# **Spin‑polarized lithium diffusion in a glass hot‑vapor cell**

**Kiyoshi Ishikawa[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5140-744X)**

Received: 23 May 2016 / Accepted: 28 July 2016 / Published online: 2 August 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

**Abstract** We report diffusion coefficients of optically pumped lithium atoms in helium buffer gas. The freeinduction decay and the spin-echo signals of ground-state atoms were optically detected in an external magnetic field with the addition of field gradient. Lithium hot vapor was produced in a borosilicate-glass cell at a temperature between 290 and 360 ◦C. The simple setup using the glass cells enabled lithium atomic spectroscopy in a similar way to other alkali-metal atoms and study of the collisional properties of lithium atoms in a hot-vapor phase.

# **1 Introduction**

Alkali-metal atoms in both hot and cold vapors have been intensively investigated in atomic physics. Precision measurements are performed by eliminating gradient of temperature, magnetic, and electric fields across the atomic vapor. The glass cells, consisting of nonmagnetic and nonmetallic transparent materials, are suited for uniform heating. An exception is lithium (Li) atoms, which have been studied mostly for hot vapor in the metal cells  $[1-5]$  $[1-5]$  and the glass gas-flow cells  $[6]$  $[6]$ , and for atomic beam  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$ and cold atoms [[9,](#page-4-13) [10](#page-4-14)]. The cells are heated at high temperatures (300–400  $\degree$ C) to increase the Li vapor pressure. Since lithium is a mobile atom and penetrates into a variety of materials, the optical materials such as silicate and silica glasses, and even sapphire crystal have an attack of the Li atoms and take on a coloration. Therefore, only a

 $\boxtimes$  Kiyoshi Ishikawa ishikawa@sci.u-hyogo.ac.jp few works were reported for hot Li vapor in the glass cells [\[11](#page-4-0), [12](#page-4-1)], leading to a lack of information about collisional properties to other atoms and molecules [\[13](#page-4-2)]. To avoid the cell broken by direct contact with the liquid metal, the Li metal should be melted on a container in the glass cell. The crystals, MgO and CaF<sub>2</sub>, are Li-metal resistant  $[3, 7]$  $[3, 7]$  $[3, 7]$  $[3, 7]$  and can be nonmagnetic container compatible with the measurements of the spin coherence [\[14](#page-4-5)], nuclear-spin-polarized atomic probe [\[15](#page-4-6)], and conventional nuclear magnetic resonance [[16\]](#page-4-7). In this work, the Li metal put into commercial MgO ceramic pot was sealed in the borosilicate-glass cells. Each cell was filled with the helium (He) buffer gas at a pressure of several kPa, or manufactured in vacuum. The diffusion coefficients of the optically pumped Li atoms were measured by optical detection of spin-echoes with variation of magnetic field gradient. Because the glass cells were uniformly heated, the measurements were feasible in the absence of convection and at a lower temperature than required in the metal cells. The spin polarization transport and the isotope effect of the smallest alkali-metal element, <sup>6</sup> Li and  ${}^{7}$ Li, are studied in the  ${}^{4}$ He gas.

## **2 Experiment**

The Li vapor cells were manufactured in a similar procedure to other alkali-vapor cells [\[17](#page-4-8)]. Here, the outline is described and the details will be presented elsewhere. The ceramic MgO pots were baked in the borosilicate-glass cells at 400 °C until the residual pressure was  $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa. The glass manifold was filled with atmospheric pressure of He gas and uncoupled from the vacuum equipment. In a plastic bag filled with He gas, bulk Li metal of natural stable isotope ratio was trimmed and put into the ceramic pot in the glass cell. The manifold was evacuated again and baked

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Material Science, University of Hyogo, Hyogo 678-1297, Japan



