

Large magnetocaloric effect in La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-} $_{x}$ Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0 ≤ *x* ≤ 0.10) **manganites**

Souhir Bouzidi^{1,2} · Mohamed Amara Gdaiem¹ · S. Rebaoui³ · J. Dhahri¹ · E. K. Hlil⁴

Received: 24 September 2019 / Accepted: 6 December 2019 / Published online: 1 January 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

We have studied the effect of a partial substitution of Ca^{2+} by Na⁺ on the structural, magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of the mixed valence perovskites $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-x}Na_xMnO_3$ ($0 \le x \le 0.10$), prepared by the flux method. X-ray diffraction studies revealed that our samples crystallize in the orthorhombic structure with a Pbnm space group. Rietveld analysis showed that MnO₆ octahedron has a little distortion and that $\theta_{Mn-O-Mn}$ bond angles increase with increasing Na⁺ content. The field cooled (FC) and zero feld cooled (ZFC) magnetizations indicated that our samples have a paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase transition, when decreasing temperature. A small deviation was observed between FC and ZFC curves. This deviation can be explained by the competition between the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions, leading to a glassy behavior. The Curie temperature, T_c , increased from 268 K for $x=0$ to 278 K for $x=0.10$. The magnetizations isotherms of our compounds, with a second-order phase transition, were investigated. For the *x*=0.10 sample, the maximum of the magnetic entropy change was found to be 3.15 and 6.44 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹, under an applied magnetic field of 2 and 5 T, respectively. Moreover, it has important relative cooling power values of about 134.82 and 317.79 J kg−1, under the same applied magnetic feld. In addition, rescaled entropy data collapsed into the same curve, which indicates the universal behavior for the magnetocaloric efect (MCE) in our compounds. These materials could be potential candidates as working substances in magnetic refrigeration.

1 Introduction

In the recent years, synthesis of magnetic materials as a thin flms and nanostructures has been investigated for various applications due to their unique structural, electrical and magnetic properties [\[1-](#page-13-0)[3](#page-13-1)]. Perovskite manganites with the general formula Ln_{1−*x*}MMnO₃ (where Ln is a trivalent rare earth ion and M is a divalent ion such as alkaline elements)

 \boxtimes Mohamed Amara Gdaiem gdaiem_mohamed@hotmail.fr

- ¹ Laboratoire de la Matière Condensée et des Nanosciences, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences de Monastir, Avenue de l'Environnement Monastir, 5019 Monastir, Tunisia
- ² Higher School of Science and Technology of Hammam Sousse, University of Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia
- ³ Laboratory of Physics of Materials and Nanomaterials Applied at Environment, Faculty of Sciences in Gabes, Gabes University, Gabes, Tunisia
- ⁴ Institut Néel, CNRS et Université Joseph Fourier, BP 166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

have been widely studied during the last few years. This is due to their important physical properties such as colossal magnetoresistance (CMR), metal–insulator transition, and charge/orbital ordering. These properties make perovskite manganites as the potential candidates especially for the refrigeration technology [[4](#page-13-2)-[10\]](#page-13-3). Furthermore, these materials have many advantages such as their small volume requirement, chemical stability, low production cost, and high efficiency. Indeed, they are environment friendly, which makes them very attractive to further scientifc research. Currently, there are other materials belonging to the family of oxides, which have attracted the attention of researchers, they are more suitable for future technological domains such as ferrites with the structure of perovskite, spinel, and magnetoplumbite [[11,](#page-13-4) [12\]](#page-13-5). We can mention that the hexaferrites are the strongest magnetic compounds with $T_{\rm C}$ ~740 K. This is the simplest type of all ferrites with a hexagonal structure. More than 90% of permanent magnets are produced all over the world based on these compounds. They have a ferrimagnetic structure and a total magnetic moment of 20 μB in the ground state. Moreover, the resonant frequency is determined by the frequency of natural ferromagnetic resonance.

In addition, hexaferrites are physiologically harmless [\[13,](#page-13-6) [14](#page-13-7)]. In other hand, some works have been focused on the oxygen defciency efect in manganites system, as important parameters that afect the magnetic properties. The oxygen non stoichiometry is very important for complex transition oxides and changes seriously the total magnetic moment and Curie point. It leads to the formation of a weak magnetic state such as spin glass and change some magnetic parameters [[15](#page-13-8)[-17](#page-13-9)].

The parent compound, $LnMnO₃$, is an antiferromagnetic (AFM) insulator, in which only Mn^{3+} ions are present. It is characterized by a super exchange (SE) coupling between Mn^{3+} sites. Upon the substitution of a divalent ion (M) , in the Ln site, a few Mn³⁺ with the electronic configuration
(24⁴ + 3⁻⁴ + a) \pm S = 2) transform into Mn⁴⁺ ions with the $3d^4$, $t_{2g}^3 \uparrow e_g^1 \uparrow$, $S = 2$) transform into Mn⁴⁺ ions with the electronic configuration $(3d^3, t_{2g}^3 \uparrow e_g^0, S = 3/2)$. During this transformation, the e_{g} electron becomes delocalized. The holes facilitate the charge transfer in the e_g band, which is highly hybridized with the oxygen 2*p* state. According to Hund's rule, the charge transfer induces a ferromagnetic (FM) coupling between Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} ions. This coupling gives rise to a double-exchange transfer (DE) of spin-polarized electrons from Mn^{3+} to Mn^{4+} ions [[18](#page-13-10)]. Generally, the substitution in Ln-sites controls the Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+} ratio in this type of material. In particular, Lanthanum manganites have attracted the attention of several researchers, thanks to the very rich phase diagram observed in La_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃ and La_{1−*x*}Sr_{*x*}MnO₃ samples. Lanthanum manganites are characterized by multi-phase transitions, including: paramagnetic (PM)—insulator, PM—metallic, FM—insulator, FM—metallic, AFM metallic, spin canted—insulator, charge-ordered, and canted AFM states, together with diferent variations of the critical temperatures [[19](#page-13-11)-[21](#page-13-12)].

Furthermore, upon the addition of Na to the $LaMnO₃$ sample, an amount $(2x)$ of Mn³⁺ transform into Mn⁴⁺. Taking charge neutrality into account, the chemical formula can be defined as: $La_{1-x}^{3+}Na_{x}^{+}(Mn_{1-2x}^{3+}Mn_{2x}^{4+})O_{3}$. As a first interpretation, the substitution of La by a small amount of Na results in a large number of charge carriers, leading to an increase in the conductivity. In addition, the potential between the Na and the La ions increases although the ionic radii of La^{3+} and Na⁺ are comparable. This is due to the large valence diference between them. The study of the efect of the substitution of Na on lanthanum manganite may provide a better understanding of the CMR efect in these materials [[22](#page-13-13), [23](#page-13-14)]. There are several works that are interested on the divalent ions substituted manganites such as [[24](#page-13-15)-[27\]](#page-13-16).They are focused on the studying of structural, magnetic, and electrical properties of perovskite materials, especially after the creation of oxygen vacancies.

Among the different studied manganites, $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$ sample has attracted exceptional interest in several recent works. This is due to its good physical properties. Yi et al. observed that the MR of $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$ sample is strengthened at low temperatures. This is due to the spin-polarized tunneling phenomena [[28\]](#page-13-17). In addition, Pekala et al. observed that MR does not tend to zero at low temperatures, which confrms that grains size is fne [\[29](#page-14-0)]. Moreover, Guo et al. noted that this sample admits a large magnetic entropy change [\[30](#page-14-1)]. Thus, $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$ is one of the most widely studied manganite systems.

As a contribution to the investigation of manganite materials, we report here the study of the efect of Na substitution on the structural and magnetic properties and MCE efect of $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO₃$ samples. There are several synthesis methods of magnetic materials, the usual methods such as sol–gel and solid-state reactions or the new process such as sol–gel auto combustion technique [\[31\]](#page-14-2) and the citrate sol–gel method [[32\]](#page-14-3). In our work, we choose to prepare our samples by fux method using sodium chloride (NaCl) as a flux.

In our work, we choose to prepare our samples by fux method using sodium chloride (NaCl) as a fux.

The advantages of NaCl solvents are the presence of a common anion and the insignifcant incorporation of Na into the material to be synthesized at low temperature, which prevents the evaporation of Na. In our previous work [[33\]](#page-14-4), we detected the high doping effect of Na content on $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$ sample. In our present paper, we choose to test the low Na content efect and to compare it with our last results.

