COMMENTARY LETTER

TMEM106B: a strong FTLD disease modifier

Yuetiva Deming · Carlos Cruchaga

Received: 20 January 2014 / Accepted: 20 January 2014 / Published online: 1 February 2014 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are a very powerful approach for identifying novel loci associated with disease risk or other complex traits. In these studies millions of common single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), distributed across the whole genome, are analyzed for their association against disease status. The power of these studies is that it is not necessary to have an a priori hypothesis about the potential implication of any particular gene with disease status. This approach has successfully identified novel genes implicated in several neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's disease [7], Parkinson's disease [8], frontotemporal dementia [13], progressive supranuclear palsy [6], and others. The importance of these studies is the identification of novel genes and pathways implicated in disease. The identification of these genes has led to a better understanding of disease pathogenesis and the potential identification of novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

In early 2010, a GWAS performed in 426 autopsyconfirmed frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) with TAR DNA-binding protein (TDP-43) inclusions cases, 89 granulin (GRN) mutation carriers and 2,509 population controls, identified *TMEM106B* [top SNP rs1990622, $p = 1.08 \times 10^{-11}$; odds ratio, minor allele (C) 0.61, 95 % CI 0.53–0.71] as a risk factor for FTLD-TDP

Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue B8134, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

e-mail: cruchagc@psychiatry.wustl.edu

C. Cruchaga

Hope Center Program on Protein Aggregation and Neurodegeneration, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA [13]. Several single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) are in linkage disequilibrium with rs1990622, including rs3173615 (minor allele G), a TMEM106B non-synonymous variant (p.T185S) [2, 4]. Interestingly, the association of TMEM106B with FTLD risk was stronger in the GRN mutation carriers (n = 89) than in the autopsy-confirmed cases (n = 426). In the autopsy-confirmed cases, the TMEM106B locus was the strongest signal, but did not reach the genome-wide significant threshold [13]. The role of TMEM106B in FTLD-TDP, or even the normal biological function of TMEM106B, was unknown at that time. But based on these findings, it was hypothesized that TMEM106B affects risk for FTLD-TDP by affecting GRN levels [2, 4, 13]. Subsequent studies demonstrated that the p.T185S variant presents slower protein degradation that leads to higher steady-state TMEM106B levels [9], the risk allele was associated with lower GRN protein levels and early age at onset in GRN mutation carriers [2, 4], and that increased expression of TMEM106B leads to alterations in the intracellular versus extracellular partitioning of GRN [1]. These results suggested that TMEM106B alters the disease risk among GRN mutation carriers by modulating GRN protein levels.

In 2010, mutations in *GRN* were the most commonly known genetic cause of FTLD. However in late 2011, the *C9ORF72* expansion repeat emerged as the major cause of FTLD [3, 11] (also see the Alzheimer Disease and Frontotemporal Dementia Mutation Database: http://www.mol gen.ua.ac.be/ADMutations/). Since then, several research groups have been interested in determining whether *TMEM106B* was also a risk factor or a disease modifier in *C9ORF72* expansion carriers.

In this issue of *Acta Neuropathologica*, two independent groups analyzed the association of *TMEM106B* variants with disease risk, age at onset, and age at death in

Y. Deming \cdot C. Cruchaga (\boxtimes)

Study	SNP	Minor allele	Ref. allele	Model	Reported OR/beta	OR/beta for minor allele
Risk for disease						
Gallagher et al. [5]	rs1990622	С	Т	Allelic	1.56 (Table 3)	0.64 (minor allele carriers > lower risk)
Van Blitterswijk et al. [12]	rs3173615	G	G	Recessive	0.33 (Table 1)	0.33
Age at onset						
Gallagher et al. [5]	rs1990622	С	Т	Additive	+3.47 (Table 2)	-3.47 (minor allele carriers > earlier onset)
Gallagher et al. [5]	rs1990622	С	Т	Dominant with respect to major allele ^a	-	-
Van Blitterswijk et al. [5]	rs3173615	G	G	Recessive with respect to minor allele ^a	-1.26 (Table 3)	-1.26 (minor allele carriers > earlier onset)

