

# Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) Mitigates Arsenic (As)-Induced Toxicity in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Plants by Regulating Osmoregulation, Antioxidant Defense System, Ascorbate Glutathione Cycle and Glyoxalase System

Abdulaziz Abdullah Alsahli<sup>1</sup> · Javaid Akhter Bhat<sup>2</sup> · Mohammed Nasser Alyemeni<sup>1</sup> · Muhammad Ashraf<sup>3</sup> · Parvaiz Ahmad<sup>1,4</sup>

Received: 29 July 2020 / Accepted: 14 October 2020 / Published online: 12 November 2020 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

# Abstract

Arsenic (As) being a toxic metalloid adversely affects plant growth and yield, as well as poses severe risks to human health. Hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) has emerged a vital signaling molecule regulating key plant growth processes under stress conditions. However, till date little information is available regarding the role of  $H_2S$  in mitigating As toxicity in pea plants. In the present study, the effect of externally applied H<sub>2</sub>S and its scavenger hypotaurine (HT) on various morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters of pea plants was evaluated. Our results showed significant decline in root length (RL), shoot length (SL), dry biomass, photosynthetic parameters such as pigment content and gas exchange characteristics in pea plants subjected to As stress. However, H<sub>2</sub>S supplementation significantly decreased As accumulation in the roots and shoot, as well as considerably enhanced growth and photosynthetic parameters. Hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), malondialdehyde (MDA) and electrolyte leakage (EL) increased significantly in the As-treated plants, while  $H_2S$  supplementation considerably reduced the levels of  $H_2O_2$  and MDA as well as EL. Arsenic stress accelerated the activities of antioxidant and AsA-GSH cycle enzymes except that of CAT; however, the activities of these enzymes were found to be further increased by  $H_2S$  supply including that of CAT. Furthermore, ascorbate (AsA), glutathione (GSH) and methylglyoxal (MG) levels were significantly enhanced by As stress, and were further intensified in the  $H_2S$ -supplemented plants. Our results demonstrated significant role of  $H_2S$  in reducing As accumulation and inducing upregulation of the AsA-GSH cycle to overcome ROS-mediated oxidative damage to the cellular components of pea plants. Hence, H<sub>2</sub>S reduced oxidative damage and promoted growth of pea plants under As stress, suggesting an important role of H<sub>2</sub>S in plant priming.

**Keywords** Arsenic toxicity  $\cdot$  H<sub>2</sub>S  $\cdot$  *Pisum sativum*  $\cdot$  Growth  $\cdot$  Oxidative stress  $\cdot$  Antioxidants  $\cdot$  Ascorbate glutathione cycle  $\cdot$  Glyoxalase system

Parvaiz Ahmad parvaizbot@yahoo.com; Pahmad@ksu.edu.sa

- <sup>1</sup> Botany and Microbiology Department, College of Science, King Saudi University, P. O. Box. 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory for Crop Genetics and Germplasm Enhancement, College of Agriculture, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China
- <sup>3</sup> University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Botany, S.P. College, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir 190001, India

# Introduction

Heavy metal stress is recognized as an important constraint for attaining optimum crop production world over. Of the various heavy metals known so far, arsenic (As) is a toxic heavy metal(loid), and is known as "King of Poisons" (Abbas et al. 2018). Arsenic is present in many forms with different mechanisms of toxicity, and its inorganic form is more toxic (Lizama et al. 2011). Arsenic is easily transported from root to upper parts, as it is highly mobile and can ultimately find its way to humans wherein it can cause various health problems (Abbas et al. 2018; Heikens et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2011).

An increase in As concentration in soil might result due to anthropogenic activity, use of extremely high contents of pesticides and herbicides, irrigation with ground water polluted with heavy metals, etc. (Eliana Andrea et al. 2019; Tripathi et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2010)) Arsenic is very toxic in nature even in small concentrations because it can cause a marked impairment in physio-biochemical processes of plants. Arsenic in soil is present in two inorganic forms viz, arsenite (AsIII) and arsenate (AsV). According to Ji et al. (2017), the AsIII is highly toxic compared with AsV, because AsIII is more soluble and mobile. Plants exposed to As are reported to show reduced growth and biomass yield as well as reduced crop production (Abbas et al. 2018; Rahman et al. 2008, 2007). According to Garg and Singla (2011), As toxicity hampers photosynthesis, respiration and other physiological activities as it disrupts the water transport (Verbruggen et al. 2009). Arsenic stress leads to ionic, osmotic as well as oxidative stress; however, plants are able to synthesize osmolytes like proline, glycine betaine, etc., which can effectively protect the cell organelles from the stress-induced toxicity. Prolonged metal stress also leads to generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) like hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , superoxide  $(O_2^{-})$ , singlet oxygen  $(O^{-})$  etc. which react with biomolecules and affect their normal functioning (Ahmed et al. 2010). Arsenic stress also induces higher accumulation of methylglyoxal (MG), a key byproduct of glycolysis, which is believed to be very harmful for plant organelles (Jan et al. 2018; Kumar and Yadav 2009; Yadav et al. 2005). MG and ROS work together and hamper the normal functioning of plant organelles, and if they are not properly removed they may cause cell death (Ahmad et al. 2019a). However, plants have their own defense mechanisms to offset the ionic, osmotic and oxidative stresses. Plants can accumulate osmolytes like proline and glycine betaine that protect the biomolecules from dehydration stress without interfering with the key functions of the cell. Proline has also been reported to have an antioxidant property that helps to quench the ROS. Plants under a stress also induce the activities of different antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione-S-transferase and other enzymes of the ascorbate glutathione cycle (ascorbate peroxidase, APX; glutathione reductase, dehydroascorbate reductase, monodehydroascorbate reductase, ascorbic acid, and glutathione). These antioxidants are known to scavenge the extra ROS from the cell and make it less prone to oxidative stress. Another system is glyoxalase system [glyoxalase I, (Gly I); glyoxalase II, (Gly II)] is thought to be responsible for the detoxification of MG (Jan et al. 2018).

Different strategies have been adopted to reclaim As polluted soils for achieving maximal crop production from the limited soil resources. One of the sustainable approaches is the use of external supplementation of nutrients, inorganic elements and phytohormones, etc. (Shivaraj et al. 2019).

Hydrogen sulfide  $(H_2S)$ , a gaseous molecule with a lot of health benefits, has been reported in animal system (du Toit 2015; Mancardi et al. 2009). However, within the last two decades, this gaseous molecule has gained a considerable ground because of its effective role in mitigating the adverse effects of environmental cues on plants (Ali et al. 2014; Christou et al. 2013; Mostofa et al. 2015; Shi et al. 2014; Shivaraj et al. 2019). Mitigation of an abiotic stress by H<sub>2</sub>S is attributed to its role in different defense mechanisms like antioxidant activities and ROS detoxification system (Chen et al. 2013; Mostofa et al. 2015). Hence, the key objective of the present study was to determine whether H<sub>2</sub>S has any role in the mitigation of As stress in pea plants. For this various morphological, physiological and biochemical parameter related to As tolerance were used for the evaluation of pea plants.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Plant Material, Treatment and Growth Conditions

Healthy and viable pea (Pisum sativum L.) seeds were selected for surface sterilization for 10 min using 5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution, and were washed thoroughly with distilled water. The seeds were got germinated in pots containing a mixture of sand, perlite and peat (1:1:1); however, full strength Hoagland's nutrient solution was used to grow the pea seedlings for two weeks by following detailed procedure of Singh et al. (2015). Thereafter, the seedlings were subjected to AsIII stress by mixing 20 µM sodium arsenite (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>) in Hoagland's solution, whereas normal Hoagland solution was used to grow the control plants (Ahmad et al. 2020). The H<sub>2</sub>S donor, i.e., sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS; 200 µM) was mixed with Hoagland solution and then supplied to both control and As-treated plants. Moreover, a H<sub>2</sub>S scavenger i.e., hypotaurine (HT; 200 µM) was also applied with Hoagland solution in absence and presence of H<sub>2</sub>S to check if H<sub>2</sub>S really had a positive role under As stress by following (Kaya et al. 2020). Based on the following treatments, the plants were grouped as: (i) control (Hoagland solution only), (II) control+H<sub>2</sub>S, (III) As stress (20 mM), (IV) As + H<sub>2</sub>S, and (V) As + H<sub>2</sub>S + HT. The pots were placed in a growth chamber under controlled environmental conditions viz., photoperiod of 18 h, relative humidity (RH) of 70-75%, and day/night temperature  $25 \pm 2$  °C/15  $\pm 2$  °C. Forty-day old plants were harvested for estimating different morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters.

