### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Thermal adaptations of adults and eggs in the Arctic seed bug** *Nysius groenlandicus* **(Insecta: Hemiptera) from South Greenland**

**S. Bahrndorf1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0838-4008) T. Alemu2 · T. N. Kristensen1 · M. H. Sørensen1 · T. T. Høye3,5 · M. Holmstrup4,5**

Received: 27 April 2020 / Revised: 18 January 2021 / Accepted: 22 January 2021 / Published online: 15 February 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021

#### **Abstract**

Temperatures in Arctic and sub-Arctic regions are highly variable, with long, and cold winters, and short summers. Studies on thermal biology of ectotherms from these latitudes have mostly focused on how organisms cope with cold temperatures. However, temperatures in microhabitats such as south-facing slopes can occasionally reach high and potentially stressful temperatures. Such microclimatic conditions are challenging for organisms, since they need to time their development to coincide with the short summer, while coping with thermal extremes during summer and winter. In the present study, we investigate upper and lower thermal limits of adults and eggs in *Nysius groenlandicus*, a univoltine seed bug widely distributed in Greenland and alpine areas of Scandinavia and with preference for dry and sunny habitats. Secondly, we investigate the survival strategy of the overwintering egg stage during cold exposure. We found a clear association between the microhabitat temperatures experienced by each specifc life stage and their heat and cold tolerance. Thus, adults and eggs, which are both exposed to high summer temperatures, showed a high heat tolerance. Adults which do not overwinter had very poor cold tolerance, whereas eggs produced in autumn were very cold hardy (with some survival at − 21 °C). Cold tolerance of the eggs of *N. groenlandicus* was based on freeze avoidance through extensive supercooling and by conserving water. These results suggest life stage-specifc adaptation to heat and cold stress in Arctic and sub-Arctic insects, and highlight new research avenues addressing adaptation to higher and more variable temperatures in Arctic and sub-Arctic regions.

**Keywords** Life cycle · Insects · Arctic · Cold stress · Univoltine · Seed bug · Heat stress

# **Introduction**

Temperatures in Arctic and sub-Arctic regions are highly variable, and large temperature fuctuations can occur both within and across seasons (IPCC [2014](#page-6-0); Convey et al. [2018](#page-6-1)). In winter, species will experience severe cold conditions, where temperatures can go below  $-30$  °C, and depending

 $\boxtimes$  S. Bahrndorff sba@bio.aau.dk

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, Fredrik Bajers Vej 7H, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Natural Resource Management, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia
- <sup>3</sup> Section for Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Grenåvej 14, 8410 Rønde, Denmark
- <sup>4</sup> Section for Terrestrial Ecology, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Vejlsøvej 25, 8600 Silkeborg, Denmark
- <sup>5</sup> Arctic Research Center, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 114, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

on microhabitat, many species will regularly be exposed to temperatures below  $-20$  °C (Danks et al. [1994\)](#page-6-2). In contrast, although summers are generally short and cool, microhabitat temperatures vary substantially and on sun exposed slopes may reach 40 °C or more (Danks et al. [1994](#page-6-2); Böcher and Nachman [2001](#page-6-3); Graham et al. [2012](#page-6-4); Convey et al. [2018\)](#page-6-1).

Conditions suitable for insect growth and development in the Arctic are limited to short summers, which means that many species need more than 1 year to complete their life cycle (Danks et al. [1994](#page-6-2); Denlinger and Lee [2010](#page-6-5)). Similarly, across species, it varies which life stage overwinters and thus also which stage(s) is exposed to the extreme cold conditions during winter (Danks et al. [1994\)](#page-6-2). The life cycle timing of Arctic and sub-Arctic insects thus depends as much on the need to coincide development with short summers as on the need to resist thermal extremes during winter and summer. Life stage-specifc abilities to cope with heat and cold stress are well investigated in temperate and tropical insects (Bowler and Terblanche [2008](#page-6-6); MacLean et al. [2017](#page-6-7)). However, we have limited information on life

stage-specifc abilities to cope with heat in Arctic or sub-Arctic species (but see Jaco and Chown [1997](#page-6-8) and Anthony et al. [2019](#page-6-9)).

