

Stones in special situations

Mordechai Duvdevani¹ · Stavros Sfoungaristos² · Karim Bensalah³ · Benoit Peyronnet³ · Amy Krambeck⁴ · Sanjay Khadji⁵ · Ahmet Muslumanoglu⁶ · David Leavitt⁷ · Jude Divers⁷ · Zeph Okeke⁷ · Arthur Smith⁷ · Janelle Fox⁸ · Michael Ost⁸ · Andreas J. Gross⁹ · Hassan Razvi¹⁰

Received: 4 January 2017 / Accepted: 24 January 2017 / Published online: 7 March 2017
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2017

Abstract There are several special situations in which urinary lithiasis presents management challenges to the urologist. An in-depth knowledge of the pathophysiology, unique anatomy, and treatment options is crucial in order to maintain good health in these patients. In this review, we summarize the current literature on the management of the following scenarios: bladder stones, stones in bowel disease, during pregnancy, in association with renal anomalies, with skeletal deformities, in urinary diversions, and in children.

Keywords Urolithiasis · PCNL · Ureterscopy · SWL

Introduction

There are several special situations in which urinary lithiasis presents management challenges to the urologist. An in-depth knowledge of the pathophysiology, unique anatomy, and treatment options is crucial in order to maintain good health in these patients. In this review, we summarize the current literature on the management of the following scenarios: bladder stones, stones in bowel disease, during pregnancy, in association with renal anomalies, with skeletal deformities, in urinary diversions, and in children.

Bladder lithiasis

Bladder lithiasis represents 5% of urinary stones [1]. Several causes have been implicated in the formation process, including bladder outlet obstruction (BOO), neurogenic bladder disorders, infections, augmentation of the bladder, and foreign bodies.

Bladder stones can be classified as migrant, primary idiopathic, and secondary. Migrant stones reach the bladder by passing from the upper urinary tract and can grow to a large size mainly in cases with underlying BOO.

Stone composition is mainly uric acid and calcium oxalate. Urease-producing microorganisms, mainly *Proteus*, *Klebsiella*, and *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, increase urinary pH and promote supersaturation and bladder stone formation [1, 2].

Bladder stone management has dramatically changed during the last decades. Transurethral disintegration represents the main endoscopic technique, with or without transurethral resection of the prostate. The theory that supports the fact that bladder lithiasis represents an absolute indication for prostate removal has lately been questioned with

✉ Mordechai Duvdevani
moti_duv@yahoo.com

¹ Hadassah Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel

² 1st Department of Urology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, G. Gennimatas Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

³ Centre Hospital, University of Rennes, Rennes, France

⁴ Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

⁵ Nidan Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁶ Bagcilar Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul Bilim University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁷ Long Island Jewish Medical Centre, New Hyde Park, NY, USA

⁸ Asklepios Campus, Hamburg, Germany

⁹ Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh University Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

¹⁰ Western University, London, ON, Canada

contemporary reports demonstrating medical treatment remains an alternative therapeutic option [3].

A number of lithotripters have been employed for stone fragmentation, including mechanical, electrohydraulic, pneumatic, ultrasonic, and Holmium laser [4–11].

Percutaneous techniques have been described for the management of large calculi mainly as an alternative to open techniques with stone-free rates reported between 89% and 100% [12–16]. History of bladder cancer, previous abdominal surgery, and radiation to the pelvis may represent a contraindication to this approach.

Concomitant transurethral and percutaneous suprapubic cystolithotripsy using a laparoscopic entrapment sac have been evaluated with good results [17–22].

Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) as an alternate for high-risk patients may have a role in select patients. Removal of stone fragments is not feasible, which however results in lower stone-free rates than other options. The overall reported success rates are between 72 and 99.4% [23–29].

Stones and bowel disease

Bowel diseases can be responsible for fluid–electrolyte imbalance, and can thereby increase urinary stone formation [30]. Many patients with bowel disease suffer from chronic dehydration and have decreased urine output. Other pathophysiologic mechanisms depend on the segment of the gastrointestinal tract that is affected. Bowel pathologies responsible for stone disease are divided into:

- a. Small bowel diseases: responsible for malabsorption and steatorrhea associated with enteric hyperoxaluria and low urinary excretion of magnesium and citrate causing mainly calcium oxalate stones.
- b. Colonic lesions: responsible for decreased urine volume and decreased urine pH due to loss of water, salt, and bicarbonates in diarrheal stool causing mainly uric acid stones.
- c. Lack of an oxalate-degrading bacteria (*Oxalobacter formigenes*) in the intestinal flora leading to enhanced oxalate absorption and hyperoxaluria.

The types of urinary stones most commonly seen in patients with bowel diseases include calcium oxalate, uric acid and ammonium acid urate [31, 32].

Patients who have undergone bariatric surgery, such as gastric/intestinal bypass surgery represent a special subgroup. Valezi et al. examined 151 obese patients after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery to detect possible predictors for stone formation. These patients were assessed before surgery and followed for 1 year. While median BMI

decreased, urinary oxalate and uric acid increased significantly postoperatively. Similarly, urine volume and pH decreased postoperatively [33].

Whether the reversal of bariatric bypass surgery will normalize the metabolic consequences of such procedures is uncertain. Dhar et al. evaluated patients with refractory stone disease after jejunoileal bypass surgery and reported on their renal function, serum and urinary metabolic stone profiles, and clinical stone formation. The 24-h urine results in all patients showed an increase in oxalate values and lower citrate excretion, parameters that normalized after reversal of their bypass procedure [34].

Patients with bowel disease and urinary stones have a high risk of recurrence.

The prevention strategies include avoiding dehydration and attaining a 24-h urine volume of >2 l, urinary alkalization, and correction of hyperoxaluria, hypocitraturia and hypomagnesuria.

Stones in pregnancy

Although rare, urolithiasis during pregnancy can adversely affect the pregnancy, with afflicted women being at increased risk of premature labor [35–39]. Furthermore, stones in pregnancy may become symptomatic more frequently due to physiologic dilation of the collecting system, allowing for migration of renal stones into the ureter [40, 41].

Although calcium oxalate is the most common stone composition observed in healthy young females [42–44], the majority of stones in a pregnant women are calcium phosphate. Moreover, stone formers with a history of stone disease convert from other stone types to calcium phosphate while pregnant [45].

Ultrasound examination of the kidney is considered to be the best initial screening tool for the evaluation of the pregnant female with flank pain. Although the sensitivity of ultrasound is highly operator dependent, ranging from 28.5 to 95.2% [46–51], it is still considered first-line testing without the risk of ionizing radiation as an adjunct endovaginal ultrasound can help to demonstrate stones in complicated cases [52].

Ultrasound-determined resistive indices (RI) have also been found to be a potential diagnostic tool during pregnancy. An elevated RI of greater than 0.70 may be a marker for urinary obstruction; however, its use of an absolute value as a cutoff for obstruction is controversial [52].

In certain instances, despite physical and ultrasound examination, the diagnosis of acute renal colic in pregnant women remains uncertain. Current studies have indicated that a single childhood exposure to a CT scan may increase the risk of developing childhood cancer [53, 54]. Thus, if

radiation imaging is needed to provide the best care for the patient, then it should be performed with the goal of limiting the total exposure. The American Urological Association (AUA) introduced imaging recommendations in 2013, which suggested the use of low-dose CT as a second-line imaging modality in the second and third trimester of pregnancy when ultrasound studies failed to secure a diagnosis [55]. MRI imaging using a half-Fourier single-shot turbo spin-echo (HASTE) protocol has also improved the time it takes to obtain MR imaging. MRI without gadolinium as a second-line imaging modality in the first trimester of pregnancy when ultrasound imaging has failed, has also been proposed [55].

Once the diagnosis of urolithiasis is made, appropriate treatment should be rendered. Treatment can range from conservative management to temporizing measures until definitive management can be rendered postpartum, to definitive management during pregnancy. The first line of therapy in uncomplicated cases is conservative or expectant management. The classic choice of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories should be avoided in pregnancy, as these medications have been linked with fetal pulmonary hypertension, and there is a risk of premature closure of the ductus arteriosus when given in the third trimester [35]. Codeine has also been shown to have a teratogenic effect in the first trimester, and thus opioid analgesia is the mainstay of therapy. Although a single case report of the use of medical expulsive therapy with alpha-blockers has been published, further study is necessary before this treatment can be recommended [56].

Temporary drainage with either a stent or nephrostomy is associated with several drawbacks, such as accelerated encrustation requiring exchange every 4–6 weeks [57, 58] during pregnancy, significant pain and discomfort [48], and frequent dislodgement [59].

