ORIGINAL PAPER

# **Heterotrophic bacterial and viral dynamics in Arctic freshwaters: results from a field study and nutrient-temperature manipulation experiments**

**C. Säwström · J. Laybourn-Parry · W. Granéli · A. M. Anesio** 

Received: 2 October 2006 / Revised: 18 April 2007 / Accepted: 30 April 2007 / Published online: 1 June 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

**Abstract** Heterotrophic bacterial and viral concentrations (range,  $0.7 \times 10^4$  to  $206.2 \times 10^4 \text{ ml}^{-1}$  and  $0.05 \times 10^6$  to  $128.9 \times 10^{6}$  ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were determined in several Arctic freshwater environments, including lakes and glacial ecosystems (78.55°N, 11.56°E). Our bacteria and virus results mirrored trends seen in temperate lakes, with an average virus-to-bacteria ratio (VBR) of 13 (range, 7.3– 25.2) and viral concentrations and DOC positively correlated with bacterial concentrations (*R* = 0.964, *P* < 0.01 and  $R = 0.813$ ,  $P < 0.05$ , respectively). Lysogenic bacteria, determined by induction with Mitomycin C, were not detected in any of the investigated Arctic freshwater environments. Nutrient-addition experiments at in situ and at elevated temperatures were performed to elucidate the factors which influenced the bacterial growth and the virus– bacteria interactions in Arctic freshwaters. Our results suggest that multiple limiting factors interacted and constrained bacterial growth. Bacterial concentrations and doubling times increased at elevated temperatures and appeared to be co-stimulated by phosphorus and carbon.

J. Laybourn-Parry

Institute for the Environment, Physical Sciences and Applied Mathematics, University of Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK

W. Granéli Department of Limnology, Ecology Building, Lund University, Lund 223 62, Sweden

A. M. Anesio

Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Wales, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DA, UK

However, viral concentrations showed a lack of response to nutrient addition thus indicating an uncoupling between bacteria and viruses in the experiment.

**Keywords** Bacteria · Viruses · Temperature · Nutrients · Arctic

# **Introduction**

In Arctic freshwater ecosystems microbial life is pushed to its limits in regards to temperatures, light and nutrient levels (Ellis-Evans et al. [2001\)](#page-7-0). These ecosystems have low trophic complexity and are mainly made up of the microbial loop where the bacterioplankton play a pivotal role as recyclers of the available nutrients (Laybourn-Parry [1997\)](#page-7-1). Viral lysis of bacterioplankton disrupts this flow of energy and organic matter by forming a "viral loop" comprising bacteria, viruses and the dissolved organic matter pool (Thingstad [2000](#page-8-0); Wilhelm and Suttle [1999](#page-8-1); Wommack and Colwell [2000\)](#page-8-2). Even though it is clear that viruses are a major cause of bacterial mortality in aquatic ecosystems (Wommack and Colwell [2000](#page-8-2); Weinbauer [2004](#page-8-3)) there are only a few studies that report on viruses in polar freshwaters (Kepner et al. [1998;](#page-7-2) Laybourn-Parry et al. [2001](#page-7-3); Lisle and Priscu [2004;](#page-7-4) Madan et al. [2005](#page-7-5)).

The interaction between a virus and its host is largely dependent on the physiological state of the host and the physico-chemical conditions of the aquatic environment (Lenski [1988](#page-7-6); Miller [2001](#page-7-7); Moebus [1996](#page-7-8)). There are two principal virus-host relationships; lytic or lysogenic. Lysogenised bacterial cells have the viral nucleic acid incorporated into the host genome as a prophage. The prophage may be induced into the lytic cycle, in response to environmental factors, and ultimately cause cell lysis and release

C. Säwström (⊠) Climate Impacts Research Centre (CIRC), Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden e-mail: Christin.Sawstrom@emg.umu.se

new viral particles. Several studies have analysed the occurrence of lysogeny using the inductant Mitomycin C in both freshwater and marine bacterioplankton (Cochran et al. [1998;](#page-7-9) Jiang and Paul [1996;](#page-7-10) Lisle and Priscu [2004](#page-7-4); Tapper and Hicks [1998;](#page-8-4) Weinbauer and Suttle [1996\)](#page-8-5). The lysogenic cycle may provide a way for viruses to "survive" periods of low host abundance without driving their host to extinction (Wilson and Mann [1997;](#page-8-6) Wommack and Col-well [2000\)](#page-8-2). One may therefore speculate that lysogeny may be a common phenomenon in polar freshwaters where the host is present in low abundance and is slowly growing.

The often observed positive correlation between bacterial and viral abundance in natural aquatic systems, indicates that these two components are closely linked and the parameters which control bacterial production may also influence viral processes (Weinbauer [2004](#page-8-3); Wilhelm and Suttle [1999](#page-8-1); Wommack and Colwell [2000](#page-8-2)). For this reason, one may predict that the factors influencing bacterial growth positively would also have a positive impact on viral processes. Phosphorus limitation of bacterial growth has been demonstrated in both freshwater and marine environments (e.g. Carlsson and Caron [2001](#page-7-11); Elser et al. [1995](#page-7-12); Farjalla et al. [2002;](#page-7-13) Granéli et al. [2004a\)](#page-7-14). Also viruses may be particularly sensitive to phosphorus limitation, since viruses have a high nucleic acid to protein ratio, which could act as a potential control over lytic and lysogenic virus-host interactions (Wilson and Mann [1997](#page-8-6)).