#### **Growth and Photosynthetic Pigment Parameters**

Morphological parameters viz., shoot length (SL), root length (RL), shoot fresh weight (SFW) and shoot dry weight (SDW) were estimated from 40-day-old plants following Singh et al. (2015). For the estimation of SDW, the samples were oven-dried at 70 °C for 48 h and final weights of the dry samples were recorded. Pigment related to photosynthesis viz., chlorophyll and carotenoids were extracted and estimated using the acetone extract method (Arnon 1949). Fresh leaf tissue was used for the extraction of pigments using 80% acetone, and a spectrophotometer (Beckman 640D USA) was used to record absorbance at 480 nm, 645 nm and 663 nm.

Horizontal fully expanded leaves were used for the estimation of leaf gas exchange parameters at full noon using an IRGA (LCA-4 model Analytical Development Company, Hoddesdon, England). These traits included transpiration rate (*E*), net photosynthetic rate (*Pn*) and stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ).

# **Physiological and Biochemical Parameters**

The Yamasaki and Dillenburg (1999) standard protocol were followed for leaf relative water content (LRWC) estimation. The upper most leaves were used to collect leaf disks, and the fresh weights of these leaf samples were recorded. The below mentioned formula was used to estimate LRWC: peroxidation. The MDA and lipid peroxidation were measured using a spectrophotometer (Beckman 640D, USA).

Electrolyte leakage (EL) was determined by following a procedure as described in detail by Dionisio-Sese and Tobita (1998).

# Estimation of Enzymatic and Non-enzymatic Activity

Enzyme extract and assay were prepared by collecting fresh leaf tissue. Potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.0) containing polyvinyl pyrrolidone (1%) were used for homogenizing the leaf samples using a pestle and mortar. Centrifugation of the slurry was carried out for 30 min at 16,128 rcf/g-force at 4 °C. Enzyme activities were determined from the resulting supernatant (Ahmad et al. 2018).

The Dhindsa and Matowe (1981) protocol was followed to measure SOD (EU mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) activity, which is based on nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) reduction method. The procedure as described in detail by Aebi (1984) was used to measure CAT (EU mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) activity. The activity of GST (EU mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) was determined by following the detailed procedure of Hasanuzzaman and Fujita (2013). For the estimation of APX (EU mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) activity, we followed the procedure as previously described by Nakano and Asada (1981). The method of Foster and Hess (1980) was used to estimate GR (EU mg<sup>-1</sup> protein) activity.

The detailed procedure as previously described by Miyake and Asada (1992) was used to determine MDHAR (EU  $mg^{-1}$ 

 $LRWC = Fresh weight - Dry weight/Turgid weight - Dry weight \times 100$ 

The standard procedure of Bates et al. (1973), i.e., acid ninhydrin method, was followed for proline content estimation. A spectrophotometer (Beckman 640D, USA) was used to measure absorbance at 520 nm. Determination of proline content was done using a standard curve and worked out as  $\mu$ mol proline g<sup>-1</sup> FW (Bates et al. 1973).

For the estimation of glycine betaine (GB) content, the method as described previously in detail by Grieve and Grattan (1983) was followed.

#### **Oxidative Stress Biomarkers**

Estimation of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  was carried out following the detailed procedure of Velikova et al. (2000). A spectrophotometer (Beckman 640D, USA) was used to measure absorbance at 390 nm.

The protocol of Madhava Rao and Sresty (2000) was followed to measure malondialdehyde (MDA) content and lipid protein) activity. A standard protocol of Nakano and Asada (1981) was used to estimate DHAR (EU  $mg^{-1}$  protein) activity. For estimating AsA and GSH contents, we followed the previously described methods of Huang et al. (2005) and Yu et al. (2003), respectively.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

In the present study, average values each estimated from five replicates were used for the data analysis. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out using the SPSS software (Version 17), and the significant differences among the means were worked out at  $P \le 0.05$ . Tukey's HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) test was used for comparison between control and treatment's means at  $P \le 0.05$  significance level using SPSS 10 software.

# Results

# **Phenotypic Evaluation**

Arsenic stress significantly decreased SL and RL by 55.32% and 64.83%, respectively, in As-treated pea plants with respect to controls; however, H<sub>2</sub>S application slightly increased SL and RL in the control plants, whereas it enhanced SL and RL by 24.77% and 35.10%, respectively, in the As-treated plants (Fig. 1a). The SFW and SDW also decreased by 65.88% and 42.85%, respectively, in the As-treated plants relative to those in the untreated plants; however, exogenous supply of H<sub>2</sub>S to the As-treated plants increased the SFW and SDW by 41.17% and 23.80%, respectively, with reference to those in the plants solely treated with As (Fig. 1b). Our study observed that H<sub>2</sub>S scavenger i.e., hypotaurine (HT) reversed the positive effects of H<sub>2</sub>S. The As + HT-treated plants receated almost similar phenotypic effects as those of plants treated

with As only for the traits viz., SL, RL, SFW and SDW (Fig. 1a, b).

# Arsenic Concentration, Tolerance Index and Translocation Factor

Accumulation of As in the shoot tissue decreased from 525 to 225  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> DW, and in roots it reduced from 715 to 492  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> DW with the supplementation of H<sub>2</sub>S. However, the effect of H<sub>2</sub>S on As concentration in the shoot and root tissues was nullified by the HT treatment; for example, As + HT + H<sub>2</sub>S and As-treated plants showed non-significant difference in shoot and root As accumulation, but showed significantly higher As concentration in both tissues relative to those in the As + H<sub>2</sub>S-treated plants (Table 1).

Shoot tolerance index (STI) and root tolerance index (RTI) also increased from 44.67 to 75.22% and 35.16 to 64.89%, respectively, by the exogenous supply of  $H_2S$  in the As-treated plants. However, translocation factor decreased from 0.734 to 0.457 in the present of  $H_2S$  in the As-treated



Fig. 1 Supplementation of H<sub>2</sub>S restored the **a** lengths of shoot and root and **b** FW and DW shoot in As-stressed pea plants (Mean  $\pm$  S.E., n=5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

Table 1 Effect of H<sub>2</sub>S on As accumulation by shoot and root, translocation factor, shoot and root tolerance index in pea under As toxicity

Treatments	Shoot As ( $\mu g g^{-1} DW$ )	Root As (µg g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	Translocation factor (TF)	Shoot tolerance index (STI%)	Root tolerance index (RTI%)
0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H <sub>2</sub> S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
As	525±13.67a	$715 \pm 17.64a$	$0.734 \pm 0.02a$	$44.67 \pm 0.97$ b	$35.16 \pm 0.84b$
$As + H_2S$	$225 \pm 7.95b$	$492 \pm 10.01$ b	$0.457 \pm 0.01b$	$75.22 \pm 1.93a$	$64.89 \pm 1.69a$
$As + H_2S + HT$ (hypotaurine)	$542 \pm 14.40a$	$734 \pm 18.46a$	$0.738 \pm 0.02a$	$43.82 \pm 0.97b$	$33.13 \pm 0.85b$

Data presented are the means  $\pm$  SE (n = 5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

plants (Table 1). The beneficial effect of  $H_2S$  on STI, RTI and translocation factor in the As-treated plants was nullified by the application of HT, and these parameters showed non-significant difference between the As-treated and As+HT+H<sub>2</sub>S plants (Table 1).

