

Approximation by p -Faber Polynomials in the Weighted Smirnov Class $E^p(G, \omega)$ and the Bieberbach Polynomials

D. M. Israfilov

Abstract. Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finite domain with a regular Jordan boundary L . In this work, the approximation properties of a p -Faber polynomial series of functions in the weighted Smirnov class $E^p(G, \omega)$ are studied and the rate of polynomial approximation, for $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$ by the weighted integral modulus of continuity, is estimated. Some application of this result to the uniform convergence of the Bieberbach polynomials π_n in a closed domain \overline{G} with a smooth boundary L is given.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite domain in the complex plane bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve L , let ω be a weight function on L , and let $1 < p < \infty$. We denote by $L^p(L)$ and $E^p(G)$ the set of all measurable complex valued functions such that $|f|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable with respect to arclength, and the Smirnov class of analytic functions in G , respectively. Each function $f \in E^p(G)$ has a nontangential limit almost everywhere (a.e.) on L , and if we use the same notation for the nontangential limit of f , then $f \in L^p(L)$.

For $p > 1$, $L^p(L)$ and $E^p(G)$ are Banach spaces with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{E^p(G)} = \|f\|_{L^p(L)} := \left(\int_L |f(z)|^p |dz| \right)^{1/p}.$$

For further properties, see [7, pp. 168–185] and [14, pp. 438–453].

The order of polynomial approximation in $E^p(G)$, $p \geq 1$, has been studied by several authors. In [27], Walsh and Russel give results when L is an analytic curve. For domains with sufficiently smooth boundary, namely when L is a smooth Jordan curve, and $\theta(s)$, the angle between the tangent and the positive real axis expressed as a function of arclength s , has modulus of continuity $\Omega(\theta, s)$ satisfying the Dini-smooth condition

$$(1) \quad \int_0^\delta \frac{\Omega(\theta, s)}{s} ds < \infty, \quad \delta > 0,$$

this problem, for $p > 1$, was studied by S. Y. Alper [1].

Date received: February 25, 1999. Date revised: October 20, 1999. Date accepted: May 26, 2000. Communicated by Ronald A. DeVore. Online publication: January 16, 2001.

AMS classification: 41A10, 41A25, 41A58, 41A30, 30E10.

Key words and phrases: Faber polynomials, Weighted Smirnov class, Bieberbach polynomials, Conformal mapping, Uniform convergence.

These results were later extended to the domains with regular boundary, which we define in Section 2, for $p > 1$ by V. M. Kokilashvili [21], and for $p \geq 1$ by J. E. Andersson [2]. Similar problems were also investigated in [18]. Let us emphasize that in these works, the Faber operator, Faber polynomials, and p -Faber polynomials were commonly used and the degree of polynomial approximation in $E^p(G)$ has been studied by applying various methods of summation to the Faber series of functions in $E^p(G)$. More extensive knowledge about them can be found in [11, pp. 40–57] and [26, pp. 52–236].

In [19] and [5], for domains with regular boundary we construct the approximants directly as the n th-partial sums of p -Faber polynomial series of $f \in E^p(G)$. In this work, the approximation properties of the p -Faber polynomial series expansions in the ω -weighted Smirnov class $E^p(G, \omega)$ of analytic functions in G , whose boundary is a regular Jordan curve, are studied. Under some restrictive conditions upon weighting functions the approximant polynomials are obtained directly as the n th-partial sums of p -Faber polynomial series of $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$. The degree of this approximation is estimated by a weighted integral modulus of continuity. The results to be obtained in this work are also new in the nonweighted case $\omega = 1$. Finally, applying this result we give a result which improves Mergelyan's estimation about the uniform convergence of the Bieberbach polynomials in the closed domain \overline{G} with a smooth boundary L .

2. Some Definitions, Notations, and Auxiliary Results

Let G be a finite domain in the complex plane bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve L , let U be the unit disk, $G^- := \text{Ext } L$, $T := \partial U$, $U^- := \text{Ext } T$, $1 < p < \infty$, and let ω be a weight function on L , that is, a nonnegative measurable function on L . We denote by φ the conformal mapping of G^- onto U^- normalized by $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z)/z > 0$. Let $\psi(w)$ be the inverse to $\varphi(z)$. The functions φ and ψ have continuous extensions to L and T , their derivatives $\varphi'(z)$ and $\psi'(w)$ have definite nontangential limit values on L and T a.e., and they are integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on L and T , respectively [14, pp. 419, 438].

We shall use c, c_1, c_2, \dots to denote constants (in general, different in different relations) depending only on numbers that are not important for the questions of interest.

Definition 1. L is called regular if there exists a number $c > 0$ such that for every $r > 0$, $\sup\{|L \cap D(z, r)| : z \in L\} \leq cr$, where $D(z, r)$ is an open disk with radius r and centered at z , and $|L \cap D(z, r)|$ is the length of the set $L \cap D(z, r)$.

We denote by S the set of all regular Jordan curves in the complex plane.

