ONCOLOGY



# Can lymphovascular invasion be predicted by preoperative multiphasic dynamic CT in patients with advanced gastric cancer?

Zelan Ma<sup>1,2</sup> · Changhong Liang<sup>2</sup> · Yanqi Huang<sup>2</sup> · Lan He<sup>2,3</sup> · Cuishan Liang<sup>1,2</sup> · Xin Chen<sup>4</sup> · Xiaomei Huang<sup>1,2</sup> · Yabing Xiong<sup>1</sup> · Zaiyi Liu<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 31 August 2016 / Revised: 21 November 2016 / Accepted: 5 December 2016 / Published online: 20 December 2016 © European Society of Radiology 2016

#### Abstract

*Objectives* To determine whether multiphasic dynamic CT can preoperatively predict lymphovascular invasion (LVI) in advanced gastric cancer (AGC).

Methods 278 patients with AGC who underwent preoperative multiphasic dynamic CT were retrospectively recruited. Tumour CT attenuation difference between non-contrast and arterial ( $\Delta_{AP}$ ), portal ( $\Delta_{PP}$ ) and delayed phase ( $\Delta_{DP}$ ), tumour-spleen attenuation difference in the portal phase ( $\Delta_{T-S}$ ), tumour contrast enhancement ratios (CERs), tumour-to-spleen ratio (TSR) and tumour volumes were obtained. All CT-derived parameters and clinicopathological variables associated with LVI were analysed by univariate analysis, followed by multivariate and receiver operator characteristics (ROC) analysis. Associations between CT predictors for LVI and histopathological characteristics were evaluated by the chi-square test.

Results  $\Delta_{PP}$  (OR, 1.056; 95% CI: 1.032–1.080) and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  (OR, 1.043; 95% CI: 1.020–1.066) are independent predictors for LVI in AGC.  $\Delta_{PP}$ ,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and their combination correctly predicted LVI in 74.8% (AUC, 0.775; sensitivity, 88.6%; specificity, 54.1%), 68.7% (AUC, 0.747; sensitivity, 68.3%; specificity, 69.4%) and 71.7% (AUC, 0.800; sensitivity, 67.6%; specificity, 77.8%), respectively. There were significant associations between CT predictors for LVI with tumour histological differentiation and Lauren classification.

*Conclusion* Multiphasic dynamic CT provides a noninvasive method to predict LVI in AGC through quantitative enhancement measurement.

Zelan Ma and Changhong Liang contributed equally to this work.

Zaiyi Liu zyliu@163.com

> Zelan Ma zelanma@163.com

Changhong Liang cjr.lchh@vip.163.com

Yanqi Huang yikiann@126.com

Lan He helan0811@126.com

Cuishan Liang liangcs2015@163.com

Xin Chen wolfchenxin@sina.com Xiaomei Huang m18565253960@163.com

Yabing Xiong 15627281121@163.com

- <sup>1</sup> Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510515, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Radiology, Guangdong General Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, 106 Zhong Shan Er Lu, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province 510080, China
- <sup>3</sup> School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510006, China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Radiology, The Affiliated Guangzhou First People' Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510180, China

Key points

- Lymphovascular invasion rarely can be evaluated preoperatively in advanced gastric cancer (AGC).
- $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{TS}$  were independent predictors for LVI in patients with AGC.
- $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  showed acceptable predictive performance for LVI.
- Combination of  $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  improved predictive performance for LVI.
- Multiphasic dynamic CT may be a useful adjunct for detecting LVI preoperatively.

Keywords Advanced gastric cancer  $\cdot$  Multiphasic dynamic CT  $\cdot$  Lymphovascular invasion  $\cdot$  Predictor  $\cdot$  CT attenuation

#### Abbreviations

- AGC Advanced gastric cancer
- AUC Area under the curve
- CEA Carcinoembryonic antigen
- CER Contrast enhancement ratio
- GTV Gross tumour volume
- HU Hounsfield unit
- ICC Intraclass correlation coefficient
- NPV Negative predictive value
- PPV Positive predictive value
- ROC Receiver operator characteristics
- ROI Region of interest
- TSR Tumour-to-spleen ratio

# Introduction

Curative surgery is the best treatment option for patients with resectable advanced gastric cancer (AGC) [1]. However, the long-term postoperative outcome is far from satisfactory because 40-50% of patients with AGC will develop local recurrence or distant metastasis [2]. Therefore, accurate preoperative risk estimates are required for treatment planning. Despite its use as a gold standard to predict outcome, the 7th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) failed to distinguish heterogeneous survival rates in patients with the same stage of AGC [3, 4]. Recently, lymphovascular invasion (LVI) has been shown to be associated with poor surgical outcomes in patients with gastric cancer [3–7]. LVI, which is referred to as lymphatic and/or blood vessel invasion, is an essential step to disseminating tumour cells [8, 9], and it holds a significant risk for regional lymph node metastasis [3]. However, it rarely can be evaluated preoperatively [10].