#### **Pigments and Gas Exchange Parameters**

Arsenic stress reduced total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents by 37.41% and 57.57% in the pea plants compared to that in the control plants. However,  $As + H_2S$ -treated plants showed only 24.45% and 36.36% decrease in total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents relative to the controls. Furthermore, exogenous supply of  $As + HT + H_2S$  to the pea plants showed similar levels of total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents to those in the As-treated plants (Fig. 2a, b).

The *P*n,  $g_s$ , and *E* decreased by 51.60%, 82.20% and 75.46% under As stress in the pea plants compared to the controls. However, H<sub>2</sub>S application to the As-treated plants showed a marked reduction in *P*n,  $g_s$  and *E* by 35.47%, 57.37% and 42.33% with reference to the controls, which are significantly lower than those in the pea plants treated with only As (Fig. 2c–e). Moreover, addition of HT eliminated the positive effects of H<sub>2</sub>S in the As-stressed plants.

#### **Physiological and Biochemical Analysis**

Arsenic stress reduced RWC by 46.56% in the pea plants with respect to the control. However, supplementation of  $H_2S$  to the As-treated plants exhibited enhanced RWC (70.62%) compared to that in the pea plants fed with As only (Fig. 3a). Proline and GB contents increased under As stress by 82.81% and 71.83%, respectively, compared to the controls. However, in the As+H<sub>2</sub>S-treated plants an increase of only 52.82% in proline and 57.26% in GB content, respectively, was observed relative to the controls, which is considerably low compared to those in the pea plants treated with As only (Fig. 3b, c). The As+H<sub>2</sub>S+HT and As-treated plants showed non-significant differences for RWC, proline and GB contents.

# Oxidants, Electrolyte Leakage and Antioxidant Activity

Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  and MDA contents increased by 74.22% and 63.09%, respectively, in the As-treated plants compared to the controls. However, application of  $H_2S$ suppressed the  $H_2O_2$  and MDA contents in the As +  $H_2S$ treated plants by 63.23% and 57.26%, respectively, compared to those in the As-treated plants (Fig. 4a, b). In addition, electrolyte leakage (EL) was recorded to be increased from 10.77% to 69.05% under As stress; however,  $H_2S$ application reduced the EL to 44.15% (Fig. 4c). The HT supplementation to  $As + H_2S$ -treated plants showed a similar behavior in  $H_2O_2$ , MDA and EL as that in the As-treated plants.

The activities of enzymatic antioxidants viz., SOD, CAT, APX, GR and GST, enhanced by 179.88%, 39.21%, 58.95%, 89.65% and 63.45%, respectively, in the As-treated plants with reference to the controls. However, H<sub>2</sub>S application to the As-stressed plants further showed enhanced activities of SOD, CAT, APX, GR and GST by 32.10%, 70.59%, 14.54%, 19.33% and 26.93% with reference to those in the As-stressed plants (Figs. 5a-c, 6a, b). Arsenic stress decreased the ascorbate recycling enzymes MDHAR and DHAR by 49.16% and 49.06%, respectively, relative to the controls. Supplementation of H<sub>2</sub>S increased the activities of MDHAR and DHAR by 43.38% and 70.79%, respectively, with respect to those in the As-stressed plants (Fig. 6c, d). However, the  $As + H_2S + HT$  and As-treated plants showed non-significant differences for CAT, APX, GR, GST, MDHAR and DHAR.

As stress decreased AsA and GSSG by 55.00% and 42.76%, respectively, but increased GSH by 75.60% in the As-treated plants relative to the controls. The H<sub>2</sub>S supply enhanced the levels of AsA, GSH and GSSG by 66.66%, 25.38% and 61.34%, respectively, with respect to those in the plants treated with As only (Fig. 7a–c). However, HT supplemented to the As+H<sub>2</sub>S-treated plants showed non-significant effect on this trait.

#### Methyl Glyoxal and Glyoxalase Cycle

Arsenic stress applied to the pea plants resulted in increased amount of MG by 92.66% compared to the control; however, application of H<sub>2</sub>S decreased the MG content by 35.48% relative to that in the As-stressed plants (Fig. 8a). Application of HT to the As + H<sub>2</sub>S-treated plants nullified the effect of H<sub>2</sub>S, and As + H<sub>2</sub>S + HT and As-treated plants showed non-significant differences for MT.

Arsenic stress enhanced the Gly I by 63.23%, but decreased Gly II by 41.55% relative to the controls. Application of H<sub>2</sub>S to the As-treated plants further enhanced Gly I by 18.01%, and Gly II by 44.44% compared to those in the As-treated plants (Fig. 8b, c). The addition of HT to the As + H<sub>2</sub>S-treated plants showed non-significant difference between As + H<sub>2</sub>S + HT and As-alone-treated plants.

## Discussion

Hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ) has recently emerged as an important gaseous signaling molecule regulating numerous physiological processes in plants such as germination, regulation of stomatal aperture, photosynthesis and formation of lateral and adventitious root (Shivaraj et al. 2019). Besides, many



**Fig.2** Supplementation of  $H_2S$  enhanced the **a** total chlorophyll **b** carotenoid content, **c** net photosynthesis rate (*Pn*), **d** stomatal conductance (*gs*) and **e** transpiration rate (*E*) in As-stressed pea plants (Mean ± S.E., n=5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 



**Fig. 3** External supplementation of H<sub>2</sub>S enhanced the **a** RWC **b** proline content and **c** Gb content in As-stressed pea plants (Mean $\pm$ S.E., n=5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

studies have demonstrated important role of  $H_2S$  in plant defense response against multiple abiotic stresses such as including drought stress (Jin et al. 2017), salt stress (Lai et al. 2014), chilling stress (Fu et al. 2013), osmotic stress (Khan et al. 2017) and heavy metal stress (Guo et al. 2016). Hence, the present study demonstrated a potential role of  $H_2S$  in mitigating As stress in the pea plants, which was ascribed to varying regulation of various morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters. Our results revealed that As toxicity diminished growth (SL & RL) and biomass (SFW & SDW) of the pea plants. Similar to our results, many previous studies have reported As-induced reduction in plant growth and biomass in different plant species viz., *Luffa acutangula* (Singh et al. 2013), rice (Singh et al. 2017), and soybean (Chandrakar and Keshavkant 2019). Such As-induced reduction in plants growth may occur due to disturbance in cellular processes at molecular, biochemical and physiological levels in plants (Abbas et al. 2018; Gunes et al. 2010; Khalid et al. 2017; Rafiq et al. 2017, 2018). The supplementation of H<sub>2</sub>S significantly increased growth and biomass of As-treated pea plants, similar as previously demonstrated by Singh et al. (2015). Exogenous supply of H<sub>2</sub>S has been widely reported to increase plant growth parameters in various plants under toxic metal concentrations (Chen et al. 2013; Cui et al. 2014; Shi et al. 2014; Singh et al. 2015). Application of the H<sub>2</sub>S scavenger, i.e., HT, has been well documented to reverse the beneficial effects of H<sub>2</sub>S on plant growth under heavy metal stress (Kaya and Ashraf 2019; Kaya et al. 2020; Mostofa et al. 2015; Scuffi et al. 2014). It has been suggested that improvement in growth



**Fig. 4** Application of  $H_2S$  externally decreased the **a**  $H_2O_2$  content **b** MDA content and **c** EL in As-stressed pea plants (Mean ± S.E., n=5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

and biomass yield of As-treated plants by  $H_2S$  might result from the reduced accumulation of As in the tissues (root and shoot), as well as its translocation from root to shoot of pea plants (Singh et al. 2015). For example, our results showed that  $H_2S$  application significantly reduced the As concentration in the root and shoot tissues, and its translocation from root to shoot, suggesting some specific mechanisms utilized by the  $H_2S$  to reduce As uptake, accumulation and transport to aboveground shoot tissues. Moreover, many authors have documented the key role of  $H_2S$  in regulating uptake, accumulation and transport of metal(loid)s in plants (Li et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2013).