Definition 2. Let ω be a weight function on L . ω is said to satisfy Muckenhoupt's A_p -conditions on L if

$$\sup_{z \in L} \sup_{r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{r} \int_{L \cap D(z, r)} \omega(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right) \left(\frac{1}{r} \int_{L \cap D(z, r)} [\omega(\zeta)]^{-1/(p-1)} |d\zeta| \right)^{p-1} < \infty.$$

Let us denote by $A_p(L)$ the set of all weight functions satisfying Muckenhoupt's A_p -conditions on L .

It is obvious that if $\omega \in A_p(L)$ then $\omega^{-1/p} \in L^{p/(p-1)}(L)$.
 Let $f \in L^1(L)$. Then the functions f^+ and f^- defined by

$$f^+(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in G,$$

and

$$f^-(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in G^-,$$

are analytic in G and G^- , respectively, and $f^-(\infty) = 0$. When $z_0 \in L$, if the limit of the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L \cap \{|\zeta - z_0| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta$$

exists as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, this limit is called Cauchy's singular integral of

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

at $z_0 \in L$, and it is denoted by $S_L(f)(z_0)$. Namely,

$$S_L(f)(z_0) := (P.V.) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L \cap \{|\zeta - z_0| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta.$$

According to the celebrated Privalov theorem [14, p. 431], if one of the functions $f^+(z)$ and $f^-(z)$ has a nontangential limit on L a.e., then $S_L(f)(z)$ exists a.e. on L , and also the other one of the functions $f^+(z)$ and $f^-(z)$ has a nontangential limit on L a.e. Conversely, if $S_L(f)(z)$ exists a.e. on L , then the functions $f^+(z)$ and $f^-(z)$ have nontangential limits a.e. on L . In both cases, the formulas

$$f^+(z) = S_L(f)(z) + \frac{1}{2}f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad f^-(z) = S_L(f)(z) - \frac{1}{2}f(z)$$

hold a.e. on L .

Definition 3. The set $L^p(L, \omega) := \{f \in L^1(L) : |f|^p \omega \in L^1(L)\}$ is called the ω -weighted L^p -space.

Definition 4. The set $E^p(G, \omega) := \{f \in E^1(G) : f \in L^p(L, \omega)\}$ is called the ω -weighted Smirnov class of order p of analytic functions in G .

As was noted in [9, p. 89], the Cauchy singular integrals hold the following result, which is analogously deduced from [6].

Theorem 1. Let $L \in S$, $1 < p < \infty$, and let ω be a weight function on L . The inequality

$$\|S_L(f)\|_{L^p(L, \omega)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{L^p(L, \omega)}$$

holds for every $f \in L^p(L, \omega)$ if and only if $\omega \in A_p(L)$.

Lemma 2. *If $f \in L^p(L, \omega)$ and $\omega \in A_p(L)$, then there exists a number $r > 1$ such that $f \in L^r(L)$.*

Proof. Since $\omega \in A_p(L)$, there exists a number $q \in (1, p)$ such that $\omega \in A_q(L)$ [23] (see also [9, p. 49]). Let $r := p/q$. Since $f \in L^p(L, \omega)$, we have $|f|^r \omega^{1/q} \in L^q(L)$. On the other hand, since $\omega^{-(1/q)} \in L^{q/(q-1)}(L)$, Hölder's inequality shows that $f \in L^r(L)$. ■

Lemma 3. *If $L \in S$ and $\omega \in A_p(L)$, then $f^+ \in E^p(G, \omega)$ and $f^- \in E^p(G^-, \omega)$ for each $f \in L^p(L, \omega)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(L, \omega)$. According to Theorem 1, we have $S_L(f) \in L^p(L, \omega)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 1, there exists a number $r > 1$ such that $f \in L^r(L)$. Since $1 < r < \infty$ and $L \in S$, $S_L : L^r(L) \rightarrow L^r(L)$ is a bounded linear operator [6]. Therefore, owing to Havin's work [16] (see also [6, p. 176]), the functions f^+ and f^- belong to $E^r(G)$ and $E^r(G^-)$, respectively. Furthermore, since $f^+(z) = S_L(f)(z) + \frac{1}{2}f(z)$ and $f^-(z) = S_L(f)(z) - \frac{1}{2}f(z)$ hold a.e. on L , it follows that f^+ and f^- are members of $L^p(L, \omega)$. This yields the required result, because $E^r(G) \subset E^1(G)$ and $E^r(G^-) \subset E^1(G^-)$. ■

3. p -Faber Polynomials for \overline{G} and p -Faber Polynomial Series Expansions in $E^p(G, \omega)$

Let k be a nonnegative integer. Then the function $\varphi^k(z)(\varphi'(z))^{1/p}$ has a pole of order k at the point ∞ . So there exists a polynomial $F_{k,p}(z)$ of degree k and an analytic function $E_{k,p}(z)$ in G^- such that $E_{k,p}(\infty) = 0$ and $\varphi^k(z)(\varphi'(z))^{1/p} = F_{k,p}(z) + E_{k,p}(z)$ for every $z \in G^-$. The polynomials $F_{k,p}(z)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are called p -Faber polynomials for \overline{G} (see [2]). By means of Cauchy's integral formula, it is easily seen that

$$F_{k,p}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_R} \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{w^k (\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z} dw,$$

for $R > 1$ and every $z \in \text{Int } L_R$, where $L_R := \{z \in G^- : |\varphi(z)| = R\}$.

