ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION



# **The in vivo antineoplastic and therapeutic effcacy of troxerutin on rat preneoplastic liver: biochemical, histological and cellular aspects**

**Nisha Susan Thomas1 · Kiran George2 · Sivaranjani Arivalagan1 · Vijay Mani1 · Aktarul Islam Siddique<sup>1</sup> · Nalini Namasivayam1**

Received: 4 May 2016 / Accepted: 11 July 2016 / Published online: 3 August 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

### **Abstract**

*Purpose* Troxerutin (TXER), a trihydroxyethylated derivative of the natural biofavonoid rutin, abundantly found in tea, various fruits and vegetables, is known to exhibit ample pharmacological properties. In the present investigation, we examined the antineoplastic, therapeutic efficacy and furthermore the possible mechanisms of action of TXER against NAFLD/NASH progression to hepatocarcinogenesis.

*Methods* The effect of TXER (12.5, 25 or 50 mg/kg b.w/ day) was evaluated on the nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) model of hepatocarcinogenesis in rats, after 16 weeks of oral treatment, with special focus on liver specifc enzymes, xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes, antioxidant status, lipid peroxidation profle, DNA damage, fbrosis, cell proliferation and infammatory status.

*Results* Administration of TXER to hepatocellular carcinoma-bearing rats restored the enzyme activities and the hepatic architecture. Furthermore, TXER significantly curtailed NDEA-induced DNA damage, cell proliferation, infammation, fbrosis and hepatic hyperplasia.

*Conclusion* This study provides the evidence that troxerutin exerts a signifcant therapeutic effect against liver cancer by modulating liver function enzymes, xenobiotic enzymes, oxidative damage, inhibiting cell proliferation, suppressing infammatory response and induction of apoptosis.

**Keywords** Troxerutin · Xenobiotic metabolism · Oxidative stress · Fibrosis · DNA damage · Cell proliferation

# **Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the primary form of liver cancer, remains the ffth most common malignancy and the third most common cause of cancer mortality worldwide  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ . The risk factors associated with HCC include hepatitis viruses, consumption of alcohol, tobacco, afatoxincontaminated food stuff, exposure to vinyl chloride, environmental and industrial toxins, non-alcoholic fatty liver and hemochromatosis [[3,](#page-12-2) [4\]](#page-12-3). Among these risk factors, recent epidemiological studies have pointed out NAFLD as a major cause of HCC. NAFLD is known as the most common liver disease that encompasses various disease conditions ranging from fatty liver non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), infammation, fbrosis, cirrhosis and progression to HCC. At present, the ratio of NAFLD patients at the risk of developing HCC is shown to be much higher than the estimated survey of 9–32 % of the general Indian population [[5,](#page-12-4) [6\]](#page-12-5).

N-nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) is a well-known carcinogenic dialkyl nitrosoamine used to induce hepatocellular carcinoma in rodent models that mimic human liver cancer [[7,](#page-12-6) [8](#page-12-7)]. NDEA is also known to cause adverse effects in humans by exposure to tobacco products, cosmetics, pharmaceutical products, agricultural chemicals and by consumption of preserved meats, curd and fried meals [\[9](#page-12-8)]. Metabolism of NDEA in the liver results in the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which induces oxidative stress resulting in DNA damage. Studies on experimental models have revealed that NDEA administration triggers hepatocyte proliferation, thus leading to the development of

 $\boxtimes$  Nalini Namasivayam nalininam@yahoo.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu 608 002, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Instrumentation Engineering, Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Chidambaram 608002, Tamil Nadu, India

altered hepatic foci (AHF) and preneoplastic nodules that eventually results in HCC [\[10](#page-12-9)].

The treatment modalities for HCC have exponentially improved during the past few decades. Even so, the effective treatment regimens for HCC are still limited and the survival rate remains poor. This makes new treatment strategies essential. Phytochemicals especially favonoids with diverse biological and pharmacological properties are nowadays gaining much attention for the treatment and prevention of various diseases. Flavonoids are one of the major components in the human diet that possess antioxidant and anti-infammatory properties due to the presence of variable number of hydroxyl/phenolic groups in their structure. Flavonoids like quercetin, rutin, hesperidin, nobiletin and naringin have shown to exhibit benefcial effects over human health by interacting with various antioxidants and scavenging free radicals and thereby imparting anti-infammatory and anticancer properties [\[11](#page-12-10)[–13\]](#page-12-11). So currently, research is focused on the discovery of novel chemotherapeutic favonoids as these bioactive plant components have high potential in cancer chemoprevention at the same time exhibits minimal or no side effects.

Troxerutin (TXER) is a trihydroxyethylated derivative of the biofavonoid rutin, abundantly found in tea, various fruits and vegetables. TXER has been used for a number of therapeutic purposes such as for the treatment of chronic venous insuffciency (CVI) and for improving capillary function. TXER also possesses antithrombotic, fbrinolytic, antioxidant, anti-infammatory, anti-diabetic, anticancer, edema-protective, neuroprotective, radioprotective and rheological activities. In addition, its safety and effectiveness has been successfully evaluated in both elderly patients and pregnant women [[14,](#page-12-12) [15](#page-12-13)]. Till date, there are no studies demonstrating the chemotherapeutic effcacy of TXER against hepatocarcinogenesis. Our present study provides an insight into the scientifc knowledge about the effective modulatory effects of TXER against NDEA-induced NAFLD progression to HCC. To attain this goal, liver marker enzymes, lipid profle, antioxidant status, DNA damage, extent of cell proliferation, infammatory status and fbrosis were evaluated.

# **Materials and methods**

# **Animals**

Five-week-old male albino Wistar rats weighing approximately 200 g were obtained from the Central Animal House, Raja Muthiah Medical College and Hospital (RMMCH), Tamil Nadu, India. All the animals received humane care according to the guidelines of Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA) and as per the approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC), Annamalai University (Reg No. 160/1999/CPCSEA).

# **Chemicals and reagents**

N-nitrosodiethylamine [N0756] and troxerutin [91950] were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, USA. All other chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade and obtained either from Sigma-Aldrich or HiMedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India.

# **Liver cancer induction**

Liver cancer was induced using NDEA according to the method of Khan et al. [\[16](#page-12-14)]. Five-week-old male albino Wistar rats weighing 200 g were injected intraperitoneally (i.p) with NDEA (200 mg/kg b.w) dissolved in sterile distilled water. NDEA was injected thrice at a time interval of 15 days in between starting at second week of the experimental period and subsequently continued during the fourth and sixth week.

# **Compound preparation**

TXER was dissolved in sterile distilled water and prepared just prior to use. Rats of various treatment groups (IV–VI) received a daily dose of 12.5, 25 or 50 mg/kg b.w of TXER, respectively [[15\]](#page-12-13).

