Theoretical and experimental studies of a rectangular Laguerre–Gaussian-correlated Schell-model beam

Yahong Chen^{1,2} · Jiayi Yu^{1,2} · Yangsheng Yuan³ · Fei Wang^{1,2} · Yangjian Cai^{1,2}

Received: 25 September 2015 / Accepted: 15 December 2015 / Published online: 30 January 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract We introduce a new kind of partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function named rectangular Laguerre-Gaussian-correlated Schell-model (LGCSM) beam, whose degree of coherence is of rectangular symmetry, and analyze its propagation properties. We find that the rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits self-splitting properties on propagation in free space, i.e., the initial single beam spot evolves into $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ beam spots on propagation with *m* and *n* being the beam orders, which are totally different from that of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam. The self-splitting properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam are also different from other self-splitting beam whose initial single beam spot only splits into two or four beam spots on propagation in free space. We also find that a focused rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits splitting and combining properties on propagation. Furthermore, we carry out experimental generation of a rectangular LGCSM beam and verify the splitting and combining properties of such beam focused by a thin lens. The rectangular LGCSM beam will be useful for manipulating multiple particles or attacking multiple targets simultaneously.

Yangjian Cai yangjiancai@suda.edu.cn

- ¹ College of Physics, Optoelectronics and Energy and Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China
- ² Key Lab of Advanced Optical Manufacturing Technologies of Jiangsu Province and Key Lab of Modern Optical Technologies of Education Ministry of China, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China
- ³ Department of Physics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China

1 Introduction

The conventional correlation function of a partially coherent beam satisfies Gaussian distribution and usually is called Gaussian-correlated Schell-model function. Before 2007, only few papers were devoted to partially coherent beams with nonconventional correlations, such as J_0 -correlated Schell-model source [1-3] and vortex-carrying partially coherent sources [4, 5]. Since Gori and collaborators first discussed the sufficient condition for devising the genuine correlation function of a partially coherent beam [6, 7], more and more attention is being paid to partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function [8-29]. Many extraordinary or unique properties of a partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function have been found, e.g., self-focusing and lateral shift of the intensity maximum on propagation [8-10], far-field flattopped [11–13] or ring-shaped intensity profile formation [14–16], far-field radially polarization formation [17], selfsplitting properties on propagation [18-20], and lattice-like intensity patterns formation on propagation [21, 22]. Different methods have been developed to generate a partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function [15, 25]. It was shown in [9, 10, 20, 26–28] that a partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function has an advantage over a partially coherent beam with conventional correlation function for reducing turbulenceinduced degradation or scintillation and may be useful in free-space optical communication. A review on generation and propagation of partially coherent beams with nonconventional correlation functions is given in [29].

On the other hand, beam splitting is useful in many applications, such as optical imaging [30], particle trapping [31], atom guidance [32], and electron guidance [33]. In

order to split one beam into two or more beams, one usually make use of anisotropic optical components or structures, such as beam splitter [34], phase gratings [35], photonic crystal [36], anisotropic metamaterial slab [37], and metallic nano-optic lens [38]. Recently, it was shown that some partially coherent beams with nonconventional correlation functions, such as rectangular cosine-Gaussian-correlated Schell-model (CGCSM) beam [18] and Hermite–Gaussiancorrelated Schell-model (HGCSM) beam [19, 20], display self-splitting properties on propagation in free space, which may be useful in some applications, such as attacking multiple targets, trapping multiple particles, and guiding atoms, while the initial single beam spot of a HGCSM beam (or a rectangular CGCSM beam) only evolves into two or four beam spots on propagation in free space depending on its initial beam parameters [18–20].