For the study of thermal limits across life stages of Arctic and sub-Arctic insects, the seed bug, *Nysius groenlandicus* Zetterstedt, is a well-suited model. *N. groenlandicus* is widely distributed in Arctic and sub-Arctic regions including Greenland and can reach numbers exceeding 100 individuals per square meter. Results suggest that, in Greenland, the species is univoltine, generally hatching from overwintering eggs in June, and then develop through its fve nymph stages to reach the adult stage in July before laying eggs throughout August (Böcher [1976;](#page-6-10) Böcher and Nachman [2001\)](#page-6-3). Temperatures in habitats where *N. groenlandicus* is found are often high during summertime and with correspondingly low levels of humidity (Böcher [1972;](#page-6-11) Böcher and Nachman [2001](#page-6-3); Sørensen et al. [2019\)](#page-7-0), although low temperatures can also be experienced during summer (Coulson et al. [1995\)](#page-6-12). For example, temperature during summer can range from 5 to 45 ºC in one day (Sørensen et al. [2019\)](#page-7-0). In accordance with this, both nymphs and adults prefer temperatures of about 30 °C (Böcher and Nachman [2001](#page-6-3)). During winter, the same areas may have shallow snow cover with soil surface temperatures similar to air temperatures that occasionally can drop below − 35 °C in northern parts of the range of *N. groenlandicus*. Insects in polar regions, including *N. groenlandicus*, are living at temperatures below their optimal temperature for development and reproduction. They are, therefore, expected to beneft from higher temperatures (Deutsch et al. [2008](#page-6-13)). However, the mechanisms which enable insects to cope with heat stress or beneft from occasional high summer temperatures in the Arctic and sub-Arctic regions are virtually unknown (but see Hodkinson et al. [1996](#page-6-14); Høye and Culler [2018](#page-6-15); Sørensen et al. [2019](#page-7-0)).

The eggs of *N. groenlandicus* are laid in litter on the soil surface and the species overwinters in the diapausing egg stage, which is exposed to microhabitat temperatures of − 20 °C or lower during winter (Böcher [1976;](#page-6-10) Böcher and Nachman [2011](#page-6-16)). No data indicate that eggs spend more than a single winter before hatching and nymphs or adults never overwinter. The winter survival strategy employed by *N. groenlandicus* eggs is not known, although freeze avoidance by supercooling is the predominant strategy in many Arctic insects including other Hemiptera species (Sømme [1982](#page-7-1); Sinclair et al. [2003](#page-7-2)). The effects of sub-zero temperatures depend on exposure duration and severity as well as life stage or acclimation status of the organism (Denlinger and Lee [2010\)](#page-6-5). The physiological adaptations of insects to survive these sub-zero winter temperatures in polar habitats are relatively well understood. Species which inhabit cold environments generally use one of two main survival strategies known as *freeze avoidance* and *freeze tolerance*, respectively (Denlinger and Lee [2010;](#page-6-5) Teets and Denlinger [2013](#page-7-3)). Many insects exposed to sub-zero temperatures are freeze avoiding and will in winter increase cold tolerance by promoting their ability to supercool. The capacity to supercool increases with the shedding of ice nucleators from hemolymph and gut, accumulation of low-molecular-mass solutes in hemolymph, and as water content decreases (Denlinger and Lee [2010\)](#page-6-5). Freeze tolerant insects are able to tolerate freezing of their extracellular body fuids and most species avoid extensive supercooling by accumulation of ice nucleating molecules in their guts or in hemolymph (Denlinger and Lee [2010\)](#page-6-5). Finally, small and highly permeable invertebrates use cryoprotective dehydration, where they do not base their winter survival on supercooling, but instead dehydrate and equilibrate their body fuid melting point to the ambient temperature (Holmstrup et al. [2002](#page-6-17)).

In the present study, we investigated the critical thermal limits in adults and eggs of *N. groenlandicus*. We expected, given an assumed univoltine life cycle, that the adults of *N. groenlandicus* would be adapted to the thermal conditions experienced during summer, whereas the eggs would show thermal adaptations to both summer and winter conditions. Since eggs of *N. groenlandicus* are small and exposed to low sub-zero temperatures for long periods of time during winter, they are prone to become dehydrated during winter by the low water vapor pressure of ice in their habitat (Holmstrup [2014\)](#page-6-18). We therefore also investigated if the cold tolerance strategy of the eggs of *N. groenlandicus* is based on cryoprotective dehydration or supercooling.

## **Materials and methods**

# **Sample collection and maintenance**

Collection of adult individuals was performed using a fne mesh sweeping net and seed bugs were subsequently transferred to plastic tubes with screw caps (100 mL,  $76 \times 62$  mm) containing various grass seeds collected in the feld for food and structure. Eggs for the experiments were collected either on grass seeds from the feld or eggs laid by individuals in the laboratory using a fne brush. Seed bugs were collected in August, 2016 and 2017 in the area of Narsarsuaq in South Greenland (Lat: 61.18, Long: − 45.38). The habitat in which the eggs were collected is dominated by large fuctuations in temperature during summer and can reach temperatures up to 45 °C (Sørensen et al. [2019](#page-7-0)). In the laboratory, the seed bugs were maintained in large petri dishes  $(145 \times 20 \text{ mm})$  in a climate room at 20 °C ( $\pm$  0.5) and a relative humidity of 51.6%  $(\pm 4.4)$  with 12:12 light/dark cycle. Individuals had access to water from tubes (11.5 mL,  $100 \times 15.7$  mm) closed with cotton balls. The seed bugs were fed raw and unsalted sunfower seeds.

