



# Difference Sets Disjoint from a Subgroup III: The Skew Relative Cases

Gradin Anderson<sup>1</sup> · Andrew Haviland<sup>1</sup> · Mckay Holmes<sup>1</sup> · Stephen P. Humphries<sup>1</sup> · Bonnie Magland<sup>1</sup>

Received: 25 October 2022 / Revised: 19 May 2023 / Accepted: 21 May 2023 /  
Published online: 13 June 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2023

## Abstract

We study finite groups  $G$  having a subgroup  $H$  and  $D \subset G \setminus H$  such that (i) the multiset  $\{xy^{-1} : x, y \in D\}$  has every element that is not in  $H$  occur the same number of times (such a  $D$  is called a *relative difference set*); (ii)  $G = D \cup D^{(-1)} \cup H$ ; (iii)  $D \cap D^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ . We show that  $|H| = 2$ , that  $H$  is central and that  $G$  is a group with a single involution. We also show that  $G$  cannot be abelian. We give infinitely many examples of such groups, including certain dicyclic groups, by using results of Schmidt and Ito.

**Keywords** Difference set · Subgroup · Hadamard difference set · Schur ring · Dicyclic group

**Mathematics Subject Classification** Primary 05B10; Secondary 20C05

## 1 Introduction

Here  $G$  will always be a finite group. We identify  $X \subseteq G$  with the element  $\sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{Q}G$ , and let  $X^{(-1)} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$ . We write  $\mathcal{C}_n$  for the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Let

---

✉ Stephen P. Humphries  
steve@mathematics.byu.edu

Gradin Anderson  
gradysocool@yahoo.com

Andrew Haviland  
andrewhaviland@att.net

Mckay Holmes  
realitant@gmail.com

Bonnie Magland  
bonnie.magland@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA

$H \leq G$  and  $h = |H|$ . Then a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -relative difference set (relative to  $H$ ) is a subset  $D \subset G \setminus H$ ,  $|D| = k$ ,  $v = |G|$ , such that  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$ , so that  $g \in G \setminus H$  occurs  $\lambda$  times in the multiset  $\{xy^{-1} : x, y \in D\}$ .

We now further assume

- (1)  $D \cap D^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $G = D \cup D^{(-1)} \cup H$  (disjoint union).

A group having a difference set of the above type will be called a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -skew relative Hadamard difference set group (with difference set  $D$  and subgroup  $H$ ); or a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -SRHDS group. Recall the following related concept: a group  $G$  is a skew Hadamard difference set if it has a difference set  $D$  where  $G = D \cup D^{(-1)} \cup \{1\}$  and  $D \cap D^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ . Such groups have been studied in [1–8].

In this paper we find infinitely many examples of such SRHDS groups. We also find groups that cannot be SRHDS groups, but which satisfy certain properties of a SRHDS group, as given in:

**Theorem 1.1** *For a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  SRHDS group  $G$  with difference set  $D$  and subgroup  $H$  we have:*

- (i)  $|H| = 2$ ;
- (ii)  $H \triangleleft G$ ;
- (iii)  $G$  is a group having a single involution;
- (iv)  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ ;
- (v)  $G$  is not abelian.
- (vi) A Sylow 2-subgroup is a generalized quaternion group.

For part (vi), suppose that  $G$  is a finite group with a unique involution. Then a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  also has a unique involution. Now 2-groups with unique involution were determined by Burnside (see [9, Theorems 6.11, 6.12] and [10, 11]); they are cyclic or generalized quaternion groups. Corollary 4.5 shows they cannot be cyclic.

Groups with a single involution are studied in [12–14]. Dicyclic groups  $\text{Dic}_v$  are examples of such groups and we show that each  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$ ,  $1 \leq p < 9$  is an SRHDS group. However, we show that  $\text{Dic}_{72}$  has no SRHDS (Proposition 8.1).

We now establish a connection between SRHDS groups and Hadamard groups. Recall that a Hadamard group is a group  $G$  containing  $H \leq Z(G)$  of order 2 such that there is an  $H$ -transversal  $D$ ,  $|D| = v/2$ , that is a relative difference set relative to  $H$  (so that  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + |D|$  and  $HD = G$ ).

We show that if  $D \subset G$  is a SRHDS, then  $G$  is also a Hadamard group (where  $E = D + 1$  is the relative difference set); see Proposition 2.5. Thus it is natural to try to obtain results for SRHDS groups that are similar to the results of Schmidt and Ito [15, 16] from the Hadamard group situation. For example Schmidt and Ito show that if  $4p - 1$  or  $2p - 1$  is a prime power, then the groups  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$  or  $\text{Dic}_{4p}$  (respectively) are Hadamard groups. For dicyclic SRHDS groups we show:

**Theorem 1.2** *If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $4p - 1$  is a prime power, then  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$  is a SRHDS group.*

There is no analogous result when  $2p - 1$  is prime. Now Ito [16] determines a ‘doubling process’ that takes a Hadamard difference set for  $\text{Dic}_v$  and produces a Hadamard difference set for  $\text{Dic}_{2v}$ . For us this doubling process gives:

**Theorem 1.3** *If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $4p - 1$  is a prime power, then  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$  is a SRHDS group.*

We note that this doubling process does not work in general in the context of a SRHDS, however in our next paper we will show that it does work for a SRHDS under an additional hypothesis that we call *doubly symmetric* that is satisfied in the situation of Theorem 1.2, so that in this case we obtain a SRHDS in  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$ . This will allow us to prove, in the next paper, among other things:

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $G = \text{Dic}_{8 \cdot 2^u}$  be a generalized quaternion group for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then  $G$  contains a doubly symmetric SRHDS if and only if  $2^{u+1} - 1$  is either prime or 1.*

Lastly, the following is a consequence of Proposition 8.2.

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $G = C_p \times \text{Dic}_{8n}$  with  $p > 2$  prime and  $n$  odd. Then  $G$  is not a SRHDS group.*

## 2 $|H| = 2$ and Normality of $H$

Recall that for  $p \geq 2$  the dicyclic group of order  $4p$  is

$$\text{Dic}_{4p} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2p} = y^2, y^4 = 1, x^y = x^{-1} \rangle.$$

A generalized quaternion group,  $Q_{2^a}$ , is the dicyclic group  $\text{Dic}_{2^a}$ ,  $a \geq 3$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a SRHDS group with subgroup  $H$ . Then  $G$  has a single involution  $t$ , and  $H = \langle t \rangle$ . In particular  $h = 2$ ,  $H \leq Z(G)$  and  $H \triangleleft G$ .*

**Proof** Let  $D \subset G$  be a SRHDS. Now  $D$  has no involutions since  $D \cap D^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ . Since  $G - (D + D^{(-1)}) = H$  all involutions are contained in  $H$ .

If  $d_i \in D, h_i \in H, i = 1, 2$ , with  $h_1 d_1 = h_2 d_2 \in Hd_1 \cap Hd_2$ , then  $h_2^{-1} h_1 = d_2 d_1^{-1} \in H$ , so that  $h_2^{-1} h_1 = d_2 d_1^{-1} = 1$  (since  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  implies that the only element of  $H$  of the form  $d_2 d_1^{-1}$  is 1). Thus  $d_1 = d_2$  and  $h_1 = h_2$ .

Thus the cosets  $Hd, d \in D$ , are disjoint and so  $|\cup_{d \in D} Hd| = |H| \cdot |D| = hk$ . Since  $Hd \subset G - H$  for  $d \in D$ , we see that  $hk = |\cup_{d \in D} Hd| \leq |G \setminus H| = |D + D^{(-1)}| = 2k$ . Thus  $h \leq 2$  and so  $h = 2$  as  $h > 1$ . The rest of the result follows.  $\square$

This proves (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1. In what follows we will let  $H = \langle t \rangle$ , where  $t \in Z(G)$  has order 2. Then:

$$G = D + D^{(-1)} + H, \quad D \cdot D^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k \cdot 1. \tag{2.1}$$

These equations give:  $v = 2k + 2, k^2 = k + \lambda(v - 2)$ , and solving gives (i) of

**Lemma 2.2** (i)  $v = 2k + 2, \lambda = (k - 1)/2 = (v - 4)/4$  and  $4|v$ .

(ii)  $DH = HD = D^{(-1)}H = HD^{(-1)} = G - H$ .

(iii)  $G, D, D^{(-1)}, H$  all commute.