A negative effect of As stress has been demonstrated on photosynthesis and chlorophyll metabolism, leading to impaired biosynthesis and accelerated degradation of the pigments (Abbas et al. 2018; Singh et al. 2016). However, our study exhibited a considerable reduction in chlorophyll content of the pea plants by As stress which is analogous to some earlier studies on Zea mays (Emamverdian et al. 2015) and Trifolium pratense (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2017). Anjum et al. (2011) reported that a remarkable reduction in chlorophyll pigment synthesis under As stress results from the shortage of adaptive adjustments of photosystems-I and -II. In agreement with the present study, previous studies reported As-induced reduction in carotenoid pigment in different plant species such as mungbean (Srivastava et al. 2017) and chickpea (Dwivedi et al. 2012). However, supplementation of  $H_2S$  to the As-treated plants considerably reduced the degradation of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments in the pea plants, as previously demonstrated by Singh et al. (2015). This effect on photosynthetic pigment biosynthesis may have been due to the role of H<sub>2</sub>S in reducing



RS

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

0

Ś



Fig. 5 The activity of a SOD, b CAT and c GST boosted with the external supplementation of  $H_2S$  in As-stressed pea plants (Mean ± S.E., n=5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

the translocation and accumulation of As in photosynthetic organs (Abbas et al. 2018; Luo et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2015).

Arsenic induced reduction in leaf gas exchange characteristics such as Pn, E and  $g_s$  is evident in the present investigation, which is in agreement with the findings earlier reported in maize (Wang et al. 2015) and cowpea (Dutta and Mondal 2014). Arsenic stress and ABA accumulation are directly correlated; higher concentration of As in plants leads to accumulation of ABA in plant cells including guard cells (Huang et al. 2012), thereby causing stomatal closure and reduced transpiration (Stoeva et al. 2004). For example, Armendariz et al. (2016) reported that As stress induces ABA accumulation and stomatal closure in soybean, and reduces transpiration. However, closure of stomata decreases CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, that in turn reduces photosynthetic rate (Ahmad et al. 2018). The reduction in  $P_n$  can be attributed to the damage caused by As in both photochemical and biochemical steps of the photosynthesis process (Abbas et al. 2018). Application of H<sub>2</sub>S significantly enhanced gas exchange parameters in the As-treated pea plants. Many studies have reported that H<sub>2</sub>S can enhance gas exchange parameters under metal toxicity stress in different plants such as in nickel-stressed rice (Rizwan et al. 2019), chromium-stressed cauliflower (Ahmad et al. 2019b), and arsenic-stressed pea (Singh et al. 2015). However, HT application reversed the positive effects of H<sub>2</sub>S on photosynthetic and gas exchange parameters, which in line with some earlier studies (Baudouin et al. 2016; Khan et al. 2018; Wei et al. 2017).

b

Ast HAS AST HAST HI



Fig.6 External supplementation of  $H_2S$  accelerated the activities of a APX, b GR, c MDHAR and d DHAR in As-stressed pea plants (Mean ± S.E., n = 5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

The present study showed as significant reduction in RWC of pea plants under As stress, similar as earlier found in rice (Rahman et al. 2016) and soybean (Vezza et al. 2018). This effect most possibly results due to As-induced constraints to water uptake and damage to the root system architecture. Vezza et al. (2018) reported water absorption reduction of 25–39% in soybean under As stress. They demonstrated that As treatment applied could not decrease the osmotic potential, and suggested reduction in water absorption might be controlled instead of physiological or phenotypic changes. Armendariz et al. (2016) reported that As treatment to soybean plants resulted in thicker and lignified cell walls of root cells as well as deposition of huge quantity of dark deposits in the xylem vessels, and also observed a decline in root length and biomass. Many previous studies

have also observed a similar kind of effect on the roots of several other plants under As and other heavy metals (Rai et al. 2011; Rui et al. 2016; Yoon et al. 2015). Heavy metal toxicity including As generally stimulates imbalance in plant water as well as induces osmolyte accumulation like Pro and GB. In the present study, we observed an inverse relationship of leaf RWC, Pro and GB levels under As stress, but the application of H<sub>2</sub>S recovered RWC by avoiding the accumulation of high amount of Pro. These findings show the direct role of H<sub>2</sub>S in other metabolic adjustment(s) by preventing accumulation of high Pro level. Importantly, the observed increment in the RWC might result in opening of stomata as well as increased transpiration in plants treated with H<sub>2</sub>S + As, which in turn may contribute to the



**Fig. 7** The non-enzymatic antioxidants **a** AsA, **b** GSH and **c** GSSG of ascorbate–glutathione cycle enhanced by the external application of  $H_2S$  in As-stressed pea plants (Mean ± S.E., n=5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

improvement of photosynthesis (Bharwana et al. 2014; Duan et al. 2014) thereby improving the growth of the pea plants.

Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , MDA and EL are prospective biomarkers of oxidative stress (Ahmad et al. 2017; Hasanuzzaman et al. 2014). Like many other metals, As can induce the production and accumulation of MDA and  $H_2O_2$ , that in turn may increase EL; these results are similar to those reported in by different authors (Choudhury et al. 2011; Ghosh et al. 2013; Mylona et al. 1998; Rafiq et al. 2018). In the present investigation, supplementation of  $H_2S$ to the As-stressed pea plants resulted in reduction of  $H_2O_2$ , MDA and EL, suggesting an important function of  $H_2S$  in mitigating oxidative damage resulting due to the effect of As stress. Three different mechanisms were suggested to mediate alleviation of As-induced oxidative damage by  $H_2S$ : (1)  $H_2S$  reduces accumulation of As, hence reducing the metal-induced e damage, (2) H<sub>2</sub>S induces higher levels of NO, and NO acts as a scavenger of ROS such as SOR and peroxy radicals (lipid peroxidation products) (Singh et al. 2013), and (3) both H<sub>2</sub>S and NO are important molecules involved in defense signaling molecules; these molecules regulate oxidative stress tolerance by inducing antioxidant defense system (Fang et al. 2016; Hancock and Whiteman 2014). Plants possess enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants defense mechanisms to scavenge excess ROS and maintain normal redox balance within the cells, as well as prevent oxidative cellular damages. Under abiotic-induced oxidative stress, SOD scavenges  $O^{-1}$  into oxygen ( $O_2$ ) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and it acts as a first line of defense in managing oxidative stress. However, the APX, CAT and GPX act on the stress-generated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, that is finally reduced to H<sub>2</sub>O. In our study, the activities of SOD and APX increased significantly



**Fig. 8** External application of  $H_2S$  decreased the **a** methylglyoxal (MG) content, and boosted the activities of glyoxalase system enzymes, **b** Gly I and **c** Gly II in As-stressed pea plants (Mean ± S.E., n = 5). Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$ 

under As stress, which is a similar response as earlier observed in other species like rice (Shri et al. 2009), and maize (Requejo and Tena 2005). In contrast, the activity of CAT decreased in the pea plants under As stress, that is in agreement with the findings reported in *Taxithelium nepalense* by Singh et al. (2007). Hence, an increase or a decrease in the activities of SOD and CAT, suggests accumulation of  $H_2O_2$ , which is also supported by  $H_2O_2$  data presented here. However, the CAT plays an important function in the removal of excess  $H_2O_2$  produced under oxidative stress. Application of  $H_2S$  further increased the activities of SOD, APX, and CAT, which is in consistent with the findings reported in wheat (Zhang et al. 2008), pepper (Kaya et al. 2018), and *Arabidopsis* (Shan et al. 2018).

