Original Contribution

Herzschr Elektrophys 2018 · 29:127–132 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00399-017-0538-7 Received: 11 October 2017 Accepted: 23 October 2017 Published online: 14 November 2017 © Springer Medizin Verlag GmbH 2017



Jakob Lüker · Arian Sultan · Tobias Plenge · Samuel Lee · Jan-Hendrik van den Bruck · Daniel Steven

Department of Electrophysiology, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Effects of propofol sedation on pacing thresholds

Results from an observational cohort study

Background

Propofol is one of the most commonly used anaesthetic agents for surgery and sedation [1, 2]. It is also frequently used during endoscopic procedures, electrophysiology studies, and ablation procedures, as well as pacemaker and defibrillator implantation [3–8].

Propofol alters the electrophysiologic properties of the heart. Its main effects are believed to be on L-type calcium channels and potassium channels [9–11]. It caused a lengthening of the AV conduction in animal models [7, 12]. In humans, it may cause bradycardia and asystole [13].

Although the effects of other common anaesthetic agents, such as halothane, enflurane, and isoflurane, on myocardial pacing thresholds have been investigated, no influence was found [14]. To date, the effects of propofol on myocardial pacing thresholds have not been analyzed.

We sought to investigate the influence of propofol sedation on myocardial pacing thresholds in pacemaker (PM) and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) patients in a clinical setting.

Materials and methods

Inclusion criteria

Adult patients with an implanted ICD or pacemaker undergoing propofol sedation

for electrophysiology (EP) study, transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), bronchoscopy, or electro-cardioversion (ECV) at the University Heart Center Cologne were included prospectively. It was mandatory for leads to be implanted for a minimum of 4 weeks. Informed consent was obtained in all patients.

Sedation

Patients fasted at least 6 h prior to the procedure. Propofol 1% (B. Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany) was administered in boluses of between 0.5 mg/kg and 1 mg/kg until a Ramsay score of 5–6 (sluggish response to stimulus—no response to stimulus) was achieved [15]. Vital signs were monitored in all patients by continuous SpO2, non-invasive blood pressure measurement every 3 min, and continuous fivelead electrocardiogram (ECG). Oxygen was provided via mask or nasal tube at 2–4 l/min.

Device interrogation and pacing thresholds

Bipolar pacing thresholds, impedance, and sensing were assessed by device interrogation prior to sedation and after the desired sedation depth was achieved. Pacing thresholds of right atrial (RA) and (right ventricular) RV leads were assessed manually in all patients at a constant pulse duration of 0.4 ms or 0.5 ms, depending on the baseline settings. All patients were in the supine position for pre-sedation and sedation measurements.

Study design and statistical analysis

As published data is limited, this observational study was of an explorative nature. All patients that fitted the inclusion criteria and presented over the course of 1 year (December 2014 to December 2015) were included.

Mean values of pacing threshold, sensing, and impedance prior to and during sedation were compared using a paired two-tailed student's t-test. Categorical variables expressed as numbers and percentages were compared with a Chi² test. An alpha of less than 5% was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Version 23 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, US).

Abbreviations				
CRT	Cardiac resynchronization therapy			
CRT-D	Cardiac resynchronization therapy devices			
DFT	Defibrillation threshold testing			
ECG	Electrocardiogram			
ECV	Electrocardioversion			
EF	Ejection fraction			
EP	Electrophysiology			
ICD	Implantable cardioverter-defibril- lator			
LV	Left ventricular			
РМ	Pacemaker			
RA	Right atrial			
RV	Right ventricular			
TEE	Transesophageal echocardiogra- phy			

Author contributions: J. Lüker and D. Steven designed the study. J. Lüker, A. Sultan, T. Plenge, S. Lee, and J.-H. van den Bruck collected the data and contributed to the manuscript. J. Lüker and D. Steven analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.



Fig. 1 A Pacing threshold and impedance before and under sedation for right atrial (*RA*) leads (**a**) and right ventricular (*RV*) leads (**b**)

Data are presented as means ± standard deviation.

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and the research protocol was approved by a locally appointed ethics committee.