Lemma 4. *If $z \in G$ and $w \in U^-$, then*

$$\frac{(\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{k,p}(z)}{w^{k+1}}.$$

Proof. Let us take $z \in G$. Since the function

$$\frac{(\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z}$$

is analytic in U^- and it is normalized with $\psi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \psi(w)/w > 0$, its Laurent series expansion in U^- is of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{k,p}(z)}{w^{k+1}}$$

and this series converges to

$$\frac{(\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of U^- . So, for $R > 1$ and a nonnegative integer n , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{w^n (\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z} dw = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{w^n}{w^{k+1}} dw \right) A_{k,p}(z) = A_{n,p}(z).$$

This shows that $F_{n,p}(z) = A_{n,p}(z)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and so the proof is completed. ■

Lemma 5. *If $z \in G^-$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_{1+1/n}} \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_L \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Let

$$\varphi_n(\theta) := \frac{i(1 + 1/n)^{k+1} e^{i(k+1)\theta} (\psi'((1 + 1/n)e^{i\theta}))^{1-1/p}}{\psi((1 + 1/n)e^{i\theta}) - z}.$$

It is obvious that the sequence $\{\varphi_n(\theta)\}$ converges a.e. to the function

$$\frac{i e^{i(k+1)\theta} (\psi'(e^{i\theta}))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(e^{i\theta}) - z}$$

on the segment $[0, 2\pi]$, and

$$\int_{L_{1+1/n}} \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta.$$

On the other hand, it is easily proved that the sequence

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n(\theta)|^{p/(p-1)} d\theta \right\}$$

is bounded with respect to n . Thus, by the test for the possibility of taking the limit under the Lebesgue integral sign given in [14, p. 390] we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{i e^{i(k+1)\theta} (\psi'(e^{i\theta}))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(e^{i\theta}) - z} d\theta.$$

This gives us

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_{1+1/n}} \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_L \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta. \quad \blacksquare$$

Finally, we prove the following lemma for the integral representation of p -Faber polynomials in G^- .

Lemma 6. *If $z \in G^-$, then*

$$F_{k,p}(z) = \varphi^k(z)(\varphi'(z))^{1/p} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. The case $z = \infty$ is trivial. Let $z \in G^- \setminus \{\infty\}$. If $R > 1$ and the natural numbers n are chosen big enough, z becomes an interior point of the doubly connected domain with the boundary $L_R \cup L_{1+1/n}$. So, by Cauchy's integral formula we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_R} \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \varphi^k(z)(\varphi'(z))^{1/p} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{1+1/n}} \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

and hence by Lemma 4 we obtain

$$F_{k,p}(z) = \varphi^k(z)(\varphi'(z))^{1/p} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi^k(\zeta)(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

The lemma is proved. \blacksquare

Let $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$. Since $f \in E^1(G)$, we have for every $z \in G$:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T f(\psi(w))(\psi'(w))^{1/p} \frac{(\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z} dw.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{(\psi'(w))^{1-1/p}}{\psi(w) - z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{k,p}(z)}{w^{k+1}}$$

for $w \in U^-$ and $z \in G$, if we define the coefficients $a_k(f)$ by

$$a_k(f) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \frac{f(\psi(w))(\psi'(w))^{1/p}}{w^{k+1}} dw, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

we can associate a formal series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(f) F_{k,p}(z),$$

in the particular case with the function $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$, i.e.,

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(f) F_{k,p}(z).$$

This formal series is called the p -Faber polynomial series expansion of f , and the coefficients $a_k(f)$ are said to be the p -Faber coefficients of f .

4. Main Results

Let $g \in L^p(T, \omega)$ and $\omega \in A_p(T)$. Since $L^p(T, \omega)$ is noninvariant with respect to the usual shift, we consider the following mean value function as a shift for $g \in L^p(T, \omega)$:

$$g_h(w) := \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h g(we^{it}) dt, \quad 0 < h < \pi, \quad w \in T.$$

Using the relation (see, e.g., [9, p. 110]):

$$\|g_h\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} \leq c_p \|g\|_{L^p(T, \omega)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

we get that $g_h \in L^p(T, \omega)$.

Definition 5. If $g \in L^p(T, \omega)$ and $\omega \in A_p(T)$, then the function $\Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, defined by

$$\Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \delta) := \sup\{\|g - g_h\|_{L^p(T, \omega)}, h \leq \delta\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

is called the ω -weighted integral modulus of continuity of order p for g .