2 Experimental details

To produce a very high quality, homogenous, and nano-polycrystalline powder, La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) compounds were prepared by the fux method, using NaCl as a fux. All experimental details are stated in our last work [[33\]](#page-14-4). The structure and phase purity of the prepared sample were determined by powder X-ray difraction (XRD) at room temperature using a Panalytical X pert Pro-difractometer with Cu-K_α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å). Data for Rietveld refnement were collected in a 2*θ* range between 10° and 100° with a scanning step of 0.017 and a time step of 18 s. The structural analyses were carried out by the Rietveld method using the FULLPROF program [[34](#page-14-5)]. The microstructure was studied by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) at room temperature using a Philips XL30. In addition, a semi-quantitative analysis was performed at 20 kV accelerating voltage using energy dispersive X-ray analyses (EDX).

Magnetizations (*M*) as a function of temperatures (*T*) were performed using an extraction magnetometer developed in Louis Neel Laboratory of Grenoble. Magnetization isotherms (M (μ_0H)) were measured in the range of 0–5 T felds, for diferent temperatures, around Curie temperature (T_C) . *M* (μ_0 *H*) measurements were corrected by a demagnetization factor, noted *D*, obtained from *M* (μ_0 *H*) curves at low-felds linear response at a low-temperature region.

Fig. 1 XRD pattern of La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x*≤0.10) samples (in the case of our previous work). at room temperature

3 Results and discussions

3.1 X‑ray difraction study

As a frst step, we carried out XRD analysis to verify the crystal structure of the studied samples. Powder XRD patterns of La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) compounds, collected at room temperature, are plotted in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) We note that our samples are of a single phase with a very small amount of Mn_3O_4 , as found in recent works [\[33\]](#page-14-4). XRD data were carried out using Rietveld refnement [\[35](#page-14-6)]. Figure [2](#page-2-1) exemplifes the Rietveld refnement of XRD profle for $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.20}Na_{0.05}MnO_3$ sample, with good agreement between the observed and the calculated profles. This is due to the excellent goodness of χ^2 . The analyzed data showed that our samples crystallize in the orthorhombic structure with Pbnm $(Z=4)$ space group no (62), in which the (La/Ca/ Na) is at 4 (*c*) (*x*, *y*, 1/4) position, (Mn) at 4 (*b*) (1/2, 0, 0), O₁ at 4 (c) $(x, y, 1/4)$, and O_2 at 8 (d) (x, y, z) . On the other hand, in our previous work $[33]$ $[33]$ for $x=0.15$ and 0.20, we found that the $x=0.15$ sample is a mixture of orthorhombic and rhombohedral structures with Pbnm and R3*c* space groups, respectively. While, the $x = 0.20$ sample is characterized by the rhombohedral structure with a R3c space group. We can remark that for the low doping rate, we can get the same space group (for $x=0$, 0.05 and 0.1), followed by a change of crystallographic structure with the increase of doping rate

Fig. 2 The observed and calculated solid line of XRD patterns of $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.2}Na_{0.05}MnO_3$ sample determined by Rietveld refinement. The vertical lines show the Bragg's peak positions and the lowest

curve shows the diference between the data and the calculation patterns. The inset shows the crystal structure of $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.20}Na_{0.05}MnO_3$

The unit cell and diferent ftting parameters of our samples are summarized in Table [1.](#page-3-0)

The relative amount of Mn_3O_4 was determined from XRD patterns of the samples by measuring the relative intensities of the major $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-x}Na_xMnO_3$ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) and $Mn₃O₄$ peaks, using the following expression [\[36](#page-14-7), [37](#page-14-8)]:

$$
Mn_3O_4(\%) = 100 \times \frac{I_{Mn_3O_4}}{I_{Mn_3O_4} + I_{Pbnm}},
$$
\n(1)

where $I_{\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4}$ is the major Mn_3O_4 peak and I_{Pbnm} is the major La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0 ≤ *x* ≤ 0.10) peak. We found that the relative impurity amount of Mn_3O_4 is negligible and does not affect the physical properties.

Lattice distortions may be caused by Jahn–Teller effect inherent to the Mn^{3+} high spin state or the connecting pattern of $MnO₆$ octahedron leading to the formation of rhombohedral or orthorhombic lattice. Furthermore, the tolerance

Table 1 Refned structural parameters determined from X-ray Rietveld refinement for $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-x}Na_xMnO_3$ ($0 \le x \le 0.10$) samples measured at room temperature

	$x=0$	$x = 0.05$	$x = 0.10$
$a(\AA)$	5.474(2)	5.492(6)	5.499(1)
$b(\AA)$	5.465(8)	5.468(9)	5.471(1)
$c(\AA)$	7.736(6)	7.733(5)	7.737(2)
$V(\AA^3)$	231.494	232.309	232.776
La/Ca/Na			
\boldsymbol{x}	0.500(7)	0.498(6)	0.496(8)
\mathcal{Y}	0.017(1)	0.014(9)	0.012(9)
$B_{\rm iso}(\AA^2)$	1.12(4)	1.09(1)	1.11(3)
Mn			
$B_{\text{iso}}(\AA^2)$	0.64(1)	0.65(3)	0.71(5)
O ₁			
$\boldsymbol{\chi}$	0.557(7)	0.574(7)	0.563(9)
y	0.496(2)	0.482(4)	0.477(2)
$B_{\text{iso}}(\AA^2)$	1.42(1)	1.49(6)	1.51(3)
O ₂			
\boldsymbol{x}	0.181(3)	0.236(8)	0.221(1)
\mathcal{Y}	0.308(1)	0.272(7)	0.283(6)
\overline{z}	0.024(8)	0.034(6)	0.025(1)
$B_{\text{iso}}(\AA^2)$	1.59(2)	1.61(5)	1.57(1)
Discrepancy factors			
$R_{\rm p}$ (%)	3.80	3.54	3.71
$R_{\rm WD}$ (%)	4.77	4.50	4.71
χ^2	1.18	1.11	1.23
Bond lengths and bond angles			
$\langle \theta_{\text{Mn - O - Mn}} \rangle$ (°)	155.15	158.82	159.91
$\langle d_{\text{Mn}-\text{O}} \rangle (\AA)$	1.99(3)	1.98(9)	1.97(5)
Bandwidth $W(10^{-2})$ (a.u)	8.73(8)	8.85(5)	9.09(4)
Tolerance factor, T_G	0.9649	0.9685	0.9721

factor, T_G , is introduced to describe the ionic match between A and B site ions and to predict the distortion of the perovskite structure. This is defned by the following expression [[38,](#page-14-9) [39\]](#page-14-10):

$$
T_{\rm G} = \frac{\langle r_{\rm (La,Ca,Na)} \rangle + \langle r_{\rm O} \rangle}{\sqrt{2}(\langle r_{\rm Mn} \rangle + \langle r_{\rm O} \rangle)}.
$$
\n(2)

The manganese oxide compounds have a perovskite structure if their T_G is in the range of $0.89 < T_G < 1.02$ [[40\]](#page-14-11). The obtained values of T_G for our samples are listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0) This confrms the stability of this structure.

From Table [1,](#page-3-0) we can remark that the unit cell volume increases with the increase in Na content. According to Shannon [\[41](#page-14-12)], the ionic radius of $(r_{\text{Na}^+} = 1.24 \text{ Å})$ is higher than that of $(r_{Ca^{2+}}=1.18 \text{ Å})$. On the other hand, the average of $(r_{Mn^{3+}} = 0.645 \text{ Å})$ is larger than that of $(r_{Mn^{4+}} = 0.53 \text{ Å})$. Generally, the bigger ion at the substituted site can strengthen some Mn–O–Mn bond angle, which results in the decrease of the average Mn–O bond distance. This hypothesis was confrmed by the determination of Mn–O–Mn angles and Mn–O distances, using the Rietveld refnement (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). It has been reported that the deformation of the $MnO₆$ octahedron may be responsible for the distortion in the perovskite structures. The crystal structure and $MnO₆$ octahedron of the sample $(x=0.05)$ were drawn using VESTA program [[42\]](#page-14-13) based on the refned atomic positions (shown in the inset of Fig. [2\)](#page-2-1).