When considering definitive surgical intervention, ureteroscopy is the mainstay of therapy with proven efficacy and safety. The introduction of the holmium laser, which has minimal tissue penetration and is capable of fracturing stones of any composition, has led to improved operative times and decreasing complications [60]. Laing et al. recently performed a review of the current literature and identified 15 studies with a total of 116 procedures [61]. Complete stone clearance was seen in 86% of cases and only two major complications were identified: one ureteral perforation and one case of premature uterine contraction. Another recent study from five high volume endourology institutions focused on obstetric complications in 46 patients undergoing ureteroscopic stone removal during pregnancy [62]. The study found two (4.3%) obstetric complications, both premature contractions in the 3rd trimester with one resulting in uncomplicated premature delivery at 33 weeks gestational age.

Renal stone disease in renal abnormalities

Treating stones in patients with congenital renal abnormalities is challenging. The choice of procedure must take into account the difficult access associated with the anatomical positioning of the kidney, the location of the stone, the individual surgeon's skill, and available equipment. The most common congenital abnormalities and treatment options are summarized in Table 1.

Stones in association with skeletal deformities

Patients with skeletal deformities are at an increased risk of urolithiasis and recurrence due to high rates of urinary tract infection, immobilization-induced hypercalciuria, and urinary stasis [113, 114]. The main challenge when managing these patients is distortion of bony and skin landmarks used for percutaneous access or shock wave lithotripsy.

In patients with skeletal deformities, almost all stones that form will be soft and radio-opaque. Keeping this in mind, one can recommend SWL as a first-line treatment for small kidney stones if there are no medical contraindications. However, clearance of stone fragments from the collecting system may be poor and often delayed in these patients [115]. Moreover, in the instances of severe spinal curvature or muscular contractures, difficulties in accurately localizing renal calculi should be anticipated [116].

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy and flexible ureteroscopy are effective and safe procedures with limited morbidity when compared to open surgery. Loss of skin and bony landmarks, distorted position of neighboring organs to the targeted kidney, and difficulties with imaging secondary to X-ray penetration and superposition of bony structures make all aspects of PCNL more difficult. Achieving percutaneous renal access may require ultrasound or CT guidance to avoid surrounding organ injury. Optimal positioning for PCNL can be problematic. The limited published data suggest that once renal access has been successfully obtained, PCNL has been shown to be as effective and as safe as PCNL in patients without skeletal deformities [117–119]. Stone-free rates in several current publications were 60–88.8%, but with up to 40% complication rate [113, 120–122].

Ureteroscopy in these patients can be a challenge as well. Stone-free rates of 35.7–75% and a 40% complication rate were described in several publications [123, 124].

Stones in urinary diversions

In patients with urinary diversions, stone-promoting factors include urinary stasis, mucus formation and inadequate

Table 1 Moderate-size kidney stones

Anomaly	Treatment option	Considerations
Renal ectopia	Special considerations	Congenital displacement or malposition of the kidney with associated shortened ureter and aberrant blood supply Most ectopic kidneys are malrotated [63]
	SWL	Prone position Success rates of up to 100% for a mean stone size of 15 mm [64]
	URS	Success rates are comparable with SWL The use of access sheaths is encouraged Tortuous anterior ureters are at higher risk of trauma [65]
	PCNL	Supine and prone positions [66] Complete stone clearance [67] Miniaturization of PCNL is being popularized [68]
	Open or laparoscopic surgery	In complex situations Robot-assisted stone surgery has been described [69]
Horseshoe kidney and cross-fused ectopia	Special considerations	Incomplete ascension and malrotation of the kidneys with high insertion of the ureters A fused kidney in the shape of a horseshoe that is limited by the inferior mesenteric artery [70]
	SWL	Localization problems in relation to bony anatomy and overlying bowel Best reserved for small stones in nondependent locations Success rates of 79% for stones <15 mm which dropped to 25–53% for stones >15 mm [71, 72]
	URS	Stone-free rate of 75–88% [73] Access sheaths and dilators are often required Multiple-staged procedures May be required
	PCNL	The treatment of choice for larger stones (>15 mm) or for cases that have failed ESWL [74] Aberrant vasculature as the vessels enter the isthmus dorsally, and a retrorenal colon [75] Access is often favored via the upper pole posterior calyx The access tract is longer
	Open or laparoscopic surgery	The anterior and medial nature of the renal pelvis makes port positioning challenging, and it is a rarely used modality for treating stones alone [76, 77]
Polycystic kidney disease	Special considerations	Divided into acquired cystic degenerative, congenital autosomal recessive (infantile), and Autosomal dominant (adult) polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) Stone formation in this group is five to ten times more common than the general population [78] urinary stasis, low urinary pH, and low levels of stone inhibitors [70] Uric acid stones are common (55–71%) [79]
	SWL	A stone-free rate of 20–33% in ADPKD patients and 75% in patients with multiple cysts [80, 81]
	URS	Initial stone-free rates of 84.5% rising to 92.3% with a second procedure [81, 82]
	PCNL	Access is the biggest challenge in ADPKD [70] A stone-free rate of up to 89.4% for a mean stone size of 24 mm [83, 84] Mini-PCNL has been described with an initial stone-free rate of 69.6% rising to 95.7% after repeat mini-PCNL [85]
	Open or laparoscopic surgery	Open surgery was historically the standard approach as there was concern that minimally invasive techniques would have an increased risk of causing hemorrhagic cyst formation [86] More recent experience, however, has not borne this out
Calyceal diverticulum	Special considerations	Calyceal nonsecretory, transitional cell epithelium-lined cystic cavities within the renal parenchyma Patients can be predisposed to recurrent infections and pain Stone formation within a calyceal diverticulum is reported in 10–50% of patients, with most stones being calcium oxalate or mixed stones [87]
	SWL	Stone-free rates are low due to the narrow neck of some infundibuli Stone clearance (20–58%) [88, 89] Pain-free rates after SWL are much higher (65–75%)

Table 1 (continued)

Anomaly	Treatment option	Considerations
	URS	Infundibulotomy is often required Complications include bleeding, perforation, and infection Stone-free rates of 19–82% and symptom-free rates of 38–90% [90–92] A recent series of 108 patients suggests for stones less than 2 cm, a stone-free rate of 90% is achievable with ureterorenoscopy [91]
	PCNL	The favored approach for calyceal diverticular stones Stone-free rates of over 78% [90, 93, 94] Percutaneous access can be achieved directly into the diverticulum, or indirectly via a neighboring calyx Allows for the fulguration/ablation of the diverticulum and either an infundibuloplasty or ablation of the infundibulum [95] Creation of neoinfundibulum has also been described [96]
	Open or laparoscopic surgery	Commonly favored for anterior and posterior thin-walled superficial diverticula in which retrograde access has failed, percutaneous access might be hazardous, or in which there is a large stone burden [97] Laparoscopy has high stone clearance rates (100%) but is more morbid than other options described [98]
Transplant kidney	Special considerations	The presentation of stone disease in transplanted kidneys is often late as they are denervated and so pain is not a typical feature of obstruction Fever, hematuria, and worsening renal function are not uncommon presentations [70]
	SWL	Spontaneous passage of stones has been reported, but close surveillance is advised to identify evolving obstruction or increasing stone size [99] SWL is often challenging and needs to be done prone, as the graft kidney overlies the pelvic bones and bowel interposition can be problematic, limiting efficacy of this treatment modality Stone clearance of up to 66% is reported using ESWL, but often multiple sessions are required in conjunction with adjunct stenting/nephrostomy placement and alternative treatment modalities [99–101]
	URS	Often technically difficult due to the angle and position of the ureteroneocystostomy at the dome/anterior wall of the bladder [70] Stone-free rates of 60–70% are reported in small case series [99, 102] Ex vivo ureteroscopy is described with good results to treat donor-gifted allograft lithiasis on the bench prior to transplantation [103]
	PCNL	PCNL is facilitated by the anterior position of the allograft kidney using ultrasound-guided or CT-guided puncture [104] Dilatation of the tract can be challenging due to the tough fibrous capsule that forms around transplant kidneys Antegrade access with a flexible ureteroscope is described for managing ureteric stones to limit the dilatation required [105] High stone-free rates (66–100%) are achievable with PCNL when combined with the use of flexible instrumentation and baskets [100, 101, 106]
	Open or laparoscopic surgery	Open surgery has an important role to play for transplant urolithiasis in those cases where other treatment modalities have failed, and may involve ureteric reimplantation for associated stricture disease [100, 107]
Pelviureteric junction obstruction	Special considerations	Is complicated by the presence of stones in approximately 20% of cases [108]
	PCNL	PCNL combined with endopyelotomy was reported in 90 patients, with all patients stone-free after surgery and an 8% stone recurrence rate at 7 years' follow-up [109]
	Open or laparoscopic surgery	Laparoscopic pyeloplasty and pyelolithotomy is well established with the use of flexible scope achieving stone-free rates of 80–90% over a 12-month period [110, 111] Concomitant PCNL and laparoscopic pyeloplasty have been described at the same sitting in a series of eight patients, with complete stone clearance and no residual obstruction or stone recurrence at 1 year [108] Robotic-assisted laparoscopic pyeloplasty and stone extraction is increasingly performed with equivalent outcomes at 1 year [112]

clearance, bacterial colonization, outlet obstruction, and foreign bodies such as staples and nonabsorbable sutures used to reconstruct the lower urinary tract [125–131].

