#### **RESEARCH**

# **Applied Physics B Lasers and Optics**



# **Measurement of δ18O in water vapor using a tunable diode laser‑based spectrometer**

**Jian Zhang1 · Junya Du<sup>1</sup> · Cong Jiang1 · Tianbo He1 · Jingsong Li1**

Received: 7 March 2023 / Accepted: 17 April 2023 / Published online: 3 May 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

#### **Abstract**

A gas detection system based on tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) was reported, which can be used for real-time, continuity, high-precision, and rapid time response measurement of the isotope ratio of  ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$  in water vapor from  $3729.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  to 3730.8 cm<sup>-1</sup>. A detailed description of the system's implementation was provided and preliminary measurement precision was analyzed with a short path length sample cell (20.4 cm) after verifcation in the laboratory environment. Allan variance analytical method was used to evaluate the reliability of the developed laser spectroscopic isotope analysis system and the results showed that a precision of  $5.274\%$  was obtained for  $\frac{180}{160}$  ratio at 1 s signal averaging time, which can be improved to 0.088‰ at the integration time of approximate 191 s. By combining with a long optical path absorption cell, the developed TDLAS isotope detection system provides great potential for determining the oxygen isotope composition of water vapor for various applications in environmental, geological, ecological, and energy felds.

## **1 Introduction**

Water  $(H<sub>2</sub>O)$  is a core element of the ecology and environment, and an essential component of all life on Earth. The reasonable utilization and efective protection of water resources depend largely on the level of understanding of the water cycle [\[1](#page-5-0)]. Stable isotope analysis is a powerful tool in modern science and has important applications in the study of geology, meteorology, and earth sciences. The analysis of isotopes in water provides an important basis for researching the formation, movement, and composition change mechanism of water, lays the foundation for the rational use of precious water resources, and plays a crucial role in probing the cycle processes of global water. Firstly, the variation of hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios can efectively refect the source of water and climate changes in the region [\[2](#page-5-1), [3](#page-5-2)]. Secondly, water isotope ratio data can be used to analyze and explore the distribution pattern of natural precipitation isotopes [[4,](#page-5-3) [5](#page-5-4)]. Finally, isotopic data can obtain a wealth of relevant information in water cycling, applied to continuously update hydrological science from multiple perspectives [[6](#page-5-5), [7](#page-5-6)]. In addition, it is possible to analyze the degree

 $\boxtimes$  Jingsong Li ljs0625@126.com of pollution of the ocean by studying the water isotope ratios [[8\]](#page-5-7).

Generally, isotope ratios are measured by isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) [[9\]](#page-5-8), an expensive instrument that requires specialized technicians and is costly to operate and maintain [\[10\]](#page-5-9). The main IRMS technologies for measuring stable isotope ratios are traditional off-line dual inlet isotope ratio mass spectrometry (Dual inlet-IRMS) [[11](#page-5-10)], continuous-fow isotope ratio mass spectrometry with Gas-Bench (GasBench-IRMS) [[12\]](#page-5-11), thermal conversion/elemental analyzer isotope ratio mass spectrometry (TC/EA-IRMS) [\[13](#page-5-12)]. However, IRMS can't measure water vapor directly and requires pretreatment. Water vapor sample is usually collected by some chemical or physical method, such as isotope fractionation. Due to the complex steps and time-consuming sample pretreatment process, water isotope ratios measured by traditional IRMS techniques are easily afected by the external environment, even causing mistakes in the measurement results [[10](#page-5-9), [14](#page-5-13)].

With the rapid advancement of current laser spectroscopy techniques, real-time and high-precision isotopic monitoring in water vapor can be realized, eliminating the infuence of seasons and weather [[15](#page-5-14), [16\]](#page-5-15). Typical laser spectroscopy measurement methods include integrated cavity output spectroscopy [\[17\]](#page-5-16), cavity ring-down spectroscopy [[18\]](#page-5-17), tunable laser absorption spectroscopy [[19](#page-5-18)], etc. For water isotope ratio measurements, the above methods have

Laser Spectroscopy and Sensing Laboratory, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China

obvious advantages over IRMS, such as simple experimental systems, uncomplicated procedures, rapid response characteristics, in situ deployment, low operation and maintenance costs, and more importantly, direct sample measurement without complicated pretreatment. For example, Maselli et al. utilized a laser water isotope analyzer to measure the D/H and  $18O/16O$  isotope ratio in large amounts of ice core samples for reconstructing the Paleo-environmental [[20](#page-5-19)]. Gaj et al. measured the D/H and  $^{18}O/^{16}O$  isotope ratio in  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  in semi-arid areas [\[21](#page-5-20)]. Han et al. measured the water isotope ratios in the atmosphere, plant tissue, and soil pools [\[22\]](#page-5-21).

Tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) is a simple gas detection method based on the well-known Lambert–Beer law. In theory, TDLAS is a calibration-free spectroscopy technique, assuming that relevant experimental conditions are known, such as temperature, pressure, and absorption optical path, as well as the related spectral parameters. Compared to other non-optical detection



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Fig. 1 Simulation of H<sub>2</sub>O absorption lines distribution characteristics based on HITRAN database at 296 K

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

methods, it is not only sensitive and accurate in measuring very low concentrations of samples, but also provides high selectivity and fast time response. Additionally, it requires only minimal sample preparation and is relatively easy and inexpensive to operate [[23](#page-5-22)[–27](#page-5-23)]. Therefore, TDLAS-based isotope analysis has become increasingly popular in various fields. Ryuichi et al. reported the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  isotope ratio, which revealed that the meteorological phenomena could be traced [[28\]](#page-5-24). Lee et al. described a system for in situ measurement of  $18O/16O$  of water vapor in air based on TDLAS [[29](#page-5-25)]. Wen et al. conducted a simultaneous measurement of the  $D/H$  and  $18O/16O$  isotope ratio of water vapor and captured swiftly changing signals of the isotopes [\[30](#page-5-26)].

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the simulated spectral distribution characteristics of the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule based on the HITRAN database [\[31](#page-5-27)]. As can be seen, water vapor has rich absorption spectral characteristics throughout the entire infrared spectral range, especially in the mid-infrared spectral region, which corresponds to molecular fundamental transitions with strong absorption lines, making it an ideal spectral for highly sensitive gas detection and isotope analysis.

In this work, a TDLAS-based isotope ratio measurement system was developed to determine the isotopic ratio of  $18O/16O$  in water vapor. A mid-infrared tunable diode laser with a central emitting wavelength near 2683 nm (i.e.  $3727.17$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) was used as the excitation light source. A detailed description of the system's implementation and preliminary experimental evaluation were investigated.

# **2 Experimental setup**

The experimental setup, as shown in Fig. [2,](#page-1-1) mainly consisted of a mid-infrared tunable diode laser, a short path optical gas cell, a detector, a gas sampling system, and a data acquisition and control system. The selected mid-infrared tunable diode laser source was purchased from Nanoplus GmbH, emitting at 3727.17 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a continuous-wave distributed



feedback (DFB) structure. The DFB diode laser has a very high spectral purity with a line-width of less than 3 MHz, and a maximum output power of 12 mW. The laser emitting wavelength is accurately tuned by a temperature controller (TED200C, Thorlabs) and a current controller (LDC205C, Thorlabs). The laser beam was calibrated using an X–Y collimator and directly detected by a TE cooled mercurycadmium-telluride detector (PVMI-4TE-10.6, Vigo Systems S.A.) after passing through the glass gas absorption cell with an optical length of 20.4 cm.

## **2.1 Laser characteristics**

The laser wavelength tuning characteristics were frst investigated by a high-precision wavemeter. Figure [3](#page-2-0) shows the wavelength tuning range of the DFB diode laser at diferent operating temperatures (20–30 °C) and current levels  $(62.5-142.5 \text{ mA})$ . The tuning coefficients of current tuning ( $Δν/ΔI$ ) and temperature tuning ( $Δν/ΔT$ ) are 0.0242 cm<sup>-1</sup>/ mA and  $-$  0.319 cm<sup>-1</sup>/°C, respectively. Based on these calibration lines, the measurable spectral range of the DFB diode laser is between  $3726.111$  cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $3731.266$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# **2.2 Gas sampling system**