Note that the idea of defining such a modulus of continuity originates from [29]. It can be shown easily that $\Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot)$ is a continuous nonnegative nondecreasing function satisfying the conditions

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \delta) = 0, \quad \Omega_{p, \omega}(g_1 + g_2, \cdot) \leq \Omega_{p, \omega}(g_1, \cdot) + \Omega_{p, \omega}(g_2, \cdot).$$

Lemma 7. If $g \in L^p(T, \omega)$ and $\omega \in A_p(T)$, then

$$\Omega_{p, \omega}(S_T(g), \cdot) \leq c_2 \Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot).$$

Proof. Let $\delta \in (0, \pi)$, $h < \delta$, and $w \in T$. Applying the Fubini theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S_T(g)]_h(w) &= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h S_T(g(we^{i\theta})) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((P.V.) \int_T \frac{g(\tau) d\tau}{\tau - we^{i\theta}} \right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((P.V.) \int_T \frac{g(\tau e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} d\tau}{\tau e^{i\theta} - we^{i\theta}} \right) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left((P.V.) \int_T \frac{g(\tau e^{i\theta}) d\tau}{\tau - w} \right) d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (P.V.) \int_T \frac{(1/2h) \int_{-h}^h g(\tau e^{i\theta}) d\theta}{\tau - w} d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (P.V.) \int_T \frac{g_h(\tau)}{\tau - w} d\tau = [S_T(g_h)](w).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$[S_T g](w) - [S_T(g)]_h(w) = [S_T(g - g_h)](w),$$

and by virtue of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\|S_T(g) - [S_T(g)]_h\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} = \|S_T(g - g_h)\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} \leq c_2 \|g - g_h\|_{L^p(T, \omega)}.$$

The last inequality shows that

$$\Omega_{p, \omega}(S_T(g), \cdot) \leq c_2 \Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot),$$

and the proof is completed. ■

Lemma 8. *If $g \in L^p(T, \omega)$ and $\omega \in A_p(T)$, then*

$$\Omega_{p, \omega}(g^+, \cdot) \leq (c_2 + \frac{1}{2}) \Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot).$$

Proof. Since $g^+ = \frac{1}{2}g + S_T(g)$ a.e. on T , by means of Lemma 6 we obtain

$$\Omega_{p, \omega}(g^+, \cdot) \leq (c_2 + \frac{1}{2}) \Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot). \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 9. *Let $g \in E^p(U, \omega)$ and $\omega \in A_p(T)$. If*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(g) w^k$$

is the n th partial sum of the Taylor series of g at the origin, then there exists a constant $c_3 > 0$, such that

$$\left\| g(w) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(g) w^k \right\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} \leq c_3 \Omega_{p, \omega} \left(g, \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

for every natural number n .

Proof. Let

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_k e^{ik\theta}$$

be the Fourier series of $g \in E^p(U, \omega)$ and

$$S_n(g, \theta) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \beta_k e^{ik\theta}$$

be its n th-partial sum. Since $g \in E^1(U)$, we have $\beta_k = 0$ for $k < 0$, and $\beta_k = \alpha_k(g)$ for $k \geq 0$ [7, p. 38]. Hence

$$(2) \quad \left\| g(w) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(g) w^k \right\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} = \|g(e^{i\theta}) - S_n(g, \theta)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)}.$$

Now, let $T_n^*(\theta)$ be the best approximate trigonometric polynomial for $g(e^{i\theta})$ in $L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)$. That is,

$$(3) \quad \|g(e^{i\theta}) - T_n^*(\theta)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)} = E_{n,p}(g, \omega),$$

where $E_{n,p}(g, \omega) := \inf\{\|g(e^{i\theta}) - T(\theta)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)} : T \in \Pi_n\}$ denotes the minimal error in approximating g by trigonometric polynomials of degree at most n . Then from (2) we get

$$(4) \quad \left\| g(w) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(g) w^k \right\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} \leq \|g(e^{i\theta}) - T_n^*(\theta)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)} + \|S_n(g - T_n^*, \theta)\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)}.$$

On the other hand, under the condition $\omega \in A_p(T)$ the result [17] (see also [9, p. 108]) states that, for every $g \in L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)$:

$$\left\| \sup_{n \geq 0} |S_n(g, \theta)| \right\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)} \leq c_4 \|g\|_{L^p([0, 2\pi], \omega)}.$$

By applying this inequality to the function $g - T_n^*$ and taking into account the relation (3), from (4) we get

$$(5) \quad \left\| g(w) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(g) w^k \right\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} \leq (c_4 + 1) E_{n,p}(g, \omega).$$

Further, using the estimation

$$E_{n,p}(g, \omega) \leq c_5 \Omega_{p, \omega} \left(g, \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

which was proved in [15, Theorem 1.4], from (5) we obtain

$$\left\| g(w) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(g) w^k \right\|_{L^p(T, \omega)} \leq c_3 \Omega_{p, \omega} \left(g, \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

The lemma is proved. ■

Now, for $w \in T$, we set

$$\omega_0(w) := \omega(\psi(w)), \quad f_0(w) := f(\psi(w))(\psi'(w))^{1/p},$$

and state the main theorem in our work.

Theorem 10. *Let $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$ and let*

$$S_n(f, z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f) F_{k,p}(z)$$

be the n th partial sums of its p -Faber polynomial series expansion. If $L \in S$, $\omega \in A_p(L)$, and $\omega_0 \in A_p(T)$, then there exists a constant $c_6 > 0$ such that

$$\|f - S_n(f, \cdot)\|_{L^p(L, \omega)} \leq c_6 \Omega_{p, \omega_0} \left(f_0, \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

for every natural number n .

