were age, gender, the body mass index, the type of fracture, the energy of trauma, the number of trial reductions, the type of surgery, and the duration of immobilization. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify independent predictors of the occurrence of CRPS I.

This work was performed at Gil Medical Center, Gachon University School of Medicine, Incheon, Korea.

Y. H. Roh · B. K. Lee · J. R. Baek Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Gil Medical Center, Gachon University School of Medicine, 1198 Kuwol-dong, Namdong-gu, 405-760 Incheon, Korea

J. H. Noh (🖂)

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kangwon National University Hospital, 156 Baengnyeong-ro, 200-722 Chuncheon-si, Gangwon-do, Korea e-mail: bestknee@hotmail.com

J. H. Oh \cdot H. S. Gong \cdot G. H. Baek Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, 110-744 Seoul, Korea *Results* Among the 477 patients, 42 (8.8 %) satisfied the Budapest criteria for CRPS I within 6 months of surgery. Female patients developed CRPS I more frequently, and the patients who developed CRPS I were older and more likely to sustain a high energy injury or have a comminuted fracture. According to the multivariate analysis, female patients and those with a high energy trauma or severe fracture type were significantly more likely to develop CRPS I (p = 0.02, 0.01, and 0.01, respectively).

Conclusions High energy injuries, severe fractures, and the female gender contribute to the development of CRPS I after the surgical treatment of DRF. The results have important implications for physicians who wish to identify patients at high risk for CRPS I after operative fixation for DRF and instigate treatment accordingly.

Keywords Complex regional pain syndrome · Distal radius fracture · Surgical treatment · Risk factors

Introduction

Fractures of the distal radius are the most common type of fracture in the upper extremity and represent a serious public health concern [1]. Recently, there has been more aggressive fracture fixation in patients with a distal radius fracture to enable patients to resume daily activities earlier and more independently [1, 2]. On the other hand, patients with fracture in the upper extremity are at high risk for developing complex regional pain syndrome type I (CRPS I) [3, 4], with reported incidence rates after a distal radius fracture ranging from 1 to 37 % [4–9]. The occurrence of CPRS has a serious impact on the patient's quality of life and daily function because it causes severe pain with adverse psychosocial and socioeconomic effects [10, 11].

CRPS is a challenging condition with symptoms and signs such as pain, swelling, and regional vasomotor instability, which tend to be more severe than expected for the extent and location of the initial injury [12]. Differentiated by the presence of a demonstrated nerve lesion, CRPS can be classified into type I and type II, of which type I, without a nerve lesion, is more common [11]. The pathophysiology of CRPS I has not been determined and its treatment remains largely empirical and symptom based [13]. Early identification and intensified treatment have been reported to facilitate the recovery of patients with CRPS I [14, 15].

Although several studies have identified various risk factors for CRPS I after a fracture in the upper extremity, no consensus has been reached on the relationship between demographic or medical factors and the development of CRPS I [4, 16, 17]. This study hypothesizes that the development of CRPS I after distal radius surgery may be driven by demographic or medical risk factors and thus investigates patient-, injury-, and treatment-related factors associated with the development of CRPS I in patients treated surgically for a distal radius fracture.

Patients and methods

A total of 498 patients with a distal radius fracture treated surgically between July 2010 and April 2013 were enrolled in this study. These patients were recruited from a tertiary care university hospital serving as a regional emergencytrauma center. The institutional review board of the university approved this study, and all patients provided informed consent. The operative criteria were as follows: (1) radial shortening of >5 mm, (2) dorsal angulation of $>10^{\circ}$ or volar angulation of $>20^{\circ}$ in wrist lateral radiographs, (3) radial inclination of <10° in wrist posteroanterior view and (4) an articular step-off of >2 mm. A total of 21 patients with (1) systemic, multiorgan, or head injuries, (2) concomitant wrist or upper-extremity injuries, (3) bilateral fractures, (4) treated more than 2 weeks after initial injury, or (5) less than 6 months follow-up were excluded. Table 1 shows the demographic profile of remaining 477 patients.