As a typical kind of partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function, circular Laguerre-Gaussian-correlated Schell-model (LGCSM) beam displays circular ring-shaped (i.e., dark hollow) beam profile in the far-field although it has a Gaussian beam profile in the source plane [14]. Experimental generation of a circular LGCSM beam was first reported in [15]. It is was shown both theoretically and experimentally that one can generate a controllable optical cage by focusing a circular LGCSM beam near the focal plane, which may be useful for trapping particles or atoms [39]. Elliptical LGCSM beam was introduced and generated recently [16], and such beam displays elliptical dark hollow beam profile in the far-field. To our knowledge, no results have been reported up until now on a rectangular LGCSM beam (i.e., LGCSM beam whose degree of coherence is of rectangular symmetry). In this paper, our aim is to introduce the model for a rectangular LGCSM beam. The propagation properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam are totally different from that of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam, e.g., it exhibits selfsplitting properties on propagation in free space. The initial single beam spot of a rectangular LGCSM beam evolves into $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ beam spots on propagation with m and *n* being the beam orders; thus, the self-splitting properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam are more general than that of a HGCSM beam (or a rectangular CGCSM beam). Experimental generation of a rectangular LGCSM beam is also reported.

2 Rectangular Laguerre–Gaussian-correlated Schell-model beam: theoretical model

In the space–time domain, the statistical properties of a partially coherent beam are characterized by the mutual coherence function (MCF), which is defined as a two-point correlation function [6]

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \left\langle E^*(\mathbf{r}_1) E(\mathbf{r}_2) \right\rangle,\tag{1}$$

where E denotes the field fluctuating in a direction perpendicular to the *z*-axis and the angular brackets denote an ensemble average.

The MCF of a partially coherent beam can be expressed in the following general form [40]

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sqrt{I(\mathbf{r}_1)I(\mathbf{r}_2)}\mu(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1), \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_1 \equiv (x_1, y_1)$ and $\mathbf{r}_2 \equiv (x_2, y_2)$ are two arbitrary position vectors transverse to the direction of propagation, $I(\mathbf{r})$ is the intensity at point \mathbf{r} , and $\mu(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1)$ is the degree of coherence (DOC). For a conventional Gaussian Schell-model beam (i.e., Gaussian-correlated Schell-model beam), both the intensity and the DOC satisfy Gaussian distribution [40]. For a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam, its intensity in the source plane satisfies Gaussian distribution, while its DOC satisfies circular or elliptical Laguerre– Gaussian distribution [14–16].

Now we introduce a new kind of partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function named rectangular LGCSM beam as a natural extension of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam. The intensity and DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam in the source plane are defined as

$$I(\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left(-\mathbf{r}^2/2\sigma_0^2\right),\tag{3}$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}_{2} - \mathbf{r}_{1}) = L_{m} \left[\frac{(x_{2} - x_{1})^{2}}{\delta_{0x}^{2}} \right] L_{n} \left[\frac{(y_{2} - y_{1})^{2}}{\delta_{0y}^{2}} \right]$$
$$\exp \left[-\frac{(x_{2} - x_{1})^{2}}{2\delta_{0x}^{2}} - \frac{(y_{2} - y_{1})^{2}}{2\delta_{0y}^{2}} \right], \quad (4)$$

where σ_0 denotes the transverse beam width, L_i denotes the Laguerre polynomial of order *i*, and δ_{0x} and δ_{0y} denote the transverse coherence widths along the *x* and *y* directions, respectively.

To be a mathematically genuine or physically realizable correlation function, the MCF of a partially coherent beam should satisfy the condition of nonnegative definiteness and can be written in the following form [6]

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \int I(\mathbf{v}) H^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{v}) H(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{v}) d^2 \mathbf{v},$$
(5)

where H is an arbitrary kernel and I is a nonnegative function.

