ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION

Effect of abaca reinforced polymer composite on dynamic mechanical analysis

Mohan Kumar A1 · Parameshwaran R1 · Rajasekar R1

Received: 22 February 2021 / Revised: 24 July 2021 / Accepted: 30 July 2021 / Published online: 9 September 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Natural fber–based composite is an emerging research area due to its signifcant advantages over synthetic fber–based composite. The present research aims at analyzing the infuence of abaca fber reinforced polyester composite on the dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA). Additionally, pH of zero point charge has been determined for pure matrix, untreated, and treated specimen. In abaca fber-based composite system, the composition of fber is varied from 10 to 40 wt%. To enhance the physical interaction between the fber and the matrix, the abaca fbers of 4, 6, 8, and 10 vol% are subjected to NaOH treatment. It is surface treated using NaOH. The enhancement of the bonding between the matrix and treated abaca fber has been ensured by FTIR test. The voids, matrix crack, and microspore presence in the abaca-based composite specimen during fracture test has been examined by scanning electron microscopic image. An increase of dynamic mechanical properties is observed in the following order: pure matrix, abaca fber reinforced polymer composites, and chemically treated abaca fber reinforced polyester composites. DMA of chemically treated abaca reinforced polyester composite has enhanced due to the removal of hemicelluloses and lignin from the surface of the fber, which indeed creates micropores and rougher morphology on the fber surface.

Keywords Dynamic mechanical analysis · Abaca fber · Polyester resin · NaOH treatment · Weight fraction of abaca

Introduction

The abaca fber is a very much stronger compare to other natural fibers $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. The abundant availability of fiber and higher mechanical properties encourage the author to study further research towards the study of the abaca reinforced polyester composite on dynamic mechanical analysis. The bio-composites obtained with chemically treated natural fbers exhibited good mechanical properties, like tensile strength and modulus compared to untreated composites. It is reported that the epoxy composite specimens were prepared using three fbers, namely, fax, linen, and bamboo. It was observed that alkali treatment (5 wt% NaOH and 30-min soaking time) of single-strand yarns fbers produced negative efect on the mechanical properties of these

Communicated by Original Contribution.

 \boxtimes Mohan Kumar A amohanmech2006@gmail.com fbers. However, composites prepared using alkali treated yarns exhibited improvement in the mechanical properties compared to neat matrix and raw fber reinforced composites [[4–](#page-10-2)[7\]](#page-10-3). NaOH treatment was applied for jute fbers, and the same was incorporated into polyester and epoxy matrices. The treated fber reinforced composites show a pronounced efect on the mechanical properties compared to raw fber reinforced composite system and neat matrices. Among the treated fber reinforced system, 5 wt% of NaOH treated system was optimized owing to attainment of maximum mechanical properties. Using of fbers treated with 10 wt% NaOH concentration affected in lower mechanical properties of the composite [\[8](#page-10-4)].

The tensile strength of natural fbers gets increased with the addition of fber content in matrix. It is reported that the Halpin–Tsai equation is suitable to predict elastic modulus of diferent classes of natural fber reinforced composites [[9,](#page-10-5) [10\]](#page-10-6). The mechanical properties like strength and stifness of fbers are increased with the increase in fber content and alkali treatment under isometric conditions of 20 min at 20 °C in 25% NaOH solution. The mechanical properties of epoxy composite specimen reinforced with tossa jute fber