Four enzymes, i.e., MDHAR DHAR, APX and GR, and two non-enzymatic antioxidants i.e., AsA and GSH are

important components of the AsA-GSH cycle (Foyer and Noctor 2011). These AsA-GSH cycle components interact with the ROS and induce specific changes in the ROS and antioxidant levels as well as the redox ratios of AsA and GSH (Kuźniak and Skłodowska 2005). Our results showed a significant (P < 0.05) inhibition in the MDHAR and DHAR activities under As stress, but those of APX and GR increased significantly. The decreased activities of MDHAR and DHAR resulted in reduced (P < 0.05) AsA and GSH pools. Hence, inhibition in the MDHAR and DHAR activities might have increased lipid and protein damage due to oxidative stress resulting from As stress as reported by Aravind and Prasad (2005). However, H<sub>2</sub>S supply ameliorated the inhibition of MDHAR and DHAR activities in the Astreated plants, as well as further increased the activities of APX and GR. These findings suggest the putative role of H<sub>2</sub>S in inducing enzyme activities of the AsA-GSH cycle, thereby regulating the redox status of AsA and GSH. This in turn might have resulted in improved growth of the pea plants relative to the plants treated with As only. However, Gao and Zhang (2008) demonstrated the protective role of AsA in the Arabidopsis ascorbate-deficient (vtc1) mutant against oxidative stress. Furthermore, the role of GSH in the synthesis of phytochelatins and ROS elimination has been well documented (Kalinowska and Pawlik-Skowrońska 2010), and GSH is known to regulate the detoxification of lipids and protein peroxidation products by acting as a substrate via the activity of glutathione-S-transferase (Gajewska and SkŁodowska 2010). Our results clearly showed Asmediated redox status alteration in the pea plants through its interference with the AsA and GSH pools. However, H<sub>2</sub>S application recovered the redox status as shown by increased accumulation of AsA and GSH, and thus regulates the redox buffering. The increased content of AsA and GSH is directly associated with the enzyme activities of the AsA-GSH cycle, suggesting the function of H<sub>2</sub>S in stimulating the antioxidant defense system. In agreement with our findings, the application H<sub>2</sub>S was shown to reestablish the redox status in Medicago sativa plants, thereby increasing plant salinity tolerance (Lai et al. 2014).

Over-accumulation of methylglyoxal (MG) in plant cells leads to adverse effects by inducing the generation of ROS as well as inhibiting the antioxidant defense system (Li 2016; Yadav et al. 2005). For avoiding MG-mediated cellular injury, plants have developed a well-developed detoxification mechanism for MG which is referred to as the glyoxalase (Gly) system. This system includes Gly I and Gly II (Kaur et al. 2016). By utilizing the GSH, the glyoxalase I converts MG to S-D-lactoylglutathione, while as S-D-lactoylglutathione is further converted to D-lactic acid by glyoxalase II, and during this reaction GSH is regenerated (Hossain et al. 2012). Higher accumulation of MG observed under As toxicity indicates clear signs of oxidative stress. In the present study, As stress mediated increase and decrease in the activity of Gly I and Gly II, respectively, suggests the inefficiency of the Gly system in the As-stressed plants. Enhanced Gly I activity under heavy metal toxicity has been reported in various studies (Hossain and Fujita 2010; Hossain et al. 2009; Kalapos et al. 1992; Lin et al. 2010). Mostofa et al. (2015) which suggest that decline in Gly II in response to heavy metal stress might result from proteolytic damage to enzymes. However, supplementation of  $H_2S$  to the As-treated pea plants increased the activities of both Gly I and Gly II enzymes with a corresponding decrease in the MG levels. Previously, it was demonstrated that supplementation of rice seedlings with H<sub>2</sub>S induces Gly I and Gly II accumulation; hence, resulting in increased Cd tolerance by increasing MG accumulation (Mostofa et al. 2015). These findings also suggest that induction of Gly II activity assists

in recycling GSH efficiently into the system, which in turn maintains GSH homeostasis and higher enzyme activity of the AsA and GSH cycle to effectively prevent oxidative stress. Barrameda-Medina et al. (2014) have demonstrated increased activities of Gly enzymes and antioxidant enzymes (APX, GST and GPX) which were found to alleviate Zn toxicity in *Brassica oleracea* (Barrameda-Medina et al. 2014). Thus, our results suggest an important role of  $H_2S$ in the regulation of the antioxidant defense as well as the Gly systems to overcome ROS and MG toxicity induced by As stress in the pea plants. Hence,  $H_2S$  alleviated the oxidative damage in the As-treated pea plants through regulating the activities of Gly I and Gly II enzymes, which suggests that  $H_2S$  can effectively restore GSH and glutathione redox potential via the glyoxalase system.

However, the positive effects of  $H_2S$  in regulating ROS levels, and antioxidant and glyoxalase systems under As stress in the pea plants were all reversed by the supplementation of HT (a  $H_2S$  scavenger), which, suggest that  $H_2S$  plays an important role in alleviating As-induced damage to pea plants.

# Conclusion

Arsenic toxicity is an important constraint to growth and yield of crop plants. In higher plants, As has been analyzed under diverse perspectives such as its assimilation, transport, accumulation, and cellular metabolism etc. Recently, some gaseous signaling molecules such as H<sub>2</sub>S have been suggested to play an important role in the mitigation of As toxicity in crop plants. However, least efforts have been made to elucidate the role and mechanism involved in H<sub>2</sub>S mediated alleviation of As stress in pea crop. Hence, in the present study various morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters were appraised to determine the role of H<sub>2</sub>S in regulating As tolerance in pea plants. Our results showed significant adverse effects of As stress on plant growth, biomass, photosynthesis, ROS production and antioxidant system. However, supplementation of H<sub>2</sub>S to As-treated plants reversed all the negative effects of As on the pea plants leading to improved plant growth, biomass as well as reduced As-induced oxidative damage. Lastly, the present study provides a conclusive evidence for the role of H<sub>2</sub>S as a priming agent in mitigating As stress in pea and other such crops.

Acknowledgements The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, "Ministry of Education" in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number IFKSURG-1438-039.

Author Contributions AAA and PA designed and performed the experiments. JAB, MNA analyzed the data and helped in writing the

first draft of this manuscript. MA and PA revised the manuscript to the present form.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that no conflict of interest exits.