The patients were assessed for symptoms and signs of CRPS I by two orthopedic hand specialists 6 weeks (range 5–7), 12 weeks (11–14), or 24 weeks (24–28) after surgery. When a patient was diagnosed to have symptoms related to CRPS I by one hand specialist, the patient was referred to the other hand specialist for the diagnosis of CRPS I at each session. The patient was diagnosed to have CRPS I when the two hand surgeons agree to the diagnosis. CRPS I was diagnosed using the Budapest diagnostic criteria for research (Table 2), which is a modified criteria of

Table 1 Demographic-, injury-, treatment-related variables associated with CRPS I in patients with surgically treated distal radius fracture

| \$7 . 11 | | CDDG (+) | CDDG () | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|---------------|--------------|------|
| variable | п | CRPS(+) | CRPS (-) | р |
| Gender | | | | |
| Female | 262 | 30 | 232 | 0.02 |
| Male | 215 | 12 | 203 | |
| Age (years) ^a | | 56.9 ± 18.7 | 49.9 ± 21.2 | 0.02 |
| Side of fractures | | | | |
| Dominant | 274 | 27 | 247 | 0.25 |
| Non-dominant | 203 | 15 | 188 | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) ^a | | 23.5 ± 6.0 | 23.8 ± 7.0 | 0.76 |
| Fracture type (AO) | | | | |
| A (extra-articular) | 239 | 14 | 225 | 0.02 |
| B (partial articular) | 87 | 7 | 80 | |
| C (complete articular) | 151 | 21 | 130 | |
| Comminuted | | | | |
| + | 191 | 25 | 166 | 0.01 |
| - | 286 | 17 | 269 | |
| Articular involvement | | | | |
| + | 239 | 26 | 213 | 0.11 |
| - | 238 | 16 | 222 | |
| Energy of injury ^b | | | | |
| Low | 422 | 32 | 390 | 0.02 |
| High | 55 | 10 | 45 | |
| Number of trial reduction | | | | |
| <u>≤1</u> | 323 | 27 | 296 | 0.57 |
| ≥2 | 151 | 15 | 136 | |
| Type of surgery | | | | |
| OR and internal fixation | 347 | 26 | 321 | 0.10 |
| CR and external fixation | 130 | 16 | 114 | |
| Immobilization | | | | |
| ≤ 6 weeks | 290 | 21 | 269 | 0.13 |
| >6 weeks | 187 | 21 | 166 | |
| | | | | |

Statistically significant values are in bold

BMI body mass index, OR open reduction, CR closed reduction

^a Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation; the remaining values are expressed as number of patients

^b The energy of injury was classified as low (a simple fall from a standing position) or high (any other injury including open fractures, combined muscle/tendon injuries, and car accident or industrial crushing/abrasion wounds)

the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP, 2007) [18, 19]. In the present study, CRPS I was diagnosed when continuing pain disproportionate to the distal radius

 Table 2 Budapest diagnostic criteria for CRPS (for research purpose)

- 1. Continuing pain, which is disproportionate to any inciting event
- 2. Must report at least one symptom in all four following categories
- Sensory: reports of hyperesthesia and/or allodynia
- Vasomotor: reports of temperature asymmetry and/or skin color changes and/or skin color asymmetry
- Sudomotor/Edema: reports of edema and/or sweating changes and/or sweating asymmetry
- Motor/Trophic: reports of decreased range of motion and/or motor dysfunction (weakness, tremor, dystonia) and/or trophic changes (hair, nail, skin)
- 3. Must display at least one sign at time of evaluation in two or more of the following categories
- Sensory: evidence of hyperalgesia (to pinprick) and/or allodynia (to light touch and/or temperature sensation and/or deep somatic pressure and/or joint movement)
- Vasomotor: evidence of temperature asymmetry (>1 °C) and/or skin color changes and/or asymmetry
- Sudomotor/Edema: evidence of edema and/or sweating changes and/or sweating asymmetry
- Motor/Trophic: evidence of decreased range of motion and/or motor dysfunction (weakness, tremor, dystonia) and/or trophic changes (hair, nail, skin)
- 4. There is no other diagnosis that better explains the signs and symptoms