 1 School of Building and Mechanical Sciences, Kongu Engineering College, Tamil Nadu, Perundurai 638060, India

were obtained through experimental analysis. The mechanical properties of the composite specimen are increased with the increase in fber content and concentration of NaOH solution. However, the fber content increased beyond the optimum quantity was dropping the fatigue performance of composites [[11\]](#page-10-7). The comparative study of mechanical properties is made between the coir/epoxy composite and glass/ epoxy composite. It is reported that the tensile, fexural, and impact strength of coir fber reinforced epoxy composite are lower compared to glass fber reinforced epoxy resin. It is concluded that the poor wettability of resin on fber surface and weak bonding between the fber and the matrix of composite may be the reason for lower mechanical properties [\[12](#page-10-8)]. The mechanical properties such as tensile and fexural (3-point bending) strengths are tested for the woven banana reinforced epoxy composites. Results reveal the value of Young's modulus in X and Y directions of 0.976 GN/m and 0.863 GN/m, respectively. The maximum load applied on the woven banana based composite (0.5 mm beam) is 36.25 N to get defection during fexural tests [\[13](#page-10-9)]. The tensile, fexural, and impact tests are carried out for pseudo-stem banana fber reinforced epoxy composites, which exhibit ductile properties with minimum plastic deformation during testing. The tensile and impact strengths are 90% and 40% improved respectively compared to pure epoxy [\[14\]](#page-10-10). Further, the adhesion and moisture resistance properties are improved by surface modifcation techniques like mercerization and silane treatment. The sisal fber reinforced epoxy composite specimen is prepared, and mechanical (compression and fexural) tests are performed experimentally. The resin absorption ability of mercerized sisal fber also is enhanced owing to the wettability between fber and resin. Surface modifcation done with adopting mercerization and silane treatment on sisal based composites can improve compressive strength without compensating flexural property [\[15](#page-10-11)]. The dynamic mechanical analysis and tensile tests are carried out in hybrid fber (oil palm and jute) reinforced epoxy composites. The specimens are prepared by three diferent proportion of oil palm/jute fbers (4:1, 1:1, 1:4) in matrix. Results reveal that the dynamic mechanical properties and tensile strengths are increased, while the content of jute fber is increased in hybrid composite. Hence, the proportion of 4:1 of oil palm/jute produces higher mechanical properties compared to other two combinations mentioned above. Further, the mechanical properties of hybrid composite are improved owing to the interfacial bonding between the fber and the matrix [\[16](#page-10-12)]. The mechanical and thermal tests were carried out in hybrid composite (Jute and banana) with diferent proportions of fbers of 100/0, 75/25, 50/50, 25/75, and 0/100. The tensile, fexural, and impact strengths are increased to a maximum extent for equal proportion of jute/banana-based composite. Proportions of 50/50 of jute and banana fbers incorporated in hybrid composites exhibit better thermal and water absorption characteristics compared to the other ratios [[17\]](#page-10-13). In this work, the comparison of dynamic mechanical analysis of abaca reinforced polyester composite has been made by varying percentage of abaca fiber (10 to 40 wt%) and chemical concentration for surface treatment of abaca (NaOH 4, 6, 8, and 10 vol%). This investigation has been carried out with 3 replications and the average value taken for the further experimental study. No research article available to show dynamic mechanical analysis of abaca-based composite by varying both fber content (abaca) and chemical concentration (NaOH).

Effect of chemical treatment on abaca‑based composite

The different chemicals has been used to enhance mechanical properties of abaca fiber reinforced polyester composites, namely, benzene-diazonium chloride, plasma, sodium hydroxide, and alkaline treatment. The fiber surface can be wettable to the polymer by applying helium as power source of plasma and acrylic acid. The effectiveness of surface treatment of abaca-based composite can be assessed by measuring tensile strength and Young's modulus. Kim et al. [[18](#page-10-14)] results obtained that the tensile strength of abaca-based composite materials are 164 MPa and 84 MPa from plasma treated and untreated composite specimens, respectively. Also reported that the water intake characteristics of abaca-based composite is lesser for hybrid (chemical and plasma) treatment compared to only plasma treated composite. However, it is reported that the tensile strength of hybrid and plasma treated specimen were observed to be same. The alkaline method of fiber treatment is more effective compared to other chemical treatment methods due to removal of hemicellulose and lignin from fiber surface; it enhances roughness on the surface and bonding between the interaction surfaces [[1\]](#page-10-0).

Materials and methods

Polyester resin

Dibasic organic acid and dihydric alcohol are the major components used to synthesize polyester resins. A wide variety of polyester resins are prepared by varying the composition of acid and alcohol. They can be categorized into two types, as unsaturated and saturated polyester. The polyester resin is generally dissolved in a monomer styrene, which would copolymerize with it and add to the fnal properties of the cured matrix.

Fig. 1 Chemical structure of polyester resin

Similarly, catalyst, or better initiator, would cause the matrix to cure. The most commonly used initiators, which disintegrate into free radicals, are benzoyl peroxide (BPO) or methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKP). The free radicals produced start the crosslinking radical polymerization from styrene and unsaturated $C = C$ group of the polyester matrix. Its content and processing temperature can control the rate of polymerization. At higher temperature, post curing is required after the resin turns into solid state. The properties of a polyester resin depend on its chemical composition and the crosslinking degree. Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the chemical structure of polyester resin.