**Proof** From  $D \subset G - H$  we have  $DH \cap H = \emptyset$ , and  $DH \subset G - H$ ; but  $|G - H| = 2k = |DH|$ , so that

$$DH = HD = G - H = (G - H)^{(-1)} = D^{(-1)}H = HD^{(-1)},$$

giving (ii).

Since  $D^{(-1)} = G - D - H$  and  $H \leq Z(G)$  it now follows that  $D$  and  $D^{(-1)}$  commute. This shows that  $G, D, D^{(-1)}, H$  all commute. □

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $G$  be a SRHDS group with difference set  $D$  and subgroup  $H = \langle t \rangle$ . Then  $D^{(-1)} = tD$ .*

**Proof** We have  $D + Dt = (1 + t)D = HD = G - H = D + D^{(-1)}$ . □

We now define Schur rings [17–20]. A subring  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}G$  is a *Schur ring* (or *S-ring*) if there is a partition  $\mathcal{K} = \{C_i\}_{i=1}^r$  of  $G$  such that:

1.  $\{1_G\} \in \mathcal{K}$ ;
2. for each  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $C^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{K}$ ;
3.  $C_i \cdot C_j = \sum_k \lambda_{i,j,k} C_k$ ; for all  $i, j \leq r$ .

The  $C_i$  are called the *principal sets* of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Then we have:

**Lemma 2.4**  $\{1\}, \{t\}, D, D^{(-1)}$  are the principal sets of a commutative Schur ring.

**Proof** Now  $\{1\}, \{t\}, D, D^{(-1)}$  partition  $G$  and  $D^{(-1)} = tD, tD^{(-1)} = D, t^2 = 1, D^{(-1)}D = DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k = \lambda(D + D^{(-1)}) + k, D^2 = tDD^{(-1)} = t(\lambda(D + D^{(-1)}) + k)$ . This concludes the proof. □

**Proposition 2.5** *If  $D \subset G$  is a SRHDS, then  $G$  is a Hadamard group.*

**Proof** Now  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$ . Let  $E = D + 1$ , so that  $EE^{(-1)} = DD^{(-1)} + D + D^{(-1)} + 1 = \lambda(G - H) + k + (G - H) = (\lambda + 1)(G - H) + k + 1$ , as required. □

### 3 Intersection Numbers

Let  $N \triangleleft G$  and let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$  be coset representatives for  $G/N$ . Then for each  $1 \leq i \leq r$  there is  $1 \leq i' \leq r$  such that  $g_i g_{i'} \in N$  i.e.  $Ng_i \cdot Ng_{i'} = N$  in  $G/N$ . If  $G$  is a SRHDS group with difference set  $D$ , then the numbers  $n_i = |D \cap Ng_i|$  are called the *intersection numbers*. Standard techniques give (see Section 7.1 of [21]):

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $D \subset G$  be a SRHDS with subgroup  $H = \langle t \rangle, t^2 = 1$ . Let  $N \triangleleft G$  have order  $s$  and index  $r$  in  $G$ . Let  $g_1 = 1, g_2, \dots, g_r$  be coset representatives for  $G/N$  and let  $n_i = |D \cap Ng_i|, 1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = k, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 = \lambda|N \setminus H| + k,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i n_{i'} = \lambda|N| + (\lambda + 1) \cdot |H \cap N| - k.$$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$  where  $D \subset G$  is a SRHDS with subgroup  $H$  and  $H \cap N = \{1\}$ . Let  $Ng_3, \dots, Ng_r$  be the cosets that don't meet  $H$ , and let  $n_i = |D \cap Ng_i|$ . Suppose that we have distinct  $i, i' > 2$  where  $g_i g_{i'} \in N$ . Then  $n_i + n_{i'} = |N|$ .*

**Proof** We have  $n_i = |D \cap Ng_i| = |D^{(-1)} \cap Ng_i^{-1}| = |D^{(-1)} \cap Ng_{i'}|$ . If  $i \geq 3$ , then  $Ng_{i'} \subset G \setminus H = D + D^{(-1)}$ , so that

$$|N| = |(D + D^{(-1)}) \cap Ng_{i'}| = |D \cap Ng_{i'}| + |D^{(-1)} \cap Ng_{i'}| = n_{i'} + n_i.$$

□

The next result concerns intersection numbers for subgroups that are not necessarily normal:

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a SRHDS group with difference set  $D$  and subgroup  $H$ . Let  $K \leq G$  be any subgroup where  $t \in K$ . Let  $b = |G : K|$  and let  $g_0 = 1, g_1, \dots, g_{b-1}$  be coset representatives for  $K \leq G$ . Let  $k_i = |D \cap Kg_i|, 0 \leq i < b$ . Then  $k_0 = |K|/2 - 1$  and  $k_i = |K|/2, 0 < i < b$ .*

*Let  $D_i = D \cap Kg_i, i = 0, \dots, b - 1$ . Then  $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} D_i D_i^{(-1)} = \lambda(K - H) + k$ .*

**Proof** We have  $D^{(-1)} = tD$ . Let  $D_i = D \cap Kg_i$ ; then  $tD_i = t(D \cap Kg_i) = (tD) \cap tKg_i = D^{(-1)} \cap Kg_i$ , so that  $D \cap tD = \emptyset$  and  $i > 0$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} D_i + tD_i &= (D \cap Kg_i) + (D^{(-1)} \cap Kg_i) = (D + D^{(-1)}) \cap Kg_i \\ &= (G - H) \cap Kg_i = G \cap Kg_i = Kg_i. \end{aligned}$$

Taking cardinalities, again using  $D \cap tD = \emptyset$ , gives  $2k_i = |K|$ , for  $i > 0$ . Then  $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} k_i = k$  now gives

$$k_0 + (b - 1)|K|/2 = k = v/2 - 1;$$

but  $v = b \cdot |K|$ , from which we obtain  $k_0 = |K|/2 - 1$ .

Now from  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  and  $D = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} D_i g_i$  we get  $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} D_i D_i^{(-1)} + \dots = \lambda(G - H) + k$ , so that  $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} D_i D_i^{(-1)} \subseteq \lambda(K - H) + k$ . The last part will follow if we can show that both sides of this equation have the same size.

From  $b = v/|K|$  and the first part, the size of the left hand side is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} |D_i|^2 = (|K|/2 - 1)^2 + (b - 1)|K|^2/4 = 2p|K| - |K| + 1$$

and (since  $H \subset K$ ) the number of elements of the right hand side is  $\lambda(|K| - 2) + k = 2p|K| - |K| + 1$ , and we are done.  $\square$

### 4 Direct Products and $G$ is not Abelian

Let  $\zeta_n = \exp 2\pi i/n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We first show

**Theorem 4.1** *Suppose that  $N \trianglelefteq G, G/N \cong C_{2^a}, a \geq 2$ , and  $t \notin N$ . Assume that  $k = |G|/2 - 1$  is not a perfect square. Then  $G$  is not a SRHDS group.*

**Proof** Note that  $a \geq 2$  means that  $k$  is odd. Now assume that  $G$  is a SRHDS group and that  $G/N = \langle rN \rangle \cong C_{2^a}, r \in G$ . For  $g \in G$  we have  $g = r^i b, 0 \leq i < 2^a, b \in N$ . Then there is a linear character  $\chi' : G/N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \chi'(rN) = \zeta_{2^a}$  that induces  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \chi(r^i b) = \chi'(r^i N)$ . Here  $N = \ker \chi$ . Then we can write

$$D = \sum_{j=0}^{2^a-1} r^j N_j, \text{ where } N_j \subseteq N.$$

Since  $t \notin N$  we have  $\chi(t) = -1$  and so  $\chi(H) = 0$ . We certainly have  $\chi(G) = 0$ . From  $G = D + D^{(-1)} + H$  we get  $\chi(D) + \chi(D^{(-1)}) = 0$ , and from  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  we get  $\chi(D)\chi(D^{(-1)}) = k$ . These give  $\chi(D)^2 = -k$ , and so  $\chi(D) = \pm\sqrt{-k}$ . But

$$\pm i\sqrt{k} = \chi(D) = \chi \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2^a-1} r^j N_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^a-1} (\zeta_{2^a})^j |N_j|, \tag{4.1}$$

which gives  $\sqrt{k} \in \mathbb{Q}(i, \zeta_{2^a}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^a})$ , since  $a \geq 2$ . But the Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^a})/\mathbb{Q}$  is  $C_2 \times C_{2^{a-2}}$ . These groups have at most three subgroups of index 2. The Galois correspondence tells us that  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^a})$  contains at most three quadratic extensions, the only possibilities being  $\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})/\mathbb{Q}$ . But the hypothesis says that  $k$  is not a perfect integer square, so that  $\sqrt{k} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Now  $k > 1$  is also odd, and so  $\sqrt{k} \notin \mathbb{Q}(i), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ . This contradiction gives Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2** *Suppose that  $N \trianglelefteq G, G/N \cong C_{2^a}, a \geq 3$ , and  $t \notin N$ . Then  $G$  is not a SRHDS group.*

