NOTE

Using coral holes to explore the historical ecology of Guam's coral reefs

Jonathan D. Cybulski1,2,3 · John M. Doherty1,4 · Carly LaRoche1,5 · Kelly Donovan Gutkowski1 · Zizhan Luo6 · Elizabeth J. Malloy7 · Laurel MacMillan8 · Laurie Raymundo9,11 · Kiho Kim1,1[0](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2454-4013)

Received: 9 March 2023 / Accepted: 14 October 2023 / Published online: 15 November 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to International Coral Reef Society (ICRS) 2023

Abstract Push-coring is used to collect Holocene-aged coral sub-fossils, but its applications can be limited by underlying reef geology. Here, we report on a new approach of coring inundated coastal karst formations—i.e., coral holes—to determine the historical context of coral reefs in Guam. Three cores were extracted and processed to recover coral fragments as well as abundances of the photosymbiotic foraminifera *Baculogypsina sphaerulata,* a proxy for water clarity. Coral fragments, with the oldest dating to a median calibrated age of 221 cal. BP (1729 CE), revealed greater than 100 years of consistent accumulation and composition, suggesting a period of relative stability for macrobenthos. However, we documented a drop in *B. sphaerulata* abundance from approximately 100 years cal. BP, suggesting a period of environmental decline in Guam. Our results provide the frst multi-centennial record of coral assemblages from Guam and provide a proof of concept for future historical investigations.

Keywords Coral reef · Historical ecology · Coral holes · *Baculogypsina sphaerulata* · *Acropora spp.*

Introduction

Historical analysis of coral reef communities can provide insights into ecological dynamics that span human timescales (Pandolfi 2011) and can help alleviate the shifting baseline syndrome (Pauly [1995\)](#page-6-1). Paleontological data, from coring efforts and studies of exposed facies, have revealed community structure and stability for millennia prior to rapid change over the last half century (McCulloch et al. [2003](#page-6-2); Aronson et al. [2004\)](#page-5-0). In many cases, this recent and rapid shift in the ecological state of corals reefs has resulted from the increased human infuence on the environment (Jackson [1997\)](#page-6-3).

Push-coring through unconsolidated coral reef framework is a popular method to obtain Holocene reef fossils given its wide applicability, efficiency, and low environmental impact

 \boxtimes Jonathan D. Cybulski cybulski.j@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Environmental Science, American University, 4400 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20016, USA
- ² Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, Republic of Panama
- ³ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI, USA
- ⁴ Environmental Law Institute, 1730 M Street, NW. Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036, USA
- ⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
- ⁶ John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, 79 John F. Kennedy St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ⁷ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, American University, 4400 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20016, USA
- ⁸ Gryphon Scientifc, LLC, 6930 Carroll Ave #810, Takoma Park, MD 20912, USA
- ⁹ Marine Laboratory, University of Guam, Mangilao, GU, USA
- ¹⁰ Department of Environmental Science and Studies, Washington College, Chestertown, MD 21620, USA
- College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

(Dardeau et al. [2000;](#page-5-1) Aronson et al. [2002\)](#page-5-2). Yet, unconsolidated reef matrices are scare on many carbonate islands. For instance, although corals are abundant on the nearshore reefs of Guam, the largest island in Micronesia, the local geology consists mainly of basement rock covered with a thin layer $(< 0.5$ m) of marine sediment. Moreover, there are no known raised or inundated fossil reefs from previous sea-level high stands, making the Holocene history of Guam's coral reefs challenging to study.

However, in several locations the Pliocene–Pleistocene basement limestone features karst dissolution caves, or "coral holes," which formed during previous glacial maximums. These coral holes, which occur behind the reef crest, can be discerned in satellite images by their discrete shapes and lighter colorations among patches of coral and hard bottom (Fig. [1](#page-1-0); Burdick [2005](#page-5-3)). Since these holes trap sediment, live coral, and rubble from the surrounding reef, they might offer a way to reconstruct reef community histories in this region.