Fig. 2 Abaca fber

Abaca fiber

Abaca fbers (musatextilis) that are shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-1) also called manila hemp, are purchased from Maruthi Peach Company, located at Tirupur, Tamil Nadu, India. Polyester (Clear pale yellow liquid, viscosity: 500 cps, density: 1.12 gm× cm−3, volatile content: 40.0%) is purchased from Naptha Resins and Chemicals (P) Limited located in Bangalore, India. The polyester resin curing system contains cobalt compound used as activator, and the initiator used is methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKP), which has bought from Sigma Aldrich Co. Ltd., India.

The abaca fibers with the average diameter of 0.02 cm are cleaned and chopped into required length ranging from 27 to 32 cm. Before making the composites, the fibers are dried at 60 °C under vacuum for 2 h in order to remove the moisture content [[19\]](#page-10-15). Furthermore, the compositions of abaca reinforced polyester composite are listed in Table [1;](#page-3-0) pure and abaca fiber reinforced polyester composites are prepared by hand lay-up method followed by compression molding process. Abaca fibers are unidirectionally oriented in the matrices. The steel rollers are utilized to maintain the orientation of fibers uniformly in the composites. Followed by this process, the moulds are closed and kept in hydraulic press under the compressive force for 4 h to obtain uniform thickness. Curing reaction is initiated by adding 1 vol% of a cobalt activator and MEKP in vinyl ester resin and polyester. Epoxy resin was cured by an amine hardener.

Table 1 Composition of abaca-based composites

Sample	Polyester (wt%)	Abaca fiber $(wt\%)$	NaOH $(wt\%/vol\%)$
Polyester	100	٠	
C1	90	10	
C2	80	20	
C ₃	70	30	
C4	60	40	
4C1	90	10	$\overline{4}$
6C1	90	10	6
8C1	90	10	8
10C1	90	10	10
$4{\rm C}2$	80	20	$\overline{4}$
6C2	80	20	6
8C ₂	80	20	8
10C2	80	20	10
4C ₃	70	30	$\overline{4}$
6C ₃	70	30	6
8C3	70	30	8
10C3	70	30	10
4C4	60	40	$\overline{4}$
6C ₄	60	40	6
8C4	60	40	8
10C4	60	40	10

The composite specimens are allowed to cure at room temperature for 24 h. The storage modulus of pure matrix and abaca fiber reinforced polyester and composites are determined as a function of temperature ranges from 10 to 140. DMA 2980 (TA instrument, New Castle DE, USA) furnished with dual cantilever bending fixture is utilized. Testing is carried out at a frequency of 1 Hz, strain amplitude of 10 μ m, and heating rate of 5 °C/min. The dimensions of specimens are $3.5 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm} \times 0.4 \text{ cm}$. Four different weight fractions of abaca fibers are soaked in 4, 6, 8, and 10 wt%/vol% of alkali solution at room temperature for 2 h [\[19](#page-10-15)]. Further, the fibers are washed several times with distilled water until the pH value reaches 7 in order to completely remove alkali fragments from the surface of the fibers. The fibers are dried in sunlight for 3 days.

Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy

Make of FTIR is Nexus 870, Thermo Nicolet Corporation, Madison, WI, USA, and it is utilized to compare the variation in the functional group of the raw and chemically treated fiber. The analysis is conducted in transmittance mode under wave length ranging from 4000 to 700 cm−1.

pH of Zero Point Charge

To find the pH of zero point charge of the polyester, untreated and treated abaca fbers, the following procedure has been followed, addition of 0.15 g sample (absorbent) to 50 mL of sodium chloride (NaCl, 0.01 mol L−1); the solution pH was adjusted by adding hydrochloric acid (HCl, 0.1 mol L^{-1}) to obtain the required range of (2 to 12) pH value. The solution has mixed using magnetic stirrer for 24 h with 200 rpm. The experimental setup of the pH of zero point charge is shown in the Fig. [3](#page-4-0). [[20–](#page-10-16)[23](#page-10-17)].

