ORIGINAL PAPER



Mean Color Numbers of Some Graphs

Shude Long¹ (· Han Ren²

Received: 27 April 2020 / Revised: 23 July 2021 / Accepted: 10 August 2021 / Published online: 16 December 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Let $\mu(G)$ denote the mean color number of a graph *G*. Dong proposed two mean color conjectures. One is that for any graph *G* and a vertex *w* in *G* with $d(w) \ge 1$, if *H* is a graph obtained from *G* by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to *w*, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$. The other is that for any graph *G* and a vertex *w* in *G*, $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$. In this paper, we show that the two conjectures hold under the condition that *w* is a simplicial vertex in *G*. And when *G* is a connected (n, m)-graph and *w* is not a cut vertex in *G* with d(w) = n - 1, if $m \le (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + 2)$ $n - 4.5 - \sqrt{2}$, the second conjecture holds too. The two conjectures also hold for some special cases, such as wheels and chordal graphs (Dong in J Combin Theory Ser B 87: 348–365, 2003).

Keywords Graph \cdot Chromatic polynomial \cdot Mean color number \cdot Simplicial vertex \cdot Wheel

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C15

Han Ren hren@math.ecnu.edu.cn

² Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, People's Republic of China

Supported by NNSFC under Grant No. 10371033, No. 11571044 and the Natural Science Foundation Project of CQ under Grant No. cstc2019jcyj-msxmX0724.

Shude Long longshude@163.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences, Chongqing 402160, People's Republic of China

1 Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are finite and simple. Throughout this paper, n and m will always denote, respectively, the number of vertices and the number of edges in a graph G. The readers are assumed familiar with graph theory terminology as in Bondy and Murty [2], for example.

For any graph *G*, let *V*(*G*), *E*(*G*) and *v*(*G*) be the vertex set, edge set and order of *G*, respectively. For a positive integer λ , a proper λ -coloring, or simply a λ -colorings of *G* is a map $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., \lambda\}$ such that $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ where *u* and *v* are adjacent vertices. The chromatic polynomial of *G*, denoted by *P*(*G*, λ), is the number of λ -colorings of *G*. For any positive integer *k*, let $\alpha(G, k)$ denote the number of partitions of *V*(*G*) into exactly *k* non-empty independent sets. Then

$$P(G,\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha(G,k)(\lambda)_{k},$$
(1)

where $(\lambda)_k = \lambda(\lambda - 1)...(\lambda - k + 1)$ and $n = \nu(G)$.

Let *G* be a graph of order *n*. It is obvious that there exist *n*-colorings of *G*. For any *n*-coloring Γ of *G*, let $l(\Gamma)$ be the actual number of colors used. The mean color number $\mu(G)$ of *G*, defined by Bartels and Welsh [1], is the average of $l(\Gamma)$'s over all *n*- colorings Γ . The number of *n*-colorings Γ of *G* with $l(\Gamma) = k$ is $\alpha(G,k)(n)_k$. Therefore by the definition of $\mu(G)$, we have

$$\mu(G) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k(n)_k \alpha(G,k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n)_k \alpha(G,k)}.$$

Bartels and Welsh also presented an expression of $\mu(G)$ in terms of the chromatic polynomials.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]) *If* v(G) = n, *then*

$$\mu(G) = n \left(1 - \frac{P(G, n-1)}{P(G, n)} \right).$$
(2)

Theorem 1.1 shows that $\mu(G) \le n$ where equality holds iff *G* is complete. For the empty graph O_n of order *n*, we have

$$\mu(O_n) = n \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right).$$

Bartels and Welsh conjectured that $\mu(O_n)$ is a lower bound of $\mu(G)$ for any graph G of order n, and their conjecture was proved by Dong [4]. They also proposed a more general conjecture that if H is a spanning subgraph of G, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$. But counterexamples have been discovered by Mosca [8].

Thus, in general the following equality is not true:

$$\mu(G) \ge \mu(H),\tag{3}$$

where *H* is a subgraph of *G*. But it is true for some special cases. It is clear that (3) holds if *G* is complete. And Dong proved that (3) holds if *H* is a spanning subgraph of *G* and *H* is either a tree or an empty graph [4]. Several years later, he also proved that $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$ if *G* is a chordal graph and *H* is a spanning subgraph of *G*, and the equality holds iff $H \cong G$ [5].