**Proof** Since  $2^a \geq 8$  we see that  $k = (|G| - 2)/2$  satisfies  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and so the result follows from Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** *If  $G$  is abelian with  $|G| \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ , then  $G$  is not a SRHDS group.*

**Proof** Let  $G$  be an abelian SRHDS group, and write  $G = A \times N$  where  $A$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup, and  $N$  is a subgroup of odd order. Since  $G$  has a single involution, we see that  $A$  is cyclic, say of order  $2^a$ . The results now follow from Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4** *If  $G$  is a SRHDS group, then  $v = |G| \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .*

**Proof** Assume that  $G$  is a SRHDS group with subgroup  $H = \langle t \rangle$  and difference set  $D$ . Then we know that  $4|v$  by Lemma 2.2, so suppose that  $|G| = 4n$  where  $n$  is odd. Then a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  must be  $C_4 = \langle r \rangle$  and  $t = r^2$ . Burnside’s theorem [9, Theorem 5.13] shows that  $\langle r \rangle$  has a complement  $N \triangleleft G$ ,  $|N| = n$ ,  $G = N \rtimes \langle r \rangle$ . So we can write  $D = D_0 + D_1r + D_2r^2 + D_3r^3$ ,  $D_i \subset N$ . Now  $D + D^{(-1)} = G - H = N + Nr + Nr^2 + Nr^3 - H$  then gives

$$D_0 + D_0^{(-1)} = N - 1, \quad D_1 + (D_3^{(-1)})^{r^3} = N, \quad D_2 + (D_2^{(-1)})^{r^2} = N - 1$$

$$D_3 + (D_1^{(-1)})^r = N.$$

Next,  $D^{(-1)} = tD$  gives

$$D_0^{(-1)} = tD_0, \quad (D_1^{(-1)})^r = tD_3, \quad (D_2^{(-1)})^{r^2} = tD_2, \quad (D_3^{(-1)})^{r^3} = tD_1.$$

Using  $D_1 + (D_3^{(-1)})^{r^3} = N$  and  $(D_3^{(-1)})^{r^3} = tD_1$  we get  $D_1(1 + t) = N$ . However  $D_1(1 + t)$  has an even number of elements (counting multiplicities), while  $|N|$  is odd. This contradiction gives the result. □

Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4 now prove Theorem 1.1 (iv) and (v).

**Corollary 4.5** *If  $G$  is a SRHDS group, then a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  is not cyclic.*

**Proof** Assume  $G$  is a SRHDS group with cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup  $\langle r \rangle$ . By Corollary 4.4,  $|\langle r \rangle| \geq 8$ . Again, Burnside’s theorem [9, Theorem 5.13] shows that  $\langle r \rangle$  has a complement  $N \triangleleft G$ ,  $G = N \rtimes \langle r \rangle$ . This now contradicts Corollary 4.2. □

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 5 Construction of Some SRHDS Groups

We need the following set-up: For prime power  $q = 4p - 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  be the finite field of order  $q^n$ . Let  $tr : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q, \beta \mapsto \beta^q$  be the trace function. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  satisfy  $tr(\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* = \langle z \rangle$ . Let  $Q = \{u^2 : u \in \mathbb{F}_q, u \neq 0\}$ . Then  $-1 \notin Q$  since  $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ . Now choose  $D \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus (Q \cup \{0\})$ . Then any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  has the form  $\beta = a + b\sqrt{D}$ , for some  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $tr(c + d\sqrt{D}) = c - d\sqrt{D}$ . Write  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{D}$ . Then  $tr(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$ , so we can choose  $\alpha = \sqrt{D}$ .

Let  $U \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$  be the subgroup of order  $(q - 1)/2$ , and let  $\pi : \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \rightarrow W := \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*/U$  be the natural map.

**Theorem 5.1** *Suppose that  $4p - 1$  is a prime power. Then  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$  contains a SRHDS.*

**Proof** We follow [15, Theorem 3.3].

Let  $q = 4p - 1$  and assume the above set-up. Let  $g := \pi(z)$  be a generator for  $W$  and note that  $|W| = 2(q + 1) = 8p$ . Let  $R = \{\pi(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*, tr(\alpha x) \in Q\}$ . Then by

[22, Thm 2.2.12],  $R$  is a relative  $(q + 1, 2, q, (q - 1)/2)$  difference set in  $W$  relative to the subgroup  $H := \langle g^{4p} \rangle$  of order 2.

Define  $R_1, R_2 \subset W_2 := \langle g^2 \rangle$  by  $R = R_1 + R_2g$ . Since  $R$  is a relative  $(q + 1, 2, q, (q - 1)/2)$  difference set,  $RR^{(-1)} = \frac{q-1}{2}(W - H) + q$  from which we get

$$R_1R_1^{(-1)} + R_2R_2^{(-1)} = q + \frac{q - 1}{2} (W_2 - H).$$

If  $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  has order dividing  $q + 1$ , then  $d^q = d^{-1}$  and so

$$tr(\alpha d) = \alpha d + \alpha^q d^q = \alpha d - \alpha d^{-1} = -tr(\alpha d^{-1}).$$

Thus if  $tr(\alpha d) \in Q$ , then  $tr(\alpha d^{-1}) \in -Q$ . But  $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$  tells us that  $g^{4p} = -1 \notin Q$ , so that  $tr(\alpha g^{4p} d^{-1}) \in Q$ . Thus  $g^{4p} d^{-1} \in R_1$ . Now the order of  $g^{4p} d^{-1}$  is a divisor of  $2(q + 1) = |W|$ . This gives a bijection,  $Ud \leftrightarrow Ug^{4p} d^{-1}$ , between the elements of  $R_1 \subset W_2$ , which then gives  $R_1^{(-1)} = g^{4p} R_1$ .

Now let  $G = \text{Dic}_{8p} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2p} = b^2, b^4 = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$  and identify  $\langle a \rangle$  with  $W_2$ , so that  $a \leftrightarrow g^2$ . From  $R_1^{(-1)} = g^{4p} R_1$  we see that if  $\gamma \in R_1 \cap R_1^{(-1)}$ , then  $g^{4p} \in R_1 R_1^{(-1)}$ , a contradiction to  $R$  being a relative difference set relative to  $H$ . It follows that  $R_1 \cap R_1^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ . Now  $1, -1 = g^{4p} \notin R_1$  as  $tr(\alpha 1) = 0 \notin Q$ , and so

$$R_1 + R_1^{(-1)} = W_2 - H. \tag{5.1}$$

Then (5.1) and  $R_1^{(-1)} = g^{4p} R_1$  gives

$$W_2 - H = R_1(1 + g^{4p}) = R_1H,$$

so that we have the first part of

**Lemma 5.2** (i)  $R_1 + 1$  is a transversal for  $W_2/H$ .

(ii)  $R_2$  is a transversal for  $W_2/H$ .

**Proof** (ii) We first show that  $R+1$  is a transversal for  $W/H$ .

If  $u \in W$ , then  $tr(\alpha u) \in Q$ , and it follows that  $tr(\alpha g^{4p} u) = -tr(\alpha u) \notin Q$ . This sets up a bijection  $u \leftrightarrow g^{4p} u$  of  $W - H$  where the orbits of this bijection are the non-trivial  $H$ -cosets and a transversal corresponds to the elements of  $Q$ .

Since  $R+1$  is a transversal for  $W/H$  and  $R_1 + 1$  is a transversal for  $W_2/H$  it follows that  $R_2$  is a transversal for  $W_2/H$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Now if  $\alpha = \sqrt{D}, \beta = a + b\sqrt{D}$ , then  $tr(\alpha\beta) = 2bD \in Q$  if and only if  $2b \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus Q$ .

