

On residual lifetime of coherent systems after the r th failure

Serkan Eryilmaz

Received: 20 January 2011 / Revised: 22 October 2011 / Published online: 17 December 2011
© Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract In this article we study the residual lifetime of a coherent system after the r th failure, i.e. the time elapsed from the r th failure until the system failure given that the system operates at the time of the r th failure. We provide a mixture representation for the corresponding residual lifetime distribution in terms of signature. We also obtain some stochastic ordering results for the residual lifetimes.

Keywords Coherent system · Residual lifetime · Signature · Stochastic ordering

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 60K10 · 60E15

1 Introduction

Residual lifetime is an important characteristic in both reliability and survival analysis. It has been well studied especially in the context of reliability. Traditionally, for a lifetime random variable T , the corresponding residual lifetime is defined as $\{T - t \mid T > t\}$ and represents the residual lifetime after time t given that the unit/system has survived beyond time t . For a system consisting of n components, various type of residual lifetime random variables have been defined and studied (Asadi and Bayramoglu 2006; Khaledi and Shaked 2007; Asadi and Goliforushani 2008; Poursaeed and Nematollahi 2008; Samaniego et al. 2009; Poursaeed 2010). Recently, Bairamov and Arnold 2008 studied the residual lifetimes of the remaining functioning components at the time of the k th failure. These new definitions are all related to the order statistics associated with components' lifetimes.

S. Eryilmaz (✉)

Department of Industrial Engineering, Atilim University, Incek, 06836 Ankara, Turkey
e-mail: seryilmaz@atilim.edu.tr

Let $T = \phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the lifetime of a coherent system consisting of n components whose lifetimes are X_1, \dots, X_n . If X_1, \dots, X_n have the common continuous distribution then the well-known mixture representation for the survival function of T is given by (Samaniego 1985):

$$P\{T > t\} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i P\{X_{i:n} > t\}, \tag{1}$$

where $X_{i:n}$ is the i th smallest among X_1, \dots, X_n . The representation 1 also holds for any coherent system with components having absolutely continuous joint distribution (Navarro and Rychlik 2007). In 1 the vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ of coefficients represents the system signature with $p_i = P\{T = X_{i:n}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. The signature of a system does not depend on the distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n because $P\{X_1 < \dots < X_n\} = P\{X_{\pi(1)} < \dots < X_{\pi(n)}\}$ holds for any permutation $\pi = (\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$.

In fact, for a coherent structure ϕ its signature has the form

$$\mathbf{p} = (0, \dots, 0, p_{k_\phi}, p_{k_\phi+1}, \dots, p_{z_\phi+1}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where k_ϕ represents the minimum number of failed components that may cause system failure and z_ϕ is the maximum number of failed components such that the system can still work.

The signature-based mixture representation of residual lifetime of a coherent system has been found to be useful to obtain stochastic ordering results (Li and Zhang 2008; Navarro et al. 2005; Navarro et al. 2010; Tavangar and Asadi 2010; Zhang 2010a,b; Eryılmaz 2011).

In the present article we study the residual lifetime of a coherent system after the r th failure, i.e. the time elapsed from the r th failure until the system failure given that the system operates at the time of the r th failure. If $r < k_\phi$ then the system functions with probability 1 at the time r th failure. Thus the residual lifetime of the system after the r th component failure is given by $\{T - X_{r:n} \mid T > X_{r:n}\}$ for $r = 1, \dots, z_\phi$. This conditional random variable is potentially useful to determine replacement and maintenance strategies before the system failure. Obviously, the inspection of component failures is important for this purpose. A physical mechanism can be setup to realize the failures occur in the system.

In Sect. 2 of the article we provide a signature based mixture representation for the residual lifetime defined as $\{T - X_{r:n} \mid T > X_{r:n}\}$ and via this mixture representation we obtain some stochastic comparison results for two systems having different structures. Some examples are also presented for illustrating the theoretical results.

2 The results

The following result is a mixture representation for the survival function of the conditional random variable $\{T - X_{r:n} \mid T > X_{r:n}\}$.