fracture was present and when four symptoms from each category and at least two signs from different categories were present in the wrist, including the area distal to the wrist (hand and fingers). These four symptom categories included (1) hyperalgesia or allodynia (sensory), (2) skin color asymmetry and temperature (vasomotor), (3) edema or sweating asymmetry (sudomotor/oedema), and (4) a decreased range of motion, motor dysfunction, or a trophic change (motor/trophic). The four sign categories included (1) evidence of hyperalgesia (pinprick) and allodynia (light touch), (2) evidence of temperature asymmetry or a skin color change, (3) evidence of edema or a sweating change, and (4) evidence of a decreased range of motion, motor dysfunction, or trophic changes.

CRPS I risk factors are multifactorial and include patient-, injury- and treatment-related factors. Based on previous studies of these factors after trauma to the upper extremity [4–6, 16, 17, 20–22], several potentially associated patient-, trauma-, and treatment-related factors are considered: patient-related factors including age, gender, and body mass index (BMI); trauma-related factors including the type of fracture (comminution or articular involvement) and the energy of trauma; and treatmentrelated factors including the number of trial reductions, the type of surgery, and the duration of immobilization. The energy of injury was classified as low (a simple fall from a standing position) or high (any other injury including open fractures, combined muscle/tendon injuries, and car accident or industrial crushing/abrasion wounds). All operations were performed by two orthopedic hand surgeons with 15 and 8 years of orthopedic experience, respectively. All extra-articular (AO type A) and partial articular (AO type B) fractures were treated by open reduction and plating, and intra-articular (AO type C) fractures were treated at the surgeon's discretion. Patients undergoing volar plating were treated with a short-arm splint for 2 weeks followed by a removable short-arm brace around 2 weeks as required by the patients. Patients who underwent closed reduction and external fixation were treated with a short-arm splint for 2 weeks, and the external fixator was removed after 5 weeks from surgery, followed by a removable brace as required. Patients were advised to elevate the affected arm and perform finger range-ofmotion exercises immediately after surgery. Wrist rangeof-motion exercises were initiated immediately after the removal of the splint in the case of internal fixation and after the removal of the frame in the case of external fixation. Patients were instructed to perform daily activities after splint removal and to use the wrist brace as required only for physical labor using the hand. All patients had formal physiotherapy and were given a standardized home exercise program, emphasizing an active and passive range of motion and edema control of the hand/wrist. The frequency of physiotherapy was typically twice per week, although more or less frequent therapy sessions took place for some patients based on individual circumstances. Patients were followed up 2, 6 weeks, 3, 6, and 12 months after surgery by the same orthopedic hand specialist at the orthopedic outpatient clinic (Fig. 1). The patients who had been diagnosed with CRPS I at each occasion were given treatments combining oral medication and physical therapy, which was tailored to each individual person. Physical therapy interventions for CRPS I included specific modalities such as transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, tactile desensitization, massage, and contrast bath therapy, and oral medication included anti-inflammatories such as corticosteroid and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, antidepressant, and GABA analogs such as gabapentin and pregabalin.

