## ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION

# Polymer coatings for sensitive analysis of colloidal silica nanoparticles in water

Samar Alsudir · Edward P. C. Lai

Received: 26 January 2014 /Revised: 11 February 2014 /Accepted: 15 February 2014 /Published online: 12 March 2014  $\oslash$  Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract A new analytical approach has been developed for the sensitive detection of trace nanomaterials in water using silica as model inorganic nanoparticles. Our novel approach is based on coating of the nanoparticles with a polymer to make them larger in size for better ultraviolet (UV) light absorption. These polymer-coated nanoparticles can be separated from the monomer and polymer by capillary electrophoresis (CE) due to differences in their ionic charge, size, and surface functionality. Controlled polymerization of 2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate (HPMA) on silica nanoparticles increased their UV detection sensitivity by 5–7-fold. A second coating with polydopamine produced an extra 2-fold increase of the UV detection sensitivity. With both polyhydroxypropyl methacrylate and polydopamine coatings, a significant total enhancement of 10–14-fold in detection sensitivity was attained. Alternatively, addition of bisphenol A or polyvinyl alcohol to the HPMA polymerization mixture resulted in 9–10-fold increase of SiO<sub>2</sub> detection sensitivity due to additional absorption of the UV detector light.

Keywords Capillary electrophoresis . Detection sensitivity . Nanomaterials . Polyhydroxypropyl methacrylate . Polydopamine . Silica nanoparticles

## Abbreviations



S. Alsudir  $\cdot$  E. P. C. Lai ( $\boxtimes$ )

Department of Chemistry, Ottawa-Carleton Chemistry Institute, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada e-mail: edward.lai@carleton.ca



## Introduction

The industrial use of nanomaterials (NMs) and nanoparticles (NPs) in consumer products has proliferated, as found in electronic components [\[1\]](#page-7-0), sports equipment [\[2\]](#page-7-0), textile products [\[3](#page-7-0)], cosmetics [\[4](#page-7-0)], and biomedical applications [[5](#page-7-0)–[8\]](#page-7-0). Upon disposal of these products, these NMs often afford higher environmental mobility and will inevitably lead to a wide range of human exposure to aluminum oxide, carbon, copper oxide, gold, hematite, magnetite, manganese oxide [[9\]](#page-7-0), silver [\[10\]](#page-7-0), titanium oxide [\[11](#page-7-0)], and polymer composite nanomaterials. The toxicity of NMs arises from a number of biophysicochemical factors, including their ability to penetrate biological barriers, tissues, and cells. Their large surface area-to-mass ratio increases oxidative stress, resulting in

undesirable interactions with biological macromolecules. Alumina and silica are among those inorganic NPs most often used in the industry even though their toxicity is controversial [\[12](#page-7-0), [13](#page-7-0)]. Colloidal silica nanoparticles are more and more often used in various biomedical applications [\[14](#page-7-0)–[16](#page-7-0)], and they are found in fresh water resources over a large range of concentrations [\[17](#page-7-0)]. Therefore, analytical techniques with high sensitivity are much sought after for the detection and quantification of these NPs. Measurement of the magnitude, frequency, and duration of exposure to these NMs is a critical first step in risk assessment. Unfortunately, it is difficult to build risk assessment scenarios for NMs due to the limited availability of sensitive methods for their detection and quantification.

Analytical methods for the analysis of NMs were recently addressed by Barceló and Farré [\[18](#page-7-0), [19\]](#page-7-0). They gave a detailed overview of analytical methods and instruments suited for the separation, characterization, and quantification of various NMs and NPs in different matrices. Challenges arise generally from the diversity in their chemical properties and reactivities [\[20](#page-7-0), [21\]](#page-7-0). Efforts have been focused in our lab to develop polymer growth on NPs as a novel method for their trace analysis in water. The coating material was chosen on the basis of several experimental considerations including simplicity of polymerization in aqueous solution, ability to interact with specific NPs, uniform dispersion of the coated NPs in water, strong ultraviolet (UV) absorptivity, and good electrophoretic mobility for separation by capillary electrophoresis (CE) with UV detection. CE offers various advantages including simplicity in operation, minute sample volumes, minimal consumption of environmentally unfriendly organic solvents, various separation modes, short analysis time, and the high resolution of complex mixtures, which results from having a uniform electroosmotic flow (EOF) [[22](#page-7-0)]. Variance from run to run should not have an effect on the electrophoretic mobility of NMs and NPs as it is measured in relation to a neutral marker, unless there are time-dependent or non-equilibrium interactions of NMs with the capillary wall [[23](#page-7-0)]. Characterization of NPs by CE had been successfully developed in our research lab [[24\]](#page-7-0). Their UV detection limits remained inadequate for general application in environmental science and engineering studies.