Theorem 1 Let $T = \phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the lifetime of a coherent system consisting of iid component lifetimes X_1, \dots, X_n . Then for $r \leq z_\phi$,

$$P\{T - X_{r:n} > t \mid T > X_{r:n}\} = \sum_{i=r+1}^n p_i(r) P\{X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} > t\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{p}(r) = (0, \dots, 0, p_{r+1}(r), \dots, p_{z_\phi+1}(r), 0, \dots, 0)$ with

$$p_i(r) = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{i=r+1}^{z_\phi+1} p_i}.$$

Proof By the total probability law

$$\begin{aligned} &P\{T - X_{r:n} > t \mid T > X_{r:n}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{P\{T > X_{r:n}\}} \sum_{i=r+1}^n P\{T - X_{r:n} > t, T > X_{r:n}, T = X_{i:n}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{P\{T > X_{r:n}\}} \sum_{i=r+1}^n P\{X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} > t \mid T = X_{i:n}\} P\{T = X_{i:n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the independence of the order statistics with their ranks (see, e.g. [Kochar et al. \(1999, Theorem 1\)](#)) one obtains

$$P\{T - X_{r:n} > t \mid T > X_{r:n}\} = \sum_{i=r+1}^n \frac{p_i}{P\{T > X_{r:n}\}} P\{X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} > t\}.$$

The proof is completed by noting that $P\{T > X_{r:n}\} = \sum_{i=r+1}^{z_\phi+1} p_i$. □

The expression 2 is a mixture representation for the residual lifetime of a coherent system after the r th failure. We call the vector $\mathbf{p}(r)$ as a truncated signature vector because

$$\sum_{i=r+1}^{z_\phi+1} p_i(r) = 1.$$

The signature vector $\mathbf{p}(r)$ is closely related to the dynamic signature defined in [Samaniego et al. \(2009, p. 580\)](#). The only difference between two vectors is that the first zeros are deleted in dynamic signature vector.

It should also be noted that the survival function 2 is a mixture of residual lifetimes of i -out-of- n :F systems (the system which fails if and only if at least i of n components fail) since for $i > r$, $X_{i:n} - X_{r:n}$ is the residual lifetime of i -out-of- n :F systems after the r th failure.

Note that $\{T - X_{r:n} \mid T > X_{r:n}\}$ is not the usual residual lifetime defined by $\{T - t \mid T > t\}$. A mixture representation for the usual residual lifetime $\{T - t \mid T > t\}$ was presented in Navarro et al. (2008).

Example 1 A consecutive k -out-of- n :G system is a system that consists of n components and functions if and only if at least k consecutive components function (see, e.g. Eryılmaz 2010). Let $n = 5$ and $k = 3$. Then the lifetime of consecutive 3-out-of-5:G system can be represented as

$$T = \max(\min(X_1, X_2, X_3), \min(X_2, X_3, X_4), \min(X_3, X_4, X_5)).$$

For this structure we have $k_\phi = 1, z_\phi = 2$ and

$$\mathbf{p} = \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, 0, 0 \right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}(1) &= \left(0, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, 0, 0 \right), \\ \mathbf{p}(2) &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $r = 1$ and $r = 2$ we respectively have

$$\begin{aligned} P \{T - X_{1:5} > t \mid T > X_{1:5}\} &= \frac{5}{8} P \{X_{2:5} - X_{1:5} > t\} + \frac{3}{8} P \{X_{3:5} - X_{1:5} > t\}, \\ P \{T - X_{2:5} > t \mid T > X_{2:5}\} &= P \{X_{3:5} - X_{2:5} > t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 (Gather 1988) *A continuous cdf $F(t)$, strictly increasing for $t > 0$, is exponential if and only if $X_{j:n} - X_{i:n}$ and $X_{j-i:n-i}$ have identical distribution for some $i, n, j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$.*

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1 *Let $T = \phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the lifetime of a coherent system consisting of iid exponential components with $P \{X_i > t\} = e^{-\lambda t}, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$P \{T - X_{r:n} > t \mid T > X_{r:n}\} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-r} p_{m+r}(r) P \{X_{m:n-r} > t\}.$$

It is worth mentioning that the expression given in Corollary 1 is similar to that obtained from expression (2.5) in Samaniego et al. (2009) in the case of exponential components.