As a common preoperative examination, multiphasic dynamic CT has been shown to be an effective tool for assessing tumour angiogenesis by quantitative enhancement measurement [2, 11–13]. Several studies have shown that tumour neovascularization is related to LVI in patients with GC [2, 7]. However, there are limited data on the correlation between preoperative CT parameters and LVI. Although Yin et al. reported that contrast enhancement ratio (CER) of the arterial phase in GC was correlated with microvascular invasion, their study included only 64 patients and did not consider other potentially confounding factors such as clinicopathological characteristics [14]. Komori et al. showed that the tumour-to-normal wall enhancement ratio in the arterial phase was correlated with LVI, but their study did not obtain non-contrast CT scans for the evaluation of the relative tumour enhancement ratio, which might introduce bias in their results [2].

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate the diagnostic value of multiphasic dynamic CT-derived parameters for prediction of LVI in AGC. Furthermore, the associations between the CT predictors and tumour histological differentiation and Lauren classification were evaluated.

# Materials and methods

# **Patient population**

This retrospective study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Guangdong General Hospital, and formal consent was not required for this study.

A total of 431 consecutive patients underwent gastrectomy with regional lymphadenectomy for AGC between January 2010 and December 2015 in our Hospital. Inclusion criteria for these patients were: (i) endoscopic biopsy-proven untreated GC; (ii) visible GC on preoperative contrast-enhanced CT; and (iii) histologically confirmed gastric adenocarcinoma. 153 patients were excluded for the following reasons: absence of preoperative gastroscopy or contrast-enhanced CT in this hospital (n = 63), poor visualisation of the tumour due to insufficient distension or peristaltic motion of the stomach (n = 44), limited area to draw regions of interest (ROIs) owing to too small tumour size (n = 24), and previous distal gastrectomy (n = 22). Finally, 278 patients were included into our study (190 men, 88 women; age range, 28-90 years; median age, 60 years, interquartile range, 51–67 years).

#### **CT** protocol

Among the 278 patients, CT was performed (i) with an 8channel multi-detector CT scanner (Lightspeed; GE Healthcare, Hino, Japan) (n = 32) or (ii) with a 64-channel multi-detector CT scanner (Lightspeed VCT; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) (n = 246). Before CT scanning, all patients fasted for more than 5 h and were administered an intramuscular injection of 20 mg of scopolamine (Buscopan, Boehringer Ingelheim) to minimise bowel peristalsis. All the patients were advised to drink 600-1,000 ml of water 30 min before examination. Scanning covered the entire stomach region during a single breath-hold with the patient supine. Imaging acquisition parameters were as follows: 120 kVp; 130 mAs; 0.5 s rotation time; detector collimation:  $8 \times 0.625$  mm or  $64 \times 0.625$  mm; field of view (FOV)  $350 \times 350$  mm; matrix  $512 \times 512$ .

Following routine non-enhanced CT, multiphasic contrast-enhanced CT scans were obtained 25–30 s (arterial phase), 60 s (portal phase) and 180 s (delayed phase) after an infusion of 1.5 ml/kg of iodinated contrast material (Ultravist 370, Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) at a rate of 3.5 ml/s with an automatic power injector (Ulrich CT Plus 150, Ulrich Medical, Ulm, Germany). Images were displayed with a section thickness of 1.25 and 5.0 mm; the former was used for tumour volume measurement and the latter was used for measurement of CT values.

#### CT images analysis

The CT images were retrospectively analysed by a radiologist (C.L.) with 8 years of experience in gastrointestinal CT imaging interpretation. The observer was blinded to the clinicopathological data but was aware that the patients had AGC. The lesion was determined to be cancerous when the gastric wall showed focal thickening of  $\geq 6 \text{ mm}$  [15]. After localisation of the gastric lesion on the CT images using multi-planar reconstruction, the circular ROIs of approximately 8–25 mm<sup>2</sup> were placed on the maximal section of the tumours in each phase. The ROIs were drawn to encompass the area of greatest enhancement among one of the three contrast-enhanced phases maximally, but limited to one-half the diameter of the thick gastric wall (Fig. 1) [2]. Vessel structures, ulceration and necrosis should not be involved in the ROIs. Next, the same size ROIs were placed in approximately the same site of the tumour on images of the other two contrast-enhanced phases and the nonenhanced image. Meanwhile, tumour mean attenuation values in Hounsfield units (HUs) of the ROIs were recorded.