# **Treatment regimen**

The experimental design is as depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) Rats were randomly divided into six groups with six animals each. Group I (control): Rats were provided with standard pellet diet and water throughout the experimental period of 16 weeks. Group II (treatment control): Rats were administered 50 mg/kg b.w of TXER orally along with standard pellet diet and water throughout the experimental period. Group III (NDEA control): Rats were administered NDEA as described above (liver cancer induction). Group IV–VI (treatment group): Rats were supplemented with different doses of TXER (12.5, 25 or 50 mg/kg b.w, respectively) for 16 weeks along with NDEA as in group III.

At the end of the experimental period, after an overnight fast, the rats were killed by cervical dislocation and blood was collected from the jugular vein. Liver was dissected out, weighed and washed in ice-cold saline.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Schematic representation of the experimental design

# **Processing of blood samples**

The collected blood samples were centrifuged at 2000 rpm after 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (serum) was used for evaluating the activities of various liver specifc enzymes.

### **Preparation of cytosolic and microsomal fractions**

Cytosolic and microsomal fractions were collected by the method of Schladt et al. [[17\]](#page-12-15). Briefy, the liver samples were homogenized in 10 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.25 M sucrose, centrifuged at 9000×*g* for 20 min and the supernatant was collected. This supernatant was further centrifuged at  $100,000 \times g$  for 20 min, and the clear cytosolic fractions (supernatant) were collected for measuring phase II xenobiotic enzymes. The pellets from the above centrifugation were further resuspended in ice-cold 0.15 M Tris–KCl buffer (pH 7.4) and centrifuged for 30 min at  $100,000\times g$ . The pellets (microsomal fraction) were resuspended in equal volumes of homogenization buffer and used for the analysis of phase I xenobiotic enzymes.

# **Preparation of tissue homogenate for the determination of lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status**

Liver tissues were dissected and further homogenized with an appropriate buffer using Potter-Elvehjam homogenizer with a Teflon pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C to separate the cell debris. The supernatant was collected for the estimation of lipid peroxidation. Half the supernatant was again centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C to obtain post mitochondrial supernatant (PMS) which was used for the estimation of antioxidants.

### **Biochemical analysis**

#### *Assay of liver marker enzymes*

The extent of liver function was estimated spectrophotometrically (SL 159, UV–Vis spectrophotometer, ELICO, India) by assessing the activities of liver-specifc enzymes such as aspartate transaminase [AST, EC 2.6.1.1], alanine transaminase [ALT, EC 2.6.1.2], alkaline phosphatase [ALP, EC.3.1.3.1] using a diagnostic kit based on the method of Reitman and Frankel [\[18\]](#page-12-16) and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase [GGT, EC 2.3.2.2] using the method of Patrick and Alan [[19](#page-12-17)].

#### *Estimation of activities of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes*

The activities of phase I enzymes in the liver such as cytochrome P450 [EC.1.14.14.1], cytochrome b5, cytochrome P4502E1 [EC.1.14.13.N7], NADPHcytochrome P450 reductase [EC.1.6.2.4] and NADPHcytochrome b5 reductase [EC. 1.6.2.2] were measured by the methods of Omura and Sato [\[20](#page-12-18)], Watt et al. [[21](#page-12-19)], Mihara and Sato [[22](#page-12-20)] and Omura and Takesue [\[23\]](#page-12-21), respectively.

The activities of phase II enzymes in the liver such as glutathione-S-transferase [GST, EC.2.5.1.18], DT-diaphorase [DTD, EC.1.6.99.2] and UDP-glucuronyl transferase [UDP-GT, EC.2.4.1.17] were assessed by the method of Habig et al. [\[24](#page-12-22)], Ernster et al. [\[25](#page-12-23)] and Isselbacher et al. [\[26](#page-12-24)], respectively.

# *Estimation of lipid peroxidation status*

Tissue lipid peroxidation was assessed spectrophotometrically (SL 159, UV–Vis spectrophotometer, ELICO, India) by measuring the levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and lipid hydroperoxides (LOOH) by the method of Ohkawa et al. [\[27](#page-12-25)]. and Jiang et al. [\[28](#page-12-26)], respectively.

### *Determination of the antioxidants*

The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) [EC. 1.15.1.1] was assayed by the method of Kakkar et al. [[29\]](#page-12-27). The activity was determined on the basis of 50 % inhibition of the formation of NADH–phenazine methanosulphate-nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) formazan at 520 nm. Activity of catalase [CAT, EC.1.11.1.6] was assayed by the method of Sinha [\[30](#page-12-28)], and the values are expressed as micro-moles of  $H_2O_2$  utilized/min/mg protein. Glutathione (GSH) was determined by the method of Ellman [\[31](#page-12-29)] as an acid soluble non-protein sulfhydryl group, and values are denoted as mmol/mg tissue.

### *Histological characterization and quantifcation*

For histological characterization, formalin-fxed samples were embedded in paraffn, sectioned into slices of 5 µm thickness, processed, stained and analyzed as described below.

## *Hematoxylin and Eosin staining*

The paraffn-embedded liver sections were hydrated, dipped in Mayer's hematoxylin and agitate for 30 s. The sections were then rinsed in distilled water for 1 min and stained in 1 % eosin Y solution for 10–30 s with agitation. Further, the sections were dehydrated with two changes of 95 % alcohol and two changes of 100 % alcohol for 30 s each. The alcohol was extracted with two changes of xylene. The sections were subsequently mounted with DPX and allowed to dry. The slides were digitally scanned using a light microscope (Carl Zeiss). Multifractal analysis was performed with the software Fraclac V2.5. The parameter used was the generalized dimension spectra, denoted as  $D_q$  versus  $q$  calculated by setting an arbitrary range for  $q = 210$  to  $+10.75$  with 0.25 increments. The images were converted to binary images and outlined for improved quantifcation. Images can be seen in Fig. [4](#page-7-0).

# *Argyrophilic nucleolar organizer regions (AgNORs) staining*

AgNORs staining was performed according to the method of Treré [[32\]](#page-12-30). Briefy, the paraffn-embedded sections were deparaffnized in xylene and rehydrated by placing in decreasing concentrations of ethanol to deionized water. The sections were then stained in freshly prepared silver colloid solution and kept in the dark for 45 min at room temperature. After incubation, the sections were rinsed in deionized water and dehydrated through different concentrations of ethanol to xylene. The sections were mounted with DPX and allowed to dry overnight. The number of AgNORs per nucleus was scanned using a light microscope (Carl Zeiss) and quantifed by Image-based Tool for Counting Nuclei (ITCN).

### *Mast cell staining*

Histological analysis of mast cell number was determined by the method of Ramakrishnan et al. [[33](#page-12-31)]. Briefy, the liver sections were dewaxed, rehydrated and stained with toluidine blue for 2 min, and then rinsed in deionized water. The sections were further dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol and xylene. The slides were subsequently dried and mounted with the DPX mounting solution. The total number of mast cells in each section was observed and photographed using a light microscope (Carl Zeiss). The number of intact mast cells was quantitatively analyzed using ImageJ software (1.50 g) by inverting the image, thresholding and measuring using cell counter plugin.