In this paper, we are concerned with two conjectures proposed by Dong. The first is the following:

Conjecture 1 ([5]) For any graph G and a vertex w in G with $d(w) \ge 1$, if H is a graph obtained from G by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$.

In Sect. 2 we shall show that Conjecture 1 holds under the condition that *w* is a simplicial vertex in *G* with $d(w) \ge 1$. And Conjecture 1 also holds for the wheel of order *n*.

The second conjecture is as follows:

Conjecture 2 ([5]) *For any graph G and a vertex w in G*, $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$.

In Sect. 3 we shall show that Conjecture 2 also holds under the condition that *w* is a simplicial vertex in *G*. And when *G* is a connected (n, m)-graph and *w* is not a cut vertex in *G* with d(w) = n - 1, if $m \le (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + 2)n - 4.5 - \sqrt{2}$, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$. For the wheel of order *n*, conjecture 2 holds too.

For some special cases, for example, chordal graph and 2-tree, the two conjectures are true [5].

2 The First Conjecture

For any graphs G, H and for any real λ , define

$$\tau(G, H, \lambda) = P(G, \lambda)P(H, \lambda - 1) - P(G, \lambda - 1)P(H, \lambda).$$
(4)

By Theorem 1.1, one may deduce that

Lemma 2.1 ([5]) For any graphs G and H with v(G) = v(H) = n, the inequality $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$ is equivalent to $\tau(G, H, n) \ge 0$.

Now we present a well known result on chromatic polynomial used in this paper.

Lemma 2.2 ([9]) For any graph G, if $\lambda \ge v(G) - 1$, then $P(G, \lambda) \ge 0$ where equality holds iff G is complete and $\lambda = v(G) - 1$.

For any vertex x in G, let $N_G(x)$ (or simply N(x)) denote the set of vertices in G which are adjacent to x, and let $d_G(x)$ (or simply d(x)) be the degree of x in G. The vertex x in G is called a simplicial vertex if either d(x) = 0 or G[N(x)] is a clique.

Graphs and Combinatorics (2022) 38:14

 $d(w) \ge 1$, if $\lambda \ge n-1$ and H is a graph obtained from G by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w, then $\tau(G, H, \lambda) \ge 0$ where equality holds iff d(w) = 1 or $\lambda = n-1$ and G - w is complete.

Proof Let $G^* = G - w$. For any positive integer $\lambda \ge d$, since w is a simplicial vertex in G, we have

$$P(G,\lambda) = (\lambda - d)P(G^*,\lambda), \quad P(H,\lambda) = (\lambda - 1)P(G^*,\lambda), \tag{5}$$

where d = d(w).

Thus, by (5) and the definition of $\tau(G, H, \lambda)$, it follows that

$$\tau(G, H, \lambda) = (\lambda - d)P(G^*, \lambda)(\lambda - 2)P(G^*, \lambda - 1) - (\lambda - d - 1)P(G^*, \lambda - 1)(\lambda - 1)P(G^*, \lambda)$$
(6)
$$= (d - 1)P(G^*, \lambda)P(G^*, \lambda - 1).$$

In addition, for $\lambda \ge n - 1$, by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$P(G^*, \lambda) > 0, \quad P(G^*, \lambda - 1) \ge 0.$$
 (7)

Observe that $d(w) \ge 1$. Therefore (6) and (7) imply the theorem holds.

By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, we have the first result on mean color number.

Theorem 2.2 For any graph G and a simplicial vertex w in G with $d(w) \ge 1$, if H is a graph obtained from G by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$, where equality holds iff d(w) = 1.

Now let us show that Conjecture 1 holds for the wheel of order n: for that let us introduce some general results.