3.2 Morphological properties

The average crystallite sizes of $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-x}Na_xMnO_3$ (0≤*x*≤0.10) compounds were determined using Scherrer's formula after the evaluation of the most intense peak broadening and SEM. Scherrer's formula can be written as [\[43\]](#page-14-14):

$$
D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos(\theta)},\tag{3}
$$

where $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å is the wavelength for CuK_α radiation, θ is the diffraction angle of the most intense peak, K is the shape factor equal to 0.9, β is the full width at half maximum of the highest peak. The average crystallite sizes are listed in Table [2.](#page-4-0)

The morphological properties of our samples were studied using SEM (inset of Fig. [3](#page-4-1), for *x*=0.05 as an example). We can notice that our samples have polycrystalline characteristics. The average particle sizes were determined by ImageJ software [\[44](#page-14-15)] and calculated using Gaussian functions. From Table [2](#page-4-0), the calculated grain sizes are larger than those calculated by Scherrer's formula. This indicates that each particle is formed by several crystallites [\[45](#page-14-16)]. The infuence of grain size on the peroveskite materials has been

Table 2 Average crystallites sizes calculated by Scherrer's formula and SEM

Samples	$x=0$	$x = 0.05$	$x = 0.10$	
$\langle r_A \rangle$ (Å)	1.355	1.357	1.361	
D_{Sch} (nm)	35	43	46	
D_{SEM} (µm)	1.84	1.91	1.98	

Table 3 Results of EDX analysis

investigated by many researches. Mahesh et al. [[46\]](#page-14-17) have varied the grain size from 0.025 to 3.5 µm and they get a signifcant change in properties. They observe that when the grain size decreases, there is an enhancement in the low magnetoresistance temperature. There are many works that have been concentrated to understand the mechanism of inter-grain tunneling conduction such as Doroshev et al. [\[47](#page-14-18)] and Zhang et al. [\[48](#page-14-19)]. The average crystallite size of our samples is calculated from the XRD and SEM analyses. We can observe that the average increases as a function of Na content. In our work, we will discuss the efect of this slight grain size increase on the magnetic properties.

To check the existence of all elements in our samples, EDX was performed at room temperature. Figure [3](#page-4-1) represents the EDX spectrum, for $x = 0.05$ as an example. We can see clearly that La, Ca, Na, Mn, O elements are present, which indicates that there is no loss of any integrated element during the sintering process. The typical cationic composition for our samples is represented in Table [3.](#page-4-2)

3.3 Magnetic study

To better understand the magnetic behavior of our samples, Fig. [4](#page-5-0) shows the temperature dependence of FC and ZFC, under an applied feld of 0.05 T in the temperature range of 5–330 K. It is clearly remarkable that our samples underwent a sharp magnetic transition from FM to PM state when increasing temperature. This behavior was remarked in our last work for $x = 0.15$ and 0.20 [[33](#page-14-4)]. Also, we can see from this fgure that the magnetization values, which were quite stable at temperatures below 230 K for *x*=0, 235 K for $x=0.05$, and 240 K for $x=0.10$ began to decrease rapidly at T_C . A small divergence between FC and ZFC curves can be observed at the irreversibility temperature of 250 K for $x=0$, 255 K for *x*=0.05, and 260 K for *x*=0.10. This phenomenon is observed in other magnetic materials [\[49-](#page-14-20)[51](#page-14-21)], which is assigned to the existence of an isotropic feld generated from FM clusters [\[50\]](#page-14-22). At low temperatures, a small peak was observed around 40 K. This confirms the presence of Mn_3O_4

Fig. 3 EDX analysis for *x*=0.05. **a** Shows the typical SEM and **b** shows the histogram of the distribution of particles size

Fig. 4 The ZFC and FC magnetizations and d^2M/dT^2 as function of temperatures for La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x*≤0.10) samples, under a} magnetic feld of 0.05 T

secondary phase [[52](#page-14-23), [53](#page-14-24)]. $T_{\rm C}$ was calculated from d^2M/d^2T as a function of temperatures. From Fig. [4](#page-5-0) (blue color), T_C values were found to be around 268, 270, and 278 K, respectively, for $x=0$, $x=0.05$, and $x=0.10$. However, for $x=0.15$ and 0.20, *T*c values are equal to 301.5 and 300 k, respectively. We can conclude that when the doping rate increases, *T*c approaches room temperature, which indicates that our samples are good candidates for the refrigeration domain. In our work, the substitution of Ca^{2+} by Na⁺ led to an increase of the Mn⁴⁺ content from 25 ($x=0$) to 35% ($x=0.10$). This is explained by the increase of<Mn–O–Mn> bond angle and the decrease of<Mn–O> bond distance. Therefore, the DE interactions were gradually strengthened, which resulted in the increase of FM character. This is not the case for $x = 0.15$ and 0.20 in which the increase of Mn^{4+} content above 40%, produced a decrease in the DE interaction and enhanced the SE interaction [[54\]](#page-14-25). It is worth noting that all samples show a ferromagnetic behavior with close phase transition temperature. Their magnetization is strongly infuenced by the grain size. It increases with the increase of grain size, which is due to the decrease of magnetically disordered states at

the surface of grains. Therefore, the increase of particle size decreases the surface to volume ratio, thereby increasing the net magnetization. This is leading to increases the ferromagnetic transition temperature. *T*c passed from 268 to 278 °C for our samples.

The bandwidth is characterized by the overlap between Mn_{3d} and O_{2p} orbitals. It can be described empirically by the following expression [\[55](#page-14-26)]:

$$
W_{\rm b} \approx \frac{\cos w}{d_{\rm (Mn - O)}^{3.5}},\tag{4}
$$

where $w=1/2(\pi - \langle \text{Mn} \cdot \text{O} \cdot \text{Mn} \rangle)$ and $d_{(\text{Mn}-\text{O})}$ is the bond length. The calculated W_b values are tabulated in Table [1](#page-3-0). We can remark that W_b is inversely proportional to Mn–O–Mn angle. This result is attributed to the increase of $\langle ra \rangle$. It may be explained by the increase of the average grain size. These changes lead to a signifcant rise in the Curie temperature [[56\]](#page-14-27). In addition, the substitution of $Ca²$ + by Na⁺ leads to the increase of the order efect. According to Trukhanov

et al., an average grain size is inversely related to the surface tension forces' relative to the bulk elastic forces [\[57](#page-14-28)].

To comprehend the dynamics of spin, we have calculated the inverse of magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature from the magnetization data (*M* (*T*)). In the hightemperature range, the susceptibility obeys the Curie–Weiss (CW) law, defned by:

$$
\chi = \frac{C}{T - \theta_{\rm P}},\tag{5}
$$

where $\theta_{\rm P}$ is the CW temperature and *C* is the Curie constant, defned by [[58](#page-14-29)]:

$$
C = \frac{N_a}{3k_B} \mu_{\text{eff}}^2,\tag{6}
$$

where Na= 6.023×10^{-23} mol⁻¹ is the number of Avogadro and k_B is Boltzmann's constant.

The linear PM region was fitted using Eq. ([5](#page-6-0)). The obtained $\theta_{\rm p}$ values are listed in Table [4.](#page-6-1) Noting that $\theta_{\rm p}$ value can be negative, positive, or null according to whether the behavior, respectively, is AFM, FM, or PM. The positive value of $\theta_{\rm p}$, in our case, indicates the presence of FM interactions between the spins. Also, we can note that the obtained values of $\theta_{\rm P}$ are greater than those of T_C for each sample. This may be due to magnetic inhomogeneity above $T_{\rm C}$. Thus, we have also calculated the experimental effective moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}}$ using Eq. [\(6](#page-6-2)) and the theoretical one ($\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{theo}}$) is calculated by:

$$
\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{theo}} = \sqrt{(0.75 - x) \left[\mu_{\text{eff}} \left(\text{M} \text{n}^{3+} \right) \right]^2 + (0.25 + x) \left[\mu_{\text{eff}} \left(\text{M} \text{n}^{4+} \right) \right]^2},\tag{7}
$$

where μ_{eff} (Mn³⁺)=4.9 μ_{B} and μ_{eff} (Mn⁴⁺)=3.87 μ_{B} [\[59](#page-14-30)].

The different calculated $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}}$ and $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{theo}}$ values are listed in Table [4.](#page-6-1) We can remark a diference between these two parameters. This may be attributed to FM correlations in PM region, which is probably caused by the formation of FM clusters [[60](#page-14-31)]. Our results are comparable to those obtained in the literature $[60, 61]$ $[60, 61]$ $[60, 61]$ $[60, 61]$. It is important to mention that the paramagnetic moment and the susceptibility (*M*/*H*) can be seen to be decreasing with the increase in the crystallite size. To better understand the magnetic properties of our samples,

Table 4 Some experimental values for $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-x}Na_xMnO_3$ $(0 \le x \le 0.10)$ samples