Statistical analysis

At least 10 cases are recommended per variable in the smaller of two groups in a logistic regression analysis to reduce the likelihood of an invalid model [23]. In this present study, the number of events per predictive variable (cases per variable) in the logistic regression analysis was set to 10 to avoid a major bias. During the study design stage, a CRPS I incidence rate of 10 % was assumed for



Fig. 1 Follow-ups in the study. The patients were assessed for symptoms and signs of CRPS I by two orthopedic hand specialists 6, 12, and 24 weeks after surgery. *ORIF* open reduction and internal fixation, *CREF* closed reduction and external fixation, *ROM* range of motion, *ex* exercise

patients with a distal radius fracture based on previous research [4, 24, 25]. Therefore, 400 patients were required (for 40 occurrences of CRPS I) to evaluate four potential predictors of CRPS I for the multiple logistic regression analysis, which were selected through a univariate regression analysis.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient demographics and radiographic measurements. To detect interactions between demographic and medical variables with respect to the occurrence of CRPS I, the χ^2 test and t test were conducted for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was employed to identify the normality of variable distributions. Independent variables significant at the p < 0.1 level were included in the multivariate regression model to prevent model overfitting. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify independent predictors of CRPS I development after surgery for a distal radius fracture as well as to identify confounding effects of variables. Statistical significance was accepted for p < 0.05.

Results

Among the 477 patients, 42 (8.8 %) met the Budapest criteria for CRPS I within 6 months of surgery. The numbers of patients who were newly diagnosed with CRPS I (incidence) were 28, 14, and 0, and those who met the criteria at each occasion (prevalence) were 28, 34, and 18 at 6, 12, and 24 weeks after surgery, respectively. Of 28 patients diagnosed with CRPS I at 6 weeks, in 20 patients symptoms and signs persisted at 12 week assessment, in 8 patients resolved between 6 and 12 weeks, and in 14 patients appeared within this period. Of 34 patients who meet the criteria of CRPS I at 12 week assessment, and in 16 patients resolved between 12 and 24 weeks, and no patient appeared within this period. The mean patient age was 50.5 ± 19.2 years; 262 (55 %) were female; and 274

(57%) had a dominant side fracture. In addition, 191 fractures (40%) were comminuted, and 239 (50%) involved an intra-articular portion. Further, 347 (73%) patients were treated by open reduction and internal fixation, and 151 (27%) by closed reduction and external fixation.

According to the bivariate relationship analysis, gender, age, fracture type, and high energy injury proportions among patients with and without CPRS I showed significant differences (Table 1). More specifically, 6 % of male patients and 11 % of female patients developed CRPS I (p = 0.02). Patients with CRPS I were older (p = 0.02) and more likely to sustain high energy injuries and to have comminuted fractures (p = 0.02 and 0.01, respectively). On the other hand, no differences were found between the two groups in terms of the fracture side, the BMI, intraarticular involvement, the number of attempted reductions, and the type of surgery.

According to the logistic regression analysis, the univariate model showed that female patients, older patients, severe fractures, and high energy injuries were potential risk factors for CRPS I development in patients with a surgically treated distal radius fracture (Table 3). In the multivariate logistic analyses, female patients (aOR 2.17;

Table 3 Univariate logistic analyses

| Variables | cOR | 95 % CI | |
|----------------------------|-------|-------------|--|
| Female gender | 1.859 | 1.214-3.408 | |
| Age | 1.344 | 1.120-1.756 | |
| Side of fracture | 1.242 | 0.685-2.252 | |
| BMI | 0.984 | 0.924-1.060 | |
| Fracture type | 2.141 | 1.237-4.034 | |
| High energy trauma | 2.299 | 1.232-4.978 | |
| Number of trial reductions | 1.266 | 0.699-2.292 | |
| Type of surgery | 1.625 | 0.899–2.937 | |
| Immobilization duration | 1.539 | 0.858-2.759 | |
| | | | |

Statistically significant values are in bold

cOR crude odds ratio, CI confidence interval

95 % CI 1.49–6.03), severe type of fracture (aOR 3.12; 95 % CI 1.52–8.02) and high energy injuries (aOR 3.33; 95 % CI 1.63–10.12) contributed significantly to the development of CRPS I (Table 4).