Lemma 2.3 Let G be a graph of order n, let $w \in V(G)$ with d(w) = n - 1, and let us write $G^* = G - w$. If H is a graph obtained from G by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w, then $\tau(G, H, \lambda) \ge 0$ is equivalent to $\lambda(\lambda - 2)(P(G^*, \lambda - 1))^2 \ge (\lambda - 1)^2 P(G^*, \lambda) P(G^*, \lambda - 2).$

Proof By the definition of $\tau(G, H, \lambda)$, we have

$$\tau(G,H,\lambda) = P(G,\lambda)P(H,\lambda-1) - P(G,\lambda-1)P(H,\lambda).$$
(8)

By the equality d(w) = n - 1, one has that

$$P(G,\lambda) = \lambda P(G^*,\lambda-1).$$
(9)

And it is evident that

$$P(H,\lambda) = (\lambda - 1)P(G^*,\lambda).$$
(10)

Combining (9) and (10) with (8), one may find that

$$\tau(G, H, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2)(P(G^*, \lambda - 1))^2 - (\lambda - 1)^2 P(G^*, \lambda) P(G^*, \lambda - 2).$$
(11)

Hence $\tau(G, H, \lambda) \ge 0$ iff $\lambda(\lambda - 2)(P(G^*, \lambda - 1))^2 \ge (\lambda - 1)^2 P(G^*, \lambda) P(G^*, \lambda - 2)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1, we have the following

Corollary 2.1 Let G be a graph of order $n \ (n \ge 2)$ and let $w \in V(G)$ with d(w) = n - 1. If H is a graph obtained from G by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$ iff $n(n-2)(P(G^*, n-1))^2 \ge (n-1)^2 P(G^*, n) P(G^*, n-2)$, where $G^* = G - w$.

Remark 1 In the early 1970's Welsh and later, independently, Brenti [3] proposed a conjecture that for all $\lambda \in N$ and all graphs G, $(P(G, \lambda))^2 \ge P(G, \lambda + 1)P(G, \lambda - 1)$. But a counterexample was found by Seymour [10]. Although in general the conjecture is not true, Dong et al. [6] proposed another conjecture as follows :

Let G be a graph of order n. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \ge n-1$,

$$(P(G,\lambda))^2 \ge P(G,\lambda+1)P(G,\lambda-1).$$

This conjecture remains open. Obviously, Corollary 2.1 is closely related to it. If this conjecture is not true, then $\mu(G) < \mu(H)$. And this leads to Conjecture 1 not being established.

The wheel of order *n*, denoted by W_n , is defined as $W_n = C_{n-1} + K_1$ (W_n is the join of C_{n-1} and K_1). For any vertex *x* in W_n ($n \ge 4$), it is clear that $d(x) \ge 3$. The following result shows that Conjecture 1 is true for W_n .

Theorem 2.3 For any wheel graph W_n $(n \ge 4)$ and a vertex w in W_n , if H is a graph obtained from W_n by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w, then $\mu(W_n) \ge \mu(H)$.

Proof Let W_n be the wheel of order n $(n \ge 4)$ and w be a vertex in W_n . Now assume that H is a graph obtained from W_n by deleting all but one of the edges which are incident to w. The vertex w may be divided into the following two cases.

Case 1. d(w) = n - 1, namely, w lies in the center of W_n .

Let us write $W_n^* = W_n - w$. By Corollary 2.1, we only need to check that $n(n-2)(P(W_n^*, n-1))^2 - (n-1)^2 P(W_n^*, n) P(W_n^*, n-2) \ge 0$.

According to the definition of chromatic polynomial of a graph, we have

$$P(W_n^*, \lambda) = P(C_{n-1}, \lambda) = (\lambda - 1)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}(\lambda - 1).$$

Thus,

$$n(n-2)(P(W_n^*, n-1))^2 - (n-1)^2 P(W_n^*, n) P(W_n^*, n-2)$$

= $n(n-2) [(n-2)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}(n-2)]^2 - (n-1)^2 [(n-1)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)]$
× $[(n-3)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}(n-3)].$ (12)

By the parity of n, we divide into the following two subcases.

Case 1.1. n is even.

By (12), it is easy to verify that $n(n-2)(P(W_n^*, n-1))^2 - (n-1)^2 P(W_n^*, n) P(W_n^*, n-2) > 0$ for n=4.