Equation (5) can be expressed in the following alternative form [15]

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \iint \Gamma_i(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) H^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{v}_1) H(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{v}_2) d^2 \mathbf{v}_1 d^2 \mathbf{v}_2, \quad (6)$$

where

Fig. 1 Density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam for different values of the beam orders *m* and *n* in the source plane



$$\Gamma_i(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) = \sqrt{I(\mathbf{v}_1)I(\mathbf{v}_2)\delta(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)}.$$
(7)

Here, δ denotes the Dirac delta function. It is seen from Eqs. (6) and (7) that a partially coherent beam with required correlation function can be generated from an incoherent source with MCF $\Gamma_i(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ through propagation. Here, *I* and *H* denote the intensity of the incoherent source and the response function of the optical path, respectively.

Similar to Ref. [15], we set *H* in the following form

$$H(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = -\frac{i}{\lambda f} T(\mathbf{r}) \exp\left[\frac{i\pi}{\lambda f} \left(\mathbf{v}^2 - 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)\right],\tag{8}$$

with

$$T(\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left(-\mathbf{r}^2/4\sigma_0^2\right).$$
(9)

Here, H denotes the response function of the optical path which consists of free space of distance f, a thin lens with focal length f, and a Gaussian amplitude filter with transmission function given by Eq. (9).

Applying Eqs. (2)–(4) and (6)–(9), we find to generate a rectangular LGCSM beam from an incoherent source through propagation, and the intensity of the incoherent source should satisfy the following distribution

$$I(\mathbf{v}) = \left[H_m\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}v_x}{\omega_{0x}}\right)\right]^2 \left[H_n\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}v_y}{\omega_{0y}}\right)\right]^2 \exp\left[-\frac{2v_x^2}{\omega_{0x}^2} - \frac{2v_y^2}{\omega_{0y}^2}\right], \quad (10)$$

where $\omega_{0x} = \lambda f / (\pi \delta_{0x})$ and $\omega_{0y} = \lambda f / (\pi \delta_{0y})$ are the beam widths along the *x* and *y* directions, respectively, and H_i denotes Hermite polynomial of order *i*. Since $I(\mathbf{v})$ is a nonnegative function, the correlation function for a rectangular LGCSM beam is indeed a mathematically genuine function and can be realized in experiment.

Under the condition of m = n = 0, the rectangular LGCSM beam reduces to the elliptical Gaussian-correlated Schell-model beam [15]. Under the condition of m = n = 0

and $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y}$, the rectangular LGCSM beam reduces to the conventional Gaussian Schell-model beam [40–43].

Figure 1 shows the density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of the proposed rectangular LGCSM for different values of the beam orders m and n in the source plane. One finds from Fig. 1 that the density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM exhibits array distribution with rectangular symmetry and the numbers of the beamlets along $x_1 - x_2$ and $y_1 - y_2$ directions increase as the beam orders m and n increase, which are quite different from the density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam [14–16]. Due to the array distribution of the DOC, the rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits self-splitting properties on propagation as shown later, which are also quite different from the propagation properties of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam [14–16].

3 Paraxial propagation of a rectangular Laguerre–Gaussian-correlated Schell-model beam through a stigmatic ABCD optical system

In this section, we derive the paraxial propagation formula for the MCF of a rectangular LGCSM beam passing through a stigmatic ABCD optical system and analyze its propagation properties.

Within the validity of the paraxial approximation, propagation of the MCF of a partially coherent beam through a stigmatic ABCD optical system can be studied with the help of the following generalized Collins formula [44, 45]

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{\rho}_{1},\mathbf{\rho}_{2}) = \frac{1}{(\lambda B)^{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{ikD}{2B}\left(\mathbf{\rho}_{1}^{2}-\mathbf{\rho}_{2}^{2}\right)\right]$$
$$\int \int \Gamma(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) \exp\left[-\frac{ikA}{2B}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}^{2}-\mathbf{r}_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \quad (11)$$
$$\times \exp\left[\frac{ik}{B}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{\rho}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{\rho}_{2}\right)\right]d^{2}\mathbf{r}_{1}d^{2}\mathbf{r}_{2},$$

where $\mathbf{\rho}_1 \equiv (\rho_{1x}, \rho_{1y})$ and $\mathbf{\rho}_2 \equiv (\rho_{2x}, \rho_{2y})$ are two arbitrary transverse position vectors in the output plane, A, B, C, D are the elements of the transfer matrix for the stigmatic optical system, and $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number with λ being the wavelength.