Proof. It is obvious that $f_0 \in L^p(T, \omega_0)$. Let us consider the functions f_0^+ and f_0^- defined by

$$f_0^+(w) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \frac{f_0(\tau)}{\tau - w} d\tau, \quad w \in U,$$

and

$$f_0^-(w) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \frac{f_0(\tau)}{\tau - w} d\tau, \quad w \in U^-.$$

Let $a_k(f)$ be the k th p -Faber coefficient of $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$. Since by Lemma 2, $f_0^+ \in E^p(U, \omega_0)$ and $f_0^- \in E^p(U^-, \omega_0)$, moreover, $f_0^-(\infty) = 0$ and $f_0 = f_0^+ - f_0^-$ a.e. on T , and

$$a_k(f) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \frac{f_0(\tau)}{\tau^{k+1}} d\tau,$$

we obtain

$$a_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \frac{f_0^+(\tau)}{\tau^{k+1}} d\tau.$$

It is seen that the k th p -Faber coefficient of $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$ is the k th Taylor coefficient of $f_0^+ \in E^p(U, \omega_0)$ at the origin. On the other hand, the assumption $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$ implies

$$\int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta = 0, \quad z' \in G^-,$$

and considering $f_0 = f_0^+ - f_0^-$ a.e. on T :

$$(6) \quad f(\zeta) = (f_0^+(\varphi(\zeta)) - f_0^-(\varphi(\zeta)))(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p}$$

holds a.e. on L .

Let us take a $z' \in G^-$. By means of Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)F_{k,p}(z') &= (\varphi'(z'))^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(z') \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(\zeta)}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta &= (\varphi'(z'))^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(z') \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(\zeta)}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p} f_0^+(\varphi(\zeta))}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p} f_0^-(\varphi(\zeta))}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p} f_0^-(\varphi(\zeta))}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta = -(\varphi'(z'))^{1/p} f_0^-(\varphi(z'))$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)F_{k,p}(z') &= (\varphi'(z'))^{1/p} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(z') \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{(\varphi'(\zeta))^{1/p} [\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(\zeta) - f_0^+(\varphi(\zeta))]}{\zeta - z'} d\zeta \\ &\quad - (\varphi'(z'))^{1/p} f_0^-(\varphi(z')). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $z' \rightarrow z$ along all nontangential paths outside L , it appears that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)F_{k,p}(z) &= \frac{1}{2}(\varphi'(z))^{1/p} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k(z) - f_0^+(\varphi(z)) \right] \\ &\quad + [f_0^+(\varphi(z)) - f_0^-(\varphi(z))](\varphi'(z))^{1/p} \\ &\quad + S_L \left[(\varphi')^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f)\varphi^k - f_0^+ \circ \varphi \right) \right] (z) \end{aligned}$$

holds on L a.e. Further, taking relation (6) into account, and applying Minkowski's inequality and Theorem 1, from the last equality we obtain

$$\|f - S_n(f, \cdot)\|_{L^p(L, \omega)} \leq (c_1 + \frac{1}{2}) \left\| f_0^+(w) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(f)w^k \right\|_{L^p(T, \omega_0)}.$$

Now, the proof follows from Lemmas 8 and 7. ■

Note that if L is a sufficiently smooth curve then one out of the conditions $\omega \in A_p(L)$ and $\omega_0 \in A_p(T)$ may be omitted in the above Theorem 2. In particular, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 11. *Let L be the smooth boundary satisfying condition (1). If $f \in E^p(G, \omega)$, and one out of the conditions $\omega \in A_p(L)$ and $\omega_0 \in A_p(T)$ holds, then there exists a constant $c_7 > 0$ such that*

$$\|f - S_n(f, \cdot)\|_{L^p(L, \omega)} \leq c_7 \Omega_{p, \omega_0} \left(f_0, \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2 it is sufficient to prove the equivalence of the conditions $\omega \in A_p(L)$ and $\omega_0 \in A_p(T)$. Since the boundary L is smooth, it can be shown easily that the condition $\omega \in A_p(L)$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$(7) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right) / \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I [\omega(\zeta)]^{-1/(p-1)} |d\zeta| \right)^{p-1} \leq c < \infty$$

for every arc $I \subset L$,

On the other hand, under the restrictive conditions upon L , by the result [28]:

$$0 < c_8 \leq |\psi'(w)| \leq c_9 < \infty \quad \text{for every } |w| \geq 1,$$

and from this we have

$$|\psi(I)| = \int_I |\psi'(w)| |d_w| \leq c_9 |I|,$$

$$|I| = \int_{\psi(I)} |\varphi'(z)| |d_z| \leq \frac{|\psi(I)|}{c_8},$$

for every arc $I \subset T$.