### *Sirius red staining for collagen*

The paraffn-embedded liver sections were deparaffnized and hydrated with deionized water. The sections were then incubated in Fouchet's reagent for 5 min, rinsed in distilled water, incubated in celestine blue for 2 min and rinsed in distilled water, further incubated in hematoxylin for 3 min, rinsed in distilled water, stained with Sirius red solution for 10 min and rinsed in distilled water. The sections were subsequently mounted with DPX and allowed to dry. The presence of collagen deposition in the tissues was observed using a light microscope (Carl Zeiss) and subsequently quantitatively analyzed using ImageJ software (1.50 g) by segmenting the red-stained collagen using thresholding and measuring the intensity of red staining in each experimental group. The 3D visualization of collagen deposition is presented as volumes and surfaces of each image stacks using the 3D Color Inspector module.

### *Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction and fragmentation*

DNA was extracted using GenProTM 3-in-1 isolation kit. In brief, 10 mg of tissue was homogenized by adding lysis buffer provided in the kit. The tissue homogenate was then centrifuged for 1 min at 12,000 rpm at room temperature. Then, genomic DNA (gDNA) wash buffer I was added to the pellet and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 1 min. To the pellet, gDNA wash buffer II was added and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 2 min at room temperature and the fow through was discarded. To this, DNA elution buffer was added and stored at −20 °C.

# **Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and signifcant differences among various groups were evaluated by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) using SPSS 15.0 software package (SPSS, Tokyo, Japan). The results were considered statistically signifcant at  $p < 0.05$ . The values are given as mean  $\pm$  SD.

# **Results**

# **Effect of TXER and NDEA on body weight and liver morphology**

Initiation of hepatocarcinogenesis was perceptible within 2 months of NDEA administration. The amount of food intake by the HCC-bearing rats (group III) became much lesser as compared to the control and TXER-treated rats. The body weight changes of rats in each group are shown



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

in Fig. [2](#page-4-0). The mean body weights of NDEA-administered HCC rats (group III) were signifcantly reduced as compared to control and troxerutin control rats, whereas treatment with 25 and 50 mg/kg b.w TXER to the HCC-bearing rats (group V and VI) augmented the body weight gain and was comparable with those of the control rats. Moreover, TXER at the dose of 50 mg/kg b.w showed a more pronounced effect.

The morphology of liver specimens was analyzed after 16 weeks of the experimental period (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)). Administration of TXER to HCC-bearing rats (group IV–VI) showed signifcantly decreased hepatic nodules as compared to the NDEA alone treated rat (group III), especially the 25 and 50 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rats (group V and VI) showed a marked suppression in tumor development, and no changes were observed in liver morphology. There were no signifcant changes between the liver morphology of control and TXER control rats.

# **Effect of TXER and NDEA on liver function enzymes**

Table [1](#page-5-0) summarizes the effects of TXER on NDEA-induced changes on the serum liver marker enzymes. Activities of hepatocyte marker enzymes such as AST, ALT, ALP and GGT were signifcantly increased in HCC-bearing rats (group III) as compared to the control rats (group I), indicating liver damage. TXER treatment to HCC-bearing rats Fig. 2 Effect of TXER and NDEA on body weight changes showed a significant decrease in the activities of AST, ALT,



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Liver morphology of rats in each group (I–VI). **a** Control. **b** TXER control (50 mg/kg b.w). **c** NDEA control (200 mg/kg b.w). **d** NDEA + 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER. **e** NDEA + 25 mg/kg b.w TXER. **f** NDEA + 50 mg/kg b.w TXER

Control	<b>TXER</b> control $(50 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	NDEA control $(200 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	$NDEA + TXER$ $(12.5 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	$NDEA + TXER$ $(25 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	$NDEA + TXER$ $(50 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$
$52.24 \pm 5.01^{\circ}$	$53.71 \pm 5.03^{\circ}$	$81.40 \pm 7.08^b$	$75.46 \pm 6.01^{\circ}$	$55.13 \pm 5.06^{\circ}$	$50.15 \pm 5.01^{\circ}$
$61.98 \pm 6.02^{\circ}$	$60.20 \pm 6.01^{\circ}$	$77.03 \pm 7.5^{\rm b}$	$70.82 \pm 7.01^{\rm b}$	$68.89 \pm 6.03^{\circ}$	$62.44 \pm 6.01^{\circ}$
$87.93 \pm 7.81$ <sup>a</sup>	$87.01 \pm 7.53^{\circ}$	$120.35 + 8.31^{b}$	$115.6 + 8.78^b$	$90.80 \pm 8.05^{\circ}$	$90.93 \pm 8.01^{\circ}$
$20.84 \pm 0.21$ <sup>a</sup>	$20.95 \pm 0.22^{\text{a}}$	$60.54 \pm 5.658^{\circ}$	$43.23 \pm 4.21^{\circ}$	$22.49 \pm 0.28^{\circ}$	$22.98 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1** Effect of TXER and NDEA on the liver specifc marker enzymes in the serum of control and experimental rats

All the values are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SD of six rats in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript letter (a–c) differ significantly at *p* < 0.05 (DMRT)

*AST* aspartate transaminase, *ALT* alanine transaminase, *ALP* alkaline phosphatase, *GGT* gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase

ALP and GGT as compared to the unsupplemented HCCbearing rats (group III). Interestingly, administration of 25 and 50 mg/kg b.w TXER caused a pronounced decrease on the NDEA-induced augmentation of these enzyme activities. These results demonstrate that TXER depicts hepatoprotective effects against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. There was no signifcant difference in the enzyme activities between the TXER control and control rats.

# **Effect of TXER and NDEA on xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes**

Table [2](#page-5-1) shows the infuence of TXER supplementation on the activities of phase I and phase II enzymes in the liver. Administration of NDEA resulted in a dramatic increase in the activities of phase I enzymes followed by a decrease in the activities of phase II enzymes (GST, DTD and UDP-GT) as compared to the control rats (group I). Interestingly, the adverse effects induced by NDEA were signifcantly suppressed on supplementation with TXER, a more pronounced effect being observed in the rats supplemented with 25 and 50 mg/kg b.w TXER (group V). However, there were no signifcant variations between the control (group I) and troxerutin alone treated rats (group II).