In addition, to eradicate patient-related confounding factors such as cardiac function and scanner factors such as fluctuations in kVp [16], we measured the attenuation value of the spleen as another reference standard to compare to the tumour CT attenuation value in the portal phase. Two ROIs of the same size were drawn in the homogeneous spleen parenchyma (visible vasculatures were excluded) on the maximal section of the spleen to generate an averaged spleen CT value. To verify the intra-observer reproducibility of the CT value measurements, all the measurements were repeated 2 weeks later by the same observer in the same way in a random order for all the patients.

The CT attenuation values of the tumour in the nonenhanced (arterial, portal, delayed) phase were recorded as Value<sub>NON</sub> (Value<sub>AP</sub>, Value<sub>PP</sub>, Value<sub>DP</sub>), and of the spleen in the portal phase as Value <sub>spleen</sub>.

With the Value<sub>NON</sub> and Value<sub>spleen</sub> as the reference, postcontrast tumorous attenuation difference ( $\Delta_{AP}$ ,  $\Delta_{PP}$ ,  $\Delta_{DP}$ ) and the difference between tumour and spleen ( $\Delta_{T-S}$ ), CER and tumour-to-spleen ratio (TSR) were calculated according to the following formulae:

$$\Delta_{AP/PP/DP} = Value_{AP/PP/DP} - Value_{NON}$$
(1)

$$\Delta_{\text{T-S}} = \text{Value}_{\text{PP}} - \text{Value}_{\text{spleen}} \tag{2}$$

$$\operatorname{CER}_{AP/PP/DP} = \Delta_{AP/PP/DP} / \operatorname{Value_{NON}} (3)$$

$$\operatorname{CER}_{\text{T-S}} = \Delta_{\text{T-S}} / \operatorname{Value}_{\text{spleen}}$$
 (4)

$$TSR = Value_{PP} / Value_{spleen}$$
(5)

where T-S in  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and CER<sub>T-S</sub> indicates tumour-to-spleen.

In addition, the gross tumour volumes (GTVs) were measured on the basis of portal phase CT images to identify whether GTVs were associated with LVI. Another radiologist (X.H.), with 7 years of experience in gastrointestinal imaging, manually outlined the visible tumours on each slice on the open software 3D Slicer (version 4.3, http://www.slicer.org), and GTVs were automatically calculated.

#### Pathological evaluation

Pathological tumour differentiation as one of the preoperative indicators was evaluated by endoscopic biopsy according to the WHO Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System (2010 version). In addition, the Lauren classification [17], which includes diffuse type, intestinal type and mixed type, was also determined preoperatively in our hospital.

After surgery, all gastric specimens were processed according to standard pathological procedures. All slides were analysed for LVI by pathologists with expertise in gastric pathology and were blinded to imaging findings. LVI was defined as the presence of tumour emboli within either the lymphatic or vascular channels [6]. Vascular channels have not been differentiated from lymphatic vessels owing to the difficulty and unsatisfactory reproducibility in clinical practice [9].



Fig. 1 Measurement of attenuation value of the tumour in a 61-year-old woman with gastric adenocarcinoma with lymphovascular invasion in the cardia. The circular regions of interest (ROIs) were positioned on the maximal section of the tumours in each phase. The tumour ROI was drawn to encompass the subsurface area of greatest enhancement among one of the three contrast-enhanced phases (the portal phase in this case), but limited to one-half the diameter of the thick gastric wall. Vessel structures, ulceration

and necrosis should not be involved in the ROIs. Next, the same size ROI was copied onto the CT images of the same slice in the other two contrastenhanced phases. (A) The mean attenuation value of the lesion is 36 HU in the non-contrast phase. (B) The mean attenuation value of the lesion is 103 HU in the arterial phase. (C) In the portal phase, the mean attenuation value of the lesion is 128 HU. (D) In the delayed phase, the mean attenuation value of the lesion is 104 HU

#### Statistical analysis

In this study, the patients were divided into groups of 'with LVI' and 'without LVI'. For categorical variables such as patient gender (female or male), age at the time of surgery ( $\leq 60 \text{ or } > 60 \text{ years}$ ) [4], tumour location (lower third, middle third, upper third or two-thirds or more) [18], tumour differentiation (well/moderate, poor/undifferentiated), Lauren classification (intestinal, diffuse or mixed), and preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA; normal or elevated (CEA value >5 ng/ml)) were analysed with the chi-square test between the two groups.

For continuous variables, data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (M  $\pm$  SD). Normality was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method, and variance homogeneity was then tested with the Levene's test.

A paired Student's t test was performed to evaluate the systematic bias of repeated ROI measurements; if there was no significant difference between the two measurements, the mean values of the ROIs were calculated for further analysis.