Bacterial colonization of urinary diversions has been reported in 14–96% of patients, and affects all types of urinary diversions [132–136]. The presence of urease-producing organisms can predispose to reservoir struvite stone formation [137–139]. Moreover, intestine utilized for urinary diversions produces mucus within the urinary tract, which may serve as a nidus for crystal aggregation, play host to bacterial biofilms, and exacerbate poor urinary emptying [140].

Many of the factors underlying stone formation in the lower tract of urinary reservoirs and conduit urinary diversions also apply to the upper urinary tract. Factors unique to upper tract stone formation consist of mucus reflux into the upper tract, ureteral and ureteroenteric strictures, and rarely reflux of foreign bodies [141–143].

Furthermore, contemporary urinary diversions often utilize colonic or ileal segments, which results in hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis. The continuous presence of urine within the intestinal segment permits increased absorption of citrate from the urine and the exchange of bicarbonate, ammonium, and chloride ions across the bowel mucosa, leading to a systemic acidosis. Acidosis creates a number of changes favoring stone formation, including increased bony demineralization which promotes calcium loss in the urine, inhibits the reabsorption of calcium from the proximal tubules, contributing to hypercalciuria and decreasing citrate production from the kidney [144]. Taken together, these effects produce hypocitraturia, hypercalciuria, alkaline urine, and excess urinary phosphate and ammonium ions.

The longer urine is in contact with intestinal mucosa, the more severe the metabolic irregularities that can be expected. Urinary dwell time is longer with continent reservoirs (neobladders, Kock, and Indiana pouches) than with continuously draining diversions (ileal and colonic conduits). Patients with continent urinary reservoirs have more profound hypercalciuria, hypermagnesuria, and hyperphosphaturia than patients with ileal conduits [125, 145, 146].

The use of long segments of ileum or colon for urinary diversion predisposes patients to chronic diarrhea and dehydration promoting a stone-forming milieu. This low urine volume coupled with acidic urine (pH < 6.0) creates a high supersaturation of uric acid and encourages uric acid stone formation [147, 148].

Shock wave lithotripsy is rarely employed to treat stones within reconstructed urinary reservoirs. The need to rely on spontaneous passage of fragments is the main limitation [149, 150].

In orthotopic neobladders and reservoirs, transurethral lithotripsy is a viable strategy when the stone burden is

relatively small and the urethra and neobladder neck will accommodate the cystoscope and lithotripsy instruments. For large stone burdens, percutaneous lithotripsy is more efficient and effective in clearing stones than transurethral or trans-stomal approaches. It is also the preferred method for smaller stone burdens when concomitant stomal or urethral strictures preclude stone removal. Performing intra-reservoir lithotripsy while the stones are secured within an endocatch bag has been described and may reduce reservoir trauma, and facilitate removal of residual stone fragments [151]. Alternatively, with lengthening of the skin incision, multiple stones, even large ones have been removed intact after being placed within the entrapment sac [152].

Although the majority of reservoir stones can be effectively managed endoscopically, there remains a small role for laparoscopic or open approaches when no safe minimally invasive option exists [153–155].

Upper tract calculi in patients with urinary diversions can be managed with contemporary stone treatment options; however, all are more challenging. Shock wave lithotripsy is a therapeutic option for stones 2 cm or less in diameter, with a success reported between 25 and 81.5% [156, 157]. The concern, however, is underlying ureteral obstruction which will impede fragment passage, and lack of easy access to the ureter via a retrograde approach.

Retrograde ureteroscopy can be technically difficult as the ureteral orifice may be hard to identify and navigation of tortuous and dilated ureters may be necessary. Given the inherent difficulties of ureteroscopy in urinary diversions, stone-free rates reported in the literature are inferior compared to series of patients with normal anatomy [158, 159]. Results of percutaneous nephrolithotomy alone or in combination with SWL describe respectable stone-free rates of 75–88% with complication rates of 8–30% [157, 160–162].

Pediatric stone disease

Over the past three decades, pediatric urologists have noticed a change in the epidemiology of pediatric urolithiasis with a migration from lower to more upper tract stones, and a rise in the incidence of calcium stones [163–168]. Younger patients tend to present with renal stones as opposed to ureteral stones in older children, often with larger stone burden [169–172].

Metabolic data suggest that the rise in pediatric urolithiasis is due to factors other than obesity. Citrate levels and urine pH appear to be fairly constant when stratifying pediatric weight by adult BMI cutoffs, and many researchers agree that calcium excretion appears more closely linked to dietary sodium and protein than obesity [173, 174].

A conscious effort is made to reduce the radiation burden during diagnostic workup in children. Initial

screening with renal ultrasound, abdominal plain film, or stone protocol CT that minimizes the radiation dosage while not compromising diagnostic information is all currently practiced [170, 175–177]. The sensitivity of pediatric ultrasound for stone detection is 59–78% with up to 100% specificity [171, 178, 179].

Conservative management of pediatric nephrolithiasis is considered first-line treatment in the absence of obstruction, infection, or a child is failing to thrive as the result of stone disease. Small retrospective series suggest that stones 4–6 mm or larger are likely to require endourologic treatment [180, 181]. Alpha receptor antagonists such as tamsulosin may be safely offered on an individualized basis as adjunctive therapy to facilitate ureteral expulsion in children [182, 183].

Shock wave lithotripsy has been the preferred treatment modality for uncomplicated renal and proximal calculi ≤ 15 mm in the pediatric population. Current stone-free rates with SWL are difficult to interpret from the existing body of data due to discrepancies between studies with regard to type of lithotripter, number of shocks administered, and re-treatment rates [184–190]. Onal et al. have recently constructed a nomogram for predicting stone clearance following SWL [191]. Multivariate analysis revealed younger age, female gender, lower stone burden, and lack of prior ipsilateral stone treatment to be positively associated with stone clearance.

Although SWL is well tolerated in children with few complications, stone-free rates following single session monotherapy can remain as low as 44%. Existing data have failed to demonstrate significant renal scarring or functional impairment in pediatric kidneys post-SWL [192, 193].

With significant improvements in both the miniaturization and durability of endoscopic equipment and the acceptance of the holmium laser, ureteroscopy has become a more attractive option in young children. Early series using rigid ureteroscopy for distal stones reported stone-free rates ranging from 86 to 100% with minimal complications [180, 194–201]. Comparable stone-free rates with complication rates similar to that of the adult population have recently been reported for upper ureteral and renal stones employing flexible ureteroscopy [199, 201–203]. Relative contraindications to ureteroscopic management include staghorn stones in recurrent stone formers, anatomic anomalies making retrograde access difficult, and previous endoscopic failure.

The necessity of placing a stent post ureteroscopy in all children continues to be debated. While the tendency in large series has been to leave a stent in place after ureteroscopic manipulation in a majority of children, several authors have reported no acute or long-term sequelae

despite leaving a postoperative stent in less than 20% of cases [204].

With accumulated experience, PCNL is currently being utilized as monotherapy and in combination with SWL (sandwich therapy) in children achieving stone-free rates ranging from 68 to 100% [194, 205]. Although there is no current international consensus, relative indications for PCNL as the primary treatment modality in children include large upper tract stone burden (>1.5 cm), lower pole calculi >1 cm, concurrent anatomic abnormality impairing urinary drainage and stone clearance, or known cystine or struvite composition [206]. Recent large retrospective series of PCNL monotherapy have demonstrated high efficacy rates approaching 90% [207–210]. Despite successes employing adult equipment, technology advancements have allowed to the development of mini-perc approaches without affecting PCNL efficacy [211]. The benefits of minimal tract dilation included increased maneuverability, decreased blood loss, and shorter hospital stay. Disadvantages, however, include longer operative times and impaired visualization when tackling very large stone burdens.

Conclusions

Although the clinical scenarios described herein may be particularly challenging, endourological management can be safely and effectively applied with a sound knowledge of anatomy and pathophysiology, the use of judicious imaging, and the full array of endourological instruments.