Table 1 Comparison of the Van Blitterswijk et al. and Gallagher et al. studies

Ref. allele reference allele, OR odds ratio, M major allele, m minor allele

^a Please note that a genetic dominant model (MM + Mm vs. mm) with respect to the major allele is the same as a recessive model with respect to the minor allele (MM + Mm vs. mm)

C90RF72 expansion carriers [5, 12]. At first, it may look like the results from these studies are contradictory. However, these studies are in fact complementary and represent an independent replication of each other's findings. The reason why the results appear contradictory is because the authors focus their results on two different SNPs (rs1990622 and rs3173615) and different genetic models (additive vs. recessive, or major allele as reference vs. minor allele) to perform their analyses and because one of these studies concentrated on age at onset and age at death, whereas the other focused on disease risk. In this brief commentary, we will go through the results and tables trying to harmonize the results, so it will be easier for readers to interpret both studies.

The first big difference between the Gallagher et al. [5] and the Van Blitterswijk et al. [12] studies is that the first study focused on rs1990622 (T/C SNPs, C-minor allele), while the second one focused on rs3173615 (p.T185S, C/G SNPs, G minor allele). Both SNPs are in LD (D' = 1, $R^2 = 1$), therefore the rs1990622-C allele is comparable to the rs3173615-G allele. In fact, Van Blitterswijk et al. also analyzed rs1990622 finding the same results as with rs3171615.

The second important difference is that Gallagher et al. (rs1990622, C-minor allele) calculated the odds ratio (OR) for disease risk for the major allele (T), whereas Van Blitterswijk et al. calculated the OR for the minor allele (G). This explains why in Gallagher et al. the OR >1 (the carriers for the major allele present higher risk) and the OR is <1 in Van Blitterswijk et al. [the minor allele is protective, which is the same as saying major allele carriers present higher risk (Table 1)]. A third difference is that Gallagher et al. used an allelic model to analyze the association of the *TMEM106B* SNP with disease risk, but Van Blitterswijk

et al. used a recessive model respective to the minor allele (minor allele homozygous vs. heterozygous and major allele homozygous). Van Blitterswijk et al. decided to use this model because in their present and previous studies the recessive model presented the best fit [4]. Regardless of these differences, both studies found very comparable minor allele frequencies (MAF) in cases and controls, and additionally, found that *TMEM106B* SNPs are strongly associated with disease risk (Table 1) (see Table 2 and 3 of Van Bitterswijk et al. and Gallagher et al., respectively).

Comparison of the results for age at onset may seem confusing as well. Gallagher et al. report the results for an additive genotypic model (Tables 1 and 2 of Gallagher et al.), but a dominant genotypic model is used in the survival analysis and figures. The dominant model is in relation to the major allele (major allele homozygous and heterozygous vs. minor allele homozygous), which is the same comparison as a recessive model for the minor allele. In this case, Gallagher et al. found that the minor allele homozygotes present with a significantly lower age at onset and age at death (see Results, Tables 1, 2 and Fig. 1 of Gallagher et al.). Similarly, Van Blitterswijk et al. also found that the minor allele homozygotes present with a lower age at onset, but it is important to highlight that this finding did not approach significance, and that they grouped all C9ORF72 expansion carriers (also MND patients) for their age at onset analysis, which may account for some of the differences between the two studies. The findings related to age at onset, therefore, are not conclusive and more studies and/or meta-analyses are needed to confirm this potential association.

Based on the combined results from the papers published in this issue of *Acta Neuropathologica*, the effect of the *TMEM106B* SNPs in disease risk is similar in *GRN* mutation carriers (OR = 0.61, [13]) and in *C9ORF72* expansion carriers (OR = 0.68). As the initial study suggested that the effect of the *TMEM106B* SNPs was stronger in *GRN* mutation carriers, several studies were focused on analyzing the effect of TMEM106B on GRN levels [2, 4] and pathways in which GRN is involved [1, 10]. However, it is still unknown whether TMEM106B also affects risk for disease in the *C9ORF72* expansion carriers by affecting C9ORF72 levels, any pathway in which C9ORF72 is involved, or through some unknown interaction between GRN and C9ORF72.