Now suppose that $n \ge 6$.

By (12) we have

$$\begin{split} n(n-2) \left(P\left(W_n^*,n-1\right) \right)^2 &- (n-1)^2 P\left(W_n^*,n\right) P\left(W_n^*,n-2\right) \\ &= n(n-2) \left[(n-2)^{n-1} - (n-2) \right]^2 - (n-1)^2 \left[(n-1)^{n-1} - (n-1) \right] \\ &\times \left[(n-3)^{n-1} - (n-3) \right] \\ &= n(n-2)(n-2)^{2(n-1)} - 2n(n-2)^{n+1} - (n-1)^2 [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} \\ &+ (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} + (n-1)^3(n-3)^{n-1} + 2n-3 \\ &= n(n-2) [(n-1)(n-3) + 1]^{n-1} - 2n(n-2)^{n+1} - (n-1)^2 [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} \\ &+ (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} + (n-1)^3(n-3)^{n-1} + 2n-3 \\ &> (n-1)^n (n-3)^{n-1} - 2n(n-2)^{n+1} + (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} \\ &> (n-1)^n (n-3)^{n-1} - 2n(n-2)^{n+1} + (n-3)(2n+1)(n-2)^n \\ &> (n-2)^n (n-3)^{n-1} - (n+3)(n-2)^n \\ &> 2(n-3)^2 (n-2)^n - (n+3)(n-2)^n = (n-2)^n (2n^2 - 13n + 15) > 0, \end{split}$$

where the second inequality holds, as

$$(n-1)^{n+1} = (n-2)^{n+1} + \binom{n+1}{1}(n-2)^n + \binom{n+1}{2}(n-2)^{n-1} + \cdots$$

> $(n-2)^{n+1} + (n+1)(n-2)^n + 2(n-2)^n.$

Case 1.2. n is odd.

By (12) we obtain

.

$$\begin{split} n(n-2) \big(P\big(W_n^*,n-1\big) \big)^2 - (n-1)^2 P\big(W_n^*,n\big) P\big(W_n^*,n-2\big) \\ &= n(n-2) \Big[(n-2)^{n-1} + (n-2) \Big]^2 - (n-1)^2 \Big[(n-1)^{n-1} + (n-1) \Big] \\ &\times \Big[(n-3)^{n-1} + (n-3) \Big] \\ &= n(n-2)(n-2)^{2(n-1)} + 2n(n-2)^{n+1} - (n-1)^2 [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} \\ &- (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} - (n-1)^3 (n-3)^{n-1} + 2n-3 \\ &> n(n-2) [(n-1)(n-3) + 1]^{n-1} + 4n(n-3)^{n+1} - (n-1)^2 [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} \\ &- (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} - (n-1)^3 (n-3)^{n-1} \\ &> n(n-2) [(n-1)(n-3) + 1]^{n-1} + \Big[4(n-3)^2 - (n-1)^2 \Big] (n-1)(n-3)^{n-1} \\ &- (n-1)^2 [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} - (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} \\ &> n(n-2)(n-1)^{n-1} (n-3)^{n-2} - [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} - (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} \\ &> (n-1)^n (n-3)^{n-1} - (n-3)(n-1)^{n+1} = (n-1)^n (n-3) \Big[(n-3)^{n-2} - (n-1) \Big] \\ &> (n-1)^n (n-3) \Big[(n-3)^2 - (n-1) \Big] = (n-1)^n (n-3) (n^2 - 7n + 10) \ge 0, \end{split}$$

where the third inequality holds, as

$$[(n-1)(n-3)+1]^{n-1} = [(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} + {\binom{n-1}{1}}[(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-2} + \cdots$$

>
$$[(n-1)(n-3)]^{n-1} + (n-1)^{n-1}(n-3)^{n-2}$$

and $4(n-3)^2 - (n-1)^2 = 3n^2 - 22n + 35 \ge 0$. Case 2. d(w) = 3, namely, w lies in the rim of W_n .

