G. ZHENG W. SHE<sup>™</sup>

# Fast and wide-range continuously tunable Šolc-type filter based on periodically poled LiNbO<sub>3</sub>

State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P.R. China

### Received: 28 March 2007/Revised version: 1 June 2007 Published online: 7 July 2007 • © Springer-Verlag 2007

**ABSTRACT** We present a fast and wide-range continuously tunable Šolc-type filter based on periodically poled LiNbO<sub>3</sub> (PPLN) in this paper. The filter is formed in PPLN by applying a biased dc electric field along the *y*-axis, and the tuning of a transmitted central wavelength is realized by applying another dc electric field along the *z*-axis. The numerical results demonstrate that the tuning range covers as much as 16 nm, and the dependence of the transmitted central wavelength shift on the control electric field, shows a nearly linear relation with a tuning rate of 0.95 kV/mm per nm.

PACS 42.65.-k; 78.20.Jq; 42.79.Ci

# 1 Introduction

In recent years, PPLN has attracted more and more research attention because of its outstanding nonlinear optical properties, and a number of interesting results have been found [1-4]. The quasi-phase-matching (QPM), based on the periodic variation of the sign of the nonlinear optical coefficient, has become a very useful technology to match a nonlinear process in phase efficiently [5]. Besides the nonlinear optical coefficients relative to the second-order parametric processes, the EO coefficients are also periodically modulated because of periodically reversed ferroelectric domains in PPLN. Therefore, the concept of QPM is also valid in the linear electro-optic effect, and some interesting phenomena have been observed [6-8]. Similar to that in the nonlinear optical frequency conversion process, the reciprocal vector of the periodic structure can be used to compensate the phase mismatch between the o- and e-rays for the electro-optic effect. Lu et al. theoretically predicted that a precise spectral filter can be realized in PPLN by applying a uniform dc electric field along the y-axis [6]. Later, Chen et al. experimentally demonstrated a Solc-type filter in PPLN with and without a uniform dc electric field along the y-axis [9-12]. They tuned the transmitted central wavelength thermally or by UV-light illumination [9–12]. Compared to a traditional Šolc-type filter [13], the PPLN EO Solc-type filter can be realized in

🖂 Fax: +86-20-84037423, E-mail: shewl@mail.sysu.edu.cn

one chip of lithium niobate, and the output intensity of the filter can be controlled electrically, which leads to simultaneous achievements of a modulator and a filter in one piece of PPLN [11]. Instead of calefaction or UV-light illumination, we find that under certain conditions the tuning of the transmitted central wavelength can be achieved by applying a dc electric field along the z-axis of the PPLN with a biased one along the y-axis. Since the tuning is based on the linear electro-optic effect, it would have a much faster response than those of thermal and UV-illumination types. As the QPM condition is very sensitive to the wavelength and the largest electro-optic coefficient is utilized, the filter has a narrowband spectrum and a broad tuning range (above 16 nm). The dependence of the transmitted central wavelength shift on the control electric field shows a nearly linear relation with a tuning rate of 0.95 kV/mm per nm. In the following, we will discuss this kind of filter in detail.

### 2 Theory and calculation

In our previous work, we have generalized the wave coupling theory of the linear electro-optic effect [14] to the case of QPM materials [15]. For the case in which only a single QPM order is valid and all other orders are off phase matching, the coupling equations describing the QPM linear electro-optic effect have been obtained as follows [15]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A_1(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} \approx -\mathrm{i}\kappa_q A_2(r) \exp(\mathrm{i}\Delta kr) - \mathrm{i}v_{1q}A_1(r) \,, \tag{1a}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A_2(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} \approx -\mathrm{i}\kappa_q^* A_1(r) \exp(-\mathrm{i}\Delta kr) - \mathrm{i}v_{2q}A_2(r) \,, \tag{1b}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \Delta k &= k_2 - k_1 + \alpha_m \,, \quad \alpha_m = \frac{2m\pi}{\Lambda} \,, \\ \kappa_q &= \frac{k_0}{2\sqrt{n_1 n_2}} r_{\rm eff1} E_0 G_m \,, \quad \kappa_q^* = \frac{k_0}{2\sqrt{n_1 n_2}} r_{\rm eff1} E_0 G_{-m} \,, \\ v_{1q} &= \frac{k_0}{2n_1} r_{\rm eff2} E_0 G_0 \,, \quad v_{2q} = \frac{k_0}{2n_2} r_{\rm eff3} E_0 G_0 \,, \\ G_m &= \frac{1}{i\pi m} [1 - \cos(2\pi m D) + i\sin(2\pi m D)] \quad (m \neq 0) \,, \\ G_0 &= 2D - 1 \,, \end{split}$$