Moreover, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to assess the intra-observer reproducibility of CT value measurements. An ICC >0.75 was regarded as being in good agreement [19]. Tumour CT-derived parameters between the two groups were compared using the independent *t* test or Mann-Whitney U test, where appropriate.

The independent predictors for LVI were identified and their combination was built by multivariate logistic regression analysis, using the significant variables from the univariate analysis as inputs. In addition, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed to determine the area under the curve (AUC), accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV).

Furthermore, associations between CT predictors for LVI and tumour histological differentiation and Lauren classification were analysed using the chi-square test.

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics (version 22.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). A p value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

 
 Table 1
 Univariate analysis of preoperative clinicopathological variables in 278 advanced gastric cancer patients

Clinicopathological characteristics	Positive LVI (n = 167)	Negative LVI (n = 111)	P value
Gender			0.765
Male	113 (67.7)	77 (69.4)	
Female	54 (32.3)	34 (30.6)	
Age at surgery (y)			0.825
$\leq 60$	85 (50.9)	58 (52.3)	
>60	82 (49.1)	53 (47.7)	
Tumour location			0.625
Upper 1/3	52 (31.1)	42 (37.8)	
Middle 1/3	21 (12.6)	14 (12.6)	
Lower 1/3	77 (46.1)	47 (42.3)	
≥2/3	17 (10.2)	8 (7.2)	
Lauren classification			0.020
Diffuse type	76 (45.5)	48 (43.2)	
Intestinal type	33 (19.8)	37 (33.3)	
Mixed type	58 (34.7)	26 (23.4)	
Histological grade			0.036
Well/moderate	49 (29.3)	45 (40.5)	
Poor/undifferentiated	118 (70.7)	66 (59.5)	
CEA			0.321
Normal	127 (76.0)	90 (81.1)	
Elevated	40 (24.0)	21 (18.9)	

3387

Data are number of patients (%) or p value

P values were obtained with the chi-square test. A p value < 0.05 indicates a significant difference in patients' clinicopathological characteristics between cases with positive LVI and cases with negative LVI

LVI lymphovascular invasion

# Results

The histopathological results showed that 167 patients had LVI (60.07%) and 111 patients did not have LVI (39.93%).

#### Clinicopathological characteristics of the patients

The results of the univariate analysis for clinicopathological characteristics are presented in Table 1. The Lauren classification and histological grade showed a statistically significant association with LVI. Diffuse type and poorer histological grade showed a higher tendency for LVI in AGC.

With regard to gender, age, tumour location and CEA level, there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups (Table 1).

#### Intra-observer reproducibility of CT value measurements

No significant differences were observed between two repeated measurements of CT value measured by the same observer (P = 0.219-0.648). ICC (95% CI) for Value<sub>NON</sub>, Value<sub>AP</sub>, Value<sub>PP</sub>, Value<sub>DP</sub> and Value<sub>spleen</sub> varied from

0.885 (0.856–0.907) to 0.974 (0.968–0.979). All of them were in good agreement. Therefore, we calculated the mean CT values in different phases for further analysis.

# Comparison of CT-derived parameters between the two groups

The results of the univariate analysis for CT-derived parameters between the two groups are presented in Table 2. The mean tumour CT values in patients with LVI were significantly higher in both the portal and delayed phases (both P < 0.001).

When using tumour non-enhanced CT attenuation values as a reference standard, the CT attenuation difference ( $\Delta$ ) and the CERs were significantly higher in the group with LVI than in those in the group without LVI (all P < 0.001), except for  $\Delta_{AP}$  (P = 0.086).

With regard to the CT attenuation values of spleens in the portal phase (Value<sub>spleen</sub>), there were no significant differences between the two groups (Table 2). Using the spleen as a reference standard,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and TSR were significantly higher in the group with LVI (P < 0.001).

Table 2 Univariate analysis of CT imaging parameters in 278 advanced gastric cancer patients