#### **Study design**

#### **Thermal tolerance of adults**

Field obtained adults collected in 2016 were quickly sexed under  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  anesthesia (less than 5 min exposure) and then returned to 20 °C for 24 h before tests of critical thermal limits ( $CT_{min}$  ( $N=7-8$ ) and  $CT_{max}$  ( $N=9$ )) following procedures in Overgaard et al. [\(2012\)](#page-7-4) and Sørensen et al. [\(2019](#page-7-0)). In short, adults were placed in individual 5 mL glass vials and placed in a circulating water/glycol bath at 20 °C and subsequently exposed to a slow ramp down or up of temperature (rate of 0.1  $^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>) for assessment of critical thermal minimum ( $CT_{min}$ ) or maximum ( $CT_{max}$ ), respectively. The temperature at which all movement ceased was recorded as the thermal limit ( $CT_{min}$  or  $CT_{max}$ ) for that individual (Terblanche et al. [2011](#page-7-5)). Controls were kept in similar vials and conditions, but at constant 20 °C.

#### **Thermal tolerance of eggs**

Field studies suggest that *N. groenlandicus* is hibernating in an obligatory diapause egg stage. However, laboratory studies show that some individuals will develop without diapause and that the percentage of hatching eggs will increase after exposure to cold periods (Böcher [1975](#page-6-19)). Eggs collected in the field in 2016 (see above) were thus kept at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 21 days to terminate diapause. Subsequently, eggs were exposed to either heat or cold ramping  $(0.1 \degree C \text{min}^{-1})$ . When lower temperatures  $(-10, -15, -20, \text{ and } -21 \degree \text{C})$  or upper temperatures (30, 35, 40, and 50  $^{\circ}$ C) were reached six-toseven replicates of 10 eggs per temperature were removed from the water bath and placed under control conditions (20 °C). Subsequently, the number of hatched eggs (frst instar nymphs) was recorded daily.

# **Supercooling points, hemolymph melting point, water content, and survival during long‑term cold exposure**

Eggs laid by the adults collected in the feld in August 2017 were used for Diferential Scanning Calorimetry analysis (DSC) following cold exposure. In this experiment, eggs were placed in vials (1.6 cm diameter and 3 cm high), which were subsequently placed in 200 mL plastic beakers (7 cm diameter and 4 cm high) containing crushed ice to simulate overwintering in frozen litter. The beakers were closed with paraflm and a ftting lid, so that the eggs were exposed to the vapor pressure of ice (Holmstrup [2014](#page-6-18)). The beakers containing ice and sample vials were placed in custom-made programmable freezing cabinets where temperature was gradually lowered. Initially, the beakers were held at  $-4$  °C for 19 days, then 14 days at  $-6$  °C, 14 days at  $-9$  °C, and finally 35 days at  $-12$  °C and at each of these temperatures eggs were taken for DSC analysis to establish supercooling point  $(N=14-26)$ , water content  $(N=4-5)$ , and melting temperature  $(N=4-5)$  of eggs. Furthermore, hatchability of eggs (*N*=4–5) was scored following cold exposure after exposure to temperatures down to  $-6$  °C and  $-12$  °C. Adults kept at 20 °C were included in DSC analysis for comparison.

#### **Diferential scanning calorimetry analysis**

Differential scanning calorimetry analysis provided the supercooling point (SCP) during cooling scan, and the melt onset temperature during the heating scan. The melt onset temperature of intact insects and eggs provides an indication of the melting point of hemolymph or egg contents (Holmstrup [2018](#page-6-20)).

DSC analysis was conducted using a DSC4000 calorimeter (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Three-to-fve eggs per replicate were transferred to a preweighed 50 µL aluminum DSC-pan, and their combined fresh weight was determined using a Sartorius SC 2 microbalance (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) accurate to 1 µg. The pan was immediately hermetically sealed and the combined weight of the eggs and sealed pan was determined. After the DSC analysis, the pan was punctured and dried for 48 h at 60 °C. The combined weight of pan and dried individual was determined and water content calculated as mg water mg−1 dry weight. Samples were subjected to a DSC program consisting of four steps: (1) hold for 1 min at  $5^{\circ}$ C; (2) cool to – 50 °C at a rate of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>; (3) hold for 1 min at – 50 °C; (4) heat to 5 °C at a rate of 1 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. The melt endotherm (enthalpy change during melting of ice formed in the animal) of the heating scan curve was analyzed and the melt onset temperature estimated using Pyris Software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) as described by Block ([1994\)](#page-6-21).

## **Statistics**

Prior to analysis, all data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance. Percentage hatching was arcsin sqrt transformed to improve normality. For analysis of diferences between treatment groups, we performed oneway analyses of variance (ANOVA) or a non-parametric (Kruskal–Wallis) test in cases where the assumption of normality was not met. Comparisons of individual samples were done using Tukey's pairwise tests or Dunn's post hoc test. IBM SPSS Statistics (v. 25) and SigmaPlot (v. 11) were used for statistical analyses and visualization.