Included within these reef sediments are assemblages of symbiont-bearing foraminifera. Many species are sensitive to nutrient loading (Hallock [1981](#page-5-4), [2000](#page-5-5); Zamora-Duran et al. [2020\)](#page-6-4), making foraminifera useful proxies of environmental change associated with nutrient pollution (Barmawidjaja et al. [1995;](#page-5-6) Carnahan et al. [2009](#page-5-7)). This idea has been applied to coral reef studies with the establishment of the FORAM Index (Hallock et al. [2003](#page-5-8)), which quantifes reef viability based on the relative abundances of diferent foraminifera groups. According to this index, areas that support the healthiest reefs are characterized by having a large abundance of photosymbiotic foraminifera, which thrive in oligotrophic waters.

Like other reefs in the North Pacific, the vitality of Guam's coral reefs has been declining over the last 40 years (Burdick et al. [2008;](#page-5-9) Andrew et al. [2011\)](#page-5-10), though the full extent of loss is difficult to establish without a pre-anthropogenic baseline. Recent threats to reefs include declining water quality from development, jungle burning-induced sedimentation (Wolanski et al. [2003;](#page-6-5) Williams et al. [2015](#page-6-6)), sewage outflow from inadequate treatment plants (Redding et al. [2013;](#page-6-7) Pinkerton et al. [2015](#page-6-8); Duprey et al. [2017](#page-5-11)), increased outbreaks of coral predators such crown-of-thorns sea star *Acanthaster planci* (COTS; Colgan [1987](#page-5-12)), overfshing (Houk et al. [2012;](#page-6-9) Bejarano et al. [2013](#page-5-13)), and coral diseases (Redding et al. [2013](#page-6-7)). Additionally, Guam's reefs have not escaped global threats such as climate change and thermal bleaching. Between 2013 and 2017, bleaching events led to the loss of approximately 30–60% of coral cover on reefs around the island (Raymundo et al. [2019\)](#page-6-10). *Acropora* spp*.* were particularly impacted, with a documented loss of

Fig. 1 Study area, Guam. **A** Location of West Hagåtña (Agaña) Bay and the coral hole cored (red oval). **B** Location of Shark's Hole, another coral hole cored and referenced in the manuscript, although data not presented. Panel B was included to show the distinction in the coral holes from the surrounding reef framework via satellite imagery. Imagery obtained from Google Earth Pro on August 30, 2023

East Agana Bay, 2015

Sharks Hole, 2015

over 36% due to their highly sensitive biology (Raymundo et al. [2019](#page-6-10)), a collapse mirrored on a global scale (Renema et al. [2016\)](#page-6-11). Though these threats reduced coral cover, less is known about changes in community structure, particularly over the Guam's longer-term history.

Here, we present the frst known historical record of coral biodiversity in Guam over the last two hundred and eighty years. We employ a sediment push-coring method to collect coral sub-fossil data from karst-formed coral holes. We also present a record of changes in relative abundance of *Baculogypsina sphaerulata*, a photosymbiotic foraminifer that thrives in oligotrophic waters, as a proxy for water clarity. This study offers a proof-of-concept for documenting historical changes in coral diversity on reefs that lack signifcant reef matrix substrate.