Result and discussion

The storage modulus of pure and polyester composites is shown in Fig. [4](#page-4-1). The glass transition region of pure and fber reinforced poly ester starts between 30 and 33 °C. In general, the storage modulus of poly ester increases with addition of abaca fber. However, the trend alters in reference to temperature and loading of fber. Incorporation of fber into composite improves the storage modulus of polyester. Up to 32 °C, the storage modulus of C3 and C4 is low compared to pure matrix. However, the trend changes after 37 °C. The reason for the decrease in storage modulus of C3 and C4 below 37 °C may be due to the presence of a few voids and pores during the composite preparation [[24](#page-10-18)], which may be liable for drop in the storage modulus value at lesser temperature region. At higher temperature, the storage modulus of pure matrix is very small. Hence, the storage modulus of C2, C3, and C4 is compared with C1. At 70 °C, the storage modulus of C2, C3, and C4 illustrates 62.5%, 125%, and 112.5% of increase compared to C1.

Surface treatment

Removal of hemicelluloses and lignin content on the fber surface leads to the formation of rough surface and micropores on the fber surface. Upon composite preparation, the resin would get impregnated into the micropores of the fber. This creates strong interfacial bonding between fber and matrix, which indeed strongly contributes to the increase in the mechanical properties.

Figure [5](#page-5-0) depicts the storage modulus of pure matrix, raw, and treated abaca (10 wt%) reinforced polyester composites. The glassy transition region for pure, raw, and treated abaca (10 wt%) fber reinforced polyester starts between 31 and 34 °C. In general, the storage modulus of polyester increases with addition of abaca fber. However, the trend alters in reference to temperature and loading of fber. Addition of fber enhances the storage modulus of polyester. The storage

modulus of treated abaca reinforced polyester composites of 4C1, 6C1, 8C1, and 10C1 get enhanced compared to raw and pure vinyl ester.

Furthermore, at higher temperature, the storage modulus of pure polyester is very smaller. Hence, the storage modulus of 4C1, 6C1, 8C1, and 10C1 is compared with

Fig. 4 Storage modulus of pure and raw abaca fber reinforced polyester composite

C1. At 70 °C, the storage modulus of 4C1, 6C1, 8C1, and 10C1 illustrates 31.25%, 43.75%, 118.75%, and 75% of improvement compared to raw abaca reinforced vinyl ester composite.

Figure [6](#page-6-0) reveals the storage modulus of pure matrix, untreated, and treated abaca (20 wt%) reinforced polyester composites. The glassy region for pure matrix, raw, and treated abaca (20 wt%) fber reinforced polyester extends up to 30–35 °C, while rubbery region extends up to 35–50 °C. Addition of fber improves the storage modulus of polyester. The storage moduli of treated abaca reinforced polyester composites of 4C2, 6C2, 8C2, and 10C2 show improvement compared to raw abaca fber reinforced and pure polyester. Additionally, at higher temperature, the storage modulus of pure polyester is smaller. Therefore, the storage modulus of 4C2, 6C2, 8C2, and 10C2 is compared with C2. At 80 $^{\circ}$ C, the storage modulus of 4C2, 6C2, 8C2, and 10C2 show 160%, 150%, 280%, and 180% of improvement comparing to untreated abaca reinforced polyester composite. The treated abaca reinforced polyester composite (8C2) shows improved storage modulus compared to 10C2 due to the removal of hemicellulose and lignin content in fber. This may produce strong interaction between the fber and the matrix. The storage modulus of polyester composite 10C2 drops than 8C2 due to the removal of excess hemicellulose, lignin, and cellulose content from fber. This may be responsible for lower interaction between the fber and the matrix.

Figure [7](#page-6-1) depicts the glassy region for pure matrix, untreated, and treated abaca (30 wt%) fiber reinforced polyester extends up to 30–40 °C and rubbery region extends upto 75– 90 °C. Upto 31 °C, the storage modulus of C3, 6C3, and 10C3 is low compared to pure matrix. However, the trend changes after 31 °C. The reason for the decrease in the storage modulus of C3, 6C3, and 10C3 below 31 °C may be due to the presence of a few voids and pores in matrix [[24\]](#page-10-18), which may be responsible for the drop in the storage modulus value at low temperature region. Addition of fber improves the storage modulus of polyester composite. The storage modulus of treated abaca reinforced polyester composites of 4C3, 6C3, 8C3, and 10C3 gets enhanced compared to untreated abaca fber reinforced and pure polyester.