Imaging parameters	Positive LVI ( $M \pm SD$ )	Negative LVI ( $M \pm SD$ )	P value
Value <sub>NON</sub>	$36.86 \pm 4.96$	$38.00 \pm 5.03$	0.062
Value <sub>AP</sub>	$73.71 \pm 14.91$	$71.54 \pm 16.59$	0.256
Value <sub>PP</sub>	$112.29 \pm 13.71$	$97.94 \pm 14.49$	< 0.001
Value <sub>DP</sub>	$100.36 \pm 14.93$	$90.20 \pm 16.06$	< 0.001
Value <sub>spleen</sub>	$124.40 \pm 12.77$	$124.76 \pm 16.07$	0.835
$\Delta_{AP}$	$36.85 \pm 15.22$	$33.53 \pm 16.46$	0.086
$\Delta_{\rm PP}$	$75.43 \pm 13.94$	$59.94 \pm 15.34$	< 0.001
$\Delta_{\rm DP}$	$63.50 \pm 14.82$	$52.20 \pm 15.80$	< 0.001
$\Delta_{\text{T-S}}$	$-12.11 \pm 14.34$	$-26.82 \pm 16.13$	< 0.001
CER <sub>AP</sub>	$1.03\pm0.47$	$0.91\pm0.49$	0.036
CER <sub>PP</sub>	$2.10 \pm 0.52$	$1.63 \pm 0.57$	< 0.001
CER <sub>DP</sub>	$1.76 \pm 0.51$	$1.40\pm0.51$	< 0.001
CER <sub>T-S</sub>	$-0.09 \pm 0.11$	$-0.21 \pm 0.11$	< 0.001
TSR	$0.91 \pm 0.11$	$0.79 \pm 0.11$	< 0.001
GTV(cm <sup>3</sup> )	$58.01 \pm 49.39$	$58.10\pm50.18$	0.988

P values were obtained by the independent t test or Mann-Whitney U test. A p value < 0.05 indicates a significant difference in CT imaging parameters between cases with positive LVI and cases with negative LVI

Data are mean attenuation in HU

LVI lymphovascular invasion, M mean, SD standard error, TSR tumour-to-spleen enhancement ratio in the portal phase, Value<sub>NON</sub> (Value<sub>AB</sub> Value<sub>PB</sub> Value<sub>DP</sub>) attenuation value of the tumour in the non-enhanced (arterial, portal, delayed) phase,  $Value_{spleen}$  attenuation value of the spleen in the portal phase,  $\Delta_{AP}$  ( $\Delta_{PB}$   $\Delta_{DP}$ ) attenuation difference of the tumour between the arterial (portal, delayed) phase and non-enhanced phases, CERAP (CERPB  $CER_{DP}$  contrast enhancement ratio of the arterial (portal, delayed) phase,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  attenuation difference between the tumour and spleen in the portal phase

In addition, GTVs showed no significant difference between the two groups (Table 2).

# Multivariate analysis of preoperative indicators for patients with and without LVI

In multivariate analysis, only  $\Delta_{PP}$  (odds ratio (OR), 1.056; 95% CI: 1.032–1.080) and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  (OR, 1.043; 95% CI: 1.020-1.066) were the independent predictors for LVI (both P < 0.001), which are presented in Table 3. Higher  $\Delta_{PP}$  and higher  $\Delta_{T-S}$  showed statistically significant associations with the presence of LVI (Table 3).

 
 Table 3
 Multivariate analysis of the preoperative parameters in
 predicting lymphovascular invasion in advanced gastric cancer

Parameter	P value	β	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	
				Lower	Upper
$\Delta_{\rm PP}$	< 0.001	0.054	1.056	1.032	1.080
$\Delta_{\text{T-S}}$	< 0.001	0.042	1.043	1.020	1.066

 $\Delta_{PP}$  CT attenuation difference of the tumour between the portal phase and the non-enhanced phase,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  CT attenuation difference between the tumour and spleen in the portal phase

#### Diagnostic performance of CT predictors and their combination

The diagnostic performance of  $\Delta_{PP}$ ,  $\Delta_{T-S}$ , and their combination are listed in Table 4. Although  $\Delta_{PP}$  showed relatively higher accuracy and sensitivity, the specificity of detection of LVI was relatively lower than that of  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and their combination. The combination of  $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  achieved a relatively higher AUC (0.800), specificity (77.8%) and PPV (82.1%) than those of  $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$ .

ROC analysis of  $\Delta_{PP}$ ,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and their combination for the prediction of LVI in AGC is shown in Fig. 2.

# Association of pathological characteristics with CT predictors for LVI

Although histological grade and Lauren classification were not the independent predictors for LVI, they showed a statistically significant association with LVI (Table 1). To further clarify the pathological characteristics of the CT predictors for LVI, associations between the CT predictors ( $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$ ) with tumour histological differentiation and Lauren classification are shown in Table 5. Poorer histological grade and diffuse type showed a statistically significant association with the group of higher  $\Delta_{PP}$  or  $\Delta_{T-S}$  (Table 5).