Materials and methods

Guam is the southernmost island of the Mariana Islands chain, located in the Micronesia sub-region of Oceania in the western Pacific. Manual push-cores $(n=3)$ were taken from a coral hole (approx. 20×40 m wide and 0.5 m below the height of the surrounding reef) located in West Hagåtña (Agaña) Bay (13.48244 N, 144.7463 E) on the western coast of Guam (Fig. [1](#page-1-0); Burdick [2005\)](#page-5-3). Cores (AG-1, AG-2 and AG-3) were taken at 5 m intervals across the hole using an open-barrel coring technique (Dardeau et al. [2000](#page-5-1); Aronson et al. [2002\)](#page-5-2). A galvanized steel tube (2.5 m length \times 6.35 cm diameter) was driven into the coral hole, capped at the top, extracted from the sediment and rubble matrix, and immediately capped at the bottom to retain the internal sediment structure. Cores were then extruded into 5 cm segments at the University of Guam's marine laboratory. Sediment samples were dried at 80 °C and weighed to determine dry weight per core segment. Each segment was sifted using an 8000, 1000, and 500 μ m mesh soil sifters. Coral fragments from the > 8000 µm fraction were identified to family and all coral materials from the $>1000 \mu m$ fraction were weighed to determine total coral abundance per 5 cm segment. Corals were identifed using a published coral atlas (Veron [2000\)](#page-6-12), compared to feld photographs of coral from Guam, or referred to coral taxonomists expert in the regional fauna. Foraminifera counts were made from 4 g subsamples of the same core segments that corals were analyzed, using a sediment splitter from the $>500 \mu m$ fraction of AG-1 and AG-2 cores. Each sample was washed with deionized water over a 63-μm sieve, air-dried on flter paper, and then counted in triplicate.

For a given segment, fragments from each coral family were weighed, converted to proportional abundance to assess community composition of the surrounding reef, and total coral weight was calculated. Coral fragments from the deepest segment of all cores and one sample from the middle segment of AG-2 and AG-3 were radiocarbon-dated at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry Facility at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (Massachusetts, USA). Coral fragments chosen for radiocarbon dating were well-preserved and showed no signs of diagenesis externally (i.e., severe encrustation, pitting, biotic boring, abiotic weather) or when cut-open. The top segment of each core was assumed to correspond to the collection year (2015) since cores were taken through recently fragmented, yet living coral rubble found at the top of the coral hole.

Radiocarbon ages were calibrated using the Marine20 curve (Heaton et al. [2020\)](#page-5-14), and age–depth models were calculated using Bayesian modeling in OxCal version 4.4 (Ramsey [2009\)](#page-6-13). The ΔR value used to calibrate coral samples was -141 ± 50 (Southon et al. [2002](#page-6-14)), retrieved from CALIB (calib.org). The additional ΔR (−14±50) reported for Guam was not included for analysis because it was sampled from a mobile marine gastropod (*Gibberulus gibberulus*: Linnaeus, 1758) with a diferent life history than a sessile coral, meaning its carbon assimilation sources would be diferent. Additionally, the gastropod's Δ*R* was an order of magnitude diferent than the next eight closest available ΔR dates for the region. A P_sequence model was used in OxCal with a variable *k*-value (Ramsey and Lee [2013](#page-6-15)). This model allowed for the incorporation of depth and sequence data (P_sequence) from our cores and accounted for variable sedimentation rates (variable *k*-values). Ages are reported in both calibrated years before present (cal. BP) and calendar years CE.

Total percent coral abundances (g), coral community composition (relative abundance by family), and foraminifera abundances (per 4 g sub-sample, corrected by the time each 5 cm segment encompasses) were analyzed against core segment age to determine temporal variation. Then, z-scores were calculated to compare total coral abundance and foraminifera abundance to allow for comparative analysis. Z-score data were combined by time, and a nonparametric Loess smooth curve was ft to identify composition trends using the ggplot2 package and *geom_smooth* function (Wickham [2016](#page-6-16)). The span chosen for corals and foraminifera (0.55, 0.60, respectively) for the LOESS smooth function that generated the least error was determined by generalized cross validation (Takezawa [2005](#page-6-17)). Analyses were performed in RStudio, version 2022.02.3 (R Core Team [2022](#page-6-18)).