Author contributions MD: Project development, Data Collection, Manuscript writing. SS: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. KB: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. BP: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. AK: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. SK: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. AM: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. DL: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. JD: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. ZO: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. AS: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. JF: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. MO: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. AG: Data Collection, Manuscript writing. HR: Project development, Data Collection, Manuscript writing

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Schwartz BF, Stoller ML (2000) The vesical calculus. *Urol Clin North Am* 27(2):333–346

2. Douenias R, Rich M, Badlani G, Mazor D, Smith A (1991) Predisposing factors in bladder calculi. Review of 100 cases. *Urology* 37(3):240–243
3. Philippou P, Volanis D, Kariotis I, Serafetinidis E, Delakas D (2011) Prospective comparative study of endoscopic management of bladder lithiasis: is prostate surgery a necessary adjunct? *Urology* 78(1):43–47
4. Razvi HA, Song TY, Denstedt JD (1996) Management of vesical calculi: comparison of lithotripsy devices. *J Endourol* 10(6):559–563
5. Teichman JM (1999) Holmium: YAG lithotripsy for large renal and bladder calculi: strategies for efficient lithotripsy. *J Endourol* 13(7):477–480
6. Grasso M (1996) Experience with the holmium laser as an endoscopic lithotrite. *Urology* 48(2):199–206
7. Asci R, Aybek Z, Sarikaya S, Büyükalpelli R, Yilmaz AF (1999) The management of vesical calculi with combined optical mechanical cystolithotripsy and transurethral prostatectomy: is it safe and effective? *BJU Int* 84(1):32–36
8. Shah HN, Hegde SS, Shah JN, Mahajan AP, Bansal MB (2007) Simultaneous transurethral cystolithotripsy with holmium laser enucleation of the prostate: a prospective feasibility study and review of literature. *BJU Int* 99(3):595–600
9. Chtourou M, Ben Younes A, Binous MY, Attyaoui F, Horchani A (2001) Combination of ballistic lithotripsy and transurethral prostatectomy in bladder stones with benign prostatic hyperplasia: report of 120 cases. *J Endourol* 15(8):851–853
10. Sinik Z, Isen K, Biri H, Kupeli B, Sozen S, Deniz N, Bozkirli I (1998) Combination of pneumatic lithotripsy and transurethral prostatectomy in bladder stones with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *J Endourol* 12(4):381–384
11. Li A, Lu H, Ji C, Liu S, Zhang F, Qian X, Wang H (2012) Transurethral cystolithotripsy with a novel special endoscope. *Urol Res* 40(6):769–773
12. Maheshwari PN, Oswal AT, Bansal M (1999) Percutaneous cystolithotomy for vesical calculi: a better approach. *Tech Urol* 5(1):40–42
13. Ikari O, Netto NR Jr, D’Ancona CA, Palma PC (1993) Percutaneous treatment of bladder stones. *J Urol* 149(6):1499–1500
14. Wollin TA, Singal RK, Whelan T, Dicecco R, Razvi HA, Denstedt JD (1999) Percutaneous suprapubic cystolithotripsy for treatment of large bladder calculi. *J Endourol* 13(10):739–744
15. Aron M, Goel R, Gautam G, Seth A, Gupta NP (2007) Percutaneous versus transurethral cystolithotripsy and TURP for large prostates and large vesical calculi: refinement of technique and updated data. *Int Urol Nephrol* 39(1):173–177
16. Tugcu V, Polat H, Ozbay B, Gurbuz N, Eren GA, Tasci AI (2009) Percutaneous versus transurethral cystolithotripsy. *J Endourol* 23(2):237–241
17. Sofer M, Kaver I, Greenstein A, Bar Yosef Y, Mabjeesh NJ, Chen J, Ben-Chaim J, Matzkin H (2004) Refinements in treatment of large bladder calculi: simultaneous percutaneous suprapubic and transurethral cystolithotripsy. *Urology* 64(4):651–654
18. Lam PN, Te CC, Wong C, Kropp BP (2007) Percutaneous cystolithotomy of large urinary-diversion calculi using a combination of laparoscopic and endourologic techniques. *J Endourol* 21(2):155–157
19. Miller DC, Park JM (2003) Percutaneous cystolithotomy using a laparoscopic entrapment sac. *Urology* 62(2):333–336
20. Tan YK, Gupta DM, Weinberg A, Matteis AJ, Kotwal S, Gupta M (2014) Minimally invasive percutaneous management of large bladder stones with a laparoscopic entrapment bag. *J Endourol* 28(1):61–64
21. Jarrett TW, Pound CR, Kavoussi LR (1999) Stone entrapment during percutaneous removal of infection stones from a continent diversion. *J Urol* 162(3 Pt 1):775–776
22. Zhao J, Shi L, Gao Z, Liu Q, Wang K, Zhang P (2013) Minimally invasive surgery for patients with bulky bladder stones and large benign prostatic hyperplasia simultaneously: a novel design. *Urol Int* 91(1):31–37
23. Husain I, el-Faqih SR, Shamsuddin AB, Atassi R (1994) Primary extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy in management of large bladder calculi. *J Endourol* 8(3):183–186
24. Bhatia V, Biyani CS (1993) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for vesical lithiasis: initial experience. *Br J Urol* 71(6):695–699
25. Kojima Y, Yoshimura M, Hayashi Y, Asaka H, Ando Y, Kohri K (1998) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for vesical lithiasis. *Urol Int* 61(1):35–38
26. Al-Ansari A, Shamsodini A, Younis N, Jaleel OA, Al-Rubaia A, Shokeir AA (2005) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy monotherapy for treatment of patients with urethral and bladder stones presenting with acute urinary retention. *Urology* 66(6):1169–1171
27. García Cardoso JV, González Enguita C, Cabrera Pérez J, Rodríguez Miñón JL, Calahorra Fernández (2003) Bladder calculi. Is extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy the first choice treatment? *Arch Esp Urol* 56(10):1111–1116
28. Kostakopoulos A, Stavropoulos NJ, Makrichoritis C, Picramenos D, Deliveliotis C (1996) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy monotherapy for bladder stones. *Int Urol Nephrol* 28(2):157–161
29. Millán-Rodríguez F, Izquierdo-Latorre F, Montlleó-González M, Rousaud-Barón F, Rousaud-Barón A, Villavicencio-Mavrich H (2005) Treatment of bladder stones without associated prostate surgery: results of a prospective study. *Urology* 66(3):505–509
30. Gelzayd EA, Breuer RI, Kirsner JB (1968) Nephrolithiasis in inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Digest Dis* 13:1027–1034
31. Worcester EM (2002) Stones from bowel disease. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 31(4):979–999
32. Pak CY, Poindexter JR, Adams-Huet B, Pearle MS (2003) Predictive value of kidney stone composition in the detection of metabolic abnormalities. *Am J Med* 115(1):26–32
33. Valezi AC, Fuganti PE, Junior JM, Delfino VD (2013) Urinary evaluation after RYGBP: a lithogenic profile with early postoperative increase in the incidence of urolithiasis. *Obes Surg* 23(10):1575–1580
34. Dhar NB, Grundfest S, Jones JS, Streem SB (2005) Jejunioileal bypass reversal: effect on renal function, metabolic parameters and stone formation. *J Urol* 174:1844–1846
35. Martindale AD, Paisley AM (2004) Surgical and urological problems in pregnancy. *Curr Obstet Gynaecol* 14:350–355
36. Semins MJ, Matlaga BR (2010) Management of stone disease in pregnancy. *Curr Opin Urol* 20:174–177
37. Biyani CS, Joyce AD (2002) Urolithiasis in pregnancy. I: pathophysiology, fetal considerations and diagnosis. *BJU Int* 89:811–818
38. Lewis DF, Robichaux AG 3rd, Jaekle RK, Marcum NG, Stedman CM (2003) Urolithiasis in pregnancy. Diagnosis, management and pregnancy outcomes. *J Reprod Med* 48:28–32
39. Swartz MA, Lydon-Rochelle MT, Simon D, Wright JL, Porter MP (2007) Admission for nephrolithiasis in pregnancy and risk of adverse birth outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol* 109:1099–1104
40. Swanson SK, Heilman RL, Eversman WG (1995) Urinary tract stones in pregnancy. *Surg Clin North Am* 75:123–142
41. Coe FL, Parks JH, Lindheimer MD (1978) Nephrolithiasis during pregnancy. *N Engl J Med* 298:324–326