The storage modulus of pure polyester is minimal at higher temperature. Therefore, the storage modulus of 4C3, 6C3, 8C3, and 10C3 is compared with C3. At 80 °C, the storage moduli of 4C3, 6C3, 8C3, and 10C3 show 21.42%, 36.36%, 100%, and 38.18% of enhancement compared to untreated abaca reinforced polyester composite. The storage modulus of pure matrix, raw, and treated abaca fber (40 wt%) reinforced polyester composite is shown in Fig. [8.](#page-7-0)

The glassy region for pure matrix, raw, and treated abaca (40 wt%) fber reinforced polyester ends between 25 and 30 °C and rubbery region ends between 35 and 50 °C. Up to 40 °C, the storage moduli of C4 and 10C4 are low compared to pure matrix. However, the trend changes after 40 °C. The

reason for the decrease in the storage moduli of C4 and 10C4 below 40 °C may be because the presence of some voids and pores in matrix [\[24](#page-10-18)], which may be responsible for falls in the storage modulus value at smaller temperature region. Incorporation of fber into composites enhances the storage modulus of polyester composite. The storage modulus of

² Springer

Fig. 8 Storage modulus of pure, untreated and treated abaca fber (40 wt%) reinforced polyester composite

treated abaca reinforced polyester composites of 4C4, 6C4, 8C4, and 10C4 gets improved compared to raw and pure polyester.

At higher temperature, the storage modulus of pure polyester is smaller. Therefore, the storage modulus of 4C4, 6C4, 8C4, and 10C4 is compared with C4. At 75 °C, it depicts

Fig. 9 pH of zero point charge of polyester, untreated and treated abaca fiber

Fig. 10 Scanning electron microscopic image of treated (8 wt%/vol%) abaca fber-based composite

4.17%, 16.67%, 33.33%, and 25% of improvement compared to untreated abaca reinforced polyester composite.

pH of zero point charge

Commonly, the pH infuences more on the surface charge of solid absorbent. The presence of heavy metals in absorbent is strongly efects the pH value. The pH value of pure matrix, untreated, and treated abaca has been determined by pH drift method as shown in the Fig. [9](#page-7-1). The pH of zero point charge of pure matrix, untreated, and treated fbers are 5.1, 3.2, and 3.6, respectively. The results display that the untreated abaca fber absorbent having acidic surface. It infers that the positive ions are more on the surface of the untreated abaca specimen for the pH values under the pH of zero point charge values, hence unfavorable condition for adsorption of cations. At higher values of pH compare to the pH of zero point charge, the surface charge of untreated specimen will

be negative; it attracts the cations and improves binding with matrixes. The treated abaca fbers having more negative ions compare to untreated abaca fbers, hence its having more chance to binding with matrix [[25](#page-10-19)].

SEM image of fractured specimen

The scanning electron microscopic image of the fractured treated (8 wt%/vol%) abaca fber–based composite speci-men is shown in the Fig. [10](#page-8-0). The voids due to fiber pullouts, matrix failures, and microspore presence are shown in the Fig. [10](#page-8-0). The elimination of the hemicellulose during surface treatment of abaca fber reinforced composites creates microspores on the fber surface; it enhances bonding with the matrix materials. Due to the poor interaction of the hydroxyl group due to elimination carboxyl group on the surface of the abaca fbers, it pullouts from the composite specimen during testing and it produces voids. The granular failure occurs in the matrix surface due to the brittle nature.

FTIR

FTIR spectra of raw and treated (8 wt%/vol%) abaca fiber are shown Fig. [11](#page-9-0). The untreated fiber displays distinct bands at 3362, 2926, 1731, 1643, 1316, 1258, and 1032 cm⁻¹ [\[26](#page-10-20)].

The broad transmittance band perceived in the 3362.2 cm⁻¹ can be associated with the hydrogen bonding stretching vibration, 2926.5 cm−1 dictates carbon and hydrogen aliphatic stretching (existing in many natural fibers), 1731 cm⁻¹ and 1316 cm⁻¹ signify CH₂ bending (α-cellulose), 1643.8 cm−1 infers the presence of lignin, 1258.2 cm⁻¹ denotes C = O stretch of acetyl group, and 1032 cm^{-1} belongs to hydroxyl group of cellulose [[26](#page-10-20)].