Results and discussion

All cores contained coral fragments in most segments, embedded within a gravelly, muddy matrix. On average, each 5 cm layer contained 40.5 ± 37.8 g of coral and accounted for 20.7±17.9% of total fragment weight. *Baculogypsina* *sphaerulata* was the dominant foraminifera species found in all cores. *Acropora* spp*.* fragments from bottom segments of the three cores dated to approximately 104, 143, 221 cal. BP, or 1848, 1807, and 1729 CE (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

Taxonomically, 99% of coral fragments from the cores belonged to four families: Acroporidae, Pocilloporidae, Faviidae, and Poritidae. Acroporidae was the most abundant coral family in cores AG-1 and AG-3 for~ 200-years; whereas, Pocilloporidae was most abundant in core AG-2. In all cores, Pocilloporidae abundance was variable and was often inversely related to Acroporidae. Over the last 50 years in AG-1 and AG-2 Pocilloporidae and/or Poritidae increased in relative dominance (Fig. [2](#page-3-1)). This shift is consistent with community patterns documented elsewhere (Greenstein et al. [1998](#page-5-15)), including in Guam (Raymundo et al. [2019](#page-6-10); Greene et al. [2020](#page-5-16)). One possible explanation for this pattern of decreasing Acroporid abundance is their life-history strategy. Acroporids tend to be highly competitive and fast growing, making them prominent reef developers; however, they are also highly sensitive to environmental change (Darling et al. [2012\)](#page-5-17) which has led to their recent global decline (Renema et al. [2016](#page-6-11); Cramer et al. [2020](#page-5-18); Cybulski et al. [2020\)](#page-5-19). The compiled core record (Figs. [1](#page-1-0) and [2](#page-3-1)) could be documenting the decline of sensitive coral groups such as Acroporids, previously seen in nearby Guamanian reefs from COTS outbreaks (Colgan [1987\)](#page-5-12) and bleaching (Raymundo et al. [2019](#page-6-10)). Alternatively, some of the variability in coral relative abundance (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) could be a result of how the coral rubble is deposited in the coral hole. Deposition of coral sub-fossils into coral holes is likely less uniform than the accumulation of reef matrix layers, the typical substrate sampled using push-cores. However, even if this pattern is taphonomic and driven by variable sedimentation, it is still indicative of changes in environmental conditions that led to decreased instances of Acroporid deposition.

Starting at approximately 1865 CE, we documented a sharp decline in *B. sphaerulata* z-scores (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). Such a severe decline, with a lag in coral abundance and taxonomic changes (Figs. [2](#page-3-1) and [3\)](#page-4-0) suggests one or multiple stressors that impacted each taxon diferently. The drop in *B. sphaerulata* could be linked to a loss of habitat, or water clarity declines driven by increased nutrients and/or

Table 1 Radiocarbon results from AMS radiocarbon dating at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (Massachusetts, USA). Median calibrated ages were then converted to calendar years CE for plotting

Fig. 2 Coral relative abundances, split by family, by core. Sections that do not add up to 100% represent unidentifed coral sub-fossils

Fig. 3 Core summaries. **A** Total percent weight of coral fragments in the>1000 µm sieve fraction, by core; and **B** average *Baculogypsina sphaerulata* counts by 4 g sediment sample, corrected by time by dividing the total number by the years represented in the 5 cm core segment. All data were ftted with a LOESS smooth with a span of 0.95. Panel C shows both data sets converted to z-scores, and then

LOESS smoothed separately with a span of 0.55 and 0.60 chosen for corals and *B. sphaerulata,*, respectively. Signifcant events in Guam's history are highlighted by arrows. "Severe Storms" are those labeled as such and documented to have caused extreme damage and loss of life in Carano and Sanchez [\(1964](#page-5-20))

sedimentation (Hallock [1981,](#page-5-4) [2000\)](#page-5-5). Storm-related deteriorations to suitable habitat for *B. sphaerulata*, followed by the additional anthropogenic stressors from human development could be one explanation to the decline and subsequent stagnation of our foraminifera record. For example, although the timing based on our current age model is not exact a possible cause in abundance declines could have been two severe storms that were documented on the island in 1848 and 1849 CE (Carano [1964](#page-5-20)). The fact that the foraminifera abundance remains low after the storm events with no recovery suggests that this decline was not due to the storms directly, or at least not the storms alone. The arrival of the US Navy in 1899 CE increased the population fivefold from \sim 10,000 to \sim 50,000 in 50 years. This sparked coastal development around nearby Hagåtña the original capital (Bayman and Peterson [2016\)](#page-5-21), likely deteriorating water quality and clarity. This would have been detrimental to *B. sphaerulata* recovery.