Often experiments are performed to find a single limiting factor for bacterial growth, yet multiple limiting factors may interact and constrain bacterial growth (Pomeroy and Wiebe [2001](#page-8-7)). In the case of polar ecosystems one might anticipate that bacterial growth is limited by the interaction of low temperatures and low substrate concentrations (Wiebe et al. [1992](#page-8-8)). In the present study, we tested with independent experiments, if bacterioplankton growth in different Arctic freshwater environments was controlled by only one limiting factor, such as phosphorus concentration or if multiple limiting factors were involved, as has been suggested for the growth of marine heterotrophic bacteria (Pomeroy and Wiebe [2001\)](#page-8-7). We also tried to elucidate if these multiple factors might influence virus and bacteria interactions in polar ecosystems. To accomplish this we conducted field studies in the Arctic and performed independent nutrient-addition experiments at in situ and also at elevated temperatures with natural viral and bacterial assemblages from the Arctic freshwater environment.

## **Materials and methods**

#### Study sites and sample collection

Fieldwork was carried out near Ny-Ålesund, northwest Svalbard, (78.55°N, 11.56°E) (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Water from cryoconite holes, small meltholes that are formed on the glacier



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Map of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard showing the location of the sampling sites. Map courtesy: J.C. Ellis-Evans

(Wharton et al. [1985](#page-8-9)), was collected from the Midre Løvenbreen glacier, Ny-Ålesund at around 200 m altitude during July and August 2002 and 2003. In 2003, samples were also collected from other freshwater environments around Ny-Ålesund which included: Lake Tvillingvatnet; a shallow lake near Ny-London (Ny-London Lake); two unnamed lakes situated close to the Midre Løvenbreen glacier (Lake 1 and Lake 2); a small pool of meltwater between Ny-Ålesund and Tvillingvatnet (meltwater pool); glacier ice collected close to the base of Midre Løvenbreen glacier and melted at ambient temperature (Glacier ice); a melt stream on Midre Løvenbreen (Supraglacial stream) and from water emerging from beneath the glacier (Subglacial stream). Duplicate 5-ml samples for viruses and bacteria enumeration were fixed in the field with  $0.02 \mu m$  pre-filtered glutaraldehyde (2% final concentration). Bacterial activity was measured in 2-ml microcentrifuge tubes incubated in situ at the surface of the lakes and cryoconite holes using the tritiated thymidine method (see below for details). Water samples and fixed samples were immediately transported, in the dark  $(\langle 1 \text{ h} \rangle)$ , to the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) research station, Ny-Ålesund where samples were processed, experiments set up and several parameters analysed as described in more detail below.

## Analytical methods

Samples  $(\sim 50 \text{ ml})$  for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved nitrogen (DN) were filtered through GF/F filters (pre-ashed for 12 h at  $550^{\circ}$ C) or 0.2-µm polycarbonate filters and immediately transferred into acid-washed bottles and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysed. DOC and DN were analysed by the Pt-catalysed (high-sensitivity catalyst) high-temperature combustion method using a Shimadzu TOCN-4100 total carbon and total nitrogen analyser. Chlorophyll *a* was extracted with 95% ethanol (Jespersen and Christoffersen [1987](#page-7-15)). Briefly, subsamples were filtered

through GF/C (47 mm) filters and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Filtrates were then extracted in 5 ml of 95% ethanol at 4°C over night and then analysed on a Turner portable fluorimeter.

# Enumeration of bacteria and viruses

Concentrations of bacteria from the 2002 season were measured with a FACSort (Becton Dickinson) flow cytometer using the nucleic acid stain SYTO-13 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Paisley, UK, del Giorgio et al. [1996](#page-7-16)). Samples from the season 2003 were analysed for viral and bacterial concentrations by filtering aliquots of samples to dryness onto  $0.02 \mu$ m-pore-size Anodisc  $25$  membrane filters. Filters were stained with SYBR Gold nucleic acid stain (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) and mounted on a microscope slide and a drop of *p*-phenylenediamine antifade placed on the surface and a coverslip added (Noble and Fuhrman [1998](#page-7-17)). Counts of stained bacteria and viruses were obtained at  $1,000 \times$  magnification, using a Zeiss Axioscop equipped for epifluorescence. Blue light excitation (filter set  $487909$  with  $450-490$  nm exciter filter,  $510$  nm chromatic beam splitter and 520 nm barrier filter) was used.

# Bacterial production

Bacterial production was estimated by the microcentrifuge method as explained by Kirchman  $(2001)$ . In brief, five replicate 1.7 ml samples were collected into 2 ml microcentrifuge tubes and  $[3H]$ thymidine (84.0 Ci mmol<sup>-1</sup>) (Amersham Biosciences UK, Little Chalfont, Bucks) was added to a final concentration of 30 nM. Duplicate control samples were immediately inactivated with 90 µl of 100% TCA. All the samples were then incubated for 90 min to allow the label to be incorporated into the bacterial biomass. After incubation, 90 µl of 100% TCA was added to all samples except for the duplicate inactivated controls. The tubes were then centrifuged at 16,000*g* at 4°C for 10 min, following this the supernatant was removed by aspiration. To each tube 1.7 ml icecold 5% TCA was added, the tube was then vortex mixed, centrifuged and the supernatant aspirated (as above). Finally 1.7 ml of ice-cold 80% ethanol was added, the centrifugation and aspiration steps repeated (as above) and 1 ml of scintillation cocktail (Ecoscint) added. Samples were then counted by liquid scintillation in a Beckman LS6500 scintillation counter. Thymidine incorporation was converted into bacterial carbon production by using a conversion factor of  $2 \times 10^{18}$  cell mol<sup>-1</sup> (Bell [1993](#page-7-19)) and assuming a carbon content of 10 fg  $\text{cell}^{-1}$  (Bratbak and Dundas [1984](#page-7-20)).