Substituting Eqs. (2)–(4) into Eq. (11), after tedious integration, we obtain the following expression for the MCF of a rectangular LGCSM beam in the output plane

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{\rho}_{1}, \mathbf{\rho}_{2}) = \frac{2\pi^{2}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{(\lambda B)^{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{ikD}{2B}(\rho_{xs}\rho_{xd} + \rho_{ys}\rho_{yd})\right] \\
\exp\left[-\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{k}{B}\right)^{2}\left(\rho_{xd}^{2} + \rho_{yd}^{2}\right)\right] \\
\times \sum_{q_{x}=0}^{m} \binom{m}{q_{x}}\frac{(-1)^{q_{x}}}{q_{x}!}\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{0x}^{2}}\right)^{q_{x}}\frac{(2i)^{-2q_{x}}}{a_{x}^{q_{x}+1/2}} \\
\exp\left(\frac{b_{x}^{2}}{4a_{x}}\right)H_{2q_{x}}\left(i\frac{b_{x}}{2\sqrt{a_{x}}}\right) \\
\times \sum_{q_{y}=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q_{y}}\frac{(-1)^{q_{y}}}{q_{y}!}\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{0y}^{2}}\right)^{q_{y}}\frac{(2i)^{-2q_{y}}}{a_{y}^{q_{y}+1/2}} \\
\exp\left(\frac{b_{y}^{2}}{4a_{y}}\right)H_{2q_{y}}\left(i\frac{b_{y}}{2\sqrt{a_{y}}}\right),$$
(12)

with

$$a_{x} = \frac{1}{8\sigma_{0}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\delta_{0x}^{2}} + \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{Ak}{B}\right)^{2}, \quad b_{x} = \frac{ik}{B}\rho_{xs} + \sigma_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{k}{B}\right)^{2} A\rho_{xd},$$

$$a_{y} = \frac{1}{8\sigma_{0}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\delta_{0y}^{2}} + \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{Ak}{B}\right)^{2}, \quad b_{y} = \frac{ik}{B}\rho_{ys} + \sigma_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{k}{B}\right)^{2} A\rho_{yd}.$$
(13)

In the above derivations, we have applied the following expansion and integral formulae

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{q=0}^n \binom{n}{q} \frac{(-1)^q}{q!} x^q,$$
(14)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n \exp\left[-(x-\beta)^2\right] dx = (2i)^{-n} \sqrt{\pi} H_n(i\beta).$$
(15)

The average intensity and the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam in the output plane are obtained as

$$I(\mathbf{\rho}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{\rho}, \mathbf{\rho}),\tag{16}$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{\rho}_2 - \mathbf{\rho}_1) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{\rho}_1, \mathbf{\rho}_2)}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\mathbf{\rho}_1, \mathbf{\rho}_1)\Gamma(\mathbf{\rho}_2, \mathbf{\rho}_2)}}.$$
(17)

Now we study the propagation properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam with the help of the above-derived formulae. First, we study the propagation properties of a rectangular



Fig. 2 Normalized intensity distribution of a rectangular LGCSM beam with m = n = 1 **a** in the ρ -*z* plane with $\rho_x = \rho_y$ on propagation, and **b** in the ρ_x - ρ_y plane at several propagation distances in free space



Fig. 3 Normalized intensity distribution of a rectangular LGCSM beam with m = n = 2 **a** in the ρ -*z* plane with $\rho_x = \rho_y$ on propagation, and **b** in the ρ_x - ρ_y plane at several propagation distances in free space

LGCSM beam in free space. The transfer matrix for free space of distance z reads as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (18)