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1 a** Side view of borosilicate-glass cell. The Li metal was placed in a MgO pot at the bottom of spherical cell (radius  $R = 2.5$  cm). The metal did not directly contact with the glass wall. The vapor cells passed through many cycles between room temperature and 290 ◦C. **b** A single-mode dye laser was coarsely tuned by a grating spectrometer and achieved a resonance with the Li D lines by optogalvano signals using a hollow-cathode lamp. Pump laser pulse (duration 5 ms) was sent along *z* axis and probe beam along *y* axis. Both beams were circularly polarized and expanded in diameter. The static magnetic field  $B_0 = 200 \mu T$  was applied along *z* axis. Magnetic field pulses oscillating along *x* axis were applied after optical pumping. The transient signals were phase-sensitively detected, averaged, and Fourier transformed. The coils for magnetic field cancelation and for gradient along *z* axis are not shown

at 350 ◦C for a few hours to achieve the pressure less than  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa. After filled with He gas at a certain pressure  $p_{\text{He}}$  at room temperature  $T_r = 22 \degree \text{C}$ , the glass cell was pulled off by flame sealing. In this work, the diffusion coefficient of Li atoms is reported for  $p_{\text{He}} = 8.3 \text{ kPa}$ , where the number density is  $n_{\text{He}} = p_{\text{He}} / k_{\text{B}} T_{\text{r}} = 2.0 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. The finished cells were typically as shown in Fig. [1a](#page-1-0).

The optical setup for atomic diffusion measurement is shown in Fig. [1b](#page-1-0). The pump and the probe beams were circularly polarized from a single-mode dye laser tuned to the Li D lines at the wavelength of 671 nm. A static magnetic field,  $B_0 = 200 \mu T$ , was applied along *z* axis, and the field along *x* and *y* axes was nulled as much as possible. The pump duration of 5 ms was large enough for spin polarization. At the end of optical pumping, pump transmission was increased at  $D_1$  line and decreased at  $D_2$  line. The ground-state hyperfine splittings, 228 MHz ( ${}^{6}$ Li,  $I = 1$ ) and 803 MHz  $(^{7}Li, I = 3/2)$  [\[8](#page-4-12)], were less than the Doppler width of approximately 3 GHz (the mean thermal velocity  $v_t = \sqrt{8k_B T/\pi m_7} = 1300$  m/s, where  $m_7$  is the mass of <sup>7</sup> Li atom). Because of the broad absorption line, only a part of atoms were pumped by the single-mode laser with no buffer gas. At low pressure, the hyperfine levels were

pumped with the help of velocity-changing collisions with buffer gas. When pressure broadening became similar to the hyperfine splitting and then the Doppler width, hyperfine pumping was avoided and most of the Li atoms were optically polarized. In this work, the pressure broadened width was expected to be 1.5 GHz [[6\]](#page-4-11), sufficiently less than the fine-structure splitting of 10 GHz.

To avoid convection, the whole glass cell was heated at a temperature *T* uniformly in an oven. For a few hours at  $T = 360$  °C, the glass body near bulk Li metal took on a dark-brown coloration, but the optical windows remained clean because they were far from the metal. When the cell was kept less than  $T = 290 °C$ , any coloration was not noticed for the cell body and the windows after optical experiment of a total of roughly 60-h heating time. The emission from the excited atoms was visible only above the bulk metal in a vacuum cell. These observations suggest that a net atom transfer was quasi-steady in the gas phase and the system was thermally non-equilibrated. That is, the metal was evaporated, the vapor atoms adsorbed on the walls, some atoms were thermally desorbed, and others were continuously absorbed in the glass material [\[18](#page-4-15)]. The motion process of each atom is locally a diffusion in the buffer gas. When atom desorption is negligible, distribution of dilute atoms  $n_i$  is non-uniform. A net transfer of atoms is described by the same coefficient as the local diffusion *Di*  $(i = 6$  for <sup>6</sup>Li, 7 for <sup>7</sup>Li). From Fick's law, the diffusion flux *J*<sup>*i*</sup> is proportional to the density gradient,  $J_i = -D_i \nabla n_i$ . By elementary theory,

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
D_i = v_t \ell_m / 3,\tag{1}
$$

where the mean free path  $\ell_m = t_m v_t$ , the mean free time  $t_m = 1/n_{\text{He}}v_r\sigma$ , the mean thermal relative velocity  $v_r = \sqrt{8k_B T/\pi \mu_i}$ , and the reduced mass  $\mu_i$  of colliding partners. Because of smallness of atomic mass and collision, cross-section  $\sigma$ ,  $D_i$  can be one to two orders of magnitude larger than those of other alkali atoms [\[13](#page-4-2)].