Discussion

Although several studies have evaluated the effects of demographic or medical factors on CRPS I development,

Table 4 Multivariate logistic regression analyses for the predictionof CRPS I after distal radius surgery

| Variables | aOR | 95 % CI | р |
|--------------------|-------|--------------|------|
| Female | 2.172 | 1.492-6.034 | 0.02 |
| Fracture type | 3.123 | 1.522-8.023 | 0.01 |
| High energy trauma | 3.332 | 1.632-10.121 | 0.01 |

aOR adjusted odds ratio, CI confidence interval

there has been no consensus because of study heterogeneity and the inclusion of different treatment methods (Table 5) [4-6, 16, 17, 20-22]. The present study demonstrates that comminuted fractures, high energy injuries, and the female gender contribute to the development of CRPS I after the surgical treatment of distal radius fractures. These identified factors are in concordance with previous findings of Zollinger et al. [5] who recruited conservatively treated patients and used the Veldman diagnostic criteria for CRPS I. The incidence of CRPS I after distal radius fractures (8.8 %) was rather higher than expected, given the diagnostic criteria used in the study. The method used to diagnose CRPS I determines the incidence of CRPS I to a large extent [4, 25], and the Budapest criteria for CRPS I has a relative high specificity (0.79) compared with the Veldman or IASP criteria [4, 26]. The relative high incidence rate in the present study might be related with the fact that we enrolled only patients with surgically treated distal radius fractures (they had more severe type of fracture than those treated conservatively) recruited from a

Table 5 Literature review about risk factors for occurrence of CRPS I in patients with distal radius fracture

| References | Study subjects | Measured variables | Findings |
|--|---|--|--|
| Roumen et al. [6] | 101 conservatively or surgically treated patients | Fracture type | There was no correlation between the development of CRPS and the fracture type or anatomical end result |
| Bickerstaff 274 conse et al. [19] | 274 conservatively treated patients | Age, sex, handedness Fracture type | Patients with CRPS had the more severe type and displacement of fractures |
| | | Manipulation (or displacement) | |
| Zollinger 123 c et al. [5] | 123 conservatively treated patients | Age, sex, handedness | A higher CRPS rate in females, elderly patients, and with more comminution in the fracture |
| | | Reduction, complaints in plasters | In logistic regression analysis, fracture type, complaints in plaster, and vitamin C were significant predictors |
| Zollinger 416 conservatively or surgically tre et al. [20] patients | 416 conservatively or surgically treated patients | Sex, age, side of fracture Fracture type, dislocation | Only complaint in plaster and vitamin C therapy were associated with the development of CRPS I |
| | | Reduction, complaints in cast | The CRPS I was not associated with type of fractures and treatments |
| Demir et al. [21] | 165 conservatively or surgically treated patients | Age, gender, handedness Tissue types injured | Female gender and motor nerve injury are risk factors for CRPS I |
| Beerthuizen 218 conservatively or surgicall et al. [4] 218 conservatively or surgicall patients with distal radius fra 596 including lower extremit | 218 conservatively or surgically treated patients with distal radius fracture (total 596 including lower extremity) | Sex, age, handedness, education | Intra-articular fracture, dislocations, musculoskeletal comorbidities were associated with the occurrence |
| | | Fracture type, dislocation | of CRPS I in patients with distal radius fracture |
| | | Type of treatment, Days in plasters | |
| Current 477 surgical study (2014) | 477 surgically treated patients | Age, gender, bone mineral density | Female gender, severe type of fracture, and high energy trauma contributed to the development of |
| | | Comminution, articular involvement, energy of trauma | CRPS I after surgical treatment of distal radius fracture |
| | | Number of reduction trial, type of surgery, duration of immobilization | |

tertiary care hospital serving as a regional emergencytrauma center.