Results

Patient population

Between December 2014 and December 2015, 838 patients undergoing transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), bronchoscopy, electrocardioversion (ECV), or electrophysiology (EP) study were screened. A total of 71 patients with a PM or ICD were identified; 21 patients declined to participate in the study. The demographics and types of device of the n = 50 patients included in the study are summarized in **Table 1**. In all, 21 (42%) pacemakers, 18 ICDs (36%), and 11 cardiac resynchronization therapy devices (CRT-Ds; 22%) were included. The population mainly carried chronically implanted leads older than 6 months (68% of RV and RA leads). The time since lead implantation was 35 ± 38 months (min 1, max 142 months; median 24 months) for RV leads and 39 ± 39 months (min 1, max 142 months; median 26 months) for RA leads. A total of 11 patients carried a CRT-D with a left ventricular (LV) lead.

Procedures and use of propofol

The reason for propofol sedation was EP study in 28 patients, TEE in four patients, ECV in 17, and bronchoscopy in one patient. The mean dose of propofol administered until the desired sedation depth was achieved and device interrogation was performed was $83 \pm 23 \text{ mg}(1.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg/kg body weight})$, with a minimum dose of 40 mg and a maximum of 160 mg. The weight-adjusted dosage of propofol was >0.75 mg/kg in 90% of patients. No adverse events occurred during sedation.

Effects on lead parameters

Lead parameters at baseline and under sedation are summarized in **• Table 2**.

Abstract · Zusammenfassung

Herzschr Elektrophys 2018 · 29:127–132 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00399-017-0538-7 © Springer Medizin Verlag GmbH 2017

J. Lüker · A. Sultan · T. Plenge · S. Lee · J.-H. van den Bruck · D. Steven

Effects of propofol sedation on pacing thresholds. Results from an observational cohort study

Abstract

Background. Propofol is one of the most commonly used intravenous anaesthetic drugs for surgical procedures. The use of propofol for sedation is also common practice during endoscopic procedures, electrophysiology studies, and ablation procedures, as well as pacemaker and defibrillator implantation. It was found that propofol alters the electrophysiologic properties of the heart and its conduction system. The effects of propofol on pacing thresholds are unknown and could have implications for pacemaker (PM) and defibrillator (ICD) implantation procedures, as well as sedation and anaesthesia in PM and ICD patients in general. **Objectives.** We sought to investigate the effects of propofol sedation on atrial and right ventricular pacing thresholds in PM and ICD patients.

Materials and methods. A total of 50 patients with PM, ICD, or cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) undergoing propofol sedation for electrophysiology (EP) investigation, transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), electrocardioversion (ECV), or bronchoscopy were included prospectively. Pacing thresholds, impedance, and sensing were assessed by device interrogation immediately prior to sedation and after the desired sedation depth was achieved by the administration of propofol. **Results.** Mean atrial (0.68 V vs 0.77 V, p = 0.136) and mean right ventricular thresholds (0.90 V vs 0.93 V, p = 0.274) remained unchanged. Impedances and sensing remained unaffected in all patients. **Conclusions.** Propofol sedation did not affect pacing thresholds of atrial and right ventricular leads in this cohort of PM and ICD patients.

Keywords

Electrophysiology · Anaesthetics, intravenous · Bronchoscopy · Implantable cardioverter/defibrillator · Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy

Effekte der Propofolsedierung auf die Reizschwellen. Ergebnisse einer Kohortenstudie

Zusammenfassung

Hintergrund. Propofol gehört zu den am häufigsten verwendeten intravenösen Narkotika im Rahmen chirurgischer Eingriffe. Es wird zudem oft im Rahmen der Sedierung für endoskopische Prozeduren, elektrophysiologische Untersuchungen (EPU) und Ablationen sowie die Implantation von Herzschrittmachern (SM) und Defibrillatoren (ICD) eingesetzt. Die elektrophysiologischen Eigenschaften des Herzens werden von Propofol beeinflusst. Die Effekte auf die Reizschwelle von SM und ICD sind nicht bekannt, könnten aber Einfluss auf das Implantationsverfahren sowie auf die Sedierung und Anästhesie bei SM- und ICD-Patienten im Allgemeinen haben.

Ziel der Arbeit. Die Effekte von Propofol auf die atrialen und rechtsventrikulären Reizschwellen bei Patienten mit SM oder ICD wurden untersucht.

Material und Methoden. Prospektiv wurden 50 Patienten mit SM, ICD oder kardialer Resynchronisationstherapie eingeschlossen, die mittels Propofol im Rahmen einer EPU, transösophagealen Ultraschalluntersuchung, Elektrokardioversion oder Bronchoskopie sediert wurden. Reizschwellen, Impedanzen und Wahrnehmung wurden mithilfe einer SM/ICD-Abfrage unmittelbar vor Beginn der Sedierung und unter Propofolsedierung bestimmt.