## **Results**

## **Thermal tolerance of adults and eggs**

For adults of *N. groenlandicus* CT<sub>min</sub> for females and males were  $-3.4 \pm 0.2$  °C and  $-3.2 \pm 0.1$  °C (mean  $\pm$  SE), respectively, whereas  $CT_{max}$  for females and males were  $48.5 \pm 0.1$  °C and  $48.6 \pm 0.2$  °C, respectively. There were no significant differences between sexes in upper and lower thermal limits ( $CT_{\text{min}}$ ,  $t = 0.569$ ,  $P = 0.579$ ;  $CT_{\text{max}}$ ,  $t=0.100, P=0.921$ .

For eggs kept at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 21 days and subsequently at 20 °C, the percentage of eggs hatching was 31%. When exposed to a cold ramping treatment, decreasing temperatures resulted in a lower hatchability, with 21% at − [1](#page-3-0)0 °C and 7% at − 21 °C (Fig. 1a). There was a signifcant efect of temperature treatment on hatchability  $(df=4, MS=0.330, P<0.01)$  and pairwise multiple comparison showed that hatchability was signifcantly lower at  $- 15$  °C,  $- 20$  °C, and  $- 21$  °C compared to that of 20 °C  $(P < 0.05)$ .

When exposed to a heat ramping treatment, increasing temperatures resulted in higher egg hatchability, with 12% after brief exposure to 30 °C and 52% after exposure to 50  $\degree$ C (Fig. [1b](#page-3-0)). There was a significant effect of temperature treatment on hatchability ( $df = 4$ ,  $MS = 0.312$ , *P*<0.05) and pairwise multiple comparison showed that hatchability after exposure to 50  $\degree$ C was significantly higher compared to those exposed to 30 °C ( $P < 0.05$ ).

# **Supercooling points, hemolymph melt onset temperature, water content, and survival during long‑term cold exposure of eggs**

The supercooling point of eggs ranged between – 30.4 °C and − 32.6 °C throughout the experiment (Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)). There was no significant effect of acclimation time/temperature on supercooling point  $(H=4.951, df=4, P=0.292)$ . Mean supercooling point of adults was  $-9.5$  °C ( $N=8$ ).

The water content of the eggs did not difer signifcantly across timepoints  $(H = 1.252, df = 4, P = 0.869)$ . The eggs had a mean water content of  $2.20 \pm 0.21$  mg mg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight at the beginning of the experiment and  $1.97 \pm 0.20$  mg mg<sup>-1</sup> at day 81 (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)b).

The melt onset temperature was  $-1.85 \pm 0.08$  °C at day 3, and subsequently decreasing until reaching  $-2.11 \pm 0.04$  °C at day 81 (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)). There was a signifcant efect of exposure time/temperature on melt onset temperature ( $H = 14.18$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ), where melting point at day 3 was signifcantly higher compared to the melting point at day 35 and 49 ( $P < 0.05$ ).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Mean (±SE) percentage of eggs of *Nysius groenlandicus* hatching following **a** cold exposure and **b** heat exposure. Eggs were exposed to heat or cold ramping  $(0.1 \text{ min}^{-1})$ , and when lower temperatures ( $-5$  °C,  $-10$  °C,  $-15$  °C,  $-20$  °C, and  $-25$  °C) or upper temperatures (25 °C, 30 °C, 35 °C, 40 °C, and 45 °C) were reached, six-to-seven replicates of 10 eggs per temperature were removed from the water bath and placed under control conditions (20 °C) and number of hatched eggs scored

Survival of eggs following exposure to cold temperatures down to  $-6$  °C was 40.7% whereas at  $-12$  °C, survival had increased to 79.1%, and there was a signifcant difference between timepoints  $(T=30, P<0.05)$ .

# **Discussion**

In Arctic and sub-Arctic regions, insects are exposed to extreme cold conditions during winter. However, in summer, both adults and eggs of *N. groenlandicus* can be exposed to stressful high temperatures (Danks et al. [1994;](#page-6-2) Danks [2004](#page-6-22); Sørensen et al. [2019\)](#page-7-0). We found that the upper thermal limit, measured as  $CT_{\text{max}}$ , of summer acclimated adults, was on average 48.5 °C. This may seem surprisingly high



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Mean  $(\pm SE)$ : **a** supercooling point, **b** water content, and **c** melting point of eggs of *Nysius groenlandicus* following cold exposure (left *y*-axis). The gray dashed line in each plot indicates thermal exposure conditions (– 3 °C, – 6 °C, – 9 °C, and 12 °C) (right y-axis) and the timepoints where samples were taken to evaluate the effects of on survival, supercooling point  $(N=14-26)$ , water content, and melting temperature (*N*=4–5) of eggs of *Nysius groenlandicus*

for an Arctic insect, but is in accordance with the microhabitat temperatures experienced in their natural habitat and in agreement with what has been found in the other studies (Sørensen et al. [2019\)](#page-7-0). Results suggest that this species can respond plastically to high temperatures, but it is currently unclear to what extent adults and nymphs of *N.* 