In this work, aqueous polymerization of 2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate (HPMA) was investigated on silica  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  for better detection sensitivity in CE-UV analysis. An adhesive coating of polydopamine (PDA) was next put on top of the PHPMA-coated silica nanoparticles  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA)$  to further enhance the detection sensitivity. Attempts were also made to decrease the rate of HPMA polymerization by adding polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) [\[25](#page-7-0)], thus increasing the PHPMA coating thickness on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs. Finally, bisphenol A (BPA) was added to increase the UV absorbance of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA for extra detection sensitivity.

#### Material and methods

## Materials

2,2′-azobis-2-isobutyronitrile (AIBN) was bought from Pfaltz & Bauer (Waterbury, CT, USA). Bisphenol A (BPA), dopamine hydrochloride (DA.HCl, ≥99.5 %), 2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate (HPMA, 97 %), mesityl oxide (MO, ≥90 %), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS,  $\geq$ 99 %), and LUDOX<sup>®</sup> colloidal silica (SiO<sub>2,</sub> 30 % wt. suspension in H<sub>2</sub>O, with a surface area of 198–250  $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ ), and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, with an average molecular weight of 10,000 and the degree of hydrolysis about 80 %.) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada). Sodium phosphate dibasic was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA).

#### Apparatus and analytical method

CE-UV analyses were performed on a modular system built in our laboratory, which includes a Spellman CZE1000R highvoltage power supply (Hauppauge, NY, USA). Fused silica capillary (51 mm i.d., 356 mm o.d.) was obtained from Polymicro Technologies (Phoenix, AZ, USA). The capillary total and effective lengths were 53.5 and 46.1 cm, respectively. The background electrolyte (BGE) was composed of 10 mM  $Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>$  in deionized distilled water (DDW) to attain pH 7.5  $\pm$ 0.2. All CE analyses were run at an applied voltage of 20 kV, with the capillary inlet 2-mm away and below the electrode tip to improve both precision and baseline stability. A Bischoff Lambda 1010 (Leonberg, Germany) UV detector was set up at a wavelength of 190 nm to monitor the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles, polymer(s), and monomer(s). Electrokinetic injection at 17 kV for 12 s was employed to load the sample into the capillary for CE analysis. An independent run of MO as a neutral marker was carried out to determine the ionic charges of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles, polymer, and monomer. A PeakSimple chromatography data system (SRI model 203, Torrance, CA, USA) was used to acquire the detector output signal.

#### Polymerization of HPMA

Poly (2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate) (PHPMA) was prepared following a procedure developed by Ali et al. [\[26](#page-7-0)] with some modification. In a glass vial for free radical polymerization, HPMA (0.007 mol) was first dissolved in DDW (25 mL). SDS (10 wt.% of HPMA) and AIBN (3 wt.% of HPMA) were then added, followed by pure nitrogen gas bubbling for 5 min to remove dissolved oxygen molecules that could destroy the free radicals generated by thermal decomposition of AIBN. Finally, the vial was sealed and placed in a 60 °C thermostatted water bath for 22 h without further mixing or shaking. The polymerization mixture turned cloudy, yielding PHPMA submicron particles with a white color.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



PHPMA growth on colloidal  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles

HPMA (0.007 mol) was first dissolved in DDW (25 mL) containing colloidal  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles (5–20 g/L). Sonication for 5 min was allowed to facilitate their hydrogen bonding interaction. Next, SDS (10 wt.% of HPMA) and AIBN (3 wt.% of HPMA) were added, followed by deoxygenation. Finally, the vial was placed in a 60 °C thermostatted water bath for 22 h to produce PHPMA-coated silica nanoparticles  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>(a) PHPMA)$  also with a white color.

Effect of AIBN on PHPMA growth

The amount of AIBN on PHPMA growth on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles was investigated by using 1, 2, and 3 wt.%. The percent conversion to PHPMAwas determined by CE analysis for any residual HPMA.