Corollary 2 *Under the conditions of Theorem 1,*

$$\{T - X_{z_\phi:n} \mid T > X_{z_\phi:n}\} \stackrel{d}{=} X'_{1:n-z_\phi},$$

where $X'_{1:n-z_\phi} = \min(X'_1, \dots, X'_{n-z_\phi})$ and $X'_1, \dots, X'_{n-z_\phi}$ are the residual lifetimes of the remaining components after the z_ϕ th failure in the system.

Corollary 2 can be explained as follows. Since z_ϕ is the maximum number of failed components such that the system can still operate successfully, after the z_ϕ th failure in the system there are still $n - z_\phi$ functioning components. Thus the system will fail if at least one of these components fail and hence the residual lifetime of the system after the z_ϕ th failure is equal to the minimum lifetime of the remaining components.

In view of Theorem 2 we have the following result.

Corollary 3 Let $T = \phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the lifetime of a coherent system consisting of iid components with common continuous strictly increasing cdf $F(t) = P\{X_i \leq t\}, i = 1, \dots, n, t > 0. F(t)$ is exponential if and only if

$$\{T - X_{z_\phi:n} \mid T > X_{z_\phi:n}\} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{1:n-z_\phi}.$$

In the following we present some stochastic ordering results for residual lifetimes of two systems having different structures. Before the results we summarize the definitions of various stochastic orderings. Let X and Y be two lifetime random variables with respective survival functions $\bar{F}(t)$ and $\bar{G}(t). X$ is said to be smaller than Y in the

- (a) Usual stochastic order (denoted by $X \leq_{st} Y$) if $\bar{F}(t) \leq \bar{G}(t)$ for all t .
- (b) Hazard rate order (denoted by $X \leq_{hr} Y$) if $\bar{F}(t)/\bar{G}(t)$ is decreasing in t .
- (c) Likelihood ratio order (denoted by $X \leq_{lr} Y$) if $f(t)/g(t)$ is decreasing for all t , where $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ represent respectively the density functions of X and Y .

For two discrete distributions $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$,

- (a) $\mathbf{p} \leq_{st} \mathbf{q}$ if $\sum_{j=i}^n p_j \leq \sum_{j=i}^n q_j$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,
- (b) $\mathbf{p} \leq_{hr} \mathbf{q}$ if $\sum_{j=i}^n p_j / \sum_{j=i}^n q_j$ is decreasing in i ,
- (c) $\mathbf{p} \leq_{lr} \mathbf{q}$ if p_i/q_i is decreasing in i , when $p_i, q_i > 0$.

The proof of the next Theorem is immediate from representation 2 and the mixture preservation results included in Shaked and Shanthikumar (2007).

Theorem 3 Let \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} be the signatures of two coherent systems $T_1 = \phi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $T_2 = \phi_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with common component distribution. For $r \leq \min(z_{\phi_1}, z_{\phi_2})$,

- (a) If $\mathbf{p}(r) \leq_{st} \mathbf{q}(r)$ then $\{T_1 - X_{r:n} \mid T_1 > X_{r:n}\} \leq_{st} \{T_2 - X_{r:n} \mid T_2 > X_{r:n}\}$.
- (b) If $\mathbf{p}(r) \leq_{hr} \mathbf{q}(r)$ and $X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} \leq_{hr} X_{i+1:n} - X_{r:n}, i = r + 1, \dots, n - 1$ then $\{T_1 - X_{r:n} \mid T_1 > X_{r:n}\} \leq_{hr} \{T_2 - X_{r:n} \mid T_2 > X_{r:n}\}$.
- (c) If $\mathbf{p}(r) \leq_{lr} \mathbf{q}(r)$ and $X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} \leq_{lr} X_{i+1:n} - X_{r:n}, i = r + 1, \dots, n - 1$ then $\{T_1 - X_{r:n} \mid T_1 > X_{r:n}\} \leq_{lr} \{T_2 - X_{r:n} \mid T_2 > X_{r:n}\}$.