The gradual decrease in coral abundance might indicate initial resilience to factors that caused the severe *B. sphaerulata* decline. However, sediment loading and added nutrients often associated with terrestrial runoff from coastal development (Fabricius [2005\)](#page-5-22) as well as repeated intense storms between 1900 and 1965 CE (Scoffin [1993\)](#page-6-19) could have been what caused the more drastic coral declines starting around 1915 CE (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0). Similar impacts from acute storm events and increased population and development resulted in widespread *Acropora* mortality on the inshore Great Barrier Reef (Roff et al. [2013\)](#page-6-20).

Alternatively, it is possible that our abundance declines are not environmental or anthropogenic, but either taphonomic or an artifact of age model uncertainty. These holes could have rapidly flled after Holocene sea-level rise, resulting in repeated replacement and time-averaging of its top portion. However, because of the linear age progression of the dates, this is unlikely. A more parsimonious interpretation is that the coral reef accreted enough around the hole to create more headspace for debris accumulation. Additionally, the wide variation in our dates (between \pm 23 and 80 years) makes associating specific events to declines in corals and foraminifera difficult. Additional coring and dating are needed to strengthen links between environmental and biotic changes.

Regardless, our top and bottom dates offer a snapshot of past coral communities and foraminifera assemblages in Guam for over 280 years. We show clear declines in both foraminifera and coral abundances, although timings of these declines vary, and the direct causes are unclear. This method could be expanded to other known coral holes around Guam such as Shark's Hole (Fig. [1](#page-1-0); 13.558630 N, 144.815933 E, approx. 145×45 m wide) and Agat Bay (13.372192 N, 144.647095 E approx. 80 × 40 m wide; Burdick [2005](#page-5-3)) which are known to accumulate coral fragments, or other Pleistocene karst-formed reef islands that are difficult to core such as Okinawa or Dongsha Atoll.

Acknowledgements Support for portions of this work was provided by a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Coral Reef Conservation Program Grant (NA15NOS4820084) to LJR and KK. We gratefully acknowledge Dick Randall's and David Burdick's invaluable taxonomic and geologic insights and Amelia Crabtree, Sergio Morales, and Liza Wilson for their help with sample processing, and Erin Dillon for her insights and manuscript reviews.

Data availability All data needed to complete the analyses in this manuscript will be available on a GitHub repository after publication.

Declarations

Confict of interest The authors report no conficts of interest.