Substituting $\zeta = \psi(w)$ in (7) and using the last three relations, as result of simple computations we obtain the desired equivalence. ■

5. Application to the Uniform Convergence of the Bieberbach Polynomials in Closed Domains with Smooth Boundary

Let G be a finite simply connected domain of the complex plane C and let $z_0 \in G$. By the Riemann mapping theorem, there exists a unique conformal mapping $w = \varphi_0(z)$ of G onto $D(0, r_0) := \{w : |w| < r_0\}$ with the normalization $\varphi_0(z_0) = 0$, $\varphi_0'(z_0) = 1$. The radius r_0 of this disk is called the conformal radius of G with respect to z_0 . Let $\psi_0(w)$ be the inverse to $\varphi_0(z)$.

For an arbitrary function f given on G and $p > 0$ we set

$$\|f\|_{\overline{G}} := \sup\{|f(z)|, z \in \overline{G}\}, \quad \|f\|_{L_2(G)}^2 := \iint_G |f(z)|^2 d\sigma_z,$$

$$\|f\|_{L_1^2(G)}^2 := \iint_G |f'(z)|^2 d\sigma_z, \quad d\sigma_z = dx dy.$$

It is well known that the function $\varphi_0(z)$ minimizes the integral $\|f\|_{L^1_2(G)}^2$ in the class of all functions analytic in G with the normalization $f(z_0) = 0, f'(z_0) = 1$. On the other hand, let Π_n be the class of all polynomials p_n of degree at most n satisfying the conditions $p_n(z_0) = 0, p'_n(z_0) = 1$. Then the integral $\|p_n\|_{L^1_2(G)}^2$ is minimized in Π_n by a unique polynomial π_n which is called the n th Bieberbach polynomial for the pair (G, z_0) .

If G is a Carathéodory domain, then $\|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{L^1_2(G)} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and from this it follows that $\pi_n(z) \rightarrow \varphi_0(z)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for $z \in G$, uniformly on compact subsets of G .

First of all, the uniform convergence of the sequence $\{\pi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \overline{G} was investigated by M. V. Keldych. He showed [20] that if the boundary L of G is a smooth Jordan curve with bounded curvature then the following estimate holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}} \leq \frac{c_{10}}{n^{1-\varepsilon}}.$$

In [20] the author also gives an example of domains G with a Jordan rectifiable boundary L for which the appropriate sequence of the Bieberbach polynomials diverges on a set which is everywhere dense in L .

Furthermore, S. N. Mergelyan [22] has shown that the Bieberbach polynomials satisfy

$$(8) \quad \|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}} \leq \frac{c_{11}}{n^{1/2-\varepsilon}}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, whenever L is a smooth Jordan curve.

In addition to this the author [22] noted it is possible to replace the exponent $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ in (8) by $1 - \varepsilon$.

Therefore the uniform convergence of the sequence $\{\pi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \overline{G} and the estimate of the error $\|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}}$ depend on the geometric properties of boundary L . If L has a certain degree of smoothness, this error tends to zero with a certain speed. In several papers (see, e.g., [25], [24], [3], [4], [12], [13]) various estimates of the error $\|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}}$ and sufficient conditions on the geometry of the boundary L are given to guarantee the uniform convergence of the Bieberbach polynomials on \overline{G} . More extensive knowledge about them can be found in [4], [12].

To the best of the author's knowledge in the literature there are no results improving the above cited Mergelyan's result yet. In this section, applying Theorem 2, we give a result which improves estimate (8).

For the mapping φ_0 and a weight function ω we set

$$\varepsilon_n(\varphi'_0)_2 := \inf_{p_n} \|\varphi'_0 - p_n\|_{L_2(G)}, \quad E_n^\circ(\varphi'_0, \omega)_2 := \inf_{p_n} \|\varphi'_0 - p_n\|_{L^2(L, \omega)},$$

where inf is taken over all polynomials p_n of degree at most n .

At first we prove the following result, about the A_p -properties of the conformal maps φ_0 and φ .

Lemma 12. *Let G be a finite domain with a smooth boundary L . Then the functions $1/|\varphi'_0|$ and $1/|\varphi'|$ belong to $A_p(L)$ for every $p \in (1, \infty)$.*

Proof. We prove only the relation $1/|\varphi'_0| \in A_p(L)$. The other relation is proved similarly. Moreover, taking into account the property $A_{p_1}(L) \subset A_{p_2}(L)$ for $p_1 < p_2$, it is sufficient to consider the case $1 < p < 2$.

Since L is smooth, Theorem 3 of [10] states that, for every $p > 1$:

$$(9) \quad |\varphi'|, |\varphi'_0| \in A_p(L) \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi'_0| \in A_p(\partial D(0, r_0)).$$

It is easy to verify that the relation $|\psi'_0| \in A_p(\partial D(0, r_0))$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$(10) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0|^q |dz| \right)^{1/q} \bigg/ \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0| |dz| \right) \leq c < \infty,$$

for every arc $I \subset L$,

where $q := p/(p - 1)$. If we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0|^{-1} |dz| \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0|^{1/(p-1)} |dz| \right)^{p-1} \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0| |dz| \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0|^{-1} |dz| \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0|^{1/(p-1)} |dz| \right)^{p-1} \bigg/ \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\varphi'_0| |dz| \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

then the first factor is bounded because $|\varphi'_0| \in A_2(L)$. Further, applying inequality (10) for $q = 1/(p - 1)$ we obtain the boundedness of the second factor. This completes the proof. ■