Another interesting finding of the Gallagher et al. study is that the minor allele, which is protective in GRN and C9ORF72 expansion carriers and is also associated with later onset on GRN mutation carriers, may actually be associated with earlier onset and death in C9ORF72 expansion carriers. It is known that APOE 4 is associated with Alzheimer's disease risk and earlier onset in APP and PSEN1 mutation carriers, so it is relatively common that the same genetic variant is associated with disease risk and age at onset, but it is more unusual that the same variant is associated with increased risk and later onset at the same time. The authors suggest that this may be due to a complex interplay between TMEM106B genotype, C9orf72 expansion, and manifestation as ALS vs. FTD. Indeed, in a prior paper, this group has shown that TMEM106B genetic variants influence risk for dementia in ALS patients, without associating with ALS itself [14]. Intriguingly, the Van Blitterswijk et al. paper also sees differential association of TMEM106B genetic variants with C9orf72-associated FTD vs. ALS; specifically, in ALS patients with C9orf72 expansions, there is no difference in TMEM106B genotype frequencies compared to controls. It will be necessary to perform additional genetic studies to confirm the association with age at onset and additional functional studies to determine the mechanism by which the TMEM106B affects risk for disease and age at onset and death in C9ORF72 expansion carriers.

In summary, the results from Van Blitterswijk et al. and Gallagher et al. indicate that TMEM106B is a major disease modifier for frontotemporal dementia, independently of whether the disease is caused by pathogenic mutations in the *GRN* or *C90RF72* gene.

References

 Chen-Plotkin AS, Unger TL, Gallagher MD, Bill E, Kwong LK, Volpicelli-Daley L, Busch JI, Akle S, Grossman M, Van Deerlin V, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM (2012) TMEM106B, the risk gene for frontotemporal dementia, is regulated by the micro-RNA-132/212 cluster and affects progranulin pathways. J Neurosci 32:11213–11227. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0521-12.2012