References

- Andrew C, Thierry L de L, Katie R, Serge P, Karin G, Eric C, Lauretta B, Clive W (2011) Status of coral reefs of the Pacifc and outlook: 2011. Global coral reef monitoring network
- Aronson R, Precht W, Toscano M, Koltes K (2002) The 1998 bleaching event and its aftermath on a coral reef in Belize. Marine Biology 141:435–447
- Aronson RB, Macintyre IG, Wapnick CM, O'Neill MW (2004) Phase Shifts, Alternative States, and the Unprecedented Convergence of Two Reef Systems. Ecology 85:1876–1891
- Barmawidjaja DM, Zwaan GJ van der, Jorissen FJ, Puskaric S (1995) 150 years of eutrophication in the northern Adriatic Sea: Evidence from a benthic foraminiferal record. Mar Geol 122:367–384
- Bayman JM, Peterson JA (2016) Archaeologies of Early Modern Spanish Colonialism. Contr Glob Hist Arch 229–252
- Bejarano S, Golbuu Y, Sapolu T, Mumby P (2013) Ecological risk and the exploitation of herbivorous reef fsh across Micronesia. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 482:197–215
- Burdick, David, Valerie B, Jacob A, Mike G, Lee G, Amy H, Jean K, Trina L, Emily L, Jenny M, Miller J, Minton D, Nadon M, Pioppi N, Raymundo L, Richards B, Schroeder R, Schupp P, Smith E, Zgliczynski B (2008) The state of coral reef ecosystems of Guam. 465–509
- Burdick D (2005) Guam Coastal Atlas. University of Guam Marine Laboratory. Technical Report 114. 149 pp.
- Carano, Paul; Sanchez, Pedro C. (1964). A Complete History of Guam. Tokyo: Charles E. Tuttle Company. OCLC 414965.
- Carnahan EA, Hoare AM, Hallock P, Lidz BH, Reich CD (2009) Foraminiferal assemblages in Biscayne Bay, Florida, USA: Responses to urban and agricultural infuence in a subtropical estuary. Mar Pollut Bull 59:221–233
- Colgan MW (1987) Coral Reef Recovery on Guam (Micronesia) After Catastrophic Predation by Acanthaster Planci. Ecology 68:1592–1605
- Cramer KL, Jackson JBC, Donovan MK, Greenstein BJ, Korpanty CA, Cook GM, Pandolf JM (2020) Widespread loss of Caribbean acroporid corals was underway before coral bleaching and disease outbreaks. Science Advances 6:eaax9395
- Cybulski JD, Husa SM, Duprey NN, Mamo BL, Tsang TPN, Yasuhara M, Xie JY, Qiu J-W, Yokoyama Y, Baker DM (2020) Coral reef diversity losses in China's Greater Bay Area were driven by regional stressors. Science Advances 6:eabb1046
- Dardeau MR, Aronson RB, Precht WF, Macintyre IG (2000) Use of a hand-operated, open-barrel corer to sample uncemented holocene coral reefs.
- Darling ES, Alvarez-Filip L, Oliver TA, McClanahan TR, Côté IM, Bellwood D (2012) Evaluating life-history strategies of reef corals from species traits. Ecology Letters 15:1378–1386
- David B, Valerie B, Jacob A, Mike G, Lee G, Amy H, Jean K, Trina L, Emily L, Jenny M (2008) The state of coral reef ecosystems of Guam. 465–509
- Duprey NN, Wang XT, Thompson PD, Pleadwell JE, Raymundo LJ, Kim K, Sigman DM, Baker DM (2017) Life and death of a sewage treatment plant recorded in a coral skeleton δ 15 N record. Marine Pollution Bulletin
- Fabricius K (2005) Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs: review and synthesis. Marine Pollution Bulletin 50:125–146
- Greene A, Donahue MJ, Caldwell JM, Heron SF, Geiger E, Raymundo LJ (2020) Coral Disease Time Series Highlight Size-Dependent Risk and Other Drivers of White Syndrome in a Multi-Species Model. Frontiers Mar Sci 7:601469
- Greenstein BJ, Curran HA, Pandolf JM (1998) Shifting ecological baselines and the demise of Acropora cervicornis in the western North Atlantic and Caribbean Province: a Pleistocene perspective. Coral Reefs 17:249–261
- Hallock P (1981) Algal symbiosis: A mathematical analysis. Mar Biol 62:249–255
- Hallock P, Lidz BH, Cockey-Burkhard EM, Donnelly KB (2003) Foraminifera as Bioindicators in Coral Reef Assessment and Monitoring: The FORAM Index. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 81:221–238
- Hallock P (2000) Environmental Micropaleontology, The Application of Microfossils to Environmental Geology. Top Geobiol 121–150
- Heaton TJ, Köhler P, Butzin M, Bard E, Reimer RW, Austin WEN, Ramsey CB, Grootes PM, Hughen KA, Kromer B, Reimer PJ, Adkins J, Burke A, Cook MS, Olsen J, Skinner LC (2020) Marine20—The Marine Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0–55,000 cal BP). Radiocarbon 62:779–820