Now we can formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 13. *Let G be a finite domain with a smooth Jordan boundary L . Then the Bieberbach polynomials π_n , for the pair (G, z_0) , satisfy*

$$(11) \quad \|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}} \leq c_{12} \left(\frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{1/2} \Omega_{2, \omega_0} \left(\varphi'_0 [\psi(w)] (\psi'(w))^{1/2}, \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad n \geq 2,$$

where $\omega := 1/|\varphi'|$, $\omega_0 := |\psi'|$, and $\Omega_{2, \omega_0}(\cdot, 1/n)$ is the ω_0 -weighted integral modulus of continuity of order 2 for $\varphi'_0[\psi(w)](\psi'(w))^{1/2}$.

Proof. Since G is a finite domain with a smooth boundary, the functions $|\varphi'|$ and $1/|\varphi'_0|$ belong to $A_p(L)$ for every $p > 1$, by (9) and Lemma 9, respectively. Then by means of Hölder's inequality we get $\varphi'_0 \in L^2(L, 1/|\varphi'|)$. On the other hand $\varphi'_0 \in E^1(G)$. Hence, by definition, we have $\varphi'_0 \in E^2(G, 1/|\varphi'|)$. Then the result [8, (Theorem 11, Remark (ii))] states that, for φ'_0 , $\omega := 1/|\varphi'|$ and $p = 2$:

$$(12) \quad \varepsilon_n(\varphi'_0)_2 \leq c_{13} n^{-1/2} E_n^\circ \left(\varphi'_0, \frac{1}{|\varphi'|} \right)_2.$$

For the polynomials $q_n(z)$, best approximating φ'_0 in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(G)}$, we set

$$Q_n(z) := \int_{z_0}^z q_n(t) dt, \quad t_n(z) := Q_n(z) + [1 - q_n(z_0)](z - z_0).$$

Then $t_n(z_0) = 0, t'_n(z_0) = 1$ and from (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad \|\varphi'_0 - t'_n\|_{L_2(G)} &= \|\varphi'_0 - q_n - 1 + q_n(z_0)\|_{L_2(G)} \\ &\leq \|\varphi'_0 - q_n\|_{L_2(G)} + \|1 - q_n(z_0)\|_{L_2(G)} \\ &\leq c_{13}n^{-1/2}E_n^\circ\left(\varphi'_0, \frac{1}{|\varphi'|}\right)_2 + \|\varphi'_0(z_0) - q_n(z_0)\|_{L_2(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the inequality

$$|f(z_0)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L_2(G)}}{\text{dist}(z_0, L)},$$

which holds for every analytic function f with $\|f\|_{L_2(G)} < \infty$, from (13) and (12) we get

$$\|\varphi'_0 - t'_n\|_{L_2(G)} \leq c_{13}n^{-1/2}E_n^\circ\left(\varphi'_0, \frac{1}{|\varphi'|}\right)_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_n(\varphi'_0)_2}{\text{dist}(z_0, L)} \leq c_{14}n^{-1/2}E_n^\circ\left(\varphi'_0, \frac{1}{|\varphi'|}\right)_2.$$

So, according to the extremal property of the polynomials π_n , we have

$$(14) \quad \|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{L_2^1(G)} \leq c_{14}n^{-1/2}E_n^\circ\left(\varphi'_0, \frac{1}{|\varphi'|}\right)_2.$$

Further applying Andrievskii's [3] polynomial lemma

$$\|p_n\|_{\overline{G}} \leq c(\ln n)^{1/2}\|p_n\|_{L_2^1(G)},$$

which holds for every polynomial p_n of degree $\leq n$ with $p_n(z_0) = 0$, and using the familiar method of Simonenko [24] and Andrievskii [4], from (14) we get

$$(15) \quad \|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}} \leq c_{15}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{1/2}E_n^\circ\left(\varphi'_0, \frac{1}{|\varphi'|}\right)_2, \quad n \geq 2.$$

On the other hand, as is shown above, $\varphi'_0 \in E^2(G, 1/|\varphi'|)$, and by Lemma 9 the function $\omega = 1/|\varphi'|$ belongs to $A_2(L)$. In addition, by [8, Lemma 3] $\omega_0 = |\psi'| \in A_2(T)$. Since every smooth Jordan boundary L belongs to S , finally we see that, the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then relation (15) and Theorem 2 complete the proof. ■

The following improvement of Mergelyan's estimation (8) immediately follows from Theorem 4.

Corollary 14. *Let G be a finite domain with a smooth Jordan boundary L . Then the Bieberbach polynomials π_n , for the pair (G, z_0) , satisfy*

$$(16) \quad \|\varphi_0 - \pi_n\|_{\overline{G}} \leq c_{16}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{1/2}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

In fact, estimation (11) is better than (16), because it contains the factor $\Omega_{2,\omega_0}(\varphi'_0[\psi(w)](\psi'(w))^{1/2}, 1/n)$ which also tends to zero with a certain speed.