Polydopamine growth on SiO<sub>2</sub>@ PHPMA

Aqueous solution of DA (200  $\mu$ L of 25 g/L) was added into  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA aqueous suspension. The mixture was left alone at ambient temperature (23 $\pm$ 2 °C) to allow for PDA

Fig. 2 CE-UV characterization of a MO and b HPMA (and PHPMA) after 22 h of polymerization

growth on the particles, as monitored by CE-UVanalysis daily for 1 week.

Polymerization of HPMA with polyvinyl alcohol or bisphenol A

PVA  $(0.1 \text{ g})$  or BPA  $(0.2 \text{ g/L})$  was added to the HPMA polymerization mixture to investigate any enhancement of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  detection sensitivity.

Dynamic light scattering

The average diameters of original and polymer-coated  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ particles were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Brookhaven Instruments NanoDLS particle size analyzer (Holtsville, NY, USA), in ten replicates of 10 s each for higher accuracy.

Transmission electron microscopy

Dry SiO<sub>2</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA, and SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA@PDA particles were deposited on a sample holder for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) examination at an accelerating



Fig. 3 CE-UV analysis of PHPMA and SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA particles after 22 h of polymerization using AIBN at  $3 \text{ wt.} %$ 



voltage of 120–200 kV using an FEI Tecnai G2 transmission electron microscope (Hillsboro, OR, USA). The diameters of these particles were compared to determine the thickness of different coatings.

## Results and discussion

## Silica nanoparticles

LUDOX® AM colloidal silica nanoparticles [\[27](#page-7-0)] were prepared in the BGE for CE-UV analysis using electrokinetic injection. As shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0), 20 g/L of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles exhibited low UV absorbance at the UV detection wavelength of 190 nm, producing a small peak at the migration time of 7.0  $\pm 0.1$  min.

The  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles appeared after the neutral marker, hence indicating their negative ionic charges (probably as SiO<sub>4</sub><sup>2−</sup>) in the BGE and yielding a negative electrophoretic mobility value. The standard calibration curve exhibited a linear correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ =0.979) between their CE-UV peak area and concentration in the working range up to 20 g/L. The limit of detection (LOD at  $3\sigma$ ) and the limit of quantification (LOQ at  $10\sigma$ ) were determined to be 3 and 9 g/L, respectively, which are inadequate for many environmental science and engineering studies.

## CE-UV characterization of PHPMA particles

CE-UV analysis was next performed on the HPMA polymerization mixture after 22 h at 60 °C. As a neutral compound illustrated in Fig. [2a](#page-2-0), the residual HPMA migrated at nearly the electroosmotic flow velocity to appear at a time close to the 3.7  $\pm 0.1$  min for the neutral marker as shown in Fig. [2b.](#page-2-0) The late migration time of  $5.6 \pm 0.1$  min for PHPMA indicated its negative ionic charge in the BGE, possibly due to the adsorption of SDS anions on the hydrophobic polymer surface.

PHPMA growth on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles

Polymerization of HPMA at 60 °C was conducted for 22 h in the presence of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles over a range of concentrations from 5–20 g/L. The resultant  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA particles were analyzed by CE-UV to determine their electrophoretic mobility and detection sensitivity.

As shown in Fig. 3, a new peak for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA particles was detected at a migration time of  $6.8 \pm 0.1$  min. These particles exhibited larger peak height and peak area than the original  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). Apparently, the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles were coated by PHPMA to have their Si-O<sup>−</sup> groups buried under the surface, thus resulting in faster migration than  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles (at 7.5 $\pm$ 0.1 min) and good separation from PHPMA (at  $5.6 \pm 0.1$  min). Upon varying the concentration of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles in the pre-polymerization mixture, the resultant  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA peak area changed accordingly as shown in Fig. 4, which provides very convincing proof of the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA formation.

The LOD and LOQ for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA particles were determined to be 0.6 and 1.8 g/L, respectively. Consequently,



Fig. 4  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA peak area obtained from different  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations (g/L)

Fig. 5 CE-UV analysis of PHPMA and SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA particles after 22 h of polymerization using AIBN at  $1 wt.$ %



a 5-fold better CE-UV detection sensitivity was attained for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles after PHPMA coating. Hence, the approach seemed promising toward their sensitive detection in water.