Define  $S := a^{2p} R_1 + R_2b$ . First we show that  $SS^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  where  $k = (v - 2)/2, \lambda = (k - 1)/2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} SS^{(-1)} &= (a^{2p} R_1 + R_2b)(a^{2p} R_1^{(-1)} + b^{-1} R_2^{(-1)}) \\ &= R_1 R_1^{(-1)} + R_2 R_2^{(-1)} + R_1 R_2(1 + a^{2p}b) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= R_1 R_1^{(-1)} + R_2 R_2^{(-1)} + R_1 R_2 H b \\
 &= R_1 R_1^{(-1)} + R_2 R_2^{(-1)} + R_1 W_2 b \\
 &= q + \frac{q-1}{2} (W_2 - H) + |R_1| W_2 b \\
 &= k + \lambda (W_2 - H) + \lambda W_2 b \\
 &= k + \lambda (W_2 + W_2 b - H) = \lambda (G - H) + k, \tag{5.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Next we need

**Lemma 5.3** *For  $S$  as above we have  $S \cap S^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof** So assume that  $r \in S \cap S^{(-1)}$ ,  $S = a^{2p} R_1 + R_2 b$ . Then there are two cases.

- (a) First assume that  $r \in \langle a \rangle$ . Then there are  $x^i, x^j \in R_1$  where  $r = a^{2p} a^i = a^{2p} a^{-j}$  so we have  $i = -j$ . Since  $a$  corresponds to  $g^2$  the elements  $g^{2i}, g^{-2j}$  satisfy  $\text{tr}(\alpha g^{2i}), \text{tr}(\alpha g^{-2j}) \in Q$ . Let  $g^i = c + b\sqrt{D}$ . Then  $\text{tr}(\alpha g^{2i}), \text{tr}(\alpha g^{-2j}) \in Q$  (respectively) gives  $4bcD \in Q, -\frac{4bcD}{(c^2 - b^2 D)^2} \in Q$  (respectively), which in turn gives  $-1 \in Q$ , a contradiction.
- (b) Next assume that  $r \in \langle a \rangle b$ . Then there are  $i, j$  such that  $r = a^i b = (a^j b)^{-1} = a^{j+2p} b$ , where  $a^i, a^j \in R_2$ . Thus  $i = j + 2p$ . As in the first case this gives  $\text{tr}(\alpha g^{2i+1}), \text{tr}(\alpha g^{2j+1}) = \text{tr}(\alpha g^{2i-4p+1}) \in Q$ . Since  $\text{tr}(\alpha g^{2i-4p+1}) = -\text{tr}(\alpha g^{2i+1})$ , this gives  $-1 \in Q$ , a contradiction.

From  $S \cap S^{(-1)} = \emptyset = S \cap H$  we get  $G = S + S^{(-1)} + H$  and so Eq. (5.2) shows that  $S$  is a SRHDS, giving Theorem 5.1. □

We next wish to show that we can double these examples (see Sect. 6 for the definition of this doubling process), and we will need the following symmetry results: **Symmetry proof for  $R_1$** . Now  $S = a^{2p} R_1 + R_2 b$  and if  $a^i \in a^{2p} R_1$ , then  $i = 2p + j$  where  $\text{tr}(\alpha z^{2j}) \in Q$ . We note that  $z$ , the generator of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ , has order  $q^2 - 1$ , and so  $(z^q)^q = z$ , showing that the non-trivial Galois automorphism is given by  $z \mapsto z^q$ .

So from  $\text{tr}(\alpha z^{2j}) \in Q$  we get  $\text{tr}(\alpha^q z^{2jq}) \in Q$ . But  $\alpha^q = -\alpha = \alpha z^{(q^2-1)/2}$ . Thus

$$\text{tr}(\alpha^q z^{2jq}) = \text{tr}(\alpha z^{2jq+(q^2-1)/2}) = \text{tr}(\alpha z^{2(jq+(q^2-1)/4)}) \in Q.$$

This if  $j' = (jq + (q^2 - 1)/4)$ , then  $a^{2p+j'} \in a^{2p} R_1$ , and so  $j \mapsto j'$  determines a function  $R_1 \rightarrow R_1$  that one can show is an involution.

One can then check that  $j = p + r$  is sent to  $j' = p - r$  (recalling that  $j$  is defined mod  $4p$ ). This gives a ‘reflective’ symmetry for  $R_1$ .

**Symmetry proof for  $R_2$** . We now do a similar thing for  $R_2$ . So let  $a^i b \in R_2 b$ , so that  $\text{tr}(\alpha z^{2i+1}) \in Q$ . Then acting by the Galois automorphism we get

$$\text{tr}(\alpha^q z^{(2i+1)q}) = \text{tr}(\alpha z^{(2i+1)q+(q^2-1)/2}) = \text{tr}(\alpha z^{2(iq+(q^2-1)/4+(2p-1))+1}) \in Q.$$

This similarly gives the involutive map

$$i \mapsto iq + (q^2 - 1)/4 + (2p - 1) \equiv -i - 1 \pmod{4p}. \tag{5.3}$$

□

### 6 The Doubling Process

**Lemma 6.1** *Let  $D \subset G = \text{Dic}_v = \langle x, y \rangle, v = 4n, k = 2n - 1, \lambda = n - 1$ . Let  $K = \langle x \rangle, k_1 = n - 1, k_2 = n$  and let  $D = D_1 + D_2y, D_i \subset K, k_i = |D_i|$ . Then the requirement that  $D = D_1 + D_2y$  is a SRHDS is equivalent to (a)–(d):*

- (a)  $D_1H = K - H,$  (b)  $D_1^{(-1)} = tD_1,$  (c)  $D_2H = K,$
- (d)  $\lambda(K - H) + k = D_1D_1^{(-1)} + D_2D_2^{(-1)}.$

**Proof** One checks that  $D = D_1 + D_2y$  is a SRHDS is equivalent to the conditions

- (i)  $D_1 \cup \{1\}$  and  $D_2$  are transversals for  $K/H$  (this comes from looking at  $G - H = D + D^{(-1)} = D_1 + D_2y + (D_1^{(-1)} + (D_2y)^{(-1)})$ ).
- (ii)  $\lambda D_1H + k = D_1D_1^{(-1)} + D_2D_2^{(-1)};$
- (iii)  $\lambda Ky = D_2D_1y + D_1D_2y^{-1}$  (from  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$ );
- (iv)  $D_1^{(-1)} = tD_1$  and  $D_i^y = D_i^{(-1)}.$

Now (iii) is equivalent to  $D_1D_2(1 + t) = \lambda K$  or  $D_1K = \lambda K$ . But  $D_1K = \lambda K$  follows directly from  $D_i \subset K,$  and  $|D_1| = \lambda$ . Thus (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to  $\lambda D_1H + k = D_1D_1^{(-1)} + D_2D_2^{(-1)}.$  □

Write  $D = D_0 + D_1y$ . We construct the set  $E \subseteq \text{Dic}_{16p}$  as

$$E := E_0 + E_1y \text{ with } E_0 := D_0 + D_1x \text{ and } E_1 := D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}t + D_0^{(-1)} + 1.$$

We show that if  $D_1$  satisfies the symmetry:  $x^{2i} \in D_1$  implies  $x^{4p-2i-2} \in D_1,$  then  $E$  is a  $(v_2, k_2, \lambda_2)$ -SRHDS with  $v_2 = 16p, k_2 = 8p - 1,$  and  $\lambda_2 = 4p - 1.$

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $\text{Dic}_{16p} = \langle x, y \mid x^{4p} = y^2, y^4 = 1, x^y = x^{-1}, t = y^2$ . We let  $\text{Dic}_{8p} = \langle x^2, y \rangle \leq \text{Dic}_{16p}$ . Let  $D$  be a  $(v_1, k_1, \lambda_1)$ -SRHDS in  $\text{Dic}_{8p},$  with  $v_1 = 8p, k_1 = 4p - 1,$  and  $\lambda_1 = 2p - 1$ . Then the unique involution  $t$  in  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$  is the same as the unique involution in  $\text{Dic}_{8p}.$*

Write  $D = D_0 + D_1y, D_i \subset \langle x^2 \rangle,$  and let  $E = E_0 + E_1y \subseteq \text{Dic}_{16p}$  where:

$$E_0 := D_0 + D_1x \text{ and } E_1 := D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}t + D_0^{(-1)} + 1.$$

Assume that  $D_1$  satisfies the symmetry:  $x^{2i} \in D_1$  implies  $x^{4p-2i-2} \in D_1.$  Then  $E$  is a  $(v_2, k_2, \lambda_2)$ -SRHDS with  $v_2 = 16p, k_2 = 8p - 1,$  and  $\lambda_2 = 4p - 1.$

**Proof** We note that  $D^{(-1)} = tD$  implies that  $E^{(-1)} = tE$ . We also observe that the map  $x^{2i} \rightarrow x^{4p-2i-2}$  is an involution. Using Lemma 6.1, to show  $E$  is a SRHDS it suffices to show that  $E$  satisfies

- (1)  $E \cup E^{(-1)} = \text{Dic}_{16p} - \langle t \rangle$ ;
- (2)  $E \cap E^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ ;
- (3)  $E_0E_0^{(-1)} + E_1E_1^{(-1)} = \lambda_2(\langle x \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + k_2$ .