The relationship between injury severity and CRPS I development has been controversial [16]. Some studies have reported no relationship between the type of fracture and CRPS I development [21, 27, 28], whereas others have concluded CRPS I to be more common after severe fractures [4, 5, 16]. The results indicate that fracture severity was a significant predictor of CRPS I development. More specifically, the comminution of the distal radius, not the involvement of intra-articular portion, was an important predictor of CRPS I. Comminution of distal radius fracture relates with higher overall incidence of complications following surgical treatment of distal radius fractures [29]. Soft-tissue injuries or open wounds related to high energy trauma are associated with persistent pain or disability even for healed fracture [30], and the results of this study demonstrate that distal radius fractures from high energy injuries led to a 2.3-fold increase in the risk of CRPS I development. In terms of patient-related factors, the female gender has been widely believed to influence CRPS I frequency [5, 16, 22] despite some studies attributing this increased incidence to higher incidence of distal radial fracture in female patients [20]. According to the logistic regression analysis of this sutdy, female patients were 2.2 times more likely to develop CRPS I.

Some studies have suggested that CRPS I development is more likely after external fixation than after other surgical treatment procedures [31, 32]. In the present study, external fixation was not a risk factor of CRPS I occurrence, which is consistent with previous research [33] in that external fixation does not necessarily lead to a higher incidence of CRPS I. The high incidence of CRPS I after external fixation found in previous research might be related to the recruitment of subjects with more severe distal radius fractures or excessive distraction during external fixation. On the other hand, the number of reduction attempts and the duration of immobilization did not predict CRPS I. Some studies have reported that careful operative techniques, the avoidance of nerve traction, and early mobilization can reduce the frequency of CRPS I after surgery [16, 34]. However, relationships between these factors and CRPS I have not been verified in clinical trials. In the clinical setting of this study, the number of reduction attempts was generally limited to two, and early mobilization was encouraged for all patients.

The pathophysiologic mechanism of CRPS I is multifactorial and relative contributions of the mechanisms underlying CRPS may differ across patients and even within a patient over times [12, 13]. Various diagnosis tools or treatment methods for CRPS I have been demonstrated, but the reliability of diagnostic tests and the effectiveness of treatments have not been clearly proven and they have been used variably in different combination. The efficacy of imaging tools varies in terms of their sensitivity and specificity, and should be taken into account in choosing the study method. Triple-phase bone scan has better sensitivity and negative predictive values than plain radiography or magnetic resonance imaging, and it can be used to help rule out CRPS I as complimentary imaging technique [35]. For the prevention of CRPS I after a fracture of the wrist, administration of 500 mg vitamin C for 50 days has been proposed to reduce the frequency of CRPS I [21]. It has been suggested to be beneficial for other forms of trauma as a prophylactic against CPRS by acting as a hydroxyl and superoxide scavenger. Given the relationships, it becomes important to assess patient risk factors for CRPS I (female gender, severe type fracture, and high energy injury) and address issues for patients at risk with prophylactic treatment with ascorbic acid early in the recovery process. In addition, early and adequate treatment with specific anti-inflammatory drugs such as corticosteroids and radical scavengers, which have a proven therapeutic value in reducing regional inflammatory sign of CRPS [36], should be initiated for patients with CRPS I.

Study limitations include the fact that, there is no gold standard for diagnosing CRPS I, and the incidence of CRPS I after a distal radius fracture is obviously affected by the diagnostic criteria considered. In addition, the presence and severity of specific symptoms and signs are likely to show substantial variability, and physicians' or physicians' institution experience with diagnosing and treating the CRPS may influence the incidence of CRPS I. However, despite the lack of a specific test or imaging technique capable of confirming or excluding a diagnosis, the Budapest criteria for CRPS I have been widely used in previous research [21], and are increasingly used in clinical practice. Second, the pathophysiologic mechanism of CRPS I appears to be multifactorial [13], and in this study, it was not possible to consider all factors that may potentially influence its occurrence. Therefore, some potential contributors not measured in this study [12, 13] may contribute to CRPS I development. Third, this study's subjects were limited to one ethnic population drawn from an urban area, which means that their characteristics and the results may not be representative of other populations.