Applying Eqs. (12) and (16)–(18), we calculate in Figs. 2 and 3 the normalized intensity distribution of a rectangular LGCSM beam on propagation in free space for different values of the beam orders m and n and in Fig. 4 the normalized intensity distribution of a rectangular LGCSM beam at z = 10 m in free space for different value of beam orders m and n with $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = 0.2$ mm, $\sigma_0 = 1$ mm, and $\lambda = 632.8$ nm. As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, the rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits self-splitting properties, i.e., the initial single beam spot evolves into multiple beam spots on propagation in free space, which are totally different from those of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam [14–16]. Furthermore, the self-splitting properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam are also quite different from that of a HGCSM beam (or a rectangular CGCSM beam). As shown in [18-20], the initial single beam spot of a HGCSM beam (or a rectangular CGCSM beam) only evolves into two or four beam spots on propagation in free space, while the initial single beam spot of a rectangular LGCSM beam





evolves into $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ beam spots on propagation with *m* and *n* being its beam orders (see Fig. 4).

To show the influence of the initial coherence widths on the self-splitting properties, we calculate in Fig. 5 the normalized intensity distribution (cross-line $\rho_x = \rho_y$) of a rectangular LGCSM beam at z = 1.3 m in free space for



Fig. 5 Normalized intensity distribution (cross-line $\rho_x = \rho_y$) of a rectangular LGCSM beam at z = 1.3 m in free space for different values of the beam orders *m*, *n* and initial coherence widths with $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = \delta_0$

different values of beam orders m, n and initial coherence widths with $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = \delta_0$. One finds from Fig. 5 that the beam spot of a rectangular LGCSM beam evolves into multiple beam spots on propagation more rapidly with the increase in the beam orders m and n or with the decrease in the initial coherence widths. Thus, one can modulate the self-splitting properties by controlling the initial beam parameters. The extraordinary splitting properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam may be useful for attacking multiple targets simultaneously.

To learn about the evolution properties of the DOC of the rectangular LGCSM beam on propagation, we calculate in Fig. 6 the density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam at several propagation distances in free space for different values of the beam orders *m* and *n* and in Fig. 7 the density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam at z = 50 m in free space for different values of the beam orders *m* and *n* with $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = 0.2$ mm, $\sigma_0 = 1$ mm, and $\lambda = 632.8$ nm. One finds from Fig. 6 that the square of





Fig. 7 Density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam at z = 50 m in free space for different values of the beam orders *m* and *n*



the modulus of the DOC of the rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits array distribution in the source plane or at short propagation distance, while the array distribution gradually disappears on propagation in free space. With the increase in the beam orders m and n, the array distribution disappears more rapidly. Furthermore, it is interesting to find that the array distribution of the modulus of the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam evolves into diamond distribution on propagation when m and n are odd numbers, while the array



Fig. 8 Normalized intensity distribution of a focused rectangular LGCSM beam with m = n = 3 **a** in the ρ_{-z} plane with $\rho_x = \rho_y$ on propagation, and **b** in the ρ_x - ρ_y plane at several propagation distances

distribution evolves into Gaussian distribution on propagation when m and n are even numbers. When m (or n) is an even number and n (or m) is an odd number, the distribution of the modulus of the DOC in the far-field looks like a Rugby. Thus, one can modulate the DOC of a rectangular LGCSM beam through varying its initial beam parameters.