	$x=0$	$x = 0.05$	$x = 0.10$	
T_C (K)	268	270	278	
$\theta_{\rm p}$ (K)	269	277	284	
$\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{theo}}\left(\mu_{\text{B}}\right)$	4.66(2)	4.61(3)	4.56(1)	
$\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}}\left(\mu_{\text{B}}\right)$	6.40(6)	6.25(4)	6.13(2)	

the hysteresis cycles $M(\mu_0 H)$, at low temperature, were stud-ied. Figure [5a](#page-6-3), b displays $M(\mu_0H)$ at 10 K of the range of $\mu_0 H = \pm 6$ T, for $x = 0.00$ and 0.10 samples, as examples. From these fgures, we can see a similar behavior with a small hysteresis loop. The insets of Fig. [5a](#page-6-3), b display a zoomed portion of the low magnetic feld region. We can see clearly that magnetization increased rapidly at a low applied feld. Surprisingly, a sharp saturation was observed in which the M_s values were found to be 92.65 and 105.43 emu g⁻¹ for $x = 0.00$ and 0.10, respectively. This indicates the typical FM behavior. Additionally, we remarked that our samples have negligible values of the remnant magnetizations (M_r) and the coercive fields ($\mu_0 H_C$). $\mu_0 H_C$ values were found to be 52×10^{-4} and 19×10^{-4} T, for $x = 0.00$ and 0.10, respectively. M_r values were found to be 2.30 and 1.40 emu g⁻¹ for $x = 0.00$ and 0.10, respectively. These three parameters change slightly with change in the grain size. The increase of the grain size led to the decreases of the total grain boundary

Fig. 5 Magnetic hysteresis cycles at *T*=10 K of La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) samples. The insets show a zoom portion of the low magnetic feld region

and therefore, the movement of domains becomes easier inside the crystal leading to increase in *M*s and decrease in H_C . Here, we can conclude that our samples have a soft FM behavior. These results make them good candidates for some technological applications such as reading and writing process in high density recording media or information storage $[62]$. In addition, $x=0.15$ and 0.20 $[33]$, have also negligible values. In which, M_S values were found to be 88.10 and 92.21 emu g^{-1} , $\mu_0 H_C$ values were found to be 21×10^{-4} and 16×10^{-4} T, and M_r values were equal to 1.49 and 1.11 emu g−1, for *x*=0.15 and *x*=0.20, respectively. Together with hysteresis loop studies, we measured magnetization as a function of the applied magnetic feld around their FM–PM phase transition, as shown in the inset of Fig. [6](#page-7-0) for our studied samples. At the low temperature region, we can see a rapid increase in the magnetization at the weak magnetic field region up to 1 T. Then, $M(\mu_0H)$ curves reached saturation as a result of increase in the magnetic feld, indicating a typical FM state. This is caused by the complete alignment of the spins in our studied samples.

To get a deeper understanding of the nature of the magnetic phase transition for our samples, we derived the Arrott's plots (M^2 vs. $\mu_0 H/M$) from M ($\mu_0 H$) (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)). According to Banerjee's criterion [\[63](#page-14-34), [64](#page-14-35)], if the slope of the curve is negative, the magnetic transition is of frst order. Otherwise, it is of second order, which is the case in our study.

3.4 Magnetocaloric study

Magnetocaloric efect is an intrinsic property of magnetic materials. It represents their thermal response under the application or elimination of an external magnetic feld. The coupling between the lattice and spin plays an important role, which leads to an additional magnetic entropy change near T_c and favors MCE. $-\Delta S_M$ allows one to verify whether a magnetic material may be considered as a good magnetic refrigerant or not. It can be calculated from the isothermal magnetization curves under diferent magnetic felds. According to the fundamentals of thermodynamics, $-\Delta S$ _M produced by the variation of the applied magnetic field from 0 to $\mu_0 H_{\text{max}}$, can be expressed by the following expression [[65\]](#page-14-36):

$$
\Delta S_{\rm M}(T,\mu_0 H) = S_{\rm M}(T,\mu_0 H) - S_{\rm M}(T,0) = \int_{0}^{\mu_0 H_{\rm max}} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial(\mu_0 H)}\right)_T d(\mu_0 H). \tag{8}
$$

From Maxwell's thermodynamics, a relationship between $-\Delta S_{\rm M}$ and *M* is defined as:

Fig. 6 M^2 vs. $\mu_0 H/M$ plots, for La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x*≤0.10) samples. Insets show $M(\mu_0 H)$ curves at different temperatures

$$
\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial(\mu_0 H)}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_{(\mu_0 H)}.
$$
\n(9)

Then, one can determine the following relation:

$$
\Delta S_{\rm M}(T,\mu_0 H) = \int\limits_0^{\mu_0 H_{\rm max}} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu_0 H} d\left(\mu_0 H\right). \tag{10}
$$

Here $\mu_0 H_{\text{max}}$ represents the maximum of the applied magnetic field $[66]$ $[66]$.

Generally, to predict MCE, $-\Delta S_M$ is often determined by some diferent numerical calculations. The frst approximation is a direct method, based on the *M* (*T*) measurement under diferent external magnetic felds. In the case of a small discrete field, $-\Delta S_M$ can be approximated as [[67\]](#page-14-38):

$$
\Delta S_{\rm M} \left(\frac{T_1 + T_2}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1}
$$

$$
\left[\int_{0}^{\mu_0 H_{\rm max}} M(T_2, \mu_0 H) d(\mu_0 H) - \int_{0}^{\mu_0 H_{\rm max}} M(T_1, \mu_0 H) d(\mu_0 H) \right].
$$

(11)

The second one is based on $M(\mu_0H)$ measurement. To evaluate $-\Delta S_M$, one needs to use a numerical approximation to the integral in Eq. (9) (9) . $-\Delta S_M$ can be written as [[68\]](#page-15-0):

$$
-\Delta S_{\rm M}(T, \Delta(\mu_0 H)) = \sum \frac{M_{i+1}(T_{i+1}, \mu_0 H_{i+1}) - M_i(T_i, \mu_0 H_i)}{T_{i+1} - T_i} \Delta \mu_0 H_i,
$$
\n(12)

 M_i and M_{i+1} are the experimental magnetization values, respectively, at T_i and T_{i+1} , under an external magnetic field $\mu_0 H_i$ [[69](#page-15-1)].

In the following part of this work, we have used the frst approximation to evaluate the variation of $-\Delta S_M$ as a function of temperature under an applied magnetic feld. Figure [7](#page-9-0) displays $-\Delta S_M(T)$ for our samples. The obtained curves are similar to those obtained in other perovskite manganites [\[70,](#page-15-2) [71](#page-15-3)]. They have a positive sign in all studied temperatures, which confrms the FM behavior. Our studied samples exhibit $-\Delta S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}}$ of 6.25, 6.35, and 6.44 J kg⁻¹ K at a magnetic feld of 5 T for *x*=0, 0.05, and 0.10, respectively. On other hand, $x = 0.20$ sample exhibited a classical behavior of $-\Delta S_M$ with $-\Delta S_M^{\text{max}}$ equal to 6.01 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹. While, *x* = 0.15 indicated the coexistence of two peaks, which corresponds to two-Curie temperatures [[33](#page-14-4)]. We can notice also, that for low Na content our samples *x*=0, 0.05, and 0.10 exhibited high entropy values, better than $x=0.15$ and 0.20. Here, it is important to mention that the magnetic entropy change was observed to increase with increase in the magnetic feld and to be higher for the high grain size.

In magnetic refrigeration, another useful parameter to quantify the efficiency of MC material is the relative cooling power (RCP). This latter refers to the heat transfer between the hot and cold reservoirs in the ideal refrigeration cycle. This parameter represents the area under $-\Delta S_{\rm M}(T)$ curve. It is defned as [[72-](#page-15-4)[74](#page-15-5)]:

$$
RCP = -\Delta S_M^{\text{max}}(T, \mu_0 H) \times \delta T_{\text{FWHM}},
$$
\n(13)

where, δT_{FWHM} is the full width at half maximum in $-\Delta S_{\text{M}}$ (*T*) curve.

Figure [8](#page-9-1) shows the variation of δT_{FWHM} and RCP as a function of the applied magnetic field at T_c for $x=0.10$ as an example. A monotonous increase is seen in these parameters when increasing the applied magnetic feld. This increase is due to the weakness of the efect of spin coupling that is less important when the applied magnetic feld is high. Table [5](#page-10-0) presents the obtained $-\Delta S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}}$ and RCP values for our samples together with those obtained in other magnetic materials. From this table, it is clear that our values are in agreement with those obtained in the literature. This makes our compounds potential candidates for magnetic refrigeration.

The magnetization related change of the specifc heat, $\Delta C_{p,\mu_0}$, is expressed as:

$$
\Delta C_{\mathbf{p}}(T,\mu_0 H) = C_{\mathbf{p}}(T,\mu_0 H) - C_{\mathbf{p}}(T,0) = T \frac{\partial \Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}(T,\mu_0 H)}{\partial T}.
$$
\n(14)

Using this equation, we calculated $\Delta C_{p,\mu_0H}$ as a function of temperature for our samples under diferent applied magnetic fields (Fig. [9](#page-10-1)). We can see that $\Delta C_{p,\mu_0H}$ changed sharply around T_c , from a negative to a positive value, upon increasing temperatures [[75,](#page-15-6) [76](#page-15-7)]. The sum of the two parts is the magnetic contribution to the total specifc heat. This afects the heating or cooling power of magnetic refrigerators. $\Delta C_{p,\mu_0H}$ data of a magnetic material are useful in the design of a refrigerator.