Example 2 Let T_1 and T_2 denote respectively the lifetimes of consecutive 3-out-of-5:G and consecutive 2-out-of-5:G systems with respective signatures $\mathbf{p} = (\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (0, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, 0)$. Let $r = 2$, then

$$\mathbf{p}(2) = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{q}(2) = \left(0, 0, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, 0\right).$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{p}(2) \leq_{lr} \mathbf{q}(2)$ and hence $\mathbf{p}(2) \leq_{hr} \mathbf{q}(2), \mathbf{p}(2) \leq_{st} \mathbf{q}(2)$. Thus $\{T_1 - X_{2:5} \mid T_1 > X_{2:5}\} \leq_{st} \{T_2 - X_{2:5} \mid T_2 > X_{2:5}\}$. If $X_{i:5} - X_{2:5} \leq_{lr} X_{i+1:5} - X_{2:5}, i = 3, 4$ then $\{T_1 - X_{2:5} \mid T_1 > X_{2:5}\} \leq_{hr} \{T_2 - X_{2:5} \mid T_2 > X_{2:5}\}$ and $\{T_1 - X_{2:5} \mid T_1 > X_{2:5}\} \leq_{lr} \{T_2 - X_{2:5} \mid T_2 > X_{2:5}\}$.

Corollary 4 Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, T_1$ and T_2 be defined as in Theorem 3 and X_1, \dots, X_n be iid exponential components.

- (a) If $\mathbf{p}(r) \leq_{hr} \mathbf{q}(r)$ then $\{T_1 - X_{r:n} \mid T_1 > X_{r:n}\} \leq_{hr} \{T_2 - X_{r:n} \mid T_2 > X_{r:n}\}$.
- (b) If $\mathbf{p}(r) \leq_{lr} \mathbf{q}(r)$ then $\{T_1 - X_{r:n} \mid T_1 > X_{r:n}\} \leq_{lr} \{T_2 - X_{r:n} \mid T_2 > X_{r:n}\}$.

Proof It is well known that for a sequence of iid random variables we have $X_{i:n} \leq_{lr} X_{i+1:n}$ and hence $X_{i:n} \leq_{hr} X_{i+1:n}$. Thus the proof follows from Theorem 2 since

$$X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{i-r:n-r} \leq_{lr} X_{i-r+1:n-r} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{i+1:n} - X_{r:n}.$$

□

The mean residual lifetime (MRL) of a coherent system after the r th failure can be computed from

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{r,n} &= E(T - X_{r:n} \mid T > X_{r:n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^n p_i(r) [E(X_{i:n}) - E(X_{r:n})]. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let X and Y be random variables with respective distribution functions F and G . X is smaller than a random variable Y in dispersion (denoted by $F \leq_{disp} G$) if

$$F^{-1}(\beta) - F^{-1}(\alpha) \leq G^{-1}(\beta) - G^{-1}(\alpha)$$

for all $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < 1$.

Proposition 1 Let $T_1 = \phi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $T_2 = \phi(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ be the lifetimes of two coherent systems with the same structure but different kind of components, where $F(t) = P\{X_i \leq t\}$ and $G(t) = P\{Y_i \leq t\}, i = 1, \dots, n$. If $F \leq_{disp} G$ then

$$E(T_1 - X_{r:n} \mid T_1 > X_{r:n}) \leq E(T_2 - Y_{r:n} \mid T_2 > Y_{r:n}).$$

Proof If $F \leq_{disp} G$ then $X_{i:n} - X_{r:n} \leq_{st} Y_{i:n} - Y_{r:n}$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, n$ (see, e.g. David and Nagaraja (2003, p.78)). Thus the proof follows from the definition of $\varphi_{r,n}$.