# **Effect of TXER and NDEA on lipid peroxidation**

Lipid peroxidation is pointed out as one of the basic mechanisms that cause tissue damage by liberating free radicals. Table [3](#page-6-0) depicts the levels of TBARS and LOOH in the liver of control and experimental rats. Group III HCC-bearing rats exhibited significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) increase in the levels of

<span id="page-5-1"></span>



All the values are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SD of six rats in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript letter (a-c) differ significantly at *p* < 0.05 (DMRT)

Cytochrome P450-µmol/mg protein; Cytochrome P4502E1-mmol of *p*-nitrocatechol liberated/min/mg protein; Cytochrome b5-µmol/mg protein; NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase-One unit of enzyme activity is defned as that causing the oxidation of one mole of NADPH per minute; NADPH-cytochrome b5 reductase-One unit of enzyme activity is defned as that causing the reduction of one mole of ferricyanide per minute; *GST* glutathione-S-transferase (µmol of 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB)-GSH conjugate formed/min/mg protein); *DTD*-DT-diaphorase (µmol of 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol reduced/min/mg protein); *UDP*-*GT*-UDP-glucuronyl transferase (nmol/min/mg protein)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3** Effect of TXER and NDEA on hepatic lipid peroxidation byproducts and activities of the hepatic enzymic and non-enzymic antioxidants of the control and experimental rats

Parameters	Control	<b>TXER</b> control $(50 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	NDEA control $(200 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	$NDEA + TXER$ $(12.5 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	$NDEA + TXER$ $(25 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$	$NDEA + TXER$ $(50 \text{ mg/kg b.w})$
Lipid peroxidation						
<b>TBARS</b>	$0.84 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	$0.81 \pm 0.08^{\text{a}}$	$4.20 \pm 0.32^b$	$2.97 \pm 0.31^{\circ}$	$0.88 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	$0.88 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$
<b>LOOH</b>	$48.89 \pm 4.9^{\circ}$	$45.20 \pm 4.6^{\circ}$	$91.51 \pm 9.01^{\circ}$	$80.74 \pm 8.2$ <sup>c</sup>	$43.70 \pm 4.4^{\circ}$	$45.89 \pm 4.5^{\circ}$
	Enzymic and non-enzymic antioxidants					
SOD	$6.57 \pm 0.65^{\circ}$	$6.77 \pm 0.67$ <sup>a</sup>	$3.38 \pm 0.34^b$	$4.89 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$6.13 \pm 0.61^{\circ}$	$6.32 \pm 0.63^{\circ}$
<b>CAT</b>	$31.83 \pm 3.18^{\circ}$	$31.66 \pm 3.16^{\circ}$	$9.56 \pm 0.83^b$	$10.86 \pm 1.05^{\circ}$	$28.26 \pm 2.82^{\circ}$	$29.59 \pm 2.95^{\circ}$
<b>GSH</b>	$80.53 \pm 8.14^{\circ}$	$81.89 \pm 8.18^{\circ}$	$30.97 \pm 3.08^{\rm b}$	$44.22 \pm 4.32^{\circ}$	$78.35 \pm 7.83^{\circ}$	$79.13 \pm 7.93^{\circ}$

Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of six rats in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript letter (a–c) differ significantly at *p* < 0.05 (DMRT)

*TBARS* thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (mmol/mg tissue); *LOOH* lipid hydroperoxides (mmol/mg tissue); *SOD* Superoxide dismutase (50 % NBT reduction/min/mg protein); *CAT* Catalase (µmoles of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> utilized/min/mg protein); *GSH* Glutathione (mmole/mg tissue protein)

TRARS and LOOH as compared to the control rats. On the other hand, administration of TXER to HCC-bearing rats restored the levels of lipid peroxidation by-products to near those of the control rats. The effect was more signifcant when the dose of TXER administration was 25 and 50 mg/ kg b.w. There were no remarkable differences in the levels of the lipid peroxidation markers between the control and TXER control rats.

### **Effect of TXER and NDEA on the antioxidant status**

The antioxidant property of TXER was determined by evaluating the enzymic and non-enzymic antioxidants in the liver of control and experimental rats (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). In group III HCCbearing rats, there was a signifcant decrease in the activities of the antioxidants such as SOD, CAT and GSH as compared to the control rats. On the other hand, in TXER-treated rats (group IV–VI), a signifcant increase in the activities of these antioxidants were observed as compared to HCC-bearing rats (group III). Group II TXER control rats showed no signifcant difference in the activities of these antioxidants when compared with group I control rats. Thus, supplementation with TXER to HCC-bearing rats appeared to stabilize the antioxidant status, and thereby, offering protection against NDEA-induced oxidative damages in the liver.

#### **Effect of TXER and NDEA on liver histology**

Histological changes in the liver of control and experimental rats are illustrated in Figs. [4,](#page-7-0) [5,](#page-8-0) [6](#page-9-0) and [7.](#page-10-0) Histological examination revealed that administration of NDEA-induced fbrosis with infammation, cell proliferation and nodule formation [Figs. [4](#page-7-0)(c, ci, cii); [5\(](#page-8-0)c, ci, cii); [6\(](#page-9-0)c, ci, cii, ciii); [7](#page-10-0)(c, ci, cii, ciii)], whereas treatment with TXER to NDEA-administered rats showed near normal appearing hepatocytes with reduced degeneration, less formation of nodules and normal

central veins [Fig.  $4(e, ei, ei, f, fi, fi)$  $4(e, ei, ei, f, fi, fi)$ ]. It is noteworthy that TXER also reduced the accumulation of AgNORs, MCs and collagen deposition in the tissue [Figs. [5](#page-8-0)(e, ei, eii, f, f, fi); [6\(](#page-9-0)e, ei, eii, eiii, f, f, fi, fii); [7](#page-10-0)(e, ei, eii, eiii, f, f, fi, fiii)], indicating the efficacy of TXER to suppress cell proliferation, inflammation and fibrosis. Moreover, beneficial effect of the supplementation was more noticeable in the rat administered with 25 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg b.w TXER. The statistical comparison between the various experimental group using Multifractal spectra [Fig. [4](#page-7-0) g], ITCN [Fig. [5](#page-8-0) g], Cell Counter [Fig. [6](#page-9-0) g], and RGB Profling [Fig. [7](#page-10-0) g] are in supportive to the qualitative results.

### **Effect of TXER and NDEA on DNA damage**

DNA fragmentation assay was used to determine the breaks in the DNA due to oxidative stress. DNA laddering induced by NDEA and the protective effect of TXER are depicted in Fig. [8](#page-11-0). NDEA administration caused a very signifcant DNA damage as indicated by the increased DNA laddering in group III HCC-bearing rat liver as compared to the control rat 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER treatment to HCC-bearing rats did not show a signifcant decrease in the DNA laddering but supplementation with 25 and 50 mg/kg b.w TXER (group V–VI) showed a marked protection of the cellular DNA, as evident by the decreased DNA laddering. There was no signifcant difference in the hepatic DNA of the TXER control and the control rat.