The spin-polarized atoms worked as a tracer to measure the diffusion coefficient. The ground-state spin-echoes were induced by the radio frequency  $\pi/2$  and the  $\pi$  pulses and detected by the probe transmission with the magnetic field gradient  $G = \partial B_z / \partial z$ . It is called continuous field gradient spin-echo method. The transient signals appeared able to be detected at  $T = 220 °C$  and were recorded at  $T = 290$  °C. The signal amplitude was reasonable considering that lithium atom density was  $7 \times 10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at thermal equilibrium of  $T = 290 °C$ , which is nearly equal to that of Rb vapor at room temperature [\[19](#page-4-16)]. The magnetic resonance frequencies were  $v_6 = 1.9$  MHz (<sup>6</sup>Li) and  $v_7 = 1.4$  MHz (<sup>7</sup>Li). Despite large frequency difference, the  ${}^{6}Li$  signal by  $D_2$  pumping was disturbed by an order of magnitude larger  ${}^{7}Li$  signal by  $D_1$  pumping because two absorption lines were overlapped within the Doppler



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Phase-sensitively detected free-induction decay and spin-echo signals for **a** <sup>7</sup>Li and **b** <sup>6</sup>Li atoms in 4He gas at  $T = 290 °C$ ,  $B_0 = 200 \mu T$  and  $G = 0.1 \mu T/cm$ . The radio frequency  $\pi/2$  pulse (duration of 2.2  $\mu$ s) was applied at  $t = 0$  s and the  $\pi$  pulse  $(4.4 \,\mu s)$  at  $\mathbf{a} \tau = 600 \,\mu s$  and **b** 300  $\mu s$ , as shown in top time sequence. Spin-echo was focused at 2τ. The in-phase (*black*) and the out-ofphase (*red*) components of transient signals were Fourier-transformed for **c** <sup>7</sup>Li and **d** <sup>6</sup>Li atoms. The synthesizer frequency was detuned from the center by 15 kHz for convenience to show the lines

width. Therefore, the signals presented in this paper were measured via the respective  $D_1$  lines with an isotope shift of 10 GHz. When atomic motion is described by a diffusion such that each step-length equals to mean free path, the echo amplitude at the echo time  $2\tau$  is expressed as [\[20](#page-4-17), [21](#page-4-18)],

$$
A(2\tau) = A_0 \exp\left(-2\gamma_i^2 G^2 \tau^3 D_i/3\right),\tag{2}
$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is the gyromagnetic ratio. The amplitude  $A_0$  was measured without intentional field gradient. Compared to the magnitude of  $B_0$ , field non-uniformity along x and *y* axes was negligible. Typically, 1024 traces were averaged for the  ${}^{7}$ Li atoms and 4096 traces for the  ${}^{6}$ Li atoms. The parameters were determined so that, without magnetic shield, the echo signals were not affected by ambient fluctuating magnetic field.

#### **3 Result and discussion**

Figure [2a](#page-2-0) and b shows the free-induction decay and the spin-echo signals of the  ${}^{7}Li$  and the  ${}^{6}Li$  atoms, respectively. The beat signals came from nonlinear Zeeman splitting due to small hyperfine splitting in the  $2s<sup>2</sup>S<sub>1/2</sub>$  state. The spin exchange collisions between Li atoms were negligible in dilute vapor at  $T = 290$  °C. For dense atomic vapor,



<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Fig. 3** Full width at half maximum  $w_F$  of Fourier spectral lines for the 6Li atoms measured by changing the electric current of gradient coil. The residual width, 2.76 kHz, at the current of 0 A was due to fluctuating magnetic field