Ergebnisse. Die atriale (0,68 V vs. 0,77 V, p = 0,136) und rechtsventrikuläre Reizschwelle

(0,90 V vs. 0,93 V, p = 0,274) blieben unter Sedierung unverändert. Ebenso zeigte sich keine signifikante Veränderung von Impedanzen und Wahrnehmung unter Sedierung.

Schlussfolgerungen. Die Propofolsedierung hatte keinen Effekt auf Stimulationsreizschwellen rechtsatrialer und rechtsventrikulärer Elektroden in dieser Kohorte von SM- und ICD-Patienten.

Schlüsselwörter

Elektrophysiologie · Intravenöse Anästhetika · Bronchoskopie · Implantierbarer Kardioverter/Defibrillator · Kardiale Resynchronisationstherapie

Right ventricular (RV) pacing thresholds remained unchanged under sedation in 19 patients (38%). RV pacing threshold was determined to be lower under sedation in 13 patients (26%), while in 18 patients (36%) it had increased when measured under sedation. Mean RV pacing threshold did not change significantly from pre-sedation (0.91 \pm 0.4 V) to under sedation (0.93 \pm 0.43 V; p = 0.274; **a** Fig. 1). Maximum and minimum RV threshold delta (pre-sedation to under sedation) were -0.5 V and +0.5 V (**a** Fig. 2). Of the 50 patients, 16 pts with an RA lead present were in sinus rhythm. The pacing threshold in the RA remained unchanged in eight patients (53%), decreased in two patients (13%), and increased in six patients (40%). The mean RA pacing threshold remained unchanged under sedation (0.73 ± 0.31 V to 0.77 ± 0.35 V; p = 0.203). The maximum and minimum RA threshold delta (presedation to under sedation) was -0.2 V and +0.25 V.

Differences between patients according to threshold assessment during sedation

Comparing the group of patients with an increase in RV pacing threshold under sedation with those in whom the RV pacing threshold remained unchanged or decreased, no significant differences in baseline or lead parameters were evident (**Table 3**).

Original Contribution

Table 1 Baseline parameters of the study cohort according to device							
	All (<i>n</i> = 50)	Pacemaker (n = 21)	ICD (<i>n</i> = 18)	CRT (<i>n</i> = 11)	Р		
Age (years)	57 ± 32	57 ± 34	56 ± 30	58 ± 33	0.868		
BMI (kg/m²)	26 ± 4	26 ± 4	26 ± 6	25 ± 1	0.600		
LV-EF (%)	44 ± 16	59 ± 11	42 ± 14	30 ± 8	0.031		
Hypertension	30 (60%)	13 (62%)	11 (61%)	6 (55%)	0.915		
Hyperlipidemia	14 (28%)	4 (19%)	6 (33%)	4 (36%)	0.479		
Diabetes	12 (24%)	3 (14%)	5 (28%)	4 (36%)	0.341		
Ischemic cardiomyopathy	22 (44%)	6 (29%)	5 (28%)	7 (64%)	0.134		
Dilative cardiomyopathy	9 (18%)	1 (5%)	5 (28%)	3 (27%)	0.116		
Medication							
Betablockers (%)	45 (90%)	16 (76%)	18 (100%)	11 (100%)	0.022		
Amiodarone (%)	12 (24%)	1 (5%)	7 (39%)	4 (36%)	0.025		
Class Ic drugs (%)	1 (2%)	1 (5%)	0	0	0.494		
ICD implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. CRT cardiac resynchronization therapy. LV-EF left ventricular election fraction. BMJ body mass index							

Table 2 Lead parameters before sedation and under sedation						
	Pre-sedation	Post-sedation	Р			
RA leads						
Sensing (mV)	2.3 ± 1	2.8 ± 1.4	0.126			
Impedance (Ohm)	457 ± 95	449 ± 98	0.450			
Pacing threshold (V)	0.73 ± 0.31	0.77 ± 0.35	0.203			
Pacing threshold delta (V)	-	$+0.04 \pm 0.1$	-			
RV leads						
Sensing (mV)	12 ± 6	12.3 ± 6	0.514			
Impedance (Ohm)	553 ± 187	549 ± 183	0.335			
Pacing threshold (V)	0.91 ± 0.4	0.93 ± 0.43	0.274			
Pacing threshold delta (V)	-	$+0.03 \pm 0.19$	-			

Pacing threshold delta is the change between pre-sedation assessment to assessment under propofol sedation.