# **Discussion**

TXER is a biofavonoid that has undergone many clinical trials in human beings, even with high doses and has shown excellent safety and tolerability profles [\[34](#page-12-32), [35](#page-13-0)]. The present study shows that administration of NDEA engendered



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Histological changes in the liver of control and experimental groups  $(\times 100)$  [H and E staining]. **a, b** Liver sections of control and treatment control rats showing normal architecture with central portal vein. **c** Liver section of NDEA-induced rat showing fbrosis with infammation and nodule formation. **d** Liver section of NDEA + 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat showing infammatory cells. **e** Liver section of NDEA + 25 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated

the development and/or progression of NAFLD to HCC and resulted in macroscopically and microscopically perceptible hepatic neoplasms and a signifcant decrease in the body weight as well demonstrating that TXER at the doses 25 and 50 mg/kg b.w has a potent therapeutic effcacy against NDEA-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. Oral administration of TXER to HCC-bearing rats showed not only an increase in the body weight and a drastic reduction in the occurrence of hepatic neoplasms but also the suppression of preneoplastic hepatic lesions.

Hepatic damage induced by NDEA disrupts the membrane permeability and metabolism of hepatocytes. This disruption leads to release of the liver-specifc enzymes (ALT, AST, ALP and GGT) into the circulatory system resulting in the increased activities of these enzymes in the circulatory system  $[36]$  $[36]$ . Evaluating the activities of these

rat showing degeneration of hepatocytes and mild periportal infammation. **f** Liver section of NDEA + 50 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat showing moderate periportal infammation. **ai** and **f** and **aii** and **fi** represent the binary and outlined image of **a–f** images showing a clear morphological difference, enlarging the boundaries of the regions of the foreground pixels. **g** Fractal analysis of histological image **a–f** illustrating Multifractal spectra

enzymes in the serum has been important in the diagnosis of liver damage [[37](#page-13-2)]. Transaminases are the most sensitive markers for the diagnosis of functional membrane integrity and liver damage. Increased activates of AST and ALT in the serum indicates high incidence of HCC in patients. ALP, another key liver-specifc enzyme in the serum, indicates pathological alterations in the bile fow. Rapidly, proliferating hepatocytes located in the bile canalicular plasma membrane sheds ALP in large amounts. GGT, an enzyme localized on the outer membrane of the hepatocytes, functions to maintain the homeostasis of cellular glutathione. During malignancy the concentration of GGT increases, indicating cholestasis and bile duct necrosis [[38\]](#page-13-3). The observations of the present study correlate with the above fndings, showing elevated activities of the liver-specifc enzymes AST, ALT, ALP and GGT in



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Histological analysis of the liver sections with silver nitrate staining for AgNORs in the liver of control and experimental rats (×40). **a** AgNORs in the liver of control rat. **b** AgNORs in the liver of a TXER control rat. **c** AgNORs in the liver of a NDEA-administered rat, arrow shows nuclei with increased AgNORs. **d** AgNORs in the liver of a NDEA + 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat. **e** AgNORs in the liver of a NDEA  $+25$  mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat, showing

the circulation, indicating NDEA-induced hepatic injury and hepatocarcinogenesis. Supplementation with TXER to HCC-bearing rats signifcantly reduced the activities of these enzymes denoting the protective effects of TXER against HCC, which could be probably due to the inhibition of membrane damage caused by NDEA, thereby controlling the release of these enzymes into the circulation.

CYP450 enzymes, the heme-thiolate proteins located in the smooth endoplasmic reticulum of various tissues, are known to be responsible for the oxidation, peroxidation and reduction of various exogenous and endogenous substrates [\[39](#page-13-4)]. Previous reports have proved that increased activities of CYP450 and CYP2E1 are considered to be an important risk factor for cancer because they biotransform procarcinogens to electrophilic derivatives, that in turn alkylates DNA

nuclei with decreased number of AgNORs. **f** AgNORs in the liver of a NDEA + 50 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat. **ai**–**f** Depicts the inverted image and **aii**–**fi** Depicts the threshold image that shows the NORs in the nuclei of proliferating cells. **g** Comparative graph illustrating extends of cell proliferation in each of the experimental group shown in  $\mathbf{a}$ –f.  $p < 0.05$  versus control

and initiates chemical carcinogenesis. These enzymes are capable of inducing oxidative damage within the tissue by generating ROS [[40](#page-13-5), [41](#page-13-6)]. The procarcinogenic NDEA is hydroxylated by CYP450 through an alkylation mechanism to ethylacetoxyethyl nitrosamine and is oxidized to ethyl diazonium ions by CYP2E1in the rat liver microsomes [\[42\]](#page-13-7).

Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes (GST, DTDs and UDP-GT) biotransform endogenous and xenobiotic compounds into non-toxic and easily excreteable form, playing an important role in the biological system. The decreased metabolizing capacity of the phase II enzymes leads to the overexpression of the toxic effects of the xenobiotics. The detoxifcation enzyme GST catalyzes the formation of thioether conjugates between the endogenous glutathione and the xenobiotic substances. GST acts as the defense



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Effect of TXER and NDEA on mast cell number, stained with toluidine blue  $(\times 40)$ . **a, b** Hepatic section of control and TXER control rats shows no accumulation of mast cells. **c** Hepatic section of NDEA treated rat showing accumulation of mast cells (blue granule like structures) indicating the onset of pathological changes. **d** Hepatic section of NDEA  $+$  12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat, shows slightly reduced accumulation of mast cells. **e** Hepatic section of NDEA + 25 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat, shows reduced accumula-

mechanism against the toxic and reactive electrophiles that are generated by cellular oxidative reactions catalyzed by CYP450. UDP-GT catalyzes the conjugation of glucuronides with many xenobiotics and endobiotics, thus eliminating the biotransformed toxins through renal and biliary excretion. This glucuronidation reaction catalyzed by UDP-GTs in liver is the most important drug detoxifcation pathway in humans [[43\]](#page-13-8). DTD, a favoprotein, catalyzes the reduction of quinones without producing ROS [\[44](#page-13-9)] and thereby protecting the cell against mutagenicity [[45](#page-13-10)]. Thus, determining the activities of phase I and phase II drug metabolizing enzymes in chemically induced carcinogenesis is a key diagnostic factor. In our present study, the activities of phase I enzymes were signifcantly increased and the activities of phase II enzymes (GST, DTD and UDP-GT) were signifcantly reduced in NDEA alone treated rats, which could be due to the over

tion of mast cells. **f** Hepatic section of NDEA + 50 mg/kg b.w TXERtreated rat, shows very few mast cells. **ai**–**f** The *red color* shows the threshold section of the tissue. **aii**–**fi** Color deconvolution using new OD vector. **aiii–fii** Colors of each section were also analyzed by the 3D Color Inspector module. **g** Comparative graph illustrating the presence of number of intact mast per square pixel in the histological image of each experimental group. *p* < 0.05 versus control

utilization of these enzymes to counteract NDEA-induced toxicity or due to the reduced metabolizing capacity of these enzymes. Supplementation with TXER to HCCbearing rats signifcantly enhanced the activities of phase II xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes and suppressed the activities of phase I enzymes (Table [2](#page-5-1)). This underlines the protective effect of TXER where it detoxifes and inhibits the metabolic activation of NDEA in the liver.