This is sufficient because conditions (1) and (2) along with  $E^{(-1)} = tE$  imply conditions (a) and (c) of Lemma 6.1. First we note that  $E$  does not contain  $t$  or the identity, as this would imply that  $D_0$  contains these. We now show (2), which will imply (1). We split condition (2) into cases by considering the intersection of  $E$  with each coset of  $\langle x^2 \rangle$ , all of which cosets are their own inverses. There are four such cosets:  $\langle x^2 \rangle$ ,  $\langle x^2 \rangle x$ ,  $\langle x^2 \rangle y$ , and  $\langle x^2 \rangle xy$ .

$\langle x^2 \rangle$  : For  $E \cap \langle x^2 \rangle = D_0$ , we know that  $x^{2i} \in D_0$  implies  $x^{-2i} \notin D_0$  since  $D_0 \cap D_0^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ .

$\langle x^2 \rangle x$  : We have  $E \cap \langle x^2 \rangle x = D_1x$ . We show  $D_1x \cap (D_1x)^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^{2i+1} \in D_1x &\iff x^{2i} \in D_1 \iff x^{4p-2i-2} \in D_1 \\
 &\iff x^{4p-2i-2}y \in D_1y \iff tx^{4p-2i-2}y \notin D_1y \\
 &\iff x^{-2i-2} \notin D_1 \iff x^{-2i-1} \notin D_1x.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.1}$$

Here we used the symmetry and the fact that  $(D_1y) \cap (D_1y)^{(-1)} = \emptyset$  where  $(D_1y)^{(-1)} = tD_1y$ .

$\langle x^2 \rangle y$  : Here we have  $E \cap \langle x^2 \rangle y = D_0^{(-1)}y + y$ . First we check that  $D_0^{(-1)}y$  doesn't contain any of its inverses:

$$x^{-2i}y \in D_0^{(-1)}y \iff (x^{-2i}y)^{-1} = tx^{-2i}y \notin D_0^{(-1)}y.$$

We also check the additional  $y$  doesn't have an inverse in  $D_0^{(-1)}y$ :

$$t \notin D_0^{(-1)} \iff y^{-1} = ty \notin D_0^{(-1)}y.$$

$\langle x^2 \rangle xy$  : Here we have  $E \cap \langle x^2 \rangle xy = D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}ty$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^{-2i-1}ty \in D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}ty &\iff x^{2i} \in D_1 \iff tx^{2i} \notin D_1 \\
 &\iff tx^{-2i} \notin D_1^{(-1)} \iff x^{-2i-1}y = tx^{-2i}x^{-1}ty \notin D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}ty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $E \cap E^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ . This concludes (2) and implies (1), since both  $E$  and  $E^{(-1)}$  don't intersect  $\langle t \rangle$  and  $|E| = k_2 = 8p - 1$ .

Now we prove (3): we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_0E_0^{(-1)} + E_1E_1^{(-1)} &= (D_0 + D_1x) \left( D_0^{(-1)} + D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}t + D_0^{(-1)} + 1 \right) (D_1xt + D_0 + 1) \\
 &= 2D_0D_0^{(-1)} + 2D_1D_1^{(-1)} \\
 &\quad + (1+t)D_0D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1} + (1+t)D_1D_0^{(-1)}x \\
 &\quad + D_1xt + D_0 + D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}t + D_0^{(-1)} + 1. \tag{6.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $E$  to be a SRHDS we need (6.2) to be equal to  $\lambda_2(\langle x \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + k_2$ . Looking at just the even powers of  $x$ , we need

$$2D_0D_0^{(-1)} + 2D_1D_1^{(-1)} + D_0 + D_0^{(-1)} + 1$$

to be equal to  $\lambda_2(\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + k_2$ . We note that  $D_0 + D_0^{(-1)} = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle$ , and  $D_0D_0^{(-1)} + D_1D_1^{(-1)} = \lambda_1(\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + k_1$  since  $D$  is a SRHDS for  $\langle x^2, y \rangle$ . Since  $\frac{k_2-1}{2} = \lambda_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2(D_0D_0^{(-1)} + D_1D_1^{(-1)}) + (D_0 + D_0^{(-1)}) + 1 \\
 &= 2(\lambda_1(\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + k_1) + (\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + 1 \\
 &= (2\lambda_1 + 1)(\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + (2k_1 + 1) = \lambda_2(\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle) + k_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. We now look at the odd powers of  $x$  in (6.2), which must equal  $\lambda_2\langle x^2 \rangle x$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1+t)D_0D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1} + (1+t)D_1D_0^{(-1)}x + D_1xt + D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1}t \\
 &= (1+t)(D_0 + 1)D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1} + (1+t)(D_0 + 1)^{(-1)}D_1x \\
 &\quad - (D_1x)^{(-1)} + D_1x. \tag{6.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the first two terms of (6.3),  $D_0 + 1$  is a transversal of  $\langle t \rangle$  in  $\langle x^2 \rangle$ , so  $(1+t)(D_0 + 1) = \langle x^2 \rangle$  and  $(1+t)(D_0 + 1)^{(-1)} = \langle x^2 \rangle$ . So we can reduce (6.3) to

$$\langle x^2 \rangle D_1^{(-1)}x^{-1} + \langle x^2 \rangle D_1x - (D_1x)^{(-1)} + D_1x.$$

To evaluate the last two terms of (6.3), we note that (6.1) gives us: if  $x^{2i} \in D_1$ , then  $x^{-2i-2} \notin D_1$ . Thus  $D_1$  and  $(D_1x^2)^{(-1)}$  are disjoint, so their sum is  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  since  $|D_1| = 4p$ . Thus  $(D_1x)^{(-1)} + D_1x = \left( (D_1x^{-2})^{(-1)} + D_1 \right) x = \langle x^2 \rangle x$ . So the sum of the odd powered terms is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle x^2 \rangle (D_1)^{(-1)}x^{-1} + \langle x^2 \rangle D_1x - \langle x^2 \rangle x = D_1^{(-1)}\langle x^2 \rangle x^{-1} + (D_1 - 1)\langle x^2 \rangle x \\
 &= |D_1|\langle x^2 \rangle x + (|D_1| - 1)\langle x^2 \rangle x = \lambda_2\langle x^2 \rangle x
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Therefore we have shown (3), and  $E$  is a SRHDS. □

**Corollary 6.3** *The set  $E = E_0 + E_1y$  as defined above is an SRHDS in  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$  if  $D = D_0 + D_1y$  is an SRHDS in  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$  and  $x^{2i} \in D_1$  implies  $x^{-2i-2} \in D_1$ .*

**Proof** This follows by applying the automorphism  $\varphi(x) = x, \varphi(y) = x^{2p}y$  to  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$  in the preceding theorem. We have that  $D$  is a SRHDS for  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$  if and only if  $\varphi(D)$  is, and similarly  $E$  is a SRHDS for  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$  if and only if  $\varphi(E)$  is. The condition  $x^{2i} \in \varphi(D_1)$  implies  $x^{-2i-2} \in \varphi(D_1)$  is equivalent to the condition  $x^{2i} \in D_1$  implies  $x^{4p-2i-2} \in D_1$ . □

Many other equivalent symmetries can be obtained by using a different automorphism that fixes  $\langle x \rangle$ . The one we have used is that obtained at the end of Theorem 5.1. In the SRHDS  $S = a^{2p}R_1 + R_2b$  of  $\text{Dic}_{8p}$  from Theorem 5.1, we showed that  $a^i \in R_2$  implies  $a^{-i-1} \in R_2$ . See (5.3). As a subgroup of  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$ , this is the necessary symmetry condition for Corollary 6.3 to apply. Thus  $\text{Dic}_{16p}$  is a SRHDS group when  $4p - 1$  is a prime power. This proves Theorem 1.3. □

### 7 D and Cosets of $Q_8$

Let  $G$  be a SRHDS group with subgroup  $H$  and difference set  $D$ . Suppose that  $Q \leq G$  has even order and that  $g_0 = 1, \dots, g_{p-1}$  is a transversal for  $Q \leq G$ . Then we can write

$$D = F_0g_0 + F_1g_1 + \dots + F_{p-1}g_{p-1}, \quad F_i \subset Q. \tag{7.1}$$

**Lemma 7.1** *Let  $Q \leq G$  be as above. For all subsets  $F \subseteq Q$  of size greater than  $|Q|/2$ , the multiplicity of  $t$  in  $FF^{(-1)}$  is greater than zero.* □

**Proof** Now  $t \in Q$ , so  $H \leq Q$  and if  $|F| > |Q|/2$ , then some coset of  $H \leq Q$  meets  $F$  in two elements and so  $t \in FF^{(-1)}$ . □

Now  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  and a part of the left hand side is  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} F_i F_i^{(-1)}$ . Thus  $|F_i| \leq |Q|/2$  when  $D$  is written as in Eq. (7.1).