To get better insights into MCE, Amaral [[77,](#page-15-8) [78\]](#page-15-9) proposed a theatrical modeling based on Landau's theory of magnetic phase transition. Gibbs free energy can be developed as follows [\[77\]](#page-15-8):

$$
G(T, M) = G_0 + \frac{1}{2}A(T)M^2 + \frac{1}{4}B(T)M^4 + \frac{1}{6}C(T)M^6 + \dots - \mu_0 HM,
$$
\n(15)

where $A(T)$, $B(T)$, and $C(T)$ are temperature-dependent parameters according to the thermal variation of the amplitude of the spin fluctuation known as Landau's coefficients.

In the equilibrium condition, $\partial G/\partial M = 0$, the magnetic equation of state is derived as:

$$
\frac{\mu_0 H}{M} = A(T) + B(T)M^2 + C(T)M^4.
$$
\n(16)

Fitting Arrot's plots by the last equation, the evolution of the best obtained values of Landau's coefficients as function temperatures is shown in Fig. 10 , for $x = 0$, under an applied feld of 5 T as an example. According to Amaral et al. [[77,](#page-15-8) [79](#page-15-10)], the nature of *B* (*T*) plays a fundamental role in investigating $-\Delta S_M(T, \mu_0 H)$. The inset of Fig. [10](#page-11-0) indicates that *B* (*T*) is negative below T_{C} . However, it has a positive sign

Fig. 7 $-\Delta S_M$ as function of temperatures at different magnetic fields, for La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x*≤0.10) samples

above $T_{\rm C}$. The behavior of this curve is obtained in recent works [[80,](#page-15-11) [81\]](#page-15-12). This suggests the second-order phase transition in our samples [\[82](#page-15-13)]. Therefore, using the diferentiation of Gibbs free energy with respect to temperatures, we can determine $-\Delta S_M(T, \mu_0 H)$ theoretically by:

$$
S_{\mathcal{M}}(T, \mu_0 H) = -\left(\frac{\partial G(\mu_0 H, T)}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu_0 H}
$$

=
$$
-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial A}{\partial T}M^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial B}{\partial T}M^4 - \frac{1}{6}\frac{\partial C}{\partial T}M^6.
$$
 (17)

The theoretical $-\Delta S_M(T, \mu_0 H)$ is defined as:

Fig. 8 RCP and δ_{FWHM} values vs. $\mu_0 H$, for $x = 0.10$ sample

Table 5 Summary of MC properties of $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Ca}_{0.25-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($0 \le x \le 0.10$) samples compared with other magnetic materials

Samples	$T_{\rm C}$ (K)	$\mu_0H(T)$	$(-\Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm max}(\rm J~kg^{-1}~K))$	RCP (J kg ⁻¹)	References
$La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$	268		6.25	198	Present work
$La_{0.75}Ca_{0.2}Na_{0.05}MnO_3$	270		6.35	270.5	Present work
$La_{0.75}Ca_{0.15}Na_{0.10}MnO_3$	278	5	6.44	317.7	Present work
$La_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3$	227		6.42	$\overline{}$	[71]
$La_{0.67}Ba_{0.33}MnO_3$	292		1.48	161	$\lceil 72 \rceil$
$La_{0.70}Sr_{0.3}Mn_{0.9}Al_{0.1}O_3$	310		2.6	109	$\left[73\right]$
$La_{0.8}Ba_{0.2}MnO_3$	295		4.5	130	[74]
$La_{0.8}Ba_{0.2}Mn_{0.9}Fe_{0.1}O_3$	193		2.62	211	$\lceil 74 \rceil$
$La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}Mn_{0.93}Fe_{0.07}O_3$	296	5	4	255	[91]

Fig. 9 −∆CP as function of temperatures for La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x*≤0.10) samples at different magnetic fields

Fig. 10 Experimental and calculated values of $-\Delta S_M$ as function of temperatures, under 5 T magnetic field for $x=0$. The inset shows the Landau's coefficients $A(T)$ and $B(T)$

$$
\Delta S_{\rm M}(T, \mu_0 H) = S_{\rm M}(T, 0) - S_{\rm M}(T, \mu_0 H)
$$

=
$$
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} (M_0^2 - M^2) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial B}{\partial T} (M_0^4 - M^4)
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial C}{\partial T} (M_0^6 - M^6) .
$$
 (18)

The value of M_0 can be obtained by extrapolating M in the absence of a magnetic feld. Figure [10](#page-11-0) displays the variation of $-\Delta S_M$ as a function of temperatures under an applied magnetic field of 5 T, for $x=0$ as an example. We can see that the theoretical and experimental curves are in good agreement, which suggests that the present model does not take into account the infuence of the Jahn–Teller's efect and exchange interactions on the magnetic properties of manganites [[82\]](#page-15-13).

3.5 Universal curve scaling analysis

Recently, Franco et al. have proposed a phenomenological universal curve for the applied magnetic feld dependence of $-\Delta S_{\rm M}$ [\[83](#page-15-16)]. This is based on normalizing all $-\Delta S_{\rm M}(T,\mu_0 H)$ curves by the following expression:

$$
\Delta S' = \Delta S_{\rm M}(T, \mu_0 H) / \Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm max}.
$$
 (19)

In addition, the temperature axis is diferently rescaled above and below $T_{\rm C}$, as expressed in:

$$
\theta = \begin{cases}\n\frac{T - T_{\text{C}}}{T_{\text{C}} - T_{\text{r}_1}} & T < T_{\text{C}} \\
\frac{T - T_{\text{C}}}{T_{\text{r}_2} - T_{\text{C}}} & T > T_{\text{C}}\n\end{cases} \tag{20}
$$

where T_{rel} are the temperatures of two reference points, corresponding to $-\Delta S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}}/2$ ($T_{\text{r1}} < T_{\text{C}}$ and $T_{\text{r2}} > T_{\text{C}}$). Figure [11](#page-12-0) shows the variation of $\Delta S'$ as a function of θ , under different magnetic fields, for La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) samples. We can see that all curves collapse on the same curve. This confrms the second-order phase transition for our samples [\[84](#page-15-17)].

On the other hand, the search for universal curves and scaling laws emerges in all felds of scientifc research. We can see clearly that all the experimental curves collapse on one universal curve. The universal curve can be well ftted by Lorentz function:

$$
\Delta S' = \frac{a}{b + (\theta - c)^2}.
$$
\n(21)

Generally, *a*, *b*, and *c* are free parameters. Taking into account the asymmetry of the plots, we can use two diferent sets of constants such as for $T < T_C$ region, $a = 0.952 \pm 0.013$, $b = 0.954 \pm 0.011$, and $c = -0.043 \pm 0.007$. While, for *T* > T_c state $a = 0.537 \pm 0.030$; $b = 0.539 \pm 0.031$; and $c = 0.208 \pm 0.019$, for $x = 0$ as an example. According to Eq. [21,](#page-11-1) the different $-\Delta S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}}$, T_{C} , T_{r1} and T_{r2} values are obligatory to characterize −Δ*S*M. Once we have determined the parameters from the properties of these materials, we can determine – Δ*S*_M from Δ*S'*.

According to Oesterreicher et al. [[85](#page-15-18)], the variation of $-\Delta S$ _M as a function of temperatures under different magnetic felds, for the magnetic materials with second-order phase transition around their $T_{\rm C}$, follows an exponent power law:

$$
\Delta S_{\mathcal{M}}(T, \mu_0 H) = a(T) (\mu_0 H)^n, \qquad (22)
$$

where *n* is the critical exponent of the magnetic transition, defined as $[86]$ $[86]$:

$$
n(T, \mu_0 H) = \frac{d \ln\left(\left|\Delta S_M\right|\right)}{d \ln\left(\mu_0 H\right)}.\tag{23}
$$

Figure [12](#page-13-18) shows the variation of *n* as a function of temperatures, for $x = 0$ sample as an example, under different applied felds. In the FM region, *n* is close to 1. Then, it increased to 2, in PM state. Moreover, it decreased moderately with increasing temperature and a minimum value around $T_{\rm C}$. These results are observed in different magnetic materials [\[87](#page-15-20)-[90\]](#page-15-21).

4 Conclusion

To sum up, we chose to detect the efect of low Na content on the $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25-x}Na_xMnO_3$ sample. We prepared La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) using the flux method.