*groenlandicus* can behaviorally avoid thermal extremes (Sørensen et al. [2019\)](#page-7-0). Similarly, eggs exposed to rapid and brief heat treatments and subsequently left to hatch at benign temperatures showed increasing hatchability with increasing heat exposure. Together, this suggests that both the adults and eggs show adaptations to extreme heat stress and highlight the need for studies addressing adaptations to heat stress in cold environments (Hodkinson et al. [1996](#page-6-14); Everatt et al. [2013\)](#page-6-23). Before upper thermal limit was determined, individuals were exposed to 0 °C. It is likely that such cold exposure of the eggs will affect thermal tolerances due to acclimation effects (e.g., Bahrndorff et al. [2016](#page-6-24); MacLean et al. [2017](#page-6-7); Noer et al. [2020](#page-7-6)). For example, in *Drosophila*, brief exposure to cold during the egg and larval stage can afect thermal performance of adults (using activity measurements, but not  $CT_{max}$  and  $CT_{min}$ ) (MacLean et al. [2017](#page-6-7)). The percentage of eggs hatching at control conditions was 31%, which is relatively low, but comparable to results by Böcher ([1975\)](#page-6-19). It is possible that the remaining eggs were infertile or did not break the diapause. However, future studies may improve percentage egg hatching focusing on the efect of photoperiod and importance of temperature (Böcher [1975](#page-6-19)).

It is also unclear why brief exposure to 50 °C before incubation at 20 °C improved hatchability compared with eggs exposed to constant 30 °C. It may be that this species depends on high-temperature spells for successful egg development and that increasing temperatures will be advantageous for *N. groenlandicus*. Alternatively, this may simply be a stress response, which could have costs afecting subsequent life-history traits negatively (Loeschcke and Hofmann [2007;](#page-6-25) Marshall and Sinclair [2010;](#page-7-7) Alemu et al. [2017;](#page-6-26) Jensen et al. [2019](#page-6-27)). Several studies have suggested a link between diapause, thermal tolerance, and expression of heat shock proteins. For example, Goto et al. ([1998\)](#page-6-28) found that diapausing individuals of *Drosophila triauraria* were signifcantly more tolerant to heat, but there were no diferences in Hsp70 expression between diapausing and non-diapausing individuals. Other studies have found that transcription of Hsp23 and Hsp70 in pupae in diapause is highly upregulated and that expression is reduced when diapause is terminated (Yocum et al. [1998](#page-7-8); Rinehart et al. [2000](#page-7-9)). The involvement of heat shock proteins could explain the increase in hatchability with increasing temperature, but may also explain the low hatchability at moderate ramping end temperature. It would thus be relevant in future studies to address the role of diapause on thermal tolerance and expression of heat shock proteins, and the costs and benefts of diapause.

Generally, increasing temperature ramping rates (e.g., ranging from 0.01 to 0.4  $^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>) used to reach maximum and minimum thermal exposure limits will increase the thermal tolerance limits (Sørensen et al. [2013;](#page-7-10) Allen et al. [2016](#page-6-29); Alemu et al. [2017](#page-6-26)). In the present study, individuals were

exposed to a temperature ramping rate of 0.1  $\degree$ C min<sup>-1</sup>. This is a slow temperature ramping rate compared to some other studies, but is more likely to refect ecologically relevant situations. However, the investigation of heating and cooling rate effects on thermal tolerance limits was outside the scope of the present study.

Lower thermal tolerance limits of adults, measured as CT<sub>min</sub>, were – 3.15 °C and the SCP was – 9.5 °C. We did not conduct mortality assays on adults exposed to sub-zero temperatures. However, a limited number of individuals were removed during a cold ramping exposure and their survival scored after 24 h of recovery. These individuals survived exposure to  $-6.0$  °C, but died after cooling to − 10.0 °C (data not shown). This suggests that summer acclimated adults can survive brief periods of cold exposure, but it remains to be understood if longer cold exposures (e.g., freezing spells during summer nights) would be lethal to nymphs and adults. We can, however, establish that adults did not survive freezing of body fuids. When summer-collected, but cold acclimated eggs were exposed to a brief ramping cold treatment, the hatchability was less than 20% and decreased with increasing cold exposures. However, the egg hatchability after being exposed to a more gradual cold treatment (resembling seasonal acclimatization) was relatively high, and surprisingly was highest (80% hatchability) after 80 days of exposure to temperatures down to − 12 °C. The fact that long-term storage at freezing temperatures clearly stimulated hatchability suggests that termination of egg diapause is triggered by long-term cold chilling followed by warming (Kostal [2006](#page-6-30)). The diference in the proportion of eggs hatching after a rapid or slow cold exposure observed for *N. groenlandicus* is in agreement with observations in other insect species (Strathdee et al. [1995](#page-7-11); Hao and Kang [2014\)](#page-6-31) and conforms with the general notion that that gradual physiological adjustments during cold exposure are important for survival during winter (Teets and Denlinger [2013](#page-7-3)). These results also suggest that eggs may be susceptible to brief cold snaps during summer.