Now let  $f_i = |F_i|, 0 \leq i < p - 1$ , so that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i = |D| = k = \frac{(|G| - 2)}{2} = \frac{(|Q|p - 2)}{2} = \frac{|Q|}{2}p - 1.$$

Since  $f_i \leq |Q|/2$  we must have  $f_i = |Q|/2$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$  except one. To see that  $f_0 = |Q|/2 - 1$  we just note that  $Q - H$  has  $|Q| - 2$  elements that come in inverse pairs. Thus  $f_0 = |Q|/2 - 1$ .

Next note that  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  and  $F_i F_i^{(-1)} \subseteq Q$ . We want to show

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} F_i F_i^{(-1)} = \lambda(Q - H) + k. \tag{7.2}$$

Now,  $v = 8p, k = \frac{|Q|}{2}p - 1, \lambda = \frac{|Q|}{4}p - 1$  and so  $\lambda(Q - H) + k$  has  $(\frac{|Q|}{4}p - 1)(|Q| - 2) + (\frac{|Q|}{2}p - 1) = \frac{|Q|^2}{4}p - |Q| + 1$  elements, while  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} F_i F_i^{(-1)}$  has  $(\frac{|Q|}{2} - 1)^2 + (p - 1)(\frac{|Q|}{2})^2 = \frac{|Q|^2}{4}p - |Q| + 1$  elements, so we must have Eq. (7.2).

For  $Q = Q_8$ , considering those  $F_i$  of size  $|Q|/2 = 4$  a Magma [12] calculation gives the following result by finding all those subsets  $F \subset Q_8$  such that  $FF^{(-1)}$  does not contain  $t$ :

**Lemma 7.2** *Suppose that  $Q = Q_8 \leq G$ . Then each  $F_i$  of size 4 is one of the following 16 sets:*

- $\{1, x, y, xy\}; \{1, x, y, x^3y\}; \{x, x^2, x^2y, x^3y\}; \{1, x, x^2y, x^3y\};$
- $\{1, x^3, x^2y, x^3y\}; \{1, x^3, y, xy\}; \{x, x^2, y, x^3y\}; \{x^2, x^3, y, x^3y\};$
- $\{x, x^2, xy, x^2y\}; \{x^2, x^3, xy, x^2y\}; \{x^2, x^3, y, xy\}; \{1, x, xy, x^2y\};$
- $\{x, x^2, y, x^2y\}; \{x^2, x^3, x^2y, x^3y\}; \{1, x, xy, x^2y\}; \{1, x^3, y, x^3y\}.$ □

Each of these is a relative difference set for  $Q_8$ . Thus each  $F_i, i > 0$ , is a relative difference set for  $Q_8$ . It follows then from Eq. (7.2) that  $F_0$  is a SRHDS for  $Q_8$ . Thus  $F_0$  is determined by

**Lemma 7.3** *The following sets are equal:*

- (i) *The set of all SRHDS for  $Q_8 = \langle i, j, k \rangle$ .*
- (ii) *The set of all conjugate (by elements of  $Q_8$ )-translates (by elements of  $H$ ) of  $\langle i, j, k \rangle$ .*
- (iii) *The set of all  $\{a, b, c\} \subset Q_8 \setminus H$  where  $|\{a, b, c\}| = 3$  and  $t \notin \{uv^{-1} : u, v \in \{a, b, c\}\}$ .* □

Call this common set  $\mathcal{S}$  and note that  $|\mathcal{S}| = 8$ .

Now any  $F_0$  must satisfy (iii), so  $F_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ . Further, we can choose  $F_0$  to be any element of  $\mathcal{S}$  by applying the operations in (ii) to  $D$ , which still result in a SRHDS.

Assume that  $G = \text{Dic}_{8p}$  so that a transversal of  $Q_8 \leq G$  is  $1, x, \dots, x^{p-1}$ . Now we can write  $D = F_0 + F_1x + F_2x^2 + \dots + F_{p-1}x^{p-1}$  where  $F_i \subset Q_8$  and  $F_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Here each  $F_i, i > 0$ , is one of the 16 subsets of  $Q_8$  in Lemma 7.2 and  $F_i = (1 + x^p)(a + by) = a + by + x^pa + x^pby$ , where  $a, b \in \langle x^p \rangle$ .

Now  $D^{(-1)}t = D$  and so if  $F_ix^i \subset D$ , then  $t(F_ix^i)^{(-1)} = tx^{-i}F_i^{(-1)} \subset D$ . Here  $F_i^{(-1)} = a^{-1} + bty + x^{-p}a^{-1} + x^pby$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} t(F_ix^i)^{(-1)} &= tx^{-i}F_i^{(-1)} = tx^{-i}(a^{-1} + bty + x^{-p}a^{-1} + x^pby) \\ &= ta^{-1}x^{-i} + tx^{-p}a^{-1}x^{-i} + btyx^i + x^pbyx^i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F_i$  and  $t(F_ix^i)^{(-1)}$  have  $btyx^i + x^pbyx^i$  in common and so

$$F_ix^i \cup t(F_ix^i)^{(-1)} = ax^i + btyx^i + x^pax^i + x^pbyx^i + ta^{-1}x^{-i} + tx^{-p}a^{-1}x^{-i}.$$

We denote this by  $J_i(a, b)$ , so that  $D$  is a union of  $D_0$  and some of the  $J_i(a, b)$ .

Now  $J_i(a, b)$  has four elements in  $Q_8x^i$  and has two elements in  $Q_8x^{-i}$ . Since we know that each non-trivial coset of  $Q_8$  has to contain four elements of  $D$  we know that  $D$  has to contain some  $J_{-i}(c, d)$  so that

$$(a + x^p a)x^i + (a^{-1} + x^{-p} a^{-1})tx^{-i} = (c + x^p c)x^{-i} + (b^{-1} + x^{-p} b^{-1})tx^i.$$

This is true if and only if we have  $a + x^p a = b^{-1}t + x^{-p} b^{-1}t$  and  $(a^{-1} + x^{-p} a^{-1})t = b + x^p b$ . However these equations are equivalent and we note that for any choice of  $a \in \langle x^p \rangle$  there is a  $b \in \langle x^p \rangle$  that solves the first equation.

Thus we now obtain eight element sets by taking the union of these two  $J$ 's. We denote these by  $L_i(a, b, c)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &(a + x^p a)x^i + (a^{-1} + x^{-p} a^{-1})tx^{-i} + (by + x^p by)x^i + (cy + x^p cy)x^{-i} \\ &= (1 + x^p)(a + by)x^i + (1 + x^p)(x^p a^{-1} + cy)x^{-i}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $L_i(a, b, c) = L_j(a', b', c')$  if and only if  $i = j, a = a', b = b', c = c'$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$  let  $\mathcal{L}_i = \{L_i(a, b, c) : a, b, c \in \langle x^p \rangle\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{L}_i| = 64$ .