RA right atrial, RV right ventricular

Table 3 Baseline, procedural, and device parameters according to RV threshold change under sedation						
	RV threshold increased (n = 18)	RV threshold unchanged or decreased $(n = 32)$	Р			
Age (years)	48.9 ± 39.7	61.7 ± 26.3	0.177			
BMI (kg/m ²)	25 ± 4	26 ± 4	0.517			
LV-EF (%)	47 ± 16	42 ± 16	0.437			
Propofol dose (mg)	78 ± 25	86 ± 22	0.232			
Propofol dose per bodyweight (mg/kg)	1.02 ± 0.43	1.06 ± 0.25	0.715			
Device Parameters						
Time since RV lead implantation (months)	27 ± 32	40 ± 42	0.364			
ICD	9 (50%)	9 (28%)	0.211			
Pacemaker	7 (39%)	14 (44%)				
CRT	2 (11%)	9 (28%)				
Medication						
Betablockers (%)	16 (89%)	29 (90%)	0.844			
Amiodarone (%)	5 (28%)	7 (22%)	0.639			
Class Ic drugs (%)	1 (6%)	0	0.178			

BMI body mass index, *CRT* cardiac resynchronization therapy, *ICD* implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, *LV-EF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *RV* right ventricular, *CRT* cardiac resynchronization therapy



Discussion

In this cohort of patients receiving a mean of 1 mg/kg of propofol until a Ramsay score of 5–6 was achieved, RA and RV pacing thresholds were not affected. Mean sensing and impedances of atrial, right, and left ventricular leads also remained unchanged under propofol sedation.

Thus, in this cohort of patients undergoing propofol sedation, no clinically relevant implications for PM and ICD myocardial pacing thresholds were found.

It is known that propofol has significant effects on cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance, often resulting in hypotension [3, 4, 16, 17]. Propofol sedation also affects the electrophysiologic properties of the heart. It was shown to affect calcium influx and to have negative inotropic effects in isolated myocytes [9–11]. It prolonged the intra-atrial and His-Purkinje conduction in a Langendorff rabbit heart model [12] and also in animal studies [7]. Slowing of the heart rate was observed in rabbit hearts [12] and confirmed to occur in humans [13]. In a case report, concern was raised that propofol sedation may alter the defibrillation threshold during ICD implantation and testing [18], which was not

confirmed in a small study comparing propofol versus thiopental for defibrillation threshold testing (DFT) [19].

There is a gap in the evidence to date regarding the effects of propofol on the pacing thresholds of pacemaker and ICD leads. Since propofol is a widely used anesthetic agent for endoscopic procedures [8], surgical procedures [1, 20], sedation [2], and electrophysiology procedures [4, 21], any effects on pacing thresholds may have implications for PM, ICD, and CRT patients.

This study revealed no indication of a relevant influence of propofol sedation on RA and RV pacing thresholds.

Significant effects of propofol sedation on RA and RV pacing thresholds can be excluded based on the findings presented here.

Limitations

Thresholds were measured during bipolar pacing, and the present results may not be transferrable to unipolar pacing. The effects of higher doses of propofol and deeper sedation, such as during induction of general anaesthesia, on pacing thresholds remain to be investigated.

Conclusions

Propofol sedation does not affect RA and RV myocardial pacing thresholds in pacemaker and ICD patients.

Corresponding address

J. Lüker, MD

Department of Electrophysiology, University Hospital Cologne Kerpener Straße 62, 50823 Cologne, Germany jakob.lueker@uk-koeln.de

Compliance with ethical guidelines

Conflict of interest. J. Lüker, A. Sultan, T. Plenge, S. Lee, J.-H. van den Bruck, and D. Steven declare that they have no competing interests.