- Cruchaga C, Graff C, Chiang HH, Wang J, Hinrichs AL, Spiegel N, Bertelsen S, Mayo K, Norton JB, Morris JC, Goate A (2011) Association of TMEM106B gene polymorphism with age at onset in *granulin* mutation carriers and plasma granulin protein levels. Arch Neurol 68:581–586. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2010.350
- 3. Dejesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF, Boxer AL, Baker M, Rutherford NJ, Nicholson AM, Finch NA, Flynn H, Adamson J, Kouri N, Wojtas A, Sengdy P, Hsiung GY, Karydas A, Seeley WW, Josephs KA, Coppola G, Geschwind DH, Wszolek ZK, Feldman H, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Miller BL, Dickson DW, Boylan KB, Graff-Radford NR, Rademakers R (2011) Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron 72:245–256. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.011
- Finch N, Carrasquillo MM, Baker M, Rutherford NJ, Coppola G, Dejesus-Hernandez M, Crook R, Hunter T, Ghidoni R, Benussi L, Crook J, Finger E, Hantanpaa KJ, Karydas AM, Sengdy P, Gonzalez J, Seeley WW, Johnson N, Beach TG, Mesulam M, Forloni G, Kertesz A, Knopman DS, Uitti R, White CL 3rd, Caselli R, Lippa C, Bigio EH, Wszolek ZK, Binetti G, Mackenzie IR, Miller BL, Boeve BF, Younkin SG, Dickson DW, Petersen RC, Graff-Radford NR, Geschwind DH, Rademakers R (2011) TMEM106B regulates progranulin levels and the penetrance of FTLD in GRN mutation carriers. Neurology 76:467–474. doi:10. 1212/WNL.0b013e31820a0e3b
- Gallagher MD, Suh E, Gorssman M, McCluskey L, Van Swieten J, Al-Saraj S, Neuman M, Gelpi E, Ghetti B, Rohrer JD, Halliday G, Van Broeckhoven C, Seilhean D, Shaw PJ, Frosch MP (2014) TMEM106B is a genetic modifier of frontotemporal lobar degeneration with C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansions. Acta Neuropathol. doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1239-x
- 6. Hoglinger GU, Melhem NM, Dickson DW, Sleiman PM, Wang LS, Klei L, Rademakers R, de Silva R, Litvan I, Riley DE, van Swieten JC, Heutink P, Wszolek ZK, Uitti RJ, Vandrovcova J, Hurtig HI, Gross RG, Maetzler W, Goldwurm S, Tolosa E, Borroni B, Pastor P, Cantwell LB, Han MR, Dillman A, van der Brug MP, Gibbs JR, Cookson MR, Hernandez DG, Singleton AB, Farrer MJ, Yu CE, Golbe LI, Revesz T, Hardy J, Lees AJ, Devlin B, Hakonarson H, Muller U, Schellenberg GD (2011) Identification of common variants influencing risk of the tauopathy progressive supranuclear palsy. Nat Genet 43(7):699–705. doi:10.1038/ng.859
- Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, Hiltunen M, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Bullido MJ, Tavernier B, Letenneur L, Bettens K, Berr C, Pasquier F, Fievet N, Barberger-Gateau P, Engelborghs S, De Deyn P, Mateo I, Franck A, Helisalmi S, Porcellini E, Hanon O, de Pancorbo MM, Lendon C, Dufouil C, Jaillard C, Leveillard T, Alvarez V, Bosco P, Mancuso M, Panza F, Nacmias B, Bossu P, Piccardi P, Annoni G, Seripa D, Galimberti D, Hannequin D, Licastro F, Soininen H, Ritchie K, Blanche H, Dartigues JF, Tzourio C, Gut I, Van Broeckhoven C, Alperovitch A, Lathrop M, Amouyel P (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 41:1094–1099. doi:10.1038/ng.439
- Nalls MA, Plagnol V, Hernandez DG, Sharma M, Sheerin UM, Saad M, Simon-Sanchez J, Schulte C, Lesage S, Sveinbjornsdottir S, Stefansson K, Martinez M, Hardy J, Heutink P, Brice A, Gasser T, Singleton AB, Wood NW (2011) Imputation of sequence variants for identification of genetic risks for Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. Lancet 377:641–649. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62345-8
- Nicholson AM, Finch NA, Wojtas A, Baker MC, Perkerson RB 3rd, Castanedes-Casey M, Rousseau L, Benussi L, Binetti G, Ghidoni R, Hsiung GY, Mackenzie IR, Finger E, Boeve BF, Ertekin-Taner N, Graff-Radford NR, Dickson DW, Rademakers R (2013) TMEM106B p. T185S regulates TMEM106B protein

levels: implications for frontotemporal dementia. J Neurochem 126:781–791. doi:10.1111/jnc.12329