## Effect of AIBN on PHPMA growth

Polymerization of HPMA to form a layer of PHPMA on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles was slightly increased from 94 to 96 % when the mount of AIBN (the initiator) was reduced from 3 to 1 wt.%. At 3 wt.%, a larger concentration of reactive radicals would be generated, leading to early termination of the polymerization process and production of a polymer of low molecular weight [\[28,](#page-7-0) [29\]](#page-7-0). On the contrary, at 1 wt.%, a chain carrier will be formed from the reaction of a free radical with a monomer unit and propagation will occur continuously with other monomer units present, resulting in a higher conversion of HPMA to PHPMA. Thereby, larger  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA particles were produced. A 33-% increase in  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA peak area was attained and the amount of residual  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles was slightly reduced as shown in Fig. 5. Thus, PHPMA growth using AIBN at 1 wt.% resulted in 6–7-fold enhancement of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  detection sensitivity.

PDA growth on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA particles in water

PDA growth on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA particles in aqueous suspension over 1 week was investigated for further enhancement of detection sensitivity. The resultant  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)PHPMA(Q)PDA$ particles were analyzed by CE-UV daily. Figure 6 shows the CE-UV electropherogram of DA, HPMA, PHPMA@PDA,  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA $(a)$ PDA, and residual  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles. DA (at  $3.2\pm0.1$  min) is positively charged in the BGE as it migrated before the neutral marker. Hence, electrostatic attraction between DA and PHPMA or  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA particles were expected. Due to the adsorption of SDS anions on the hydrophobic polymer surface, PDA acquired a slight negative charge and migrated behind the neutral marker (as observed in a separate CE-UVanalysis). After 7 days of polymerization, the suspension turned black as a result of PDA formation. Both the PHPMA@PDA peak at  $7.0 \pm 0.1$  min and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA $(a)$ PDA peak at  $8.1 \pm 0.1$  min increased in

Fig. 6 CE-UV analysis of DA, HPMA, PHPMA@PDA, and SiO2@PHPMA@PDA particles



speculation for this significant difference is the catalytic effect of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs that could facilitate the HPMA polymerization and thus produce more PHPMA particles. Another speculation is the formation of a thick coating of PHPMA to cover up all the negative charges present on the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface, thus producing  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA particles with effectively the same migration time as PHPMA particles. Nonetheless, the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA peak area and height were significantly larger than those of the original SiO<sub>2</sub> NPs. These  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA particles might have only a monolayer of PHPMA that could not cover up all the negative charges on  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , and thus, they migrated after the PHPMA particles. Chu et al. had previously used PVA to decrease the rate of HPMA polymerization, thereby producing larger particles [[25](#page-7-0)]. In our study, PVA increased the PHPMA peak area, which indicates the formation of larger PHPMA particles as confirmed by DLS analysis below. Addition of BPA also resulted in the formation of larger PHPMA particles. Consequently, PVA or BPA addition

Condition of HPMA polymerization	Peak area of residual HPMA	Peak area of <b>PHPMA</b>	Peak area of $SiO2(a)$ PHPMA	% Polymerization of HPMA to form PHPMA
Without $SiO2$ (AIBN at 3 wt.%)	$32 \pm 1$	$57 + 1$		$\sim 94$
With $SiO2$ (AIBN at 3 wt.%)	$31 \pm 3$	$156 \pm 1$	$27 \pm 1$	$\sim 94$
Without $SiO2$ (AIBN at 1 wt.%)	$29 \pm 1$	$86 \pm 1$		$\sim$ 95
With $SiO2$ (AIBN at 1 wt.%)	$25 \pm 1$	$221 \pm 10$	$40 \pm 3$	$\sim 96$
Without $SiO2 + PVA$	$55 \pm 1$	$153 \pm 1$	—	$\sim 90$
With $SiO2 + PVA$	$48 \pm 1$	$261 \pm 4$	$42 \pm 2$	$\sim$ 91
Without $SiO2 + BPA$	$98 \pm 1$	$102 \pm 2$	-	$\sim$ 82
With $SiO2 + BPA$	$185 \pm 1$	$258 \pm 6$	$47 \pm 1$	~100

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 1 CE-UV peak areas of HPMA, PHPMA, and SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA under different conditions of HPMA polymerization. All peak areas are expressed in arbitrary units of mV.s

height and area upon PDA growth. Apparently, PHPMA and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA particles were coated with a thin layer of PDA to be acquiring extra negative charges on their surfaces, rendering them slower in migration. This thin layer of PDA coating produced an extra 2-fold enhancement of the CE-UV detection sensitivity for  $SiO_2@$ PHPMA nanoparticles. Thus, with both PHPMA and PDA coatings, a total of 10– 14-fold enhancement in detection sensitivity was attained for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles in the original sample.