Since d(w) = 3, it follows that

$$P(H,\lambda) = (\lambda - 1)P(W_n - w, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda - 2)^{n-3}.$$
 (13)

And it is clear that

$$P(W_n,\lambda) = \lambda P(C_{n-1},\lambda-1) = \lambda \Big[(\lambda-2)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda-2) \Big].$$
(14)

Thus, by (4), (13) and (14), we have

$$\tau(W_n, H, \lambda) = P(W_n, \lambda) P(H, \lambda - 1) - P(W_n, \lambda - 1) P(H, \lambda)$$

= $\lambda (\lambda - 1) (\lambda - 2)^2 (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \left[(\lambda - 2)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda - 2) \right]$ (15)
 $- \lambda (\lambda - 1)^3 (\lambda - 2)^{n-3} \left[(\lambda - 3)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda - 3) \right].$

According to the parity of n, we can divide into the following two subcases.

Case 2.1. n is even.

By (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(W_n, H, n) &= n(n-1)(n-2)^2 (n-3)^{n-3} \left[(n-2)^{n-1} - (n-2) \right] \\ &- n(n-1)^3 (n-2)^{n-3} \left[(n-3)^{n-1} - (n-3) \right] \\ &= n(n-1)(n-2)^{n-3} (n-3)^{n-3} \left[(n-2)^4 - (n-1)^2 (n-3)^2 \right] \\ &- n(n-1)(n-2)^3 (n-3)^{n-3} + n(n-3)(n-1)^3 (n-2)^{n-3} \\ &= n(n-1)(n-2)^{n-3} (n-3)^{n-3} (2n^2 - 8n + 7) \\ &- n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)^{n-3} (2n^2 - 8n + 7) \\ &- n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)^{n-3} (2n^2 - 8n + 7) \\ &- n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)^{n-3} (n-3)(n-1)^3 (n-2)^{n-3} \\ &= n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)^{n-3} (n^2 - 4n + 3) + n(n-3)(n-1)^3 (n-2)^{n-3} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.2. n is odd. By (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(W_n,H,n) = n(n-1)(n-2)^2(n-3)^{n-3} \Big[(n-2)^{n-1} + (n-2) \Big] \\ &- n(n-1)^3(n-2)^{n-3} \Big[(n-3)^{n-1} + (n-3) \Big] \\ = n(n-1)(n-2)^{n-3}(n-3)^{n-3} \Big[(n-2)^4 - (n-1)^2(n-3)^2 \Big] \\ &+ n(n-1)(n-2)^3(n-3)^{n-3} - n(n-3)(n-1)^3(n-2)^{n-3} \\ = n(n-1)(n-2)^{n-3}(n-3)^{n-3} - n(n-3)(n-1)^3(n-2)^{n-3} \\ &+ n(n-1)(n-2)^3(n-3)^{n-3} - n(n-3)(n-1)^3(n-2)^{n-3} \\ &> n(n-1)(n-3)(n-2)^{n-3} (2n^2 - 8n + 7) \\ &+ n(n-1)(n-2)^3(n-3)^{n-3} - n(n-3)(n-1)^3(n-2)^{n-3} \\ = n(n-1)(n-3)(n-2)^{n-3} (n^2 - 6n + 6) + n(n-1)(n-2)^3(n-3)^{n-3} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for d(w) = 3, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\mu(W_n) > \mu(H).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

3 The Second Conjecture

For two disjoint graphs *G* and *H*, let $G \cup H$ denote the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. For any graph *H* and positive integer *m*, let $H \cup mK_1$ be the graph obtained from *H* by adding *m* new vertices and no new edges.

 \square

Now we present the first result of this section.

Theorem 3.1 For any graph G and a simplicial vertex w in G, $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$.

Proof If d(w) = 0, it is clear that the inequality holds.

Now assume that $d(w) \ge 1$. As w is a simplicial vertex in G, we have

$$P(G,\lambda) = (\lambda - d(w))P(G - w, \lambda).$$
(16)

Let $H = (G - w) \cup K_1$. Then

$$P(H,\lambda) = \lambda P(G-w,\lambda). \tag{17}$$

For $\lambda \ge n - 1$, by (4), (16) and (17), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(G,H,\lambda) &= (\lambda-1)(\lambda-d(w))P(G-w,\lambda)P(G-w,\lambda-1) \\ &-\lambda(\lambda-d(w)-1)P(G-w,\lambda)P(G-w,\lambda-1) \\ &= d(w)P(G-w,\lambda)P(G-w,\lambda-1) \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H) = \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$.