The low supercooling points of eggs agree with feld observations, indicating that *N. groenlandicus* survive the Arctic and sub-Arctic winter temperatures in the egg stage. Water vapor pressure of supercooled water is higher than that of ice and will cause a substantial water loss in an organism with a high integumental permeability (Holmstrup et al. [2002](#page-6-17); Holmstrup [2014\)](#page-6-18). However, the eggs displayed unaltered water content during the almost 3-month exposure to freezing conditions. This observation demonstrates that eggs were extremely resistant to desiccation, since the diference in water vapor pressure between the unfrozen eggs and surrounding ice in the beakers have exerted a considerable vapor pressure deficit that would cause dehydration in many other small organisms (Holmstrup et al. [2002\)](#page-6-17). Hence, despite their small size, the eggs of *N. groenlandicus* do not base their cold tolerance on cryoprotective dehydration, but on the ability to supercool and conserve water for extended periods during winters. These results are in agreement with other studies, showing that eggs of diferent insect species from cold regions supercool to survive harsh winter conditions (Strathdee et al. [1995](#page-7-11); Ávila-Jiménez et al. [2010;](#page-6-32) Hao and Kang [2014\)](#page-6-31). Within the insects, freeze avoidance appears to predominate, although freeze tolerance has evolved many times within diferent taxa (Sinclair et al. [2003\)](#page-7-2). The reasons why one strategy or the other is adopted remain unclear, but it has been proposed that certain environmental conditions may select for freeze tolerance. This seems to be the case when individuals are exposed to sub-zero temperatures for long time as when supercooled to low sub-zero temperatures, the probability of freezing is proportional to time (Sømme [1982\)](#page-7-1), or when exposed to mild and unpredictable cold snaps (Sinclair et al. [2003\)](#page-7-2). Supercooling permits survival over a broader temperature range, but freezing can occur spontaneously at any temperature below freezing/melting point (Costanzo and Lee [2013](#page-6-33)). For small and highly permeable soil organisms, supercooling is of minor importance and these organisms often use cryoprotective dehydration (Holmstrup [2014](#page-6-18)).

Adults and eggs of *N. groenlandicus* are exposed to variable and occasionally very high temperatures during summer, and eggs to long and harsh winters in Arctic and sub-Arctic regions. Our results show a clear association between the microhabitat temperatures experienced by the specifc life stage and its heat and cold tolerance. Thus, the eggs which constitute the life stage being exposed to the coldest periods throughout the year in the natural habitat of *N. groenlandicus* were clearly also more cold hardy than adults. Still, they were able to tolerate high temperatures, which is likely an adaptation to the hot summers that the eggs are also experiencing. Furthermore, we reveal the mechanistic basis for the ability of *N. groenlandicus* eggs to survive extreme cold temperatures, which depend on freeze avoidance through extensive supercooling and by conserving water. Our results demonstrate that *N. groenlandicus* and likely other polar ectotherms have evolved life stage-specifc adaptations enabling them to survive in harsh and extreme variable thermal environments.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Helle Blendstrup for taking care of the animals during the experiments.

**Author contributions** S.B., T.N.K., A.T., M.H.S., and M.H. designed the experiments. S.B., T.N.K., M.H., A.T., T.T.H., and M.H.S. performed the experiments. S.B. and M.H. analyzed the data and interpreted the results. S.B. wrote the frst version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to revision of the manuscript and approved the fnal version. All authors agree to be held accountable for the content of this manuscript. We thank Michael Elnitsky and two anonymous reviewers for invaluable suggestions and help in improving the review.

**Funding** We thank the Danish Council for Independent Research (Grant No. DFF-8021-00014B) (T.N.K.), the Carlsberg Foundation (CF17-0415) (S.B.), and North2North (S.B.; T.N.K.). for funding.