In conclusion, high energy injuries, severe fractures, and the female gender are risk factors for CRPS I after the surgical treatment of distal radius fracture. Given the relationships, it becomes important to assess these risk factors for CRPS I and address issues for patients at risk with prophylactic ascorbic acid and adequate treatment with anti-inflammatory drugs such as radical scavengers or corticosteroids early in the recovery process. Acknowledgments We thank S.Y. Lee MD, C.H. Hwang MD and S.H Lee MD, for their role in data management and statistical support. This study was supported by the Korean Human Technology Research Foundation (KOHTERF-2014-01).

References

- Chung KC, Shauver MJ, Birkmeyer JD (2009) Trends in the United States in the treatment of distal radial fractures in the elderly. J Bone Joint Surg Am 91:1868–1873
- Ring D, Jupiter JB (2005) Treatment of osteoporotic distal radius fractures. Osteoporos Int 16(Suppl 2):S80–S84
- de Mos M, de Bruijn AG, Huygen FJ, Dieleman JP, Stricker BH, Sturkenboom MC (2007) The incidence of complex regional pain syndrome: a population-based study. Pain 129:12–20
- Beerthuizen A, Stronks DL, Van't Spijker A, Yaksh A, Hanraets BM, Klein J, Huygen FJ (2012) Demographic and medical parameters in the development of complex regional pain syndrome type 1 (CRPS1): prospective study on 596 patients with a fracture. Pain 153:1187–1192
- Zollinger PE, Tuinebreijer WE, Kreis RW, Breederveld RS (1999) Effect of vitamin C on frequency of reflex sympathetic dystrophy in wrist fractures: a randomised trial. Lancet 354:2025–2028
- Roumen RM, Hesp WL, Bruggink ED (1991) Unstable Colles' fractures in elderly patients. A randomised trial of external fixation for redisplacement. J Bone Joint Surg Br 73:307–311
- Veldman PH, Reynen HM, Arntz IE, Goris RJ (1993) Signs and symptoms of reflex sympathetic dystrophy: prospective study of 829 patients. Lancet 342:1012–1016
- Dijkstra PU, Groothoff JW, ten Duis HJ, Geertzen JH (2003) Incidence of complex regional pain syndrome type I after fractures of the distal radius. Eur J Pain 7:457–462
- Gradl G, Gradl G, Wendt M, Mittlmeier T, Kundt G, Jupiter JB (2013) Non-bridging external fixation employing multiplanar K-wires versus volar locked plating for dorsally displaced fractures of the distal radius. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 133:595–602
- Kang JE, Kim YC, Lee SC, Kim JH (2012) Relationship between complex regional pain syndrome and working life: a Korean study. J Korean Med Sci 27:929–933
- Galer BS, Henderson J, Perander J, Jensen MP (2000) Course of symptoms and quality of life measurement in complex regional pain syndrome: a pilot survey. J Pain Symptom Manage 20:286–292
- de Mos M, Sturkenboom MC, Huygen FJ (2009) Current understandings on complex regional pain syndrome. Pain Pract 9:86–99
- 13. Bruehl S (2010) An update on the pathophysiology of complex regional pain syndrome. Anesthesiology 113:713–725
- Raja SN, Grabow TS (2002) Complex regional pain syndrome I (reflex sympathetic dystrophy). Anesthesiology 96:1254–1260
- Li Z, Smith BP, Smith TL, Koman LA (2005) Diagnosis and management of complex regional pain syndrome complicating upper extremity recovery. J Hand Ther 18:270–276
- Zyluk A (2004) Complex regional pain syndrome type I. Risk factors, prevention and risk of recurrence. J Hand Surg Br 29:334–337
- Puchalski P, Zyluk A (2005) Complex regional pain syndrome type 1 after fractures of the distal radius: a prospective study of the role of psychological factors. J Hand Surg Br 30:574–580
- Bruehl S, Harden RN, Galer BS, Saltz S, Bertram M, Backonja M, Gayles R, Rudin N, Bhugra MK, Stanton-Hicks M (1999) External validation of IASP diagnostic criteria for complex regional pain syndrome and proposed research diagnostic criteria. International Association for the Study of Pain. Pain 81:147–154