42. Meria P, Hadijadj H, Jungers P, Daudon M, Members of the French Urological Association Urolithiasis Committee (2010) Stone formation and pregnancy: pathophysiological insights gained from morphoconstitutional stone analysis. *J Urol* 183:1412–1416
43. Costa-Gauza A, Ramis M, Montesinos V, Grases F, Conte A, Pizá P, Pieras E, Grases F (2007) Type of renal calculi variations with age and sex. *World J Urol* 25:415–421
44. Gault MH, Chafe L (2000) Relationship of frequency, age, sex, stone weight and composition in 15624 stones: comparison of results for 1980 to 1983 and 1995 to 1998. *J Urol* 164:302–307
45. Ross AE, Handa S, Lingeman JE, Matlaga BR (2008) Kidney stones during pregnancy: an investigation in stone composition. *Urol Res* 36:99–102
46. Parulkar BG, Hopkins TB, Wollin MR, Howard PJ Jr, Lal A (1998) Renal colic during pregnancy: a case for conservative treatment. *J Urol* 159:365–368
47. Lifshitz DA, Lingeman JE (2002) Ureteroscopy as a first line intervention for ureteral calculi in pregnancy. *J Endourol* 16:19–22
48. Burgess KL, Gettman MT, Rangel LJ, Krambeck AE (2011) Diagnosis of urolithiasis and rate of spontaneous passage during pregnancy. *J Urol* 186:2280–2284
49. Butler EL, Cox SM, Eberts EG, Cunningham FG (2000) Symptomatic nephrolithiasis complicating pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 96:753–756
50. Isen K, Hatipoglu NK, Dedeoglu S, Atilgan I, Caça FN, Hatipoglu N (2012) Experience with the diagnosis and management of symptomatic ureteric stones during pregnancy. *Urology* 79:508–512
51. White WM, Johnson EB, Zite NB, Beddies J, Krambeck AE, Hyams E, Marien T, Shah O, Matlaga B, Pais VM Jr (2013) Predictive value of current imaging modalities for the detection of urolithiasis during pregnancy: a multi-center, longitudinal study. *J Urol* 189:931–934
52. Kalyani V, Krambeck AE, Atwell T (2013) Pearls and pitfalls in sonographic imaging of urolithiasis in pregnancy. *Ultrasound Q* 29:51–59
53. Mathews JD, Forsythe AV, Brady Z, Butler MW, Goergen SK, Byrnes GB, Giles GG, Wallace AB, Anderson PR, Guiver TA, McGale P, Cain TM, Dowty JG, Bickerstaffe AC, Darby SC (2013) Cancer risk in 680,000 people exposed to computed tomography scans in childhood or adolescence: data linkage study of 11 million Australians. *BMJ* 21:346
54. Pearce MS, Salotti JA, Little MP, McHugh K, Lee C, Kim KP, Howe NL, Ronckers CM, Rajaraman P, Sir Craft AW, Parker L, Berrington de González A (2012) Radiation exposure from CT scans in childhood and subsequent risk of leukaemia and brain tumours: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 380:499–505
55. Fulgham PF, Assimos DG, Pearle MS, Preminger GM (2013) Clinical effectiveness protocols for imaging in the management of ureteral calculous disease: AUA technology assessment. *J Urol* 189:1203–1213
56. Srirangam SJ, Hickerton B, Van Cleynbreugel B (2008) Management of urinary calculi in pregnancy: a review. *J Endourol* 22:867–875
57. Kavoussi LR, Albala DM, Basler JW, Apte S, Clayman RV (1992) Percutaneous management of urolithiasis during pregnancy. *J Urol* 148:1069–1071
58. Loughlin KR, Bailey RB (1986) Internal ureteral stents for conservative management of ureteral calculi during pregnancy. *N Engl J Med* 315:1647–1649
59. Khoo L, Anson K, Patel U (2004) Success and short-term complication rates of percutaneous nephrostomy during pregnancy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 15:1469–1473
60. Teichman JM, Vassar GJ, Glickman RD (1998) Holmium:yttrium-aluminum-garnet lithotripsy efficiency varies with stone composition. *Urology* 52:392–397
61. Laing KA, Lam TBL, McClinton S, Cohen NP, Traxer O, Somani BK (2012) Outcomes of ureteroscopy for stone disease in pregnancy: results from a systematic review of the literature. *Urol Int* 89:380–386
62. Johnson EB, Krambeck AE, White WM, Hyams E, Beddies J, Marien T, Shah O, Matlaga B, Pais VM Jr (2012) Obstetric complications of ureteroscopy during pregnancy. *J Urol* 188:151–154
63. Gross AJ, Fisher M (2006) Management of stones in patients with anomalously sized kidneys. *Curr Opin Urol* 16:100
64. Al-Tawheed AR, Al-Awadi KA, Kehinde EO, Abdul-Halim H, Hanafi AM, Ali Y (2006) Treatment of calculi in kidneys with congenital anomalies: an assessment of the efficacy of lithotripsy. *Urol Res* 34(5):291–298
65. Weizer AZ, Springhart WP, Ekeruo WO, Matlaga BR, Tan YH, Assimos DG, Preminger GM (2005) Ureteroscopic management of renal calculi in anomalous kidneys. *Urology* 65:265–269
66. Osther PJ, Razvi H, Liatsikos E, Averch T, Crisci A, Garcia JL, Mandal A, de la Rosette J, Croes PCNL Study Group (2011) Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy among patients with renal anomalies: patient characteristics and outcomes; a subgroup analysis of the CROES PCNL Study. *J Endourol* 25(10):1627–1632
67. Gupta NP, Mishra S, Seth A, Anand A (2009) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in abnormal kidneys: single center experience. *Urology* 74(4):710
68. Ganesamoni R, Sabnis RB, Mishra S, Desai MR (2013) Microperc for the management of renal calculi in pelvic ectopic kidneys. *Ind. J Urol* 29(3):257–259
69. Nayyar R, Singh P, Gupta NP (2010) Robot-assisted laparoscopic pyeloplasty with stone removal in an ectopic pelvic kidney. *JSL* 14:130
70. Tan YK, Cha DY, Gupta M (2013) Management of stones in abnormal situations. *Urol Clin N Am* 40:79
71. Sheir KZ, Madbouly K, Elsobky E, Abdelkhalik M (2003) ESWL in anomalous kidneys: 11 years experience in with two second-generation lithotriptors. *Urology* 62:10–15
72. Ray AA, Ghiculete D, D'A Honey RJ, Pace KT (2011) Shock-wave lithotripsy in patients with horseshoe kidney: determinants of success. *J Endourol* 25:487–493
73. Weizer JE, Springhart WP, Ekeruo WO, Matlaga BR, Tan YH, Assimos DG, Preminger GM (2005) Ureteroscopic management of renal calculi in anomalous kidneys. *Urology* 65:265–269
74. Wickham JE, Kellet MJ (1981) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *Br J Urol* 53:297–299
75. Glodny BE, Petersen J, Hofmann KJ, Schenk C, Herwig R, Trieb T, Koppelstaetter C, Steingruber I, Rehder P (2009) Kidney fusion abnormalities revisited; clinical and radiological analysis of 209 cases of crossed fused ectopia and horseshoe kidney. *BJU Int* 103:224
76. Mousavi-Bahar SH, Amirzargar MA, Rahnvardi M, Moghaddam SM, Babbolhavaeji H, Amirhasani S (2007) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in patients with kidney malformations. *J Endourol* 21:520
77. Lallas CD, Pak RW, Pagnani C, Hubosky SG, Yanke BV, Keeley FX, Bagley DH (2011) The minimally invasive management of the ureteropelvic junction obstruction in horseshoe kidneys. *World J Urol* 29:91–95
78. Delaney VB, Alder S, Bruns FJ, Licinia M, Segel DP, Fraley DS (1985) Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; presentation, complications and prognosis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 5:104–111