Studies have reported that NDEA stimulates hepatic lipid peroxidation, an important causative factor of carcinogenesis, causing liver damage by generating free radicals and forming by-products like TBARS and LOOH which crosslinks with macromolecules and promotes carcinogenesis [\[46](#page-13-11)]. The free radicals disrupt the cellular process and antioxidant status by altering the activities of the antioxidants such as SOD, CAT and GSH, leading to oxidative stress [\[47](#page-13-12)].



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Effect of TXER and NDEA on liver collagen, stained with sirius red  $(\times 40)$ . **a, b** Hepatic sections of control and TXER control rats shows very minimal collagen deposition. **c** Hepatic section of NDEA treated rats shows marked accumulation of collagen. **d** Hepatic section of NDEA + 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat shows slightly reduced accumulation of collagen in the tissue. **e** Hepatic section of NDEA + 25 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat shows marked reduction in the accumulation of collagen. **f** Hepatic section of NDEA  $+$  50 mg/

SOD and CAT are the two most important antioxidant enzymes that provide cellular protection against oxidative stress by directly eliminating the toxic-free radicals and electrophiles. NDEA-induced oxidative stress produces superoxide anions, which are dismutated into hydrogen peroxide by the catalytic activity of SOD. Further, CAT or GPx detoxifes the hydrogen peroxide into molecular oxygen and water. GSH, a non-protein thiol plays an important role in the innate antioxidant defense mechanism by detoxifying endogenous and exogenous substances. GSH also scavenges a variety of free radicals, thereby acting as an antioxidant [[15,](#page-12-13) [38\]](#page-13-3).

The present study reveals a signifcant increase in the levels of TBARS and LOOH and a signifcant decrease in the activities of SOD, CAT and GSH in the liver of NDEA

kg b.w TXER-treated rat, minimal accumulation of collagen. **ai–f** The *red color* shows the threshold section of the tissue indicating collagen deposition. **aii**–**fi** Colors of each section were also analyzed by the 3D Color Inspector module. **aiii**–**fii** RGB profling image showing the intensity of collagen staining per square pixel. **g** Comparative graph representing the collagen intensity variation in each experimental group.  $p < 0.05$  versus control

alone induced rats as compared to control. This could be due to that (1) ROS generated by lipid peroxidation can directly reduce the activities of these enzymes or (2) cancer tissues/hepatomas can sequester antioxidants from the circulation. Treatment with TXER to HCC-bearing rats signifcantly decreased the levels of lipid peroxidation byproducts and signifcantly augmented the activities of the antioxidants. TXER being a favonoid acts as an ideal scavenger of peroxyl and oxygen-derived free radicals due to the presence of a 3-hydroxyl group in the B-ring that possess electron donating property. The above results prove that TXER exerts its hepatoprotective activity by scavenging free radicals and by enhancing the activities of the endogenous enzymic and non-enzymic antioxidants.



**Fig. 8** Effect of NDEA and TXER on DNA fragmentation of control and experimental groups. **a, b** DNA of control and TXER control rat liver shows no DNA laddering. **c** DNA of NDEA control rat liver shows DNA laddering indicating DNA damage. **d** DNA of NDEA + 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat liver shows slightly reduced DNA ladder. **e** DNA of NDEA + 25 mg/kg b.w TXERtreated rat liver shows no signifcantly reduced DNA ladder. **f** DNA of NDEA  $+50$  mg/kg b.w TXER-treated rat liver shows no significantly reduced DNA ladder

<span id="page-11-0"></span>The proliferative activities of hepatocytes are increased progressively in the non-cancerous lesions that are in the onset of HCC [[48\]](#page-13-13). AgNORs, a set of nucleolar proteins that accumulates in the proliferating cells acts as indicators of the proliferative status of hepatomas and the number of cells in the S phase of the cell cycle. Our present study shows a marked reduction in the number of AgNORs in treatment groups as compared to HCC group, thereby indicating the anti-proliferative effcacy of TXER. Moreover, these effects of TXER could be due to its ability to modulate the cell cycle regulators and cell cycle processes.

MCs, a group of long-living cells which originate from hematopoietic stem cells in bone marrow, are known to be present in both the normal healthy and diseased livers. A dense amount of MCs are detected near the fbrotic septa and portal regions in the diseased liver. Accumulation of MCs accelerates tumor growth, invasion, neovascularity and modulates the host immune system by releasing factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), metalloproteinase (MMPs), histamine, interleukin-10 (IL-10) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α)  $[49-52]$  $[49-52]$ . In the present study, large number of MC's were found in the liver section of HCC-bearing rat indicating the frst phase of infammatory process, whereas treatment with TXER reduced the MC accumulation in the hepatocytes exhibiting its anti-infammatory efficacy against HCC.

Hepatic fbrosis occurs as a dysfunctional wound healing response with excessive deposition of extracellular matrix

components which results in enhanced accumulation of collagen in the liver during chronic liver injury [[53\]](#page-13-16). In the normal liver, collagen forms only a small portion of the total proteins of the liver, whereas in cirrhosis or cancerous liver, it is deposited in large amount leading to the structural disruption of the tissue [[54\]](#page-13-17). In the present study, HCC-bearing rat expressed a continuous and progressive accumulation of collagen in the tissue which could be due to increased rate of collagen synthesis. This also indicates the extent of fbrosis in the liver. TXER supplementation to HCC-bearing rats showed less collagen deposition in the liver which could be by inhibiting or suppressing the activity of prolyl hydroxylase which synthesizes hydroxyproline of collagen, thereby decreasing the rate of collagen synthesis. Our present result correlates with the previous fndings [\[54](#page-13-17)].

TXER is known to suppress infammation by (1) inhibiting oxidative stress and (2) suppressing the expression patterns of the infammatory markers such as COX-2 and iNOS through functional alterations in the transcription factor NF-κB [[55,](#page-13-18) [56\]](#page-13-19). Our present study also correlates with the above fndings as evidenced by the marked inhibition of fbrosis and infammation on TXER supplementation.

NDEA being a well-known mutagen exhibits its hepatocarcinogenic potential by interacting with and damaging DNA. DNA damage leads to bulky chemical adducts of the purine and pyrimidine bases that distorts the DNA doublestranded helix and interrupts DNA replication and transcription [\[57](#page-13-20)]. Our present results show an enhanced formation of DNA ladders in NDEA alone treated rat, whereas treatment with TXER showed a protective effect against NDEAinduced DNA damage. This protective effect of TXER could be by masking the binding site of DNA from being occupied by NDEA or by the ability of TXER to scavenge the reactive intermediates that approach the binding site on DNA.