- Premi E, Formenti A, Gazzina S, Archetti S, Gasparotti R, Padovani A, Borroni B (2013) Effect of TMEM106B Polymorphism on functional network connectivity in asymptomatic GRN mutation carriers. JAMA Neurol. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.4835
- 11. Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A, Simon-Sanchez J, Rollinson S, Gibbs JR, Schymick JC, Laaksovirta H, van Swieten JC, Myllykangas L, Kalimo H, Paetau A, Abramzon Y, Remes AM, Kaganovich A, Scholz SW, Duckworth J, Ding J, Harmer DW, Hernandez DG, Johnson JO, Mok K, Ryten M, Trabzuni D, Guerreiro RJ, Orrell RW, Neal J, Murrav A, Pearson J, Jansen IE, Sondervan D, Seelaar H, Blake D, Young K, Halliwell N, Callister JB, Toulson G, Richardson A, Gerhard A, Snowden J, Mann D, Neary D, Nalls MA, Peuralinna T, Jansson L, Isoviita VM, Kaivorinne AL, Holtta-Vuori M, Ikonen E, Sulkava R, Benatar M, Wuu J, Chio A, Restagno G, Borghero G, Sabatelli M, Heckerman D, Rogaeva E, Zinman L, Rothstein JD, Sendtner M, Drepper C, Eichler EE, Alkan C, Abdullaev Z, Pack SD, Dutra A, Pak E, Hardy J, Singleton A, Williams NM, Heutink P, Pickering-Brown S, Morris HR, Tienari PJ, Traynor BJ (2011) A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-linked ALS-FTD. Neuron 72:257-268. doi:10.1016/j. neuron.2011.09.010
- 12. van Blitterswijk M, Mullen B, Nicholson AM, Bieniek KF, Heckman MG, Baker MC, Dejesus-Hernandez M, Finch NA, Brown PH, Murray ME, Hsiung GY, Stewart H, Karydas AM, Finger E, Kertesz A, Bigio EH, Weintraub S, Mesulam M, Hatanpaa KJ, White Iii CL, Strong MJ, Beach TG, Wszolek ZK, Lippa C, Caselli R, Petrucelli L, Josephs KA, Parisi JE, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Mackenzie IR, Seeley WW, Grinberg LT, Miller BL, Boylan KB, Graff-Radford NR, Boeve BF, Dickson DW,

Rademakers R (2014) TMEM106B protects C9ORF72 expansion carriers against frontotemporal dementia. Acta Neuropathol. doi: 10.1007/s00401-013-1240-4

- 13. Van Deerlin VM, Sleiman PM, Martinez-Lage M, Chen-Plotkin A, Wang LS, Graff-Radford NR, Dickson DW, Rademakers R, Boeve BF, Grossman M, Arnold SE, Mann DM, Pickering-Brown SM, Seelaar H, Heutink P, van Swieten JC, Murrell JR, Ghetti B, Spina S, Grafman J, Hodges J, Spillantini MG, Gilman S, Lieberman AP, Kaye JA, Woltjer RL, Bigio EH, Mesulam M, Al-Sarraj S, Troakes C, Rosenberg RN, White CL 3rd, Ferrer I, Llado A, Neumann M, Kretzschmar HA, Hulette CM, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Miller BL, Alzualde A, Lopez de Munain A, McKee AC, Gearing M, Levey AI, Lah JJ, Hardy J, Rohrer JD, Lashley T, Mackenzie IR, Feldman HH, Hamilton RL, Dekosky ST, van der Zee J, Kumar-Singh S, Van Broeckhoven C, Mayeux R, Vonsattel JP, Troncoso JC, Kril JJ, Kwok JB, Halliday GM, Bird TD, Ince PG, Shaw PJ, Cairns NJ, Morris JC, McLean CA, DeCarli C, Ellis WG, Freeman SH, Frosch MP, Growdon JH, Perl DP, Sano M, Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Beach TG, Reiman EM, Woodruff BK, Cummings J, Vinters HV, Miller CA, Chui HC, Alafuzoff I, Hartikainen P, Seilhean D, Galasko D, Masliah E, Cotman CW, Tunon MT, Martinez MC, Munoz DG, Carroll SL, Marson D, Riederer PF, Bogdanovic N, Schellenberg GD, Hakonarson H, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM (2010) Common variants at 7p21 are associated with frontotemporal lobar degeneration with TDP-43 inclusions. Nat Genet 42:234-239. doi:10.1038/ng.536
- 14. Vass R, Ashbridge E, Geser F, Hu WT, Grossman M, Clay-Falcone D, Elman L, McCluskey L, Lee VM, Van Deerlin VM, Trojanowski JQ, Chen-Plotkin AS (2011) Risk genotypes at TMEM106B are associated with cognitive impairment in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol 121:373–380. doi:10.1007/s00401-010-0782-y