## Induction assay for lysogenic bacteria

The occurrence of lysogenic bacteria was estimated by monitoring the viral concentration in water samples treated with a potent mutagen for prophage induction (the antibiotic Mitomycin C) and comparing it with untreated control samples (Paul and Jiang [2001](#page-7-21)). In short, triplicate water samples (15 ml) were either treated with 1  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>Mitomycin C (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) or left untreated (controls) (Paul and Jiang [2001](#page-7-21)). The samples were incubated in the dark at room temperature ( $20^{\circ}$ C) for 24 h and then fixed with  $0.02 \mu m$  filtered glutaraldehyde (final concentration 2%) and analysed immediately for bacterial and viral concentrations using SYBR gold staining as detailed above. If there was a statistically significant increase in viral concentration in the Mitomycin C treatment relative to the control then this was an indication of presence of lysogenic bacteria.

Nutrient and temperature manipulation experiments

Cryoconite or lake water was filtered through  $0.2$ - $\mu$ m poresize polycarbonate filters to remove grazers and bacteria. Additional water samples were filtered through a GF/F glass–fiber filter  $(0.7 \mu m)$  nominal pore size) and the filtrate was used as a bacterial/viral inoculum. Batch cultures with enhanced nutrient concentrations (final volume of 200 ml) were created by adding a 5% bacterial/viral inoculum to  $0.2 \mu$ m-filtered-water and nutrients added as described below. Each treatment was performed in triplicates in acidwashed (10% HCl and Milli-Q rinsed) glass bottles with a control consisting of  $0.2 \mu$ m-filtered-water and a 5% bacterial/viral inoculum.

Nutrient solutions were made up with  $0.2 \mu m$ -filtered Milli-Q water and added to the batch cultures. In 2002, batch cultures had a final concentration of 10 mg carbon  $(C)$  (glucose) per litre, 71  $\mu$ M N (added as NaNO<sub>3</sub>) and 3  $\mu$ M P (added as  $KH_2PO_4$ ) in the following combinations: either C, N, P or CNP. In 2003, batch cultures had a final concentration of 3 mg C (glucose) per litre, 3 mg Hu (humic substance), 10  $\mu$ MN (added as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) and 1  $\mu$ M P (added as  $KH_2PO_4$ ) in the following combinations: either C, Hu, N, P, CNP or HuNP. Humic substances were added in the form of fulvic acid extracted from Laurentian soils (Fredriks Research Products, Netherlands). A partial elemental composition of the fulvic acid, as given by the supplier, was C (43.2%), H (4%), N (0.27%), Fe (0.013%), and ash (<1.0%). The humic substance was added as an alternative, more refractory, carbon source relative to glucose. For comparison, in situ nutrient levels in Svalbard freshwater lakes may vary between 0.2 to 2  $\mu$ M P and 17 to 119  $\mu$ M N with a DOC concentration range of 0.2–1.9 mg  $1^{-1}$  per litre (Ellis-Evans et al. [2001\)](#page-7-0). Significantly lower nutrient levels are associated with the water phase in cryoconite holes  $(0.02-0.05 \mu M \text{ PO}_4 - P)$ , 0.02–1  $\mu$ M NH<sub>4</sub>-N and 0.04–0.1 NO<sub>3</sub>-N) (Säwström et al. [2002\)](#page-8-10). 2002 batch cultures from cryoconite water were incubated at 4°C in the dark for 216 h. Samples for bacterial

concentrations were collected 72, 120, 168 and 216 h after the beginning of the experiment and fixed with  $0.02 \mu m$ filtered glutaraldehyde  $(2\%$  final concentration) and stored at 4°C in the dark and processed within 2 months of collection. In 2003 batch cultures from cryoconite and lake water were set up and incubated in the dark for 144 h. The cryoconite batch cultures were incubated at two different temperatures (0.2 and 12°C), whereas the lake water (Lake 1) was incubated only at 12°C. Lake water temperatures in Svalbard varies seasonally, with low winter temperatures of 2–3°C but high summer temperatures of up to 15°C (Ellis-Evans et al. [2001\)](#page-7-0). The water temperatures in cryoconite holes are significantly lower with an average of  $0.1^{\circ}$ C (Säwström et al. [2007\)](#page-8-11). Samples for bacterial and viral concentrations were fixed in 0.02  $\mu$ m-filtered glutaraldehyde (2% final concentration), stored at 4°C in the dark and processed within 24 h.

### Bacterial growth rates and doubling times

Bacterial doubling/generation times (ln  $2/\mu$ ) in the 2002 cryoconite enrichment experiments were determined from the exponential growth rate  $(\mu)$  (Kirchman [2001](#page-7-18)). The exponential growth rate  $(\mu)$  was calculated from the slope of a least-squares regression of the natural log (ln) bacterial concentrations as a function of time for each treatment (Guillard [1973\)](#page-7-22). Initial bacterial concentration was not included when determining the exponential growth rate  $(\mu)$ . In 2003, bacterial doubling times were estimated by the following equation: doubling time =  $(\log_{10}N_t - \log_{10}N_0)/$  $log_{10}2$ , where  $N_0$  = initial concentration in the diluted batch culture  $(20 \times$  dilution of the insitu concentration) and  $N_t$  = concentration at the end of the experiment (144 h).