These is receiver operating enabled analysis and diagnostic performance of 2pg, 21-5 and alon combination							
Variables	Cutoff (HU)	AUC (95% CI)	SEN (%)	SPE (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	Accuracy (%)
$\Delta_{\rm PP}$	59.50	0.775 (0.719–0.831)	88.6	54.1	74.4	76.0	74.8
$\Delta_{\text{T-S}}$	-18.50	0.747 (0.688-0.806)	68.3	69.4	77.1	59.2	68.7
Combination	-0.142	0.800 (0.747–0.853)	67.6	77.8	82.1	61.5	71.7

**Table 4** Receiver operating characteristic analysis and diagnostic performance of  $\Delta_{PP}$ ,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and their combination

 $\Delta_{PP}$  attenuation difference of the tumour between the portal phase and the non-enhanced phase,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  attenuation difference between the tumour and spleen in the portal phase, *Combination* combination of  $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  as a biomarker, *HU* Hounsfield unit, *AUC* area under the curve, 95% CI 95% confidence interval, *SEN* sensitivity, *SPE* specificity, *PPV* positive predictive value, *NPV* negative predictive value

#### Discussion

This study demonstrated that tumour enhancement measured with preoperative multiphasic dynamic CT was a non-invasive, quantitative method that could predict LVI in patients with AGC, of which  $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  were independent predictors for LVI in AGC, with acceptable predictive performance, which could be improved by their combination.

Yin et al. showed that  $CER_{AP}$  was associated with microvascular invasion (p = 0.01) in univariate analysis, which was consistent with our results. Previous studies indicated that the VEGF family induced both angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis actively [20], and tumour neovascularization was closely related to LVI in patients with GC [21, 22]. Theoretically, the arterial phase, which reflected vasclularity and haemodynamic change [23], might reflect the presence of LVI. However, our study showed that  $CER_{AP}$  was not an independent predictor for LVI, a point which was not fully understood and needs further study to address.

The mean CT attenuation value of AGC in the portal phase reported by Tsurumaru et al. was 117.1 HU, which was greater than that in our study (106.6 HU). The difference may be explained by the different CT acquisition time and smaller size in their study (n = 24) [13]. In our study,  $\Delta_{PP}$  and  $\Delta_{T-S}$  were significantly higher in the group with LVI. The results might be explained as follows: LVI occurs when tumour cells infiltrate and destroy the vascular and/or lymphatic structures and form vascular cancer emboli [9]. It is known that portal enhancement reflects the diffusion of contrast medium in the interstitial space [23]. Destruction of lymphovascular structures may increase the microvascular permeability, which can account for higher a CT value in the portal phase.

As the most common classification systems for gastric adenocarcinoma, histological differentiation and Lauren classification have been reported to be significantly correlated with the presence of LVI [4], which is in accordance with our results. Our study also showed that AGC of diffuse type and poorer histological grade had higher  $\Delta_{PP}$  or  $\Delta_{T-S}$ .

Although an attractive technique to assess permeability, perfusion CT is infrequently used in gastric cancer for the following reasons: first, the mean radiation dose of perfusion CT is higher than conventional CT; second, there is restricted anatomical coverage in the z-axis of older CT machines; third, artefacts due to respiration; and fourth, the anatomical structure and physiological characteristics of the stomach [24]. Although researchers have proposed using perfusion CT to assess



Fig. 2 Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) analysis in patients with and without LVI. (A) ROC curve demonstrates how use of a  $\Delta_{PP}$  cutoff of 59.5 HU helps differentiate positive LVI cases from negative LVI cases. (B) ROC curve demonstrates how use of a  $\Delta_{T-S}$ 

cutoff of -18.50 HU helps differentiate positive LVI cases from negative LVI cases. (C) ROC curve demonstrates how use of a combination cutoff of -0.142 helps differentiate positive LVI cases from negative LVI cases

 
 Table 5
 Associations between the CT predictors for lymphovascular invasion and histological differentiation/ Lauren classification of the tumours

Pathological characteristics	$\Delta_{\rm PP}$ (HU)		P value	$\Delta_{\text{T-S}}$ (HU)		P value
	≤59.5	>59.5		≤-18.5	>-18.5	
Histological grade			0.013			0.001
Well/moderate	37 (44.6)	57 (29.2)		58 (43.6)	36 (24.8)	
Poor/undifferentiated	46 (55.4)	138 (70.8)		75 (56.4)	109 (75.2)	
Total	83 (100)	195 (100)		133 (100)	145 (100)	
Lauren classification			0.009			0.008
Diffuse type	30 (36.1)	94 (48.2)		49 (36.8)	75 (51.7)	
Intestinal type	31 (37.3)	39 (20.0)		44 (33.1)	26 (17.9)	
Mixed type	22 (26.5)	62 (31.8)		40 (30.1)	44 (30.3)	
Total	83 (100)	195 (100)		133 (100)	145 (100)	

Data are number of patients (%) or p value

 $\Delta_{PP}$  attenuation difference of the tumour between the portal phase and the non-enhanced phase,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  attenuation difference between the tumour and spleen in the portal phase – both of them were divided into two groups by the proposed optimal cut-off values, *HU* Hounsfield unit