Now we analyze the focusing properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens with focal length f. The distance between the source plane and the thin lens is f, and the distance between the thin lens and the output plane is z. The transfer matrix between the source plane and the output plane output plane reads as

Applying Eqs. (12), (16), (17), and (19), we calculate in Fig. 8 the normalized intensity distribution of a focused rectangular LGCSM beam on propagation and in Fig. 9 the density plot of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a focused rectangular LGCSM beam on propagation with







Fig. 10 Experimental setup for generating a rectangular LGCSM beam and measuring its focusing properties. BE beam expander, RM reflecting mirror, SLM spatial light modulator, CA circular aperture,

Fig. 11 Phase grating for

with different values of the

beam orders m and n

RGGD rotating ground-glass disk, L_1 , L_2 thin lenses, GAF Gaussian amplitude filter, CCD charge-coupled device, PC_1 and PC_2 personal computers



m = n = 3, $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = 0.2$ mm, $\sigma_0 = 1$ mm, $\lambda = 632.8$ nm, and f = 400 mm. From Fig. 8, one finds that a focused rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits splitting and combining properties on propagation, i.e., the initial single beam spot splits into $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ beam spots on propagation before the focal plane and these multiple spots combine to a single beam spot again on propagation after the focal plane. Although a focused HGCSM beam or a focused rectangular CGCSM beam also exhibits splitting and combining properties, its initial single beam spot only splits into two or four beam spots before the focal plane. The splitting and combining properties of a focused rectangular LGCSM beam may be useful for trapping multiple particle simultaneously in the focal plane and may be used to guide atoms along multiple channel near the focal plane. What is more, one finds from Fig. 9 that evolution properties of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a focused rectangular LGCSM beam on propagation are also interesting, i.e., the initial array distribution of the modulus of the DOC disappears gradually on propagation before the focal plane and the array distribution appears again on propagation after the focal plane. The distribution of the square of the modulus of the DOC of a focused rectangular LGCSM beam in the focal plane is similar to the corresponding results in the far-field.

4 Rectangular Laguerre–Gaussian-correlated Schell-model beam: experiment

In this section, we report experimental generation of a rectangular LGCSM beam and measure the propagation properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens.

Figure 10 shows our experimental setup for generating a rectangular LGCSM beam and measuring its focusing properties. A He–Ne laser beam ($\lambda = 632.8$ nm) passes through a beam expander and goes toward a spatial light modulator (SLM, Holoeye LC2002), which acts as a phase grating designed by the method of computer-generated holograms. The phase grating for generating the beam whose intensity is given by Eq. (10) with different values of the beam orders m and n is shown in Fig. 11. The first-order diffraction pattern from the SLM is regarded as the beam whose intensity is given by Eq. (10) and selected out by a circular aperture (CA). The generated beam from the SLM illuminates a **Fig. 12** Experimental results of the square of the modulus of the DOC of the generated RLGCSM beam for different values of the beam orders of *m* and *n* just behind the GAF with $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = 0.1 \text{ mm}$

Fig. 13 Experimental results of the intensity distribution of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam with m = n = 1 focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm at several propagation distances



rotating ground-glass disk (RGGD) producing an incoherent beam whose intensity is given by Eq. (10). After passing through free space of distance f_1 , a thin lens with focal length f_1 , and a Gaussian amplitude filter (GAF), the generated beam becomes a rectangular LGCSM beam. The GAF is used to transform the beam profile of the beam from the RGGD into Gaussian beam profile; thus, the beam width σ_0 of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam is determined by the transmission function of the GAF and it is equal to 1 mm in our experiment. The square of the modulus of the

are measured by the method described in [29], and Fig. 12 shows our experimental results of the square of the modulus of the DOC of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam for different values of the beam orders of *m* and *n* just behind the GAF. In our experiment, we have $\delta_{0x} = \delta_{0y} = 0.1$ mm. The generated rectangular LGCSM beam first passes

DOC of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam and the

corresponding results of the coherence widths (δ_{0x} and δ_{0y})

The generated rectangular LGCSM beam first passes through a thin lens L_2 with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm and then goes toward a charge-coupled device (CCD), which



Fig. 14 Experimental results of the intensity distribution of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam with m = n = 2 focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm at several propagation distances