# **Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS (version 11.0.0 for Windows). For the Arctic field survey, Pearson correlations were examined between bacterial and viral concentrations, bacterial production and measured physical variables. Data from the nutrient and temperature manipulation experiment (2002 and 2003) were analysed using one-way ANOVA and the Tukey Post-Hoc test was used to determine the significance of differences among treatments. An independent samples *t* test was used to investigate differences between bacterial doubling times at the different incubation temperatures.

# **Results**

Arctic field survey 2003

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1 Chemical characteristics of different freshwater environments in Svalbard 2003

Environment	DOC $(mg l^{-1})$	DN $(mg l^{-1})$	Chlorophyll a $(\mu g l^{-1})$	
Lake 1	0.45	0.10	0.58	
Lake 2	0.24	0.24		
Lake Tvillingvatnet	0.28	0.11	1.06	
Ny-London Lake	1.25	0.18	0.95	
Cryoconite	0.42	0.09	0.08	
Meltwater pool	1.19	0.17	1.07	

All results are mean values of two replicates

Chlorophyll *a* concentrations were also low (0.08– 1.07  $\mu$ g l<sup>-1</sup>) and all the freshwater environments were considered oligotrophic. Bacterial concentrations varied from 0.7 to 206.2  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup> and were positively correlated with DOC concentrations  $(R = 0.813, P < 0.05, N = 6)$ . Variation in DOC, DN and chlorophyll *a* was 5, 2.5 and 13 fold, respectively, whereas variation in bacterial concentrtaion was much higher (312-fold). Viral concentrations were always higher than bacterial concentrations (ranging from 0.05 to 28.9  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup>) and strongly positively correlated with bacterial concentrations  $(R = 0.964,$  $P < 0.01$ ,  $N = 8$ ). Virus-to-bacterium ratios (VBR) varied from 7.3 to 25.2 with the highest VBR found in Lake 1. Bacterial production was low with the highest activity found in a melt pool near lake Tvillingvatnet (542 ng  $Cl^{-1}h^{-1}$ ). Lysogeny was undetectable in the investigated environments (cryoconite hole, Lake 1 and Lake 2) (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

Nutrient and temperature manipulation experiments

## *2002 nutrient experiment*

Bacterial concentrations in all the treatments ranged from 0.3 to  $110 \times 10^4$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. Highest bacterial concentration was found in the CNP treatment on the last sampling occasion (Fig.  $2$ ). Bacterial numbers were significantly higher in the CNP and P treatment relative to the control when all the sampling times were considered  $(P < 0.05$ , one-way ANOVA). However, there was no significant difference in bacterial concentrations between the CNP and P treatment. Bacterial exponential growth rates ranged between 0.2 (control) and  $0.7$  per day (CNP) and significantly higher rates were noted in all the amended treatments when compared to the control  $(P < 0.01$ , one-way ANOVA) (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-1). The bacterial growth rates in the phosphate amended treatments (CNP and P) were over 3 times higher than the control.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 2 Mean values of bacterial and viral concentrations, VBR and bacterial production (BP) from different freshwater environments in Svalbard 2003

Environment	Bacteria $(\times 10^4 \text{ ml}^{-1})$	Viruses $(\times 10^6 \text{ ml}^{-1})$	<b>VBR</b>	BP (ng C $l^{-1}$ h <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>Viruses</b> (control $\times 10^6$ ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Mitomycin C $(\%$ of the control)
Glacier ice	$4.6 \pm 0.7$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.3 \pm 0.01^{\rm b}$	7.5	$101 \pm 61^d$		
Lake 1	$59.1^{\rm a}$	14.9 <sup>a</sup>	25.2	$92 \pm 12^{\circ}$	$15.2 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	90.0 NS
Lake 2	5.0			$64 \pm 58$ <sup>d</sup>	$0.52 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	107.4 NS
Lake Tvillingvatnet	37.9	4.3	11.3	$134 \pm 24^d$		
Ny-London Lake206.2 <sup>a</sup>	$28.9^{\rm a}$	14.0	$226 \pm 30^{\rm d}$			
Cryoconite	$1.9 \pm 0.3^e$	$0.3 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	13.6	$106 \pm 29^{\rm d}$	$0.09 \pm 0.01^{\rm b}$	102.9 NS
Meltwater pool	74.9 <sup>a</sup>	$15.2^{\rm a}$	20.3	$542 \pm 22^{\rm d}$		
Supraglacial stream	0.7 <sup>a</sup>	$0.05^{\rm a}$	7.5	$261 \pm 68$ <sup>d</sup>		
Subglacial stream	$11.6^{\rm a}$	0.9 <sup>a</sup>	7.3			

Lysogeny induction results are shown in the last two columns, showing virus numbers in the untreated control (24 h incubation) and the percentage change in the Mitomycin C treatment compared to the untreated control. Standard errors are presented for  $N > 2$ . *NS* not significant