Surface treated (NaOH solution) abaca fber (8 wt%/ vol%) illustrates falls in peak intensity at 2926.5 cm−1 and 1316 cm−1, which may be owing to the subtraction of hemicellulose. The peak initiated at 1731 cm−1 for untreated fiber vanishes after alkali treatment inferring complete elimination of hemicellulose from the fber. The peak at 1643.8 cm−1 and 1258.2 cm−1 displays decrease in the intensities after fber treatment proving the exclusion of lignin content from the fber surface. Additionally, the reduction in peak intensity at 1032 cm−1 indicates poor hydrogen interaction with cellulose hydroxyl groups due to the elimination of carboxyl group. The chemically modifed abaca fber displays signifcant interfacial adhesion between the fber and the matrix. 8C3 illustrates the maximum improvement in storage modulus value at higher temperature. Hence, 30% of weight fraction of abaca (treated with 8 wt%/vol% of NaOH) reinforced polymer composite that exhibits high storage modulus is selected as the optimal composition. FTIR analysis proves the elimination of functional groups in abaca fber after chemical treatment.

Conclusion

The effect of fiber surface treatment of abaca reinforced polyester composite on the dynamic mechanical properties has analyzed with reference to control. A considerable increase in the mechanical properties has achieved for the composite containing 20 wt% of abaca fber content and treated with 8 vol% of NaOH concentration. The following are the percentage of increase of storage moduli 125%, 118%, 280%, 100%, and 33.33% corresponding to untreated, 10 wt%, 20 wt%, 30 wt%, and 40 wt% of abaca based composite compared to control. This can be attributed to the considerable wettability of fber by the matrix, homogeneous distribution of fbers, and physical interaction between reinforcement and matrix. However, further increase in the concentration of NaOH, loading of abaca fber leads to drop in dynamic mechanical properties. This may be due to the poor wettability of fber due to high fber content that leads to poor fber-matrix interaction. The pH of zero point charge of pure matrix, untreated, and treated abaca fbers are 5.1, 3.2 and 3.6 respectively. It is infer that, at higher values of pH compare to the pH of zero point charge, the surface charge of untreated specimen will be negative, it attracts the cations and improves binding with matrixes. The voids due to fberpullouts presence in the fractured specimen have been examined by scanning electron microscopic image.

Author contribution All authors are equally contributed.

Availability of data and material Anyone can access the materials with request basis