where  $A_1(r)$  and  $A_2(r)$  are the normalized amplitudes of two independent components of the light field;  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ are the corresponding unperturbed refractive indices and wave numbers;  $k_0$  is the wave number of light in vacuum;  $\alpha_m$  is the amplitude of the *m*-th reciprocal vector,  $\Lambda$  is the poling period, D is duty cycle of the structure defined by  $D = l/\Lambda$  and l is the length of one positive section;  $E_0$  is the amplitude of the external electric field;  $r_{effi}$  (i = 1,2,3) are the effective electrooptic coefficients [14]. In particular,  $G_0$  is zero when the duty cycle D is 0.5, which leads to  $v_{1q} = v_{2q} = 0$ . In this case, the resultant equations are reduced to those of coupled-mode theory where the terms  $v_{1q}$  and  $v_{2q}$  disappear [16], which should be avoided because  $v_{1q} - v_{2q}$  is just the variable controlling the transmitted central wavelength in our method.

Assuming the incident light is an *e*-ray propagating along the *x*-axis with the initial condition  $A_1(0) = 0$ ,  $A_2(0) = 1$ , the solution of the (1) can be easily obtained:

$$A_1(r) = -i \exp(i\beta r) \frac{\kappa_q}{\mu} \sin(\mu r), \qquad (2)$$

$$A_2(r) = \exp[i(\beta - \Delta k)r] \left[\cos(\mu r) - i\frac{\gamma}{\mu}\sin(\mu r)\right], \qquad (3)$$

where

546

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\Delta k + v_{1q} - v_{2q})^2 + 4\kappa_q \kappa_q^*},$$
(4)

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(v_{2q} - v_{1q} - \Delta k), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta k - v_{1q} - v_{2q}).$$
(5)

The output intensity of the *o*-ray  $I_0$  is thus given by

$$I_{\rm o} = \frac{|\kappa_q|^2}{\mu^2} \sin^2(\mu L) \,, \tag{6}$$

where *L* is the effective length of the crystal. From (4) and (6), one can see that the output intensity of the *o*-ray depends not only on the QPM condition  $(\Delta k)$  but also on the value of  $v_{2q} - v_{1q}$ . And the maximal conversion efficiency occurs at

$$\Delta k + v_{1q} - v_{2q} = 0, (7)$$

and

$$\sin(|\kappa|L) = 1. \tag{8}$$

Since relation (7) is very sensitive to the wavelength, the output intensity of the *o*-ray decreases remarkably if the wavelength shifts a little [15]. This is the reason why it can act as a filter.

Figure 1 shows the basic schematic diagram of an electrically tunable PPLN filter. The filter consists of a 2.5 cm *z*-cut PPLN placed between two cross polarizers, in which the polarization direction of the front one is set parallel to *z*-axis and the other parallel to *y*-axis of the PPLN. The duty cycle of the PPLN used here is 0.75, i.e., the ratio of the neighbouring positive- and negative-domain widths is 3 : 1, which is the optimum value of second-order quasi-phase matching [15]. The poling period is  $\Lambda = 2\lambda_0/[n_o(\lambda_0) - n_e(\lambda_0)]$  ( $\lambda_0 = 1550$  nm), which means that the second-order (m = 2) QPM condition is satisfied for light with  $\lambda_0 = 1550$  nm, propagating along the *x*-axis.