Fig. 11 Universal behavior of $\Delta S'(\theta)$ curves at different magnetic fields, for $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Ca}_{0.25-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ($0 \le x \le 0.10$) samples

Then, we studied their structural and magnetic properties and MCE. Rietveld refinement of XRD patterns shows that our samples crystallize in an orthorhombic structure with a Pbnm space group, at room temperature. Substituting Ca²⁺ by Na⁺ increased T_C from 268 to 278 K. This is accompanied by an increase of $-\Delta S_M$. Our samples have a second-order phase transition from FM to PM, upon increasing temperature. In addition, we found that $-\Delta S_M$ is in agreement with the theoretical one calculated using Landau's theory. From $x = 0.10$, the composition shows the largest value of $-\Delta S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} = 6.44 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ for $\mu_0 H = 5 \text{ T}$ in the series. Additionally, our samples exhibit high values of RCP=198, 270.5, and 317.7 J k⁻¹ for $x=0$, 0.05, 0.10, respectively. The magnetic entropy change was also characterized by one universal curve. This confrms that a second-order phase transition occurred. The obtained results indicate that La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25−*x*}Na_{*x*}MnO₃ (0≤*x* ≤ 0.10) samples could be considered as a promising material for magnetic refrigeration technology. The most important results, in our present work, were compared with our last work for diferent doping rates of 0.15 and 0.20.

Fig. 12 Temperature dependence of local exponent *n* measured, at different magnetic fields, for $x=0$

References

- 1. K. Thanigai Arul, E. Manikandan, P.P. Murmu, J. Kennedy, M. Henini, Enhanced magnetic properties of polymer-magnetic nanostructures synthesized by ultrasonication. J. Alloys Compd. **720**, 395–400 (2017)
- 2. G.V.M. Williams, T. Prakash, J. Kennedy, S.V. Chong, S. Rubanov, Spin-dependent tunnelling in magnetite nanoparticles. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **460**, 229–233 (2018)
- 3. T. Prakash, G.V.M. Williams, J. Kennedy, S. Rubanov, Formation of magnetic nanoparticles by low energy dual implantation of Ni and Fe into SiO₂. J. Alloys Compd. **667**, 255–261 (2016)
- 4. X.S. Yang, L.Q. Yang, L. Lv, C.H. Cheng, Y. Zhao, Magnetic phase transition in $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3/Ta_2O_5$ ceramic composites. Ceram. Int. **38**, 2575–2578 (2012)
- 5. T.-L. Phan, T.A. Ho, P.D. Thang, Q.T. Tran, T.D. Thanh, N.X. Phuc, M.H. Phan, B.T. Huy, S.C. Yu, Critical behavior of Y-doped $Nd_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$ manganites exhibiting the tricritical point and large magnetocaloric efect. J. Alloys Compd. **615**, 937 (2014)
- 6. T.A. Ho, T.L. Phan, P.D. Thang, S.C. Yu, Infuence of Pb Doping on the Magnetocaloric Effect and Critical Behavior of (La0.9Dy0.1)0.8Pb0.2MnO3. J. Electron. Mater. **45**, 2328–2333 (2016)
- 7. M. Khlif, M. Bejar, O. El Sadek, E. Dhahri, M.A. Ahmed, E.K. Hlil, Structural, magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of the lanthanum deficient in La_{0.8}Ca_{0.2−x□x}MnO₃ (x = 0–0.20) manganites oxides. J. Alloys Comp. **509**, 7410–7415 (2011)
- 8. R.N. Mahato, K. Sethupathi, V. Sankaranarayanan, R. Nirmala, Large magnetic entropy change in nanocrystalline $Pr_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$. J. Appl. Phys. **107**, 09A943 (2010)
- 9. J. Khelif, A. Tozri, F. Issaoui, E. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, The infuence of disorder on critical behavior near the paramagnetic to ferromagnetic phase transition temperature in $(La_{1-x}Nd_x)2/3$ $(Ca_{1-y}Sr_y)1/3MnO_3$ doped manganite. J. Alloys Compd. **584**, 6 (2014)
- 10. M. Khlif, E. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, Magnetic, magnetocaloric, magnetotransport and magnetoresistance properties of calcium defcient manganites $La_{0.8}Ca_{0.2-x}\Box_x MnO_3$ post-annealed at 800 °C. J. Alloys Compd. **587**, 771–777 (2014)
- 11. A.V. Trukhanov, M.A. Almessiere, A. Baykal, S.V. Trukhanov, Y. Slimani, D.A. Vinnik, V.E. Zhivulin, AYu. Starikov, D.S. Klygach, M.G. Vakhitov, T.I. Zubar, D.I. Tishkevich, E.L. Trukhanova, M.

Zdorovets, Infuence of the charge ordering and quantum efects in heterovalent substituted hexaferrites on their microwave characteristics. J. Alloys Compd. **788**, 1193–1202 (2019)