# References

- Abbas G et al (2018) Arsenic uptake, toxicity, detoxification, and speciation in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular aspects. Int J Env Res Public Health 15:59. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijerph15010059
- Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Methods in enzymology. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 121–126
- Ahmad P, Ahanger MA, Alyemeni MN, Wijaya L, Egamberdieva D, Bhardwaj R, Ashraf M (2017) Zinc application mitigates the adverse effects of NaCl stress on mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss] through modulating compatible organic solutes, antioxidant enzymes, and flavonoid content. J Plant Interact 12:429–437. https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2017.1385867
- Ahmad P, Abd Allah EF, Alyemeni MN, Wijaya L, Alam P, Bhardwaj R, Siddique KHM (2018) Exogenous application of calcium to 24-epibrassinosteroid pre-treated tomato seedlings mitigates NaCl toxicity by modifying ascorbate-glutathione cycle and secondary metabolites. Sci Rep 8:13515–13515. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-018-31917-1
- Ahmad A, Kumari P, Ahmad M (2019) Apigenin attenuates edifenphos-induced toxicity by modulating ROS-mediated oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and caspase signal pathway in rat liver and kidney. Pestic Biochem Physiol 159:163–172. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.06.010
- Ahmad R et al (2019) Hydrogen sulfide alleviates chromium stress on cauliflower by restricting its uptake and enhancing antioxidative system. Physiol Plant. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13001
- Ahmad P, Alam P, Balawi TH, Altalayan FH, Ahanger MA, Ashraf M (2020) Sodium nitroprusside (SNP) improves tolerance to arsenic (As) toxicity in Vicia faba through the modifications of biochemical attributes, antioxidants, ascorbate-glutathione cycle and glyoxalase cycle. Chemosphere 244:125480
- Ahmed S et al (2010) Arsenic-associated oxidative stress, inflammation, and immune disruption in human placenta and cord blood. Environ Health Perspect 119:258–264. https://doi.org/10.1289/ ehp.1102086
- Ali B, Gill RA, Yang S, Gill MB, Ali S, Rafiq MT, Zhou W (2014) Hydrogen sulfide alleviates cadmium-induced morpho-physiological and ultrastructural changes in *Brassica napus*. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 110:197–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoen v.2014.08.027
- Anjum SA, Xie X-y, Wang L-c, Saleem MF, Man C, Lei W (2011) Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. Afr J Agric Res 6:2026–2032
- Aravind P, Prasad MNV (2005) Modulation of cadmium-induced oxidative stress in *Ceratophyllum demersum* by zinc involves ascorbate–glutathione cycle and glutathione metabolism. Plant Physiol Biochem 43:107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaph y.2005.01.002
- Armendariz AL, Talano MA, Travaglia C, Reinoso H, Wevar Oller AL, Agostini E (2016) Arsenic toxicity in soybean seedlings and their attenuation mechanisms. Plant Physiol Biochem 98:119–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2015.11.021

- Arnon DI (1949) Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiol 24:1–15. https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.24.1.1
- Barrameda-Medina Y, Montesinos-Pereira D, Romero L, Blasco B, Ruiz JM (2014) Role of GSH homeostasis under Zn toxicity in plants with different Zn tolerance. Plant Sci 227:110–121. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.07.010
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205–207. https:// doi.org/10.1007/bf00018060
- Baudouin E, Poilevey A, Hewage NI, Cochet F, Puyaubert J, Bailly C (2016) The significance of hydrogen sulfide for *Arabidopsis* seed germination. Front Plant Sci 7:930–930. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2016.00930
- Bharwana SA, Ali S, Farooq MA, Ali B, Iqbal N, Abbas F, Ahmad MSA (2014) Hydrogen sulfide ameliorates lead-induced morphological, photosynthetic, oxidative damages and biochemical changes in cotton. Environ Sci Pollut Res 21:717–731. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1920-6
- Chandrakar V, Keshavkant S (2019) Nitric oxide and dimethylthiourea up-regulates pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase expression to improve arsenic tolerance in *Glycine max* L. Environ Prog Sustain Energy 38:402–409. https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12978
- Chen J et al (2013) Hydrogen sulfide alleviates aluminum toxicity in barley seedlings. Plant Soil 362:301–318. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-012-1275-7
- Choudhury B, Chowdhury S, Biswas AK (2011) Regulation of growth and metabolism in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by arsenic and its possible reversal by phosphate. J Plant Interact 6:15–24. https://doi. org/10.1080/17429140903487552
- Christou A, Manganaris GA, Papadopoulos I, Fotopoulos V (2013) Hydrogen sulfide induces systemic tolerance to salinity and nonionic osmotic stress in strawberry plants through modification of reactive species biosynthesis and transcriptional regulation of multiple defence pathways. J Exp Bot 64:1953–1966. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert055
- Cui W et al (2014) Cadmium-induced hydrogen sulfide synthesis is involved in cadmium tolerance in *Medicago sativa* by reestablishment of reduced (homo)glutathione and reactive oxygen species homeostases. PLoS ONE 9:e109669–e109669. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109669
- Dhindsa RS, Matowe W (1981) Drought tolerance in two mosses: correlated with enzymatic defence against lipid peroxidation. J Exp Bot 32:79–91. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/32.1.79
- Dionisio-Sese ML, Tobita S (1998) Antioxidant responses of rice seedlings to salinity stress. Plant Sci 135:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0168-9452(98)00025-9
- du Toit A (2015) The health benefits of hydrogen sulphide. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 16:68–68. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3946
- Duan B, Ma Y, Jiang M, Yang F, Ni L, Lu W (2014) Improvement of photosynthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as a result of an increase in stomatal aperture and density by exogenous hydrogen sulfide treatment. Plant Growth Regul 75:33–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10725-014-9929-5
- Dutta P, Mondal S (2014) Changes in pigments and photosynthetic parameters of cowpea under two inorganic arsenicals. IOSR J Agric Vet Sci 7:99–103. https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-07419 9103
- Dwivedi S et al (2012) Arsenic affects essential and non-essential amino acids differentially in rice grains: inadequacy of amino acids in rice based diet. Environ Int 46:16–22. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.04.012
- Eliana Andrea M-M, Ana Carolina T-E, Tito José C-B, José Luis M-N, Luis Carlos G-M (2019) Evaluation of contaminants in agricultural soils in an Irrigation District in Colombia. Heliyon 5:e02217–e02217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02217

- Emamverdian A, Ding Y, Mokhberdoran F, Xie Y (2015) Heavy metal stress and some mechanisms of plant defense response. Sci World J 2015:756120–756120. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/756120
- Fang H, Liu Z, Jin Z, Zhang L, Liu D, Pei Y (2016) An emphasis of hydrogen sulfide-cysteine cycle on enhancing the tolerance to chromium stress in *Arabidopsis*. Environ Pollut 213:870–877. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.035
- Foster JG, Hess JL (1980) Responses of superoxide dismutase and glutathione reductase activities in cotton leaf tissue exposed to an atmosphere enriched in oxygen. Plant Physiol 66:482–487. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.66.3.482
- Foyer CH, Noctor G (2011) Ascorbate and glutathione: the heart of the redox hub. Plant Physiol 155:2–18. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.110.167569
- Gajewska E, SkŁodowska M (2010) Differential effect of equal copper, cadmium and nickel concentration on biochemical reactions in wheat seedlings. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 73:996–1003. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.02.013
- Gao Q, Zhang L (2008) Ultraviolet-B-induced oxidative stress and antioxidant defense system responses in ascorbate-deficient vtc1 mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Plant Physiol 165:138–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2007.04.002
- Garg N, Singla P (2011) Arsenic toxicity in crop plants: physiological effects and tolerance mechanisms. Environ Chem Lett 9:303– 321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-011-0313-7
- Ghosh S et al (2013) Phytochemical analysis and free radical scavenging activity of medicinal plants *Gnidia glauca* and *Dioscorea bulbifera*. PLoS ONE 8:e82529–e82529. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0082529
- Grieve CM, Grattan SR (1983) Rapid assay for determination of water soluble quaternary ammonium compounds. Plant Soil 70:303– 307. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02374789
- Gunes A, Inal A, Bagci EG, Kadioglu YK (2010) Combined effect of arsenic and phosphorus on mineral element concentrations of sunflower. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 41:361–372. https://doi. org/10.1080/00103620903462357
- Hancock JT, Whiteman M (2014) Hydrogen sulfide and cell signaling: team player or referee? Plant Physiol Biochem 78:37–42. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.02.012
- Hasanuzzaman M, Fujita M (2013) Exogenous sodium nitroprusside alleviates arsenic-induced oxidative stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seedlings by enhancing antioxidant defense and glyoxalase system. Ecotoxicology 22:584–596. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10646-013-1050-4
- Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Alam MM, Fujita M (2014) Modulation of antioxidant machinery and the methylglyoxal detoxification system in selenium-supplemented brassica napus seedlings confers tolerance to high temperature stress. Biol Trace Elem Res 161:297–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-014-0120-7
- Hasanuzzaman M et al (2017) Coordinated actions of glyoxalase and antioxidant defense systems in conferring abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Int J Mol Sci 18:200. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms18010200
- Heikens A, Panaullah GM, Meharg AA (2007) Arsenic behaviour from groundwater and soil to crops: impacts on agriculture and food safety. Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology. Springer, New York, pp 43–87
- Hossain MA, Fujita M (2010) Evidence for a role of exogenous glycinebetaine and proline in antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification systems in mung bean seedlings under salt stress. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 16:19–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1229 8-010-0003-0
- Hossain MA, Hossain MZ, Fujita M (2009) Stress-induced changes of methylglyoxal level and glyoxalase I activity in pumpkin seedlings and cDNA cloning of glyoxalase I gene. Aust J Crop Sci 3:53