the decay time would be affected by the duration changes of the radio frequency and the light pulses [[22,](#page-4-19) [23](#page-4-20)]. The decoherence of measured free-induction-decay signals was mainly due to atomic motion, non-uniform static magnetic field, and fluctuating field, as explained below. Due to large thermal velocity in a vacuum cell, the polarized atoms escaped from the probe beam during several cycles of spin precession. When transit time was increased with buffer gas, decoherence time was on the order of milliseconds. Therefore, dephasing of the signal from atoms at different places can be observed with the addition of magnetic field gradient. The external equipments produced time-varying magnetic field with the amplitude of the order of 0.1  $\mu$ T, and the free-induction signals decayed by several hundred microseconds by averaging. Since the equipments were far from the cell, the fluctuating field was uniform within the cross-section of probe beam and the spin-echo signal was not canceled out by averaging. Therefore, the echo amplitude depended on the gradient *G* and the echo time  $2\tau$ , as shown in Eq.  $(2)$  $(2)$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>To estimate the gradient, the Fourier-transformed spectra were calculated from free-induction decay signals. As shown in Fig. [2c](#page-2-0), d, the splitting of the  ${}^{6}Li$  spectral lines was large enough to measure the line broadening by the gradient. Figure  $\frac{3}{5}$  $\frac{3}{5}$  $\frac{3}{5}$  shows that the line width  $w_F$  due to the gradient was expressed as  $w_F/I_G = 10.58 \pm 0.04$  kHz/A, where  $I_G$  is the electric current of the gradient coil. The probe beam was shaped by a square through hole and the beam width  $w_B$  was 1.0 cm along *z* axis. Therefore, the magnetic field gradient was calibrated as  $G/I_G = 2\pi w_F/\gamma_6 w_B I_G = 1.13 \mu$ T/cm A. The overall spectral width of signals was mainly determined by nonlinear Zeeman splitting. It took a little wider by the field gradient, for example, 75 kHz for  ${}^{6}Li$  and 30 kHz for <sup>7</sup>Li by applied maximum current  $I_G = 2$  A. Since the bandwidth of the  $\pi$ 



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Normalized spin-echo amplitude measured for the 7Li (*closed marks*) and the 6Li (*open marks*) atoms in He gas of  $n_{\text{He}} = 2.0 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  at  $T = 290$  °C. All the measured data were used in curve fitting for both isotopes. Because unnormalized amplitude was small for 6Li, baseline noise disturbed fitting especially for large  $\tau$ . The fitting errors were magnified for the small signals in the vertical logarithmic scale. The horizontal axis is scaled by magnetic field gradient *G* and half the echo time  $\tau$ 

pulse, 200 kHz, was sufficiently larger than signal spectral width, by tuning to the center of resonance lines, the spin tipping angle was not much dependent on the field gradient, assuring the use of Eq. ([2\)](#page-2-1).

Figure [4](#page-3-0) shows the echo amplitude for the  ${}^{7}Li$  and the  ${}^{6}Li$ atoms. For several gradients and echo times, the measured amplitudes were sufficiently fitted by a single exponential curve in Eq. ([2\)](#page-2-1). Therefore, atomic motion can be described by normal diffusion. Neither anomalous diffusion such as Lévy flight nor convection was necessary to consider in this measurement. The diffusion coefficients of Li atoms were  $D_7 = 140.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  and  $D_6 = 160 \pm 13 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ . The ratio  $D_6/D_7 = 1.138 \pm 0.092$  was consistent with the calculated value, 1.133, by the elementary theory on Eq. [\(1](#page-1-1)). Therefore, the difference in diffusion coefficient came from mass difference of lithium isotopes. From Eq. ([1\)](#page-1-1), the mean free paths,  $\ell_{m7} = 32.36 \pm 0.35 \,\mu m$  and  $\ell_{m6} = 34.1 \pm 2.7 \,\mu$ m, were much smaller than the laser beam and the cell dimensions. The mean free times,  $t_{7m} = 24.8 \pm 0.3$  ns and  $t_{6m} = 24 \pm 2$  ns, were much smaller than the echo time. These results assure the statistical consistency of the measurement. In future work on spin polarization flow to the walls, even in the presence of buffer gas, ballistic motion of the Li atoms should be taken into account within the mean free path from the walls.

According to Eq. [\(1](#page-1-1)), the diffusion coefficient depends on the number density of buffer gas *n* and the temperature *T*, as follows,



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Free-induction decay signals were delayed from optical pumping. The initial amplitude of the  ${}^{7}Li$  signals decayed at  $T_1 = 13.0$  ms for  $n_{\text{He}} = 2.0 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T = 290$  °C. The vertical axis is in logarithmic scale

$$
D = D_0 \frac{\sqrt{T}/n}{\sqrt{T_0}/n_0},\tag{3}
$$

where  $D_0$  is the value of the diffusion coefficient at a reference temperature  $T_0$ , and at a reference number density  $n_0$ . These are taken to be the number density of an ideal gas at a pressure of 1 atm and a temperature of 0  $\degree C(n_0 = 2.69 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ . Here, the coefficients are compared between different alkali-metal atoms:  $D_0 = 7.43 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  for the <sup>7</sup>Li atoms in <sup>4</sup>He gas is much larger than  $D_0 = 0.31 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  for the <sup>133</sup>Cs atoms in <sup>4</sup>He gas [[24\]](#page-4-21) and  $D_0 = 0.118 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  for the <sup>85</sup>Rb atoms in nitrogen gas [\[21](#page-4-18)].