**Availability of data and materials** Data are available from authors on request.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **References**

- <span id="page-6-26"></span>Alemu T, Alemneh T, Pertoldi C et al (2017) Costs and benefts of heat and cold hardening in a soil arthropod. Biol J Linn Soc 122:765– 773.<https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blx092/4243554>
- <span id="page-6-29"></span>Allen JL, Chown SL, Janion-scheepers C, Clusella-trullas S (2016) Interactions between rates of temperature change and acclimation afect latitudinal patterns of warming tolerance. Conserv Physiol  $4.1 - 14$
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>Anthony SE, Buddle CM, Høye TT, Sinclair BJ (2019) Thermal limits of summer-collected *Pardosa* wolf spiders (Araneae: Lycosidae) from the Yukon Territory (Canada) and Greenland. Polar Biol 42:2055–2064. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02580-7>
- <span id="page-6-32"></span>Ávila-Jiménez ML, Coulson SJ, Solhøy T, Sjöblom A (2010) Overwintering of terrestrial Arctic arthropods: the fauna of Svalbard now and in the future. Polar Res 29:127–137. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-8369.2009.00141.x) [1111/j.1751-8369.2009.00141.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-8369.2009.00141.x)
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>Bahrndorff S, Gertsen S, Pertoldi C, Kristensen TN (2016) Investigating thermal acclimation efects before and after a cold shock in *Drosophila melanogaster* using behavioural assays. Biol J Linn Soc 117:241–251
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>Block W (1994) Diferential scanning calorimetry in ecophysiological research. Acta Oecol 15:13–22
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>Böcher J (1972) Feeding biology of *Nysius groenlandicus* (Zett.) (Heteroptera: Lygaeidae) in Greenland, with a note on oviposition in relation to food source and dispersal of the species. Meddelelser om Grønl 191:1–41
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>Böcher J (1975) Notes on the reproductive biology and egg-diapause in *Nysius groenlandicus* (Heteroptera: Lygaeidae). Vidensk Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Foren 138:21–38
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>Böcher J (1976) Population studies on *Nysius groenlandicus* (Zett.) (Heteroptera: Lygaeidae) in Greenland, with particular reference to climatic factors, especially the snow cover. Vidensk Meddelelser fra Dansk naturhistorisk Foren 139:61–89
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>Böcher J, Nachman G (2001) Temperature and humidity responses of the arctic-alpine seed bug *Nysius groenlandicus*. Entomol Exp Appl 99:319–330
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>Böcher J, Nachman G (2011) Coexistence of bisexual and unisexual populations of *Nysius groenlandicus* in the Zackenberg Valley, Northeast Greenland. Entomol Exp Appl 140:196–206. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2011.01153.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2011.01153.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2011.01153.x)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>Bowler K, Terblanche JS (2008) Insect thermal tolerance: what is the role of ontogeny, ageing and senescence? Biol Rev 83:339–355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00046.x>
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>Convey P, Coulson SJ, Worland MR, Sjöblom A (2018) The importance of understanding annual and shorter-term temperature patterns and variation in the surface levels of polar soils for terrestrial biota. Polar Biol 41:1587–1605. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0030](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-018-2299-0) [0-018-2299-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-018-2299-0)
- <span id="page-6-33"></span>Costanzo JP, Lee RE (2013) Avoidance and tolerance of freezing in ectothermic vertebrates. J Exp Biol 216:1961–1967. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.070268) [org/10.1242/jeb.070268](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.070268)
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>Coulson SJ, Hodkinson ID, Block W et al (1995) Low summer temperatures: a potential mortality factor for high arctic soil microarthropods? J Insect Physiol 41:783–792. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(95)00027-R) [1910\(95\)00027-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(95)00027-R)
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>Danks HV (2004) Seasonal adaptations in Arctic insects. Integr Comp Biol 44:85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/44.2.85>
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>Danks HV, Kukal O, Ring RA (1994) Insect cold-hardiness: insights from the Arctic. Arctic 47:391–404. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.591323) [org/10.1116/1.591323](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.591323)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>Denlinger DL, Lee RE (eds) (2010) Low temperature biology of insects. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>Deutsch CA, Tewksbury JJ, Huey RB et al (2008) Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. Proc Natl Acad Sci 105:6668–6672.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709472105>
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>Everatt MJ, Bale JS, Convey P et al (2013) The effect of acclimation temperature on thermal activity thresholds in polar terrestrial invertebrates. J Insect Physiol 59:1057–1064. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.08.003) [org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.08.003)
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>Goto SG, Yoshida KM, Kimura MT (1998) Accumulation of Hsp70 mrna under environmental stresses in diapausing and nondiapausing adults of *Drosophila triauraria*. J Insect Physiol 44:1009– 1015. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910\(97\)00143-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(97)00143-1)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Graham E, Rundel P, Kaiser W et al (2012) Fine-scale patterns of soil and plant surface temperatures in an alpine fellfeld habitat, white mountains, California. Arctic Antarct Alp Res 44:288–295. [https](https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-44.3.288) [://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-44.3.288](https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-44.3.288)
- <span id="page-6-31"></span>Hao S, Kang L (2014) Supercooling capacity and cold hardiness of the eggs of the grasshopper *Chorthippus fallax* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). J Insect Sci 14:231–236. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu15](https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu151) [1](https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu151)
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>Hodkinson ID, Coulson SJ, Webb NR, Block W (1996) Can high Arctic soil microarthropods survive eleveated summer temperatures? Funct Ecol 10:314–321
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>Holmstrup M (2014) The ins and outs of water dynamics in cold tolerant soil invertebrates. J Therm Biol 45:117–123. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.09.001) [org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.09.001)
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>Holmstrup M (2018) The springtail *Megaphorura arctica* survives extremely high osmolality of body fuids during drought. J Comp Physiol B Biochem Syst Environ Physiol 188:939–945. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-018-1180-3) [doi.org/10.1007/s00360-018-1180-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-018-1180-3)
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>Holmstrup M, Bayley M, Ramløv H (2002) Supercool or dehydrate? An experimental analysis of overwintering strategies in small permeable arctic invertebrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:5716– 5720. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082580699>
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>Høye TT, Culler LE (2018) Tundra arthropods provide key insights into ecological responses to environmental change. Polar Biol 41:1523–1529.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-018-2370-x>
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2013
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>Jaco KC, Chown SL (1997) Critical thermal limits, temperature tolerance and water balance of a sub-Antarctic caterpillar, *Pringleophaga marioni* (Lepidoptera: Tineidae). J Insect Physiol 43:685–694
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>Jensen A, Alemu T, Alemneh T et al (2019) Thermal acclimation and adaptation across populations in a broadly distributed soil arthropod. Funct Ecol 33:833–845. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13291) [org/10.1111/1365-2435.13291](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13291)
- <span id="page-6-30"></span>Kostal V (2006) Eco-physiological phases of insect diapause. J Insect Physiol 52:113–127
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>Loeschcke V, Hofmann AA (2007) Consequences of heat hardening on a feld ftness component in *Drosophila* depend on environmental temperature. Am Nat 169:175–183. [https://doi.org/10.1086/51063](https://doi.org/10.1086/510632) [2](https://doi.org/10.1086/510632)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>MacLean HJ, Kristensen TN, Overgaard J et al (2017) Acclimation responses to short-term temperature treatments during early life