# Polymerization of HPMA with PVA or BPA

As shown in Table 1, the PHPMA peak areas attained in the presence of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs under different conditions of polymerization were significantly larger than those obtained in the absence of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The difference in PHPMA peak areas between the presence and absence of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs was also significantly larger than the peak area of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA. One

Fig. 7 Dynamic light scattering measurements of a lognormal distribution of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles in LUDOX® AM colloid and b lognormal distribution of PHPMA in DDW after 22 h of polymerization at 60 °C

SiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles 100 Effective Diameter: 52.8 nm 75 a Intensity 50 Polydispersity: 0.357 26 **Baseline Index:** 0.0/100.00% Ō 5000.0  $0.5$ **Elapsed Time:**  $00:01:40$ Diameter (nm) **Lognormal Distribution PHPMA** 100 Effective Diameter: 76.7 nm b 75 Intensity 50 Polydispersity: 0.265 25 **Baseline Index:** 7.8/100.00% ٥  $0.5$ 5000.0 **Elapsed Time:** 00:01:40 Diameter (nm) **Lognormal Distribution** 

Table 2 DLS measurement of the hydrodynamic diameters of original and polymer-coated  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles

Particles	Hydrodynamic diameter (nm)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	$53 + 3$
PHPMA (AIBN at 3 wt.%)	$64\pm3$
PHPMA (AIBN at 1 wt.%)	$77 + 5$
<b>BPA-PHPMA</b>	$78 + 3$
$SiO2(Q)$ PHPMA (AIBN at 3 wt.%)	$89+2$
<b>PVA-PHPMA</b>	$91 \pm 3$
$SiO2(Q)$ PHPMA (AIBN at 1 wt.%)	$101 \pm 1$
$SiO2$ @BPA-PHPMA	$114\pm 2$
$SiO2(Q$ PVA-PHPMA	$129 \pm 4$
$SiO2(Q)$ PHPMA $(Q)$ PDA	$140 \pm 4$

to the HPMA polymerization mixture containing  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs resulted in 9–10-fold increase of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  detection sensitivity probably due to additional absorption of the UV detector light.

# Dynamic light scattering

Aqueous suspensions of original and polymer-coated  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ particles were analyzed by DLS to determine their hydrodynamic diameters to gain some insight of their growth. The hydrodynamic diameter represents the actual particle diameter plus the hydration layer surrounding the particle as it moves under the influence of Brownian motion. As shown in Fig. [7a, b,](#page-5-0) mean diameters of  $53\pm3$  and  $77\pm5$  nm were obtained for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles and PHPMA particles, respectively. Larger particle diameters were exhibited by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA,  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA $(Q)$ PDA, and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA formed in the presence of BPA and PVA as summarized in Table 2. These results provide strong evidence that  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles in water can be made larger in size by polymer growth under simple experimental conditions to offer more sensitive detection by CE-UV, with the option of electrophoretic separation from organic compounds possibly found in water. In principle, our encapsulation technique (based on the

Fig. 8 Transmission electron micrographs of a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA and **b** SiO<sub>2</sub>@PHPMA@PDA

polymerization of HPMA) may be affected by other components in the aqueous solution. However, it can be applied to real sample analysis. Samples would be prepared prior to polymerization to coat NPs. A filter of appropriate porosity can be used to remove soil particulates found in the water sample. The filtrate pH can be adjusted to the desired pH before starting the polymerization. The effect of salt will be removed in our future work by passing through a column of ion-exchange resin.