79. Pak CY (1994) Citrate and renal calculi; an update. *Miner Electrolyte Metab* 20:371–377
80. Deliveliotis C, Argiropoulos V (2002) ESWL produces a lower stone free rate in patient with stones and renal cysts. *Int J Urol* 9:11
81. Baishya R, Dhawan DR, Kurien A, Ganpule A, Sabnis RB, Desai MR (2012) Management of nephrolithiasis in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease—a single centre experience. *Urol Ann* 4:29–33
82. Yili L, Yongzhji L, Ning L, Dongwei X, Chunlai L, Suomin L, Ping W (2012) Flexible ureterorenoscopy and holmium laser lithotripsy for treatment of upper urinary tract calculi in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Urol Res* 40:87–91
83. Srivastava A, Bansai R, Srivastava A, Chaturvedi S, Ranjan P, Ansari MS, Yadav A, Kapoor R (2012) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in polycystic kidney disease: is it safe and effective? *Int Urol Nephrol* 44:725
84. Al-Kandari AM, Shoma AM, Eraky I, El-Kenawy MR, Al-Eezi H, El-Kappany HA (2009) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy for management of upper urinary tract calculi in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Urology* 74:273–277
85. Lei M, Zhu W, Wan SP, Liu Y, Zeng G, Yuan J (2014) Safety and efficacy of minimally invasive percutaneous nephrolithotomy in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *J Endourol* 28:17–22
86. Grampas SA, Chandhoke PS, Fan J, Glass MA, Townsend R, Johnson AM, Gabow P (2000) Anatomic and metabolic risk factors for nephrolithiasis in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Am J Kidney Dis* 36:53–57
87. Auge BK, Maloney ME, Mathias BJ, Pietrow PK, Preminger GM (2006) Metabolic abnormalities associated with calyceal diverticular stones. *BJU Int* 97:1053–1056
88. Ritchie AW, Parr NJ, Moussa SA, Tolley DA (1990) Lithotripsy for calculi in calyceal diverticula? *Br J Urol* 66:6–8
89. Turna B, Raza A, Moussa S, Smith G, Tolley DA (2007) Management of calyceal diverticular stones with extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy; long term outcome. *BJU Int* 100:151–156
90. Auge BK, Munver R, Kourambas J, Newman GE, Preminger GM (2002) Endoscopic management of symptomatic calyceal diverticula; a retrospective comparison of percutaneous nephrolithotomy and ureteroscopy. *J Endourol* 16:557–563
91. Batter SJ, Dretler SP (1997) Ureterorenoscopic approach to the symptomatic calyceal diverticulum. *J Urol* 158:709–713
92. Sejiny M, Al-Qahtani S, Elhaous A, Molimard B, Traxer O (2010) Efficacy of flexible ureterorenoscopy with holmium laser in the management of stone bearing calyceal diverticula. *J Endourol* 24:961–967
93. Monga M, Smith R, Ferral H, Thomas R (2000) Percutaneous ablation of calyceal diverticulum: long term follow up. *J Urol* 163:28–32
94. Krambeck AE, Lingeman JE (2009) Percutaneous management of calyceal diverticulum. *J Endourol* 23:1723–1729
95. Gross AJ, Herrmann TR (2007) Management of stones in calyceal diverticulum. *Curr Opin Urol* 17:136–140
96. Mendez-Probst CE, Fuller A, Nott L, Denstedt JD, Razvi H (2011) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy of calyceal diverticular calculi: a single centre experience. *J Endourol* 25:1741–1745
97. Basiri A, Radfar MH, Lashay A (2013) Laparoscopic management of calyceal diverticulum: our experience, literature review and pooling analysis. *J Endourol* 27:583–586
98. Gonzalez RD, Whiting B, Canales BK (2011) Laparoscopic calyceal diverticulectomy; video review of techniques and outcomes. *J Endourol* 25:1591–1595
99. Verrier C, Bessede T, Hajj P, Aoubid L, Eschwege P, Benoit G (2012) Decrease in and management of urolithiasis after kidney transplantation. *J Urol* 187:1651–1656
100. Challacombe B, Dasgupta P, Tiptaft R, Glass J, Koffman G, Goldsmith D, Khan MS (2005) Multimodal management of urolithiasis in renal transplantation. *BJU Int* 96:385–389
101. Klinger HC, Kramer G, Lodde M, Marberger M (2002) Urolithiasis is allograft kidneys. *Urology* 59:334–338
102. Basiri A, Nikoobakht MR, Simforoosh N, Hosseini Moghaddam SM (2006) Ureteroscopic management of urological complications after renal transplantation. *Sand J Urol Nephrol* 40:53–56
103. Olsburgh J, Thomas K, Wong K, Bultitude M, Glass J, Rottenberg G, Silas L, Hilton R, Koffman G (2013) Incidental renal stones in potential live kidney donors; prevalence, assessment and donation, including role of ex vivo ureteroscopy. *BJU Int* 111:784–792
104. Crook TJ, Keoghane SR (2005) Renal transplant lithiasis; rare but time-consuming. *BJU Int* 95:931–933
105. Hyams E, Marien T, Bruhn A, Quirouet A, Andonian S, Shah O, Matlaga B (2012) Ureteroscopy for transplant lithiasis. *J Endourol* 26:819–822
106. Rafioglu MM, Berger AD, Pengune W, Stoller ML (2008) Percutaneous management of stones in transplanted kidneys. *Urology* 72:508–512
107. Streeter EH, Little DM, Cranston DW, Morris PJ (2002) The urological complications of renal transplantation; a series of 1535 patients. *BJU Int* 90:627
108. Agarwal A, Varshney A, Bansal BS (2008) Concomitant percutaneous nephrolithotomy and transperitoneal laparoscopic pyeloplasty for ureteropelvic junction obstruction complicated by stones. *J Endourol* 22:2251–2255
109. Bernardo NO, Liatsikos EN, Dinlenc CZ, Kapoor R, Fogarty JD, Smith AD (2000) Stone recurrence after endopyelotomy. *Urology* 56:378
110. Ramakumar S, Lancini V, Chan DY, Parsons JK, Kavoussi LR, Jarrett TW (2002) Laparoscopic pyeloplasty with concomitant pyelolithotomy. *J Urol* 167:1378
111. Whelan JP, Wiesenthal JD (2004) Laparoscopic pyeloplasty with simultaneous pyelolithotomy using a flexible ureteroscope. *Can J Urol* 11:2207
112. Atug F, Castle EP, Burgess SV, Thomas R (2005) Concomitant management of renal calculi and pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction with robotic laparoscopic surgery. *BJU Int* 96:1365
113. Kara C, Resorlu B, Ozyuvali B, Unsul A (2011) Is percutaneous nephrolithotomy suitable for patients with scoliosis: single-center experience. *Urology* 78:37–42
114. Patel SR, Haleblan GE, Pareek G (2011) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy can be safely performed in the high-risk patient. *Urology* 78:37–42
115. Niedrach WL, Davis RS, Tonetti FW, Cockett AT (1991) Extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy in patients with spinal cord dysfunction. *Urology* 38:152–156
116. Vaidyanatahn S, Johnson H, Singh G, Hughes P, Soni BM, Parsons KF, Sett P (2002) Atrophy of kidney following extra corporeal shock wave lithotripsy of renal calculus in a paraplegic patient with marked spinal curvature. *Spinal Cord* 40:609–614
117. Gofrit ON, Shapiro A, Donchin Y, Bloom AI, Shnefeld OZ, Landau EH, Pode D (2002) Lateral decubitus position for percutaneous nephrolithotripsy in the morbidly obese or kyphotic patient. *J Endourol* 16:383–386
118. Lawrentschuk N, Pan D, Grills R, Rogerson J, Anus D, Webb DR, Bolton DM (2005) Outcome from percutaneous nephrolithotomy in patients with spinal cord injury, using a single-stage dilator for access. *BJU Int* 96:379–384
119. Rubenstein JN, Gonzalez CM, Blunt LW, Clemens JQ, Nadler RB (2004) Safety and efficacy of percutaneous