stages causes long lasting changes in spontaneous activity of adult *Drosophila melanogaster*. Physiol Entomol 42:404–411. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12212) [doi.org/10.1111/phen.12212](https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12212)

- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Marshall KE, Sinclair BJ (2010) Repeated stress exposure results in a survival-reproduction trade-off in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 277:963–969. [https://doi.org/10.1098/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1807) [rspb.2009.1807](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1807)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Noer NK, Pagter M, Bahrndorff S et al (2020) Impacts of thermal fuctuations on heat tolerance and its metabolomic basis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Orchesella cincta*. PLoS ONE 15:1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.02372](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237201) [01](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237201)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Overgaard J, Kristensen TN, Sørensen JG (2012) Validity of thermal ramping assays used to assess thermal tolerance in arthropods. PLoS ONE 7:1–7.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032758>
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Rinehart JP, Yocum GD, Denlinger DL (2000) Developmental upregulation of inducible hsp70 transcripts, but not the cognate form, during pupal diapause in the fesh fy, *Sarcophaga crassipalpis*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 30:515–521. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(00)00021-7) [S0965-1748\(00\)00021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(00)00021-7)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Sinclair BJ, Addo-Bediako A, Chown SL (2003) Climatic variability and the evolution of insect freeze tolerance. Biol Rev 78:181–195
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Sømme L (1982) Supercooling and winter survival in terrestrial arthropods. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A Physiol 73:519–543. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(82)90260-2) [doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629\(82\)90260-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(82)90260-2)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Sørensen JG, Loeschcke V, Kristensen TN (2013) Cellular damage as induced by high temperature is dependent on rate of temperature

change—investigating consequences of ramping rates on molecular and organismal phenotypes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Exp Biol 216:809–814. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.076356>

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Sørensen MH, Kristensen TN, Lauritzen JMS et al (2019) Rapid induction of the heat hardening response in an Arctic insect. Biol Lett 15:20190613. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0613>
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Strathdee AT, Howling GG, Bale JS (1995) Cold hardiness of overwintering aphid eggs. J Insect Physiol 41:653–657. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(95)00029-T) [org/10.1016/0022-1910\(95\)00029-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(95)00029-T)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Teets NM, Denlinger DL (2013) Physiological mechanisms of seasonal and rapid cold-hardening in insects. Physiol Entomol 38:105–116. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12019>
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Terblanche JS, Hofmann AA, Mitchell KA et al (2011) Ecologically relevant measures of tolerance to potentially lethal temperatures. J Exp Biol 214:3713–3725.<https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.061283>
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Yocum GD, Joplin KH, Denlinger DL (1998) Upregulation of a 23 kDa small heat shock protein transcript during pupal diapause in the fesh fy, *Sarcophaga crassipalpis*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 28:677–682. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748\(98\)00046-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(98)00046-0)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.