- 12. A.V. Trukhanov, M.A. Darwish, L.V. Panina, A.T. Morchenko, V.G. Kostishyn, V.A. Turchenko, D.A. Vinnik, E.L. Trukhanova, K.A. Astapovich, A.L. Kozlovskiy, M. Zdorovets, S.V. Trukhanov, Features of crystal and magnetic structure of the $BaFe_{12-x}Ga_xO_{19}$ $(x \le 2)$ in the wide temperature range. J. Alloys Compd. **791**, 522–529 (2019)
- 13. S.V. Trukhanov, A.V. Trukhanov, V.A. Turchenko, V.G. Kostishyn, L.V. Panina, I.S. Kazakevich, A.M. Balagurov, Structure and magnetic properties of $BaFe_{11.9}In_{0.1}O_{19}$ hexaferrite in a wide temperature range. J. Alloys Compd. **689**, 383–393 (2016)
- 14. A.V. Trukhanov, V.G. Kostishyn, L.V. Panina, S.H. Jabarov, V.V. Korovushkin, S.V. Trukhanov, E.L. Trukhanova, Magnetic properties and Mössbauer study of gallium doped M-type barium hexaferrites. Ceram. Int. **43**, 12822–12827 (2017)
- 15. I.O. Troyanchuk, S.V. Trukhanov, H. Szymczak, K. Baerner, Efect of oxygen content on the magnetic and transport properties of Pr_{0.5}Ba_{0.5}MnO_{3-γ}. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 12, L155–L158 (2000)
- 16. S.V. Trukhanov, I.O. Troyanchuk, I.M. Fita, H. Szymczak, K. Bärner, Comparative study of the magnetic and electrical properties of $Pr_{1-x}Ba_xMnO_{3-\delta}$ manganites depending on the preparation conditions. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **237**, 276–282 (2001)
- 17. S.V. Trukhanov, I.O. Troyanchuk, A.V. Trukhanov, I.M. Fita, A.N. Vasil'ev, A. Maignan, H. Szymczak, Magnetic properties of $La_{0.70}Sr_{0.30}MnO_{2.85}$ anion-deficient manganite under hydrostatic pressure. JETP Lett. **83**, 33–36 (2006)
- 18. G.H. Jonker, J.H. Van Santen, Ferromagnetic compounds of manganese with perovskite structure. J. Phys. **16** (1950), 337–349 (1950)
- 19. Y. Tokura, features of colossal magnetoresistive manganites. Rep. Prog. Phys. **69**, 797–851 (2006)
- 20. A.J. Millis, Lattice effects in magnetoresistive manganese perovskites. Nature **392**, 147–150 (1998)
- 21. A. Urushibara, Y. Moritomo, T. Arima, A. Asamitsu, G. Kido, Y. Tokura, Insulator-metal transition and giant magnetoresistance in La1–*x*Sr*x*MnO3. Phys. Rev. B. **51**, 14103–14109 (1995)
- 22. M. Itoh, T. Shimura, J.-D. Yu, T. Hayashi, Y. Inaguma, Structure dependence of the ferromagnetic transition temperature in rhombohedral $La_{1-x} A_x MnO_3$ (A=Na, K, Rb, and Sr). Phys. Rev. B 52, 12522 (1995)
- 23. G.H. Rao, J.R. Sun, K. Barner, N. Hamad, Crystal structure and magnetoresistance of Na-doped LaMnO₃. J. Phys. Condens. Matter **11**, 1523–1528 (1999)
- 24. I.O. Troyanchuk, S.V. Trukhanov, H. Szymczak, J. Przewoznik, K. Bärner, Phase transitions in $La_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_{3-x/2}$ manganites. JETP **9**, 161–167 (2001)
- 25. S.V. Trukhanov, N.V. Kasper, I.O. Troyanchuk, M. Tovar, H. Szymczak, K. Bärner, Evolution of magnetic state in the $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_{x}\text{MnO}_{3-y}$ ($x = 0.30, 0.50$) manganites depending on the oxygen content. J. Solid State Chem. **169**, 85–95 (2002)
- 26. S.V. Trukhanov, L.S. Lobanovski, M.V. Bushinsky, V.A. Khomchenko, N.V. Pushkarev, I.O. Tyoyanchuk, A. Maignan, D. Flahaut, H. Szymczak, R. Szymczak, Infuence of oxygen vacancies on the magnetic and electrical properties of $La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO_{3-x/2}$ manganites. Eur. Phys. J. B **42**, 51–61 (2004)
- 27. S.V. Trukhanov, Peculiarities of the magnetic state in the system La_{0.70}Sr_{0.30}MnO_{3-γ} (0 ≤ γ ≤ 0.25). JETP **100**, 95–105 (2005)
- 28. S.G. TaoYi, X. Qi, Y. Zhu, F. Cheng, B. Ma, Y. Huang, C. Liao, C. Yan, Low temperature synthesis and magnetism of La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO₃ nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 61, 1407– 1413 (2000)
- 29. M. Pekala, V. Drozd, J. Mucha, Magnetic feld dependence of electrical resistivity in fine grain $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **290–291**, 928–932 (2005)
- 30. Z.B. Guo, J.R. Zhang, H. Huang, W.P. Ding, Y.W. Du, Large magnetic entropy change in $La_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$. Appl. Phys. Lett. **70**, 904–905 (1997)
- 31. M.A. Almessiere, Y. Slimani, H. Güngüne, A. Bayka, S.V. Trukhanov, A.V. Trukhanov, Manganese/Yttrium codoped strontium nanohexaferrites: evaluation of magnetic susceptibility and Mössbauer spectra. Nanomaterials **9**, 24 (2019)
- 32. M.A. Almessiere, A.V. Trukhanov, Y. Slimani, K.Y. You, S.V. Trukhanov, E.L. Trukhanova, F. Esa, A. Sadaqat, K. Chaudhary, M. Zdorovets, A. Baykal, Correlation between composition and electrodynamics properties in nanocomposites based on hard/soft ferrimagnetics with strong exchange coupling. Nanomaterials **9**, 202–214 (2019)
- 33. S. Bouzidi, M.A. Gdaiem, J. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, Large magnetocaloric entropy change at room temperature in soft ferromagnetic manganites. RSC Adv **9**, 65–76 (2019)
- 34. D.B. Wiles, R.A. Young, A new computer program for Rietveld analysis of X-ray powder difraction patterns. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **14**, 149 (1981)
- 35. H.M. Rietveld, A profle refnement method for nuclear and magnetic structures. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **2**, 65–71 (1969)
- 36. L. Liu, H. Fan, S. Ke, X. Chen, Efect of sintering temperature on the structure and properties of cerium-doped 0.94 ($Bi_{0.5}Na_{0.5}$) TiO3–0.06 BaTiO3 piezoelectric ceramics. J. Alloys Compd. **458**, 504–508 (2008)
- 37. Y.R. Zhang, J.F. Li, B.P. Zhang, Enhancing electrical properties in NBT–KBT lead-free piezoelectric ceramics by optimizing sintering temperature. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **91**, 2716–2719 (2008)
- 38. Z. Wei, A. Chak-Tong, D.Y. Wei, Review of magnetocaloric efect in perovskite-type oxides. Chin Phys B **22**, 057501–057501– 57511 (2013)
- 39. P. Schifer, A.P. Ramirez, K.N. Franklin, S.-W. Cheon, Interaction-induced spin coplanarity in a kagomé magnet: SrCr9pGa12- 9pO19. Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2085–2088 (1996)
- 40. H.L. Ju, H.C. Sohn, K.M. Krishnan, Evidence for O_{2p} hole-driven conductivity in $La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO_3$ ($0 \le x \le 0.7$) and $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnOz$ thin flms. Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3230–3233 (1997)
- 41. R.D. Shannon, Revised efective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallogr. A **32**, 751–767 (1976)
- 42. K. Momma, F. Izumi, VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **44**, 1272–1276 (2011)
- 43. A. Taylor, *X-Ray Metallography* (Wiley, New York, 1961)
- 44. W. Rasband, ImageJ,<https://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/> (2005). Accessed Aug 2013
- 45. J. Gutiérrez, A. Peña, J.M. Barandiarán, J.L. Pizarro, T. Hernández, L. Lezama, M. Insausti, T. Rojo, Structural and magnetic properties of $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Pb}_{0.3}$ (Mn_{1–x}Fe_x) O₃ (0 %3c *x* %3c 0. 3) giant magnetoresistance perovskites. Phys. B **61**, 9028–9035 (2000)
- 46. R. Mahesh, R. Mahendiran, A.K. Raychaudhuri, C.N.R. Rao, Efect of particle size on the giant magnetoresistance of La0.7Ca0.3MnO3. Appl. Phys. Lett. **68**, 2291–2293 (1996)
- 47. V.D. Doroshev, V.A. Borodin, V.I. Kamenev, A.S. Mazur, T.N. Tarasenko, A.I. Tovstolytkin, S.V. Trukhanov, Self-doped lanthanum manganites as a phase-separated system: transformation of magnetic, resonance, and transport properties with doping and hydrostatic compression. J. Appl. Phys. **104**, 093909-1–093909- 13 (2008)
- 48. N. Zhang, W. Ding, W. Zhong, D. Xing, Du Youwei, Tunnel-type giant magnetoresistance in the granular perovskite $La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}MnO₃$. Phys. Rev. B 56, 8138–8142 (1997)
- 49. DNH Nam, R Mathieu, P Nordblad, NV Khiem, NX Phuc (2000) Ferromagnetism and frustration in Nd0.7Sr0.3MnO3. Phys. Rev. B 62:1027.
- 50. M.S. Kim, J.B. Yang, Q. Cai, X.D. Zhou, W.J. James, W.B. Yelon, P.E. Parris, D. Buddhikot, S.K. Malik, Structure, magnetic, and transport properties of Ti-substituted $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$. Phys. Rev. B **71**, 014433 (2005)
- 51. D.N.H. Nam, K. Jonason, P. Nordblad, N.V. Khiem, N.X. Phuc, Coexistence of ferromagnetic and glassy behavior in the $La_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO₃$ perovskite compound. Phys. Rev. B **59**, 4189–4194 (1999)
- 52. W. Boujelben, A. Cheikh-Rouhou, J.C. Joubert, Ferromagnetism in lacunar perovskite manganites Pr_0 ₇Sr_{0.3-x}xMnO₃ and Pr0.7-xxSr0.3MnO3. Eur. Phys. J. B. **24**, 419–423 (2001)
- 53. L.Q. Zheng, Q.F. Fang, Magnetoresistance behavior in $La_{0.7}Ca_{x}MnO_{3}$ (x = 0.1–0.3) and $La_{x}MnO_{3}$ (y = 0.67–0.9) bulk materials. Phys. Stat. Sol. (A) **185**, 267–275 (2001)
- 54. A. Zaidi, K. Cherif, J. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, M. Zaidi, T. Alharbi, Influence of Na-doping in La_{0.67}Pb_{0.33-x}Na_xMnO₃ (0≤ *x* ≤ 0.15) on its structural, magnetic and magneto-electrical properties. J. Alloys Compd. **650**, 210–216 (2015)