In the literature, the duty cycle of PPLN chosen was D = 0.5 [6, 9–12], and the electric field used was only along the y-axis [6, 11]. In our design, two electric fields are used, one of which is along the y-axis and another is along the z-axis. The total external electric field is thus

$$\boldsymbol{E}(0) = E_0 \,\boldsymbol{c} = E_0 \left( \frac{E_y}{E_0} \boldsymbol{j} + \frac{E_z}{E_0} \boldsymbol{k} \right) \,, \tag{9}$$

where *c* is the unit vector of E(0);  $E_y$  and  $E_z$  are the amplitudes of external electric fields along the *y*-axis and *z*-axis;  $E_0 = \sqrt{E_y^2 + E_z^2}$ ; and *j* and *k* are the two corresponding unit vectors pointing to positive directions of *y*-axis and *z*-axis. When  $E_y$  and (or)  $E_z$  change, both the direction and amplitude of the total external filed will change. For a regular LiNbO<sub>3</sub>, the contracted form of the crystal-frame (*xyz*) expression of the electro-optic tensor is

$$\tilde{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -r_{22} & r_{23} \\ 0 & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & r_{33} \\ 0 & r_{51} & 0 \\ r_{51} & 0 & 0 \\ -r_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(10)

where the four independent electro-optic coefficients are  $r_{22} = 3.4$ ,  $r_{23} = 8.6$ ,  $r_{33} = 30.8$ , and  $r_{51} = 28$  (in  $10^{-12}$  m/V) [14]. Therefore, in the presence of the external electric field E(0), we have

$$\kappa_q = -i \frac{n_0^2 n_e^2}{2\pi \sqrt{n_o n_e}} k_0 r_{51} E_y, \quad \kappa_q^* = i \frac{n_0^2 n_e^2}{2\pi \sqrt{n_o n_e}} k_0 r_{51} E_y,$$
(11)

and

$$v_{2q} - v_{1q} = -\frac{1}{4}n_o^3 r_{22}k_0 E_y + \frac{1}{4}(n_e^3 r_{33} - n_o^3 r_{23})k_0 E_z.$$
 (12)



FIGURE 1 The basic schematic diagram of the EO PPLN Šolc-type filter

It should be emphasized that the nonzero  $v_{2q} - v_{1q}$  appears only when the duty cycle is not equal to 0.5. Equation (11) clearly shows that  $\kappa_q(\kappa_q^*)$  is independent of  $E_z$ , and it is controlled by  $E_y$ . However, the value of  $v_{2q} - v_{1q}$  depends strongly on  $E_z$  through the largest electro-optic coefficient  $r_{33}$ . Since the refractive indexes are the functions of wavelength, relation (7) reads

$$[n_e(\lambda) - n_o(\lambda)] \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\Lambda} + \frac{n_o^3(\lambda)}{4\lambda} r_{22} E_y - \frac{1}{4\lambda} [n_e^3(\lambda)r_{33} - n_o^3(\lambda)r_{23}] E_z = 0.$$
(13)

From (13), one can see that the transmitted central wavelength will shift when  $E_z$  is applied. For a fixed electric field  $E_y$ , the output intensity of the filter almost stays unchanged although the wavelength shifts by tens of nanometers, because  $\sin(|\kappa|L)$  is insensitive to the wavelength. But, the output intensity of the filter can be controlled by varying the electric field  $E_y$ , which is reflected by  $\sin(|\kappa|L)$ .

In order to find the optimum condition for the output intensity of the filter, we first study the relation between the output intensity of the *o*-ray (or the *e*-ray) and  $E_y$ . For numerical simulations, the Sellmeier equations for LiNbO<sub>3</sub> are necessary, which are [17]

$$n_o^2 = 4.9130 + \frac{1.173 \times 10^5 + 1.65 \times 10^{-2}T^2}{\lambda^2 - (2.12 \times 10^2 + 2.7 \times 10^{-5}T^2)^2} - 2.78 \times 10^{-8}\lambda^2,$$
  

$$n_e^2 = 4.5567 + 2.605 \times 10^{-7}T^2 + \frac{0.970 \times 10^5 + 2.70 \times 10^{-2}T^2}{\lambda^2 - (2.01 \times 10^2 + 5.4 \times 10^{-5}T^2)^2} - 2.24 \times 10^{-8}\lambda^2,$$
(14)

where T is the absolute temperature and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of incident light in nm. The results shown in Fig. 2 are obtained under the conditions of  $\lambda_0 = 1550$  nm and T = 298 K (25 °C) when  $E_z$  is absent. One can see that about 99% energy of the