### 8 Groups that are not SRHDS Groups

**Proposition 8.1** *The dicyclic group  $\text{Dic}_{72}$  is not a SRHDS group.*

**Proof** Suppose it is and that  $D$  is the SRHDS. Let  $G = \text{Dic}_{72} = \langle x, y | x^{36} = 1, y^2 = x^{18}, x^y = x^{-1} \rangle$ . Then by the above section there are  $D_i \in \mathcal{L}_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ , such that  $D = D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 D_i$ . There are  $64 = |\mathcal{L}_i|$  choices for each  $D_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Using the standard irreducible representation  $\rho : \text{Dic}_{72} \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  given by  $\rho(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{36} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{36}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \rho(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \zeta_{36} = e^{2\pi i/36}$ , we have  $\rho(G) = \rho(H) = 0$ . From  $D + D^{(-1)} = G - H$  we then have  $\rho(D) + \rho(D^{(-1)}) = 0$ . By  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  we have  $\rho(D)\rho(D^{(-1)}) = kI_2 = 35I_2$ . Therefore,  $35I_2 = \rho(D)\rho(D^{(-1)}) = -\rho(D)^2$ . A Magma calculation determines that of the  $64^4$  possibilities for  $D$ , only 648 have  $\rho(D)^2 = -35I_2$ . Another Magma [23] calculation verifies that none of these 648 give a SRHDS, completing the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.2** *Let  $G$  be a group where  $Q_8 \leq G$ . Suppose that there is an epimorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow C_p \times Q_8$  for  $p$  prime where  $\pi(Q_8) = \{1\} \times Q_8$  and  $|\ker \pi|$  is odd. Then  $G$  is not a SRHDS group.*

**Proof** So suppose that  $G$  is a SRHDS group with difference set  $D$  and subgroup  $H = \langle t \rangle$ . Let  $Q_8 = \langle x, y | x^4, x^2 = y^2, x^y = x^{-1} \rangle \leq G$ , so that  $t = x^2, \pi(x) = x, \pi(y) = y$ . First note that  $p$  must be odd since  $G$  has a unique involution. Let  $N = \ker \pi$ . Put  $C_p = \langle \pi(r) \rangle, r \in G$ , so that we can write

$$D = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^3 r^i x^j D_{0,i,j} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^3 r^i x^j y D_{1,i,j}, \quad D_{k,i,j} \subset N.$$

We note that  $|D_{i,j,k}| \leq |N|$ .

Let  $p_2 = (p - 1)/2$ . We can also write  $D = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} r^i D_i$ ,  $D_i \subset \langle x, y, N \rangle$  so that

$$D_i = \sum_{j=0}^3 x^j D_{0,i,j} + \sum_{j=0}^3 x^j y D_{1,i,j}$$

From  $D^{(-1)} = tD$  we get  $D_i^{(-1)} r^{-i} = tr^{p-i} D_{p-i}$ ,  $0 \leq i < p$ , so that  $D_{p-i} = tr^{-p} (D_i^{(-1)}) r^{-i}$ . Thus  $D = D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} r^i D_i + r^{-i} t(D_i^{(-1)}) r^{-i}$ .

Now let  $\rho : Q_8 \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{Q}(i))$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , be an irreducible faithful unitary representation of  $Q_8$  where  $\rho(x) = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\rho(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of the image of  $\rho$  has basis

$$B_1 = I_2, \quad B_2 = \rho(x) = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_3 = \rho(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_4 = \rho(xy) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

since  $\rho(x^2) = -B_1$ . We note from Lemma 7.3 that we may assume  $D_0 = \{x, y, xy\}$ , so  $\rho(D_0) = \begin{bmatrix} i & -i-1 \\ 1-i & -i \end{bmatrix} = B_2 + B_3 + B_4$ .

Let  $\omega = \exp 2\pi i/p$ . Then  $\pi, \rho$  and  $r \mapsto \omega I_2$  determine an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  that we also call  $\rho$ . Then  $\rho(r^i D_i) = \omega^i \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij} B_j$ , where  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that

$$\rho(r^{-i} t(D_i^{(-1)}) r^{-i}) = -\omega^{-i} \rho(D_i^{(-1)}) r^{-i} = -\omega^{-i} \rho(D_i^{(-1)}) = -\omega^{-i} \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij} B_j^*.$$

Here  $B_1^* = B_1, B_2^* = -B_2, B_3^* = -B_3, B_4^* = -B_4$ .

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(D) &= \begin{bmatrix} i & -i-1 \\ 1-i & -i \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} \rho(D_i r^i + r^{-i} t(D_i^{(-1)}) r^{-i}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} i & -i-1 \\ 1-i & -i \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 (a_{ij} B_j \omega^i - a_{ij} B_j^* \omega^{-i}). \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

We can write this as

$$\rho(D) = \begin{bmatrix} i & -i-1 \\ 1-i & -i \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{u=1}^4 a_u B_u, \quad \text{where } a_u \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]. \tag{8.2}$$

From  $DD^{(-1)} = \lambda(G - H) + k$  and  $D^{(-1)} = tD$  we get  $D^2 = \lambda(G - H) + kt$ . Now if  $\rho(D)^2 = (e_{ij})$ , then from  $(e_{ij}) = \rho(D^2) = \rho(\lambda(G - H) + tk) = -kI_2$  and



Eq. (8.2) we get

$$0 = e_{11} - e_{22} = 4ia_1(1 + a_2), \quad 0 = e_{12} = 2a_1(i + 1 + a_3 + ia_4),$$

$$0 = e_{21} = 2a_1(-1 + i - a_3 + ia_4).$$

Solving, we must have either

$$(i) \ a_1 = 0; \text{ or } (ii) \ a_2 = -1, \ a_3 = -1, \ a_4 = -1.$$

Now we find  $a_1, \dots, a_4$  in terms of the  $a_{ij}$ . From (8.1) and (8.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u=1}^4 a_u B_u &= \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij} B_j \omega^i - a_{ij} B_j^* \omega^{-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} a_{i1} B_1 \omega^i - a_{i1} B_1 \omega^{-i} + a_{i2} B_2 \omega^i + a_{i2} B_2 \omega^{-i} \\ &\quad + a_{i3} B_3 \omega^i + a_{i3} B_3 \omega^{-i} + a_{i4} B_4 \omega^i + a_{i4} B_4 \omega^{-i}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get

$$a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} a_{i1} (\omega^i - \omega^{-i}); \quad a_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} a_{i2} (\omega^i + \omega^{-i});$$

$$a_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} a_{i3} (\omega^i + \omega^{-i}); \quad a_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{p_2} a_{i4} (\omega^i + \omega^{-i}).$$

Now if we have (i)  $a_1 = 0$ , then  $p > 2$  is a prime means that the  $\omega^i - \omega^{-i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, p_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so that we must than have  $a_{i1} = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Observe from previous definitions that  $a_{i1} = |D_{0,i,0}| - |D_{0,i,2}|$ . From  $D^{(-1)} = tD$  and  $D \cup D^{(-1)} = G - \langle t \rangle$  we have  $|D_{0,i,0}| + |D_{0,i,2}| = |N|$ . So  $|D_{0,i,0}| = |D_{0,i,2}| = |N|/2$ . Thus  $|N|$  is even, which contradicts our assumption on  $\ker \pi$ .

So now assume (ii), so that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(D) &= \begin{bmatrix} i & -i - 1 \\ 1 - i & -i \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i B_i \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} i & -i - 1 \\ 1 - 1 & -i \end{bmatrix} + a_1 B_1 - B_2 - B_3 - B_4 = a_1 I_2. \end{aligned}$$

But  $-\rho(D^2) = \rho(DD^{(-1)}) = kI_2$  then gives  $a_1^2 = -k$ . Here  $a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[\omega]$ . Recall that  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$ , so the Galois group of  $[\mathbb{Q}(\omega) : \mathbb{Q}]$  is cyclic of even order  $p - 1$ . By the Galois correspondence,  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  has a unique quadratic subfield. In particular, we can

verify that the subfield is exactly  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . This follows from the Gauss sum:

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) \omega^n \right)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p$$

Note that  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  so  $k$  is not an integer square. Therefore  $a_1^2 = -k$  implies  $k = px^2$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . However,  $k = 4p|N| - 1$  so we have a contradiction, as  $k$  must be congruent to both 0 and  $-1 \pmod{p}$ . □

### 9 Groups of Order Less Than or Equal to 72

Here are the non-dicyclic groups (using magma notation) of order at most 72 that meet the following requirements: (i) they are not abelian; (ii) their Sylow 2-subgroups are generalized quaternion groups; (iii) they have a single involution.

$$G_{24,3}, G_{24,11}, G_{40,11}, G_{48,18}, G_{48,27}, G_{48,28}, G_{72,3}, G_{72,11}, G_{72,24}, G_{72,25}, G_{72,26}, G_{72,31}, G_{72,38}$$

We note that all of the dicyclic groups of order less than 72 and divisible by 8 are SRHDS groups by Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, while  $\text{Dic}_{72}$  is not by Proposition 8.1.

We will determine whether the remaining groups have a SRHDS. If they have a SRHDS then we give a SRHDS explicitly. If not, then we give a proof that the group is not a SRHDS group.