Elevated liver marker enzymes, oxidative stress and generation of ROS are the hallmarks of NASH. Notably, the alterations in the activities and expressions of phase I drug metabolizing enzymes (especially CYP2E1) has proved to have an impact in the progressive stages of NAFLD to NASH and finally to HCC [\[58](#page-13-21)]. Clinicopathological studies have specifed the role of increased infammation and cirrhosis in the development of NAFLD to HCC [\[59](#page-13-22)]. In our present study, the biochemical, histological and cellular characterization of NDEA alone induced group is in correlation with the above fndings, whereas interestingly our observation focused on the TXER-treated groups revealed that TXER effectively suppressed the progression of NAFLD to NASH and HCC by (1) enhancing the antioxidant defense mechanism (2) inhibiting lipid peroxidation and ROS generation (3) lowering the levels of CYP family enzymes (iv) suppressing cell proliferation and infammation (v) reducing DNA damage, fbrosis and hepatic nodule formation.

The present study clearly concludes that the lowest dose 12.5 mg/kg b.w TXER was not observed to be much effective, which may be due to its insufficient concentrations to counter the adverse effects of NDEA. Even though 50 mg/kg b.w of TXER (the highest dose) showed beneficial effects, we conclude 25 mg/kg b.w TXER as the effective optimum dose for HCC. Further investigations are currently being done with this effective dose (25 mg/kg b.w), to unravel the mechanism of action of TXER particularly by targeting the specifc signaling pathways and also by using different treatment regimens.

**Acknowledgments** The fnancial support by DST, New Delhi in the form of INSPIRE Junior Research Fellowship to Nisha Susan Thomas is gratefully acknowledged.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Confict of interest** The authors declare no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Shang N, Arteaga M, Zaidi A, Stauffer J, Cotler SJ, Zeleznik-le NJ (2015) FAK is required for c-Met/β-catenin-driven hepatocarcinogenesis. Hepatology 61:214–226
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Thomas NS, George K, Namasivayam N (2016) Molecular aspects and chemo-prevention of dimethylaminoazobenzeneinduced hepatocar-cinogenesis: a review. Hepatol Res 46:72–88
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>3. Aravalli RN, Steer CJ, Cressman ENK (2008) Molecular mechanisms of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 48:2047–2063
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>4. Mercer KE, Hennings L, Sharma N, Lai K, Cleves MA, Wynne RA (2014) Alcohol consumption promotes diethylnitrosamineinduced hepatocarcinogenesis in male mice through activation of the wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Cancer Prev Res 7:675–686
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>5. Kalra S, Vithalani M, Gulati G, Kulkarni CM, Kadam Y, Pallivathukkal J, Das B, Sahay R, Modi KD (2013) Study of prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in type 2 diabetes patients in India (SPRINT). J Assoc Physicians India 61:448–453
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>6. Duseja A (2010) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in India—a lot done, yet more required! Indian J Gastroenterol 29:217–225
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>7. Dakshayani KB, Subramanian P, Mani-vasagam T, Essa MM (2005) Melatonin modulates the oxidant–antioxidant imbalance during n-nitrosodiethylamine induced hepatocarcino-genesis in rats. J Pharm Pharm Sci 8:316–321
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>8. Amin A, Hamza AA, Bajbouj K, Ashraf SS, Daoud S (2011) Saffron: a potential candidate for a novel anticancer drug against hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 54:857–867
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>9. Malik S, Bhatnagar S, Chaudhary N, Katare DP, Jain SK (2013) DEN + 2-AAF-induced multistep hepatotumorigenesis in Wistar rats: supportive evidence and insights. Protoplasma 250:175–183
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>10. Bralet M, Pichard V, Ferry N (2002) Demonstration of direct lineage between hepatocytes and hepatocellular carcinoma in diethylnitrosamine-treated rats. Hepatology 36:623–630
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>11. Chahar MK, Sharma N, Dobhal MP, Joshi YC (2011) Flavonoids: a versatile source of anticancer drugs. Pharmacogn Rev 5:1–12
- 12. Cristina Marcarini J, Ferreira Tsuboy MS, Cabral Luiz R, Regina Ribeiro L, Beatriz Hoffmann-Campo C, Ségio Mantovani M (2011) Investigation of cytotoxic, apoptosis-inducing, genotoxic and protective effects of the favonoid rutin in HTC hepatic cells. Exp Toxicol Pathol 63:459–465
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>13. Alía M, Mateos R, Ramos S, Lecumberri E, Bravo L, Goya L (2006) Infuence of quercetin and rutin on growth and

antioxidant defense system of a human hepatoma cell line (HepG2). Eur J Nutr 45:19–28