- nephrolithotomy in patients with neurogenic bladder dysfunction. *Urology* 63:636–640
120. Goumas-Kartalas I, Montanari E (2010) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in patients with spinal deformities. *J Endourol* 24:1081–1089
 121. Nababout P, Slobodov G, Mellis AM, Culkin DJ (2012) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in spinal cord neuropathy patients: a single institution experience. *J Endourol* 26:1610–1613
 122. Basal S, Ozgok Y, Tahmaz L, Atim A, Zor M, Bilgic S, Istanbuluoglu O (2011) Extraperitoneal laparoscopy-assisted percutaneous nephrolithotomy in a patient with osteogenesis imperfecta. *Urol Res* 39:73–76
 123. Colangelo CJ, Kaplan G, Palazzi K, Holmes N, Chiang G (2013) Ureterscopy in pediatric patients with spinal abnormalities. *J Endourol* 27:545–548
 124. Resorlu B, Ozyuvali E, Oguz U, Bozkurt OF, Unsal A (2012) Retrograde intrarenal surgery in patients with spinal deformities. *J Endourol* 26:1131–1135
 125. Terai A, Ueda T, Kakehi Y, Terachi T, Arai Y, Okada Y, Yoshida O (1996) Urinary calculi as a late complication of the Indiana continent urinary diversion: comparison with the Kock pouch procedure. *J Urol* 155:66–68
 126. Ginsberg D, Huffman JL, Lieskovsky G, Boyd S, Skinner DG (1991) Urinary tract stones: a complication of the Kock pouch continent urinary diversion. *J Urol* 145:956–959
 127. Madersbacher S, Schmidt J, Eberle JM, Thoeny HC, Burkhard F, Hochreiter W, Studer UE (2003) Long-term outcome of ileal conduit diversion. *J Urol* 169:985–990
 128. Shimko MS, Tollefson MK, Umbreit EC, Farmer SA, Blute ML, Frank I (2011) Long-term complications of conduit urinary diversion. *J Urol* 185:562–567
 129. Assimos DG (1996) Nephrolithiasis in patients with urinary diversion. *J Urol* 155:69–70
 130. Steven K, Poulsen AL (2000) The orthotopic Kock ileal neobladder: functional results, urodynamic features, complications and survival in 166 men. *J Urol* 164:288–295
 131. Hautmann RE, de Petriconi RC, Volkmer BG (2011) 25 Years of Experience with 1000 Neobladders: Long-Term Complications. *J Urol* 185:2207–2212
 132. Bishop RF, Smith ED, Gracey M (1971) Bacterial flora of urine from ileal conduit. *J Urol* 105:452–455
 133. Suriano F, Gallucci M, Flammia GP, Musco S, Alcini A, Imbalzano G, Dicuonzo G (2008) Bacteriuria in patients with an orthotopic ileal neobladder: urinary tract infection or asymptomatic bacteriuria? *BJU Int* 101:1576–1579
 134. Hill MJ, Hudson MJ, Stewart M (1984) The urinary bacterial flora in patients with three types of urinary tract diversion. *J Med Microbiol* 16:221–226, 1983. Chan RC, Reid G, Bruce AW, et al: Microbial colonization of human ileal conduits. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 48:1159–1165
 135. Husmann DA, McLorie GA, Churchill BM (1989) Nonrefluxing colonic conduits: a long-term life-table analysis. *J Urol* 142:1201–1203
 136. Wullt B, Agace W, Mansson W (2004) Bladder, bowel and bugs—bacteriuria in patients with intestinal urinary diversion. *World J Urol* 22:186–195
 137. Griffith DP, Osborne CA (1987) Infection (urease) stones. *Miner Electrolyte Metab* 13:278–285
 138. Dumanski AJ, Hedelin H, Edin-Liljegren A, Beauchemin D, McLean RJ (1994) Unique ability of the *Proteus mirabilis* capsule to enhance mineral growth in infectious urinary calculi. *Infect Immun* 62:2998–3003
 139. Kramer G, Klingler HC, Steiner GE (2000) Role of bacteria in the development of kidney stones. *Curr Opin Urol* 10:35–38
 140. N'Dow J, Pearson J, Neal D (2004) Mucus production after transposition of intestinal segments into the urinary tract. *World J Urol* 22:178–185
 141. Golomb J, Fuchs G, Klutke CG, Stenzl A, Raz S (1991) Kidney stone formed around refluxed surgical staple and removed by transurethral endoscopic manipulation. *Urology* 38:338–340
 142. Gronau E, Pannek J (2004) Reflux of a staple after kock pouch urinary diversion: a nidus for renal stone formation. *J Endourol* 18:481–482
 143. Chang SS, Koch MO (2000) The metabolic complications of urinary diversion. *Urol Oncol* 5:60–70
 144. Palmer LS, Franco I, Reda EF, Kogan SJ, Levitt SB (1994) Endoscopic management of bladder calculi following augmentation cystoplasty. *Urology* 44:902–904
 145. Gilbert SM, Lai J, Saigal CS, Gore JL, Urologic Diseases in America Project (2013) Downstream complications following urinary diversion. *J Urol* 190:916–922
 146. van Hemelrijck M, Thorstenson A, Smith P, Adolfsson J, Akre O (2013) Risk of in-hospital complications after radical cystectomy for urinary bladder carcinoma: population-based follow-up study of 7608 patients. *BJU Int* 112:1113–1120
 147. Pak CY, Poindexter JR, Adams-Huet B, Pearle MS (2003) Predictive value of kidney stone composition in the detection of metabolic abnormalities. *Am J Med* 115:26–32
 148. Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ (1993) A prospective study of dietary calcium and other nutrients and the risk of symptomatic kidney stones. *N Engl J Med* 328:833–838
 149. Boyd SD, Everett RW, Schiff WM, Fugelso PD (1988) Treatment of unusual Kock pouch urinary calculi with extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. *J Urol* 139:805–806
 150. Patel H, Bellman GC (1995) Special considerations in the endourologic management of stones in continent urinary reservoirs. *J Endourol* 9:249–254
 151. Nurse DE, McInerney PD, Thomas PJ, Mundy AR (1996) Stones in enterocystoplasties. *Br J Urol* 77:684–687
 152. Miller DC, Park JM (2003) Percutaneous cystolithotomy using a laparoscopic entrapment sac. *Urology* 62:333–336 (**discussion 336**)
 153. Nouria Y, Kallel Y, Binous MY, Dahmoul H, Horchani A (2004) Laparoscopic retroperitoneal ureterolithotomy: initial experience and review of literature. *J Endourol* 18:557–561
 154. Coleman RL, Mahoney NM, Hatch KD (2002) Laparoscopic management of urolithiasis in a continent urostomy. *Gynecol Oncol* 84:473–478
 155. Srinivasan AK, Herati A, Okeke Z, Smith AD (2009) Renal drainage after percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *J Endourol* 23:1743–1749
 156. Cass AS, Lee JY, Aliabadi H (1992) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and endoscopic management of renal calculi with urinary diversions. *J Urol* 148:1123–1125
 157. Deliveliotis C, Varkarakis J, Argiropoulos V, Protogerou V, Skolarikos A, Albanis S, Constantine D (2002) Shockwave lithotripsy for urinary stones in patients with urinary diversion after radical cystectomy. *J Endourol* 16:717–720
 158. Hyams ES, Winer AG, Shah O (2009) Retrograde ureteral and renal access in patients with urinary diversion. *Urology* 74:47–50
 159. el-Nahas AR, Eraky I, el-Assmy AM, Shoma AM, el-Kenawy MR, Abdel-Latif M, Mosbah A, Abol-Enein H, Shaaban AA, Mohsen T, el-Kappany HA (2006) Percutaneous treatment of large upper tract stones after urinary diversion. *Urology* 68:500–504
 160. Wolf JS, Jr, Stoller ML (1991) Management of upper tract calculi in patients with tubularized urinary diversions. *J Urol* 145:266–269