During long period of optical pumping, 270 ms, quasisteady distribution of the polarized atoms was achieved in the cell. After optical pumping, the polarization was relaxed until the delayed  $\pi/2$  pulse was applied. As shown in Fig. [5](#page-3-1), the initial amplitude of free-induction signal decayed with the time constant  $T_1 = 13.0 \pm 0.4$  ms. Since the fine splitting in the lowest excited state is smaller than those of other alkali atoms, spin relaxation in the ground state should be negligible by collisions to He gas of the current pressure [[25\]](#page-4-22). With no specific anti-relaxation coating on the glass surface, the polarization was decayed by single collisions to the walls. When atom density was uniform in the cell, the decay time due to the wall relaxation would be  $(R/\pi)^2/D_i = 4.5$  ms for the slowest diffusion mode in a spherical cell [[26\]](#page-4-23). A possible explanation for apparent slow relaxation of  $T_1 > 4.5$  ms is that the atoms were absorbed in the glass and unpolarized atoms were not supplied by desorption from the walls. Even in the relatively large cell, therefore, the spin angular momentum can be sufficiently transferred by the Li atoms from the vapor

### **4 Summary**

The diffusion coefficients and the isotope effect of spinpolarized lithium atoms were measured in helium gas. The borosilicate-glass vapor cells were compatible with optical and magnetic resonance experiments and available in many temperature cycles. The temperature of 290 ◦C was high enough to produce vapor density for optical measurement, and no coloration was found on the windows and the cell body. The windows remained clean when the glass near bulk metal took on a coloration at high temperatures. Due to absorption into glass materials, atomic flow was directed from evaporating bulk metal to the walls via gas phase. When spin exchange collisions were negligible in dilute atomic vapor, the flux of angular momentum was described by diffusion equation of atoms. Since the measured diffusion coefficient was much larger than those of other alkali-metal atoms, the fast transfer of atoms and angular momenta is expected in the gas. To evaluate spin polarization currents accurately, it is important to measure the collisional properties of lithium atoms in various buffer gases.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported in part by JSPS KAK-ENHI Grant Numbers 25610115 and 16H04030. KI acknowledges N. Hamada for setup of lithium optogalvano saturation spectroscopy.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-4-9"></span>1. C.R. Vidal, J. Cooper, Heat-pipe oven: a new, well-defined metal vapor device for spectroscopic measurements. J. Appl. Phys. **40**(8), 3370–3374 (1969)
- 2. P. Minguzzi, F. Strumia, P. Violino, Optical pumping of lithium vapour. Opt. Commun. **1**(1), 1–2 (1969)
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>3. V.J. Slabinski, R.L. Smith, Lithium vapor cell and discharge lamp using MgO windows. Rev. Sci. Instrum. **42**(9), 1334–1338 (1971)
- 4. I.E. Olivares, A.E. Duarte, T. Lokajczyk, A. Dinklage, F.J. Duarte, Doppler-free spectroscopy and collisional studies with tunable diode lasers of lithium isotopes in a heat-pipe oven. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **15**(7), 1932–1939 (1998)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>5. D. Sun, C. Zhou, L. Zhou, J. Wang, M. Zhan, Modulation transfer spectroscopy in a lithium atomic vapor cell. Opt. Express **24**(10), 10649–10662 (2016)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>6. A. Gallagher, Noble-gas broadening of the Li resonance line. Phys. Rev. A **12**, 133–138 (1975)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>7. K.C. Brog, T.G. Eck, H. Wieder, Fine and hyperfine structure of the  $2^2p$  term of Li<sup>6</sup> and Li<sup>7</sup>. Phys. Rev. **153**, 91–103 (1967)
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>8. C.J. Sansonetti, C.E. Simien, J.D. Gillaspy, J.N. Tan, S.M. Brewer, R.C. Brown, S. Wu, J.V. Porto, Absolute transition frequencies and quantum interference in a frequency comb based measurement of the 6,7LiD lines. Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 023001 (2011)