Fig. 15 Experimental results of the intensity distribution of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm in the focal plane for different values of beam orders *m* and *n*

is used to measure the intensity distribution of the focused beam on propagation. The distance from the GAF to L_2 is equal to f_2 , and the distance from L_2 to the CCD is equal to z; thus, the transfer matrix between the GAF and the CCD is given by Eq. (19) just by replacing f with f_2 . The square of the modulus of the DOC of the focused rectangular LGCSM beam on propagation is also measured by the method described in [29]. Figures 13 and 14 show our experimental results of the intensity distribution of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm at several propagation distances for different values of the beam order *m* and *n*. Figure 15 shows our experimental results of the intensity distribution of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam



Fig. 16 a, **b** Experimental results of the square of the modulus of the DOC of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm in the focal plane for different values of beam orders *m* and *n*, **c**, **d** the corresponding numerical results calculated by theoretical formulae

focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm in the focal plane for different values of beam orders m and n. One finds from Figs. 13, 14, and 15 that the generated rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens indeed exhibits splitting and combining properties on propagation. In the focal plane, the initial single beam spot splits into $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ beam spots as expected. Figure 16a, b shows our experimental results of the square of the modulus of the DOC of the generated rectangular LGCSM beam focused by a thin lens with focal length $f_2 = 400$ mm in the focal plane for different values of the beam orders mand n. For the convenience of comparison, the corresponding numerical results calculated by theoretical formulae are also shown (see Fig. 16c, d). From Fig. 16, we see that the square of the modulus of the DOC indeed exhibits diamond distribution for the case of m = n = 1 and Gaussian distribution for the case of m = n = 2 as expected. Our experimental results are consistent well with theoretical predictions, and only slight difference exists, which may be caused by the fluctuation of the source beam, the nonideal optical elements, and the resolution limits of the CCD.

5 Summary

We have introduced a new kind of partially coherent beam with nonconventional correlation function named rectangular LGCSM beam as a natural extension of recently introduced circular or elliptical LGCSM beam, and we have studied its propagation properties both theoretically and experimentally. We have found that a rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits self-splitting properties on propagation in free space and a focused rectangular LGCSM beam exhibits splitting and combining properties on propagation, which are totally different from that of a circular or elliptical LGCSM beam. Furthermore, we have found that the beam spot of a rectangular LGCSM beam splits into $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$ beam spots on propagation with *m* and *n* being its beam orders, which are also quite different from other self-splitting beams, such as a HGCSM beam (or a rectangular CGCSM beam), whose beam spot only splits into two or four beam spots on propagation. Our experimental results verify our theoretical predictions. The extraordinary propagation properties of a rectangular LGCSM beam may be useful for manipulating multiple particles or attacking multiple targets simultaneously.

Acknowledgments This research is supported by the National Natural Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholar under Grant No. 11525418, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11274005, 11474213, and 11404007, the project of the Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 1408085QF112, and the Innovation Plan for Graduate Students in the Universities of Jiangsu Province under Grant Nos. KYLX-1218 and KYLX15-1254.