In the cases of  $G_{72,3}$ ,  $G_{72,11}$ ,  $G_{72,24}$ ,  $G_{72,25}$ , and  $G_{72,31}$ , we use the following process to show they are not SRHDS groups: Given one of the four groups  $G$ , we take a right transversal  $g_0 = 1, \dots, g_8$  for  $Q_8 \leq G$ . Assuming there is an SRHDS  $D$ , we write  $D$  as in (7.1). We can assume  $F_0 = \{x, y, xy\}$  by Lemma 7.3. By Lemma 7.2, there are 16 possibilities for each  $F_i$ , and a Magma [23] calculation verifies that none of these combinations give a SRHDS.

- (1)  $G_{24,3} = \text{SL}(2, 3) = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^3 = 1, b^2 = d, c^2 = d, d^2, b^a = c, c^a = b, c, c^b = cd \rangle$ . Here  $D = \{a^2cd, abcd, acd, cd, a^2bd, a^2d, a^2bc, a, bc, ab, b\}$ .
- (2)  $G_{24,11} = C_3 \times Q_8$ . This is not a SRHDS group by Proposition 8.2.
- (3)  $G_{40,11} = C_5 \times Q_8$ . This is not a SRHDS group by Proposition 8.2.
- (4)  $G_{48,18} = C_3 \rtimes \text{Dic}_{16} = \langle a, b, c, d, e \mid d^2 = e^3 = 1, a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d, b^a = bc, c^a = c^b = cd, d^a = d^b = d^c = d, e^a = e^2, e^b = e^c = e^d = e \rangle$  and let  $D$  be

$$\{ade^2, de^2, ae, e, abce^2, abc, bce^2, abde^2, bde^2, bce, acd, acde^2, abd, cde^2, cd, acde, cde, bde, bcd, a, abcde, b, abe\}.$$

- (5)  $G_{48,27} = C_3 \times \text{Dic}_{16}$ . We show  $G_{48,27}$  is not a SRHDS group. Let  $C_3 = \langle r \rangle$ . Then  $D = D_0 + D_1r + D_2r^2$ ,  $D_i \subset \text{Dic}_{16}$ . Now  $D^{(-1)} = tD$  gives  $D_0^{(-1)} = tD_0$  and

$D_2 = tD_1^{(-1)}$ . Also Lemma 3.1 shows that the sizes of  $D_0, D_1, D_2$  are 7, 8, 8 (in some order). By replacing  $D$  by  $r^i D$  if necessary we may assume that  $|D_0| = 7$  and that  $D_0 + 1, D_1, D_2$  are transversals for  $G/H$ . Using  $D_0^{(-1)} = tD_0$  one sees that there are 64 possible  $D_0$ s and 256 possible  $D_1$ s. Further,  $D_2$  is determined by  $D_2 = tD_1^{(-1)}$ . There are thus  $64 \cdot 256$  possibilities for  $D$  and one checks that none of these give a SRHDS.

- (6) Let  $G_{48,28} = \langle a, b, c, d, e | b^3 = e^2 = 1, a^2 = c^2 = d^2 = e, b^a = b^2, c^a = d, c^b = de, d^a = c, d^b = cd, d^c = de, e^a = e^b = e^c = e^d = e \rangle$ . Here one  $D$  is

$$\{ab^2de, ab^2cde, b^2cde, ce, abc, b^2c, bc, d, ade, ab^2ce, ac, ab^2, acd, cd, b^2d, b^2e, abde, bde, bcd, a, ab, abcde, b\}.$$

- (7)  $G_{72,3} = Q_8 \rtimes C_9 = \langle i, j, b | i^4 = j^4 = b^9 = 1, i^j = i^{-1}, i^2 = j^2, i^b = j, j^b = ij \rangle$ . The Magma search described at the beginning of this section shows this is not an SRHDS group.
- (8)  $G_{72,11} = C_9 \times Q_8$ . The Magma search described at the beginning of this section shows this is not an SRHDS group.
- (9)  $G_{72,24} = C_3^2 \rtimes Q_8 = \langle a, b, i, j | a^3 = b^3 = i^4 = j^4 = 1, ab = ba, i^j = i^{-1}, i^2 = j^2, a^i = a, b^i = b^2, a^j = a^2, b^j = b \rangle$ . The Magma search described at the beginning of this section shows this is not an SRHDS group.
- (10)  $G_{72,25} = C_3 \times SL(2, 3)$ . The Magma search described at the beginning of this section shows this is not an SRHDS group.
- (11)  $G_{72,26} = C_3 \times Dic_{24}$ . This is not an SRHDS group by Proposition 8.2.
- (12)  $G_{72,31} = C_3^2 \rtimes Q_8 = \langle a, b, i, j | a^3 = b^3 = i^4 = j^4 = 1, ab = ba, i^j = i^{-1}, i^2 = j^2, a^i = a^2, b^i = b^2, a^j = a, b^j = b \rangle$ . The Magma search described at the beginning of this section shows this is not an SRHDS group.
- (13)  $G_{72,38} = C_3^2 \times Q_8$ . This is not an SRHDS group by Proposition 8.2.

**Acknowledgements** All computations made in the preparation of this paper were accomplished using Magma [23]. The first, second, third, and fifth authors thank Brigham Young University Department of Mathematics for funding during the writing of this paper. We are also grateful for useful suggestions from a referee.

**Funding** The first, second, third, and fifth authors thank Brigham Young University Department of Mathematics for funding during the writing of this paper.

**Data Availability** All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

## References

1. Chen, Y.Q., Feng, T.: Abelian and non-abelian Paley type group schemes. Preprint
2. Cohen, H.: A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory, GTM, vol. 138. Springer, Berlin (1996)
3. Ding, C., Yuan, J.: A family of skew Hadamard difference sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113**, 1526–1535 (2006)
4. Ding, C., Wang, Z., Xiang, Q.: Skew Hadamard difference sets from the Ree-Tits slice symplectic spreads in  $PG(3,32h+1)$ . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114**, 867–887 (2007)
5. Evans, R.J.: Nonexistence of twentieth power residue difference sets. *Acta Arith.* **84**, 397–402 (1999)
6. Feng, T., Xiang, Q.: Strongly regular graphs from union of cyclotomic classes. [arXiv:1010.4107v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4107v2). MR2927417
7. Ikuta, T., Munemasa, A.: Pseudocyclic association schemes and strongly regular graphs. *Eur. J. Combin.* **31**, 1513–1519 (2010)
8. Coulter, R.S., Guekenst, T.: Special subsets of difference sets with particular emphasis on skew Hadamard difference sets. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **53**(1), 1–12 (2009)
9. Isaacs, I.: Martin finite group theory. In: Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 92. American Mathematical Society, Providence, pp. xii+350 (2008)
10. Babai, L., Cameron, P.J.: Automorphisms and enumeration of switching classes of tournaments. *Electron. J. Combin.* **7**, Research Paper 38 (2000)
11. <https://cameroncounts.wordpress.com/2011/06/22/groups-with-unique-involution>
12. Malzan, J.: On groups with a single involution. *Pac. J. Math.* **57**(2), 481–489 (1975)
13. Malzan, J.: Corrections to: “On groups with a single involution” (*Pacific J. Math.* 57 (1975), no. 2, 481–489). *Pac. J. Math.* **67**(2), 555 (1976)
14. Isaacs, I.M.: Real representations of groups with a single involution. *Pac. J. Math.* **71**(2), 463–464 (1977)
15. Schmidt, B.: Williamson matrices and a conjecture of Ito’s. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **17**(1–3), 61–68 (1999)
16. Ito, N.: On Hadamard groups. III. *Kyushu J. Math.* **51**(2), 369–379 (1997)
17. Muzychuk, M., Ponomarenko, I.: Schur rings. *Eur. J. Combin.* **30**(6), 1526–1539 (2009)
18. Schur, I.: Zur Theorie der einfach transitiven Permutationsgruppen, pp. 598–623. *Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Berlin, Phys-math Klasse* (1933)
19. Wielandt, H.: *Finite Permutation Groups*. Academic Press, New York–London, pp. x+114 (1964)
20. Wielandt, H.: Zur theorie der einfach transitiven permutationsgruppen II. *Math. Z.* **52**, 384–393 (1949)
21. Moore, E.H., Pollatsek, H.S.: Difference sets. Connecting algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. In: Student Mathematical Library, vol. 67, pp. xiv+298. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2013)
22. Pott, A.: Finite geometry and character theory. In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1601. Springer, Berlin (1995)
23. Bosma, W., Cannon, J.: *MAGMA*. University of Sydney, Sydney (1994)

**Publisher’s Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.