P values were obtained with the chi-square test. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

angiogenesis and malignancy in GC, they reported varied results. For example, Asami Satoh et al. reported a decrease in tumour perfusion with increasing level of malignancy in terms of histological differentiation and Lauren classification [25]. However, some studies showed higher blood perfusion and permeability changes in poorly differentiated GC [26, 27]. In contrast to perfusion CT, dual energy CT, which enables quantification of tumour perfusion based on iodine-related attenuation, can be performed within a single breath-hold without increasing radiation dose compared with a single-energy CT protocol. One drawback of this technology is the increased cost of maintenance fees due to the larger x-ray tube [28]. Dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI can also be used to evaluate haemodynamic features; however, a longer scanning time, higher cost and some contraindications result in limited use of this technique [28, 29]. To our knowledge, there are no studies that have addressed the relationship between perfusion/dual energy CT or DCE-MRI with LVI. Therefore, further studies are needed to compare multiphasic dynamic CT with emerging CT technologies or DCE-MRI to establish a priority method to predict LVI in patients with AGC.

In previous studies, tumours with larger diameters have been shown to be the preoperative predictor for LVI in hepatocellular carcinoma [4, 10]. However, unidirectional measurements carriy high variability. There were some studies reported that volume measurement could compensate for this shortcoming [10, 30]. Our study is the first to compare GTVs between the two groups with and without LVI in AGC. Unexpectedly, there was no significant correlation between GTVs and LVI status of AGC in the present study.

There are several limitations in our study. First, we could not completely avoid a site-by-site bias when placing ROIs on the CT images in all phases. However, as the gastric wall involved by cancer was stiff and peristalsis disappeared, the tumours were compared with themselves instead of the normal gastric wall in our study. In addition, by encouraging patients to maintain breath-hold during scanning, the mismatches of ROIs were maximally controlled. Second, we did not assess inter-observer agreement by multiple reviewers, although intra-observer agreement by multiple reviewers, although intra-observer agreement was shown to be good in our study. Third, there was an interval of only 2 weeks between the two repeated CT value measurements in this study, which might involve memory bias. An interval time of at least 3 months would be optimal. However, previous studies have reported variable interval times of 1 week or more. Finally, because this was a retrospective study with unintended selection bias, the cut-off of the CT predictors for LVI must be verified in a further prospective study.

In conclusion, quantitative enhancement measurement during multiphasic dynamic CT, such as  $\Delta_{PP}$ ,  $\Delta_{T-S}$  and their combination, may serve as surrogate markers for detecting LVI preoperatively. Patients with suspected positive LVI might need an aggressive treatment plan such as adjuvant chemotherapy or a greater extent of surgery [23, 31].

Acknowledgements The scientific guarantor of this publication is Zaiyi Liu. The authors of this manuscript declare no relationships with any companies whose products or services may be related to the subject matter of the article.

This study received funding from the National Natural Scientific Foundation of China (No. 81271569, No. 81601469 and NO.U1301258).

No complex statistical methods were necessary for this paper. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained. Written informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board. Study subjects or cohorts have not been previously reported. Methodology: retrospective diagnostic study, performed at one institution.