- Harden RN, Bruehl S, Stanton-Hicks M, Wilson PR (2007) Proposed new diagnostic criteria for complex regional pain syndrome. Pain Med 8:326–331
- Bickerstaff DR, Kanis JA (1994) Algodystrophy: an under-recognized complication of minor trauma. Br J Rheumatol 33:240–248
- 21. Zollinger PE, Tuinebreijer WE, Breederveld RS, Kreis RW (2007) Can vitamin C prevent complex regional pain syndrome in patients with wrist fractures? A randomized, controlled, multi-center dose-response study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 89:1424–1431
- 22. Demir SE, Ozaras N, Karamehmetoglu SS, Karacan I, Aytekin E (2010) Risk factors for complex regional pain syndrome in patients with traumatic extremity injury. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg 16:144–148
- Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR (1996) A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 49:1373–1379
- Dilek B, Yemez B, Kizil R, Kartal E, Gulbahar S, Sari O, Akalin E (2012) Anxious personality is a risk factor for developing complex regional pain syndrome type I. Rheumatol Int 32:915–920
- 25. Zyluk A, Mosiejczuk H (2013) A comparison of the accuracy of two sets of diagnostic criteria in the early detection of complex regional pain syndrome following surgical treatment of distal radial fractures. J Hand Surg Eur 38:609–615
- 26. Harden RN, Bruehl S, Perez RS, Birklein F, Marinus J, Maihofner C, Lubenow T, Buvanendran A, Mackey S, Graciosa J, Mogilevski M, Ramsden C, Chont M, Vatine JJ (2010) Validation of proposed diagnostic criteria (the "Budapest Criteria") for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. Pain 150:268–274
- Atkins RM, Duckworth T, Kanis JA (1989) Algodystrophy following Colles' fracture. J Hand Surg Br 14:161–164
- Field J, Atkins RM (1997) Algodystrophy is an early complication of Colles' fracture. What are the implications? J Hand Surg Br 22:178–182
- Esenwein P, Sonderegger J, Gruenert J, Ellenrieder B, Tawfik J, Jakubietz M (2013) Complications following palmar plate fixation of distal radius fractures: a review of 665 cases. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 133:1155–1162
- Leversedge FJ, Srinivasan RC (2012) Management of soft-tissue injuries in distal radius fractures. Hand Clin 28:225–233
- Suso S, Combalia A, Segur JM, Garcia-Ramiro S, Ramon R (1993) Comminuted intra-articular fractures of the distal end of the radius treated with the Hoffmann external fixator. J Trauma 35:61–66
- 32. Hegeman JH, Oskam J, Vierhout PA, Ten Duis HJ (2005) External fixation for unstable intra-articular distal radial fractures in women older than 55 years. Acceptable functional end results in the majority of the patients despite significant secondary displacement. Injury 36:339–344
- 33. Zollinger PE, Kreis RW, van der Meulen HG, van der Elst M, Breederveld RS, Tuinebreijer WE (2010) No higher risk of CRPS after external fixation of distal radial fractures—subgroup analysis under randomised vitamin C prophylaxis. Open Orthop J 4:71–75
- 34. Marx C, Wiedersheim P, Michel BA, Stucki G (2001) Preventing recurrence of reflex sympathetic dystrophy in patients requiring an operative intervention at the site of dystrophy after surgery. Clin Rheumatol 20:114–118
- Cappello ZJ, Kasdan ML, Louis DS (2012) Meta-analysis of imaging techniques for the diagnosis of complex regional pain syndrome type I. J Hand Surg Am 37:288–296
- 36. Goris RJ, Leixnering M, Huber W, Figl M, Jaindl M, Redl H (2007) Delayed recovery and late development of complex regional pain syndrome in patients with an isolated fracture of the distal radius: prediction of a regional inflammatory response by early signs. J Bone Joint Surg Br 89:1069–1076