### Transmission electron microscopy

Figure 8 shows the TEM images for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(a)$ PHPMA $(a)$ PDA particles. A mean diameter of 14.6 $\pm$ 2.9 nm was found for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 8a, b, mean diameters of  $18.6 \pm 2.2$  and  $20.1 \pm 1.6$  nm were obtained for  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$ PHPMA( $Q$ )PDA, respectively. The thickness of PHPMA coating on the surface of SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles was approximately  $2\pm0.4$  nm, whereas PDA coating on top of PHPMA was about 0.8±0.3 nm. The diameters of these dry particles, as determined by TEM, seem to be much smaller than the corresponding hydrodynamic diameters obtained by DLS measurement for particles in aqueous suspensions. Particles in liquid media develop a hydration layer around their surfaces, which impacts on their movement under the influence of Brownian motion. As this hydration layer is not present in TEM analysis, smaller diameters were obtained.

### **Conclusions**

This work has demonstrated a new analytical approach to enhance the sensitivity for CE-UV detection of colloidal  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles in water by coating with PHPMA alone or with the assistance of PDA, BPA, and PVA. The problem of inadequate sensitivity was broken down into smaller attempts toward technically simple and operationally cost-effective solutions. Experimental results have demonstrated the



<span id="page-7-0"></span>feasibility of an approach that can potentially be applied for the determination of other NMs and NPs in water analysis. Controlled growth of thicker polymer coatings is underway in our lab to attain complete coating, which would offer higher sensitivity needed for the detection of lower concentrations of NPs. Our ultimate objective is the implementation of this new approach in toxicology research regarding nanomaterials in water, to gain a better understanding of their long-term impact on environmental sustainability and public health.

Acknowledgments Financial support from NSERC Canada is gratefully acknowledged. S. Alsudir thanks the Saudi Ministry of Higher Education for her scholarship.