Houk P, Rhodes K, Cuetos-Bueno J, Lindfeld S, Fread V, McIlwain JL (2012) Commercial coral-reef fsheries across Micronesia: A need for improving management. Coral Reefs 31:13–26

Jackson JBC (1997) Reefs since Columbus. Coral Reefs 16:S23–S32

- McCulloch M, Fallon S, Wyndham T, Hendy E, Lough J, Barnes D (2003) Coral record of increased sediment fux to the inner Great Barrier Reef since European settlement. Nature 421:727–730
- Pandolfi JM (2011) The Paleoecology of Coral Reefs. In: Dubinsky Z., Stambler N. (eds) Springer Netherlands, pp 13–24
- Paulay G, Kirkendale L, Lambert G, Meyer C (2002) Anthropogenic Biotic Interchange in a Coral Reef Ecosystem: A Case Study from Guam. Pac Sci 56:403–422
- Pauly D (1995) Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fisheries. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 10:430
- Pinkerton K, Baker DM, Cuddy MR, Raymundo LJ, Meyer KA, Kim K (2015) Nitrogen dynamics on Guam as revealed by the seagrass Enhalus acoroides. Marine Ecology Progress Series 528:117–126
- R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: [https://www.R-project.org/.](https://www.R-project.org/)
- Ramsey CB (2009) Bayesian Analysis of Radiocarbon Dates. Radiocarbon 51:337–360
- Ramsey CB, Lee S (2013) Recent and Planned Developments of the Program OxCal. Radiocarbon 55:720–730
- Raymundo LJ, Burdick D, Hoot WC, Miller RM, Brown V, Reynolds T, Gault J, Idechong J, Fifer J, Williams A (2019) Successive bleaching events cause mass coral mortality in Guam, Micronesia. Coral Reefs 38:677–700
- Redding JE, Myers-Miller RL, Baker DM, Fogel M (2013) Link between sewage-derived nitrogen pollution and coral disease severity in Guam. Marine pollution Bulletin
- Renema W, Pandolf JM, Kiessling W, Bosellini FR, Klaus JS, Korpanty C, Rosen BR, Santodomingo N, Wallace CC, Webster JM, Johnson KG (2016) Are coral reefs victims of their own past success? Science Advances 2:e1500850
- Roff G, Clark TR, Reymond CE, Zhao J, Feng Y, McCook LJ, Done TJ, Pandolf JM (2013) Palaeoecological evidence of a historical

collapse of corals at Pelorus Island, inshore Great Barrier Reef, following European settlement. Proceedings: Biological Sciences 280:20122100–20122100

- Scoffin TP (1993) The geological effects of hurricanes on coral reefs and the interpretation of storm deposits. Coral Reefs 12:203–221
- Southon J, Kashgarian M, Fontugne M, Metivier B, Yim WW-S (2002) Marine Reservoir Corrections for the Indian Ocean and Southeast Asia. Radiocarbon 44:167–180
- Takezawa K (2005) Introduction to nonparametric regression. John Wiley & Sons,
- Veron J (2000) Corals of the world, Vol. 1–3. Australian Institute of Marine Science
- Wickham. (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Williams ID, Baum JK, Heenan A, Hanson KM, Nadon MO, Brainard RE (2015) Human, Oceanographic and Habitat Drivers of Central and Western Pacifc Coral Reef Fish Assemblages. Plos One 10:e0120516
- Wolanski E, Richmond RH, Davis G, Bonito V (2003) Water and fne sediment dynamics in transient river plumes in a small, reeffringed bay, Guam. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 56:1029–1040
- Zamora-Duran MA, Aronson RB, Leichter JJ, Flannery JA, Richey JN, Toth LT (2020) Imprint of Regional Oceanography on Foraminifera of Eastern Pacifc Coral Reefs. J Foraminifer Res 50:279–290

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.