The gas sampling system was composed of a vacuum pump, a pressure gauge (Testo-552, Germany) and a flow meter (MCR-2000 slpm, ALICAT), and several two-way and three-way valves. Generally, H<sub>2</sub>O molecules adhered to the walls of the absorption cell due to its high polarity, decreasing the system's response time and causing fractionation effects  $[29]$  $[29]$ . The residual water from experiments and the water vapor in the air also caused a memory efect in the absorption cell. Therefore, it was necessary to clean the absorption cell using dry nitrogen  $(N_2)$  several times before the experiment. Afterward, the intake valve of the



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 3** The tuning of the DFB laser at diferent operating currents and temperatures

water vapor sample bottle was opened to let in the water vapor. The flow rate of the gas was regulated by a flow meter to make the water vapor pass through the absorption cell uniformly and reduce the memory efect. The pressure controller was used to control the pressure in the system.

#### **2.3 Data acquisition and control system**

A data acquisition I/O card (NI USB-6361), and the laptop were connected using USB for driving the laser and data acquisition. A 100 Hz saw tooth tuning waveform generated from a self-developed LabVIEW program was used to scan laser over the absorption feature of  $H_2O$  isotopes. The signals were processed with a custom-made multi-peak ftting program in LabVIEW. The absorption spectrum was fitted and quantified using the  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  molecular spectral parameters in the HITRAN database. Each spectrum was the average value of the signal obtained from 100 separate scans (corresponding to a measurement time of  $\sim$  1 s), to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Finally, the measured spectral data was saved for further analysis.

# **3 Results and discussion**

### **3.1 Selection of absorption lines**

The selection of spectral absorption lines is the most critical step in the measurement of an isotope ratio as it has a significant effect on the results and accuracy of the measurement system. Firstly, to prevent interference from any other gas present in the air, it is essential to ensure the independence of the absorption lines. Additionally, the line intensity should be appropriate for the TDLAS system to maximize the detection sensitivity.

Figure [4](#page-3-0) (a) illustrates the simulated absorption spectrum of  $1\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O and 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> in the range of 3729.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 3730.8 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. [4](#page-3-0) (b) displays the corresponding line intensity. Table [1](#page-3-1) shows two absorption line parameters of  $H_2^{16}O$  and  $H_2^{18}O$  in this range. As shown in Table [1,](#page-3-1) these two absorption lines are suitable for isotope measurements of  $H_2O$  because they have stronger line intensities of  $10^{-22}$  cm/molecule and have similar lower ground-state energies that minimize the efect of temperature. As can be seen from Fig. [4](#page-3-0) (b), two  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ absorption lines near them. Noise and the limitation of the laser performance will make the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  absorption line at 3730.4688 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3730.5146 cm<sup>-1</sup> difficult to detect. Therefore, the absorption lines at 3730.4766 cm−1 and  $3730.5579$  cm<sup>-1</sup> were still selected in this work.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 4 a** Shows simulations of the absorption spectrum of  $1\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O and 400 ppm  $CO_2$  in the range of 3729.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 3730.8 cm.<sup>-1</sup> based on the HITRAN database under the conditions of a pressure of 9 mbar, an optical path of 20.4 cm, and a temperature of 296 K; **b** displays the line intensity corresponding to the absorption line. Black represents  $H_2O$  and red represents  $CO_2$ 

#### **3.2 Isotopic measurement**

The isotopic ratio of an element is the ratio of minor to major isotope abundance. Since the major isotope abundance of elements in nature is usually larger than the minor isotope, the value of the isotopic ratio is small. To make practical work more convenient, a 'δ-value' is used to express the isotopic ratios. The δ-value is as follows:

$$
\delta(\%_o) = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{ref}}} - 1\right) \times 1000\tag{1}
$$

where  $R_{sample}$  and  $R_{ref}$  are the ratios of the minor to major isotopes (e.g.,  ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ ) in the sample and the international reference standard known as Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW), respectively. In the absorption spectrum, *R<sub>sample</sub>* is generally determined with a ratio of absorption intensity '*S*', isotope abundance '*n*', and integral area 'A'. The *δ*-value represents the relative diference between the  $18O/16O$  ratio of the sample and the reference standard substance per milliliter (‰). For example, *Rsample* belongs to the  $\rm ^{18}O/^{16}O$  ratio:

$$
R_{sample} = \frac{{}^{18}A \times {}^{18}n \times {}^{16}S}{16A \times {}^{16}n \times {}^{18}S}
$$
 (2)

The superscripts 18 and 16 refer to molecules  $H_2$ <sup>18</sup>O and  $H_2$ <sup>16</sup>O respectively. The values of 'n' and 'S' can be obtained from the HITRAN database, and 'A' is the integral area of absorption lines of the minor and major isotopic components. The isotope abundance of  $H_2^{16}O$  and  $H_2^{18}O$  are 0.997317 and 1.99983‰, respectively.