- 55. P.G. Radaelli, G. Iannone, M. Marezio, H.Y. Hwang, S.W. Cheong, J.D. Jorgensen, D.N. Argyriou, Structural efects on the magnetic and transport properties of perovskite $A_{1-x}A'_{x}MnO_{3}$ (x = 0.25, 0.30). Phys. Rev. B **56**, 8265–8282 (1997)
- 56. S.V. Trukhanov, Magnetic and magnetotransport properties of $La_{1-x}Ba_xMnO_3-x/2$ perovskite manganites. J. Mater. Chem. 13, 347–352 (2003)
- 57. S.V. Trukhanov, Investigation of Stability of Ordered Manganites. JETP **101**, 513–520 (2005)
- 58. C. Vázquez-Vázquez, M.A. López-Quintela, Solvothermal synthesis and characterisation of La_{1−x}A_xMnO₃ nanoparticles. J. Solid State Chem. **179**, 3229–3237 (2006)
- 59. C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, 6th edn. (Wiley, New York, 1986)
- 60. A. Belkahla, K. Cherif, J. Dhahri, K. Taibi, E.K. Hlil, Prediction of magnetoresistance using a magnetic field and correlation between the magnetic and electrical properties of La_{0.7}Bi_{0.05}Sr_{0.15}Ca_{0.1}Mn_{1-x}In_xO₃ (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.3) manganite. RSC Adv. **7**, 30707–30716 (2017)
- 61. Z.H. Wang, B.G. Shen, N. Tang, J.W. Cai, T. Hao Ji, J.G. Zhao, W.S. Zhan, Colossal magnetoresistance in cluster glass-like insulator La_{0.67}Sr_{0.33} (Mn_{0.8}Ni_{0.2})O₃. J. Appl. Phys. **85**, 5399–5401 (1999)
- 62. K. El Maalam, M. Ben Ali, H. El Moussaoui, O. Mounkachi, M. Hamedoun, R. Masrour, E.K. Hlil, A. Benyoussef, Magnetic properties of tin ferrites nanostructures doped with transition metal. J. Alloys Compd. **622**, 761–764 (2015)
- 63. B.K. Banerjee, On a generalised approach to frst and second order magnetic transitions. Phys. Lett. **12**, 16–17 (1964)
- 64. L.E. Hueso, P. Sande, D.R. Miguens, J. Rivas, F. Rivadulla, M.A. Lopez-Quintela, Tuning of the magnetocaloric efect in La_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_{3−δ} nanoparticles synthesized by sol–gel techniques. J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 9943–9947 (2002)
- 65. W. Chen, W. Zheng, Y. Hou, R.W. Gao, W.C. Feng, M.G. Zhu, Y.W. Du, Preparation and magnetocaloric effect of self-doped $\text{La}_{0.8-x}\text{Na}_{0.2x}\text{MnO}_{3+\delta}$ (= vacancies) polycrystal. J. Phys. Condens. Matter **14**, 11889–11896 (2002)
- 66. P. Sande, L.E. Hueso, D.R. Miguens, J. Rivas, F. Rivadulla, M.A. Lopez-Quintela, Large magnetocaloric efect in manganites with charge order. J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 2040–2042 (2001)
- 67. V. Karpasyuk, E. Beznisko, A. Abdullina, Generalized integrodiferential equation of magnetization reversal dynamics in polycrystals and its application to processes in manganite-based CMR materials. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **272–276**, 750–751 (2004)
- 68. R.D. Mc Michael, J.J. Ritter, R.D. Shull, Enhanced magnetocaloric effect in Gd₃Ga_{5−*x*}Fe_{*x*}O₁₂. J. Appl. Phys. **73**, 6946–6948 (1993)
- 69. H. Wada, Y. Tanabe, Giant magnetocaloric effect of MnAs_{1-x}Sb_x. Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 3302–3304 (2001)
- 70. A. Omri, M. Bejar, M. Sajieddine, E. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, M. Es-Souni, Structural, magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of AMn_{1-x}Ga_xO₃ compounds with $0 \le x \le 0.2$. Phys. B 407, 2566– 2572 (2012)
- 71. A. Belkahla, K. Cherif, J. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, large magnetic entropy change and magnetic feld dependence of critical behavior studies in $La_{0.7}Bi_{0.05}Sr_{0.15}Ca_{0.1}Mn_{0.95}In_{0.05}O_3$ compound. J. Alloys Compd. **715**, 266–274 (2017)
- 72. K.A. Gschneidner Jr., V.K. Pecharsky, A.O. Tsokol, recent developments in magnetocaloric materials. Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 1479– 1539 (2005)
- 73. J.S. Lee, Evaluation of the magnetocaloric efect from magnetization and heat capacity data. Phys. State Solid B **241**, 1765–1768 (2004)
- 74. K.A. Gschneidner Jr., V.K. Pecharsky, Magnetocaloric materials. Rev. Mater. Sci. **30**, 387–429 (2000)
- 75. H. Yang, Y.H. Zhu, T. Xian, J.L. Jiang, Synthesis and magnetocaloric properties of $La_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3$ nanoparticles with different sizes*.*J. Alloys Compd. **555**, 150–155 (2013)
- 76. R. M'nassri, A. Cheikhrouhou, Magnetocaloric efect in diferent impurity doped $La_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ composite. J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. **27**, 421–425 (2014)
- 77. V.S. Amaral, J.S. Amaral, Magnetoelastic coupling infuence on the magnetocaloric efect in ferromagnetic materials. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **272**, 2104–2105 (2004)
- 78. J.S. Amaral, M.S. Reis, V.S. Amaral, T.M. Mendonca, J.P. Araujo, M.A. Sa, P.B. Travers, J.M. Vieira, Magnetocaloric efect in Erand Eu-substituted ferromagnetic La-Sr manganites. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **290**, 686–689 (2005)
- 79. M.S. Anwar, S. Kumar, F. Ahmed, N. Arshi, G.W. Kim, B.H. Koo, Above room temperature magnetic transition and magnetocaloric effect in $La_{0.66}Sr_{0.34}MnO_3$. J. Korean Phys. Soc. 60, 1587–1592 (2012)
- 80. M. Triki, R. Dhahri, M. Bekri, E. Dhahri, M.A. Valente, Magnetocaloric effect in composite structures based on ferromagnetic–ferroelectric Pr_{0. 6}Sr_{0.4}MnO₃/BaTiO₃ perovskites. J. Alloys Compd **509**, 9460–9465 (2011)
- 81. A. Tozri, E. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, Magnetic transition and magnetic entropy changes of $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}MnO_3$ and $La_{0.8}Pb_{0.1}Na_{0.1}MnO_3$. Mater. Lett. **64**, 2138–2141 (2010)
- 82. R. M'nassri, W. Cheikhrouhou-Koubaa, N. Chniba-Boudjada, A. Cheikhrouhou, Efect of barium-defciency on the structural, magnetic, and magnetocaloric properties of $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.2}Ba_{0.2-x\Box x}MnO_3$ (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.15). J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 073905 (2013)
- 83. V. Franco, J.S. Blázquez, A. Conde, Field dependence of the magnetocaloric efect in materials with a second order phase transition: a master curve for the magnetic entropy change. Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 222512 (2006)
- 84. Q.Y. Dong, H.W. Zhang, J.R. Sun, B.G. Shen, V. Franco, A phenomenological ftting curve for the magnetocaloric efect of materials with a second-order phase transition. J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 116101–116103 (2008)
- 85. H. Oesterreicher, F.T. Parker, Magnetic cooling near curie temperatures above 300 K. J. Appl. Phys. **55**, 4334–4338 (1984)
- 86. V. Franco, A. Conde, E.J.M. Romero, J.S. Blazquez, A universal curve for the magnetocaloric efect: an analysis based on scaling relations. J. Phys. Condens. Matter **20**, 285207–285211 (2008)
- 87. M. Pękala, Magnetic field dependence of magnetic entropy change in nanocrystalline and polycrystalline manganites La_{1-x} M_xMnO₃La_{1-x}M_xMnO₃ (M = Ca, Sr). J. Appl. Phys. **108**, 113913– 113916 (2010)
- 88. C.P. Reshmi, S. Savitha Pillai, M. Vasundhara, G.R. Raji, K.G. Suresh, M. Raama Varma, Co-existence of magnetocaloric efect and magnetoresistance in Co substituted $La_{0.67}Sr_{0.33}MnO_3$ at room temperature. J. Appl. Phys. **114**, 033904–033910 (2013)
- 89. V. Franco, C.F. Conde, J.S. Blazquez, A. Conde, P. Svec, D. Janičkovic, L.F. Kiss, A constant magnetocaloric response in FeMoCuB amorphous alloys with diferent FeB ratios. J. Appl. Phys. **101**, 093903–93911 (2007)
- 90. P. Nisha, S. Savitha Pillai, M. RaamaVarma, K.G. Suresh, Critical behavior and magnetocaloric effect in La_{0. 67}Ca_{0. 33}Mn_{1− x}Cr_xO₃ (x= 0.1, 0.25). Solid State Sci. **14**, 40–47 (2012)
- 91. S.B. Tian, M.H. Phan, S.C. Yu, N.H. Hur, Magnetocaloric efect in a $La_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3$ single crystal. Phys. B 327, 221–224 (2003)
- 92. D.T. Morelli, A.M. Mance, J.V. Mantese, A.L. Micheli, Magnetocaloric properties of doped lanthanum manganite flms. J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 373–375 (1996)
- 93. D.N.H. Nam, N.V. Dai, L.V. Hong, N.X. Phyc, S.C. Yu, M. Tachibana, E.T. Muromachi, Room-temperature magnetocaloric efect in La_{0.7} Sr_{0.3} Mn_{1-x} M'_xO₃ La_{0.7} Sr_{0.3} Mn_{1-x}M_x[']O₃ (M'=Al, Ti). J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 043905–043909 (2008)
- 94. S. Ghodhbane, A. Dhahri, N. Dhahri, E.K. Hlil, J. Dhahri, Structural, magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of $\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Ba}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ Fe_xO₃ compounds with $0 \le x \le 0.1$. J. Alloys Compd. **550** (2013), 358–364 (2013)
- 95. K. Barik, C. Krishnamoorthi, R. Mahendiran, Efect of Fe substitution on magnetocaloric effect in La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}Mn_{1-x}Fe_xO₃ (0.05≤ x ≤ 0.20). J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **323**, 1015–1021 (2011)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.