By the proof of Theorem 3.1, one may find that if w is a simplicial vertex in G with $d(w) \ge 1$ then $\mu(G) > \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$.

Corollary 3.1 Let G be a graph and w be a simplicial vertex in G with $d(w) \ge 1$. If H is a subgraph of G which is obtained from G by deleting all edges adjacent to w. Then $\mu(G) > \mu(H)$.

Proof Let *H* be a subgraph of *G* which is obtained from *G* by deleting all edges adjacent to *w*. It is obvious that *H* is a spanning subgraph of *G* and $H \cong (G - w) \cup K_1$. By the discussion above, this corollary follows immediately.

On the basis of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain a more general result as follows:

Corollary 3.2 Let G be any graph and $w_1, w_2, ..., w_l$ be all simplicial vertices in G. Then $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} w_i) \cup tK_1) \ (1 \le t \le l).$

Proof As w_t $(2 \le t \le l)$ is a simplicial vertex in G, w_t is a simplicial vertex in $(G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} w_i)$. Moreover, it is also a simplicial vertex in $(G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} w_i) \cup (t-1)K_1$.

By Theorem 3.1, we have $\mu((G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} w_i) \cup (t-1)K_1) \ge \mu(G - w_t - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} w_i) \cup (t-1)K_1 \cup K_1) = \mu((G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} w_i) \cup tK_1)$. It follows that $\mu((G - w_1) \cup K_1) \ge \mu((G - (w_1 \cup w_2)) \cup 2K_1) \ge \cdots \ge \mu((G - \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} w_i) \cup tK_1)$. Observe that w_1 is a simplicial vertex in G too, by Theorem 3.1, we have $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w_1) \cup K_1)$. This implies the theorem holds.

Similarly, we have the following

Corollary 3.3 Let G be any graph and $w_1, w_2, ..., w_s$ be all simplicial vertices in G with $d(w_i) \ge 1$ $(1 \le i \le s)$. If H_j $(1 \le j \le s)$ is a subgraph of G which is obtained

Theorem 3.2 Let G be a graph of order $n \ (n \ge 2)$ and $w \in V(G)$ with d(w) = n - 1. Assume that $H = (G - w) \cup K_1$ and write $G^* = G - w$. Then for $\lambda \ge 1, \tau(G, H, \lambda) \ge 0$ iff $(P(G^*, \lambda - 1))^2 \ge P(G^*, \lambda)P(G^*, \lambda - 2)$.

Proof By the definition of $\tau(G, H, \lambda)$, we have

$$\tau(G, H, \lambda) = P(G, \lambda)P(H, \lambda - 1) - P(G, \lambda - 1)P(H, \lambda).$$
(18)

Since d(w) = n - 1, one may deduce that

$$P(G,\lambda) = \lambda P(G^*,\lambda-1).$$
(19)

And it is clear that

$$P(H,\lambda) = \lambda P(G^*,\lambda). \tag{20}$$

By substituting (19) and (20) into (18), one may find that

$$\tau(G,H,\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda-1) \Big[(P(G^*,\lambda-1))^2 - P(G^*,\lambda)P(G^*,\lambda-2) \Big].$$

Thus, for $\lambda \ge 1$, $\tau(G, H, \lambda) \ge 0$ iff $(P(G^*, \lambda - 1))^2 - P(G^*, \lambda)P(G^*, \lambda - 2) \ge 0$, namely, $(P(G^*, \lambda - 1))^2 \ge P(G^*, \lambda)P(G^*, \lambda - 2)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

By Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.1, we get another result on mean color numbers.

Theorem 3.3 Let G be a graph of order $n \ (n \ge 2), w \in V(G)$ with d(w) = n - 1and $G^* = G - w$. Then $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$ iff $(P(G^*, n - 1))^2 \ge P(G^*, n)P(G^*, n - 2)$.