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>9. M. Taglieber, A.-C. Voigt, T. Aoki, T.W. Hänsch, K. Dieckmann, Quantum degenerate two-species fermi-fermi mixture coexisting with a bose-einstein condensate. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 010401 (2008)
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>10. S. Brakhane, W. Alt, D. Meschede, C. Robens, G. Moon, A. Alberti, Note: ultra-low birefringence dodecagonal vacuum glass cell. Rev. Sci. Instrum. **86**(12), 126108 (2015)
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>11. J.J. Wright, L.C. Balling, R.H. Lambert, Hyperfine splittings and pressure shifts of Li<sup>6</sup> and Li<sup>7</sup>. Phys. Rev. **183**, 180–185 (1969)
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>12. S .N. Atutov, B .V. Bondarev, S .M. Kobtzev, P .V. Kolinko, S .P. Podjachev, A .M. Shalagin, Light-induced diffusive pulling (pushing) of lithium atoms into a laser beam. Measurement of diffusion coefficients of lithium in 2p and 2s states in noble gases. Opt. Commun. **115**((3–4)), 276–282 (1995)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>13. W. Happer, Y.-Y. Jau, T.G. Walker, *Optically Pumped Atoms* (Wiley, Weinheim, 2010)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>14. D. Budker, M. Romalis, Optical magnetometry. Nat. Phys. **3**(4), 227–234 (2007)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>15. R.F. Haglund, D. Fick, B. Horn, E. Koch, Spin-polarized nuclei as probes of electromagnetic field distributions on solid surfaces. Hyperfine Interact. **30**(2), 73–108 (1986)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>16. K. Ishikawa, Spin-injection optical pumping of molten cesium salt and its NMR diagnosis. AIP Adv. **5**(7), 077122 (2015)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>17. B. Patton, K. Ishikawa, Y.-Y. Jau, W. Happer, Intrinsic impurities in glass alkali-vapor cells. Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**(2), 027601 (2007)
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>18. J. Ma, A. Kishinevski, Y.-Y. Jau, C. Reuter, W. Happer, Modification of glass cell walls by rubidium vapor. Phys. Rev. A **79**, 042905 (2009)
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>19. A.N. Nesmeyanov, *Vapor pressure of the chemical elements* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1963)
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>20. P.T. Callaghan, *Principles of nuclear magnetic resonance microscopy* (Clarendon, Oxford, UK, 1991)
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>21. K. Ishikawa, T. Yabuzaki, Diffusion coefficient and sublevel coherence of Rb atoms in N2 buffer gas. Phys. Rev. A **62**, 065401 (2000)
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>22. S. Appelt, A.B. Baranga, A.R. Young, W. Happer, Light narrowing of rubidium magnetic-resonance lines in high-pressure optical-pumping cells. Phys. Rev. A **59**, 2078–2084 (1999)
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>23. K. Ishikawa, T. Kojima, T. Hasegawa, Y. Takagi, Spin dynamics of dense alkali-metal atoms. Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032511 (2002)
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>24. K. Ishikawa, Y. Anraku, Y. Takahashi, T. Yabuzaki, Optical magnetic-resonance imaging of laser-polarized Cs atoms. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **16**(1), 31–37 (1999)
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>25. T.G. Walker, J.H. Thywissen, W. Happer, Spin-rotation interaction of alkali-metal-He-atom pairs. Phys. Rev. A **56**, 2090–2094 (1997)
- <span id="page-4-23"></span>26. F.A. Franz, E. Lüscher, Spin relaxation of optically pumped cesium. Phys. Rev. **135**, A582–A588 (1964)
- <span id="page-4-24"></span>27. K. Ishikawa, B. Patton, B.A. Olsen, Y.-Y. Jau, W. Happer, Transfer of spin angular momentum from Cs vapor to nearby Cs salts through laser-induced spin currents. Phys. Rev. A **83**(6), 063410 (2011)