This work was carried out in laboratory condition, where the temperature was controlled by the air conditioner in the lab. The current and temperature of the DFB laser are 90 mA and 21 ℃, respectively. Monitored and recorded temperature and humidity with a hygrothermograph. The saw tooth tuning waveform with an amplitude of 0.35 V and a scan frequency of 100 Hz was used to modulate the laser.

As shown in Fig. [5](#page-3-2) (a), the 'Exp. data' is the  $H_2^{16}O$  and  $H_2$ <sup>18</sup>O absorption lines measured in the experiment with a pressure of 9 mbar, an optical path of 20.4 cm, and a temperature of 298.4 K. The signal was collected by the self-made LabVIEW signal acquisition program, with 600 sampling points and 100 averages, and data was recorded every 1 s. In consideration of the laser intensity ramp, the 'Polynomial ftting' is the baseline obtained by polynomial ftting. Figure [5](#page-3-2) (b) shows the transmittance function with a high



<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Fig. 5 a** Shows the experimental data of water vapor from pure water under the conditions of pressure=9 mbar, optical path=20.4 cm, temperature=298.4 K. The smoothing curve is the baseline obtained by the polynomial ftting; **b** shows the transmittance function

<span id="page-3-1"></span>



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 6 a** shows the  $H_2O$  absorbance spectrum under the experimental conditions of pressure=9 mbar, optical path= $20.4$  cm, temperature=298.4 K. The smooth curve is the best-ftted curve with the Voigt profle function, **b** shows the corresponding ftting residuals

SNR, which is consistent with the simulations based on the HITRAN database.

Figure [6](#page-4-0) (a) shows the  $H_2O$  absorbance value measured in the spectral range of 3730.38 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 3730.60 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The fitting method of nonlinear least squares combining the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm and Voigt profle model was used for determining the integrated area under the absorption line. Because the ftting integral area will largely determine the fnal measurement accuracy, the ftting residuals can be used for evaluating signal processing quality. As can be seen in Fig. [6](#page-4-0) (b), the fitting residual of less than  $\pm$  0.003 is obtained in this work. The W-shaped ftting residuals in Fig. [6](#page-4-0) (b) can be effectively eliminated using advanced line shape models, such as Rautian profle [\[32\]](#page-6-0) and Galatry profle [[33](#page-6-1)], which take into consideration the Dicke narrowing efect and can obtain a better ft than the Voigt profle [[34\]](#page-6-2). The concentration of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  can be directly calculated by combining the integral area of absorption lines, pressure, optical path, and temperature. The average concentrations of  $H_2^{16}O$  and  $H_2$ <sup>18</sup>O were 100.00% and 99.96% respectively, which were similar to the pure water sample.

The sensitivity of experimental detection results is greatly affected by the system stability. It can be improved by increasing the average times of the measurement of the spectrum. Theoretically, the detection sensitivity of a system can be improved by infnitely averaging the spectrum. However, due to some factors such as laser frequency shift, pressure variation, and thermal fuctuation, which afect the system stability, each measurement system based on laser absorption spectroscopy can only be stable for a limited time. The Allan variance can be used to determine the optimal time.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 7 a** shows the time acquisition sequence of  $\delta^{18}$ O with an interval of 1 s; **b** shows the Allan variance

The reliability of the system was evaluated by continuous measurements over 20 min. Figure [7](#page-4-1) (a) shows  $\delta^{18}$ O values, and the average value of  $\delta^{18}O$  is -0.6616‰. Time series concentrations show similar trends during measurements, which demonstrates the reliability of the developed isotope detection system; Fig. [7](#page-4-1) (b) shows the analysis results of time series data. As shown in the Allan variance results, when the integration time is 1 s, the measurement precision of  $\delta^{18}O$  is 5.274‰, and it can be enhanced to 0.088‰ with an integration time of 191 s, the best stability time of the system.