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. Ethics approval was obtained from the ethics committee of the University Hospital Cologne (ref. nr 14-418) and all patients gave informed written consent. The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Kumar G, Stendall C, Mistry R, Gurusamy K, Walker D (2014) A comparison of total intravenous anaesthesia using propofol with sevoflurane or desflurane in ambulatory surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. Anaesthesia 69:1138–1150
- Ostermann ME, Keenan SP, Seiferling RA, Sibbald WJ (2000) Sedation in the intensive care unit: a systematic review. JAMA 283:1451–1459
- 3. Wutzler A, Huemer M, Boldt LH, Parwani AS, Attanasio P, Tscholl V, Haverkamp W (2013) Effects of deep sedation on cardiac electrophysiology in patients undergoing radiofrequency ablation of supraventricular tachycardia: impact of propofol and ketamine. Europace 15:1019–1024
- Salukhe TV, Willems S, Drewitz I, Steven D, Hoffmann BA, Heitmann K, Rostock T (2012) Propofol sedation administered by cardiologists without assisted ventilation for long cardiac interventions: an assessment of 1000 consecutive patients undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation. Europace 14:325–330
- Morani G, Bergamini C, Angheben C, Pozzani L, Cicoira M, Tomasi L, Lanza D, Vassanelli C (2010) General anaesthesia for external electrical cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: experience of an exclusively cardiological procedural management. Europace 12:1558–1563
- Pacifico A, Wheelan KR, Nasir N, Wells PJ, Doyle TK, Johnson SA, Henry PD (1997) Long-term follow-up of cardioverter-defibrillator implanted

Original Contribution

under conscious sedation in prepectoral subfascial position. Circulation 95:946–950

- Pires LA, Huang SK, Wagshal AB, Kulkarni RS (1996) Electrophysiological effects of propofol on the normal cardiac conduction system. Cardiology 87:319–324
- Wang D, Chen C, Chen J, Xu Y, Wang L, Zhu Z, Deng D, Chen J, Long A, Tang D, Liu J (2013) The use of propofol as a sedative agent in gastrointestinal endoscopy: a meta-analysis. PLOS ONE 8:e53311
- Puttick RM, Terrar DA (1992) Effects of propofol and enflurane on action potentials, membrane currents and contraction of guinea-pig isolated ventricular myocytes. Br J Pharmacol 107:559–565
- Azuma M, Matsumura C, Kemmotsu O (1993) Inotropic and electrophysiologic effects of propofol and thiamylal in isolated papillary muscles of the guinea pig and the rat. Anesth Analg 77:557–563
- Buljubasic N, Marijic J, Berczi V, Supan DF, Kampine JP, Bosnjak ZJ (1996) Differential effects of etomidate, propofol, and midazolam on calcium and potassium channel currents in canine myocardial cells. Anesthesiology 85:1092–1099
- Wu MH, Su MJ, Sun SS (1997) Age-related propofol effects on electrophysiological properties of isolated hearts. Anesth Analg 84:964–971
- Tramèr MR, Moore RA, McQuay HJ (1997) Propofol and bradycardia: causation, frequency and severity. Br J Anaesth 78:642–651
- Zaidan JR, Curling PE, Craver JM (1985) Effect of enflurane, isoflurane, and halothane on pacing stimulation thresholds in man. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 8:32–34
- Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R (1974) Controlled sedation with alphaxalonealphadolone. Br Med J 2:656–659
- Brüssel T, Theissen JL, Vigfusson G, Lunkenheimer PP, Van Aken H, Lawin P (1989) Hemodynamic and cardiodynamic effects of propofol and etomidate: negative inotropic properties of propofol. Anesth Analg 69:35–40
- Sayfo S, Vakil KP, Alqaqa'a A, Flippin H, Bhakta D, Yadav AV, Miller JM, Groh WJ (2012) A retrospective analysis of proceduralist-directed, nurseadministered propofol sedation for implantable cardioverter-defibrillator procedures. Heart Rhvthm 9:342–346
- Cohen TJ, Chengot T, Quan C, Peller AP (2000) Elevation of defibrillation thresholds with propofol during implantable cardioverter-defibrillator testing. J Invasive Cardiol 12:121–123
- Camci E, Koltka K, Sungur Z, Karadeniz M, Yavru A, Pembeci K, Tugrul M (2003) Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator placement in patients with mild-to-moderate left ventricular dysfunction: hemodynamics and recovery profile with two different anesthetics used during deep sedation. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 17:613–616
- Reich DL, Hossain S, Krol M, Baez B, Patel P, Bernstein A, Bodian CA (2005) Predictors of hypotension after induction of general anesthesia. Anesth Analg 101:622–628
- Servatius H, Höfeler T, Hoffmann BA, Sultan A, Lüker J, Schäffer B, Willems S, Steven D (2016) Propofol sedation administered by cardiologists for patients undergoing catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia. Europace 18:1245–1251