 $^{b}$   $N = 3$ 

 $^{c}$   $N = 4$ 

- $d$   $N = 5$
- $N = 10$



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 2 Bacterial growth rate slopes from the 2002 cryoconite nutrient amended experiment. Exponential growth rates  $(\mu)$  are mean of three replicates with standard errors. Treatments are no amendment (control *filled diamond*), glucose and nutrient addition (CNP *open square*), only glucose addition  $(C \times)$ , phosphorus addition  $(P^*)$ , or nitrogen addition (N *Wlled triangle*)

#### *2003 nutrient and temperature experiment*

A significant increase in viral concentration was noted in the cryoconite CNP treatment when compared to the control treatment  $(P < 0.01$ , one-way ANOVA). However, in general there was no significant change in virus concentrations after the addition of nutrients (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)a). Addition of nutrients (N, C and CNP at 0.2°C and P at 12°C) increased bacterial numbers in cryoconite water when compared to the control treatment (Fig.  $3b$ ). There was no significant difference in bacterial concentrations between the P and the CNP treatment or the Hu and HuNP treatment in the cryoconite water (Fig.  $3b$  $3b$ ). There was a significant reduction in bacterial numbers in the cryoconite water at 12°C with C addition when compared to the control (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)b). Bacterial concentrations were significantly higher in the lake water that had P, CNP, Hu and HuNP addition when compared to the control (Fig.  $3b$ ). There was a significant difference between P and CNP treatments in the lake water  $(P < 0.01$ , one-way ANOVA). VBRs were relatively similar in the treatments with cryoconite water (average of  $12 \pm 1$  SE, Fig.  $3c$ ). However, in the lake water a significant decrease in VBR was observed in the P, CNP, Hu and HuNP treatment, which was largely due to the increase in bacterial numbers in these treatments. An increase in temperature, from  $0.2$  to  $12^{\circ}$ C, resulted in significantly higher bacterial concentrations in all the nutrient amended and control treatments of cryoconite water  $(P < 0.01$ , one-way ANOVA, Fig. [3b](#page-5-0)).

#### *Bacterial doubling times in 2002 and 2003 experiments*

Bacterial doubling times varied from 0.69 to 3.43 days (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1). In 2002, all cryoconite nutrient amended treatments at  $4^{\circ}$ C had significantly ( $P < 0.01$ , one-way ANOVA) shorter bacterial doubling times when compared to the control. The shortest doubling times were noted in the CNP and P treatment but there was no significant difference in the doubling times between the CNP and P treat-ment (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1). In 2003, significantly shorter doubling times were noted in the cryoconite C treatment at 0.2°C compared to the control  $(P < 0.05$ , one-way ANOVA). In the



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3 a** Mean concentration of viruses, where striped columns represent cryoconite treatment at 0.2°C and circles lake treatment at 12°C. **b** Mean concentration of bacteria, where circles represent lake treatment at 12°C, squares cryoconite treatment at 0.2°C and triangles cryoconite treatment at 12°C. **c** Mean virus to bacteria ratio (VBR), where striped columns represent cryoconite treatment at 0.2°C and open columns lake treatment at 12°C. Treatments are no amendment (control), glucose and nutrient addition (CNP), humic substance and nutrient addition (HuNP) only glucose addition (C), phosphorus addition (P), or nitrogen addition (N)

cryoconite nutrient amended treatment at 12°C there was significantly shorter doubling times in the P treatment relative to the control  $(P < 0.01$ , one-way ANOVA). In the lake water experiment, all nutrient amended treatments (except the C and N treatment) had significantly shorter doubling times relative to the control (Fig. [4](#page-5-1)).

The effect of temperature on doubling times was tested with an independent sample T-test. There was a significant reduction in the cryoconite bacterial doubling time, in all the nutrient amended treatments and the control, when the water temperature increased from 0.2 to  $12^{\circ}$ C ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1). An increase from 0.2 to 4°C in the cryoconite treatments resulted in a significant reduction in doubling times in the CNP and P treatments ( $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.05$ , respectively). The shortest doubling times were noted in the lake water treatments at  $12^{\circ}$ C (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1), furthermore, significantly shorter doubling times were noted in the lake water



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Mean bacterial doubling times from the 2002 and 2003 nutrient amended experiments, where *striped columns* represent cryoconite treatment at 0.2°C, *dark grey columns* cryoconite treatment at 4°C, *light grey columns* cryoconite treatment 12°C and *open columns* lake treatment 12°C. Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE, N = 3. Treatment significantly different to the control is denoted by either  $*P < 0.05$  or \*\**P* < 0.01

treatments when compared to the cryoconite treatments (02, 4 and  $12^{\circ}$ C) (*P* < 0.01).

## **Discussion**

#### Field survey

All the Arctic freshwater environments in the present study can be classed as ultra-oligotrophic to oligotrophic based on chlorophyll *a*, DOC and DN concentrations. Bacterial and viral concentrations and bacterial production were low, but similar to previous values recorded in polar lakes, ponds and cryoconite holes as well as oligotrophic temperate lakes (e.g. Laybourn-Parry et al. [2001,](#page-7-3) [2004](#page-7-23); Granéli et al*.* [2004a](#page-7-14); Säwström et al. [2002;](#page-8-10) Hofer and Sommaruga [2001](#page-7-24)).