161. Hertzog LL, Iwaszko MR, Rangel LJ, Patterson DE, Gettman MT, Krambeck AE (2013) Urolithiasis after ileal conduit urinary diversion: a comparison of minimally invasive therapies. *J Urol* 189:2152–2157
162. Dunn M, Roberts JB, Smith PJ, Slade N (1979) The long-term results of ileal conduit urinary diversion in children. *Br J Urol* 51:458–461
163. Dwyer M, Krambeck AE, Bergstralh EJ, Milliner DS, Lieske JC, Rule AD (2012) Temporal trends in incidence of kidney stones among children: a 25-year population based study. *J Urol* 188(1):247–252
164. Bush N, Xu L, Brown BJ, Holzer MS, Gingrich A, Schuler B, Tong L, Baker LA (2010) Hospitalizations for pediatric stone disease in the United States, 2002–2007. *J Urol* 183:1151–1156
165. Routh J, Graham DA, Nelson CP (2010) Trends in imaging and surgical management of pediatric urolithiasis at American pediatric hospitals. *J Urol* 184:1816–1822
166. Sternberg K, Greenfield SP, Williot P, Wan J (2005) Pediatric stone disease: an evolving experience. *J Urol* 174(4 Pt 2):1711–1714
167. Biocic M, Saraga M, Kuzmic AC, Bahtijarević Z, Budimir D, Todorić J, Ujević RM (2003) Pediatric urolithiasis in Croatia. *Coll Antropol* 27(2):745–752
168. Rizvi SA, Naqvi SA, Hussain Z, Hashmi A, Hussain M, Zafar MN, Sultan S, Mehdi H (2003) Management of pediatric urolithiasis in Pakistan: experience with 1440 children. *J Urol* 169(2):634–637
169. Mir S, Serdaroglu E (2005) Quantification of hypercalciuria with the urine calcium osmolality ratio in children. *Pediatr Nephrol* 20(11):1562–1565
170. Menoch M, Hirsch DA, Khan NS, Simon HK, Sturm JJ (2012) Trends in computed tomography utilization in the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatrics* 129(3):e690–e697
171. Heneghan JP, McGuire KA, Leder RA, DeLong DM, Yoshizumi T, Nelson RC (2003) Helical CT for nephrolithiasis and ureterolithiasis: comparison of conventional and reduced radiation-dose techniques. *Radiology* 229(2):575–580
172. Gofrit ON, Pode D, Meretyk S, Katz G, Shapiro A, Golijanin D, Wiener DP, Shenfeld OZ, Landau EH (2001) Is the pediatric ureter as efficient as the adult ureter in transporting fragments following extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for renal calculi larger than 10 mm? *J Urol* 166(5):1862–1864
173. Kieran K, Giel DW, Morris BJ, Wan JY, Tidwell CD, Giem A, Jerkins GR, Williams MA (2010) Pediatric urolithiasis—does body mass index influence stone presentation and treatment? *J Urol* 184(4 Suppl):1810–1815
174. Shuster J, Jenkins A, Logan C, Barnett T, Riehle R, Zackson D, Wolfe H, Dale R, Daley M, Malik I (1992) Soft drink consumption and urinary stone recurrence: a randomized prevention trial. *J Clin Epidemiol* 45:911–916
175. Smith RC, Verga M, Dalrymple N, McCarthy S, Rosenfield AT (1996) Acute ureteral obstruction: value of secondary signs of helical unenhanced CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 167(5):1109–1113
176. Singh S, Kalra MK, Moore MA, Shailam R, Liu B, Toth TL, Grant E, Westra SJ (2009) Dose reduction and compliance with pediatric CT protocols adapted to patient size, clinical indication, and number of prior studies. *Radiology* 252(1):200–208
177. Kim S, Yoshizumi TT, Frush DP, Toncheva G, Yin FF (2010) Radiation dose from cone beam CT in a pediatric phantom: risk estimation of cancer incidence. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 194(1):186–190
178. Hendee W, O'Connor MK (2012) Radiation risks of medical imaging: separating fact from fantasy. *Radiology* 264:312–321
179. Fowler KA, Locken JA, Duchesne JH, Williamson MR (2002) US for detecting renal calculi with nonenhanced CT as a reference standard. *Radiology* 222(1):109–113
180. Pietrow PK, Pope JCT, Adams MC, Shyr Y, Brock JW 3rd (2002) Clinical outcome of pediatric stone disease. *J Urol* 167(2 Pt 1):670–673
181. Kalorin CM, Zabinski A, Okpareke I, White M, Kogan BA (2009) Pediatric urinary stone disease—does age matter? *J Urol* 181(5):2267–2271 (**discussion** 71)
182. Mokhless I, Zahran AR, Youssif M, Fahmy A (2012) Tamsulosin for the management of distal ureteral stones in children: a prospective randomized study. *J Pediatr Urol* 8(5):544–548
183. Bailey G, Vaughan L, Rose C, Krambeck A (2016) Perinatal outcomes with tamsulosin therapy for symptomatic urolithiasis. *J Urol* 195(1):99–103
184. Elsobky E, Sheir KZ, Madbouly K, Mokhtar AA (2000) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy in children: experience using two second-generation lithotripters. *BJU Int* 86(7):851–856
185. Aldridge RD, Aldridge RC, Aldridge LM (2006) Anesthesia for pediatric lithotripsy. *Paediatr Anaesth* 16(3):236–241
186. Badawy A, Saleem MD, Abolyosr A, Aldahshoury M, Elbadry MS, Abdalla MA, Abuzeid AM (2012) Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy as first line treatment for urinary tract stones in children: outcomes of 500 cases. *Int Urol Nephrol* 44(3):661–666
187. Ozgur Tan M, Karaoglan U, Sen I, Deniz N, Bozkirli I (2003) The impact of radiological anatomy in clearance of lower calyceal stones after shock wave lithotripsy in paediatric patients. *Eur Urol* 43(2):188–193
188. Muslumanoglu A, Tefekli AH, Altunrende F, Karadag MA, Baykal M, Akcay M (2006) Efficacy of extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for ureteric stones in children. *Int Urol Nephrol* 38(2):225–229
189. Sun X He L, Lu J, Cong X, Shen L, Wang Y, Zhu H (2010) Greater and lesser ischiadic foramina as path of shock wave lithotripsy for distal ureteral stone in children. *J Urol* 184(2):665–668
190. Zanetti G (2011) Ureteral stones SWL treatment. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 83(1):10–13
191. Onal B TN, Demirkesen O, Yalcin V, Yalcin V, Huang L, Nguyen HT, Cilento BG, Erozcenci A (2013) Nomogram and scoring system for predicting stone-free status after extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy in children with urolithiasis. *BJU Int* 111(2):344–352
192. Reis L, Zani EL, Ikari O, Gugliotta A (2010) Extracorporeal lithotripsy in children— the efficacy and long-term evaluation of renal parenchyma damage by DMSA-99mTc scintigraphy. *Actas Urol Esp* 34(1):78–81
193. Fayad AE-SM, El-Fayoumy H, El-Sergany R, Abd El Bary A (2012) Effect of extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy on kidney growth in children. *J Urol* 188(3):928–931
194. Sirin A, Emre S, Alpay H, Nayir A, Bilge I, Tanman F (1995) Etiology of chronic renal failure in Turkish children. *Pediatr Nephrol* 9(5):549–552
195. Schuster TG, Russell KY, Bloom DA, Koo HP, Faerber GJ (2002) Ureteroscopy for the treatment of urolithiasis in children. *J Urol* 167(4):1813–1816 (**discussion** 5–6)
196. De Dominicis M, Matarazzo E, Capozza N, Collura G, Caione P (2005) Retrograde ureteroscopy for distal ureteric stone removal in children. *BJU Int* 95(7):1049–1052
197. Tan AH, Al-Omar M, Denstedt JD, Razvi H (2005) Ureteroscopy for pediatric urolithiasis: an evolving first-line therapy. *Urology* 65(1):153–156
198. Minevich E, Defoor W, Reddy P, Nishinaka K, Wacksman J, Sheldon C, Erhard M (2005) Ureteroscopy is safe and effective in prepubertal children. *J Urol* 174(1):276–279 (**discussion** 9)

199. Defoor W, Dharamsi N, Smith P, Sekhon D, Colombo J, Riden D, Reddy P, Sheldon C, Minevich E (2005) Use of mobile extracorporeal shock wave lithotripter: experience in a pediatric institution. *Urology* 65(4):778–781
200. Lesani OA, Palmer JS (2006) Retrograde proximal rigid ureteroscopy and pyeloscopy in prepubertal children: safe and effective. *J Urol* 176(4 Pt 1):1570–1573
201. Smaldone MC, Cannon GM Jr, Wu HY, Bassett J, Polsky EG, Bellinger MF, Docimo SG, Schneck FX (2007) Is ureteroscopy first line treatment for pediatric stone disease? *J Urol* 178(5):2128–2131 (**discussion 31**)
202. Cannon GM, Smaldone MC, Wu HY, Bassett JC, Bellinger MF, Docimo SG, Schneck FX (2007) Ureteroscopic management of lower-pole stones in a pediatric population. *J Endourol* 21(10):1179–1182
203. Singh A, Shah G, Young J, Sheridan M, Haas G, Upadhyay J (2006) Ureteral access sheath for the management of pediatric renal and ureteral stones: a single center experience. *J Urol* 175(3 Pt 1):1080–1082 (**discussion 2**)
204. Tanaka ST, Makari JH, Pope JC, Adams MC, Brock JW 3rd, Thomas JC (2008) 1. Pediatric ureteroscopic management of intrarenal calculi. *J Urol* 180(5):2150–2153 (**discussion 3–4**)
205. Woodside J, Stevens GF, Stark GL, Borden TA, Ball WS (1985) Percutaneous stone removal in children. *J Urol* 134(6):1166–1167
206. Conway PH, Cnaan A, Zaoutis T, Henry BV, Grundmeier RW, Keren R (2007) Recurrent urinary tract infections in children: risk factors and association with prophylactic antimicrobials. *JAMA* 298(2):179–186
207. Samad L, Aquil S, Zaidi Z (2006) Paediatric percutaneous nephrolithotomy: setting new frontiers. *BJU Int* 97(2):359–363
208. Zeren S, Satar N, Bayazit Y, Bayazit AK, Payasli K, Ozkeçeli R (2002) Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in the management of pediatric renal calculi. *J Endourol* 16(2):75–78
209. Nouralizadeh A, Basiri A, Javaherforooshzadeh A, Soltani MH, Tajali F (2009) Experience of percutaneous nephrolithotomy using adult-size instruments in children less than 5 years old. *J Pediatr Urol* 5:351–354
210. Roth C, Donovan BO, Adams JM, Kibar Y, Frimberger D, Kropp BP (2009) Use of second look nephroscopy in children undergoing percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *J Urol* 181(2):796–800
211. Resorlu B, Unsal A, Tepeler A, Atis G, Tokatli Z, Oztuna D, Armagan A, Gurbuz C, Caskurlu T, Saglam R (2012) Comparison of retrograde intrarenal surgery and mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy in children with moderate-size kidney stones: results of multi-institutional analysis. *Urology* 80(3):519–523