Remark 2 It is evident that Theorem 3.3 is also related to Dong's conjecture in Remark 1. Thus, if the conjecture is true, then $\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$; otherwise, $\mu(G) < \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$. This leads to Conjecture 2 not being established.

In what follows we introduce an known inequality on chromatic polynomials of graphs.

Lemma 3.1 ([7]) Let G be a connected (n, m)-graph. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \ge \max\{n-1, \sqrt{2}(m-n+2.5)\}$, then

$$\left(P(G,\lambda)\right)^2 \ge P(G,\lambda+1)P(G,\lambda-1).$$
(21)

Theorem 3.4 Suppose that G is a connected (n, m)-graph and that w is a vertex such that d(w) = n - 1 and w is not a cut vertex of G. If $m \le (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + 2)n - 4.5 - \sqrt{2}$, then

$$\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1). \tag{22}$$

Proof Let $G^* = G - w$. As G is a connected graph and w is not a cut vertex in G, G^* is a connected graph too. It is clear that $|V(G^*)| = n - 1$ and $|E(G^*)| = m - n + 1$. Hence G^* is a connected (n - 1, m - n + 1)-graph.

By the inequality $m \le (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + 2)n - 4.5 - \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$(n-1) - 1 = n - 2 \ge \sqrt{2}(m - 2n + 4.5) = \sqrt{2}[(m - n + 1) - (n - 1) + 2.5].$$

(23)

Then, by (23) and Lemma 3.1, it follows that

 $(P(G^*, n-1))^2 \ge P(G^*, n)P(G^*, n-2).$ (24)

Thus, by (24) and Theorem 3.3, the theorem holds.

By Theorem 3.4, we have the following

Corollary 3.4 Suppose that G is a 2-connected (n, m)-graph and w is any vertex in G with d(w) = n - 1. If $m \le (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + 2)n - 4.5 - \sqrt{2}$, then

$$\mu(G) \ge \mu((G - w) \cup K_1). \tag{25}$$

Theorem 3.5 For any wheel graph W_n $(n \ge 4)$ and any vertex x in W_n , one has $\mu(W_n) \ge \mu((W_n - x) \cup K_1)$.

Proof Let W_n $(n \ge 4)$ be the wheel of order n and x be a vertex in W_n . The vertex x may be divided into the following two cases.

Case 1. d(x) = n - 1, namely, x lies in the center of W_n .

It is clear that W_n is a connected (n, 2n - 2) graph and x is not a cut vertex in W_n . By Theorem 3.4, one may deduce that $\mu(W_n) \ge \mu((W_n - x) \cup K_1)$ for $n \ge 6$. And it is easy to verify that the inequality also holds for n = 4, 5.

Case 2. d(x) = 3, namely, x lies in the rim of W_n .

Let $H = (W_n - x) \cup K_1$. Since d(x) = 3, it follows that

$$P(H,\lambda) = \lambda P(W_n - x,\lambda) = \lambda^2 (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)^{n-3}.$$
 (26)

And it is clear that

$$P(W_n,\lambda) = \lambda P(C_{n-1},\lambda-1) = \lambda \Big[(\lambda-2)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda-2) \Big].$$
(27)

Thus, by (4), (26) and (27), we have

(30)

$$\tau(W_n, H, \lambda) = P(W_n, \lambda) P(H, \lambda - 1) - P(W_n, \lambda - 1) P(H, \lambda)$$

= $\lambda (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 1)^2 \left\{ (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \left[(\lambda - 2)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda - 2) \right] - \lambda (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} \left[(\lambda - 3)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda - 3) \right] \right\}.$ (28)

According to the parity of n, we can divide into the following two subcases.

Case 2.1. *n* is even.