# References

- Songun I, Putter H, Kranenbarg EM, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ (2010) Surgical treatment of gastric cancer: 15-year follow-up results of the randomised nationwide Dutch D1D2 trial. Lancet Oncol 11:439–449
- Komori M, Asayama Y, Fujita N et al (2013) Extent of arterial tumor enhancement measured with preoperative MDCT gastrography is a prognostic factor in advanced gastric cancer after curative resection. AJR Am J Roentgenol 201:W253–W261
- Ahmad R, Setia N, Schmidt BH et al (2016) Predictors of Lymph Node Metastasis in Western Early Gastric Cancer. J Gastrointest Surg 20:531–538
- Li P, He HQ, Zhu CM et al (2015) The prognostic significance of lymphovascular invasion in patients with resectable gastric cancer: a large retrospective study from Southern China. BMC Cancer 15:370
- Talamonti MS, Kim SP, Yao KA et al (2003) Surgical outcomes of patients with gastric carcinoma: the importance of primary tumor location and microvessel invasion. Surgery 134:720–727
- Dicken BJ, Graham K, Hamilton SM et al (2006) Lymphovascular Invasion Is Associated With Poor Survival in Gastric Cancer. Ann Surg 243:64–73
- Maehara Y, Kabashima A, Koga T et al (2000) Vascular invasion and potential for tumor angiogenesis and metastasis in gastric carcinoma. Surgery 128:408–416
- Padera TP, Kadambi A, di Tomaso E et al (2002) Lymphatic metastasis in the absence of functional intratumor lymphatics. Science 296:1883–1886
- Kikuchi E, Margulis V, Karakiewicz PI et al (2009) Lymphovascular invasion predicts clinical outcomes in patients with node-negative upper tract urothelial carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 27:612–618
- Chou CT, Chen RC, Lee CW, Ko CJ, Wu HK, Chen YL (2012) Prediction of microvascular invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma by pre-operative CT imaging. Br J Radiol 85:778–783
- Kim SH, Kim CS, Kim MJ, Cho JY, Cho SH (2016) Differentiation of clear cell renal cell carcinoma from other subtypes and fat-poor angiomyolipoma by use of quantitative enhancement measurement during three-phase MDCT. Am J Roentgenol 206:W21–W28
- Lee-Felker SA, Felker ER, Tan N et al (2014) Qualitative and Quantitative MDCT Features for Differentiating Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma From Other Solid Renal Cortical Masses. Am J Roentgenol 203:W516–W524
- Tsurumaru D, Miyasaka M, Nishimuta Y et al (2015) Differentiation of early gastric cancer with ulceration and resectable advanced gastric cancer using multiphasic dynamic multidetector CT. Eur Radiol 26:1330–1337
- Yin XD, Huang WB, Lu CY, Zhang L, Wang LW, Xie GH (2011) A preliminary study on correlations of triple-phase multi-slice CT scan with histological differentiation and intratumoral microvascular/lymphatic invasion in gastric cancer. Chin Med J (Engl) 124:347–351
- Kim HJ, Kim AY, Oh ST et al (2005) Gastric cancer staging at multi-detector row CT gastrography: comparison of transverse and volumetric CT scanning. Radiology 236:879–885

- Rockall AG, Sohaib SA, Evans D et al (2003) Hepatic steatosis in Cushing's syndrome: a radiological assessment using computed tomography. Eur J Endocrinol 149:543–548
- Lauren P (1965) The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: Diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma. An attempt at a histo-clinical classification. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand 64:31–49
- Liang YX, Deng JY, Guo HH et al (2013) Characteristics and prognosis of gastric cancer in patients aged >/= 70 years. World J Gastroenterol 19:6568–6578
- Busing KA, Kilian AK, Schaible T, Debus A, Weiss C, Neff KW (2008) Reliability and validity of MR image lung volume measurement in fetuses with congenital diaphragmatic hernia and in vitro lung models. Radiology 246:553–561
- Holopainen T, Bry M, Alitalo K, Saaristo A (2011) Perspectives on lymphangiogenesis and angiogenesis in cancer. J Surg Oncol 103: 484–488
- Tomoda M, Maehara Y, Kakeji Y, Ohno S, Ichiyoshi Y, Sugimachi K (1999) Intratumoral neovascularization and growth pattern in early gastric carcinoma. Cancer 85:2340–2346
- Maehara Y, Kakeji Y, Oda S, Baba H, Sugimachi K (2001) Tumor growth patterns and biological characteristics of early gastric carcinoma. Oncology 61:102–112
- Kim H, Park MS, Choi JY et al (2009) Can microvessel invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma be predicted by pre-operative MRI? Eur Radiol 19:1744–1751
- Yao J, Yang ZG, Chen TW, Li Y, Yang L (2010) Perfusion changes in gastric adenocarcinoma: evaluation with 64-section MDCT. Abdom Imaging 35:195–202
- Satoh A, Shuto K, Okazumi S et al (2010) Role of perfusion CT in assessing tumor blood flow and malignancy level of gastric cancer. Dig Surg 27:253–260
- Sun ZQ, Cheng XF, Ge YX et al (2015) Role of CT perfusion imaging in patients with variously differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma. J Xray Sci Technol 23:737–744
- Zongqiong S, Xiaohong L, Wei C et al (2016) CT perfusion imaging of the stomach: a quantitative analysis according to different degrees of adenocarcinoma cell differentiation. Clin Imaging 40: 558–562
- Sieren JC, Ohno Y, Koyama H, Sugimura K, McLennan G (2010) Recent technological and application developments in computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for improved pulmonary nodule detection and lung cancer staging. J Magn Reson Imaging 32:1353–1369
- Dong Y, Lei GW, Wang SW, Zheng SW, Ge Y, Wei FC (2014) Diagnostic value of CT perfusion imaging for parotid neoplasms. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 43:20130237
- Lee SM, Kim SH, Lee JM et al (2009) Usefulness of CT volumetry for primary gastric lesions in predicting pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced gastric cancer. Abdom Imaging 34:430–440
- Mori N, Mugikura S, Takasawa C et al (2016) Peritumoral apparent diffusion coefficients for prediction of lymphovascular invasion in clinically node-negative invasive breast cancer. Eur Radiol 26:331–339