In general, viral numbers are higher in nutrient-enriched environments and often positively correlated with bacterial numbers (Wommack and Colwell [2000;](#page-8-2) Weinbauer [2004\)](#page-8-3). This appeared to be the case in the Arctic aquatic environments that we investigated as bacterial concentration was positively correlated with both virus and DOC concentrations. High virus concentrations were found in Ny-London lake and a small meltwater pool which also had high bacterial numbers and DOC. These habitats were substantially more productive than the other aquatic environments we investigated as they received high inputs of both bird and fox faeces (personal observation). Lowest viral concentrations were associated with the glacial ecosystem (supraand subglacial streams and the cryoconite hole).

Viral concentrations exceeded bacterial concentrations in all the investigated Arctic freshwaters resulting in an average virus-to-bacterium ratio of 13.3. The average VBR value in the Arctic field study fall within the bracket of reported VBR values (range 1–34) from Antarctic freshwaters (Lisle and Priscu [2004;](#page-7-4) Kepner et al. [1998](#page-7-2); Säwström et al. [2007](#page-8-11), Laybourn-Parry et al. [2001\)](#page-7-3). It has previously been suggested that VBR values >10 indicate that viral infection might be responsible for a large fraction of bacterial mortality or that viruses are able to persist longer than bacteria (Wommack and Colwell [2000](#page-8-2), Yager et al. [2001](#page-8-12)). Five out of the eight investigated Arctic freshwater environments had VBR values >10 thus suggesting that viralmediated bacterial mortality may be significant in some Arctic lakes and glacial ecosystems.

The lack of a significant increase in viral numbers after Mitomycin C addition indicates that lysogeny was of little importance in the investigated Arctic freshwater environments. Studies from Antarctic lakes occasionally show high rates of lysogeny, for instance 62.5% of the bacteria were lysogenic in the lakes of McMurdo Dry Valleys (Lisle and Priscu [2004\)](#page-7-4). Annual lake-studies in the Antarctic have shown seasonal variation in lysogeny, with high rates noted in the winter and spring (Laybourn-Parry et al. [2006](#page-7-25); Säwström et al. [2007](#page-8-11)). The use of Mitomycin C as an inducing agent of lysogenic bacteria in freshwaters may be questioned, as the susceptibility of natural bacterioplankton communities to Mitomycin C is still undetermined (Wommack and Colwell [2000\)](#page-8-2). Thus, it is possible that only a part of the lysogenic bacterial population was affected in our samples, thereby resulting in an underestimation of the occurrence of lysogens in the investigated environments. It is also possible that the lack of lysogeny detected in the field study could have been attributed to the time of the year the study was conducted. Previous studies in Antarctic lakes have shown larger proportion of lysogenic bacteria in the winter period rather than in the summer (Säwström et al. [2007;](#page-8-11) Laybourn-Parry et al. [2006\)](#page-7-25)

Nutrient and temperature manipulation experiments

#### *Nutrient effect*

Our results imply that bacterial growth was primarily limited by phosphorus and organic carbon acting as an important secondary limiting nutrient. In the 2002 cryoconite nutrient experiment, the initial lag (between 0 and 72 h) in bacterial growth indicates a delay in the assimilation of nutrients into new bacterial biomass as new enzymes were probably required for processing the additional nutrients. Another possibility is a bacterial community shift occurring in the bottles.

During the time-course of our experiment we failed to detect any significant increase in viral concentrations with the exception of one treatment. In the cryoconite CNP treatment, viral concentrations doubled when compared to the control, which also coincided with a significant increase in bacterial numbers. The addition of P alone or in combination with humic substances showed no significant changes in viral numbers in either lake or cryoconite water. The general lack of response to nutrient addition in regards to viruses could have several explanations: (1) low initial viral concentrations resulting in slow or none viral production due to reduced host encounter; (2) viral loss processes were high due to the addition of nutrients, this could particularly be the case in the humic treatments as humic substances may influence viruses negatively (Anesio et al. [2004\)](#page-7-26); (3) an initial lag in bacterial growth response to the nutrient additions resulted in a delayed response in viral production, which the time-course of the experiment failed to capture; (4) it is also possible that not all host/virus combinations from the original sample were present in the experiment set up due to the viral loss during filtration or exclusion of specific host bacteria. The lowered VBR values (as noted in the lake water treatment) further suggest that the bacteria increased due to the nutrient enhancement proliferated without the pressure of viral infection. This in turn could indicate that a phage-resistant bacterial community was developing.

## *Temperature effect*

The impact of temperature on bacterial production and growth has been extensively discussed and several studies have found that there is a strong positive relationship between growth rates and temperature in natural bacterioplankton assemblages (e.g. Wiebe et al. [1992](#page-8-8); Panzenböck et al. [2000](#page-7-27); White et al. [1991](#page-8-13); Shiah and Ducklow [1994\)](#page-8-14). Furthermore, bacterioplankton growth at low temperatures  $(-1.5 \text{ to } 4^{\circ}\text{C})$  is often, but not always, associated with an enhanced substrate requirement (Wiebe et al. [1992;](#page-8-8) Yager and Deming [1999;](#page-8-15) Reay et al. [1999;](#page-8-16) Nedwell [1999](#page-7-28)). The active uptake of substrates at low temperatures is significantly reduced due to decreasing cell membrane fluidity (Nedwell [1999](#page-7-28)). However, an increase in substrate concentrations can overcome the negative effect of low temperature on both mesophilic and psychrotolerant bacteria (Wiebe et al. [1992\)](#page-8-8). Arctic freshwater lakes may experience water temperatures of up to 15°C during the summer period whereas cryoconite holes have stable and low temperatures around 0.1°C (Säwström et al [2002](#page-8-10)). In our study we raised the cryoconite water temperature to 4 and 12°C and this resulted in an increase in bacterial concentrations and significantly shorter bacterial doubling times. We suggest that the apparent stimulation of bacterial growth at higher temperatures was related to improved uptake of nutrients due to changed membrane properties (Nedwell [1999](#page-7-28); Reay et al.[1999\)](#page-8-16). Hence, our results seem to follow the general pattern that bacterial growth responds more quickly and to a greater extent to nutrient amendments at

higher temperatures (e.g. Kirchman and Rich [1997](#page-7-29); Granéli et al. [2004b](#page-7-30); Nedwell [1999\)](#page-7-28).