- <span id="page-12-12"></span>14. Maurya DK, Salvi VP, Krishnan Nair CK (2004) Radioprotection of normal tissues in tumor-bearing mice by troxerutin. J Radiat Res 45:221–228
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>15. Vinothkumar R, Kumar RV, Karthikkumar V, Viswanathan P, Kabalimoorthy J, Nalini N (2013) Oral supplementation with troxerutin, modulates lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status in 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced rat colon carcinogenesis. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 37:174–184
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>16. Khan MS, Devaraj H, Devaraj N (2011) Chrysin abrogates early hepatocarcin-ogenesis and induces apoptosis in N-nitrosodiethylamine-induced preneoplastic nodules in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 251:85–94
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>17. Schladt L, Wörner W, Setiabudi FOF (1986) Distribution and inducibility of cytosolic epoxide hydrolase in male sprague dawley rats. Biochem Pharmacol 35:3309–3316
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>18. Reitman S, Frankel S (1957) A colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamate oxaloacetic and glutamate pyruvic transaminases. Am J Clin Pathol 28:56–63
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>19. Patrick BC, Alan HJ (1972) Serum gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase activity in alcoholism. Clin Chim Acta 39:41–47
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>20. Omura T, Sato R (1964) The carbon monoxide binding pigment of the liver microsomes. i. evidence for its hemoprotein nature. J Biol Chem 239:2370–2378
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>21. Watt KC, Plopper CG, Buckpitt AR (1997) Measurement of cytochrome P4502E1 activity in rat tracheobronchial airways using high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. Anal Biochem 248:26–30
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>22. Mihara K, Sato R (1972) Partial purifcation of cytochrome b5 reductase from rabbit liver microsomes with detergent and its properties. J Biochem 71:725–735
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>23. Omura T, Takesue S (1970) A new method for simultaneous purifcation of cytochrome b5 and NADPH-cytochrome c reductase from rat liver microsomes. J Biochem 67:249–257
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>24. Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jokoby WB (1974) Glutathione S-transferases the frst step in mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem 249:7130–7139
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>25. Ernster L, Danielson L, Ljunggren M (1962) DT-diaphorse I purifcation from the soluble fraction of rat liver cytoplasm, and properties. Biochim Biophys Acta 58:171–188
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>26. Isselbacher KJ, Chrabas MF, Quinn R (1962) The solubilization and partial purifcation of glucuronyl transferase from rabbit liver microsomes. J Biol Chem 237:3033–3036
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>27. Ohkawa H, Ohishi YK (1979) Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. Anal Biochem 95:351–358
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>28. Jiang ZY, Hunt JV, Wolff SP (1992) Ferrous ion oxidation in the presence of xylenol orange for detection of lipidhydroperoxide in low density lipoprotein. Anal Biochem 202:384–389
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>29. Kakkar PS, Das B, Viswanathan P (1984) A modifed spectrophotometeric assay for superoxide dismutase. Indian J Biochem Biophys 21:130–132
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>30. Sinha KA (1972) Colorimetric assay of catalase. Anal Biochem 47:389–394
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>31. Ellman GL (1959) Tissue sulphydryl groups. Arch Biochem Biophys 82:70–77
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>32. Trere D (2000) AgNOR staining and quantifcation. Micron 31:127–131
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>33. Ramakrishnan G, Jagan S, Kamaraj S, Anandakumar P, Devaki T (2009) Silymarin attenuated mast cell recruitment thereby decreased the expressions of matrix metalloproteinases-2 and 9 in rat liver carcinogenesis. Invest New Drug 27:233–240
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>34. Lu J, Wu D, Zheng Z, Zheng Y, Hu B, Zhang Z (2011) Troxerutin protects against high cholesterol-induced cognitive defcits in mice. Brain 134:783–797
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>35. Maurya DK, Balakrishnan S, Salvi VP, Krishnan C, Nair K (2005) Protection of cellular DNA from γ-radiation-induced damages and enhancement in DNA repair by troxerutin. Mol Cell Biochem 280:57–68
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>36. Saraswati S, Alhaider AA, Agrawal SS (2013) Anticarcinogenic effect of brucine in diethylnitrosamine initiated and phenobarbital-promoted hepatocarcino-genesis in rats. Chem Biol Interact 206:214–221
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>37. Huang X, Choi Y, Im H, Yarimaga O, Yoon E (2006) Aspartate aminotransferase (AST/GOT) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT/GPT) detection techniques. Sensors 6:756–782
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>38. Janani P, Sivakumari K, Geetha A, Ravisankar B, Parthasarathy C (2010) Chemopreventive effect of bacoside A on Ns-nitrosodiethylamine- induced hepatocarcin-ogenesis in rats. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 136:759–770
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>39. Ogu CC, Maxa JL (2000). Drug interactions due to cytochrome P450. Proceedings (Baylor University. Medical Center), vol 13, pp 421–423
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>40. Hodge RE, Minich DM (2000) Modulation of metabolic detoxifcation pathways using foods and food-derived components: a scientifc review with clinical application. J Nutr Metab 215:23–27
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>41. Paolini M, Pozzetti L, Pedulli GF, Cipollone M, Mesirca R, Cantelli-Forti G (1996) Paramagnetic resonance in detecting carcinogenic risk from cytochrome P450 overexpression. J Investig Med 44:470–473
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>42. Kang JS, Wanibuchi H, Morimura K, Gonzalez FJ, Fukushima S (2007) Role of CYP2E1 in diethylnitrosamine-induced hepatocarcinogen-esis in vivo. Cancer Res 67:11141–11146
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>43. Jancova P, Anzenbacher P, Anzenbacherova E (2010) Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub 154:103–116
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>44. Denda A, Endoh T, Nakae D, Konishi Y (1995) Effects of oxidative stress induced by redox-enzyme modulation on rat hepatocarcinogenesis. Toxicol Lett 83:413–417
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>45. Aranganathan S, Selvam JP, Sangeetha N, Nalini N (2009) Chemico-biological interactions modulatory efficacy of hesperetin on xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes during 1,2-dimethyl-hydrazine- induced colon carcinogenesis. Chem Biol Interact 180:254–261
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>46. Niedernhofer LJ, Daniels JS, Rouzer CA, Greene ML (2003) Malondialdehyde, a product of lipid peroxidation, is mutagenic in human cells. J Biol Chem 278:31426–31433
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>47. Langeswaran K, Gowtham S, Perumal S, Revathy R, Periyasamy M (2013) Limonin—a citrus limonoid, establish anticancer potential by stabilizing lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status against N -nitrosodiethylamine induced experimental hepatocellular carcinoma. Biomed Prev Nutr 3:165–171
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>48. Borzio M, Trerè D, Borzio F, Ferrari AR, Bruno S, Roncalli M (1998) Hepatocyte proliferation rate is a powerful parameter for predicting hepatocellular carcinoma development in liver cirrhosis. Mol Pathol 51:96–101
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>49. Grizzi F, Franceschini B, Chiriva-internati M, Liu Y, Hermonat PL, Dioguardi N (2003) Mast cells and human hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroentrol 9:1469–1473
- 50. Dyduch G, Kaczmarczyk K, Okon K (2012) Mast cells and cancer: enemies or allies? Pol J Pathol 12:1–7
- 51. Theoharides TC, Alysandratos KD, Angelidou A, Delivanis DA, Sismanopoulos N, Zhang B, Asadi S, Vasiadi M, Weng Z, Miniati A, Kalogeromitros D (2012) Mast cells and infammation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1822:21–33
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>52. Theoharides TC, Cochrane DE (2004) Critical role of mast cells in infammatory diseases and the effect of acute stress. J Neuroimmunol 146:1–12
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>53. Canbay A, Higuchi H, Bronk SF, Taniai M, Sebo TJ, Gores GJ (2002) Fas enhances fbrogenesis in bile duct ligated mouse: a link between apoptosis and fbrosis. Gastroenterology 123:1323–1330
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>54. Mcgee JOD (1977) Collagen deposition in liver disease. Ann Rheum Dis 36:29–37
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>55. Fan S, Zhang Z, Zheng Y, Lu J, Wu D, Shan Q (2009) Troxerutin protects the mouse kidney from D -galactose-caused injury through anti-infammation and anti-oxidation. Int Immunopharmacol 9:91–96
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>56. Zhang Z, Fan S, Zheng Y, Lu J, Wu D, Shan Q (2014) Troxerutin improves hepatic lipid homeostasis by restoring NAD  $(+)$ -depletion-mediated dysfunction of lipin 1 signaling in high-fat diet-treated mice. Biochem Pharmacol 91:74–86
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>57. Garner RC, Hardec J (1989) Biochemistry of chemical carcinogenesis. Plenum Press, New York
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>58. Naik A, Košir R, Rozman D (2013) Genomic aspects of NAFLD pathogenesis. Genomics 102:84–95
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>59. Browning JD, Horton JD (2004) Molecular mediators of hepatic steatosis and liver injury. J Clin Invest 114:147–152