For $\lambda \ge 4$, by (28), we obtain

$$\tau(W_{n}, H, \lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)^{2} \Big\{ (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big[(\lambda - 2)^{n-1} - (\lambda - 2) \Big] \\ -\lambda(\lambda - 2)^{n-4} \Big[(\lambda - 3)^{n-1} - (\lambda - 3) \Big] \Big\} \\ = \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)^{2} \Big\{ (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big[(\lambda - 2)^{3} - \lambda(\lambda - 3)^{2} \Big] \\ +\lambda(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 2)^{n-4} - (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big\} \\ = \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)^{2} \Big\{ (3\lambda - 8)(\lambda - 2)^{n-4} (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \\ +\lambda(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 2)^{n-4} - (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big\} \\ \ge \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)^{2} \Big\{ (3\lambda - 8)(\lambda - 2)^{n-4} (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big\} \\ \ge \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)^{2} \Big\{ (3\lambda - 8)(\lambda - 2)^{n-4} (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big\} \\ \ge 0.$$
(29)

Case 2.2. n is odd.

For $\lambda \ge 4$, by (28), we have

$$\begin{split} \tau(W_n, H, \lambda) &= \lambda (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 1)^2 \Big\{ (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big[(\lambda - 2)^{n-1} + (\lambda - 2) \Big] \\ &- \lambda (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} \Big[(\lambda - 3)^{n-1} + (\lambda - 3) \Big] \Big\} \\ &= \lambda (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 1)^2 \Big\{ (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big[(\lambda - 2)^3 - \lambda (\lambda - 3)^2 \Big] \\ &+ (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} - \lambda (\lambda - 3) (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} \Big\} \\ &= \lambda (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 1)^2 \Big\{ (3\lambda - 8) (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \\ &+ (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} - \lambda (\lambda - 3) (\lambda - 2)^{n-4} \Big\} \\ &\geq \lambda (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 1)^2 \Big\{ (\lambda - 2) (\lambda - 3)^{n-3} \Big\} \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$

Combining (29) with (30), we have

D Springer

$$\tau(W_n, H, \lambda) > 0$$

for $\lambda \ge 4$. Hence $\tau(W_n, H, n) > 0$ $(n \ge 4)$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\mu(W_n) > \mu(H) = \mu((W_n - x) \cup K_1).$$

Thus, the theorem holds.

Remark 3 Let *G* be a chordal graph or 2-tree and *H* be a subgraph of *G*. By the results in [4, 5], $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H)$. It is clear that Conjecture 1 holds for a chordal graph or 2-tree *G*. In addition, for a vertex *w* in *G* with $d(w) \ge 1$, if *H* is a subgraph obtained from *G* by deleting all the edges which are incident to *w*, then $H \cong (G - w) \cup K_1$. Therefore $\mu(G) \ge \mu(H) = \mu((G - w) \cup K_1)$. It means that Conjecture 2 also holds for a chordal graph or 2-tree *G*.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of this paper. Their constructive suggestions enable us to make some major revisions which make the paper more readable and concrete.

References

- Bartels, J.E., Welsh, D.J.A.: The Markov chain of colourings. In: Proceeding of the Fourth Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization (IPCO IV), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 920, Springer, New York/Berlin, pp. 373–387 (1995)
- 2. Bondy, J.A., Murty, U.S.R.: Graph Theory with Applications. Macmillan (1976)
- 3. Brenti, F.: Expansions of chromatic polynomials and log-concavity. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 332, 729–755 (1992)
- 4. Dong, F.M.: Proof of a chromatic polynomial conjecture. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 78, 35-44 (2000)
- 5. Dong, F.M.: Bounds for mean colour numbers of graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 87, 348–365 (2003)
- Dong, F.M.: Further results on the lower bonuds of mean color numbers. J. Graph Theory 48, 51–73 (2005)
- Dong, F..M., Koh, K..M., Teo, K..L.: Chromatic Polynomial and Chromaticity of Graphs. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore (2005)
- Mosca, M.: Removing edges can increase the average number of colours in the colourings of a graph. Combin. Probab. Comput. 7, 211–216 (1998)
- Read, R.C., Tutte, W.T.: Chromatic polynomial. In: Beineke, L.W., Wilson, R.J. (eds.) Selected Topics in Graph Theory III, pp. 15–42. Academic Press, New York (1988)
- 10. Seymour, P.: Two chromatic polynomial conjectures. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 70, 184–196 (1997)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

 \square