In conclusion, our field data showed a strong bacteria– virus coupling and VBR values in general were over 10 which suggests that viral-mediated bacterial mortality may be significant in Arctic lake and glacial ecosystems. Lysogeny was not detected in any of the investigated environments thus indicating that the lysogenic pathway was of minor importance at the sampling time. Our experimental results indicated that multiple limiting factors were involved in controlling bacterial growth. Bacterioplankton growth was co-limited by phosphorus and possibly organic carbon and was also stimulated by increasing temperatures. However, only the cryoconite CNP-treatment induced increases in both virus and bacteria concentrations. Even though an increase in phosphorus concentration had a positive effect on bacteria this was not reflected in the virus concentration. This indicates that viral proliferation was not stimulated by the same parameters that controlled bacterial growth in our experiment.

**Acknowledgments** This work was funded by VR the Swedish Reasearch Council held by W Granéli and the Nuffield Foundation held by A Anesio. We are indebted to Nick Cox the Station Manager of the NERC Arctic Station for assistance with fieldwork. Thanks are due to three anonymous reviewers for valuable comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

## **References**

- <span id="page-7-26"></span>Anesio AM, Hollas C, Granéli W, Laybourn-Parry J (2004) Influence of humic substances on bacterial and viral dynamics in freshwaters Appl Environ Microbiol 70:4848–4854
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Bell RT (1993) Estimating production of heterotrophic bacterioplankton via the incorporation of tritiated thymidine. In: Kemp BF, Sherr EB, Cole JJ (eds) Handbook of methods in aquatic microbial ecology. CRC , Boca Raton, pp 495–503
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Bratbak G, Dundas I (1984) Bacterial dry matter content and biomass estimations. Appl Environ Microbiol 48:755–757
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Carlsson P, Caron DA (2001) Seasonal variation of phosphorus limitation of bacterial growth in a small lake. Limnol Oceanogr 46:108– 120
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Cochran PK, Kellogg CA, Paul JH(1998) Prophage induction of indigenous marine lysogenic bacteria by environmental pollutants. Mar Ecol Progr Ser 164:125–133
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>del Giorgio P, Bird DF, Prairie YT, Planas D (1996) Flow cytometric determination of bacterial abundance in lake plankton with green nucleic acid stain SYTO-13. Limnol Oceanogr 41:783– 789
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Ellis-Evans JC, Galchenko V, Laybourn-Parry J, Mylnikov AP, Petz W (2001) Environmental characteristic and microbial plankton activity of freshwater environments at Kongsfjorden, Spitsbergen (Svalbard). Arch Hydrobiol 152:609–632
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Elser JJ, Stabler LB, Hasset RP (1995) Nutrient limitation of bacterial growth and rates of bacterivory in lakes and oceans: a comparative study. Aquat Microb Ecol 9:105–110
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Farjalla VF, Esteves FA, Bozelli RL, Roland F (2002) Nutrient limitation of bacterial production in clear water Amazonian ecosystems. Hydrobiol 489:197–205
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Granéli W, Bertilsson S, Philibert A (2004a) Phosphorus limitation of bacterial growth in high Arctic lakes and ponds. Aquat Sci 66:430–439
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>Granéli W, Carlsson P, Bertilsson S (2004b) Bacterial abundance, production and organic carbon limitation in the Southern Ocean (39– 62°S, 4–14°E) during the austral summer 1997/1998. Deep-Sea Res II 51:2569–2582
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Guillard RRL (1973) Division rates. In: Stein JR (ed) Handbook of phycological methods, culture methods and growth measurements, Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, pp 289–312
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Hofer JS, Sommaruga R (2001) Seasonal dynamics of viruses in an alpine lake: importance of filamentous forms. Aquat Microb Ecol 26:1-11
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Jespersen AM, Christoffersen K (1987) Measurements of chlorophyll *a* from phytoplankton using ethanol as extraction solvent. Arch Hydrobiol 109:445–454
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Jiang SC, Paul JH (1996) Occurrence of lysogenic bacteria in marine microbial communities as determined by prophage induction Mar Ecol Progr Ser 142:27–38
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Kepner RL, Wharton RA, Suttle CA (1998) Viruses in Antarctic lakes. Limnol Oceanogr 43:1754–1761
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Kirchman D (2001) Measuring bacterial biomass production and growth rates from leucine incorporation in natural aquatic environments. In: Paul JH (ed) Marine microbiology—methods in microbiology. Academic, London, pp. 225–237
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>Kirchman DL, Rich JH (1997) Regulation of bacterial growth rates by dissolved organic carbon and temperature in the equatorial Pacific Ocean. Microb Ecol 33:11–20
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Laybourn-Parry J (1997) The microbial loop in Antarctic lakes. In: Howard-Williams C, Lyons W, Hawes I (eds) Ecosystem processes in Antarctic ice-free landscapes, AA Balkema, Rotterdam, pp 231–240
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Laybourn-Parry J, Hofer JS, Sommaruga R (2001) Viruses in the plankton of freshwater and saline Antarctic lakes. Freshwater Biol 46:1279–1287