□

Example 3 For the system described in Example 1, using 3 the MRL functions are found to be

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{1,5} &= E(T - X_{1:5} \mid T > X_{1:5}) = \frac{3}{8}E(X_{3:5}) + \frac{5}{8}E(X_{2:5}) - E(X_{1:5}), \\ \varphi_{2,5} &= E(T - X_{2:5} \mid T > X_{2:5}) = E(X_{3:5}) - E(X_{2:5}).\end{aligned}$$

If the common component distribution is exponential, then using Corollary 2 we can also obtain the following alternative expressions.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{1,5} &= \frac{5}{8}E(X_{1:4}) + \frac{3}{8}E(X_{2:4}), \\ \varphi_{2,5} &= E(X_{1:3}).\end{aligned}$$

It is well known that if the common component distribution is exponential with mean $1/\lambda$, then

$$E(X_{i:n}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{n-j+1},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, under the assumption of exponential distribution

$$\varphi_{1,5} = \frac{3}{8\lambda}, \quad \varphi_{2,5} = \frac{1}{3\lambda}.$$

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions, which have substantially improved the article.

References

- Asadi M, Bayramoglu I (2006) On the mean residual life function of the k -out-of- n systems at system level. *IEEE Trans Reliab* 55:314–318
- Asadi M, Goliforushani S (2008) On the mean residual life function of coherent systems. *IEEE Trans Reliab* 57:574–580
- Bairamov I, Arnold BC (2008) On the residual lifelengths of the remaining components in an $n - k + 1$ out of n system. *Stat Probab Lett* 78:945–952
- David HA, Nagaraja HN (2003) *Order Statistics*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, Wiley, Hoboken
- Eryilmaz S (2010) Review of recent advances in reliability of consecutive k -out-of- n and related systems. *Proc Instit Mech Eng Part O. J Risk Reliab* 224:225–237
- Eryilmaz S (2011) Circular consecutive k -out-of- n systems with exchangeable dependent components. *J Stat Plan Inference* 141:725–733
- Gather U (1988) On a characterization of the exponential distribution by properties of order statistics. *Stat Probab Lett* 7:93–96
- Khaledi B-E, Shaked M (2007) Ordering conditional lifetimes of coherent systems. *J Stat Plan Inference* 137:1173–1184
- Kochar S, Mukerjee H, Samaniego FJ (1999) The “signature” of a coherent system and its application to comparison among systems. *Nav Res Logist* 46:507–523
- Li X, Zhang Z (2008) Some stochastic comparisons of conditional coherent systems. *Appl Stoch Models Bus Ind* 24:541–549

- Navarro J, Ruiz JM, Sandoval CJ (2005) A note on comparisons among coherent systems with dependent components using signatures. *Stat Probab Lett* 72:179–185
- Navarro J, Rychlik T (2007) Reliability and expectation bounds for coherent systems with exchangeable components. *J Multivar Anal* 98:102–113
- Navarro J, Balakrishnan N, Samaniego FJ (2008) Mixture representations of residual lifetimes of used systems. *J Appl Probab* 45:1097–1112
- Navarro J, Samaniego FJ, Balakrishnan N (2010) Joint signature of coherent systems with shared components. *J Appl Probab* 47:235–253
- Poursaeed MH, Nematollahi AR (2008) On the mean past and the mean residual life under double monitoring. *Commun Stat-Theory Method* 37:1119–1133
- Poursaeed MH (2010) A note on the mean past and the mean residual life of a $(n - k + 1)$ -out-of- n system under multi-monitoring. *Stat Papers* 51:409–419
- Samaniego FJ (1985) On closure of the IFR class under formation of coherent systems. *IEEE Trans Reliab* R-34:69–72
- Samaniego FJ, Balakrishnan N, Navarro J (2009) Dynamic signatures and their use in comparing the reliability of new and used systems. *Nav Res Logist* 56:577–591
- Shaked M, Shanthikumar JG (2007) *Stochastic orders and their applications*. Springer, New York
- Tavangar M, Asadi M (2010) A study on the mean past lifetime of the components of $(n - k + 1)$ -out-of- n system at the system level. *Metrika* 72:59–73
- Zhang Z (2010) Ordering conditional general coherent systems with exchangeable components. *J Stat Plan Inference* 140:454–460
- Zhang Z (2010) Mixture representations of inactivity times of conditional coherent systems and their applications. *J Appl Probab* 47:876–885