- Hossain MA, Piyatida P, da Silva JAT, Fujita M (2012) Molecular mechanism of heavy metal toxicity and tolerance in plants: central role of glutathione in detoxification of reactive oxygen species and methylglyoxal and in heavy metal chelation. J Bot 2012:1–37. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/872875
- Huang C, He W, Guo J, Chang X, Su P, Zhang L (2005) Increased sensitivity to salt stress in an ascorbate-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutant. J Exp Bot 56:3041–3049. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ eri301
- Huang H, Jia Y, Sun G-X, Zhu Y-G (2012) Arsenic speciation and volatilization from flooded paddy soils amended with different organic matters. Environ Sci Technol 46:2163–2168. https://doi. org/10.1021/es203635s
- Jan S, Alyemeni MN, Wijaya L, Alam P, Siddique KH, Ahmad P (2018) Interactive effect of 24-epibrassinolide and silicon alleviates cadmium stress via the modulation of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems and macronutrient content in *Pisum sativum* L. seedlings. BMC Plant Biol 18:146–146. https ://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1359-5
- Ji R et al (2017) Calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK31 interacts with arsenic transporter AtNIP1;1 and regulates arsenite uptake in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS ONE 12:e0173681– e0173681. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173681
- Kalapos MP, Garzó T, Antoni F, Mandl J (1992) Accumulation of S-d-lactoylglutathione and transient decrease of glutathione level caused by methylglyoxal load in isolated hepatocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1135:159–164. https://doi. org/10.1016/0167-4889(92)90132-u
- Kalinowska R, Pawlik-Skowrońska B (2010) Response of two terrestrial green microalgae (Chlorophyta, Trebouxiophyceae) isolated from Cu-rich and unpolluted soils to copper stress. Environ Pollut 158:2778–2785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpo 1.2010.03.003
- Kaur C, Sharma S, Singla-Pareek SL, Sopory SK (2016) Methylglyoxal detoxification in plants: role of glyoxalase pathway. Indian J Plant Physiol 21:377–390. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40502-016-0260-1
- Kaya C, Ashraf M (2019) The mechanism of hydrogen sulfide mitigation of iron deficiency-induced chlorosis in strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*) plants. Protoplasma 256:371–382. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00709-018-1298-x
- Kaya C, Ashraf M, Akram NA (2018) Hydrogen sulfide regulates the levels of key metabolites and antioxidant defense system to counteract oxidative stress in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) plants exposed to high zinc regime. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:12612– 12618. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1510-8
- Kaya C, Ashraf M, Alyemeni MN, Ahmad P (2020) Responses of nitric oxide and hydrogen sulfide in regulating oxidative defence system in wheat plants grown under cadmium stress. Physiol Plant. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13012
- Khalid S et al (2017) Arsenic behaviour in soil-plant system: biogeochemical reactions and chemical speciation influences. Enhancing cleanup of environmental pollutants. Springer, New York, pp 97–140
- Khan MN, AlZuaibr FM, Al-Huqail AA, Siddiqui MH, Ali HM, Al-Muwayhi MA, Al-Haque HN (2018) Hydrogen sulfide-mediated activation of O-acetylserine (Thiol) lyase and l/d-cysteine desulfhydrase enhance dehydration tolerance in *Eruca sativa* mill. Int J Mol Sci 19:3981. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19123981
- Kumar V, Yadav SK (2009) Plant-mediated synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles and their applications. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 84:151–157. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.2023
- Kuźniak E, Skłodowska M (2005) Compartment-specific role of the ascorbate–glutathione cycle in the response of tomato leaf cells to *Botrytis cinerea* infection. J Exp Bot 56:921–933
- Lai W-A et al (2014) *Paenibacillus medicaginis* sp. nov. a chitinolytic endophyte isolated from a root nodule of alfalfa (*Medicago*

*sativa* L.). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:3853–3860. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000505

Li Z-G (2016) Methylglyoxal and glyoxalase system in plants: old players, new concepts. Bot Rev 82:183–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12229-016-9167-9

- Li Z-G, Ding X-J, Du P-F (2013) Hydrogen sulfide donor sodium hydrosulfide-improved heat tolerance in maize and involvement of proline. J Plant Physiol 170:741–747. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jplph.2012.12.018
- Lin F, Xu J, Shi J, Li H, Li B (2010) Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel glyoxalase I gene TaGly I in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Mol Biol Rep 37:729–735. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11033-009-9578-3
- Lizama AK, Fletcher TD, Sun G (2011) Removal processes for arsenic in constructed wetlands. Chemosphere 84:1032–1043. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.022
- Luo M, Lin H, He Y, Zhang Y (2020) The influence of corncobbased biochar on remediation of arsenic and cadmium in yellow soil and cinnamon soil. Sci Total Environ 717:137014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137014
- Madhava Rao KV, Sresty TVS (2000) Antioxidative parameters in the seedlings of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh) in response to Zn and Ni stresses. Plant Sci 157:113–128. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9452(00)00273-9
- Mancardi D, Penna C, Merlino A, Del Soldato P, Wink DA, Pagliaro P (2009) Physiological and pharmacological features of the novel gasotransmitter: hydrogen sulfide. Biochim Biophys Acta 1787:864–872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2009.03.005
- Miyake C, Asada K (1992) Thylakoid-bound ascorbate peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts and photoreduction of its primary oxidation product monodehydroascorbate radicals in thylakoids. Plant Cell Physiol 33:541–553. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfor djournals.pcp.a078288
- Mostofa MG, Saegusa D, Fujita M, Tran L-SP (2015) Hydrogen sulfide regulates salt tolerance in rice by maintaining Na(+)/K(+) balance, mineral homeostasis and oxidative metabolism under excessive salt stress. Front Plant Sci 6:1055– 1055. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.01055
- Mylona PV, Polidoros AN, Scandalios JG (1998) Modulation of antioxidant responses by arsenic in maize. Free Radic Biol Med 25:576–585. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-5849(98)00090-2
- Nakano Y, Asada K (1981) Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. Plant Cell Physiol 22:867–880
- Rafiq M, Shahid M, Abbas G, Shamshad S, Khalid S, Niazi NK, Dumat C (2017) Comparative effect of calcium and EDTA on arsenic uptake and physiological attributes of *Pisum sativum*. Int J Phytoremediation 19:662–669. https://doi. org/10.1080/15226514.2016.1278426
- Rafiq M et al (2018) A comparative study to evaluate efficiency of EDTA and calcium in alleviating arsenic toxicity to germinating and young *Vicia faba* L. seedlings. J Soils Sediments 18:2271–2281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-017-1693-5
- Rahman MA, Hasegawa H, Rahman MM, Rahman MA, Miah MAM (2007) Accumulation of arsenic in tissues of rice plant (*Oryza* sativa L.) and its distribution in fractions of rice grain. Chemosphere 69:942–948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemospher e.2007.05.044
- Rahman MA, Hasegawa H, Rahman MM, Miah MAM, Tasmin A (2008) Straighthead disease of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) induced by arsenic toxicity. Environ Exp Bot 62:54–59. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2007.07.016
- Rahman H, Ramanathan V, Nallathambi J, Duraialagaraja S, Muthurajan R (2016) Over-expression of a NAC 67 transcription factor from finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) confers