References

- 1. F. Gori, G. Guattari, C. Padovani, Opt. Commun. 64, 311 (1987)
- 2. C. Palma, R. Borghi, G. Cincotti, Opt. Commun. 125, 113 (1996)
- 3. G. Gbur, T.D. Visse, Opt. Lett. 28, 1627 (2003)
- 4. S.A. Ponomarenko, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 18, 150 (2001)
- G.V. Bogatyryova, C.V. Fel'de, P.V. Polyanskii, S.A. Ponomarenko, M.S. Soskin, E. Wolf, Opt. Lett. 28, 878 (2003)
- 6. F. Gori, M. Santarsiero, Opt. Lett. 32, 3531 (2007)
- F. Gori, V.R. Sanchez, M. Santarsiero, T. Shirai, J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt. 11, 085706 (2009)
- 8. H. Lajunen, T. Saastamoinen, Opt. Lett. 36, 4104 (2011)
- 9. Z. Tong, O. Korotkova, Opt. Lett. 37, 3240 (2012)
- 10. Y. Gu, G. Gbur, Opt. Lett. 38, 1395 (2013)
- 11. S. Sahin, O. Korotkova, Opt. Lett. 37, 2970 (2012)
- 12. O. Korotkova, Opt. Lett. 39, 64 (2014)
- 13. Y. Zhang, Y. Cai, J. Opt. 16, 075704 (2014)
- 14. Z. Mei, O. Korotkova, Opt. Lett. 38, 91 (2013)
- 15. F. Wang, X. Liu, Y. Yuan, Y. Cai, Opt. Lett. 38, 1814 (2013)
- Y. Chen, L. Liu, F. Wang, C. Zhao, Y. Cai, Opt. Express 22, 13975 (2014)
- Y. Chen, F. Wang, L. Liu, C. Zhao, Y. Cai, O. Korotkova, Phys. Rev. A 89, 013801 (2014)
- C. Liang, F. Wang, X. Liu, Y. Cai, O. Korotkova, Opt. Lett. 39, 769 (2014)
- 19. Y. Chen, J. Gu, F. Wang, Y. Cai, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013823 (2015)
- J. Yu, Y. Chen, L. Liu, X. Liu, Y. Cai, Opt. Express 23, 13467 (2015)
- 21. L. Ma, S.A. Ponomarenko, Opt. Lett. 39, 6656 (2014)
- 22. L. Ma, S.A. Ponomarenko, Opt. Express 23, 1848 (2015)
- 23. Y. Chen, F. Wang, C. Zhao, Y. Cai, Opt. Express 22, 5826 (2014)
- 24. Y. Zhang, L. Liu, C. Zhao, Y. Cai, Phys. Lett. A 378, 750 (2014)
- X. Xiao, O. Korotkova, D.G. Volez, Proc. SPIE 9224, 92240N (2014)

- R. Chen, L. Liu, S. Zhu, G. Wu, F. Wang, Y. Cai, Opt. Express 22, 1871 (2014)
- 27. S. Du, Y. Yuan, C. Liang, Y. Cai, Opt. Laser Technol. 50, 14 (2013)
- Y. Yuan, X. Liu, F. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Cai, J. Qu, H.T. Eyyuboğlu, Opt. Commun. **305**, 57 (2013)
- 29. Y. Cai, Y. Chen, F. Wang, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 31, 2083 (2014)
- 30. Y. Cai, S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. E 71, 056607 (2005)
- A. Ashkin, J.M. Dziedzic, J.E. Bjorkholm, S. Chu, Opt. Lett. 11, 288 (1986)
- D. Cassettari, B. Hessmo, R. Folman, T. Maier, J. Schmiedmayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5483 (2000)
- 33. J. Hammer, S. Thomas, P. Weber, P. Hommelhoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 254801 (2015)
- 34. J. Feng, Z. Zhou, Opt. Lett. 32, 1662 (2007)
- 35. L.A. Romero, F.M. Dickey, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 24, 2280 (2007)

- J. Bucay, E. Roussel, J.O. Vasseur, P.A. Deymier, A.C. Hladky-Hennion, Y. Pennec, K. Muralidharan, B. Djafari-Rouhani, B. Dubus, Phys. Rev. B 79, 214305 (2009)
- 37. J. Zhao, Y. Chen, Y. Feng, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 071114 (2008)
- 38. Z. Sun, Appl. Phys. Lett. 89, 261119 (2006)
- 39. Y. Chen, Y. Cai, Opt. Lett. 39, 2549 (2014)
- 40. L. Mandel, E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1995)
- 41. F. Gori, Opt. Commun. 46, 149 (1983)
- 42. A.T. Friberg, R.J. Sudol, Opt. Commun. 41, 383 (1982)
- 43. F. Wang, Y. Cai, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 24, 1937 (2007)
- 44. S.A. Collins, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 60, 1168 (1970)
- 45. Q. Lin, Y. Cai, Opt. Lett. 27, 216 (2002)