**FIGURE 2** The output intensity of *o*-ray (*solid line*) or *e*-ray (*dashed line*) as a function of the external electric field  $E_y$  with an absence of  $E_z$ 

*e*-ray is transferred to the *o*-ray when the external field  $E_y$  is 0.33 kV/mm. The conversion efficiency cannot reach 100% since the duty cycle is not 0.5. In fact, a much higher extinction ration for the 0.75 duty cycle can be achieved by adding a variable retarder [19].

So, we fix the external electric field  $E_v$  at 0.33 kV/mm and study the dependence of the output intensity of the o-ray on the wavelength of the light. Figure 3 shows the results corresponding to  $E_z = 0$  and  $E_z = \pm 1.9 \text{ kV/mm}$ , respectively. One can see that the transmitted central wavelength shifts as much as 2 nm when  $E_z = \pm 1.9 \,\text{kV/mm}$ . The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the filter is about 1 nm, which can even be narrowed to 0.5 nm if the length of the PPLN is doubled. In fact, the FWHM is inversely proportional to the crystal length, so a much narrower spectrum filter then expected can be achieved by the employment of a long enough PPLN crystal. The relation between the transmitted central wavelength and the control electric field  $E_z$  would be useful for practical applications. The numerical result is shown in Fig. 4, in which the dependence of the transmitted central wavelength on the control electric field  $E_z$  shows a nearly linear relation with a tuning rate of about 0.95 kV/mm per nm. The stronger the control electric field  $E_z$ , the larger the shift of the transmitted central wavelength is. However, for an undoped PPLN the electrical breakdown limits the electric field to a maximum value of 16.8 kV/mm [18]. In our calculations, the electric field  $E_z$  is set in a safe region from -7.6 kV/mm to  $7.6 \,\mathrm{kV/mm}$ , which gives the transmitted central wavelength from 1558 nm to 1542 nm.

Now we discuss how the filter works in a well known manner. In our design, the coherence length [6], i.e.,  $L_c = \lambda_0/2(n_o - n_e)$ , is 10.26 µm, which is achievable for current fabrication techniques. For the case of D = 0.75 used in our design, every poling period  $\Lambda$  consists of a  $3L_c$  long positive domain and a  $L_c$  long negative one, so both the positive and negative domains act as a half-wave plate. For regular LiNbO<sub>3</sub> with an external field  $E_y$ , the field-modified index tensor becomes [19]

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n_o^2} - r_{22}E_y & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{n_o^2} + r_{22}E_y & r_{51}E_y\\ 0 & r_{51}E_y & \frac{1}{n_e^2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(15)

and the new index ellipsoid is given by

$$\left(\frac{1}{n_o^2} - r_{22}E_y\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n_o^2} + r_{22}E_y\right)y^2 + \frac{1}{n_e^2}z^2 + 2r_{51}E_yyz$$
  
= 1. (16)

Hence, the new index ellipsoid deforms, which makes the yand z-axis rotate by a small angle  $\theta \approx r_{51}E_y/(1/n_e^2 - 1/n_o^2)$ around the x-axis [6]. The azimuth angle of the new z-axis thus rocks right and left from  $\theta$  to  $-\theta$  due to the periodic EO coefficient in PPLN. Therefore, a folded Šolc-type filter is formed by a uniform electric field along the y-axis. However, when the external electric field  $E_z$  is introduced, the directions of each of the three axis of the new index ellipsoid remain unchanged since no mixed terms appear [13]. The new principle



FIGURE 3 The output intensity of the EO PPLN Šolc-type filter as a function of wavelength  $\lambda$ . The solid line, dashed line and dashed-dot line correspond to  $E_z =$ 0 kV/mm,  $E_z = 1.9 \text{ kV/mm}$  and  $E_z =$ -1.9 kV/mm, respectively