## **3.3 Air measurement**

The developed isotope detection system was evaluated in the laboratory for evaluating the detection sensitivity of  $H_2O$  isotope ratios in air. As shown in Fig. [8](#page-5-28) (a), the "Exp. data" is the  $H_2$ <sup>16</sup>O and  $H_2$ <sup>18</sup>O absorption lines in air measured at a pressure of 60 mbar and a temperature of 294.8 K. The two absorption lines of H<sub>2</sub>O in Fig. [8](#page-5-28) (a) are 3730.4766 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $3730.5579$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These two lines were fitted to Voigt, thus the integrated area of each absorption line was determined for isotope analysis. Figure [8](#page-5-28) (b) is the ftting residual of the experimental spectrum, which is less than  $\pm 0.0015$ . The water vapor concentration obtained after calculation was 1.125%, and the humidity measured by the hygrometer is 50.0%, which translates into a concentration of 1.143%. The error of the measured concentration is 1.26%. In contrast, the precision of isotope measurements for water vapor in the air is not ideal, which can be improved by updating the isotope detection system in future work.



<span id="page-5-28"></span>**Fig. 8 a** Shows the H<sub>2</sub>O absorbance spectrum of indoor air under the experimental conditions of pressure=60 mbar,  $H_2O$  mixing ratio in  $air = 1.143\%$ , temperature = 294.8 K. The smooth curve is the best-fitted curve with the Voigt profle function; **b** shows the ftting residual

# **4 Conclusions**

In conclusion, the feasibility of determining the isotope ratio in water vapor was demonstrated by the self-made measurement system. Two optimum absorption lines, H<sub>2</sub><sup>16</sup>O (*V*<sub>0</sub> = 3730.47661 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O  $(V_0$ =3730.55794 cm<sup>-1</sup>) were selected for the measurement of the  $\delta^{18}$ O values in water vapor. The reliability of the long-term measurement of the system was evaluated. The developed TDLAS isotope detection system enables realtime, continuous, high-precision, and rapid time response measurement of the isotope ratio in  $H_2O$ , providing great potential for determining oxygen isotope composition of water vapor for various applications in the environmental, geological, ecological, and energy felds. Future work will focus on improving the developed TDLAS isotope detection system to achieve higher precision measurements in a complex environment.

**Acknowledgements** This work was fnanced by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41875158 and 61675005), the Open Fund of Key Laboratory of Opto-electronic Information Technology, Ministry of Education of Tianjin University (2022KFKT011).