tolerance against salinity and drought stress in rice. BMC Biotechnol 16(Suppl 1):35–35. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1289 6-016-0261-1

- Rai A et al (2011) Arsenic tolerances in rice (*Oryza sativa*) have a predominant role in transcriptional regulation of a set of genes including sulphur assimilation pathway and antioxidant system. Chemosphere 82:986–995. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemospher e.2010.10.070
- Requejo R, Tena M (2005) Proteome analysis of maize roots reveals that oxidative stress is a main contributing factor to plant arsenic toxicity. Phytochemistry 66:1519–1528. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.05.003
- Rizwan M et al (2019) Hydrogen sulfide enhances rice tolerance to nickel through the prevention of chloroplast damage and the improvement of nitrogen metabolism under excessive nickel. Plant Physiol Biochem 138:100–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2019.02.023
- Rui M et al (2016) Iron oxide nanoparticles as a potential iron fertilizer for peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). Front Plant Sci 7:815–815. https ://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00815
- Scuffi D, Álvarez C, Laspina N, Gotor C, Lamattina L, García-Mata C (2014) Hydrogen sulfide generated by L-cysteine desulfhydrase acts upstream of nitric oxide to modulate abscisic acid-dependent stomatal closure. Plant Physiol 166:2065–2076. https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.114.245373
- Shan C, Wang T, Zhou Y, Wang W (2018) Hydrogen sulfide is involved in the regulation of ascorbate and glutathione metabolism by jasmonic acid in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Biol Plant 62:188–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-017-0740-9
- Shi H, Ye T, Chan Z (2014) Nitric oxide-activated hydrogen sulfide is essential for cadmium stress response in bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* (L). Pers.). Plant Physiol Biochem 74:99–107. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.11.001
- Shivaraj SM et al (2019) Nitric oxide and hydrogen sulfide crosstalk during heavy metal stress in plants. Physiol Plant. https://doi. org/10.1111/ppl.13028
- Shri M et al (2009) Effect of arsenic on growth, oxidative stress, and antioxidant system in rice seedlings. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 72:1102–1110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2008.09.022
- Singh HP, Batish DR, Kohli RK, Arora K (2007) Arsenic-induced root growth inhibition in mung bean (*Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.) is due to oxidative stress resulting from enhanced lipid peroxidation. Plant Growth Regul 53:65–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10725-007-9205-z
- Singh VP, Srivastava PK, Prasad SM (2013) Nitric oxide alleviates arsenic-induced toxic effects in ridged *Luffa* seedlings. Plant Physiol Biochem 71:155–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaph y.2013.07.003
- Singh VP, Singh S, Kumar J, Prasad SM (2015) Hydrogen sulfide alleviates toxic effects of arsenate in pea seedlings through upregulation of the ascorbate–glutathione cycle: possible involvement of nitric oxide. J Plant Physiol 181:20–29. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jplph.2015.03.015
- Singh AP et al (2016) Nitric oxide alleviated arsenic toxicity by modulation of antioxidants and thiol metabolism in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Front Plant Sci 6:1272–1272. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2015.01272
- Singh AP et al (2017) A protective role for nitric oxide and salicylic acid for arsenite phytotoxicity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Physiol Biochem 115:163–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaph y.2017.02.019
- Srivastava S, Sinha P, Sharma YK (2017) Status of photosynthetic pigments, lipid peroxidation and anti-oxidative enzymes in *Vigna mungo* in presence of arsenic. J Plant Nutr 40:298–306. https:// doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1240189

- Stoeva N, Berova M, Zlatev Z (2004) Effect of arsenic on some physiological parameters in bean plants. Biol Plant 49:293–296. https ://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-005-3296-z
- Sun J, Wang R, Zhang X, Yu Y, Zhao R, Li Z, Chen S (2013) Hydrogen sulfide alleviates cadmium toxicity through regulations of cadmium transport across the plasma and vacuolar membranes in *Populus euphratica* cells. Plant Physiol Biochem 65:67–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.01.003
- Tripathi RD, Srivastava S, Mishra S, Singh N, Tuli R, Gupta DK, Maathuis FJM (2007) Arsenic hazards: strategies for tolerance and remediation by plants. Trends Biotechnol 25:158–165. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.02.003
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A (2000) Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants. Plant Sci 151:59–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9452(99)00197-1
- Verbruggen N, Hermans C, Schat H (2009) Mechanisms to cope with arsenic or cadmium excess in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 12:364–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.05.001
- Vezza ME, Llanes A, Travaglia C, Agostini E, Talano MA (2018) Arsenic stress effects on root water absorption in soybean plants: physiological and morphological aspects. Plant Physiol Biochem 123:8–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.11.020
- Wang H, Dai B, Shu X, Wang H, Ning P (2015) Effect of kinetin on physiological and biochemical properties of maize seedlings under arsenic stress. Adv Mater Sci Eng 2015:1–7. https://doi. org/10.1155/2015/714646
- Wei Y et al (2017) Effect of organic acids production and bacterial community on the possible mechanism of phosphorus solubilization during composting with enriched phosphate-solubilizing bacteria inoculation. Bioresour Technol 247:190–199. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.092
- Yadav SK, Singla-Pareek SL, Reddy MK, Sopory SK (2005) Transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing glyoxalase enzymes resist

an increase in methylglyoxal and maintain higher reduced glutathione levels under salinity stress. FEBS Lett 579:6265–6271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2005.10.006

- Yamasaki S, Dillenburg LR (1999) Measurements of leaf relative water content in Araucaria angustifolia. Revista Brasilleira de fisiologia vegetal 11:69–75
- Yoon Y, Lee W-M, An Y-J (2015) Phytotoxicity of arsenic compounds on crop plant seedlings. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:11047–11056. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4317-x
- Yu C-W, Murphy TM, Lin C-H (2003) Hydrogen peroxide-induced chilling tolerance in mung beans mediated through ABA-independent glutathione accumulation. Funct Plant Biol 30:955. https ://doi.org/10.1071/fp03091
- Zhang H, Hu L-Y, Hu K-D, He Y-D, Wang S-H, Luo J-P (2008) Hydrogen sulfide promotes wheat seed germination and alleviates oxidative damage against copper stress. J Integr Plant Biol 50:1518– 1529. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00769.x
- Zhao F-J, McGrath SP, Meharg AA (2010) Arsenic as a food chain contaminant: mechanisms of plant uptake and metabolism and mitigation strategies. Annu Rev Plant Biol 61:535–559. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112152
- Zhao F-J, Stroud JL, Khan MA, McGrath SP (2011) Arsenic translocation in rice investigated using radioactive 73As tracer. Plant Soil 350:413–420. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0926-4

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.