### **References**

- 1. Weber D, Sharma R, Botnaraş S, Pham DV, Steiger J, De Cola L (2013) Base-etch removal of a ligand shell in thin films of ZnO nanoparticles for electronic applications. J Mater Chem C 1:7111–7116
- 2. Gong ZG (2013) Nanotechnology application in sports. Adv Mat Res 662:186–189
- 3. Abramova A, Gedanken A, Popov V, Ooi EH, Mason TJ, Joyce EM, Beddow J, Bayazitov V (2013) A sonochemical technology for coating of textiles with antibacterial nanoparticles and equipment for its implementation. Mater Lett 96:121–124
- 4. Nagelreiter C, Valenta C (2013) Size analysis of nanoparticles in commercial O/W sunscreens. Int J Pharm 456(2):517–519
- 5. Sinclai R, Li H, Madsen S, Dai H (2013) HREM analysis of graphiteencapsulated metallic nanoparticles for possible medical applications. Ultramicroscopy 134:167–174
- 6. Bosch J, Luchini A, Pichini S, Tamburro D, Fredolini C, Liotta L, Petricoin E, Pacifici R, Facchiano F, Segura J, Garaci E, Gutiérrez-Gallego R (2013) Analysis of urinary human growth hormone (hGH) using hydrogel nanoparticles and isoform differential immunoassays after short recombinant hGH treatment: preliminary results. J Pharmaceut Biomed 85:194–197
- 7. Li Y, Zhang X, Deng C (2013) Functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for sample preparation in proteomics and peptidomics analysis. Chem Soc Rev 42:8517–8539
- 8. Liu J, Tian M, Liang Z (2013) DNA analysis based on the electrocatalytic amplification of gold nanoparticles. Electrochim Acta 113: 186–193
- 9. Li J, Zhao Z, Feng J, Gao J, Chen Z (2013) Understanding the metabolic fate and assessing the biosafety of MnO nanoparticles by metabonomic analysis. Nanotechnology 24:455102
- 10. Suliman YAO, Ali D, Alarifi S, Harrath AH, Mansour L, Alwasel SH (2013) Evaluation of cytotoxic, oxidative stress, proinflammatory and genotoxic effect of silver nanoparticles in human lung epithelial cells. Environ Toxicol. doi[:10.1002/tox.21880](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.21880)
- 11. Valdiglesias V, Costa C, Sharma V, Kili G, Psaro E, Teixeira P, Dhawan A, Laffon B (2013) Comparative study on effects of two different types of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on human neuronal cells. Food Chem Toxicol 57:352–361
- 12. Wehling J, Volkmann E, Grieb T, Rosenauer A, Maas M, Treccani L, Rezwan K (2013) A critical study: assessment of the effect of silica particles from 15 to 500 nm on bacterial viability. Environ Pollut 176: 292–299
- 13. Wang J, Lu AH, Li M, Zhang W, Chen YS, Tian DX, Li WC (2013) Thin porous alumina sheets as supports for stabilizing gold nanoparticles. ACS Nano 7:4902–4910
- 14. Achatz DE, Heiligtag FJ, Li X, Link M, Wolfbeis OS (2010) Colloidal silica nanoparticles for use in click chemistry-based conjugations and fluorescent affinity assays. Sensors Actuators B Chem 150:211–219
- 15. Graf C, Gao Q, Schütz I, Noufele CN, Ruan W, Posselt U, Korotianskiy E, Nordmeyer D, Rancan F, Hadam S, Vogt A, Lademann J, Haucke V, Rühl E (2012) Surface functionalization of silica nanoparticles supports colloidal stability in physiological media and facilitates internalization in cells. Langmuir 28: 7598–7613
- 16. Bonacchi S, Genovese D, Juris R, Montalti M, Prodi L, Rampazzo E, Zaccheroni N (2011) Luminescent silica nanoparticles: extending the frontiers of brightness. Angew Chem Int Ed 50:4056–4066
- 17. Egerton TA (2013) The influence of surface alumina and silica on the photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants. Catalysts 3: 338–362
- 18. Barceló D, Farré M (2012) Analysis and Risk of Nanomaterials in Environmental and Food Samples, Series: Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry. Elsevier 59: 1-415
- 19. Delay M, Barceló D, Farré M (2013) Analysis and risk of nanomaterials in environmental and food samples. Anal Bioanal Chem 405:7557–7558
- 20. Bouwmeester H, Lynch I, Marvin HJP, Dawson KA, Berges M, Braguer D, Byrne HJ, Casey A, Chambers G, Clift MJD, Elia G, Fernandes TF, Fjellsb LB, Hatto P, Juillerat L, Klein C, Kreyling WG, Nickel C, Riediker M, Stone V (2011) Minimal analytical characterization of engineered nanomaterials needed for hazard assessment in biological matrices. Nanotoxicology 5:1–11
- 21. Markus AA, Parsons JR, Roex EWM, Kenter GCM, Laane RWPM (2013) Predicting the contribution of nanoparticles (Zn, Ti, Ag) to the annual metal load in the Dutch reaches of the Rhine and Meuse. Sci Total Environ 456–457:154–160
- 22. Timerbaev AR, Hartinger CG, Keppler BK (2006) Metallodrug research and analysis using capillary electrophoresis. Trends Anal Chem 25:868–875
- 23. Harris DC (2006) Quantitative Chemical Analysis, Seventhth edn. W. H. FreeMan and Company, New York
- 24. DeMaleki Z, Lai EPC, Dabek-Zlotorzynska E (2010) Capillary electrophoresis characterization of molecularly imprinted polymer particles in fast binding with 17b-estradiol. J Sep Sci 33:2796–2803
- 25. Chu HH, Fu DC (1998) Preparation of poly(hydroxyethy1 methacrylate) and poly(hydroxypropy1 methacrylate) lattices. Macromol Rapid Commun 19:107–110
- 26. Ali AMI, Pareek P, Sewell L, Schmid A, Fujii S, Armes SP, Shirley IM (2007) Synthesis of poly(2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate) latex particles via aqueous dispersion polymerization. Soft Matter 3: 1003–1013
- 27. 420875 ALDRICH, LUDOX® AM colloidal silica, 30 wt. % suspension in H2O. [http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/](http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/aldrich/420875?lang=en®ion=CA) [aldrich/420875?lang=en&region=CA](http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/aldrich/420875?lang=en®ion=CA)
- 28. Costa ROR, Vasconcelos WL (2001) Organic/inorganic nanocomposite star polymers via atom transfer radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate using octafunctional silsesquioxane cores. Macromolecules 34:5398–5407
- 29. Christian P, Giles MR, Griffiths RMT, Irvine DJ, Major RC, Howdle SM (2000) Free radical polymerization of methyl methacrylate in supercritical carbon dioxide using a pseudo-graft stabilizer: effect of monomer, initiator, and stabilizer concentrations. Macromolecules 33:9222–9227