Šolc-type filter as a function of the control field  $E_z$  and the incident light wavelength  $\lambda$ 

refractive indices change as [13]

$$n_{x}' = n_{y}' = n_{o} \left( 1 + n_{o}^{2} r_{23} E_{z} \right)^{-0.5} \approx n_{o} - \frac{1}{2} n_{o}^{3} r_{23} E_{z} , \qquad (17)$$

$$n'_{z} = n_{e} \left( 1 + n_{e}^{2} r_{33} E_{z} \right)^{-0.5} \approx n_{e} - \frac{1}{2} n_{e}^{3} r_{33} E_{z} \,. \tag{18}$$

Therefore, the equivalent birefringence of the PPLN with  $E_z$ , seen by a light beam propagating along the x-axis of the PPLN, becomes

$$n_z - n_y = (n_e - n_o) - \frac{1}{2}(2D - 1)\left(n_e^3 r_{33} - n_o^3 r_{23}\right)E_z.$$
 (19)

So the transmitted central wavelength will shift if  $E_z$  is applied except for D = 0.5, since there is no electro-optical birefringence induced when D = 0.5.

# Conclusion

3

We have demonstrated a fast wide-range tunable PPLN Šolc-type filter with a biased dc electric field along the y-axis, for which the central wavelength can be tuned by varying the electric field along the z-axis. Thanks to the sensitivity of the tuning, the tuning range can cover above 16 nm with a tuning rate of 0.95 kV/mm per nm.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This work is supported by the Na-

- 8 J.H. Shi, X.F. Chen, Y.X. Xia, Y.L. Chen, Appl. Opt. 42, 5722 (2003)
- 9 J.H. Shi, J.H. Wang, L.J. Chen, X.F. Chen, Y.X. Xia, Opt. Express 14, 6279 (2006)
- 10 L.J. Chen, J.H. Shi, X.F. Chen, Y.X. Xia, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 121118 (2006)
  - 11 X.F. Chen, J.H. Shi, Y.P. Chen, Y.M. Zhu, Y.X. Xia, Y.L. Chen, Opt. Lett. 28, 2115 (2003)
  - 12 Y.M. Zhu, X.F. Chen, J.H. Shi, Y.P. Chen, Y.X. Xia, Y.L. Chen, Opt. Commun. 228, 139 (2003)
  - 13 A. Yariv, P. Yeh, Optical Waves in Crystal: Propagation and Control of Laser Radiation (Wiley, New York, 1984)
  - 14 W.L. She, W.K. Lee, Opt. Commun. 195, 303 (2001)
  - 15 G.L. Zheng, H.C. Wang, W.L. She, Opt. Express 14, 5535 (2006)
  - 16 A. Yariv, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-9, 919 (1973)
  - 17 M.V. Hobden, J. Warner, Phys. Lett. 22, 243 (1966)
  - 18 Q.X. Xi, D.A. Liu, Y.N. Zhi, Z. Luan, L.R. Liu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 121 103 (2005)
  - 19 E. Rabia, A. Arie, Appl. Opt. 45, 540 (2006)

tional Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10574167).

#### REFERENCES

- 1 G.A. Magel, M.M. Fejer, R.L. Byer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 108 (1990)
- 2 D.H. Jundt, G.A. Magel, M.M. Fejer, R.L. Byer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 59, 2657 (1991)
- 3 J.J. Zheng, Y.Q. Lu, G.P. Luo, J. Ma, Y.L. Lu, N.B. Ming, J.L. He, Z.Y. Xu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 72, 1808 (1998)
- 4 Y.Q. Lu, J.J. Zheng, Y.L. Lu, N.B. Ming, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 123 (1999)
- 5 M.M. Fejer, G.A. Magel, D.H. Jundt, R.L. Byer, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-28, 2631 (1992)
- 6 Y.Q. Lu, Z.L. Wan, Q. Wang, Y.X. Xi, N.B. Ming, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 3719 (2000)
- 7 Y.Q. Lu, M. Xiao, G.J. Salamo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 1035 (2001)