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Laybourn-Parry J, Henshaw T, Jones DJ, Quayle W (2004) Bacterioplankton production in freshwater Antarctic lakes. Freshwater Biol 49:735–744
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>Laybourn-Parry J, Marshall WA, Madan NJ (2006) Viral dynamics and patterns of lysogeny in saline Antarctic lakes. Polar Biol 30:351–358
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Lisle JT, Priscu JC (2004) The occurrence of lysogenic bacteria and microbial aggregates in the lakes of the McMurdo Dry valleys, Antarctica. Microb Ecol 47:427–439
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Lenski RE (1988) Dynamics of interactions between bacteria and virulent bacteriophage. Adv Microb Ecol 10:1–44
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Madan NJ, Marshall WA, Laybourn-Parry J (2005) Virus and microbial loop dynamics over and annual cycle in three contrasting Antarctic lakes. Freshwater Biol 50:1291–1300
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Miller RV (2001) Environmental bacteriophage-host interactions: factors contribution to natural transduction. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek Int J Gen Mol Microbiol 79:14–147
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Moebus K (1996) Marine bacteriophage reproduction under nutrientlimited growth of host bacteria. I. Investigations with six phagehost systems Mar Ecol Progr Ser 144:1–12
- <span id="page-7-28"></span>Nedwell DB (1999) Effect of low temperature on microbial growth: lowered affinity for substrates limits growth at low temperature. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 30:101–111
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Noble RT, Fuhrman JA (1998) Use of SYBR Green I for rapid epifluorescence counts of marine viruses and bacteria. Aquat Microb Ecol 14:113–118
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>Panzenböck M, Möbes-Hansen B, Albert R, Herndl GJ (2000) Dynamics of phyto- and bacterioplankton in a high Arctic lake on Franz Joseph Land archipelago. Aquat Microb Ecol 21:265–273
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Paul JH, Jiang SC (2001) Lysogeny and transduction. In: Paul JH (ed) Marine microbiology—methods in microbiology, Academic , London, pp 105–125
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Pomeroy LR, Wiebe WJ (2001) Temperature and substrates as interactive limiting factors for marine heterotrophic bacteria. Aquat Microb Ecol 23:187–204
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>Reay DS, Nedwell DB, Priddle J, Ellis-Evans JC (1999) Temperature dependence of inorganic nitrogen uptake: reduced affinity for nitrate at suboptimal temperatures in both algae and bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:2577–2584
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Shiah F, Ducklow HW (1994) Temperature regulation of heterotrophic bacterioplankton abundance, production and scpecific growth rate in Chesapeake Bay. Limnol Oceanogr 39:1243–1258
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Säwström C, Mumford P, Marshall WA, Hodson A, Laybourn-Parry J (2002) The microbial communities and primary productivity of cryoconite holes in and Arctic glacier (Svalbard 79°N). Polar Biol 25:591–596
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Säwström C, Anesio AM, Granéli W, Laybourn-Parry J (2007) Seasonal viral loop dynamics in two large ultra-oligotrophic Antarctic freshwater lakes. Microb Ecol 53:1–11
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Tapper MA, Hicks RE (1998) Temperate viruses and lysogeny in Lake Superior bacterioplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 43:95–103
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Thingstad TF (2000) Elements of theory for the mechanisms controlling abundance, diversity, and biogeochemical role of lytic bacterial viruses in aquatic systems. Limnol Oceanogr 45:1320–1328
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Weinbauer MG (2004) Ecology of prokaryotic viruses. FEMS Microbiol Rev 28:127–181
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Weinbauer MG, Suttle C (1996) Potential significance of lysogeny to bacteriophage production and bacterial mortality in coastal water of the Gulf of Mexico. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:4374–4380
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Wharton RA, McKay CP, Simmons GM, Parker BC (1985) Cryoconite holes on glaciers. Bioscience 35:499–503
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>White PA, Kalff J, Rasmussen JB, Gasol JM (1991) The effect of temperature and algal biomass on bacterial production and specific growth rate in freshwater and marine habitats. Microb Ecol 21:99–118
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Wiebe WJ, Sheldon WM, Pomeroy LR (1992) Bacterial growth in the cold: Evidence for and enhanced substrate requirement. Appl Environ Microbiol 58:359–364
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Wilhelm SW, Suttle CA (1999) Viruses and nutrient cycles in the sea. Biosci 49:781–788
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Wilson WH, Mann NH (1997) Lysogenic and lytic viral production in marine microbial communities. Aquat Microb Ecol 13:95–100
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Wommack KE, RR Colwell (2000) Virioplankton: viruses in aquatic ecosystems. Microbiol. Mol Biol Rev 64:69–114
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Yager PL, Deming JW (1999) Pelagic microbial activity in an arctic polynya: testing for temperature and substrate interactions using a kinetic approach. Limnol Oceanogr 44:1882–1893
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Yager PL, Connelly TL, Mortazavi B, Wommack KE, Bano N, Bauer JE, Opsahl S, Hollibaugh JT (2001) Dynamic bacterial and viral response to an algal bloom at subzero temperatures. Limnol Oceanogr 46:790–801