Acknowledgment. The author is indebted to the referees for valuable suggestions.

References

1. L. Y. ALPER (1960): *Approximation in the mean of analytic functions of class E^p* (Russian). Moscow: Gos. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., pp. 272–286.
2. J. E. ANDERSSON (1977): *On the degree of polynomial approximation in $E^p(D)$* . J. Approx. Theory, **19**:61–68.
3. V. V. ANDRIEVSKII (1983): *Convergence of Bieberbach polynomials in domains with quasiconformal boundary*. Ukrainian Math. J., **35**:233–236.
4. V. V. ANDRIEVSKII (1983): *Uniform convergence of Bieberbach polynomials in domains with piecewise-quasiconformal boundary* (Russian). In: Theory of Mappings and Approximation of Functions. Kiev: Naukova Dumka, pp. 3–18.
5. A. ÇAVUŞ, D. M. ISRAFILOV (1995): *Approximation by Faber–Laurent rational functions in the mean of functions of the class $L_p(\Gamma)$ with $1 < p < \infty$* . Approx. Theory Appl., **11**:105–118.
6. G. DAVID (1984): *Operateurs integraux singuliers sur certaines courbes du plan complexe*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), **17**:157–189.
7. P. L. DUREN (1970): *Theory of H^p -Spaces*. New York: Academic Press.
8. E. M. DYN'KIN (1981): *The rate of polynomial approximation in the complex domain*. In: Complex Analysis and Spectral Theory (Leningrad, 1979/1980). Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 90–142.
9. E. M. DYN'KIN, B. P. OSILENKER (1983): *Weighted estimates for singular integrals and their applications*. In: Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 21. Moscow: Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., pp. 42–129.
10. E. M. DYN'KIN (1977): *Estimates for analytic functions in Jordan domains*. Zap. Nauch. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI), **73**:70–90, 231 (1978). Investigations on Linear Operators and the Theory of Functions, VIII.
11. D. GAIER (1987): *Lectures on Complex Approximation*. Boston: Birkhäuser.
12. D. GAIER (1988): *On the convergence of the Bieberbach polynomials in region with corners*. Constr. Approx., **4**:289–305.
13. D. GAIER (1998): *Polynomial approximation of conformal maps*. Constr. Approx., **14**:27–40.
14. G. M. GOLUSIN (1969): *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*. Translation of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 26. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
15. E. A. HACIYEVA (1986): *Investigation the properties of functions with quasimonotone Fourier coefficients in generalized Nikolsky–Besov spaces* (Russian). Author's summary of candidates dissertation, Tbilisi.
16. V. P. HAVIN (1965): *Boundary properties of integrals of Cauchy type and conjugate harmonic functions in regions with rectifiable boundary* (Russian). Math. Sb. (N.S.), **68**(110): 499–517.
17. R. A. HUNT, W. S. YOUNG (1974): *A weighted norm inequalities for Fourier series*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **80**:274–277.
18. I. I. IBRAGIMOV, D. I. MAMEDHANOV (1975): *A constructive characterization of a certain class of functions*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **223**:35–37; Soviet Math. Dokl., **4**(1976):820–823.
19. D. M. ISRAFILOV (1987): *Approximate properties of the generalized Faber series in an integral metric* (Russian). Izv. Akad. Nauk Az. SSR, Ser Fiz.-Tekh. Math. Nauk, **2**:10–14.
20. M. V. KELDYCH (1939): *Sur l'approximation en moyenne quadratique des fonctions analytiques*. Math. Sb., **5**(47):391–401.
21. V. M. KOKILASHVILI (1969): *A direct theorem on mean approximation of analytic functions by polynomials*. Soviet Math. Dokl., **10**:411–414.
22. S. N. MERGELYAN (1951): *Certain questions of the constructive theory of functions* (Russian). Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov, **37**:1–91.
23. B. MUCKENHOUPT (1972): *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **165**:207–226.
24. I. B. SIMONENKO (1978): *On the convergence of Bieberbach polynomials in the case of a Lipschitz domain*. Math. USSR-Izv., **13**:166–174.
25. P. K. SUETIN (1974): *Polynomials orthogonal over a region and Bieberbach polynomials*. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., Vol. 100. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.

26. P. K. SUETIN (1984): Series of Faber Polynomials. Moscow: Nauka; New York: Gordon and Breach (1998).
27. J. L. WALSH, H. G. RUSSEL (1959): *Integrated continuity conditions and degree of approximation by polynomials or by bounded analytic functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **92**:355–370.
28. S. WARSCHAWSKI (1932): *Über das Randverhalten der Ableitung der Abbildungsfunktionen bei konformer Abbildung*. Math. Z., **35**:321–456.
29. M. WEHRENS (1981): *Best approximation on the unit sphere in R^n* . Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach. August 9–16, 1980, Basel e.a. pp. 233–245. Funct. Anal. Approx.

D. M. Israfilov
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Arts and Sciences
Balikesir University
10100 Balikesir
Turkey
mdaniyal@mail.balikesir.edu.tr