**Author contributions** Jian Zhang: Investigation, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing-original draft. Junya Du: Data curation, Software, prepared fgures 1-4 and table 1. Cong Jiang: Data curation, Software, prepared fgures 5-8. Jingsong Li: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition. Tianbo He: Troubleshooting, Data assessment.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>1. G.J. Bowen, Z. Cai, R.P. Fiorella, A.L. Putman, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. **47**, 453 (2019)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. X. Wu, F. Chen, X. Liu, S. Wang, M. Zhang, G. Zhu, X. Zhou, J. Chen, Water **13**, 2374 (2021)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. R. van Geldern, J. Kuhlemann, R. Schiebel, H. Taubald, J.A.C. Barth, Isot. Environ. Health Stud. **50**, 184 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>4. P.R. Lekshmy, M. Midhun, R. Ramesh, J. Hydrol. **563**, 354 (2018)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. Z. Wei, K. Yoshimura, A. Okazaki, K. Ono, W. Kim, M. Yokoi, C.T. Lai, J. Hydrol. **533**, 91 (2016)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>6. J.J. Gibson, T.W.D. Edwards, S.J. Birks, N.A. St Amour, W.M. Buhay, P. McEachern, B.B. Wolfe, D.L. Peters, Hydrol. Process. **19**, 303 (2005)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>7. Z. Pang, Y. Kong, J. Li, J. Tian, Proced Earth Planet. Sci **17**, 534 (2017)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>8. P. Schlosser, R. Bayer, G. Bönisch, L.W. Cooper, B. Ekwurzel, W.J. Jenkins, S. Khatiwala, S. Pfrman, W.M. Smethie, Sci. Total Environ. **237–238**, 15 (1999)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>9. P. Gupta, D. Noone, J. Galewsky, C. Sweeney, B.H. Vaughn, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **23**, 2534 (2009)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>10. E. Kerstel, L. Gianfrani, Appl. Phys. B **92**, 439 (2008)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>11. S.D. Kelly, K.D. Heaton, P. Brereton, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **15**, 1283 (2001)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>12. D. Paul, G. Skrzypek, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **20**, 2033 (2006)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>13. W. Meier-Augenstein, H.F. Kemp, S.M.L. Hardie, Food Chem. **133**, 1070 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>14. P. Sturm, A. Knohl, Atmos. Meas. Tech **3**, 67 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>15. N. Kurita, B.D. Newman, L.J. Araguas-Araguas, P. Aggarwal, Atmos. Meas. Tech. **5**, 2069 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>16. F. Aemisegger, P. Sturm, P. Graf, H. Sodemann, S. Pfahl, A. Knohl, H. Wernli, Atmos. Meas. Tech. **5**, 1491 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>17. M.F. Nehemy, C. Millar, K. Janzen, M. Gaj, D.L. Pratt, C.P. Laroque, J.J. McDonnell, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **33**, 1301 (2019)
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>18. J. Liu, C. Xiao, M. Ding, J. Ren, J. Environ. Sci. **26**, 2266 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>19. C.R. Pidgeon, M.J. Colles, Nature **279**, 377 (1979)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>20. O.J. Maselli, D. Fritzsche, L. Layman, J.R. McConnell, H. Meyer, Isot. Environ. Health Stud. **49**, 387 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>21. M. Gaj, M. Beyer, P. Koeniger, H. Wanke, J. Hamutoko, T. Himmelsbach, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. **20**, 715 (2016)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>22. J. Han, L. Tian, Z. Cai, W. Ren, W. Liu, J. Li, J. Tai, J. Hydrol. **608**, 127672 (2022)
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>23. S. Lin, J. Chang, J. Sun, P. Xu, Front. Phys. (2022). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.853966) [org/10.3389/fphy.2022.853966](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.853966)
- 24. C. Jiang, J. Zhang, Z. Xi, W. Ma, J. Li, Spectrochim. Acta Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. **281**, 121628 (2022)
- 25. F. Xin, J. Li, J. Guo, D. Yang, Y. Wang, Q. Tang, Z. Liu, Sensors **21**, 1722 (2021)
- 26. G. Durry, J.S. Li, I. Vinogradov, A. Titov, L. Joly, J. Cousin, T. Decarpenterie, N. Amarouche, X. Liu, B. Parvitte, O. Korablev, M. Gerasimov, V. Zéninari, Appl. Phys. B **99**, 339 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>27. P. Werle, R. Macke, F. Slemr, Appl Phys B Photophys Laser Chem **57**, 131 (1993)
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>28. R. Wada, Y. Matsumi, T. Nakayama, T. Hiyama, Y. Fujiyoshi, N. Kurita, K. Muramoto, S. Takanashi, N. Kodama, Y. Takahashi, Isot. Environ. Health Stud. **53**, 646 (2017)
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>29. X. Lee, S. Sargent, R. Smith, B. Tanner, J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech. **22**, 555 (2005)
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>30. X.-F. Wen, X.-M. Sun, S.-C. Zhang, G.-R. Yu, S.D. Sargent, X. Lee, J. Hydrol. **349**, 489 (2008)
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>31. I.E. Gordon, L.S. Rothman, R.J. Hargreaves, R. Hashemi, E.V. Karlovets, F.M. Skinner, E.K. Conway, C. Hill, R.V. Kochanov, Y. Tan, P. Wcisło, A.A. Finenko, K. Nelson, P.F. Bernath, M. Birk, V.

Boudon, A. Campargue, K.V. Chance, A. Coustenis, B.J. Drouin, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer **277**, 107949 (2022)

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>32. L. Galatry, Phys. Rev. **122**, 1218 (1961)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>33. J.S. Li, G. Durry, J. Cousin, L. Joly, B. Parvitte, V. Zeninari, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer **111**, 2332 (2010)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>34. C.-M. Chou, R.-Y. Wang, Hydrol. Process. **18**, 987 (2004)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.