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Delicano JA (2018) A review on abaca fber reinforced composites. Compos Interfaces 25(12):1039–1066
- 2. Mohankumar A, Parameshwaran R, Prasath Mm, Senthil S, Kumar Ps, Moganapriya C, Rajasekar R (2018) A theoretical study on the physico-mechanical behavior of polyester composites using diferent classes of natural fber reinforcements. Functionalized Engineering Materials and Their Applications 20
- 3. Shah S, Shiblee MNI, Mir SH, Nagahara LA, Thundat T, Sekhar PK, Kawakami M, Furukawa H, Khosla A (2018) Hybrid micromolding of silver micro fber doped electrically conductive elastomeric composite polymer for fexible sensors and electronic devices. Microsyst Technol 24(10):4159–4164
- 4. Yan L, Chouw N, Yuan X (2012) Improving the mechanical properties of natural fbre fabric reinforced epoxy composites by alkali treatment. J Reinf Plast Compos 31(6):425–437
- 5. Kumar AM, Parameshwaran R, Kumar PS, Pal SK, Prasath MM, Krishnaraj V, Rajasekar R (2017) Efects of abaca fber reinforcement on the dynamic mechanical behavior of vinyl ester composites. Materials Testing 59(6):555–562
- 6. Mir SH, Ebata K, Yanagiya H, Ochiai B (2018) Alignment of Ag nanoparticles with graft copolymer bearing thiocarbonyl moieties. Microsyst Technol 24(1):605–611
- 7. Mir SH, Rydzek G, Hasan P, Danish EY, Aslam M, Khosla A (2021) Free standing porous composite flms and membranes obtained through substrate-guided assembly. Materials Letters 288:129317
- 8. Gopinath A, Kumar MS, Elayaperumal A (2014) Experimental investigations on mechanical properties of jute fber reinforced composites with polyester and epoxy resin matrices. Procedia Engineering 97:2052–2063
- 9. Ku H, Wang H, Pattarachaiyakoop N, Trada M (2011) A review on the tensile properties of natural fber reinforced polymer composites. Compos B Eng 42(4):856–873
- 10. Yesilkaya SS, Ulutas U, Abd Alqader HM (2021) Efect of Na doping on the properties of ZnS thin flms and ZnS/Si heterojunction cells. Materials Letters 288:129347
- 11. Gassan J, Bledzki AK (1999) Possibilities for improving the mechanical properties of jute/epoxy composites by alkali treatment of fbres. Compos Sci Technol 59(9):1303–1309
- 12. Harish S, Michael DP, Bensely A, Lal DM, Rajadurai A (2009) Mechanical property evaluation of natural fber coir composite. Mater Charact 60(1):44–49
- 13. Sapuan S, Leenie A, Harimi M, Beng YK (2006) Mechanical properties of woven banana fbre reinforced epoxy composites. Mater Des 27(8):689–693
- 14. Maleque M, Belal F, Sapuan S (2007) Mechanical properties study of pseudo-stem banana fber reinforced epoxy composite. The Arabian journal for science and engineering 32(2B):359–364
- 15. Bisanda E, Ansell MP (1991) The efect of silane treatment on the mechanical and physical properties of sisal-epoxy composites. Compos Sci Technol 41(2):165–178
- 16. Jawaid M, Khalil HA, Hassan A, Dungani R, Hadiyane A (2013) Efect of jute fbre loading on tensile and dynamic mechanical properties of oil palm epoxy composites. Compos B Eng 45(1):619–624
- 17. Boopalan M, Niranjanaa M, Umapathy M (2013) Study on the mechanical properties and thermal properties of jute and banana fiber reinforced epoxy hybrid composites. Compos B Eng 51:54–57
- 18. Paglicawan MA, Basilia BA, Kim BS (2013) Water uptake and tensile properties of plasma treated abaca fber reinforced epoxy composite. Composites Research 26(3):165–169
- 19. Huda MS, Drzal LT, Mohanty AK, Misra M (2008) Efect of fber surface-treatments on the properties of laminated biocomposites from poly (lactic acid)(PLA) and kenaf fbers. Compos Sci Technol 68(2):424–432
- 20. Kuncoro EP, Isnadina DRM, Darmokoesoemo H, Dzembarahmatiny F, Kusuma HS (2018) Characterization and isotherm data for adsorption of Cd2+ from aqueous solution by adsorbent from mixture of bagasse-bentonite. Data Brief 16:354–360
- 21. Kuncoro EP, Isnadina DRM, Darmokoesoemo H, Fauziah OR, Kusuma HS (2018) Characterization, kinetic, and isotherm data for adsorption of Pb2+ from aqueous solution by adsorbent from mixture of bagasse-bentonite. Data Brief 16:622–629
- 22. Neolaka YA, Supriyanto G, Darmokoesoemo H, Kusuma HS (2018) Characterization, kinetic, and isotherm data for Cr (VI) removal from aqueous solution by Cr (VI)-imprinted poly (4-VPco-MMA) supported on activated Indonesia (Ende-Flores) natural zeolite structure. Data Brief 17:969–979
- 23. Neolaka YA, Supriyanto G, Darmokoesoemo H, Kusuma HS (2018) Characterization, isotherm, and thermodynamic data for selective adsorption of Cr (VI) from aqueous solution by Indonesia (Ende-Flores) natural zeolite Cr (VI)-imprinted-poly (4-VPco-EGDMA)-ANZ (IIP-ANZ). Data Brief 17:1020–1029
- 24. Huang X, Jiang P, Kim C, Ke Q, Wang G (2008) Preparation, microstructure and properties of polyethylene aluminum nanocomposite dielectrics. Compos Sci Technol 68(9):2134–2140
- 25. Selambakkannu S, Othman NAF, Bakar KA, Shukor SA, Karim ZA (2018) A kinetic and mechanistic study of adsorptive removal of metal ions by imidazole-functionalized polymer graft banana fber. Radiat Phys Chem 153:58–69
- 26. Benyahia A, Merrouche A, Rokbi M, Kouadri Z (2013) Study the efect of alkali treatment of natural fbers on the mechanical behavior of the composite unsaturated Polyester-fber Alfa. Composites 2(3)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.